AD713183

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

Radiation Laboratory
201 East Catherine Street
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48108

Scattering by a Periodic Surface

By
TOMMY C. TONG
THOMAS B. A. SENIOR

D D C

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1970

C.

September 1970

Prepared for:

Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories
Office of Aerospace Research
Laurence G. Hanscom Field
Bedford, Massachusetts 01730

Administered through:

OFFICE OF RESEARCH ADMINISTRATION · ANN ARBOR 48104

Availability Notice

Qualified requestors may obtain additional copies from the Defense
Documentation Center. All others should apply to the Clearinghouse
for Federal Scientific and Technical Information.

Scattering by a Periodic Surface

by
Tommy C. Tong and Thomas B. A. Senior
The University of Michigan
Radiation Laboratory
201 Catherine Street
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48108

September 1970

Scientific Report No. 7
Contract F19628-68-C-0071
Project 5635, Task 563502
Work Unit 56350201

Contract Monitor: John K. Schindler
Microwave Physics Laboratory

Prepared For

Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories
Laurence G. Hanscom Field
Bedford, Massachusetts 01730

FOREWORD

This report is part of a study whose ultimate objective is the development of adequate procedures for estimating the backscattering from a class of rough surfaces at oblique angles of incidence. In order to avoid a complete reliance on the physical optics approximation which is inaccurate at angles well away from specular and does not provide a reliable estimate of the de-polarizing effect of the surface, the approach that is adopted is to postulate a simple and deterministic base surface which is itself 'rough'. By taking this base to be perfectly conducting, periodic and of infinite extent, the true surface field can be determined, as can the scattering at all angles of incidence. More general surface configurations such as might be appropriate to the sea are then treated by a modification or perturbation of the field on the periodic base.

The first step in this process is to investigate the scattering of a plane wave by a two-dimensional, perfectly conducting periodic surface. This is the only topic considered in the present report.

1363-6-T

ABSTRACT

Numerical procedures are developed for the digital solution of the integral equations for the currents induced in a perfectly conducting, two dimensional periodic surface when a plane electromagnetic wave is incident. Data are obtained for both the surface and far fields for a variety of sinusoidal surfaces for plane waves of either polarization at oblique as well as normal incidence, and the results are compared with the predictions of physical optics.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I

INTRODUCTION

The problem of the scattering of an electromagnetic wave by a periodic surface is one which is easy to formulate but difficult to solve and it is only recently, with the advent of the high speed computer, that reliable results have been obtained.

Prior to this time, a variety of approximate analytical treatments had appeared in the literature, most of them being based on the approach originated by Lord Rayleigh (1878). Assuming the surface to be infinite in extent and making use of the periodicity in (say) the coordinate x, Rayleigh expanded the scattered field in discrete spectrum of outgoing plane waves, which representation was assumed to hold right down to the surface. Application of the boundary condition leads to a single equation, valid for all x, from which to determine the complex amplitudes of the scattered waves, infinite in number. It is at this stage that approximations must be made, and the literature is replete with methods all of which are similar in character. Thus, for a perfectly conducting sinusoidal surface, Rayleigh (1878) obtained a solution by successive approximation based on the initial neglect of all attenuated waves; for the same surface, Tai (1948) proceeded via an orthogonal mode expansion, followed by matrix truncation, and others have pursued essentially the same path. An analogous treatment for a periodic interface between two homogeneous media was developed by Rayleigh (1907), and applied to a sinusoidal profile by Pavageau (1963) and to a triangular profile by Bousquet (1963) .

At best, all such solutions are valid only for corrugations whose height is much smaller than the free space wavelength, and in an attempt to overcome this restriction, Meecham (1956) used a variational method to find the angular distribution of scattered energy for a perfectly conducting grating. The scattered field was represented as a linear combination of known solutions of the

wave equation whose coefficients were obtained by a least squares fit to the boundary condition at the surface, and the procedure was then applied to a triangular (or saw tooth) profile. A somewhat different approach was taken by Eckart (1953) and Brekhovskikh (1952) who both used variations on the Kirchhoff approximation, and by Senior (1959) who employed physical optics. In either case the determination of the scattered field is reduced to quadratures, and Senior showed that for a plane wave at normal incidence on a sinusoidal grating, the physical optics integral can be evaluated exactly to give the complex amplitudes of the scattered waves. As indicated in Appendix A of this Report, the same is true for both polarizations, and for oblique incidence as well as normal. It should be emphasized, however, that the solution is still approximate by virtue of the postulated surface field distribution, and the failures of the physical optics estimate of the surface fields are examined in Chapter III of this Report.

A method which is quite distinct from all of the above was developed by Sivov (1964) who used conformal transformation and a consideration of the static limit to analyze reflections from periodic surfaces with shallow and deep corrugations. The procedure is similar to that recently employed by Millar (1969, 1970) to investigate the inherent limitation of Rayleigh's method. As first noted by Lippmann (1953), it is not in general valid to assume that the expansion of the scattered field as a discrete spectrum of outgoing waves alone holds over the entire scattering surface, and this fact was later verified by Petit and Cadilhac (1964) in the case of a sinusoidal grating. In any general treatment of the grating problem it is therefore necessary to allow 'ingoing' waves in the immediate vicinity of the surface.

Without exception, all of these analytical attempts to determine the fields scattered by periodic surfaces are subject to approximation, either implicit or

2

explicit, and it is only with the use of high speed computers that have permitted the direct digital solution of integral equations that reliable results have been obtained.

Most of the initial work in this area was carried out by the French investigators, such as Petit, Cadilhac and Wirgin, and was motivated by the desire for more efficient optical diffraction. In his early papers, Petit (see, for example, 1963) followed Rayleigh's approach in expressing the scattered field as a discrete spectrum of outgoing waves alone, leading to a matrix equation for the determination of the spectral amplitudes. Since the matrix was then truncated and inverted numerically, it will be appreciated that the method is no more than a digitization of that originated by Rayleigh. However, in later papers (Petit, 1967), the Rayleigh assumption was circumvented by using an integral equation formulation. Series expansions were adopted for the incident and scattered fields and the integral equation converted to a matrix equation which was solved numerically. Specific results were obtained for plane wave incidence on gratings with triangular profiles, and the efficiencies computed. A rather different approach was taken by Pavageau (1967) who derived the integral equation directly in terms of the unknown surface current. The equation was cast in the form of a nonhomogeneous Fredholm equation of the second kind and solved by iteration.

Methods which are very similar to that which we shall use have been employed by Neureuther and Zaki (1969) and by Green (1970). The former considered scattering by periodic structures, either dielectric or perfectly conducting. The integral equation was obtained from Green's theorem and the modified Green's function expressed in either of two ways depending on the parameters of the surface. The first (space harmonic) representation is analogous to that used by Petit; the second consists of an infinite series of

3

Hankel functions, and was computed using a Mellin transform and an asymptotic comparison scheme. Numerical data were obtained for sinusoidal profiles. Green (1970) also used the space harmonic representation, but improved its convergence by summation techniques. Data were presented for the surface fields and diffraction efficiencies of perfectly conducting gratings with triangular profiles.

The present work also employs the numerical approach, and is concerned with the scattering of electromagnetic waves by infinite, perfectly conducting, two dimensional periodic surfaces of arbitrary but continuous profile. Plane wave incidence is assumed, with either E or H polarization, and both normal and oblique incidence are considered. A representation of the Green's function is employed that is similar to that used by Green (1970), and the convergence improved still further by subtracting the dc terms. This has the added advantage of making explicit the behavior of the Green's function in a neighborhood of its singularity. Digital programs have been written for computing the surface and scattered fields, and the program listings are included in Appendix B. Data are presented for a variety of sinusoidal profiles, and the results compared with the physical optics predictions.

4

II

FORMULATION OF THE INTEGRAL EQUATION
FOR NUMERICAL SOLUTION

We consider here an infinite, perfectly conducting periodic surface illuminated by a plane electromagnetic wave. Since the surface is assumed two dimensional in the sense of being independent of a Cartesian coordinate z, the entire problem is two dimensional, and the most general solution can be deduced from the particular solutions appropriate to incident plane waves having either $\underline{E}$ or $\underline{H}$ in the z direction, i.e. parallel to the corrugations. In either case, the problem is essentially scalar.

2.1 Formulation

It is convenient to develop first the integral equation in the somewhat simpler case of a scattering surface of finite extent. Let S (see Fig. 2-1) be this surface, and surround it by another closed surface S_R . Let $\psi(\underline{r})$ and $G(\underline{r}|\underline{r}')$ be two scalar functions which are continuous, together with their first and second derivatives, on S and S_R and throughout the volume V enclosed by them. Assume , moreover, that $\psi(\underline{r})$ satisfies the homogeneous wave equation

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2)\psi(\underline{r}) = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

inside and on the boundaries of V, whereas

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2)\, G(\underline{r}|\underline{r}') = -\,\delta\,(\underline{r}-\underline{r}') \ . \tag{2.2}$$

Applying Green's theorem to the volume V, we obtain

5

FIG. 2-1: Geometry for the application of Green's theorem.

$$
\int_{S+S_R} \left\{ G(\underline{r}|\underline{r}') \frac{\partial}{\partial n'} \psi(\underline{r}') - \psi(\underline{r}') \frac{\partial}{\partial n'} G(\underline{r}|\underline{r}') \right\} dS'
$$

$$
= \int_V \left\{ G(\underline{r}|\underline{r}') (\nabla^2 + k^2) \psi(\underline{r}') - \psi(\underline{r}')(\nabla^2 + k^2) G(\underline{r}|\underline{r}') \right\} dV'
$$

$$
= \psi(\underline{r}) \tag{2.3}
$$

by virtue of the properties of the delta function. We now identify $\psi(\underline{r})$ with a total field

$$
\psi^{tot}(\underline{r}) = \psi^i(\underline{r}) + \psi^s(\underline{r})
$$

where $\psi^i(\underline{r})$ is an incident plane wave originated by a source at infinity (and therefore outside V), and $\psi^s(\underline{r})$ is the field scattered by the surface S . Since $\psi^s(\underline{r})$ must satisfy a radiation condition at infinity, its contribution to the integral over S_R decreases to zero as the surface S_R recedes to infinity, whereas $\psi^i(\underline{r})$ contributes itself. Equation (2.3) then becomes

$$
\psi^{tot}(\underline{r}) = \psi^i(\underline{r}) + \int_S \left\{ G(\underline{r}|\underline{r}') \frac{\partial}{\partial n'} \psi(\underline{r}') - \psi(\underline{r}') \frac{\partial}{\partial n'} G(\underline{r}|\underline{r}') \right\} dS' , \tag{2.4}
$$

and in spite of the assumptions of an incident plane wave and a surface of finite extent, Eq. (2.4) is also valid for an arbitrary incident field and for a surface S extending to infinity. In the latter case, however, the proof is by no means trivial (Jones, 1952).

The particular situation of concern to us is that in which S is doubly infinite and divides space into two regions. It is then sufficient to integrate over only the upper ('illuminated') side of the surface. We also assume that S is independent of the coordinate z, and this allows us to distinguish two particular cases according

7

to the polarization of the incident field. Mks units are employed and a time factor $e^{j\omega t}$ suppressed throughout.

2.1.1 E (or horizontal) Polarization

If $\underline{E}^i = E_z^i \hat{z}$, the electric vector in the scattered field will also be confined to the z direction, and we can make the identification

$$\psi(\underline{r}) = E_z^{tot}(\underline{r}) .\tag{2.5}$$

Since the normal derivative of E_z^{tot} is related to the surface current density K_z by the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n'} E_z^{tot} (\underline{r}') = -j\omega\mu K_z(\underline{r}') ,\tag{2.6}$$

where μ is the permeability of free space, Eq. (2.4) can be written as

$$E_z^{tot}(\underline{r}) = E_z^i (\underline{r}) - j\omega\mu \int_S \left\{ G(\underline{r}|\underline{r}')K_z(\underline{r}') + \frac{1}{j\omega\mu} E_z^{tot}(\underline{r}') \frac{\partial}{\partial n'} G(\underline{r}|\underline{r}') \right\} dS' .\tag{2.7}$$

At the perfectly conducting surface S the boundary condition is

$$E_z^{tot}(\underline{r}) = 0 \tag{2.8}$$

and hence, on allowing $\underline{r}$ to approach the surface, Eq. (2.7) gives rise to the integral equation

8

$$\int_S G(\underline{r}|\underline{r}')K_z(\underline{r}')dS' = \frac{1}{j\omega\mu}E_z^i(\underline{r}) \quad . \tag{2.9}$$

For a two-dimensional problem the free space Green's function is

$$G(\underline{r}|\underline{r}') = -\frac{j}{4} H_0^{(2)}(k|\underline{r}-\underline{r}'|) \tag{2.10}$$

where $H_0^{(2)}$ is the zero order Hankel function of the second kind. The final integral equation is therefore

$$\int_S K_z(\underline{r}')H_0^{(2)}(k|\underline{r}-\underline{r}'|)d\ell' = \frac{4}{\omega\mu}E_z^i(\underline{r}) \tag{2.11}$$

from which K_z has to be determined.

2.1.2 H (or vertical) Polarization

If $\underline{H}^i = H_z^i\,\hat{z}$, the total magnetic field is likewise in the z direction, and on making the identification

$$\psi(\underline{r}) = H_z^{tot}(\underline{r}) \quad , \tag{2.12}$$

Eq. (2.4) becomes

$$H_z^{tot}(\underline{r}) = H_z^i(\underline{r}) + \int_S \left\{ G(\underline{r}|\underline{r}')\frac{\partial}{\partial n'}H_z^{tot}(\underline{r}') - H_z^{tot}(\underline{r}')\frac{\partial}{\partial n'}G(\underline{r}|\underline{r}') \right\} dS' \quad . \tag{2.13}$$

The boundary condition at the perfectly conducting surface S is

9

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n'} H_z^{tot}(\underline{r}) = 0 \qquad (2.14)$$

and on allowing $\underline{r}$ to approach the surface, Maue (1949) has shown that (2.13) reduces to

$$\frac{1}{2} H_z^{tot}(\underline{r}) = H_z^i(\underline{r}) - \int_S H_z^{tot}(\underline{r}') \frac{\partial}{\partial n'} G(\underline{r}|\underline{r}') \, dS' . \qquad (2.15)$$

The quantity H_z^{tot} is the induced current density, and since this current flows tangential to the surface in a plane perpendicular to the z direction, we can write

$$\underline{K}_t(\underline{r}) = H_z^{tot}(\underline{r}) \, \hat{t} \qquad (2.16)$$

where $\hat{t}$ is a unit vector tangential to S. Inserting finally the expression (2.10) for the free space Green's function, we have

$$\int_S K_t(\underline{r}) \frac{\partial}{\partial n'} H_0^{(2)}(k|\underline{r}-\underline{r}'|) \, d\ell' = 4i \left\{ H_z^i(\underline{r}) - \frac{1}{2} K_t(\underline{r}) \right\} , \qquad (2.17)$$

i.e.

$$\int_S K_t(\underline{r}) H_1^{(2)}(k|\underline{r}-\underline{r}'|) \cos(\hat{n}, |\underline{r}-\underline{r}'|) \, d\ell' = 4i \left\{ H_z^i(\underline{r}) - \frac{1}{2} K_t(\underline{r}) \right\} . \qquad (2.18)$$

This is an integral equation from which to determine K_t.

2.2 Reduction of the Integral Equations for Periodic Surfaces

We now make use of the fact that the incident field is a plane wave and that the surface $y = f(x)$ is periodic with period d, i.e.

10

$$f(x + md) = f(x), \qquad m = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \ldots . \qquad (2.19)$$

As before, it is convenient to consider separately the cases of E and H polarization.

2.2.1 E Polarization

Let us assume that

$$E_z^i (\underline{r}) = e^{-jk(x \sin\theta - y \cos\theta)} \qquad (2.20)$$

where θ is the angle of incidence with respect to the normal to the mean surface. The integral equation is as shown in Eq. (2.11), but since the integration extends from $-\infty$ to ∞, this is not appropriate for a numerical solution. However, by invoking the periodic property of the surface, the integral can be reduced to one over a single period alone at the expense of a more complicated form for the kernel.

From Floquet's theorem, we have that

$$K_z(\underline{r} + md \, \hat{x}) = K_z(\underline{r}) \, e^{-jkmd \sin\theta}, \qquad m = \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \ldots \qquad (2.21)$$

Moreover,

$$d\ell' = \sqrt{1 + \left\{f'(x')\right\}^2} \, dx'$$

where $f'(x)$ is the derivative of f with respect to x. This allows us to express the integral as one along the x' axis, and since

$$|\underline{r} - \underline{r}'| = \sqrt{(x - x' - md)^2 + (y - y')^2} ,$$

11

we obtain

$$\int_0^d \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} H_0^{(2)} \left(k \sqrt{(x-x'-md)^2+(y-y')^2} \right) e^{-jkmd\sin\theta} \sqrt{1+\{f'(x')\}^2} K_z(x')dx'$$

$$= \frac{4}{\omega\mu} e^{-jk(x\sin\theta-y\cos\theta)} \quad , \tag{2.22}$$

valid for $0 \leq x \leq d$ with $y = f(x)$, $y' = f(x')$.

In arriving at (2.22) we have implicitly assumed that interchanging the order of integration and summation is valid (a fact which is by no means obvious), but even so Eq. (2.21) is not a very promising equation for numerical purposes because of the extremely poor convergence of the infinite series constituting the kernel. To rectify this situation, consider

$$P_1 = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} H_0^{(2)} \left(k\sqrt{(x-x'-md)^2+(y-y')^2} \right) e^{-jkmd\sin\theta} \quad . \tag{2.23}$$

Using the Fourier integral representation of the Hankel function, the Poisson summation formula (see, for example, Morse and Feshbach, 1953) applied to (2.23) gives

$$P_1 = \frac{2}{d} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{X_m} e^{-j\left(\frac{2m\pi}{d}+k\sin\theta\right)(x-x')} e^{-j|y-y'|X_m} \quad , \tag{2.24}$$

where

$$X_m = \sqrt{k^2 - \left(\frac{2m\pi}{d}+k\sin\theta\right)^2} \tag{2.25}$$

and the chosen branch of the square root is that for which

$$\text{Im } X_m \leq 0$$

12

in order to satisfy the radiation condition.

The expression (2.23) for P_1 has been used by Yen (1962) and Green (1970), and is equivalent to that obtained by Neureuther and Zaki (1969) using a space harmonic expansion. The features that should be noted are that if $y \neq y'$ the successive terms decrease exponentially with increasing $|m|$ for all

$$\left| m + \frac{kd}{2\pi} \sin\theta \right| > \frac{kd}{2\pi} \quad ,$$

and that in addition there is an algebraic decrease (proportional to m^{-1}) provided by the factor X_m in the denominator.

We can produce still a further improvement in the convergence properties of the series by separating out the zero-frequency ($k=0$) terms, and this has the added advantage of making explicit the behavior of P_1 in the vicinity of the singular point $x'=x$, $y'=y$. Putting $k = 0$ in (2.24), we have

$$P_1 \Big|_{k=0} = -\frac{2}{d} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j|m|\frac{2\pi}{d}} e^{-j\frac{2m\pi}{d}(x-x')} e^{-\frac{2\pi}{d}|m(y-y')|} \tag{2.25}$$

and since

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} = -\frac{d}{2\pi j} \log \left\{ 1 - e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{d}(x-x') - \frac{2\pi}{d}|y-y'|} \right\}$$

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{-1} = -\frac{d}{2\pi j} \log \left\{ 1 - e^{j\frac{2\pi}{d}(x-x') - \frac{2\pi}{d}|y-y'|} \right\}$$

(see, for example, Collin, 1960), provided $y' \neq y$, it follows that

$$P_1\Big|_{k=0} = (m=0 \text{ term}) - \frac{1}{\pi} \log\left[\left\{ 1 - e^{-\frac{2\pi}{d}\left[|y-y'| + j(x-x')\right]} \right\} \right.$$

$$\left. \cdot \left\{ 1 - e^{-\frac{2\pi}{d}\left[|y-y'| - j(x-x')\right]} \right\} \right] . \tag{2.27}$$

Hence, by subtracting the zero frequency value of each term of (2.24) and then adding (2.27), the integral equation (2.21) takes the form

$$\int_0^d G_1(x,y; x',y') K_z(x') e^{jkx'\sin\theta} \sqrt{1 + \left\{f'(x')\right\}^2} \, dx' = \frac{2d}{\omega\mu} e^{jky\cos\theta},$$

$$0 \leq x \leq d , \tag{2.28}$$

where

$$G_1(x,y; x',y') = \frac{1}{k\cos\theta} e^{-jk|y-y'|\cos\theta}$$

$$- \frac{jd}{2\pi} \log\left[\left\{ 1 - e^{-\frac{2\pi}{d}\left[|y-y'| + j(x-x')\right]} \right\} \left\{ 1 - e^{-\frac{2\pi}{d}\left[|y-y'| - j(x-x')\right]} \right\} \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-j\frac{2m\pi}{d}(x-x')} \left\{ \frac{e^{-j|y-y'|X_m^+}}{X_m^+} + \frac{e^{-\frac{2m\pi}{d}|y-y'|}}{j\frac{2m\pi}{d}} \right\}$$

$$+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{j\frac{2m\pi}{d}(x-x')} \left\{ \frac{e^{-j|y-y'|X_m^-}}{X_m^-} + \frac{e^{-\frac{2m\pi}{d}|y-y'|}}{j\frac{2m\pi}{d}} \right\}$$

$$\tag{2.29}$$

14

with

$$X_m^+ = \sqrt{k^2 - (\frac{2m\pi}{d} + k \sin\theta)^2} \; ,$$

$$X_m^- = \sqrt{k^2 - (\frac{2m\pi}{d} - k \sin\theta)^2} \; . \tag{2.30}$$

We observe that the Green's function has now been expressed as the sum of a term involving explicitly the amplitude and the angle of incidence, a logarithmic function representing the true behavior of G, in a neighborhood of its singularity, and two series which are themselves differences of two convergent series.

2.2.2 H Polarization

The incident field in this case is taken to be

$$H_z^i(\underline{r}) = e^{-jk(x \sin\theta - y \cos\theta)} \tag{2.31}$$

and the reduction of the integral equation (2.17) to a form suitable for numerical solution proceeds in much the same way as for E polarization. Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left[f'(x') \right]^2}} \left\{ -f'(x') \frac{\partial}{\partial x'} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y'} \right\} \quad ,$$

Eq. (2.17) can be written as

$$\int_0^d P_2 K_t(x') dx' = 4j \left\{ H_z^i(\underline{r}) - \frac{1}{2} K_t(\underline{r}) \right\} \tag{3.32}$$

15

where

$$P_2 = \sqrt{1 + \left\{ f'(x') \right\}^2} \; \frac{\partial}{\partial n'} \; \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} H_0^{(2)} \left(k \sqrt{(x-x'-md)^2 + (y-y')^2} \right) e^{-jkmd\sin\theta}$$

$$= -\frac{2j}{d} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{X_m} \left\{ f'(x') \left(\frac{2m\pi}{d} + k\sin\theta \right) - X_m \, \mathrm{sgn}(y-y') \right\} \times$$

$$\times \quad e^{-j\left(\frac{2m\pi}{d} + k\sin\theta \right)(x-x')} \quad e^{-j \, |y-y'| \, X_m}$$

$$(2.33)$$

in which $\mathrm{sgn}(\tau)$ is the signum function and X_m is given in (2.25). The zero frequency ($k=0$) limit of P_2 is

$$P_2 \bigg|_{k=0} = \frac{2}{d} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{m}{|m|} f'(x') + j \, \mathrm{sgn}(y-y') \right\} e^{-j\frac{2m\pi}{d}(x-x')} \, e^{-\frac{2\pi}{d} \, |m(y-y')|}$$

$$= \frac{2}{d} \left\{ f'(x') + j \, \mathrm{sgn}(y-y') \right\} + \frac{2}{d} \left\{ f'(x') + j \, \mathrm{sgn}(y-y') \right\} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-j\frac{2m\pi}{d} \left\{ (x-x') - j \, |y-y'| \right\}}$$

$$+ \frac{2}{d} \left\{ -f'(x') + j \, \mathrm{sgn}(y-y') \right\} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{j\frac{2m\pi}{d} \left\{ (x-x') + j \, |y-y'| \right\}}$$

and since

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{jm\tau} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{j}{2} \, \cot \frac{\tau}{2} \quad,$$

16

we have

$$P_2\Big|_{k=0} = \frac{2}{d} f'(x') + \frac{j}{d}\left\{ f'(x') + j\,sgn(y-y')\right\}\cot\left\{-\frac{\pi}{d}(x-x') + j\frac{\pi}{d}|y-y'|\right\}$$

$$+\frac{j}{d}\left\{-f'(x') + j\,sgn(y-y')\right\}\cot\left\{\frac{\pi}{d}(x-x') + j\frac{\pi}{d}|y-y'|\right\} \quad . \quad (2.34)$$

Hence, by subtracting the zero-frequency value of each term of (2.33) and then adding (2.34), the integral equation (2.32) takes the form

$$\int_0^d G_2(x,y;x',y')\,K_t(x')\,dx' = jd\left\{2H_z^i(x) - K_t(x)\right\}, \qquad 0 \le x \le d , \qquad (2.35)$$

where

$$G_2(x,y;x',y') = -j\,sgn(y-y') - j\left\{f'(x')\tan\theta - sgn(y-y')\right\}e^{-jk\sin\theta(x-x') - jk\cos\theta|y-y'|}$$

$$-je^{-jk\sin\theta(x-x')}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-j\frac{2m\pi}{d}(x-x')}\left[\frac{e^{-j|y-y'|X_m^+}}{X_m^+}\right.$$

$$\left\{f'(x')\left(\frac{2m\pi}{d} + k\sin\theta\right) - X_m^+\,sgn(y-y')\right\} - e^{-\frac{2m\pi}{d}|y-y'|}\left\{jf'(x') - sgn(y-y')\right\}\right]$$

$$-je^{-jk\sin\theta(x-x')}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{j\frac{2m\pi}{d}(x-x')}\left[\frac{e^{-j|y-y'|X_m^-}}{X_m^-}\right.$$

$$\left\{f'(x')\left(-\frac{2m\pi}{d} + k\sin\theta\right) - X_m^-\,sgn(y-y')\right\} + e^{-\frac{2m\pi}{d}|y-y'|}\left\{jf'(x') + sgn(y-y')\right\}\right]$$

$$+\frac{j}{2}\left\{f'(x') + j\,sgn(y-y')\right\}\cot\left\{-\frac{\pi}{d}(x-x') + j\frac{\pi}{d}|y-y'|\right\}$$

$$+\frac{j}{2}\left\{-f'(x') + j\,sgn(y-y')\right\}\cot\left\{\frac{\pi}{d}(x-x') + j\frac{\pi}{d}|y-y'|\right\} \quad . \qquad (2.36)$$

17

In spite of the obvious complexity of the Green's function G_2, its form is directly analogous to G_1 in consisting of certain explicit terms, a pair of cotangent functions representing the true behavior of G_2 in a neighborhood of its singularity, and two series which are themselves differences of two convergent series. Once again, therefore, the singularity of the Green's function has been separated out.

We further note that the integral equations (2.28) and (2.35) have been derived without approximation . In consequence, the formulation so far is exact for any two dimensional perfectly conducting periodic surface which is smooth in the sense of having a continuous first derivative.

2.3 Numerical Procedures

Methods for the numerical solution of integral equations have been extensively discussed in the literature (see, for example, Harrington, 1968). The general procedure consists of reducing the equation to a finite set of algebraic equations, i.e. to a matrix equation, and can be illustrated by considering

$$\int_0^d G(x,x')K(x')dx' = F(x) , \qquad\qquad 0 \leq x \leq d . \qquad (2.37)$$

We assume that the unknown function $K(x')$ can be expanded in terms of linearly independent base functions $\phi_n(x')$ such that

$$K(x') \simeq \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \phi_n(x') \qquad (2.38)$$

where the a_n are the associated constants. Substitution of (2.38) into (2.37) gives

$$\sum_{n=1}^N a_n \int_0^d G(x,x')\phi_n(x')dx' = F(x) \qquad (2.39)$$

18

and the solution of the integral equation has now been reduced to the determination of the constants, a_n, $n=1, 2, \ldots N$.

There are several possible ways of finding the a_n, e.g. least squares fit, Galerkin's method, and the collocation method, and it is the last of these that we shall employ.

The collocation method converts (2.39) into a system of N linear equations by forcing the two sides of (2.39) to be equal at N sampling points in the interval $(0, d)$. This is simply a point matching procedure and results in the matrix equation

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \int_0^d G(x_m, x')\phi_n(x')dx' = F(x_m) \quad , \quad 0 \leq x_m \leq d, \quad m=1, 2, \ldots N . \quad (2.40)$$

There now remains the problem of choosing the base functions $\phi_n(x')$, and here again there are several possible choices, e. g. rectangular, quadratic and sinusoidal. By appropriate choice, we can economize in the number of sampling points required for an 'accurate' approximation to the solution $K(x)$, and experience has shown that a rectangular function is not in general a good choice, whereas sinusoidal interpolation often works rather well.

The particular form of sinusoidal interpolation that we have adopted is predicated on the use of sampling points which are uniformly distributed in $0 \leq x' \leq d$. The range of integration is therefore broken up into N increments of length $\Delta = d/N$ (see Fig. 202). Furthermore, let x_n' be the midpoint of the n'th cell, i.e. $x_n' = (n - \frac{1}{2}) \Delta$, and let $\Delta x_n'$ denote the interval $x_n' - \frac{\Delta}{2} \leq x' \leq x_n' + \frac{\Delta}{2}$. We assume that

$$K(x') = \begin{cases} A_n + B_n \sin k(x'-x_n) + C_n \cos k(x'-x_n) & , \text{ if } x' \in \Delta x_n' \\ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2.41)$$

19

FIG. 2-2: Illustration of the interpolation procedure.

and specify the constants A_n, B_n and C_n by continuing the form appropriate to $x' \in \Delta x'_n$ out to the centers of the adjacent cells and imposing continuity. Defining $K_n = K(x_n)$ and $K_{n \pm 1} = K(x_{n \pm 1})$, we have

$$K_n = A_n + C_n,$$
$$K_{n+1} = A_n + B_n \sin k \Delta + C_n \cos k \Delta,$$
$$K_{n-1} = A_n - B_n \sin k \Delta + C_n \cos k \Delta,$$

from which we obtain

$$A_n = \frac{-K_{n+1} + 2K_n \cos k \Delta - K_{n-1}}{2(\cos k \Delta - 1)}$$

$$B_n = \frac{K_{n+1} - K_{n-1}}{2 \sin k \Delta}, \tag{2.42}$$

$$C_n = \frac{K_{n+1} - 2K_n + K_{n-1}}{2(\cos k \Delta - 1)}.$$

Substitution of (2.41) and (2.42) into (2.40) now gives

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{\Delta x_n} G(x_m, x') \left[K_n \left\{ \frac{\cos k \Delta - \cos k (x' - x_n)}{\cos k \Delta - 1} \right\} \right.$$

$$+ K_{n+1} \left\{ \frac{-\sin k \Delta + (\cos k \Delta - 1) \sin k (x' - x_n) + \sin k \Delta \cos k (x' - x_n)}{2 \sin k \Delta (\cos k \Delta - 1)} \right\}$$

$$\left. + K_{n-1} \left\{ \frac{-\sin k \Delta - (\cos k \Delta - 1) \sin k (x' - x_n) + \sin k \Delta \cos k (x' - x_n)}{2 \sin k \Delta (\cos k \Delta - 1)} \right\} \right] dx'$$

$$= F(x_m), \tag{2.43}$$

where $x_m = (m - \frac{1}{2}) \Delta$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots N$. We note in passing that when $n = 1$ or N

the periodicity of the problem must be used to determine the constants K_{-1} or K_{N+1} required in (2.43)

The above procedure is immediately applicable to the integral equations for E and H polarizations on inserting the appropriate values for the Green's function and the forcing function, but a brief comment is desirable concerning the treatment of the singular cell . The Green's functions of concern to us are singular when $x = x'$, the singularity being logarithmic for E polarization, and a first order pole for H polarization, and it is therefore necessary to modify the numerical scheme when $x_m = x_n$. In line with the usual practice, we divide the singular cell into three portions:

$$(x_n - \frac{\Delta}{2}, x_n - \frac{\epsilon}{2}), \qquad (x_n - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, x_n + \frac{\epsilon}{2}) , \qquad (x_n + \frac{\epsilon}{2}, x_n + \frac{\Delta}{2})$$

with $0 < \epsilon < \Delta$. The first and third segments are handled by the standard numerical technique, whereas the central portion is treated analytically by means of a limiting process (Andreasen, 1964).

III

APPLICATION OF THE NUMERICAL TECHNIQUE
AND PRESENTATION OF DATA

3.1 Discussion

Although the only data that we shall present are for sinusoidally cor-
rugated surfaces, it is well to remember that the formulation given in Chapter
II is quite general and appropriate to any perfectly conducting, two-dimensional
periodic surface. It is not even necessary that the surface have continuous first
derivative, and providing care is taken of any singularities at sharp edges, the
procedure is applicable to surfaces whose first derivative is only piecewise
continuous as in the case of a saw-tooth profile. The particular numerical
scheme that has been adopted is especially suitable for surfaces having
$2\pi a/d \gg 1$, where a is the peak deviation of the surface from its mean, and
d is the period. In the infinite series representation of the Green's function
(see Eqs. (2.29) and (2.36)), the first few terms (for which $\left| \frac{m\lambda}{d} \pm \sin \theta \right| \leq 1$)
are oscillatory, but for larger m the terms decrease exponentially at a rate
which is ultimately determined by the quantity $2\pi a/d$. Whereas the earlier terms
correspond to propagating modes in the scattered field, the later ones correspond
to evanescent modes, and it is only necessary to include a few of the latter in
order to achieve adequate accuracy. It is therefore apparent that for surfaces of
relatively small period ($d \lesssim \lambda$) the infinite series can be approximated by the
first few terms alone.

The unknown function in the integral equation is the current induced in the
surface, and this is the quantity that is computed initially. Knowing the surface
field it is, of course, a trivial matter to determine the scattered field, and the
means of obtaining this in the form that is most convenient for our purposes is
described in Section 3.2.

23

As noted earlier, all of the numerical results given in this report are for sinusoidal surfaces whose profile is taken to be

$$y = a \cos \frac{2\pi x}{d} .$$

(3.1)

Several different combinations of d/λ, a/λ and incidence angle are considered, and the distributions of surface and scattered fields computed . Comparisons with the predictions of physical optics are also given.

3.2 The Scattered Field

When a plane wave is incident on a periodic surface, the scattered field can be represented as an angular spectrum of plane waves, which spectrum is discrete by virtue of the periodic nature of the boundary condition at the surface. Each of the infinity of waves making up the spectrum has associated with it a diffraction angle which may be real or complex and is determined by the grating law. Whereas the amplitude of the wave is a function of the profile size and shape, and the directions of incidence and diffraction, the diffraction angle depends only on the value of d/λ and the direction of incidence.

A finite number of the diffracted waves represent propagating modes and these are the important ones far from the boundary. The remaining modes are evanescent and though these do not serve to carry energy away from the surface, they do play a vital role in affecting the amplitudes of the propagating modes.

The number of modes that propagate can be determined from the expressions for X_m^{+-} given in Eq. (2.30): if X_m^{+-} is real, the corresponding mode propagates without attenuation, whereas if $X_m^{\pm}$ is pure imaginary, the mode is evanescent. To find the (complex) amplitudes of the diffracted waves we proceed as follows.

Let $y = f(x)$ be the profile of the surface and, for convenience, assume the incident plane wave to be E polarized. We then have

24

$$E_z^i = e^{-jk(x \sin\theta - y \cos\theta)}$$

(see Eq.(2.20)), and by invoking the periodicity of the surface, the scattered field can be written as

$$E_z^s = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A_m e^{-j(\beta_m x + X_m y)} \tag{3.2}$$

for $y \ge$ max. $f(x)$, where

$$\beta_m = \frac{2m\pi}{d} + k \sin\theta,$$

and

$$X_m = \sqrt{k^2 - \beta_m^2}.$$

The field arising from the currents induced in the surface is given by

$$E_z^s(x,y) = \frac{\omega\mu}{4} \int_0^d P_1 \sqrt{1 + \{f'(x')\}^2} \, K_z(x') \, dx' \tag{3.3}$$

where P_1 is as shown in (2.24). In particular, this is valid for $y \ge$ max.$f(x)$, and hence, by combining (2.22), (2.24), (3.2) and (3.3) we have

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A_m e^{-j(\beta_m x + X_m y)} = \int_0^d \frac{\omega\mu}{2dX_m} e^{-j\{\beta_m(x-x') + X_m(y-y')\}} \sqrt{1 + \{f'(x')\}^2} K_z(x') dx'$$

from which we obtain

$$A_m = \frac{\omega\mu}{2dX_m} \int_0^d e^{j(\beta_m x' + X_m y')} \sqrt{1 + \{f'(x')\}^2} \, K_z(x') dx' . \tag{3.4}$$

If the incident wave is H polarized, the procedure is directly analogous. For the incident magnetic field shown in (2.31), we write

25

$$H_z^s = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A_m' \, e^{-j(\beta_m x + X_m y)} \tag{3.5}$$

with

$$A_m' = \frac{1}{2dX_m} \int_0^d e^{j(\beta_m x' + X_m y')} \left\{ X_m - \beta_m f'(x') \right\} K_t(x') dx' \; . \tag{3.6}$$

Having determined the current distributions $K_z(x')$ and $\bar{K}_t(x')$, it is therefore a trivial matter to compute the amplitudes of all the diffracted modes, both propagating and evanescent, and the amplitudes of the propagating waves for several different values of d/λ, a/λ and θ are given in Tables III-1 through III-4.

A widely used technique for estimating the field which is scattered by an object is the physical optics method in which the surface field is approximated by its geometrical optics value. Although the estimates are deficient as regards the polarization characteristics of the scattering, the method often provides an adequate approximation of the scattering if all dimensions (including radii of curvature) of the scattering surface are large compared with the wavelength, and because of its great convenience, the method is commonly employed in rough surface scattering analyses even when all radii are not large. A matter that is then of some debate is whether shadowing should be taken into account, and, if so, how. According to the physical optics method the current induced in the surface is

$$\underline{K} = 2\,\hat{n} \wedge \underline{H}^i \tag{3.7}$$

in the illuminated region, and

$$\underline{K} = 0 \tag{3.8}$$

in the geometrical shadow, where $\hat{n}$ is the outward normal to the surface.

26

TABLE III-1: Amplitudes of diffracted waves: d= 0.?, a = 0.1λ.

E WAVE

	θ = 0°		θ=30°		θ=60°	
	Mag. $\|A_o\|$	Phase $\phi_o(°)$	Mag. $\|A_o\|$	Phase $\phi_o(°)$	Mag. $\|A_o\|$	Phase $\phi_o(°)$
Numerical Solution	1.0050	50.81	0.99?0	44.33	1.0000	25.90
Physical Optics w/o Shadow	0.6425	0	0.7251	0	0.903?	0
Physical Optics w/ Shadow	0.6425	0	0.1253	15.96	0.1770	19.41

H WAVE

	θ=0°		θ=30°		θ=60°	
	Mag. $\|A'_o\|$	Phase $\phi'_o(°)$	Mag. $\|A'_o\|$	Phase $\phi'_o(°)$	Mag. $\|A'_o\|$	Phase $\phi'_o(°)$
Numerical Solution	0.9830	-12.45	0.9910	-23.82	1.0640	-67.24
Physical Optics w/o Shadow	0.6425	0	0.7251	0	0.9037	0
Physical Optics w/ Shadow	0.6425	0	0.1550	-65.17	0.5440	13.69

TABLE III-2: Amplitudes of diffracted waves: d = 1.9λ, a = 0.25λ, e = 0°.

E WAVE

	Mag. $\|A_o\|$	Phase $\phi_o(°)$	Mag. $\|A_{-1}\| = \|A_1\|$	Phase $\phi_{-1}(°) = \phi_1(°)$
Numerical Solution	0.4920	19.73	0.6630	-82.95
Physical Optics	0.3042	0	0.4389	90

H WAVE

	Mag. $\|A'_o\|$	Phase $\phi'_o(°)$	Mag. $\|A'_{-1}\| = \|A'_1\|$	Phase $\phi'_{-1}(°) = \phi'_o(°)$
Numerical Solution	0.8820	-77.78	0.3405	52.93
Physical Optics	0.3042	0	0.4389	90

27

TABLE III-3 Amplitudes of diffracted waves: d = 0.4λ, a = 0.2λ .

| | E WAVE | | | | H WAVE | | | |
| | θ = 0° | | θ = 60° | | θ = 0° | | θ = 60° | |
	Mag. $|A_0|$	Phase $\phi_0(°)$	Mag. $|A_0|$	Phase $\phi_0(°)$	Mag. $|A'_0|$	Phase $\phi'_0(°)$	Mag. $|A'_0|$	Phase $\phi'_0(°)$
Numerical Solution	0.9998	-80.81	1.0230	49.89	0.9350	72.81	1.0140	34.72
Physical Optics w/o Shadow	0.0549	0	0.3450	0	0.0549	0	0.6450	0
Physical Optics w/Shadow	0.0549	0	0.4750	60.37	0.0549	0	0.1290	48.68

| | E WAVE | | H WAVE | |
	Mag. $|A_0|$	Phase $\phi_0(°)$	Mag. $|A'_0|$	Phase $\phi'_0(°)$
Numerical Solution	1.0000	8.12	0.9986	-0.55
Physical Optics	0.9647	0	0.9647	0

TABLE III-4: Amplitudes of diffracted waves: d=0.2λ, a=0.03λ, θ = 0°.

In many instances, however, some of the failures in the resulting estimates of the scattering can be traced to the discontinuity in the surface field created at the shadow boundaries, and improved estimates can be had by using (3.7) over the entire surface, shadowed as well as lit.

In the present case of a periodic surface, the amplitudes of the diffracted modes predicted by either version of the method can easily be obtained by inserting the postulated expressions for the current into Eqs. (3.4) and (3.6). A computer program has been written to carry out the calculation, and the appropriate results are included in Tables III-1 through III-4. It will be noted that the results produced by either version of the method show very little agreement with the exact data. In general, the physical optics values, with or without shadowing included, tend to be too small, and we further note that if shadowing is excluded (or is not present), the physical optics esimates are the same for both polarizations (see Appendix A).

It must be admitted, however, that for all of the surfaces considered, the minimum radii of curvature are too small to provide reasonable hope for the physical optics method to be accurate. With a sinusoidal surface, the minimum radius of curvature, ρ_{min}, occurs at the peaks and troughs, and the radius increases to become infinite where the surface crosses its mean. For the four different surfaces embraced by Tables III-1 through III-4, the values of $k\rho_{min}$ are as follows:

$d = 0.2\lambda$,	$a = 0.1\lambda$,	$k\rho_{min} = 0.064$,
$= 1.9\lambda$,	$= 0.25\lambda$,	$= 2.30$,
$= 0.4\lambda$,	$= 0.2\lambda$,	$= 0.127$,
$= 0.2\lambda$,	$= 0.03\lambda$,	$= 0.212$.

To judge from this listing, one might expect the physical optics method to be most successful for the second surface (Table III-2), but in practice it works best for the fourth surface, which has a much smaller radius, but a small

29

enough value of a/λ to be nearly plane.

Before leaving this discussion of physical optics, we note that if shadowing is ignored or, because of the incidence angle ($\theta \leq \tan^{-1} \dfrac{d}{2\pi a}$), is not present, a simple analytical expression for the amplitudes of the diffracted modes can be obtained by an extension of the treatment in Senior (1959). An outline of the procedure is given in Appendix A, and the results obtained from these formulas are in agreement with the computed values in the last line of each of Tables III-1 through III-4.

3.3   The Current Induced on a Sinusoidal Surface

We here examine the nature of the surface field distribution for five different sinusoidal surfaces, considering first the results for E polarization and then those for H polarization. In each case, the modulus and phase (in degrees) of a normalized current are plotted as functions of x/λ over a single period of the surface running from peak to peak. The horizontal scale is therefore the horizontal distance in wavelengths and not the distance along the actual surface.

The particular current normalization chosen is such that for E polarization the quantity plotted is $\dfrac{\omega\mu}{k} K_z$, the physical optics value of which is

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{1+\left\{f'(x)\right\}^2}} (\cos\theta - f'(x)\sin\theta)e^{-jk(x\sin\theta - f(x)\cos\theta)} \quad ,$$

whereas for H polarization the quantity plotted is simply K_t whose physical optics approximation is

$$2\,e^{-jk(x\sin\theta - f(x)\cos\theta)} \quad .$$

In each of the following figures, the exact computed values are shown as circled points, and are joined by a broken line only to guide the eye; the physical optics approximation is shown as a solid line.

30

3.3.1 E Polarization

For a surface having $d = 0.2\lambda$ and $a = 0.1\lambda$, the results for the three incidence angles $\theta = 0$ (normal incidence), 30° and 60° are given in Figs. 3-1 through 3-3, respectively. We observe that for this relatively small period most of the current is concentrated in the vicinity of the surface peaks, with the current being almost zero in the troughs. There is, indeed, almost an exponential decrease in the current modulus away from the peaks, and the main effect of increasing the incidence angle is to scale the curves, leaving the general shape unchanged. The phase is somewhat more sensitive to θ, and whereas the curve is almost flat for $\theta = 0$, the structure increases noticeably with θ .

Since $k\rho_{min} = 0.064$ for this surface, it is not surprising to find that the physical optics approximation bears no resemblance to the exact data. This is particularly true of the modulus; the exact curve is a great deal more simple than in the physical optics one. The physical optics phase is also poor for $\theta = 0$, but agrees better for the larger θ, at least in an average sense.

The effect of decreasing the amplitude of the surface while keeping the period constant is illustrated in Figs. 3-4 and 3-5 for the case of normal incidence. It is observed that as the height decreases, so does the current concentration near the peaks, but even for a as small as 0.01λ (Fig. 3-5) there is still amost a 2 : 1 variation between the peak and trough values. The phase, on the other hand, is much more nearly constant, and is more akin to that for a flat surface than a sinusoidal one.

Results for $d = 0.4\lambda$ and $a = 0.2\lambda$ with $\theta = 0$ and 60° are shown in Figs. 3-6 and 3-7. By comparing Fig. 3-6 with 3-1 it is seen that doubling both d and a has little effect on the modulus of the current, but has a marked effect on the phase. This is true also of the curves for oblique incidence (cf. Figs. 3-7 and 3-3).

31

FIG. 3-1: Modified surface field for E polarization with d = 0.2λ, a = 0.1λ, θ = 0°; —o—, exact; ——, physical optics.

FIG. 3-2: Modified surface field for E polarization with d = 0.2λ, a = 0.1λ and θ = 30°: --o--, exact; ———, physical optics.

33

FIG. 3-3: Modified surface field for E polarization with d = 0.2λ, a = 0.1λ and θ = 60°; —o—, exact; ———, physical optics.

FIG. 3-4: Modified surface field for E polarization with d = 0.2λ, a = 0.03λ and θ = 0°: --ο--, exact; ——, physical optics.

FIG. 3-5: Modified surface field for E polarization with d = 0.2λ, a = 0.01λ and θ = 0°; --o--, exact; ———, physical optics.

FIG. 3-6: Modified surface field for E polarization with d = 0.4λ, a = 0.2λ and θ = 0°: --o--, exact; ——, physical optics.

FIG. 3-7: Modified surface field for E polarization with d = 0.4λ, a = 0.2λ and θ = 60°; --o--, exact; ——, physical optics.

All of the surfaces so far considered have had rather small values of d/λ and a/λ, and have not been such that one could expect physical optics to provide a reasonable approximation. For a surface with a much larger period, $d = 1.9\,\lambda$ with $a = 0.25\,\lambda$, at normal incidence, the appropriate curves are shown in Fig. 3-8. Physical optics now constitutes a more accurate approximation, and though there are still noticeable discrepancies, qualitatively as well as quantitatively, the physical optics curves do approximate the exact data in a mean sense. The exact current modulus still has a maximum at the surface peaks, but the minimum now occurs where the surface slope changes sign, i.e. where the surface crosses the x axis. The phase remains fairly constant over the lower part of the concave portion of the surface, but changes rapidly from positive to negative and vice versa where the modulus has its minimum.

Since the particular case considered in Fig. 3-8 has also been treated by Neureuther and Zaki (1969) using their numerical program, it is appropriate to compare the data. This is done in Fig. 3-9. The agreement is very good in spite of the rather different numerical procedures involved in the two methods.

3.3.2 H Polarization

For each of the situations considered above, we have also computed the surface fields when the incident wave is H polarized, and we now present these data in the same sequence as we did for E polarization.

The results for incidence at $\theta = 0$, 30° and 60° on a surface having $d = 0.2\,\lambda$ and $a = 0.1\,\lambda$ are shown in Figs. 3-10 through 3-12. Eight sampling points were used in the computations. We observe that the curves for the modulus are roughly sinusoidal in form and are relatively insensitive to changes in θ, with at most a slight reduction in amplitude as θ increases. In contrast to the behavior for E polarization, however, the maximum now occurs in the surface trough, with a minimum at the peak. There is again no agreement between the exact data and physical optics.

39

FIG. 3-8: Modified surface field for E polarization with d = 1.9λ, s = 0.25λ and θ = 0°; --o--, exact; ——, physical optics.

FIG. 3-9: Modified surface field for E polarization with d = 1.9λ, a = 0.25λ and θ = 0°.

FIG. 3-10: Modified surface field for H polarization with d = 0.2λ, a = 0.1λ and θ = 0°; --o--, exact; ——, physical optics.

42

FIG. 3-11: Modified surface field for H polarization with d = 0.2λ, a = 0.1λ and θ = 30°; —o—, exact; ——, physical optics.

43

FIG. 3-12: Modified surface field for H polarization with d = 0.2λ, a = 0.1λ and θ = 60°; --o--, exact; ——, physical optics.

The effect of changing the surface amplitude while keeping the period constant is illustrated in Figs. 3-13 and 3-14 for the case of normal incidence. As the surface height decreases, the numerical results approach the physical optics values, a fact which is not surprising since physical optics is most effective for a planar surface. As in the case of E polarization, the phase curve is relatively flat even for $a = 0.03\lambda$, and does not show the variation displayed by the physical optics plane.

Figures 3-15 and 3-16 show the results for $d = 0.4\lambda$ and $a = 0.2\lambda$ with $\theta = 0$ and 60°, respectively. By comparing Fig. 3-15 with 3-10 it is seen that doubling both d and a has a somewhat larger effect on the current modulus curve than was the case for E polarization. In particular, there is a notable change of shape, with the minimum no longer occurring at the surface peak. Similar changes occur for $\theta = 60^{\circ}$ (cf. Figs. 3-16 and 3-10). Once again, there is no agreement between the exact data and physical optics, either in modulus or phase.

The current distribution for a surface with larger period ($d = 1.9\lambda$ and $a = 0.25\lambda$) at normal incidence is presented in Fig. 3-17. The modulus has a marked oscillation, quite distinct from that found for E polarization, with three maxima per period. One of these is at the surface trough, and the current is again a minimum at the surface peak. The agreement with physical optics is poorer than for E polarization, with neither modulus nor phase being approximated to any real extent. The exact data are, however, in agreement with those obtained by Neureuther and Zaki (1960) for this case, as evident from Fig. 3-18.

3.4 Energy Conservation

In solving problems of electromagnetic scattering from perfectly conducting surfaces, a common procedure for checking the accuracy of the solution

FIG. 3-13: Modified surface field for H polarization with d = 0.2λ, a = 0.03λ and θ = 0°; --o--, exact; ———, physical optics.

FIG.3-14: Modified surface field for H polarization with d = 0.2λ, a = 0.01λ and θ = 0°: —o—, exact; ———, physical optics.

FIG. 3-15: Modified surface field for H polarization with d = 0.4λ, a = 0.2λ and θ = 0°: --o--, exact; ——, physical optics.

48

FIG. 3-16: Modified surface field for H polarization with d = 0.4λ, a = 0.2λ and θ = 60°; --o--, exact; ———, physical optics.

49

FIG. 3-17: Modified surface field for H polarization with d = 1.9λ, a = 0.25λ and θ = 0°: --o--, exact; ——, physical optics.

FIG. 3-18: Modified surface field for H polarization with d = 1.9λ, a = 0.25λ and θ = 0°.

is to determine the degree to which the law of conservation of energy is fulfilled. This is essentially the check employed by Petit and Cadilhac (1964), Neureuther and Zaki (1969) and Green (1970), as well as by many others. However, as pointed out by Amitay and Galindo (1969), energy conservation does not provide a measure of accuracy of a solution found by the Ritz or other related methods; and hence, in order to use energy conservation to check other than computational round-off errors, care must be exercised in choosing the method of solving the integral equation numerically.

The method that has been adopted in this Report is a point matching and sinusoidal interpolation scheme , and since the solution does not automatically satisfy energy conservation, we are able to use this as a check. The relation that must be fulfilled is

$$\sum_{m=o}^{N} |A_m|^2 \, \text{Re} \, (X_m) = k \cos \theta \tag{3.9}$$

where N is the number of propagating modes. The extent to which this is satisfied by the numerical results that we have obtained is shown in Table III-5. For comparison purposes, the percentage errors implied by the physical optics method, with and without shadowing, are also included. Whereas all of the exact data satisfies the energy conservation law to within (about) one percent or better, the only case where the physical optics solutions does so is when $d = 0.2\lambda$ and $a = 0.01\lambda$. Such a surface is very close to being planar.

3.5   Convergence Check

In solving an integral equation numerically it is always desirable to carry out a convergence test,

a) to determine the number of sampling points necessary to achieve the required accuracy, and

52

TABLE III-5: Conservation of Energy

d/λ	a/λ	θ(°)	E WAVE Numerical Energy	% of Error	Physical Optics w/o Shadow Energy	% of Error	Physical Optics w/Shadow Energy	% of Error	H WAVE Numerical Energy	% of Error	Physical Optics w/o Shadow Energy	% of Error	Physical Optics w/Shadow Energy	% of Error
0.2	0.1	0	0.9970	-0.70	0.4128	-58.72	0.4128	-58.72	0.9848	-1.52	0.4728	-58.72	0.4728	-58.72
0.2	0.1	30	0.8564	-1.18	0.4553	-47.50	0.5075	-41.45	0.8542	-1.43	0.4554	-47.30	0.0208	-98.00
0.2	0.1	60	0.5013	0.26	0.4084	-18.32	0.6172	23.44	0.5075	1.50	0.4083	-18.34	0.1479	-70.42
0.2	0.01	0	0.9990	-0.10	0.9921	-0.79	0.9921	-0.79	0.9980	-0.20	0.9921	-0.79	0.9921	-0.79
0.2	0.03	0	1.0042	0.42	0.9308	-6.92	0.9308	-6.92	0.9987	-0.13	0.9308	-6.92	0.9308	-6.92
0.4	0.2	0	0.9976	-0.76	0.0030	-99.70	0.0030	-99.70	0.9823	-1.77	0.0030	-99.70	0.0030	-99.70
0.4	0.2	60	0.5068	1.36	0.2061	-58.78	0.1147	-76.47	0.5042	0.84	0.2061	-58.78	0.0083	-98.50
1.0	0.25	0	0.9996	0.04	0.4202	-57.98	0.4202	-57.98	0.9974	-0.26	0.4202	-57.98	0.4202	-57.98

b) to test whether or not the numerical solution approaches a stable value as the number of sampling points is increased.

Although it has not been proved mathematically that the accuracy can be improved by increasing the number of samples, it would appear reasonable to believe so on a physical basis.

Figures 3-19 and 3-20 show the results of a convergence test applied to the case $d=0.2\lambda$, $a=0.1\lambda$ and $\theta=0$ for E and H polarizations respectively. It can be seen that the solution does appear stable, and that surprisingly good results are obtained even with as few as 4 sampling points.

Two other aspects of the computer program that should be mentioned are the computational time consumed and the number of terms included in the summation of the infinite series for the modified Green's functions. For E polarization, a typical figure for the computational time on an IBM 360 machine using 12 sampling points is about 60 seconds. This time includes the computation of the amplitudes of the diffracted modes, the energy conservation check and the calculation of the physical optics results. A typical figure for H polarization using 8 sampling points is 28 seconds. The time increases roughly as the square of the number of points, and most of it is eaten up in the computation of the matrix elements.

Except for the large period surface ($d=1.9\lambda$, $a=0.25\lambda$), where the number of terms retained in the Green's function series was 6, only the first three terms were required. Tests that were performed indicated that three was sufficient.

FIG. 3-19: Modified surface field for E polarization with d = 0.2λ, a = 0.1λ and
θ = 0° computed using 4, 10 and 12 sampling points.

FIG. 3-20: Modified surface field for H polarization with d = 0.2λ, a = 0.1λ and θ = 0° computed using 4, 6 and 8 sampling points.

IV

CONCLUSIONS

We have here considered the problem of a plane electromagnetic wave
incident on a perfectly conducting, two dimensional periodic surface, and have
developed numerical procedures for the direct digital solution of the integral
equations for the surface fields. By using special summation techniques fol-
lowed by the subtraction of the dc term to improve the convergence of the
series for the modified Green's function, a relatively efficient procedure has
been arrived at, and this has been programmed for a computer.

Data have been obtained for the surface fields on several different sinusoidal
surfaces when illuminated by E and H polarized plane waves at oblique as well as
normal incidence. It is found that the polarization has a marked effect on the
field. Since most of the surfaces considered had relatively small values of
d/λ and a/λ, there is little agreement with the physical optics estimate of the
surface field. However, this is also true for the one surface of much larger
period that was examined.

Knowing the surface field, the amplitudes of the diffracted waves in the
discrete angular spectrum representation of the scattered field can be computed,
and this has been done using the exact surface fields as well as the physical optics
estimates with shadowing either included or ignored. Here again the physical
optics predictions are deficient, and whereas the results derived from the
numerical program satisfy the conservation of energy law, the physical optics
values do not.

In the continuation of this study, the numerical program will be applied to
a wider variety of periodic surfaces in order to build up an understanding of the
surface field behavior, including its dependence on profile size and shape, and
the direction and polarization of the incident field. It is our hope that this will

enable us to develop synthesis procedures for the efficient computation of the fields scattered by periodic surfaces of large amplitude and period, leading ultimately to better prediction techniques for rough surfaces in general.

REFERENCES

Adachi, S. (1965), "The Nose-on Echo Area of Axially Symmetric Thin Bodies Having Sharp Apices," Proc. IEEE, 53, 1067-1068.

Amitay, N. and V. Galindo (1969), "On Energy Conservation and the Method of Moments in Scattering Problems," IEEE Trans., AP-17, 747-751.

Andreasen, M.G. (1964), "Scattering from Parallel Metallic Cylinders with Arbitrary Cross Sections," IRE Trans., AP-12, 746-754.

Bousquet, P. (1963), "Diffraction des ondes électromagnetiques par un réseau à profil triangulaire," C.R.Acad.Sci., Paris, 257, 80-83.

Brekhovskikh, L. M. (1952), J.Exp.Theor.Phys., USSR, 23, 305.

Collin, R.E. (1960), Field Theory of Guided Waves, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, NY.

Eckart, C. (1953), "The Scattering of Sound from the Sea Surface," J.Acoust. Soc.Am., 25, 566-570.

Green, R.B. (1970), "Diffraction Efficiencies for Infinite Perfectly Conducting Gratings of Arbitrary Profile," IEEE Trans., MTT-18, 313-318.

Harrington, R. F. (1968), Field Computation by Moment Methods, The Macmillan Co., New York, NY.

Jones, D. S. (1952), "Removal of an Inconsistency in the Theory of Diffraction," Proc.Camb.Phil.Soc., 48, 733-741.

Lippmann, B.A. (1953), "Note on the Theory of Gratings," J.Opt.Soc.Amer., 43, 408.

Maue, A.W. (1949), "Zur Formulierung eines Allgemeinen Beugungsproblems durch eine Integralgleichung," Z.Physik, 126, 601-618.

Meecham, W. C. (1956), "Variational Method for the Calculation of the Distribution of Energy Reflected from a Periodic Surface," J.Appl.Phys., 27, 361-367.

Millar, R. F. (1969), "On the Rayleigh Assumption in Scattering by a Periodic Surface," Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 65, 773-791.

Millar, R. F. (1970), "On the Rayleigh Assumption in Scattering by a Periodic Surface-II," Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. (to be published).

Morse, P. M. and H. Feshbach (1953), Methods of Theoretical Physics, Part II, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, NY.

Neureuther, A. and K. Zaki (1968), "Numerical Methods for the Analysis of Scattering from Nonplanar Periodic Structures," Alta Frequenza, Special Issue on the URSI Symposium on Electromagnetic Waves, Stresa, Italy.

Pavageau, J. (1967), "Equation integral pour la diffraction électromagnetique par des conducteurs parfaits dans les problèmes a deaux dimensions; application aux reseaux," C.R.Acad.Sci., Paris, 264, 424-427.

Pavageau, J. (1968), "Sur la méthode des spectres d'ondes planes dans les problèmes de diffraction," C.R.Acad.Sci., Paris, 266B, 1352-1355.

Petit, R. (1963), "Diffraction d'une onde plane monochromatique par un réseau periodique infiniment conducteur," Comptes Rendes, 257, 2018-2021.

Petit, R. and M. Cadilhac (1964), "Étude theórique de la diffraction par un réseau," C.R.Acad.Sci., Paris, 259, 2077-2080.

Petit, R. and M. Cadilhac (1967), "Sur la diffraction d'une onde plane par un réseau, infiniment conducteur," Comptes Rendes, 264, 1441-1444.

Rayleigh, Lord (1878), The Theory of Sound, Vol.II, Dover Publications, New York, NY (1945).

Rayleigh, Lord (1907), "On the Dynamical Theory of Gratings," Proc.Roy.Soc., 79A, 399-416.

Senior, T. B. A. (1959), "The Scattering of Electromagnetic Waves by a Corrugated Sheet," Can.J.Phys., 37, 787-797 and 1572.

Sivov, A. N. (1964), "Reflection of Electromagnetic Waves from Corrugated Surfaces with Small Periods," Radio Eng. and Elect. Phys., 10, 1509-1515.

Tai, C-T (1948), "Reflection and Refraction of a Plane Electromagnetic Wave at a Periodic Surface," Harvard University Cruft Laboratory Technical Report No. 28, Cambridge, Mass.

Wirgin, A. (1966), "Diffraction par un réseau de profil rectangulaire illuminé par une onde plane associée à un vecteur champ électrique polarisé parallelement aux sillons," C.R.Acad.Sci.,Paris, 262, 385–388.

Yen, J.L. (1962), "Multiple Scattering and Wave Propagation in Periodic Structures," IRE Trans., AP-10, 769–775.

Zaki, K. (1969), "Numerical Methods for the Analysis of Scattering from Nonplanar Periodic Structures," Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California, Berkeley.

APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL OPTICS APPROXIMATION

Although the main purpose of this report is the development of a numerical technique for the determination of exact values for the surface and, hence, scattered fields, we have found it desirable to compare the results obtained with those provided by the physical optics approximation. This approximation is a physically-based one which postulates an explicit form for the surface field arrived at by assuming each element of the surface to bear that current which it would were it part of the local tangent plane. The calculation of the scattered field is then reduced to quadratures.

In many instances, however, and a periodic sheet is one, an analytical evaluation of the physical optics integral is a difficult procedure, particularly in such cases where part of the surface is shadowed, and some of the shortcomings of physical optics estimates in general certainly arise from sloppiness in the evaluation of the integral. In still other cases, the physical optics result proves to be more accurate if shadowing is ignored[*] (see, for example, Adachi, 1965) and it is of interest to observe that for a sinusoidal sheet it is then possible to produce an exact evaluation of the integral. Needless to say, however, the resulting scattered field is still subject to the unknown errors inherent in the use of the physical optics approximation, and to the neglect (if present) of all shadowing effects.

The procedure is directly analogous to that given by Senior (1959) for the particular case of an H polarized plane wave at normal incidence on a sinusoidal sheet and consists of three steps:

_____

[*] This modified method is sometimes called 'extended physical optics' .

62

(i) writing down the physical optics integral for the scattered field without any shadowing included;

(ii) asymptotically evaluating this expression appropriate to an observation point at large distances from the sheet; and

(iii) matching this expression to a discrete angular spectrum of waves to obtain their amplitudes. Since these amplitudes are independent of the field point, we have, in effect, produced an exact evaluation of the integral valid certainly in the half space above the sheet.

Let us take the equation of the perfectly conducting sheet to be

$$y = a \cos Kz \tag{A.1}$$

where a is the amplitude of the corrugations and $2\pi/K = d$ is the period. If the incident field is E-polarized, we write

$$\underline{E}^i = \hat{z} \, e^{-jk \, (x \sin \theta - y \cos \theta)} \tag{A.2}$$

(cf. Eq. 2.20), implying

$$\underline{H}^i = - Y (\cos \theta \, \hat{x} + \sin \theta \, \hat{y}) \, e^{-jk \, (x \sin\theta - y \cos \theta)} \tag{A.3}$$

where Y is the intrinsic admittance of free space .

By virtue of the periodicity of the sheet and, hence, of the problem as a whole, the scattered field can be expressed as a discrete spectrum of waves which waves are certainly outgoing as regards $y \geq a$. Thus, we have

$$\underline{E}^s = \hat{z} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A_m e^{-jk(x \sin\theta_m + y \cos \theta_m)} \tag{A.4}$$

where

$$k \sin \theta_m = m K + k \sin \theta ,$$

$$k \cos \theta_m = \sqrt{k^2 - (mK \cdot \ n\theta)^2} , \tag{A.5}$$

and that branch of the square root is chosen having imaginary part non-positive. Application of the physical optics approximation, followed by steps (i) through (iii) above now gives

$$A_m = -j^m \frac{k}{\sqrt{k^2 - (mK + k\sin\theta)^2}} \left\{ \cos\theta - \frac{mKa}{\mu_m} \sin\theta \right\} J_m(\mu_m) \tag{A.6}$$

where

$$\mu_m = a \left(k \cos\theta + \sqrt{k^2 - (mK + k\sin\theta)^2} \right) . \tag{A.7}$$

In particular,

$$A_0 = - J_0 (2a\, k \cos\theta) ,$$

and as $a \longrightarrow 0$,

$$A_0 \longrightarrow 1, \quad A_m \longrightarrow 0, \quad m \neq 0 ,$$

in agreement with the known solution for a flat sheet.

If the incident field is H-polarized, we take

$$\underline{H}^i = \hat{z} \ e^{-jk(x \sin\theta - y \cos\theta)} , \tag{A.8}$$

implying

$$\underline{E}^i = \frac{1}{Y} (\cos\theta\, \hat{x} + \sin\theta\, \hat{y})\, e^{-jk(x \sin\theta - y \cos\theta)} , \tag{A.9}$$

and expand the scattered magnetic field as

$$\underline{H}^s = \hat{z} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A'_m\, e^{-jk(x \sin\theta_m + y \cos\theta_m)} \tag{A.10}$$

64

where θ_m is as before. On evaluation of the physical optics integral, we now obtain

$$A'_m = A_m .$$ (A.11)

The equivalence of the results for the two polarizations is consistent with the known fact that the physical optics approximation for a perfect conductor is inherently polarization insensitive.

Results computed from Eqs. (A.6) and (A.11) agree with those given in the appropriate columns of Tables III-1 through III-4 and obtained from a numerical evaluation of the integrals in Eqs. (3.4) and (3.6) .

APPENDIX B: COMPUTER PROGRAMS

Two separate computer programs have been written, one for E polarization and the other for H polarization. Each program consists of the following parts.

1. Main Program

The functions which this performs are

a) reading in the input data consisting of the amplitude and period of the surface (measured in wavelengths), the angle of incidence, the number of sampling points and the number of terms employed in the series summation;

b) calling the subroutine which computes the matrix coefficients, including the analytical treatment of the singular term;

c) computing the physical optics current;

d) calling the subroutine which inverts the matrix and hence obtains the induced surface field; and

e) printing out the appropriate numerical results.

2. Subroutines

a) Subroutine CAVE

This determines the appropriate constants in the sinusoidal interpolation formula and calls the integration subroutine CSIMEQ to integrate the kernel function over the sampling cell.

b) Subroutine FUN

The constants in the sinusoidal interpolation formula are here computed.

c) Subroutine SUBC

This computes the kernel function of the integral equation.

d) Subroutine CIMPS

The numerical integration is performed using the Newton-Cotes method.

e) Subroutine CSIMEQ

This performs the matrix inversion using a Gauss reduction method.

f) Subroutine ENERGY

The amplitudes and phases of the diffracted waves are computed and the scattered energy found.

```
$SIGNON S446 T=180S , TANG ,
$RUN *WATFOR
$COMPILE
C THIS IS THE MAIN PROGRAM FOR THE STUDY OF EM SCATTERING FROM A PERFECTLY
C CONDUCTING ,PERIODIC SURFACE FOR THE E WAVE
      DIMENSION A(20,20),R(20),W(20),Z(20),ZMAG(20),PHASE(20),IZW(20)
     1,DIST(20),PO(20),PHAS(20),ZMA(20),ZMAI(20),DIST(20)
      COMMON /DATA1/XD,N,AMP,MM,THETA /DATA2/ XI,XK,PI,PII,CS,SS,CSN,DCS
     1,DSS,CTH,STH,CSS,SINC,PID,PSO,NFA,I,K,AME/DATA3/COY,FUNX/DATA4/A
     2,W
      COMPLEX A,R,W,TF,CEXP,COY,DAG,FUNX,TG,SUM1,T,PO,CAB,STOR,CONJG
      EXTERNAL GIN
   19 READ 1, XD,AMP,THETA,N,MM
      IF (N .GT. 100) GO TO 9
      PRINT 1, XD,AMP,THETA,N,MM
    1 FORMAT(3F10.5,2I5)
C  TO CALCULATE OUT SOME CONSTANTS FOR COMPUTATION
      ACUY=0.02
      INT=2
      PI=3.14159265
      PII=2.*PI
      XN=N
      DFL=XD/XN
      CS=COS(PII*DEL)
      SS=SIN(PII*DEL)
      CSN=CS-1.
      STH=SIN(THETA)*PII
      CTH=COS(THETA)*PII
      CSS=2.*CSN*SS
      PSO=PII**2
      DELTA=DEL/100.
      COY=(0.,1.)*CTH
      HDEL=DEL/2.
      PID=PII/XD
      TY=(2.,0.)*DELTA/CTH
      TG=(0.,1.)*STH
      RZ= XD/(3.*PI**2)*25.
      DCS=COS(2.*PII*DEL)
      DSS=SIN(2.*PII*DEL)
      AME=AMP*PID
```

```
C  TO TREAT THE SERIES SUMMATION IN THE SINGULARITY TERM
      SUM1=(0.,0.)
      MZ=10*MM
      DO 21 I=1,MZ
      XI=I
      A1=PSQ
      A2=(-PII*XI/XD+STH) **2
      IF (A1 .LE. A2 ) GO TO 201
      SUM1=SUM1+ 1./SQRT(A1-A2)+XD/XI/PII*(0.,1.)
      GO TO 203
201   SUM1=SUM1-(1./SQRT(A2-A1)-XD/XI/PII)*(0.,1.)
203   A4=( PII*XI/XD+STH) **2
      IF ( A1 .LE. A4 ) GO TO 202
      SUM1=SUM1+1./SQRT(A1-A4)+XD/XI/PII*(0.,1.)
      GO TO 21
202   SUM1=SUM1-(1./SQRT(A4-A1)-XD/XI/PII)*(0.,1.)
21    CONTINUE
      DAG=TY+XD/PII*(0.,1.)*(4.*DELTA*ALOG(DELTA)-DELTA*2.)+SUM1*2.*
     1DELTA
C  TO CLEAR THE MATRICES
      DO 2 I=1,N
      DO 2 K=1,N
      A(I,K)=(0.,0.)
2     CONTINUE
C  TO FILL UP THE MATRICES
      DO 3 I=1,N
      XI=I
      XII=XI*DEL
      XI=(XI-0.5)*DEL
      Z(I)=360.*XI
      XIX=XI-HDEL
      CALL CIMPS(XIX,XII,ACUY,INT,GUN,STOR,INDEX)
      IF (I .EQ. 1) GO TO 205
      DIST(I)=DOST(I-1)+CABS(STOR)/2.
      DOST(I)=DOST(I-1)+CABS(STOR)
      GO TO 206
205   DOST(I)=CABS(STOR)
      DIST(I)=DOST(I)/2.
206   SINC=COS(PID*XI)
      A(I)=RZ*CEXP((0.,-1.)*SINC*CTH*AMP)
      DO 3 K=1,N
      XK=K
      XK=(XK-0.5)*DEL
```

```
C     TO CALCULATE PHYSICS OPTICS CURRENT
      CAR=(0.,-1.)*(XK*STH-AMP*COS(PID*XK)*CTH)
      PO(K)=-100./6./PI/SQRT(1.+(AMF*SIN(PID*XK))**2)*(AMF*SIN(PID*XK)*
     1STH-CTH)/PII*CEXP(CAR)
      IF (K .EQ. 1) GO TO 4
      R1=XK-HDEL
      R2=XK+HDEL
      CALL CAVE(R1,R2,ACUY,INT,1,2,3)
      GO TO 3
    4 R1=XK-HDEL
      R2=XK-DELTA
      CALL CAVE(R1,R2,ACUY,INT,1,2,3)
      R1=XK+DELTA
      R2=XK+HDEL
      CALL CAVE(R1,R2,ACUY,INT,1,2,3)
      TF=TG*XI
      A(I,I)=A(I,I)+SQRT(1.+(AMF*SIN(PID*XI))**2)*CEXP(TE)*DAG
    3 CONTINUE
C     TO INVERT THE MATRIX TO GET SOLUTION
      CALL CSIMEQ(N,N,A,W,R,IZW)
      DO 251 I=1,N
      W(I)=CONJG(W(I))
  251 CONTINUE
      CALL ENERGY
C     TO PRINT OUT THE NECESSARY RESULTS
      PRINT 37
   37 FORMAT (10X,2H I,8X,15HZ FLECT. DEGREE,8X,6HI REAL,12X,11HI IMAGIN
     1ARY)
      PRINT 33,(I,Z(I),W(I),I=1,N)
   33 FORMAT (10X,I3,16X,F7.2,3X,F20.5,2X,F17.5)
      DO 35 I=1,N
    7 MAG(I)=CABS(W(I))
    7 MA(I)=CABS(PO(I))
      PHASE(I)=(180./PI)*ATAN(AIMAG(W(I))/REAL(W(I)))
      PHAS(I)=(180./PI)*ATAN(AIMAG(PO(I))/REAL(PO(I)))
   35 CONTINUE
      PRINT 36
```

70

```
36 FORMAT (10X,2H I,8X,13H7 ELEC.DEGREE,8X,11HI MAGNITUDE,8X,7HI PHAS
  1E)
   PRINT 37,(I,(I,/I)),7MAG(I),PHASE(I),I=1,N)
37 FORMAT(10X,I3,10X,F7.2,2X,F20.5,2X,F11.2)
   PRINT 43
43 FORMAT (22HRESULTS FOR PHYSICAL OPTICS)
   PRINT 42
42 FORMAT ((10X,2H I,8X,16HSURFACE DISTANCE,8X,6HI REAL,12X,11HI IMAGI
  1NARY)
   PRINT 44,(I,DIST(I),PO(I),I=1,N)
44 FORMAT(10X,I3,10X,F8.3,3X,F20.5,2X,F17.5)
   PRINT 46
46 FORMAT(10X,2H I,8X,16HSURFACE DISTANCE,8X,11HI MAGNITUDE,8X,7HI PH
  1ASE)
   PRINT 47,(I,DIST(I),ZMAG(I),PHAS(I),I=1,N)
47 FORMAT(10X,I3,10X,F8.3,2X,F20.5,2X,F11.2)
   GO TO 19
 9 STOP
   END
```

```
      SUBROUTINE CAVE(AA,BR,CC,IN,NA,NR,NC)
      DIMENSION A(20,20),W(20)
      COMMON /DATA1/XO,N,AMP,MM,THETA /DATA2/ X1,XK,PI,PII,CS,SS,CSN,DCS
     1 ,DSS,CTH,STH,CSS,SINC,PIO,PSO,NFA,I,K,AME/DATA3/COY,FUNX/DATA4/A
     2,W
      COMPLEX A,COY,FUNX,TA1,TA2,TA3,W
      EXTERNAL FUN
      A1=AA
      A2=RR
      ACIIV=CC
      INT=JN
      NFA=NA
      CALL CIMPS(A1,R2,ACIIV,INT,FUN,TA1,INDEX)
      NFA=NR
      CALL CIMPS(A1,R2,ACIIV,INT,FUN,TA2,INDEX)
      NFA=NC
      CALL CIMPS(A1,R2,ACIIV,INT,FUN,TA3,INDEX)
      A(I,K)=A(I,K)+TA1
      IF (K .EQ. 1) GO TO 1
      IF (K .EQ. N) GO TO 2
      A(I,K+1)=A(I,K+1)+TA2
      A(I,K-1)=A(I,K-1)+TA3
      GO TO 3
    1 A(I,2)=A(I,2)+TA2
      A(I,N)=A(I,N)+TA3
      GO TO 3
    2 A(I,N-1)=A(I,N-1)+TA3
      A(I,1)=A(I,1)+TA2
    3 RETURN
      END
```

72

```
COMPLEX FUNCTION FUN(X)
DIMENSION A(20,20),W(20)
COMMON /DATA1/XD,N,AMP,WM,THETA /DATA2/ X1,XK,PI,PII,CS,SS,CSN,DCS
1,DCS,CTH,STH,CSS,SINC,PID,PSO,NFA,I,K,AMF/DATA3/COY,FUNX/DATA4/A
2,W
COMPLEX A,W,FUNX,COY
XW=PII*(X-XK)
IF (NFA .EQ. 1) GO TO 1
IF (NFA .EQ. 2) GO TO 2
IF (NFA .EQ. 3) GO TO 3
1 FN1=(CS-COS(XW))/CSN
GO TO 11
2 FN1=(-SS+SIN(XW)+C.SN+SS*COS(XW))/(CSN*2.*SS)
GO TO 11
3 FN1=(-SS-SIN(XW)+DC.SN+SS*COS(XW))/(CSN*2.*SS)
11 V=X
CALL SURC(V)
FUN=FN1*FUNX
RETURN
END
```

73

```
      SUBROUTINE SUBC(V)
      DIMENSION A(20,20),W(20)
      COMMON /DATA1/XD,N,AMP,MM,THET, /DATA2/ XI,XK,PI,PII,CS,SS,CSN,DCS
     1,DSS,CTH,STH,CSS,SINC,PID,PSO,NFA,I,K,AME/DATA3/COY,FUNX/DATA4/A
     2,W
      COMPLEX A,FUNX,COY,XX1,XX2,C1,C2,F2,F3,YY2,TE,T1,Y,YZ1,YZ2,ZZ1,ZZ2
     1,CFXP,CLOG,XII,FF3,W
      VY=ARS(SINC-COS(PID*V))*AMP
      V=COY*YY
      YY2=(0.,1.)*YY
      XII=(0.,1.)*(V-XI)
      C1=-PID*((1.,0.)*YY+(0.,1.)*(XI-V)))
      C2=-PID*((1.,0.)*YY-(0.,1.)*(XI-V)))
      T1=((1.,0.)-CFXP(C1))*((1.,0.)-CFXP(C2))
      F2=CFXP(V)/CTH+(0.,1.)*XD/PII*CLOG(T1)
      F3=(0.,0.)
      DO 1 M=1,MM
      XM=M
      XX=-PID*XM
      XSTH=(XX-STH)**2
      IF (PSO .LE. XSTH) GO TO 2
      ZZ1=SORT(PSO-XSTH)*(1.,0.)
      GO TO 3
    2 ZZ1=SORT(XSTH-PSO)*(0.,1.)
    3 XSSH=(-XX+STH)**2
      IF ( PSO .LE. XSSH) GO TO 4
      ZZ2=SORT(PSO-XSSH)*(1.,0.)
      GO TO 5
    4 ZZ2=SORT(XSSH-PSO)*(0.,1.)
    5 XX1=XX*XII
      XX2=(0.,-1.)*XX
      YY1=YY*XX
      YZ1=YY2*ZZ1
      YZ2=YY2*ZZ2
      TE=EXP(YY1)/XX2
      FF3=CFXP(-XX1)*(CEXP(YZ1)/ZZ1-TE)+CEXP(XX1)*(CEXP(YZ2)/ZZ2-TE)
      F3=F3+FF3
    1 CONTINUE
    6 H=1.+(AME*SIN(PID*V))**2
      FUNX=(F2+F3)*SORT(H)*CFXP((0.,-1.)*STH*V)
      RETURN
      END
```

```fortran
      SUBROUTINE CIMPS(A,B,F,MAXI,XINTG,XIP,INDEX)
C THIS SUBROUTINE IS TO DO THE INTEGRATION
      COMPLEX FAFR,XIP,XJ,FNFWX,XI,S,XINTG
      FAFR=XINTG(A)+XINTG(B)
      XH=B-A
      XIR=XH*.5
      XJ=XIR*FAFR
      XNEW=A+XIR
      XHA=XIR/3.
      INDEX=0
1006  FNFWX=XINTG(XNEW)
1001  IF (INDEX .GT. 0 ) GO TO 1003
1002  INDEX=1
      XI=XHA*(FAFR+FNFWX*4.)
1004  XJ=(XJ+XI*3.)*.25
      INDEX=INDEX+1
      IF (INDEX .GT. MAXI ) GO TO 1009
1010  XH=XH*.5
      XNEW=A+XH*.5
      S=(0.,0.)
1005  IF( XNEW .LT. B ) GO TO 1006
1007  XIP=(XJ+XH*2.*S)/3.
      IF ( CABS (XIP-XI) .LE. CABS(E*XIP)) GO TO 1009
1008  XI=XIP
      GO TO 1004
1003  S=S+FNEWX
      XNEW=XNEW+XH
      GO TO 1005
1009  RETURN
      END
```

```
      SUBROUTINE CSIMEG(IDIM,N,A,X,R,JPRM)
C  THIS SUBROUTINE IS TO INVERT THE MATRIX
      COMPLEX A,X,R,DET,SUM,C
      DIMENSION A(IDIM,IDIM),X(IDIM),R(IDIM),JPRM(IDIM)
      DET=(1.,0.)
      DO 13 I=1,N
   13 JPRM(I)=I
C  FIND THE ELEMENT OF MAXIMUM ABSOLUTE VALUE
      DO 1 K=1,N
      C=A(K,K)
      II=K
      JJ=K
      DO 2 J=K,N
      DO 2 I=K,N
      IF (CABS1(C)-CABS1(A(I,J))) 3,2,2
    3 C=A(I,J)
      II=I
      JJ=J
    2 CONTINUE
      DET=DET*C
      IF (CABS1(DET)) 20,20,30
   20 PRINT 100
  100 FORMAT (9H SINGULAR )
      CALL EXIT
   30 R(II)=R(II)/C
C  DIVIDE EACH ELEMENT OF THE II=TH ROW BY C
      KPO=K+1
      DO 4 J=K,N
    4 A(II,J)=A(II,J)/C
      A(II,JJ)=(1.,0.)
```

```
      IF (II .EQ. K ) GO TO 60
C SWITCH THE K=TH ROW AND THE II=TH ROW
      DO 5 J=K,N
      C=A(K,J)
      A(K,J)=A(II,J)
    5 A(II,J)=C
      C=B(K)
      B(K)=B(II)
      B(II)=C
   60 IF (JJ .EQ. K ) GO TO 70
C STORE THE LOCATION OF THE COLUMN OF THE MAX PIVOT
      II=JPRM(JJ)
      JPRM(JJ)=JPRM(K)
      JPRM(K)=II
C SWITCH THE K=TH AND THE J=TH COLUMNS
      DO 6 I=1,N
      C=A(I,K)
      A(I,K)=A(I,JJ)
    6 A(I,JJ)=C
C GET SUB=COLUMN OF ZEROS IN THE K=TH COLUMN
   70 IF ( K .EQ. N) GO TO 1
      DO 7 I=KPO,N
      DO 8 J=KPO,N
    8 A(I,J)=A(I,J)-A(I,K)*A(K,J)
      B(I)=B(I)-A(I,K)*B(K)
    7 A(I,K)=(0.,0.)
    1 CONTINUE
C OBTAIN THE X=S BY BACK SUBSTITUTION
      DO 9 I=1,N
      K=N-I+1
      KPO=K+1
      SUM=(0.,0.)
      IF (KPO -N) 24,24,26
   24 DO 10 J=KPO,N
      SUM=SUM+X(J)*A(K,J)
   10 CONTINUE
   26 X(K)=B(K)-SUM
    9 CONTINUE
C ORDER THE X=S
      DO 11 I=1,N
   11 B(I)=X(I)
      DO 12 I=1,N
      II=JPRM(I)
   12 X(II)=B(I)
      RETURN
      END
      REAL FUNCTION CABS1(Z)
```

77

```
      COMPLEX Z
      IF (REAL(Z).EQ.0..AND.AIMAG(Z).EQ.0.) GO TO 10
      CARS1=CARS(Z)
      GO TO 20
10    CARS1=0.
20    RETURN
      END
```

```
      SUBROUTINE ENERGY
C  THIS SUBROUTINE IS TO CHECK THE ENERGY CONSERVATION
      DIMENSION A(20,20),W(20)
      COMMON /DATA1/XD,N,AMP,MM,THETA /DATA2/ XI,XK,PI,PII,CS,SS,CSN,DCS
     1,DSS,CTH,STH,CSS,SINC,PID,PSO,NFA,I,K,AME/DATA3/COY,FUNX/DATA4/A
     2,W
      COMPLEX A,RR,CC,RRW1,CRW2,CFXP,Y1,Y2,Y3,Y4,CMPLX,CSORT,YY1,YY2,
     1SR1,SR2,W,COY,FUNX,CONJG
      XN=N
      DFI=XD/XN
      DO 311 MN=1,8
      M=MN-1
      XM=M
      YY1=(PSO-(PID*XM+PII*SIN(THETA))**2)*(1.,0.)
      YY2=(PSO-(-PID*XM+PII*SIN(THETA))**2)*(1.,0.)
      SR1=CSORT(YY1)
      SR2=CSORT(YY2)
      RRW1=(0.,0.)
      CRW2=(0.,0.)
      DO 322 K=1,N
      XK=K
      YK=(XK-.5)*DFI
      Y1=(0.,1.)*(PID*XM+PII*SIN(THETA))*XK
      Y2=(0.,1.)*(-PID*XM+PII*SIN(THETA))*XK
      FR= COS(PID*XK)
      Y3=AMP*FR*SR1*(0.,1.)
      Y4=AMP*FR*SR2*(0.,1.)
      DPR=1.+(AMP*PID*SIN(PID*XK))**2
      RRW1=CFXP(Y1)*CFXP(Y3)*SQRT(PPR)*DEL/CSORT(YY1)*W(K)+RRW1
      CRW2=CFXP(Y2)*CFXP(Y4)*SQRT(PPR)*DEL/CSORT(YY2)*W(K)+CRW2
  322 CONTINUE
      RR=CONJG(RRW1)
      CC=CONJG(CRW2)
      AS=(PI**2*3./75./XD)**2/PII
      W1=RR*RRW1*SR1*AS
      W2=CC*CRW2*SR2*AS
      PRINT 333,W1,W2
  333 FORMAT(2F20.7)
      IF (REAL(YY2) .LT. 0.) GO TO 444
  311 CONTINUE
  444 RETURN
      END
$DATA
        0.2       0.01     0.5236  200    3
$STOP
```

```
$SIGNON S446 T=180S ' TONG '
$RUN *WATFOR
$COMPILE
C  THIS IS THE MAIN PROGRAM FOR THE STUDY OF EM SCATTERING FROM A PERFECTLY
C  CONDUCTING, PERIODIC SURFACE FOR THE H WAVE
      DIMENSION A(20,20),P(20),P2(20),W(20),Z(20),ZMAG(20),PHASE(20),IZW(20)
     1,DAG(20),P1(20),P2(20),R1(20),RI(20),AMAG(20),PASE(20)
      COMMON /DATA1/XD,N,AMP,MM,THETA /DATA2/ XI,XK,PI,PII,CS,SS,CSN,DCS
     1,DSS,CTH,STH,CSS,SINC,PID,PSO,NFA,I,K,AMF/DATA3/COY,FUNX/DATA4/A
     2,W
      COMPLEX A,B,W,TF,CEXP,COY,DAG,FUNX,TG,SUM1,TY,CMPLX,GC,CONJG
     1,CAR,P1,P2
   19 READ 1, XD,AMP,THETA,N,MM
      IF (N .GT. 100) GO TO 9
      PRINT 1, XD,AMP,THETA,N,MM
    1 FORMAT(3F10.5,2I5)
C  TO CALCULATE OUT SOME CONSTANTS FOR COMPUTATION
      INT=7
      AMM=0.05
      PI=3.14159265
      PII=2.*PI
      XN=N
      DEL=XD/XN
      CS=COS(PII*DEL)
      SS=SIN(PII*DEL)
      CSN=CS-1.
      CTH=SIN(THETA)*PII
      CTH=COS(THETA)*PII
      CSS=2.*CSN*SS
      PSO=PII**2
      DELTA=DEL/100.
      COY=(0.,1.)*CTH
      HDEL=DEL/2.
      PID=PII/XD
      TG=(0.,1.)*STH
      DCS=COS(2.*PII*DEL)
      DSS=SIN(2.*PII*DEL)
      AMF=AMP*PID
C  TO CLEAR THE MATRICES
      DO 2 I=1,N
      DO 2 K=1,N
      A(I,K)=(0.,0.)
    2 CONTINUE
```

```
C THIS PART OF THE PROGRAM IS TO CALCULATE THE PHYSICAL OPTICS CURRENT
      DO 4 K=1,N
      XK=K
      YK=(YK-.5)*DEL
      CAB=(0.,-1.)*(XK*STH-AMP*COS(PID*YK)*CTH)
      P1(K)=2./SQRT(1.+(AMF*SIN(PID*XK))**2)*CEXP(CAB)
      P2(K)= P1(K)*AMF*SIN(PID*XK)
      Q1(K)=SQRT(REAL(P1(K))**2+REAL(P2(K))**2)
      R1(K)=SQRT(AIMAG(P1(K))**2+AIMAG(P2(K))**2)
      AMAG(K)=SQRT(RL(K)**2+R1(K)**2)
      PASF(K)=180./PI*ATAN(AIMAG(P1(K))/REAL(P1(K)))
    4 CONTINUE
      PRINT 5,(K,P1(K),P2(K),AMAG(K),PASF(K),K=1,N)
    5 FORMAT((2HK=,I5,2F15.5,2F15.5,2F15.5,F15.3))
C TO FILL UP THE MATRICES
      DO 3 I=1,N
      XI=I
      XT=(XI-0.5)*DEL
      GC=(0.,-1.)*STH*XT
      B(I,I)=CEXP(GC)*XD*(0.,1.)
      Z(I)=360.*XT
      SINC=COS(PID*XI)
      A(I)=CEXP((0.,-1.)*SINC*CTH*AMP)*(0.,2.)*XD
      DO 3 K=1,N
      XK=K
      YK=(XK-0.5)*DEL
      R1=XK-HDEL
      R2=XK-DELTA
      CALL CAVE(R1,R2,ACUV,INT,1,2,3)
      R1=XK+DELTA
      R2=XK+HDEL
      CALL CAVE(R1,R2,ACUV,INT,1,2,3)
    3 CONTINUE
C TO INVERT THE MATRIX TO GET SOLUTION
      CALL CSIMEQ(N,N,A,W,P,174)
      DO 34 I=1,N
      W(I)=CONJG(W(I))
   34 CONTINUE
```

81

```
      CALL ENERGY
      PRINT 32
32    FORMAT (10X,2H I,8X,15H7 ELECT. DEGREE,8X,6HI REAL,12X,11HI IMAGIN
     1ARY)
      PRINT 33,(I,Z(I),W(I),I=1,N)
33    FORMAT (10X,I3,10X,F7.2,3X,F20.5,2X,F17.5)
      DO 35 I=1,N
      7MAG(I)=CABS(W(I))
      PHASE(I)=(180./PI)*ATAN(AIMAG(W(I))/REAL(W(I)))
35    CONTINUE
      PRINT 36
36    FORMAT (10X,2H I,8X,13H7 ELEC.DEGREE,8X,11HI MAGNITUDE,8X,7HI PHAS
     1E)
      PRINT 37,(I,Z(I),7MAG(I),PHASE(I),I=1,N)
37    FORMAT(10X,I3,10X,F7.2,3X,F20.5,2X,F11.2)
      GO TO 19
9     STOP
      END
```

```
SUBROUTINE CAVE(AA,PR,CC,JN,NA,NB,NC)
DIMENSION A(20,20),W(20)
COMMON /DATA1/XO,N,AMP,MM,THETA /DATA2/ XI,XK,PI,PII,CS,SS,CSN,DCS
1 ,DSS,CTH,STH,CCS,SINC,PID,PSO,NFA,I,K,AMF/DATA3/CCIY,FUNX/DATA4/A
2,W
COMPLEX A,CCIY,FUNX,TA1,TA2,TA3,W
EXTERNAL FUN
R1=AA
R2=RR
ACIIY=CC
INT=JN
NFA=NA
CALL CIMPS(R1,R2,ACIIY,INT,FUN,TA1,INDEX)
NFA=NB
CALL CIMPS(R1,R2,ACIIY,INT,FUN,TA2,INDEX)
NFA=NC
CALL CIMPS(R1,R2,ACIIY,INT,FUN,TA3,INDEX)
A(I,K)=A(I,K)+TA1
IF (K .EQ. 1) GO TO 1
IF (K .EQ. N) GO TO 2
A(I,K+1)=A(I,K+1)+TA2
A(I,K-1)=A(I,K-1)+TA3
GO TO 3
1 A(I,2)=A(I,2)+TA2
A(I,N)=A(I,N)+TA3
GO TO 3
2 A(I,N-1)=A(I,N-1)+TA3
A(I,1)=A(I,1)+TA2
3 RETURN
END
```

```
      COMPLEX FUNCTION FUN(X)
      DIMENSION A(20,20),W(20)
      COMMON /DATA1/XD,N,AMP,MM,THETA /DATA2/ X1,XK,PI,PII,CS,SS,CSN,DCS
     1 ,DCS,CTH,STH,CSS,SINC,PID,PSO,NFA,I,K,AMF/DATA3/CDY,FUNX/DATA4/A
      ?,W
      COMPLEX A,FUNX,CDY,W
      XW=PII*(X-XK)
      IF (NFA .EQ. 1) GO TO 1
      IF (NFA .EQ. 2) GO TO 2
      IF (NFA .EQ. 3) GO TO 3
    1 FNI=(CS-COS(XW))/CSN
      GO TO 11
    2 FNI=(-SS+SIN(XW)*CSN+SS*COS(XW))/(CSN*2.*SS)
      GO TO 11
    3 FNI=(-SS-SIN(XW)*CSN+SS*COS(XW))/(CSN*2.*SS)
   11 V=X
      CALL SUBC(V)
      FUN=FNI*FUNX
      RETURN
      END
```

84

```
      SUBROUTINE SURF(V)
      DIMENSION A(20,20),W(20)
      COMMON /DATA1/XD,N,AMP,MM,THETA /DATA2/ X1,XK,P1,P11,CS,SS,CSN,DCS
     1 ,DSS,CTH,STH,CCS,SINC,PID,PSO,NFA,I,K,AME/DATA3/COY,FUNX/DATA4/A
     2,W
      COMPLEX A,FUNX,COY,XX1,    F2,F3,YY2,TF,Y,YZ1,YZ2,CEXP,XU,FF3,
     1SGU,ARD,ARN,FF3,CSIN,CCOS,ZZ1,ZZ2,W
      XN=N
      IF (XK-XN/2.) 17,17,19
   17 AM1=AMP*COS(PID*V)
      GO TO 20
   19 AM1=AMP*COS(PID*V)
   20 AM2=AMP*COS(PID*XT)
      IF (AM1-AM2) 14, 13, 16
   13 STOP
   14 SGN=(0.,-1.)
      GO TO 8
   16 SGN=(0.,1.)
    8 DFN=-AME*SIN(PID*V)
      YY=ARC(SINC-COS(PID*V))*AMP
      Y=COY*YY
      YY2=(0.,1.)*YY
      XI1=(0.,1.)*(V-X1)
      F2=CEXP(V)/(CTH*(0.,1.)*DFN*STH+CTH*SGN)-SGN
      F3=(0.,0.)
      DO 1 M=1,MM
      XM=M
      YX=-PID*XM
      XCTH=(XX+STH)**2
      IF (PSO .LE. XCTH) GO TO 2
  771=SQRT(PSO-XCTH)*(1.,0.)
      GO TO 3
    2 771=SQRT(XCTH-PSO)*(0.,1.)
    3 XCSH=(-XY+STH)**2
      IF ( PSO .LE. XCSH) GO TO 4
  772=SQRT(PSO-XCSH)*(1.,0.)
      GO TO 5
```

```
4 ZZ2=SQRT(XSSH-PSO)*(0.,1.)
5 XX1=XX*XX
  YY1=YY*XX
  YZ1=YY2*ZZ1
  YZ2=YY2*ZZ2
  TF=FXP(YY1)
  FF3=CFXP(-XX1)*(CFXP(YZ1)/ZZ1*((0.,1.)*DFN*(XX+STH)+SGN*ZZ1
1 )-TF*(SGN-DFN))+(CFXP(YZ2)/ZZ2*((0.,1.)*DFN*(-XX+STH)+SGN*
2 ZZ2 )-TF*(DFN+SGN))*CFXP(XX1))
  F3=F3+FF3
1 CONTINUE
  ARP=PI/XD*(V-XT)*(1.,0.)+(0.,1.)*PI/XD*YY
  ARN=PI/XD*(XT-V)*(1.,0.)+(0.,1.)*PI/XD*YY
  FT3=(0.,0.5)*(CCOS(ARP)/CSIN(ARP)*(-DFN+SGN)+CCOS(ARN)/CSIN(ARN)*(
1 DFN+SGN))
  F(NX=(F2+F3+FT3)*CFXP((0.,-1.)*STH*V)
  RETURN
  END
```

```
      SUBROUTINE ENERGY
      COMMON /DATA1/XD,N,AMP,MM,THETA /DATA2/ XI,XK,PI,PII,CS,SS,CSN,DCS
     1,DSS,CTH,STH,CSS,SINC,PID,PSO,NFA,I,K,AME/DATA3/COY,FUNX/DATA4/A
     2,W
      DIMENSION A(20,20),W(20)
      COMPLEX A,BR,CC,BRW1,CRW2,CEXP,Y1,Y2,Y3,Y4,CMPLX,CSQRT,YY1,YY2,
     1SR1,SR2,W,COY,FUNX,CONJG
C THIS SUBROUTINE IS TO CHECK THE ENERGY CONSERVATION
      XN=N
      DFL=XD/XN
      DO 311 MN=1,15
      M=MN-1
      XM=M
      U1=PID*XM+PII*SIN(THETA)
      U2=-PID*XM+PII*SIN(THETA)
      YY1=(PSO-U1**2)*(1.,0.)
      YY2=(PSO-U2**2)*(1.,0.)
      SR1=CSQRT(YY1)
      SR2=CSQRT(YY2)
      BRW1=(0.,0.)
      CRW2=(0.,0.)
      DO 322 K=1,N
      XK=K
      XK=(XK-.5)*DFL
      Y1=(0.,1.)*(PID*XM+PII*SIN(THETA))*XK
      Y2=(0.,1.)*(-PID*XM+PII*SIN(THETA))*XK
      FR=AMP*COS(PID*XK)
      FV=-AMP*SIN(PID*XK)
      Y3=FR*SR1*(0.,1.)
      Y4=FR*SR2*(0.,1.)
      BRW1=CEXP(Y1)*CEXP(Y3)*DFL/SR1*(SR1-U1)*PID*FV)*W(K)/XD/2.+BRW1
      CRW2=CEXP(Y2)*CEXP(Y4)*DFL/SR2*(SR2-U2)*PID*FV)*W(K)/XD/2.+CRW2
  322 CONTINUE
      BR=CONJG(BRW1)
      CC=CONJG(CRW2)
      W1=BR*BRW1*SR1/PII
      W2=CC*CRW2*SR2/PII
      PRINT 333,W1,W2
  333 FORMAT(2F20.7)
      IF (REAL(YY2) .LT. 0.) GO TO 444
  311 CONTINUE
  444 RETURN
      END
```

```
C   THIS SUBROUTINE IS FOR INTEGRATION
      SUBROUTINE CIMPS(A,B,F,MAXI,XINTG,XIP,INDEX)
      COMPLEX FAFR,XIP,XJ,FNEWX,XI,S,XINTG
      FAFR=XINTG(A)+XINTG(B)
      XH=B-A
      XIR=XH*.5
      XJ=XIR*FAFR
      XNEW=A+XIR
      XHA=XIR/3.
      INDEX=0
1006  FNEWX=XINTG(XNEW)
1001  IF (INDEX .GT. 0 ) GO TO 1003
1002  INDEX=1
      XI=XHA*(FAFR+FNEWX*4.)
1004  XJ=(XI+XI*3.)*.75
      INDEX=INDEX+1
      IF (INDEX .GT. MAXI ) GO TO 1009
1010  XH=XH*.5
      XNEW=A+XH*.5
      S=(0.,0.)
1005  IF( XNEW .LT. B ) GO TO 1006
1007  XIP=(XJ+XH*2.*S)/3.
      IF ( CABS (XIP-XI) .LE. CABS(E*XIP)) GO TO 1009
1008  XI=XIP
      GO TO 1004
1003  S=S+FNEWX
      XNEW=XNEW+XH
      GO TO 1005
1009  RETURN
      END
```

```
      END
      SUBROUTINE CSIMEQ(IDIM,N,A,X,R,JPRM)
C  THIS SUBROUTINE IS FOR MATRIX INVERSION
      COMPLEX A,X,R,DET,SUM,C
      DIMENSION A(IDIM,IDIM),X(IDIM),R(IDIM),JPRM(IDIM)
      DET=(1.,0.)
      DO 13 I=1,N
13    JPRM(I)=I
C  FIND THE ELEMENT OF MAXIMUM ABSOLUTE VALUE
      DO 1 K=1,N
      C=A(K,K)
      II=K
      JJ=K
      DO 2 J=K,N
      DO 2 I=K,N
      IF ((CABS((C)-CABS(A(I,J)))3,2,2
2     C=A(I,J)
      II=I
      JJ=J
3     CONTINUE
      DET=DET*C
      IF (CABS(DET))20,20,30
20    PRINT 100
100   FORMAT (9H SINGULAR )
      CALL EXIT
30    R(II)=R(II)/C
C  DEVIDE EACH ELEMENT OF THE II=TH ROW BY C
      KP0=K+1
      DO 4 J=K,N
4     A(II,J)=A(II,J)/C
      A(II,JJ)=(1.,0.)
      IF (II .EQ. K ) GO TO 60
      R(II)=R(II)/C
C  SWITCH THE K=TH ROW AND THE II=TH ROW
      DO 5 J=K,N
      C=A(K,J)
      A(K,J)=A(II,J)
5     A(II,J)=C
      C=R(K)
      R(K)=R(II)
      R(II)=C
60    IF (JJ .EQ. K ) GO TO 70
C  STORE THE LOCATION OF THE COLUMN OF THE MAX PIVOT
      II=JPRM(JJ)
      JPRM(JJ)=JPRM(K)
      JPRM(K)=II
```

```
C   SWITCH THE K=TH AND THE J=TH COLUMNS
      DO 6 I=1,N
      C=A(I,K)
      A(I,K)=A(I,J)
    6 A(I,J)=C
C   GET SUB=COLUMN OF ZEROS IN THE K=TH COLUMN
   70 IF ( K .EQ. N) GO TO 1
      DO 7 I=KPO,N
      DO 8 J=KPO,N
    8 A(I,J)=A(I,J)-A(I,K)*A(K,J)
      B(I)=B(I)-A(I,K)*B(K)
    7 A(I,K)=(0.,0.)
    1 CONTINUE
C   OBTAIN THE X=S BY BACK SUBSTITUTION
      DO 9 I=1,N
      K=N-I+1
      KPO=K+1
      SUM=(0.,0.)
      IF (KPO -N) 24,24,26
   24 DO 10 J=KPO,N
   10 SUM=SUM+X(J)*A(K,J)
   10 CONTINUE
   26 X(K)=B(K)-SUM
    9 CONTINUE
C   ORDER THE X=S
      DO 11 I=1,N
   11 B(I)=X(I)
      DO 12 I=1,N
      II=JPRM(I)
   12 X(II)=B(I)
      RETURN
      END
      REAL FUNCTION CARS1(Z)
      COMPLEX Z
      IF (REAL(Z).EQ.0..AND.AIMAG(Z).EQ.0.) GO TO 10
      CARS1=CARS(Z)
      GO TO 20
   10 CARS1=0.
   20 RETURN
      END
$DATA
      0.2      0.01      0.5236      200      3
$STOP
```

763 LINES PRINTED

90

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
The University of Michigan Radiation Laboratory, Dept. of Electrical Engineering, 201 Catherine Street, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48108	UNCLASSIFIED
	2b. GROUP -------

3. REPORT TITLE

SCATTERING BY A PERIODIC SURFACE

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES *(Type of report and inclusive dates)*

Scientific   Interim

5. AUTHOR(S) *(First name, middle initial, last name)*

Tommy C. Tong
Thomas B. A. Senior

6. REPORT DATE	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	7b. NO. OF REFS
September 1970	96	30

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)
F19268-68-C-0071	1363-6-T
b. PROJECT NO., Task No. and Work Unit No. 5635-02-01	Scientific Report No. 7
c. DoD Element: 61102F	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)
d. DoD Subelement 681305	AFCRL-70-0507

10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY
TECH, OTHER	Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories(CRD) Laurence G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts, 01730

13. ABSTRACT

Numerical procedures are developed for the digital solution of the integral equations for the currents induced in a perfectly conducting, two dimensional periodic surface when a plane electromagnetic wave is incident. Data are obtained for both the surface and far fields for a variety of sinusoidal surfaces for plane waves of either polarization at oblique as well as normal incidence, and the results are compared with the predictions of physical optics.

DD FORM 1473 (1 NOV 65)

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Periodic Surfaces						
Diffraction Gratings						
Surface Currents						
Diffraction Modes						
Numerical Techniques						
Exact Data						
Physical Optics						