

AD0766335

AFML-TR-73-83

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES, FRACTURE TOUGHNESS,
FATIGUE, ENVIRONMENTAL FATIGUE CRACK
GROWTH RATES AND CORROSION CHARACTERISTICS OF
HIGH-TOUGHNESS ALUMINUM ALLOY FORGINGS,
SHEET AND PLATE

C. F. Babilon, R. H. Wygonik, G. E. Nordmark
and B. W. Lifka
Aluminum Company of America

TECHNICAL REPORT AFML-TR-73-83
April, 1973

Air Force Materials Laboratory
Air Force Systems Command
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

20080814 168

NOTICES

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Copies of this report should not be returned unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice on a specific document.

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES, FRACTURE TOUGHNESS, FATIGUE,
ENVIRONMENTAL FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH RATES AND CORROSION
CHARACTERISTICS OF HIGH-TOUGHNESS ALUMINUM ALLOY FORGINGS,
SHEET AND PLATE

C. F. Babilon, R. H. Wygonik, G. E. Nordmark
and B. W. Lifka

AD766335

Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

FOREWORD

This investigation was conducted by the Alcoa Research Laboratories, Aluminum Company of America, New Kensington, Pennsylvania, under USAF Contract No. F33615-71-C-1571, Project No. 7381, "Materials Applications", Task No. 738106, "Engineering and Design Data". The work was under the direction of the Materials Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, with Mr. A. W. Gunderson (AFML/MXE) as project engineer.

This report covers work done from May 1971 through February 1973.

The investigation was made under the supervision of Mr. C. F. Babilon with Mr. R. H. Wygonik as project leader for the phase covering the mechanical properties including fracture toughness and fatigue. Mr. G. E. Nordmark was the project leader for the phase covering the fatigue-crack propagation rates and Mr. B. W. Lifka was project leader for the phase covering the corrosion characteristics. The statistical analyses were made by Mr. R. H. Wygonik. Significant advisory and technical assistance were supplied by Messrs. J. G. Kaufman, R. A. Kelsey and D. O. Spowls.

The report was released by the authors for publication in March.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved.

Albert Olevitch

ALBERT OLEVITCH
Chief, Materials Engineering Branch
Systems Support Division
Air Force Materials Laboratory

ABSTRACT

The tensile, compressive, shear, bearing, fracture-toughness and axial-stress fatigue properties, fatigue crack growth rates and corrosion characteristics have been determined for a total of 21 lots of 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 forgings (≤ 5.000 -in. thick), 25 lots of 7475-T61 and T761 sheet (0.032 through 0.249-in. thick) and 10 lots of 2124-T851 plate (1.75 through 6.00-in. thick). Supplemental data for bare and Alclad 7475 sheet and 2124-T851 plate are also presented.

Tables of computed design mechanical properties and typical stress-strain and compressive tangent modulus curves were prepared.

The plane-strain stress-intensity factor, K_{Ic} , was determined for the forging and plate samples and the critical stress-intensity factor, K_c , determined for the sheet samples.

Log-mean fatigue lives were calculated from tests made in ambient air. Axial-stress fatigue tests were also made in a salt fog environment.

The rates of fatigue crack propagation of these products generally do not vary significantly with specimen orientation. Humid and salt fog environments increased the rate of fatigue crack propagation for most specimens. Propagation is slower in 2124-T851 plate than for 2024-T851 plate but rates for sheet alloys 7475-T61, 7475-T761 and Alclad 7475-T61 are essentially equivalent as are rates for 7175-T736 and 7075-T7352 hand forgings.

The 7175-T736 forgings, 7475-T761 sheet and 2124-T851 plate have a high resistance to exfoliation while the 7049-T73 forging and the 7475-T61 sheet show some susceptibility to exfoliation. All of the materials are resistant to stress corrosion cracking when stressed in the longitudinal and long-transverse grain direction. The resistance to SCC in the short-transverse direction of all the materials is representative of the respective alloys and tempers.

Key Words: 7049, 7175, 7475, 2124, aluminum, die forgings, hand forgings, sheet, plate, tensile, compressive, shear, bearing, fracture-toughness, fatigue, crack propagation, stress-corrosion, exfoliation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	PAGE
I Introduction	1
II Material	2
III Procedure	3
IV Results of Tests	11
V Discussion of Results	12
VI Summary and Conclusions	31
Appendix	217
References	223

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

FIGURE		PAGE
1	7049-T73 Die Forging Die No. B5786 (Sample Number 410694)	35
2	7049-T73 Die Forging Die No. B6204 (Sample Number 410696)	36
3	7049-T73 Die Forging Die No. B2362 (Sample Number 410700)	37
4	7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Die Forging Die No. 9078 (7049-T73 - Sample Number 410693, 7175-T736 - Sample Number 410983).	38
5	7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Die Forging Die No. 15789 (7049-T73 - Sample Number 410698, 7175-T736 - Sample Number 410699).	39
6	7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Die Forging Die No. 40006 (7049-T73 - Sample Number 410695, 7175-T736 - Sample Number 410984).	40
7	7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Die Forging Die No. 40005 (7049-T73 - Sample Number 410697, 7175-T736 - Sample Number 410705).	41
8	7175-T736 Die Forging Die No. F17961 (Sample Number 410704).	42
9	7175-T736 Die Forging Die No. F17976 (Sample Number 410706).	43
10	General Dimensions of Tensile Specimens.	44
11	General Dimensions of Compressive and Shear Specimens.	45
12	General Dimensions of Bearing Specimens	46
13	General Dimensions of Tensile Specimens For Modulus and Stress-Strain Tests.	47
14	Compact Tension Fracture Toughness Specimen.	48
15	Fracture Specimen Orientations	49

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS
(Continued)

FIGURE		PAGE
16	Setup for Fatigue Cracking Compact-Tension Fracture Specimens	50
17	Setup for Testing Compact-Tension Fracture Specimens	51
18	Center-Slotted Fracture-Toughness Panels. . .	52
19	Setup for Testing 16-in. Wide Center Slotted Fracture Specimens.	53
20	Smooth and Notched Axial-Stress Fatigue Specimens	54
21	Smooth and Notched Sheet Type Axial-Stress Fatigue Specimens	55
22	Crack Propagation Specimen for Sheet	56
23	EDM Notched Crack Propagation Specimen. . . .	57
24	Compact Tension Crack Growth Specimen	58
25	Environmental Chamber for Fatigue Crack Propagation Tests of Sheet	59
26	ARL 50,000-lb Structural Fatigue Machines . .	60
27	Compact Tension Crack Propagation Specimen in Fatigue Machine	61
28	Setup for Tests to Measure Environmental Fatigue-Crack-Growth Rate	62
29	Sketch Showing Location of SCC Smooth Specimens on the Cross-Section of the Die Forgings. .	63
30	Shows the 1/8-in. Diameter Tensile Specimen, the Various Parts of the Stressing Frame and the Final Stressed Assembly	64
31	Sheet-Type Tensile Specimens and Preformed Blanks in Stress Corrosion Frames	65

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS
(Continued)

FIGURE		PAGE
32	Configuration of DCB Specimen Used for Plate and Hand Forgings	66
33	Longitudinal Slice from the 2-in. 7049-T73 Hand Forgings Macroetched to Show Grain Flow	67
34	Sketch Showing Two Locations of DCB Stress-Corrosion Specimens from Die Forged Shape 9078	68
35	Typical Stress-Strain and Compressive Tangent Modulus Curves for 7049-T73 Die Forgings (≤ 4.000 in.)	69
36	Typical Stress-Strain and Compressive Tangent Modulus Curves for 7175-T736 Die Forgings (≤ 3.000 in.)	70
37	Typical Stress-Strain and Compressive Tangent Modulus Curves for 7049-T73 Hand Forgings (2.001-5.000 in.)	71
38	Typical Stress-Strain and Compressive Tangent Modulus Curves for 7175-T736 Hand Forgings (≤ 4.000 in.)	72
39	Typical Stress-Strain and Compressive Tangent Modulus Curves for 7475-T61 Sheet (0.040-0.249 in.)	73
40	Typical Stress-Strain and Compressive Tangent Modulus Curves for 7475-T761 Sheet (0.040-0.249 in.)	74
41	Typical Stress-Strain and Compressive Tangent Modulus Curves for 2124-T851 Plate (1.501-5.000 in.)	75
42	Plane-Stress Fracture Toughness of Bare and Alclad 7475-T61 Sheet Determined in Tests of 16-in. Wide Panels.. . . .	76
43	Plane-Stress Fracture Toughness of Bare and Alclad 7475-T761 Sheet Determined in Tests of 16-in. Wide Panels	77

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS
(Continued)

FIGURE		PAGE
44	Crack Growth Resistance, K_{Ic} , vs Crack Growth of 7475-T61 Sheet, L-T Orientation	78
45	Crack Growth Resistance, K_{Ic} , vs Crack Growth of 7475-T61 Sheet, T-L orientation	79
46	Crack Growth Resistance, K_{Ic} , vs Crack Growth of 7475-T761 Sheet, L-T Orientation	80
47	Crack Growth Resistance, K_{Ic} , vs Crack Growth of 7475-T761 Sheet, T-L Orientation	81
48	Crack Resistance Curves for 7475 Sheet	82
49	Plots of Threshold, Apparent and Critical Instability of 0.063-in. Thick, 16-in. Wide 7475-T61 Sheet, L-T Orientation	83
50	Plots of Threshold, Apparent and Critical Instability of 0.063-in. Thick, 16-in. Wide 7475-T61 Sheet, T-L Orientation	84
51	Plots of Threshold, Apparent and Critical Instability of 0.063-in. Thick, 16-in. Wide 7475-T761 Sheet, L-T Orientation	85
52	Plots of Threshold, Apparent and Critical Instability of 0.063-in. Thick, 16-in. Wide 7475-T761 Sheet, T-L Orientation	86
53	Axial-Stress Fatigue Curve for 7049-T73 Die Forgings (Smooth)	87
54	Axial-Stress Fatigue Curve for 7049-T73 Die Forgings (Notched)	88
55	Axial-Stress Fatigue Curve for 7175-T736 Die Forgings (Smooth)	89
56	Axial-Stress Fatigue Curve for 7175-T736 Die Forgings (Notched)	90
57	Axial-Stress Fatigue Curve For 7049-T73 Hand Forgings (Smooth)	91

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS
(Continued)

FIGURE		PAGE
58	Axial-Stress Fatigue Curve for 7049-T73 Hand Forgings (Notched)	92
59	Axial-Stress Fatigue Curve for 7175-T736 Hand Forgings (Smooth)	93
60	Axial-Stress Fatigue Curve for 7175-T736 Hand Forgings (Notched)	94
61	Axial-Stress Fatigue Curve for 7475-T61 Sheet (Smooth)	95
62	Axial-Stress Fatigue Curve for 7475-T61 Sheet (Notched)	96
63	Axial-Stress Fatigue Curve for 7475-T761 Sheet (Smooth)	97
64	Axial-Stress Fatigue Curve for 7475-T761 Sheet (Notched)	98
65	Axial-Stress Fatigue Curve for 2124-T851 Plate (Smooth)	99
66	Axial-Stress Fatigue Curve for 2124-T851 Plate (Notched)	100
67	Effect of Salt Fog on Axial-Stress Fatigue Strength of 7049-T73 Hand Forging.	101
68	Effect of Salt Fog on Axial-Stress Fatigue Strength of 7175-T736 Hand Forging	102
69	Effect of Salt Fog on Axial-Stress Fatigue Strength of 7475-T61 Sheet	103
70	Effect of Salt Fog on Axial-Stress Fatigue Strength of 7475-T761 Sheet.	104
71	Effect of Salt Fog on Axial-Stress Fatigue Strength of 2124-T851 Plate.	105

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS
(Continued)

FIGURE		PAGE
72	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 4 x 16-in. 7049-T73 Hand Forging, T-L Orientation, (CT Specimens). . .	106
73	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 5 x 20-in. 7049-T73 Hand Forging, T-L Orientation, (CT Specimens). . .	107
74	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 5 x 20-in. 7049-T73 Hand Forging, T-L Orientation, (CN Specimens). . .	108
75	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 5 x 20-in. 7049-T73 Hand Forging, L-T Orientation, (CN Specimens). . .	109
76	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 5 x 20-in. 7049-T73 Hand Forging, S-T Orientation, (CT Specimens). . .	110
77	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 4 x 16-in. 7175-T736 Hand Forging, T-L Orientation, (CT Specimens). . .	111
78	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 5 x 20-in. 7175-T736 Hand Forging, T-L Orientation, (CT Specimens). . .	112
79	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 5 x 20-in. 7175-T736 Hand Forging, T-L Orientation, (CN Specimens). . .	113
80	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 5 x 20-in. 7175-T736 Hand Forging, L-T Orientation, (CN Specimens). . .	114
81	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 5 x 20-in. 7175-T736 Hand Forging, S-T Orientation, (CT Specimens). . .	115
82	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 0.040-in. 7475-T61 Sheet, T-L Orientation, (CN Specimens)	116
83	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 0.125-in. 7475-T61 Sheet, T-L Orientation, (CN Specimens).	117
84	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 0.125-in. 7475-T61 Sheet, L-T Orientation, (CN Specimens).	118
85	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 0.040-in. 7475-T761 Sheet, T-L Orientation, (CN Specimens).	119
86	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 0.125-in. 7475-T761 Sheet, T-L Orientation, (CN Specimens).	120
87	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 0.125-in. 7475-T761 Sheet, L-T Orientation, (CN Specimens).	121
88	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 2-in. 2124-T851 Plate, T-L Orientation, (CN Specimens).	122

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS
(Continued)

FIGURE		PAGE
89	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 4.5-in. 2124-T851 Plate, T-L Orientation, (CN Specimens)	123
90	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 4.5-in. 2124-T851 Plate, L-T Orientation, (CN Specimens)	124
91	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 4.5-in. 2124-T851 Plate, S-L Orientation, (CT Specimens)	125
92	Typical Example of the Four Degrees of Exfoliation Indicated by Code Letters E-A, E-B, E-C and E-D.	126
93	Illustrates Visual Appearance of the 7475 Sheet After 48 Hours Exposure to EXCO.	127
94	Photomicrographs Showing Corrosive Attack in 7475-T61 and T761 Sheet Exposed 48 Hours to EXCO	128
95	Photomicrographs Showing Auxiliary Intergranular Cracks in Failed 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Die Forging Specimens.	129
96	Comparison of Per Cent Survival for Short-Transverse 1/8-in. Diameter Specimens from 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Die Forgings that Permitted a Specimen Perpendicular to and Across the Parting Plane.	130
97	Photomicrographs Showing Presence of Intergranular Auxiliary Cracks in the Fractured Specimens from the Thin 2124-T851 Plates Indicating SCC as the Probable Cause of Failure.	131
98	Per Cent Survival of Short-Transverse Specimens of 2124-T851 Plate Exposed to 3.5% NaCl Alternate Immersion Showing the Better Performance of the Thicker Plate.	132
99	DCB Specimens from Web Region of 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Die Forgings	133
100	Fractured Faces of DCB Specimens from 2124-T851 Plate by Producer A after Being Forced Fractured at the Conclusion of the 30 Day Test	134

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS
(Concluded)

FIGURE		PAGE
101	Photomacrograph of Total Crack in DCB Specimens from 1.75 and 5.5-in. 2124-T851 Plate at the Mid-Width of the Specimen	135
102	Photomicrograph of the Tip of the Crack in DCB Specimens from 1.75 and 5.5-in. 2124-T851 Plate	136

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
I	Chemical Compositions of 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Die Forgings	137
II	Chemical Compositions of 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Hand Forgings	138
III	Chemical Compositions of 7475-T61 and T761 Sheet .	139
IV	Chemical Compositions of 2124-T851 Plate	140
V	Mechanical Properties of 7049-T73 Die Forgings . .	141
VI	Mechanical Properties of 7175-T736 Die Forgings. .	142
VII	Mechanical Properties of 7049-T73 Hand Forgings. .	143
VIII	Mechanical Properties of 7175-T736 Hand Forgings .	144
IX	Mechanical Properties of 7475-T61 Sheet	145
X	Mechanical Properties of 7475-T761 Sheet	146
XI	Supplemental Mechanical Properties of Bare and Alclad 7475-T61 and T761 Sheet	147,148
XII	Mechanical Properties of 2124-T851 Plate	149
XIII	Supplemental Mechanical Properties of 2124-T851 Plate.	150
XIV	Specified Minimum Tensile Properties for Some Aluminum Alloy Forgings, Sheet and Plate	151
XV	Ratios Among the Tensile, Compressive, Shear and Bearing Properties of 7049-T73 Die Forgings. . .	152
XVI	Ratios Among the Tensile, Compressive, Shear and Bearing Properties of 7175-T736 Die Forgings . .	153
XVII	Ratios Among the Tensile, Compressive, Shear and Bearing Properties of 7049-T73 Hand Forgings . .	154
XVIII	Ratios Among the Tensile, Compressive, Shear and Bearing Properties of 7175-T736 Hand Forgings. .	155

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
XIX	Ratios Among the Tensile, Compressive, Shear and Bearing Properties of Bare and Alclad 7475-T61 Sheet	156
XX	Ratios Among the Tensile, Compressive, Shear and Bearing Properties of Bare and Alclad 7475-T761 Sheet	157
XXI	Ratios Among the Tensile, Compressive, Shear and Bearing Properties of 2124-T851 Plate	158
XXII	Statistical Analysis of Ratios Among Tensile, Compressive, Shear and Edgewise Bearing Properties of 7049-T73 Die Forgings	159
XXIII	Statistical Analysis of Ratios Among Tensile, Compressive, Shear and Edgewise Bearing Properties of 7175-T736 Die Forgings	160
XXIV	Statistical Analysis of Ratios Among Tensile, Compressive, Shear and Edgewise Bearing Properties of 7049-T73 Hand Forgings	161
XXV	Statistical Analysis of Ratios Among Tensile, Compressive, Shear and Edgewise Bearing Properties of 7175-T736 Hand Forgings	162
XXVI	Statistical Analysis of Ratios Among Tensile, Compressive, Shear and Flatwise Bearing Properties of Bare and Alclad 7475-T61 Sheet	163
XXVII	Statistical Analysis of Ratios Among Tensile, Compressive, Shear and Flatwise Bearing Properties of Bare and Alclad 7475-T761 Sheet.	164
XXVIII	Statistical Analysis of Ratios Among Tensile, Compressive, Shear and Flatwise Bearing Properties of 2124-T851 Plate.	165
XXIX	Ratios for Computing Design Mechanical Properties of 7049-T73 Die Forgings.	166
XXX	Ratios for Computing Design Mechanical Properties of 7175-T736 Die Forgings	167
XXXI	Ratios for Computing Design Mechanical Properties of 7049-T73 Hand Forgings	168

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
XXXII	Ratios for Computing Design Mechanical Properties of 7175-T736 Hand Forgings	169
XXXIII	Ratios for Computing Design Mechanical Properties of Bare and Alclad 7475-T61 and T761 Sheet	170
XXXIV	Ratios for Computing Design Mechanical Properties of 2124-T851 Plate	171
XXXV	Computed Design Mechanical Properties of 7049-T73 Die Forgings	172
XXXVI	Computed Design Mechanical Properties of 7175-T736 Die Forgings	173
XXXVII	Computed Design Mechanical Properties of 7049-T73 Hand Forgings	174
XXXVIII	Computed Design Mechanical Properties of 7175-T736 Hand Forgings	175
XXXIX	Computed Design Mechanical Properties of Bare and Alclad 7475-T61 Sheet	176
XL	Computed Design Mechanical Properties of Bare and Alclad 7475-T761 Sheet	177
XLI	Computed Design Mechanical Properties of 2124-T851 Plate	178
XLII	Results of Tensile and Compressive Stress-Strain and Modulus of Elasticity Tests of 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Die Forgings	179
XLIII	Results of Tensile and Compressive Stress-Strain and Modulus of Elasticity Tests of 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Hand Forgings	180
XLIV	Results of Tensile and Compressive Stress-Strain and Modulus of Elasticity Tests of 7475-T61 and T761 Sheet	181
XLV	Results of Tensile and Compressive Stress-Strain and Modulus of Elasticity Tests of 2124-T851 Plate	182

LIST OF TABLES
(Concluded)

TABLE		PAGE
XLVI	Summary of Average Modulus Values of 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Forgings, 7475-T61 and T761 Sheet and 2124-T851 Plate	183
XLVII	Typical Tensile and Compressive Properties. .	184
XLVIII	Results of Compact-Tension Fracture Toughness Tests of 7049-T73 Die Forgings.	185
XLIX	Results of Compact-Tension Fracture Toughness Tests of 7175-T736 Die Forgings	186
L	Results of Compact-Tension Fracture Toughness Tests of 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Hand Forgings.	187
LI	Results of Compact-Tension Fracture Toughness Tests of 2124-T851 Plate.	188
LII	Supplemental Compact-Tension Fracture Toughness Data of 2124-T851 Plate	189,190
LIII	Results of Fracture-Toughness Tests of 16-in. Wide Panels from 7475-T61 Sheet	191
LIV	Results of Fracture-Toughness Tests of 16-in. Wide Panels from 7475-T761 Sheet	192
LV	Results of Supplemental Fracture Toughness Tests of 16-in. Wide Panels from Alclad 7475-T61 Sheet.	193
LVI	Results of Longitudinal Smooth and Notched ($K_t=3$) Axial-Stress Fatigue Tests of 7049-T73 Die Forgings ($R=0.0$)	194
LVII	Results of Longitudinal Smooth and Notched ($K_t=3$) Axial-Stress Fatigue Tests of 7175-T736 Die Forgings ($R=0.0$).	195
LVIII	Results of Smooth and Notched ($K_t=3$) Axial-Stress Fatigue Tests of 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Hand Forgings ($R=0.0$).	196
LVIX	Results of Smooth and Notched ($K_t=3$) Axial-Stress Fatigue Tests of 7475-T61 Sheet ($R=0.0$)	197

LIST OF TABLES
(Continued)

TABLE		PAGE
LX	Results of Smooth and Notched ($K_t=3$) Axial-Stress Fatigue Tests of 7475-T761 Sheet (R=0.0)	198
LXI	Results of Smooth and Notched Axial-Stress Fatigue Tests of 2124-T851 Plate (R=0.0).	199
LXII	Results of Smooth and Notched ($K_t=3$) Axial-Stress Fatigue Tests of 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Hand Forgings and 2124-T851 Plate in Salt Fog	200
LXIII	Results of Smooth and Notched ($K_t=3$) Axial-Stress Fatigue Tests of 7475-T61 and T761 Sheet in Salt Fog.	201
LXIV	Rates of Fatigue Crack Propagation.	202
LXV	System for Visual Rating of Exfoliation Corrosion Contained in ASTM Method G34-72	203
LXVI	Results of Accelerated Exfoliation Tests on 7049-T73 Forgings	204
LXVII	Results of Accelerated Exfoliation Tests on 7175-T736 Forgings.	205
LXVIII	Results of Accelerated Exfoliation Tests on 7475 Sheet.	206
LXIX	Results of Accelerated Exfoliation Tests on 2124-T851 Plate	207
LXX	Results of Longitudinal and Long-Transverse Accelerated SCC Test on 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Die Forgings	208
LXXI	Results of Short-Transverse Accelerated SCC Tests on 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Die Forgings.	209
LXXII	Comparison of Per Cent Survival Versus Exposure Time for Short-Transverse Specimens from 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Die Forgings	210
LXXIII	Status of Atmospheric SCC Tests of 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Die Forgings.	211

LIST OF TABLES
(Concluded)

TABLE		PAGE
LXXIV	Results of Accelerated SCC Tests on 7049-T73 Hand Forgings	212
LXXV	Results of Accelerated SCC Tests on 7175-T736 Hand Forgings	213
LXXVI	Results of Accelerated SCC Tests of 7475 Sheet	214
LXXVII	Results of SCC Tests of 2124-T851 Plate	215
LXXVIII	Results of SCC Tests with Precracked Specimens.	216
LXXIX	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 7049-T73 Hand Forgings	218
LXXX	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 7175-T736 Hand Forgings	219
LXXXI	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 7475-T61 Sheet.	220
LXXXII	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 7475-T761 Sheet	221
LXXXIII	Fatigue Crack Growth Data for 2124-T851 Plate	222

SECTION I

INTRODUCTION

A concerted effort has been made in recent years to develop information on the design mechanical properties, fracture toughness, fatigue, and corrosion characteristics of a number of high strength aluminum alloys in suitable tempers and products. Particular attention has been given in recent investigations to the influence of environment on properties, notably rates of fatigue crack growth, as the importance of this variable has become evident.

Within the past few years, several new high-toughness and/or corrosion resistant aluminum alloy products namely 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 die and hand forgings, 7475-T61 and T761 sheet and 2124-T851 plate were developed and have been evaluated in this investigation in a manner similar to that utilized in previous contracts(1-5). Tests of these aluminum alloy products were made to provide statistically reliable data for deriving design mechanical properties for inclusion in MIL-HDBK-5B(6) and to develop typical stress-strain and compressive tangent modulus curves. Additional data concerning fracture toughness, axial-stress fatigue, fatigue crack growth rates and stress-corrosion characteristics of the materials have been obtained.

SECTION II

MATERIAL

A total of twenty-one 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 die and hand forging samples, twenty-five 7475-T61 and T761 sheet samples and ten 2124-T851 plate samples were obtained from three producers for this investigation. Three of the 7049 forgings and five of the 2124 plate samples were produced by Kaiser (designated hereafter as Producer B) and Reynolds (Producer C) with the remainder being produced by Alcoa (Producer A). Each of the samples were fabricated independently to represent an individual lot of material.

The identity, size and chemical compositions of the forging, sheet and plate samples, together with the respective specified composition limits, are shown in Tables I through IV. The compositions are within the applicable limits specified in the Interim Federal Specification QQ-A-00250/29, Aerospace Material Specifications 4111 and 4149 and "Aluminum Standards and Data", The Aluminum Association(7).

The samples represent commercial production material with a variety of thicknesses and grain flow patterns and provide adequate correlation to previous programs. Photographs of the 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 die forging samples are shown in Figs. 1 to 9.

SECTION III

PROCEDURE

A. Mechanical Properties

A.1. Tensile, Compressive, Shear and Bearing

The test specimens and procedures used were, in general, in accordance with ASTM Methods, and where appropriate the same as those used in previous investigations of plate, extrusions and forgings(1-5). These methods are essentially in agreement with Federal Test Method 151(8).

The tests were conducted using the most appropriate load ranges of an Amsler 20,000-lb (Type 10SZBDA58), an Olsen Electomatic 30,000-lb, an Olsen Super-L 20,000-lb, or a Southwark-Tate-Emery 50,000-lb capacity Universal Testing Machine. The machines were calibrated prior to and during the investigation; the accuracy of each was within that required by ASTM(9) and Federal specifications.

Single specimens were tested except in a few instances where a review of the data indicated that check tests were needed.

Tensile, compressive, shear and bearing tests were made using longitudinal, long-transverse and short-transverse specimens from the hand forging and plate samples, longitudinal and short-transverse specimens from the die forgings and longitudinal and long-transverse specimens from the sheet samples. All specimens from the die and hand forgings were taken from the middle third of the cross-section; for the plate samples, longitudinal and long-transverse specimens were from the thickness/4 location and the short-transverse specimens from the thickness/2 location. All specimens from the sheet samples were full thickness with the exception of 3/16-in. diameter shear specimens tested from the 0.188-in. and 0.249-in. thick sheet.

Tensile tests of all the samples were made in accordance with ASTM Methods E8(10). Tests of the forging and plate samples were made using 1/2-in. diameter tapered seat specimens except where it was necessary (in the short-transverse direction and across the parting plane) to use subsize round specimens. Tests of the sheet samples were made using full thickness standard 1/2-in. wide sheet-type specimens. The dimensions of the specimens are shown in Fig. 10.

Compressive tests of the forging and plate samples were made using 1/2-in. diameter x 1-7/8-in. long cylindrical specimens

having a slenderness ratio (l/r) of 15 and those from the sheet samples were full thickness sheet-type specimens (Fig. 11). The tests were conducted in accordance with ASTM Method E9(11) using a subpress (Fig. 3 of Methods E9). Lateral support of the sheet-type specimens was provided by a Montgomery-Templin jig (Fig. 4 of Methods E9). When possible, specimens 3/4-in. diameter and 3-1/2-in. long were used to determine the modulus of elasticity.

Tensile and compressive yield strengths were determined from autographic load-strain diagrams at 0.2 per cent offset.

Shear tests of the forging and plate samples were made using 3/8-in. diameter specimens (Fig. 11). Tests of the sheet samples were made in one of two ways: For sheet ≤ 0.063 -in. thick, the blanking shear strength was determined by measuring the load required to punch a 2-3/4-in. diameter circle from a 4x4-in. blank with a hardened steel punch and die. For thicker sheet ($t \geq 0.187$ -in.), 3/16-in. diameter specimens of the type used from the forging and plate samples were tested. The cylindrical specimens were tested with an Amsler double-shear tool in which a 1-in. length is sheared from the center of a 3-in. long specimen, the end thirds being supported throughout their lengths. For tests of the thinner plate and forging samples in the short-transverse direction, two specimens approximately 1-1/2-in. long were butted together at the center of the Amsler shear tool and tested simultaneously. In the tests of the longitudinal and long-transverse specimens, the loads were applied in the direction normal to the major surface of the samples; in the tests of the short-transverse specimens, the loads were applied in the direction parallel to the major axis(12).

Bearing tests were made in accordance with ASTM Methods E238-68(13), using longitudinal and long-transverse 1-1/2-in. wide or 2-in. wide sheet-type specimens of the type shown in Fig. 12. Edgewise specimens from the forging samples and specimens taken flatwise from the plate were machined 0.094-in. thick x 1-1/2-in. wide. Specimens from the sheet samples were full thickness x 1-1/2-in. or 2-in. wide. The bearing ultimate and yield strengths were determined at edge distances of 1.5 and 2.0 times the pin diameter. The bearing yield strength was determined as the stress at a permanent deformation of 2 per cent of the pin diameter as indicated on autographic load-deformation diagrams.

Tensile and compressive stress-strain tests, including modulus determinations were made of longitudinal, long-transverse and short-transverse specimens taken from a selected number of samples. The tests were, in general, conducted in accordance with ASTM Method E111(14) using uniform reduced-section specimens (Figs. 11 and 13). The strains were measured with two Tuckerman

optical strain gages positioned diametrically opposite over gage lengths of 1 in. or 2 in.; the smaller gage lengths were used to measure the strains of the smaller specimens. The instruments used meet the ASTM requirements of a Class A extensometer⁽¹⁵⁾. In several instances, where the specimens were too short to mount the Tuckerman optical strain gages, the strains were measured using Micro-Measurements Type EA-13-031CF-120 foil strain gages. The moduli of elasticity values were determined by the strain deviation method described in ASTM Method E111⁽¹⁴⁾. Representative typical tensile and compressive stress-strain curves and compressive tangent-modulus curves were then developed based on the data obtained from these tests. The procedures used in developing these curves are outlined in Sections 3.2.3, 3.2.5 and 3.2.6 of Technical Report AFML-TR-66-386⁽¹⁶⁾.

A.2. Fracture Toughness

Duplicate fatigue cracked compact-tension specimens of the type shown in Fig. 14 were used to determine the plane-strain stress-intensity factor, K_{Ic} , of all the forging and plate samples. The specimen orientations (shown in Fig. 15), dimensions, chevron notching, fatigue cracking and testing procedures were essentially in accordance with ASTM Method E399-72⁽¹⁷⁾. The specimens were fatigue-cracked by axial loading ($R=+0.1$) in Krouse fatigue machines. The test setups for fatigue cracking and fracture toughness testing are shown in Figs. 16 and 17. The tests were made in a 30,000-lb capacity Olsen Electromatic testing machine and plots of load vs COD (crack opening displacement) were recorded using a Mosley X-Y plotter. Candidate values of critical plane-strain stress-intensity factor, K_Q , were calculated using the load at 5 per cent secant offset. If all the validity criteria specified in ASTM Method E399-72 were met, the candidate value was designated as K_{Ic} .

The critical stress-intensity factor, K_c , of all the sheet samples were determined from tests of 16-in. wide center slotted panels of the type shown in Fig. 18⁽¹⁸⁾ using guidelines published some years ago⁽¹⁹⁾. The specimens were loaded monotonically (Fig. 19) in an Amsler 300,000-lb capacity testing machine; no anti-buckling guides were used. The crack opening displacement was measured over an 11.3-in. gage length. Plots of load vs COD were made using a Mosley X-Y plotter. The critical crack lengths were measured two ways: (1) the ink stain method where ink follows the slow crack growth and (2) conversion of COD to crack length measurement through a compliance calibration. The critical stress-intensity factor, K_c , was calculated at the point of instability to complete fracture using the two values of critical crack length. Plots of the data were presented using the technique proposed by C. E. Fedderson⁽²⁰⁾. In addition, crack resistance curves of the materials were developed as described by Heyer and McCabe⁽²¹⁾.

A.3. Axial-Stress Fatigue

Tests of smooth and notched axial-stress fatigue specimens of the types shown in Figs. 20 and 21 were made at three stress levels ($R=0.0$) using appropriate specimens taken in the longitudinal and long-transverse directions from selected forging, sheet and plate samples. Single tests of the remaining samples were made at the corresponding intermediate stress level. All of the tests were made in Krouse fatigue machines operating at 13.3, 25.0 or 28.8 Hz.

Smooth and notched specimens having test sections similar to those shown in Figs. 20 and 21 were subjected to axial-stress fatigue tests ($R=0.0$) in a salt fog environment. These specimens were taken in the long-transverse direction from two thicknesses of each of the three products. A chamber was placed around the test section and the specimen was subjected to a 20-second spray of a 3-1/2% salt solution at 5-minute intervals. The tests were made in 5-kip capacity Krouse fatigue machines operating at 18.3 Hz.

B. Fatigue Crack Propagation Tests

Fatigue crack propagation rates for the sheet samples were determined using full thickness, center-notch specimens as shown in Fig. 22. Center-notch specimen, Fig. 23, and compact tension type specimen, Fig. 24, were used for the plate and hand forgings. Data were developed for each alloy and temper in: (a) Dry air, (b) Humid air and (c) 3-1/2% NaCl salt fog. Specimens were taken from two thicknesses of each alloy and temper; for the thicker product, the effect of specimen orientation was studied. Some tests were also made to determine the effect of load level.

The center-notch specimens contained a 0.20-in. long EDM (electrical discharge machining) crack-starter notch. The specimens were precracked to obtain an initial crack length of 0.5 in., from which point crack propagation data were recorded; the final 0.1 in. of precracking was carried out at test loads. The sheet specimens were tested in a 15-kip Krouse fatigue machine as shown in Fig. 25 at a frequency of 13.3 Hz. The tests of the 3/4-in. thick center-notch specimens were made in 50,000-lb capacity structural fatigue machines of the type shown in Fig. 26, at a frequency of 5.2 Hz. The compact tension type crack propagation specimens were tested in a 5-kip Krouse machine at a frequency of 18.3 Hz using fixtures similar to those shown in Fig. 27. As for the center-notch specimens, the compact tension specimens were precracked, with the last 0.10 in. of precracking being performed at test loads. The compact-tension type specimens were utilized for the short-transverse specimens and the long-transverse specimens from the smaller forgings. Both center-notch and compact tension

specimens were taken in the long-transverse direction of the 5x20-in. hand forgings so that the effect of specimen type could be determined. All tests were performed at a stress ratio of one-third ($R=+1/3$).

For most specimens, the crack propagation was determined by taking periodic measurements of the crack length using a magnifier to read a grid photographically reproduced on the specimen.

To control the test environment, the test section of each specimen was contained in a chamber such as shown in Figs. 2 and 28. Dry air (relative humidity ≤ 10 per cent) was obtained using dessicants; humid air (relative humidity ≥ 90 per cent) was obtained by having a water reservoir in the chamber. For the tests at 5.2 Hz, the salt fog consisted of a one-minute spraying of 3-1/2% NaCl saltwater solution into the compartment at 15-minute intervals. For tests at 13.3 and 18.3 Hz, a 20 second spray was applied at 5-minute intervals.

Crack length (a) was plotted as a function of the number of cycles (N). The rate of fatigue crack growth, da/dN , was determined by fitting a second degree polynomial through each three successive data points. The rates of crack growth were plotted as a function of ΔK , the range of stress intensity factor, where K is defined as follows:

$$K = Y \frac{P\sqrt{a}}{BW}$$

Where a = crack length, in. (half of total crack length for center-notch specimens)

B = specimen thickness, in.

W = specimen width, in. (load line to end of specimen for compact tension specimen)

P = load, kips

$$Y = (\text{center-notch specimen}) = 1.77 + 0.277 \left(\frac{2a}{W}\right) - 0.510 \left(\frac{2a}{W}\right)^2 + 2.7 \left(\frac{2a}{W}\right)^3 \quad (\text{Ref. 23})$$

$$Y = (\text{compact-tension specimen}) = 30.96 - 195.8 \left(\frac{a}{W}\right) + 730.6 \left(\frac{a}{W}\right)^2 - 1186.3 \left(\frac{a}{W}\right)^3 \quad (\text{Ref. 24})$$

($H/W = 0.485$, where H is one-half the specimen height)

These data were computer analyzed and plotted.

C. Corrosion Characteristics

C.1. Resistance to Exfoliation

Resistance to exfoliation of each of the items was evaluated by means of 2x4-in. panels machined to the T/10 plane (10% of the section thickness machined from one of the fabricated surfaces) and exposed to the EXCO test per ASTM Standard Test Method G34-72(25). The EXCO test involves total immersion for 48 hours (144 hours used for 2124-T851 plate) to a 4N. NaCl + 0.5N. KNO₃ + 0.1N. HNO₃ solution. In addition, selected lots of each product were exposed for one week to the acidified salt spray test required by Military specifications for T76 temper products and to seacoast atmosphere at Point Judith, Rhode Island. Specimens exposed to the two accelerated environments were rated visually according to the classifications and photographic standards contained in ASTM G34-72.

C.2. Resistance to Stress-Corrosion Cracking (SCC) - Smooth Specimens

C.2.1. Forgings and Plate

The resistance to SCC of susceptible aluminum alloys and tempers is most critical in the short-transverse direction (perpendicular to or across the parting plane in the case of die forgings); consequently the majority of tests were made on specimens oriented in this direction. Certain items of each product were also tested in the longitudinal and long-transverse directions.

The principal test specimen used was a 0.125-in. diameter threaded end tensile specimen meeting the requirements of ASTM Method E8(10). Specimens were centered in the product thickness; except that for die forgings they were taken across the parting plane, 3/8 in. below the base of the flash or as close thereto as possible. For die forgings where the parting plane is located at one surface, specimens were taken perpendicular to the parting plane. The cross-section of the various die forged shapes at the region tested and the position of the test specimen are shown in Fig. 29. In addition two of the die forgings were tested using specimens positioned 3/16 in. from the flash and one flat shape was tested using 3/4-in. O.D. C-rings positioned 3/8 in. from the flash (Fig. 29). In some cases where quick failures were encountered, retests were made using a 0.225-in. diameter specimen.

Unstressed specimens were exposed in duplicate and stressed specimens in triplicate. Tensile specimens were stressed

in direct tension using Alcoa's wedge-load stressing frames (Fig. 30); the amount of stress being determined by measurement of the induced strain. C-ring specimens were stressed by applying a calculated amount of deflection. Stress levels used were:

- (a) Longitudinal and long-transverse specimens - 75% of the actual yield strength
- (b) Short-transverse specimens: 7049-T73 - 45* and 35 ksi
7175-T736 - 45, 35* and 25 ksi
2124-T851 - 75% of actual short-transverse yield strength and 58 and 50%* of the guaranteed long-transverse yield strength, (G.Y.S.).

Note: * Quoted capability in 30 day, 3.5% NaCl alternate immersion test.

The corrosive environments used were: (a) 84 days exposure to 3.5% NaCl by alternate immersion per Federal Test Standard 151b, Method 823(8), (b) seacoast atmosphere at Point Judith, R.I., and (c) industrial atmosphere at New Kensington, Pa. Atmospheric tests were scheduled for a minimum of 4 years exposure, but at report time had completed only about one year.

C.2.2. 7475 Sheet

Stress-corrosion tests of the sheet were made with two types of long-transverse specimens: a premachined tensile specimen (per ASTM Method E8) and a plastically deformed tensile specimen blank. Full thickness specimens were used for the 0.040 and 0.063-in. sheet; for thicknesses greater than 0.063-in., were machined on one side to 0.063 in. and the rolled surface was stressed in tension. Both types of specimens were stressed in bending by arcing them in a constant span fixture (Fig. 31); the tensile specimens being end-milled to a length to provide a stress of 75 per cent of the actual yield strength. Unstressed tensile specimens were also tested. All specimens, stressed and unstressed, were exposed to the 3.5% NaCl alternate immersion test per Federal Test Standard 151b, Method 823.

C.3. Resistance to SCC - Precracked Specimens

Stress corrosion tests of precracked specimens were made using duplicate, short-transverse, bolt-loaded double cantilever beam (DCB) specimens of the type shown in Fig. 32, taken in the S-L orientation. The items tested were; the die forged shape 9078 and the 2 and 5-in. thick hand forgings of

both 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 alloys, plus the 5 lots of 2124-T851 plate fabricated by producer A. Specimens from the hand forgings and plate were 1x1x5 in., centered in the product thickness. Prior to obtaining the DCB specimens longitudinal slices from the hand forgings were macroetched to ensure that the specimen precrack was in line with the grain flow (Fig. 33). Specimens from the die forgings were 9/16x1x5 in. because of the forging geometry and were taken from the flange just below the parting plane and from the central web of the forging (Fig. 34).

The specimens were precracked in tension and loaded to pop-in (the first indication of unstable crack growth), the crack being inoculated with 3.5% NaCl solution at the time of pop-in. The specimens were then exposed for 30 days in an air environment of 80 F and 45 per cent relative humidity. Three times each working day, a few drops of 3.5% NaCl solution were dripped into the crack. This specimen and test procedure was developed by Boeing in their ARPA program No. 878(26)

SECTION IV

RESULTS OF TESTS

The results of the individual tensile, compressive, shear and bearing tests, including some supplemental data from 38 lots of bare and Alclad 7475 sheet and 9 lots of 2124-T851 plate, the ratios among these test results, the statistical analysis of these ratios, and the computed design values are shown in Tables V through XLI. Typical tensile and compressive stress-strain and compressive tangent-modulus curves are shown in Figs. 35 through 41.

The results of the compact-tension fracture toughness tests and those of the wide center-slotted panels are shown in Tables XLVIII through LV. Also included are the results of some supplemental compact-tension and wide center-slotted panel fracture toughness tests.

The results of the smooth and notched axial-stress fatigue tests ($R=0.0$) are shown in Tables LVI through LXI and Figs. 53 through 66. Those of smooth and notched long-transverse specimens in salt fog environment are presented in Tables LXII and LXIII and Figs. 67 through 71. For comparison, scatter bands of the long transverse specimens tested in air are also shown.

The results of the fatigue crack growth tests are plotted in Figs. 72 through 91 and summarized in Table LXIV. In each case, the raw data are presented in the a version of each figure and the ΔK vs, da/dN data are shown in the b version. In the following evaluations differences in fatigue crack-growth rates of less than 50 per cent are not considered significant.

A description of the visual ratings of exfoliation specimens contained in ASTM Method G34-72 is given in Table LXV and an example of the four degrees of exfoliation is shown in Fig. 92. Results of the exfoliation tests are given in Tables LXVI through LXIX and Figs. 93 and 94.

The results of stress corrosion tests with smooth specimens are shown in Tables LXX through LXXVII and Figs. 95 through 98. Results of tests with precracked specimens are in Table LXXVIII and Figs. 99 through 102.

SECTION V

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

A. Mechanical Properties

A.1. Tensile, Compressive, Shear and Bearing

The tensile properties of each sample of each product tested exceeded the respective specified minimum property requirements (Table XIV).

The strengths of the 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 forgings (Tables V to VIII) are comparable based on the direct comparison of the values determined for identical shapes (Sample Nos. 410698 and 410699 and Sample Nos. 410697 and 410705). Harmsworth(27) in his evaluation of several landing gears indicated tensile properties of 7049-T73 forging samples to be 2 to 3 ksi higher than those of 7175-T736 forgings. Other investigations(28,29,30) of 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 forgings indicated properties comparable to those determined in this investigation.

The tensile properties of bare 7475-T61 sheet are higher than those of bare T761 sheet (Tables IX and X) and similar to those reported by R. R. Cervay(31).

Evaluation of the tensile and compressive properties of the 7049-T73 die forgings (Table V) and 2124-T851 plate (Table XII) indicated some apparent differences in the materials fabricated by the different producers. However, whether or not these differences are significant is questionable because of the small number of samples. The longitudinal tensile, tensile yield and compressive yield strengths of the 7049-T73 forgings fabricated by Producer A are considerably higher than those from Producer B (Table V). In the case of the 2124 plate (Table XII), for thicknesses greater than 4.000-in., the properties of plate from Producer A are somewhat higher than those of plate from Producer C.

The ratios among the tensile, compressive, shear and bearing properties of the individual 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 die and hand forging samples are shown in Tables XV through XVIII; the ratios among the properties of the 7475-T61 and T761 sheet samples and the ratios among the properties of the supplemental bare and Alclad 7475-T61 and T761 sheet samples are shown in Tables XIX and XX. The ratios among the properties of the contract and supplemental 2124-T851 samples are shown in Table XXI.

The statistical analysis of the ratio data were made in accordance with the procedures outlined in MIL-HDBK-5 Guidelines for presentation of Data(21). A regression analysis of each group of ratios was made to determine whether the data showed a significant correlation with thickness. Whenever a significant correlation with thickness was indicated, values of minimum ratios, $\text{Min } \bar{R}$, were selected which correspond with the lower limit of the confidence band around the regression line at the lower end of each respective thickness range. When no correlation was indicated, a single minimum value of \bar{R} was selected for all thicknesses. These values of minimum \bar{R} were used for determining derived design values for the respective thickness ranges.

No statistical analysis was made on a population containing less than eight data points. When a population of less than eight data points was encountered, the mean value, \bar{R} , was determined and adjusted downward by a factor of 0.01, the same decrease generally indicated when larger populations were analyzed statistically.

In view of the test variables (specimen alignment, contaminated test surfaces, etc.) that may affect the results of the bearing tests, some broader adjustments were made to the ratios. The bearing ratios for the bare and Alclad 7475-T61 and T761 sheet (L plus LT directions) were combined into four groups (BUS, BYS, 1.5D and 2.0D), analyzed as individual groups with a single minimum value, $\text{Min } \bar{R}$, being determined for each property and condition. The ratio values of $\text{Min } \bar{R}$, for all bearing tests, were then rounded to the nearest 0.05.

The distribution of the ratios, and the values for the various terms in the statistical analyses, are shown in Tables XXII through XXVIII. The results of the statistical analysis of the die and hand forging samples and bare and Alclad 7475-T61 and T761 sheet samples indicate, with the exception of the short-transverse compressive yield strength of the die forging samples, that no correlation with thickness exists among the ratios. The results of the statistical analysis of the data for plate indicate that all of the shear and bearing yield ratios (1-1/2D and 2D) show a general increase in most of the ratios with increase in thickness, while the longitudinal compressive yield shows a general decrease.

Since the shear and bearing tests were made with specimens taken in two or three directions (L, LT and ST), the Student's "t" test and the "f" test were applied to the ratios of each direction to determine if there were significant differences in the average ratios or in the variability for the different directions. Where none was indicated, the ratios for the different directions were combined for computation of the minimum ratios to be used; where

there was a significant difference, the most conservative value was used. No differences with direction were found in the shear and bearing ratios of the forgings, sheet and plate samples, except for the short-transverse shear strength ratios of the 2124-T851 plate. In the case of the hand forging samples, where there were so few tests, the L and LT bearing ratios were combined even though the statistical tests suggested they may not have come from the same population.

The ratio values used in computing the design values from the specified tensile properties of the respective thickness ranges of each alloy and product are summarized in Tables XXIX through XXXIV. The corresponding computed design values for each of the alloys and products are summarized in Tables XXXV through XLI; also shown, when applicable, are the differences between these values and the corresponding values presently in MIL-HDBK-5B.

In preparing the design tables for 7049-T73 die and hand forgings and 7175-T736 die forgings, the respective tensile-property values in AMS-4111 and 4149, as shown in Table XIV, were used as basis property "S" values. The tentative values for 7175-T736 hand forgings, 7475 sheet and 2124-T851 plate were based on Alcoa production data. The basis property values and the ratios shown in Tables XXIX through XXXIV, were used in computing the remaining design values.

The derived compressive and shear values of the 7049-T73 hand forgings differ no more than ± 2 ksi from the design values now published in MIL-HDBK-5B. Other than the tensile property values for 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 die forgings; no design values are shown for the remaining alloys in MIL-HDBK-5B.

The results of the tensile and compressive stress-strain tests, including modulus, are shown in Tables XLII through XLV and the average modulus values are shown in Table XLVI.

The results of the modulus tests indicated small differences in the modulus values with respect to direction (L, LT and ST). For the 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 die forgings, the tensile and compressive modulus values in the longitudinal direction were about 0.5 to 2.2 per cent higher than the short-transverse values; for the hand forgings, the long-transverse values were about 0.8 to 2.0 per cent higher than the longitudinal and short-transverse values. With one exception, the difference in modulus values with respect to direction for the 7475 sheet and 2124 plate was 0.7 per cent or less.

The average tensile and compressive modulus values of alloys and products are as follows:

Alloy	Product	Modulus, 10 ³ ksi	
		Tensile	Compressive
7049 & 7175	Die Forgings	10.2	10.7
7049 & 7175	Hand Forgings	10.2	10.6
7475	Sheet	10.0	10.5
2124	Plate	10.4	10.9

The average moduli for each product are indicated to be 4 or 5 per cent higher in compression than in tension.

The modulus values shown for the 7000 series forgings are about the same as those shown in MIL-HDBK-5B and slightly less, in most cases, than those determined in previous contracts on stress-relieved plate(1), extrusions(3) and forgings(5). For the typical stress-strain and compressive tangent modulus curves, the modulus values shown in the summary table above were used.

The results of the analysis of the individual stress-strain tests indicated that, for a given alloy, temper and direction, no apparent trend with thickness in the offsets from the modulus line at stresses expressed in per cent of the yield strength was evident. Typical tensile and compressive stress-strain and compressive tangent modulus curves have been prepared for each alloy and product and are shown in Figs. 35 through 41. The curves were derived and are presented in accordance with the procedures outlined in MIL-HDBK-5 Guidelines for Presentation of Data(16). The tensile yield strengths used in deriving the typical stress-strain curves are the typical values (Table XLVII) indicated in Alcoa's production in recent years; it is assumed that these values would be representative for the industry. The compressive yield strengths were based on the average of the ratios encompassed by the specified thickness range for the typical tensile values.

A.2. Fracture Toughness

The results of the compact-tension fracture toughness tests of the forging and plate samples are shown in Tables XLVIII through LI. Supplemental fracture toughness data for nine lots of 2124-T851 plate are shown in Table LII.

About 1/5 of the candidate K_{Ic} values determined from tests of 7049-T73 forgings and about 2/3 of those determined from tests of 7175-T736 forgings are not strictly valid by all the criteria of the ASTM Standard Method of Test for Plane-Strain Fracture Toughness of Metallic Materials(17). However, as indicated in the tables most of these calculated values are considered meaningful values of K_{Ic} since the validity criteria were exceeded by only a small amount. The data from both the forgings and plate indicate

that the fracture toughness of each alloy and product is greatest in the longitudinal (L-T or L-S orientations) direction and generally lowest in the short-transverse (S-L orientation) direction.

The average K_{Ic} values determined are summarized as follows along with some data from previous contracts:

Alloy and Temper	Product	$K_{Ic}, \text{ksi}\sqrt{\text{in.}}$		
		L-T & L-S	T-L	S-L
7049-T73	Die Forging	31.2	--	22.5
	Hand Forging	29.8	20.9	19.9
7175-T736	Die Forging	35.1*	--	25.8
	Hand Forging	34.6*	26.9	23.3
7075-T7352 ⁺	Forgings	31	25	21
2124-T851	Plate (Producers A & C)	29.6	24.3	22.2
2024-T851 ⁺	Plate	23	20	17

* Average of all K_Q values considered meaningful.

+ Average values shown in MIL-HDBK-5B.

As shown in the above table, the 7175-T736 forgings exhibit average long-transverse and short-transverse (T-L and S-L orientations) K_{Ic} values 2 to 6 $\text{ksi}\sqrt{\text{in.}}$ higher than the corresponding values exhibited by the 7049-T73 forgings, consistent with the findings of Harmsworth(27). In the longitudinal direction (L-T or L-S orientations) alloy 7175 was able to develop only two fully valid K_Q values because of inability to take large enough specimens to meet the thickness criterion. However, these values do provide a good indication of the toughness that can be expected for 7175-T736 forgings.

No significant difference in the toughness of the 7049-T73 die forgings from the different producers was noted.

The K_{Ic} values determined for the 2124-T851 plate from Producers A and C and the supplemental lots are in the range expected for 2124-T851 plate; the values for the plate from Producer B are lower and more typical of commercial 2024-T851 plate. In view of this, data for 2124-T851 plate for Producer B was excluded from the average values.

The following general observations concerning the toughness of the contract material as compared to data from MIL-HDBK-5B can be made:

a) 7049-T73 die and hand forgings - about equal to 7075-T7352 forgings in the L-T or L-S and S-L orientations and less than 7075-T7352 forgings in the T-L orientation.

b) 7175-T736 die and hand forgings - greater than 7075-T7352 forgings.

c) 2124-T851 plate (Producers A & C) - greater than 2024-T851 plate.

The results of 16-in. wide center slot fracture toughness specimens from the 7475-T61 and T761 sheet samples are shown in Tables LIII and LIV. Supplemental fracture toughness data from 3 lots (24 tests) of Alclad 7475-T61 sheet are shown in Table LV.

As a result of the high toughness of alloy 7475 in the T761 temper, nearly half of the candidate K_c values were from tests in which the net section stress exceeded 0.8 of the tensile yield strength, indicating excessive yielding in the tests. Although these values are not valid, they are useful in indicating the general toughness level of the material. Only three tests of the 7475-T61 sheet and four of the Alclad 7475-T61 sheet resulted in invalid tests; these also were considered indicative of general toughness levels.

Tests of center-slot specimens having an original crack length of $2t$ (0.125 in.) and modified center slot specimens (0.250-in. crack length and specimen width 14 in.) failed prematurely at the grip end. Although the fractures occurred prematurely, the high toughness of 7475 was evident since the net section stress developed by each specimen was about equal to the tensile yield strengths of the samples.

The critical stress-intensity factor, K_c , from the other tests was calculated using crack lengths obtained in two ways: the ink stain method where the ink follows the flow crack growth and the critical crack lengths are measured to the nearest hundredth of an inch with scale and dividers and by the compliance method where the critical crack lengths were determined by measurement of the secant offset to the fracture instability and conversion of the offset to crack length. The K_c values determined using both crack lengths are shown in the tables. It should be noted that the visual measurements generally result in the more conservative K_c value, as expected since no plastic zone correction was used with the visual measurements while the compliance technique automatically compensates for crack tip plasticity. The K_c values are presented for the T61 and T761 tempers in Figs. 42 and 43 as a function of thickness.

As may be noted in the table below, the critical stress-intensity factor, K_{Ic} , indicate substantially greater toughness for bare and Alclad 7475 sheet than for 7075-T6 sheet, and toughness comparable to that of 2024-T3 sheet from previous tests of 16-in. wide panels.

Alloy and Temper	Critical Stress-Intensity Factor, K_{Ic} , ksi $\sqrt{\text{in.}}$			
	L-T		T-L	
	Visual	Compliance	Visual	Compliance
7475-T61	98.9	105.0	92.0	96.4
7475-T761	106.0	119.4	101.4	112.5
Alc7475-T61	87.4	93.6	85.7	94.4
7075-T6	65.0	--	60.0	--
2024-T3	95.0	--	80.0	--

The K_{Ic} values for 7475 sheet are high enough that the toughness of the alloy cannot be fully appreciated from tests of small panels; tests of much larger panels would be required to illustrate the full potential of the material. For example, in tests of 10-ft wide panels, Wang, of McDonnell Douglas, obtained values ranging from 130 to 160 ksi $\sqrt{\text{in.}}$ (32). In similar tests of 2024-T3, they obtained values ranging from 150 to 175 ksi $\sqrt{\text{in.}}$. This substantiates that the toughness of 7475 sheet approaches that of 2024-T3 and is vastly superior to that of 7075-T6.

In addition to the above analysis of the K_{Ic} values, crack resistance curves were developed for some of the T61 and T761 samples using the methods recommended by R. H. Heyer and D. E. McCabe(21) and are shown in Figs. 44 to 47. The developed curves show the crack growth resistance of a material as a function of crack extension and indicate that a crack in alloy 7475 may be expected to start to grow at a critical stress-intensity value, K_{Ic} , of about 40 ksi $\sqrt{\text{in.}}$ but will continue to remain stable until R values well in excess of 100 ksi $\sqrt{\text{in.}}$ are attained with a crack growth of over 1 in. Analysis of the data also indicates the curves to be similar to those (Fig.48) developed for 7475 sheet by other investigators(32,33,34,35).

Some of the K_{Ic} data was also analyzed using the method recommended by C. E. Feddersen(20), the results of which are shown in Figs. 49 to 52. Three "damage" levels are presented and are identified as "threshold" (beginning of slow crack growth and plasticity), "apparent" (no crack growth at critical instability) and "critical" (total crack plus crack growth at critical instability). The data suggest that the 7475-T761 sheet has a slightly higher critical damage level but a somewhat lower threshold level than the T61 sheet. However, the data do not fit the analysis well, possibly because anti-buckling guides were not used.

A.3. Axial-Stress Fatigue

A.3.1. Ambient Air Environment

The results of axial-stress fatigue tests ($R=0.0$) of smooth and notched specimens taken in the longitudinal direction of the die forgings and longitudinal and long-transverse direction of the hand forgings, sheet and plate are summarized in Tables LVI through LXI and shown in Figs. 53 to 66. Log-mean fatigue life values for many of the preselected stress levels are shown in the tables and curves have been drawn through these points in the figures to indicate the trend of the data. Log-mean lives could not be calculated for many of the tests made at the two lower stress levels, since at least one specimen did not fail within the number of cycles allotted for the test.

There does not appear to be any consistent correlation between the fatigue life and product thickness; except that for the 7175-T736 hand forgings, the fatigue lives of the smooth specimens tend to decrease with increasing thickness.

The following general observations have been made concerning the smooth and notched ($K_t=3$) log-mean fatigue lives of the various alloys, tempers and products:

7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Die Forgings (Figs. 53 through 56)

a) the longitudinal fatigue lives of both alloys are about the same; however, the smooth specimen fatigue lives of 7175-T736 at the low stress level are longer than those of 7049-T73.

b) at the high stress level, the lives of both alloys are about equal to or longer than those shown for 7075-T7352 hand forgings; at the low stress level, the lives are shorter.

7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Hand Forgings (Figs. 57 through 60)

a) the smooth longitudinal fatigue lives of 7049-T73 are longer than the long-transverse lives.

b) except for the 7049-T73 long-transverse fatigue lives at the low stress level being shorter, the fatigue lives of both alloys are about the same.

c) the lives of both alloys are longer than those shown for 7075-T7352 hand forgings.

7475 Sheet Figs. 61 through 64)

a) at high stress levels, 7475-T61 shows longer lives than 7475-T761; at the lower stress levels, they are about the same.

b) the lives of the 7475-T61 and T761 are about equal to or greater than those of 2024-T3 and 7075-T6 sheet.

2124-T851 Plate (Figs. 65 and 66)

a) at the high stress level, the lives are about the same as those of 2024-T851 plate; at the low stress levels, the lives are shorter.

A.3.2. Salt Fog Environment

The salt fog environment lowers the fatigue strength of all products (Table LXIII and Figs. 67 through 71). As is common in corrosion-fatigue tests, the effect of the environment is greatest at the lower stresses where the time of exposure is longer. Further, the reduction in long-life fatigue strength is greater for the smooth than for the notched specimens. The number of corrosion-fatigue tests was small and several specimens failed outside the reduced section although such areas were coated with paint. However, the following observations can be made concerning the effect of the salt fog on the various alloys, tempers and products:

7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Hand Forgings (Fig. 67 and 68)

a. The results for the smooth specimens of the two alloys appear equivalent.

b. The notched 7049-T73 specimens have higher corrosion-fatigue strengths than the 7175-T736 specimens.

c. The fatigue strength is generally higher for the thicker forgings.

7475-T61 and T761 Sheet (Figs. 69 and 70)

a. For both smooth and notched specimens, the salt fog environment has a greater effect on the thinner sheet.

b. The lives of the 7475-T61 and T761 specimens appear to be equivalent.

2124-T851 Plate (Fig. 71)

a. The smooth and notched specimens from the 2-in. thick plate tend to have higher fatigue strengths than the corresponding specimens from the 4-1/2-in. plate.

b. The fatigue strengths of smooth 2124-T851 plate specimens tested in salt fog approximate those obtained for the 7175-T736 forgings (Fig. 68), although the fatigue strength in air is substantially higher for the forging. Thus, the environmental effect is less for 2124-T851 plate than for 7175-T736 forgings.

B. Fatigue-Crack-Propagation Tests

Generally, crack-growth rate is significantly faster in humid air and salt fog than in dry air, especially at the lower growth rates. As discussed below, the notable exception is in the S-L and S-T specimens, wherein the crack growth rate in the humid environments are either comparable or slower than that in dry air. There is generally good agreement between the $da/dN-\Delta K$ relationships for tests made of duplicate specimens where the tests were made at either low or high loads, with an overlapping ΔK range. The effects of orientation, specimen type and environment are discussed below.

7049-T73 Hand Forgings

a) For tests in both dry and humid air, the rates of fatigue-crack-propagation determined for T-L (long transverse) compact tension specimens are comparable for the 4x16-in. and 5x20-in. forgings, Figs. 72 and 73, respectively. Growth rates determined using center-notch specimens (Fig. 74) are generally agreeable with those for the compact tension specimens.

b) In all three environments crack propagation is slower for the L-T (longitudinal) specimens (Fig. 75) than the T-L specimens (Fig. 74) at the higher stress intensities.

c) The rates of crack propagation for the S-T (short transverse) specimen tested in dry air (Fig. 76) are surprisingly, slower than those obtained in humid air and faster than those of the corresponding T-L specimens. The specimen used for the test in humid air demonstrated much greater resistance to crack propagation during the precracking stage; apparently, this behavior carried over into the propagation stage of the test. (Fractographic examination is being made to determine if there is an explanation for this behavior. The results will be inserted into the final report.)

7175-T736 Hand Forging

a) In all environments the rates of fatigue-crack-propagation determined using compact tension specimens taken in the T-L direction are comparable for the 4x16-in. and 5x20-in. hand forgings, Figs. 77 and 78, respectively.

b) For the 5x20-in. forging, the crack propagation rates determined using T-L center-notch specimens (Fig. 79) are comparable to those obtained using T-L compact tension specimens (Fig. 78) for each environment. The results for one center notch specimen, WL5, indicate that the salt fog increases the rate of propagation over that of specimens tested in humid air, whereas,

the results for specimen WL6, tested at a load 63 per cent higher, indicate equivalent results for tests in humid and salt fog environments; this may result from the reduced time of exposure at the higher load. The effect of the humid air and salt fog environments is comparable to T-S specimens from 7075-T7352 hand forgings.

c) For the L-T specimens, the salt fog doubled the rate of crack growth (Fig. 80). In the dry and humid air the rates of crack growth are equivalent to those determined for T-L specimens (Fig. 79).

d) The rates of propagation for L-T and T-L specimens in dry air are equivalent to those of similar specimens from a 7075-T7352 hand forging tested previously⁽⁵⁾ in ambient air (5 to 50 per cent relative humidity).

e) Crack propagation of the S-T specimens (Fig. 81) appears to be unaffected by humidity or salt fog. At the lower stress intensities, crack propagation is slower for the S-T specimens than for the T-L specimens (Fig. 78). Further, at the lower stress intensities, crack propagation is significantly slower than that of S-L center-notch specimens from a 7075-T7352 hand forging⁽⁵⁾ (15 to 50 per cent humidity).

f) At the higher stress intensities, the rate of fatigue-crack-propagation for the 7175-T736 T-L specimens is generally slower than that of similar specimens from the 7049-T73 hand forgings.

7475-T61 and 7475-T761 Sheet

a) For a given temper and environment fatigue crack growth rates are comparable for the T-L and L-T specimens (Figs. 82 through 87).

b) The fatigue-crack-propagation behavior of the specimens from the T61 and T761 tempers are generally equivalent in both the dry air and salt fog environments. In humid air, propagation is somewhat slower in the 0.125-in. 7475-T761 sheet than in the T61 sheet.

c) Fatigue-crack-propagation in sheet of either temper is two to three times faster in humid air than in dry air and about four times faster in the salt fog environment than in dry air.

d) The data for tests in dry and humid air generally bracket the average curve representing unpublished Alcoa Research Laboratory data for 0.090-in. thick Alclad 7475-T651 sheet tested

in ambient air (generally within the range of 25 to 50 per cent relative humidity). Apparently the cladding does not greatly affect the rate of fatigue-crack propagation.

2124-T851 Plate

a) In dry air the rates of propagation in the T-L specimens from the 4.5-in. plate (Fig. 89) are lower than those from the 2-in. plate (Fig. 88). The growth rates for the two thicknesses of plate are equivalent in both the humid air and salt fog environments.

b) The L-T specimens (Fig. 90) have somewhat slower rates of propagation than the T-L (Fig. 89) specimens in the ΔK range of 10-15 ksi $\sqrt{\text{in}}$.

c) The fatigue-crack-propagation rates determined for compact tension type, S-L specimens (Fig. 91) are comparable to those determined for center-notch, T-L specimens.

d) For both L-T and T-L specimens, crack growth is slower than indicated by the average curves for 2024-T851 plate (3,36).

e) Several delays (one to eleven days) occurred in the test of specimen LTF9 (Fig. 89) in salt fog, which may have resulted in blunting of the crack tip. The a vs N and da/dN vs ΔK data for this specimen was quite erratic. Accordingly, most of the subsequent tests in salt fog were conducted in a single day's testing time. The propagation of the few specimens subjected to a single overnight delay does not appear to be affected by the delays.

f) Crack propagation was not accelerated appreciably by the humid or salt fog environments.

C. Corrosion Characteristics

C.1. Resistance to Exfoliation

C.1.1. 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Forgings

In general, the 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 die and hand forgings, showed a high degree of resistance to exfoliation, with the 7175-T736 forgings being the more resistant of the two alloys. The only forging showing appreciable exfoliation was the 5-in. thick 7049-T73 hand forging, S. No. 410688 (Table LXVI). Two other 7049-T73 forgings (S. Nos. 410698 and 410686, Table LXVI) and one 7175-T736 forging (S. No. 410699), Table LXVII) developed very minor exfoliation, but because some was present they had to be rated in the E-A category (Table LXV and Fig. 92). However, the degree of susceptibility in the latter three forgings was so slight that it is quite likely they will not exfoliate in the seacoast atmosphere.

C.1.2. 7475 Sheet

The 12 lots of 7475-T61 sheet showed some exfoliation, with one lot showing appreciable exfoliation to a C degree. In contrast, all the 7475-T761 sheets encountered only pitting attack, Table LXVIII. The visual appearance of representative specimens is shown in Fig. 93. The form of corrosion present on the 7475-T61 sheet, S. No. 410658 was obviously exfoliation. However, one might question whether the remaining T61 lots incurred exfoliation or a severe degree of pit-blistering and also whether the T761 lots were completely free of exfoliation. Metallographic examination (Fig. 94) confirmed that the corrosion in T61 sheets was exfoliation resulting from intergranular attack and only pitting in the T761 temper sheets.

These results demonstrate the superiority of the T761 temper over the T61 temper from the standpoint of resistance to exfoliation. The performance of the 7475-T61 sheet was about as expected and even though it did show some susceptibility, it is still better than what is to be expected of 7075-T6 sheet. Past experience has shown that in the thicker gauges (≥ 0.125 in.) the majority of 7075-T6 sheet will show susceptibility to exfoliation to a greater degree than E-A.

C.1.3. 2124-T851 Plate

The EXCO test is recommended only for copper containing 7XXX series alloys and during development of the test it was shown that 4 or more days exposure is required to reveal susceptibility to exfoliation in 2XXX series alloys. Accordingly, a 6 day exposure period was used for the contract material. The spray test will reliably detect exfoliation susceptibility in 2XXX series alloys within one week exposure, but it too was lengthened to two weeks to ensure that a very slight degree of susceptibility would not be missed. Even with this prolonged exposure, all lots of 2124-T851 plate were fully resistant to exfoliation (Table LXIX).

C.2. Resistance to SCC - Smooth Specimens

C.2.1. 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Forgings

C.2.1.1. Die Forgings

Results of stress corrosion tests on 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 die forgings in the longitudinal and long-transverse directions are shown in Table LXX. Neither alloy incurred any failure and the reduction in strength by corrosion was similar for both alloys.

The losses in strength of stressed specimens from the forgings less than 1-in. thick (S. Nos. 410693 and 410983) were somewhat high, approximately 50 per cent. The fractured faces of

these specimens were examined to determine whether incipient SCC was responsible for the high loss. The examination showed that the higher-than-normal reduction in strength resulted from short transgranular cracks emanating from sites of deep pitting corrosion and no evidence of intergranular SCC was detected.

Results of accelerated tests in the short-transverse direction are given in Table LXXXI. As regards the 30 day capability, alloy 7049-T73 is guaranteed at 45 ksi. At this stress, two of the 7049-T73 forgings, S. Nos. 410698 and 410700, failed in less than 30 days when tested with 0.125-in. specimens but survived 30 days when retested with 0.225-in. diameter specimens. Currently the procurement specifications do not stipulate the type or size of test specimen to be used. All of the 7175-T736 die forgings survived 30 days at the 35 ksi test stress required of this alloy and, in fact, only a single specimen failed in less than 30 days at 45 ksi. As such, all of the die forgings were considered to have met the required SCC performance.

With continued exposure to 84 days, most of the specimens of both alloys failed at the 45 and 35 ksi stresses. Representative specimens were examined metallographically to verify the nature of failure. Many of the specimens showed a mixture of transgranular and intergranular auxiliary cracks, but in all cases the extent of intergranular cracking was such that SCC was a probable cause of failure, (Fig. 95). Alloy 7049-T73 was not tested at 25 ksi, but it is likely that at this test stress it would exhibit a high degree of resistance to SCC, similar to that observed for 7175-T736.

The number of failures and the times to failure recorded in Table LXXXI for the 45 and 35 ksi test stresses are such that it is not obvious whether the two alloys are similar or if one has an SCC advantage. At both stresses the 7049-T73 forgings began to fail sooner, but incurred fewer total number of failures, than the 7175-T736 forgings. In order to resolve this, the cumulative per cent survival of forgings tested with a tensile specimen across the parting plane was compared using a procedure described by C. F. Lewis(37). Preparation of the data is shown in Part A of Table LXXII and a graphical comparison of the per cent survival is shown in Fig. 96. Part B of Table LXXII lists the expected life (mean failure time), estimated standard deviation and possible error for the two alloys. Based on this comparison it is concluded that the SCC resistance of the two alloys in these tests was not significantly different, but that the alternate immersion test was somewhat more variable for 7049-T73 than for 7175-T736. For the most part the alternate immersion tests of the two alloys were conducted concurrently, hence, the greater variability for 7049-T73 is most likely an alloy composition effect and not merely variations in separate alternate immersion runs.

It has been shown(38) that the correlation between SCC performance in the alternate immersion test and natural atmospheric

environments is not the same for all aluminum alloys. Thus far seacoast and industrial atmospheric tests on these forgings (Table LXXIII) have resulted in failure only for one 7049-T73 forging (S. No. 410698). These tests are of about one year duration and longer exposure is required to establish whether or not the atmospheric SCC performance of the two alloys differs significantly.

In summary, the resistance to SCC of 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 die forgings appears to be similar. Both alloys are markedly superior to other alloys such as 2014-T6, 7075-T6 and 7079-T6; but are less resistant than 7075-T73. They do, however, have a strength advantage over 7075-T73 die forgings.

Two side issues in this portion of the contract were: (a) the effect of specimen location for short transverse specimens from forgings made with conventional dies, and (b) the performance of specimens from forgings made in flat cover dies.

The forgings made in conventional dies were tested with short transverse specimens positioned $3/8$ in. below the flash metal. Two shapes in each alloy were also tested with specimens positioned $3/16$ in. below the flash (about as close to the forged surface as specimens could be taken) because the visual appearance of macroetched cross sections indicated a slightly more intense parting plane structure in this region. However, in three cases the results did not differ greatly with test location and in one case (S. No. 410693), the specimens closest to the flash were more resistant. While these data are not conclusive, they suggest that slight differences in the proximity of a short transverse specimen to the surface of the forging may not be as critical as expected. Forging geometry will limit how close a specimen can be positioned to the surface. For purposes of standardization, it would seem best to use a specimen location that would be possible for most forged shapes, something on the order of $3/8$ to $5/8$ in. below the flash.

Certain forged shapes are made with flat cover dies with the parting plane structure at the very base of the forging so that all or most of it will be removed during finish machining. Flat cover forgings in this program were tested with tensile specimens perpendicular to the parting plane (Fig. 29), but since they did not cross it, the grain structure in the gage length was transverse or long transverse rather than short transverse. The results show that these specimens were indeed more resistant than specimens taken across the parting plane. Hence, results obtained on flat cover forgings cannot be used to predict the performance of a forging in which a tensile stress can operate across the parting plane structure. An attempt was made to obtain a test across the parting plane flow of S. Nos. 410697 and 410705 through the use of C-rings. The results, however, indicate this test was no better, and perhaps even less critical, than the test with perpendicular tensile specimens.

C.2.1.2. Hand Forgings

The accelerated SCC data for hand forgings are given in Table LXXIV for alloy 7049-T73 and in Table LXXV for alloy 7175-T736. Short-transverse specimens are also being tested in seacoast and industrial atmospheres. Thus far no failure has occurred in the atmospheric tests, but they are only of 3 to 6 months duration.

Similar to the die forgings, hand forgings of both alloys had high resistance to SCC in the longitudinal and long-transverse directions with no failure occurring.

No short-transverse failure occurred during the first 30 days of test, hence, all hand forgings met the required SCC capability.

With continued exposure, both alloys had failures at the 45 and 35 ksi stresses. Metallographic examination showed a mixture of intergranular and transgranular auxiliary cracks in the failed specimens with intergranular cracking predominating so that all failures were considered to be SCC failures.

The majority of the 7049-T73 failures occurred at 45 ksi; whereas, for two of the 7175-T736 forgings (S. Nos. 410689 and 410986) most of the failures occurred at 35 ksi, which is somewhat unusual. The short-transverse grain flow characteristics will vary down the length of hand forgings (Fig. 33). Macroetched sections were obtained from all hand forgings prior to procuring specimens to ensure that all specimens had a short-transverse orientation. However, because specimens from hand forgings were used in sequential order, rather than being randomized, there is the possibility that some sets of specimens came from an area of more critical grain structures than did other sets.

Because these two alloys have some susceptibility to SCC, it might be expected that the greater reduction given to thinner forgings would increase the degree of grain directionality and have an adverse effect on resistance to SCC. However, the data obtained on the hand forgings do not indicate a significant effect from product thickness; though the hand forgings were somewhat more resistant than specimens from the highly directional parting plane area of die forgings.

In summary, tests on these particular eight hand forgings indicate that all forgings met the 30 day SCC capability claimed for the respective alloys and that the overall performance of the two alloys was similar.

C.2.2. 7475 Sheet

The 7475 sheet exhibited a high degree of resistance to SCC in the long-transverse direction for both the T61 and T761

temper. No failure occurred even for the highly stressed preform specimen (Table LXXVI).

In the aggressive alternate immersion environment, an appreciable amount of general corrosion occurred, as indicated by the reduction in tensile strength. When exposed unstressed the T761 temper incurred somewhat less loss in strength than did the T61 specimens.

No atmospheric tests were made on the 7475 sheet.

C.2.3. 2124-T851 Plate

All of the 2124-T851 plates tested had high resistance to SCC in the longitudinal and long-transverse directions, with no failure occurring during the 84 day test (Table LXVII).

All six plates tested incurred some short-transverse failures during the 84 day test (Table LXXVII). Fractured specimens, representative of each stress at which failure occurred, were examined metallographically. All specimens from the 1.75, 2.00 and 2.04 inch plates showed evidence of intergranular SCC (Fig. 97). However, failures from the 2.5 and 4.5-in. plates that occurred after 60 days appeared to result primarily from tensile overload due to deep pitting.

The better performance of the thicker plate was also evidenced by higher per cent survival and longer times to failure (Table LXXVII and Fig. 98). The four plates thicker than 2 in. passed the SCC requirement of 30 days at 50 per cent GYS. The 1.75 and 2.00-in. thick plates each had one failure in less than 30 days at 50 per cent GYS, but survived for more than 30 days when retested using 0.225-in. diameter specimens. This effect of plate thickness has been observed on other lots of 2124-T851 and is the reason why Alcoa currently does not guarantee the SCC capability of plate less than 2.0-in. thick.

Performance at a stress of 58 per cent GYS (32.5 ksi) was essentially the same as at 50 per cent GYS (28 ksi), but results indicate rather definitely that 2124-T851 plate would not consistently survive 30 days exposure to alternate immersion at a stress of 75 per cent GYS (42 ksi).

Preliminary results in the seacoast atmosphere (Table LXXVII) are tending to follow the trend observed in the accelerated test. The 1.75, 2.00 and 2.04-in. plates have all failed at 75 per cent YS within six months as compared with no failure to date for the 2.5 and 4.5-in. plates. Thus far no failure has occurred in the industrial atmosphere. A better performance in industrial atmosphere than in seacoast atmosphere is consistent with the general experience on Al-Cu alloys.

C.3. Resistance to SCC - Precracked Specimens

The various items tested with DCB tensile precracked specimens are listed in Table LXXVIII, along with the crack lengths measured at the end of test, and the results of metallographic examination to determine whether crack extension in the environment was caused by intergranular SCC. Although the crack lengths on the side of the specimens were measured periodically during the course of the test, it was concluded for various reasons (discussed later) that the results did not justify an attempt to quantitatively define SCC susceptibility by plots of crack length versus time of exposure and crack velocity versus stress intensity. Hence, the data have been interpreted qualitatively to compare: (a) the relative SCC performance of the various products and alloys and (b) trends established by the DCB specimen with those obtained with smooth tensile specimens.

C.3.1. 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Forgings

Because of the forging geometry (Fig. 34), the DCB specimens from the die forgings were only 9/16 in. high rather than the standard 1 in. height. When the specimens were precracked in tension and loaded to pop-in, there appeared to be some plastic yielding in the specimen arms for 7049-T73 and obvious yielding for the 7175-T736 specimens, which were loaded to a value about 30 per cent higher because of the higher toughness of 7175-T736.

In addition, examination of the fracture faces after completion of the test showed considerable bowing of the crack front and faster propagation at the center of the specimen than at the edge for specimens from both die and hand forgings, (see total crack length edge versus center in Table LXXVIII and Fig. 99). Consequently it was concluded from previous results (26) that calculation of residual stress intensity by the compliance formula would be in error.

All specimens from the forgings incurred slight crack growth in the environment, the initial growth being detected after 2 days exposure for die forging specimens and 8 days exposure for hand forging specimens. Somewhat more growth occurred for 7175-T736 die forging specimens than for specimens from 7175-T736 hand forgings and 7049-T73 die and hand forgings, which were all similar (Table LXXVIII). Metallographic examination confirmed that in all cases the environmental growth was caused by SCC. An example of the intergranular nature of the crack growth is shown in Fig. 99.

The more directional parting plane grain flow near the flash metal did not influence crack growth in specimens from die forgings. Specimens from the flange of the die forgings performed about the same as those from the web. Also there was no appreciable difference in crack growth on the side of the flange specimen closest to the parting plane flash compared with growth on the side away from the flash.

In summary, the precracked specimen data ranked the two alloys in the same general manner as did smooth specimens. Both alloys were similar to each other, somewhat less resistant than 7075-T7351 (which would incur very little growth in such tests) and markedly superior to 7075-T651 (which incurs similar crack growth in a week of exposure and about 0.7-in. growth in 30 days).

C.3.2. 2124-T851 Plate

The DCB specimen from the 1-3/4-in. 2124-T851 plate incurred about 1/4 in. of crack growth during the 30 day test as a result of intergranular SCC. Although the crack front was bowed, the environment growth at the edge and center of this specimen was similar, (Fig. 100). The fracture faces illustrated in Fig. 100 were chemically cleaned prior to photographing but still show that considerable corrosion had occurred tending to obliterate contrasting appearance of the precrack and environment growth regions. Consequently, there may have been wedging from buildup of corrosion product preventing a decrease in stress-intensity as the crack propagated. Assuming no corrosion-product wedging (which is probably incorrect) a "Region II" plateau stress corrosion crack velocity of 3.3×10^{-4} in./hr (4.6×10^{-9} m/sec) was calculated for stress intensities of 23 to 33 ksi $\sqrt{\text{in.}}$, which is somewhat faster than the value of 3×10^{-5} in./hr (4.2×10^{-10} m/sec) at 24 ksi $\sqrt{\text{in.}}$ noted in similar tests of 7075-T7351 plate.

DCB specimens from the four thicker (2.5 to 5.5 in.) 2124-T851 plate showed very little environmental growth (Fig. 100) and metallographic examination showed the environmental extension of the precrack was strictly transgranular, indistinguishable from the precrack. The intergranular growth in the 1.75-in. plate and the transgranular growth in the 5.5-in. plate are compared in Figs. 101 and 102. Residual stress-intensity on the DCB specimens from the thicker plates were of the order of 33 ksi $\sqrt{\text{in.}}$, but because no intergranular SCC occurred it does not seem relevant to calculate a crack velocity.

In comparison with smooth specimen tests, both types of data showed an effect of plate thickness, the 1.75-in. plate being significantly less resistant to SCC than the thicker plate. Results on thicker plate differed slightly, the DCB specimen showing no SCC whatsoever, whereas the smooth 1/8-in. dia. specimen detected some SCC susceptibility for the 2.5-in. plate at a stress of 75 per cent YS, but not for the 4.5-in. plate.

SECTION VI

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of tests of commercially produced die and hand forgings, sheet and plate that met the requirements for composition and tensile properties in applicable Federal, Military and Tentative specifications, the following conclusions seem warranted concerning the mechanical properties, including fracture toughness and fatigue, fatigue crack propagation rates and resistance to stress-corrosion and exfoliation of 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 die and hand forgings, 7475-T61 and T761 sheet and 2124-T851 plate:

A. Mechanical Properties

A.1. Tensile, Compressive, Shear and Bearing

1. Some apparent differences were indicated among the tensile and compressive properties of the 7049-T73 die forgings and 2124-T851 plate fabricated by different producers.
2. Ratios among the tensile, compressive, shear and bearing properties of the forging, sheet and plate samples and from supplemental data are shown in Tables XV through XXI.
3. Ratio values used in computing the design values from the specified tensile properties of the respective thickness ranges of each alloy and product are shown in Tables XXIX through XXXIV.
4. Computed design values for each alloy and product are shown in Tables XXXV through XLI.
5. The modulus of elasticity of each product is 4 or 5 per cent higher in compression than in tension; there are only small, if any, differences with respect to direction (L, LT and ST). The average modulus values for each product, in all directions, are:

Alloy	Product	Modulus, 10 ³ ksi	
		Tensile	Compressive
7049 & 7175	Die Forging	10.2	10.7
7049 & 7175	Hand Forging	10.2	10.6
7475	Sheet	10.0	10.5
2124	Plate	10.4	10.9

6. Typical stress-strain and compressive tangent-modulus curves are shown in Figs. 35 through 41.

A.2. Fracture Toughness

1. The average values of plane-strain stress-intensity factor, K_{Ic} (ksi $\sqrt{in.}$), at 5 per cent secant offset using compact-tension specimens are as follows:

Alloy and Temper	Product	Orientation		
		L-T or L-S	T-L	S-L
7049-T73	Die Forging	31.2	--	22.5
	Hand Forging	29.8	20.9	19.9
7175-T736	Die Forging	35.1*	--	25.8
	Hand Forging	34.6*	26.9	23.3
2124-T851	Plate (Producer A&C) ⁺	29.6	24.3	22.2

* Average of all K_Q values considered meaningful; not all values are technically valid.

+ Values for plate from Producer B were lower, and not representative of high toughness product.

2. The average critical stress-intensity factor, K_{Ic} , derived for bare 7475-T61 and T761 sheet and Alclad 7475-T61 sheet from tests of 16-in. wide panels tested without anti-buckling guides are:

Alloy and Temper	Orientation			
	L-T		T-L	
	Visual	Compliance	Visual	Compliance
7475-T61	98.9	105.0	92.0	96.4
7475-T761	106.0	119.4	101.4	112.5
Alc7475-T61	87.4	93.6	85.7	94.4

These illustrate that the toughness of 7475 sheet is well above that of 7075-T6 sheet and approaches that of 2024-T3 sheet.

A.3. Axial Stress Fatigue

1. The results of the smooth and notched ($K_t=3$) axial-stress fatigue tests ($R=0.0$) are plotted in Figs. 53 through 66 and summarized in Tables LVI through LXI. Except for 7175-T736 forgings showing longer fatigue lives at the low stress level, the fatigue lives of both 7049-T73 and 7175-T73 forgings are about the same. In general, the 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 forgings showed longer lives than 7075-T7352 hand forgings. At the high stress level, 7475-T61 has longer fatigue lives than does 7475-T761. Both 7475-T61 and T761 have fatigue lives that are equal to or longer than those of 2024-T3 and 7075-T6 sheet. Alloy 2124-T851 plate has fatigue lives that are about the same as those of 2024-T851 plate at the high stress level; at the low stress level, the lives are shorter.

2. The salt fog environment lowers the fatigue strength of all products, with the greatest reduction occurring for the smooth specimens at the lower stresses.

3. The detrimental effect of the salt environment on fatigue strength of 7475-T61 and T761 sheet is greater for the thinner sheet.

B. Fatigue Crack Propagation

1. In most cases, there was little influence of orientation in fatigue crack growth rate; rates determined for longitudinal (L-T) or short transverse (S-T or S-L) specimen are equivalent to those obtained for long-transverse (T-L) specimens.

2. The rates of fatigue crack growth determined in dry air for longitudinal (L-T) and long transverse (T-L) specimens from 7175-T736 hand forgings are equivalent to those 7075-T7352 specimens tested in ambient air (relative humidity = 5-50 per cent).

3. At the higher stress intensities, the fatigue crack growth of T-L specimens is slower for alloy 7175-T736 than for 7049-T73 hand forgings in all environments; however, results for L-T specimens of the two forgings were equivalent.

4. The rates of fatigue crack growth for 7475-T61 and 7475-T761 sheet tested in dry air are essentially equivalent to those of Alclad 7475-T61 sheet in relatively dry air.

5. The humid air and salt fog environments increase the rate of fatigue crack propagation in 7475-T61 and T761 sheet by factors of three and four, respectively.

6. Fatigue crack growth is slower in alloy 2124-T851 than in alloy 2024-T851 plate.

7. For both hand forging alloys, comparable fatigue crack growth rates were obtained with center notch and compact tension specimens.

8. The rates of fatigue crack growth determined for the sheet, plate and hand forgings generally differ by no more than a factor of 4 in equivalent environments. The effect of salt fog environment on fatigue crack growth rate seemed to be greater for the 7475 sheet specimens than for the specimens from 7049 and 7175 hand forgings or 2124 plate. However, this was probably a result of the fact that the 7475 specimens were much thinner.

C. Corrosion Characteristics

1. Accelerated tests showed complete freedom from exfoliation for the 2124-T851 plate and the 7475-T761 sheet. The 7175-T736 forgings were also highly resistant, with only one of fifteen test specimens showing very slight exfoliation. The

7049-T73 forgings showed a slight tendency to be susceptible to exfoliation; but the majority were free of exfoliation. All of the 7475-T61 sheet material showed some visual exfoliation, but only one of the twelve lots of sheet showed visual exfoliation greater than the least degree (Exfoliation - A) in the ASTM rating system.

2. All of the materials were resistant to stress-corrosion cracking when stressed to 75 per cent YS in the longitudinal or long transverse grain directions.

3. The resistance to SCC in the short transverse grain direction of all the materials was representative of the respective alloys and tempers. All items met the specified 30 day capability in the alternate immersion test when tested with 0.125-in. dia tensile specimens with the exception of two of the 7049-T73 die forgings (one by Producer A and one by Producer B) and the two thinnest 2124-T851 plates (one by Producer A and one by Producer C). These latter four items met the 30 day capability when tested with 0.225-in. dia specimens.

4. In accelerated tests, the short-transverse SCC performances of 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 forgings were quite similar as regards the level of stress causing failure and mean failure times. Both alloys were resistant at stresses of 25 ksi or slightly higher, but were not immune at a stress of 45 ksi. Because of a less directional grain structure, hand forgings of both alloys were somewhat more resistant than die forgings. Thus far, the only atmospheric failures have been from one 7049-T73 die forging in seacoast atmosphere.

5. Short-transverse SCC performance of the 2124-T851 plate was in agreement with prior experience with regard to the effect of plate thickness. Plates 2-1/2-in. or thicker were resistant at a stress of 50 per cent YS, but showed some susceptibility at higher stresses. The thinner plates were less resistant, which is already corroborated by results of tests in seacoast atmosphere.

6. The general trends and comparisons obtained from tests of precracked DCB specimens from 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 forgings and 2124-T851 plate were similar to those obtained from tests with smooth tensile specimens. However, for various reasons the results did not permit a valid quantitative analysis of crack velocity vs stress intensity.





FIG. 1 7049-T73 DIE FORGING
DIE NO. B5786 (SAMPLE NUMBER 410694)



LOCATION OF SPECIMENS

FIG. 2 7049-T73 DIE FORGING
DIE NO. B6204 (SAMPLE NUMBER 410696)




FIG. 3 7049-T73 DIE FORGING
DIE NO. B2362 (SAMPLE NUMBER 410700)





FIG. 4 7049-T73 AND 7175-T736 DIE FORGING
DIE NO. 9078 (7049-T73 SAMPLE NUMBER 410693, 7175-T736
SAMPLE NUMBER 410983)



LOCATION OF SPECIMENS

FIG. 5 7049-T73 AND 7175-T736 DIE FORGING
DIE NO. 15789 (7049-T73 SAMPLE NUMBER 410698, 7175-T736
SAMPLE NUMBER 410699)




FIG. 6 7049-T73 AND 7175-T736 DIE FORGING
DIE NO. 40006 (7049-T73 SAMPLE NUMBER 410695, 7175-T736
SAMPLE NUMBER 410984)

LOCATION OF SPECIMENS




FIG. 7 7049-T73 AND 7175-T736 DIE FORGING
DIE NO. 40005 (7049-T73 SAMPLE NUMBER 410697, 7175-T736
SAMPLE NUMBER 410705)






FIG. 8 7175-T736 DIE FORGING
DIE NO. F17961 (SAMPLE NUMBER 410704)




LOCATION OF SPECIMENS

FIG. 9 7175-T736 DIE FORGING
DIE NO. F17976 (SAMPLE NUMBER 410706)



Diameter, in.		Gage Length, in.	Reduced Section Length, in.	Radius(R), in.	Diameter (D), in.	Length (L), in.
d ₁	d ₂					
0.500±0.005	d ₁ + $\frac{0.005}{0.003}$	2.000±0.002	3-1/8	3/8	3/4	4-3/4
0.357±0.004	d ₁ + $\frac{0.004}{0.003}$	1.400±0.002	2-15/64	17/64	17/32	3-3/8
0.250±0.003	d ₁ + $\frac{0.002}{0.001}$	1.000±0.002	1-9/16	3/16	3/8	2-3/8
0.160±0.002	d ₁ + $\frac{0.002}{0.001}$	0.640±0.002	1	0.120	15/64	1-1/2


Tapered-Seat Tensile Specimens



Width, in.			Gage Length, in.	Section Length, in.	Radius(R), in.	Thickness, (T), in.	Length(L) in.
w ₁	w ₂	w ₃					
0.500±0.010	w ₁ + $\frac{0.005}{0.003}$	3/4	2.000±0.002	2-1/4	7/8	≥ 0.499	9 Min.
0.250±0.002	w ₁ + $\frac{0.002}{0.002}$	3/8	1.000±0.002	1-1/4	3/8	≥ 0.250	4 Min.
0.125±0.001	w ₁ + $\frac{0.0015}{0.001}$	3/16	0.500±0.002	5/8	3/16	≥ 0.125	2-1/4 Min.


Sheet-Type Specimens

Fig. 10 General Dimensions of Tensile Specimens




Nominal Diameter, in.	Diameter, in.	L, in.
1/2	$\frac{0.498}{0.495}$	$1-7/8 \pm 1/32$
3/4	$\frac{0.7515}{0.7495}$	$3-1/2 \pm 1/32$

Round Compressive Specimens




Sheet-Type Compressive Specimens



Nominal Diameter	D, in.
3/16	$\frac{0.1865}{0.1855}$
3/8	$\frac{0.3730}{0.3720}$


Shear Specimen

Fig. 11 General Dimensions of Compressive and Shear Specimens




Specimen Thickness, in. t	Pin Hole Diameter, in. D	Width, in. W
0.094	$4t$	1.500 ± 0.004
0.125 to 0.249	0.500	2.000 ± 0.004

Fig. 12 General Dimensions of Bearing Specimens



Width, in.		Gage Length, in.	Reduced Section Length, in.	Radius (R), in.
W_1	W_2			
0.500 ± 0.003	$3/4$	3.000 ± 0.002	4	$7/8$



Sheet-Type Specimens



Diameter, in.		Gage Length, in.	Reduced Section Length, in.	Radius (R), in.	Length (L), in.
D_1	D_2				
0.500 ± 0.003	$3/4$	3.000 ± 0.002	4	$5/8$	$6-1/2$
0.250 ± 0.003	$3/8$	1.000 ± 0.002	$1-9/16$	$3/16$	$2-3/8$
0.160 ± 0.002	$15/64$	0.640 ± 0.002	1	0.20	$1-1/2$
0.375 ± 0.003	$9/16$	2.000 ± 0.002	$2-3/4$	$\geq D_1$	5

Round Specimens

Fig. 13 General Dimensions of Tensile Specimens
For Modulus and Stress-Strain Tests



PROPORTIONS

- $B = \text{THICKNESS}$
- $A = 1.1B$
- $W = 2B ; W_1 = 2.5B$
- $S \approx 0.1B$
- $F = 2E = 1.10B$
- $H = 1.2B$
- $D = 0.5B$

Fig. 14 COMPACT TENSION FRACTURE TOUGHNESS SPECIMEN




Fig. 15 FRACTURE SPECIMEN ORIENTATIONS




Fig. 16 Set Up for Fatigue Cracking Compact Tension Fracture Toughness Specimens






Fig. 17 Setup for Compact Tension Fracture Toughness Testing



DETAIL OF SLOT

Fig. 18 - Center Slotted Fracture-Toughness Panels.




Fig. 19 Setup for 16-in. Wide Center-Slot Fracture Toughness Testing




Fig. 20 Smooth and Notched Axial-Stress Fatigue Specimens






Fig. 21 Smooth and Notched Sheet-Type Axial-Stress Fatigue Specimens.



t=full thickness of sheet.

Fig. 22 Crack Propagation Specimen for Sheet





Fig. 23 EDM Notched Crack Propagation Specimen



B, Thickness = 1.00"

- Note 1 - Grain in this Direction for Longitudinal Specimens.
- Note 2 - Grain in this Direction for Transverse Specimens.
- Note 3 - Machining Lay in this Direction for all Types of Specimens.

Fig. 24 Compact Tension Crack Growth Specimen




Fig. 25 Environmental Chamber for Fatigue Crack Propagation Tests of Sheet.




Fig. 26 ARL 50,000 lb Structural Fatigue Machine




Fig. 27 Compact Tension Crack Propagation Specimen in Fatigue Machine




Fig. 28 Setup for Tests to Measure Environmental Fatigue-Crack-Growth Rate




Fig. 29 Sketch Showing Location of SCC Smooth Specimens on the Cross-Section of the Die Forgings.

Fig. 29




Fig. 30 Shows the 1/8-in. Diameter Tensile Specimen, the Various Parts of the Stressing Frame and the Final Stressed Assembly




Fig. 31 Sheet-Type Tensile Specimens and Preformed Blanks in Stress Corrosion Frames

DOUBLE CANTILEVER BEAM-(DCB SPECIMEN)





Fig. 32 Configuration of DCB specimen used for plate and hand forgings in Contract No. F-33615-71-C-1571. Specimens from die forgings were the same except height (dimension perpendicular to slot) was 9/16 in.



Approx. 1X

Fig. 33 Longitudinal Slice From the 2-in. 7049-T73 Hand Forging Macroetched to Show Grain Flow. An Undulating Grain Flow is Common in Hand Forgings, therefore Etched Slices were Obtained so that DCB Specimens could be Positioned with the Tip of the Precrack in Line with the Region of Maximum Grain Flow. Same Procedure was used for the 2-in. Forging of 7175-T736 and the 5-in. Forgings of both Alloys.






Fig. 34 Sketch Showing Two Locations of DCB Stress-Corrosion Specimens from Die Forged Shape 9078.




KUPFFEL & ESSER CO NEW YORK

Fig. 35 Typical Stress-Strain and Compressive Tangent-Modulus Curves for 7049-T73 Die Forgings (≤ 4.000 in.)



KEUFFEL & ESSER OF NEW YORK

Fig. 36 Typical Stress-Strain and Compressive Tangent-Modulus Curves for 7175-T736 Die Forgings (≤ 3.000 in.)



KNUFFEL & BESSER CO. NEW YORK

Fig. 37 Typical Stress-Strain and Compressive Tangent-Modulus Curves for 7049-T73 Hand Forgings (2.001-5.000 in.)





Fig. 38 Typical Stress-Strain and Compressive Tangent-Modulus Curves for 7175-T736 Hand Forgings (≤ 4.000 in.)



KUPFFEL & ESSER OF NEW YORK

Fig. 39 Typical Stress-Strain and Compressive Tangent-Modulus Curves for 7475-T61 Sheet (0.040-0.249 in.)





Fig. 40 Typical Stress-Strain and Compressive Tangent-Modulus Curves for 7475-T761 Sheet (0.040-0.249 in.)



KUPFFEL & BEBER CO NEW YORK

Fig. 41 Typical Stress-Strain and Compressive Tangent-Modulus Curves for 2124-T851 Plate (1.501-5.000 in.)





Fig. 42


Fig. 42





ALCOA RESEARCH LABORATORIES
 ALUMINUM COMPANY OF AMERICA
 NEW KENSINGTON, PENNSYLVANIA
 Plane-Stress Fracture Toughness of Bare and Alclad 7475-T761 Sheet Determined in Tests of 16-in. Wide Panels
 (Compliance)
 (P33615-71-C-1571)
 PLOTTED *E.L.C.* DATE 2-17-71 APPROVED DATE


Fig. 43

Fig. 43












Fig. 48

Crack Resistance, K_p , ksi

ALCOA RESEARCH LABORATORIES
ALUMINUM COMPANY OF AMERICA
NEW KENSINGTON, PENNSYLVANIA
Crack Resistance Curves
For
7475 Sheet

(P33615-71-C-1571)

PLOTTED DATE APPROVED DATE

Fig. 48




Fig. 49




Fig. 50

Fig. 50




Fig. 51

Fig. 51




Fig. 52




Fig. 53

Fig. 53




Fig. 54

Fig. 54




Fig. 55

Fig. 55

C Y C L E S




Fig. 56

CYCLES




Fig. 57

Fig. 57

C Y C L E S




FIG. 58

CYCLES

Fig. 58




Fig. 59




Fig. 60

CYCLES

Fig. 60




Fig. 19

CYCLES

FIG. 61




Fig. 62

CYCLES

FIG. 62

120 000

100 000


80 000

MAXIMUM STRESS, psi

40 000

20 000

0



ALCOA RESEARCH LABORATORIES
NEW KENSINGTON, PA.

Axial-Stress Fatigue Curve
FOR
7475-T6 Sheet
(P3361)-71-C-1571
M.T. No. 090271-A

PLOTTED BY DATE 1/14/23 APPROVED DATE _____

STRESS RATIO R=0.0




Fig. 63

FORM 3716

CYCLES

FIG. 63

ALCOA RESEARCH LABORATORIES
 NEW KENSINGTON, PA.
 Axial Stress Fatigue Curve
 For
 7475-T761 Sheet
 (P33615-71-C-1571)
 M. T. No. 090271-A
 PLOTTED BY DATE 2/18/73 APPROVED DATE





Fig. 64




Fig. 65




Fig. 66

C Y C L E S




Fig. 67

Fig. 67

FORM 128 - 5C - 12/64




Fig. 68

Fig. 68
FORM 138 - BC - 12/54

ALCOA RESEARCH LABORATORIES
NEW KENSINGTON, PA.

Effect of Salt Fog
on Axial-Stress Fatigue
Strength of 7475-T61 Sheet
Contract F33615-71-C-1571

PLOTTED _____ DATE _____ APPROVED _____ DATE _____




Fig. 69

C Y C L E S

Fig. 69

FORM 128 - BC - 12/54

ALCOA RESEARCH LABORATORIES
 NEW KENSINGTON, PA.
 Effect of Salt Fog
 on Axial-Stress Fatigue
 Strength of 7475-T761 Sheet
 Contract F33615-71-C-1571

PLOTTED _____ DATE _____ APPROVED _____ DATE _____




Fig. 70

Fig. 70

FORM 128 - SC - 12/64

ALCOA RESEARCH LABORATORIES
NEW KENSINGTON, PA.

Effect of Salt Fog
on Axial-Stress Fatigue
Strength of 2124-T851 Plate
Contract F33615-71-C-1571

PLOTTED _____ DATE _____ APPROVED _____ DATE _____








Fig. 71

Fig. 71

FORM 128 - BC - 12/64





FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 4 X 16-in. 7049-T73 HAND FORGING, T-L ORIENTATION, [CT SPECIMENS]



**FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 5 X 20-in. 7049-T73 HAND FORGING,
 T-L ORIENTATION, [CT SPECIMENS]**



Fig. 73

Fig. 73





**FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 5 X 20-in. 7049-T73
HAND FORGING, T-L ORIENTATION, [CN SPECIMENS]**

Fig. 74





**FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 5 X 20-in. 7049-T73 HAND FORGING,
 L-T ORIENTATION, [CN SPECIMENS]**

Fig. 75




FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 5 X 20-in. 7049-T73 HAND FORGING, S-T ORIENTATION, [CT SPECIMENS]


Fig. 76





**FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 4 X 16-in. 7175-T736
 HAND FORGING, T-L ORIENTATION, [CT SPECIMENS]**





**FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 5 X 20-in. 7175-T736
 HAND FORGING, T-L ORIENTATION, [CT SPECIMENS]**







**FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 5 X 20-in. 7175-T736
 HAND FORGING, T-L ORIENTATION, [CN SPECIMENS]**



FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 5 X 20-in. 7175-T736 HAND FORGING, L-T ORIENTATION, [CN SPECIMENS]



FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 5 X 20-in. 7175-T736 HAND FORGING, S-T ORIENTATION, [CT SPECIMENS]







Fig. 82




FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 0.040-in. 7475-T61 SHEET,
T-L ORIENTATION, [CN SPECIMENS]




**FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 0.125-in. 7475-T61 SHEET,
T-L ORIENTATION, [CN SPECIMENS]**




1313, 5-18-73





**FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 0.125-in. 7475-T61 SHEET,
L-T ORIENTATION, [CN SPECIMENS]**




**FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 0.040-in. 7475-T761 SHEET,
 T-L ORIENTATION, [CN SPECIMENS]**








**FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 0.125-in. 7475-T761 SHEET,
T-L ORIENTATION, [CN SPECIMENS]**





**FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 0.125-in. 7475-T761 SHEET,
L-T ORIENTATION, [CN SPECIMENS]**

Fig. 87









**FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 2-in. 2124-T851 PLATE,
 T-L ORIENTATION, [CN SPECIMENS]**



**FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 4.5-in. 2124-T851 PLATE,
T-L ORIENTATION, [CN SPECIMENS]**



**FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 4.5-in. 2124-T851 PLATE,
L-T ORIENTATION, [CN SPECIMENS]**



FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 4.5-IN. 2124-T851 PLATE,
S-L ORIENTATION, [CT SPECIMENS]

FIG. 91






Fig. 92 Typical Example of the Four Degrees of Exfoliation Indicated
By Code Letters E-A, E-B, E-C and E-D.


Fig. 92



S. No. 410658
0.125-in. 7475-T61
Exfoliation-C Degree




S. No. 410655
0.090-in. 7475-T61
Exfoliation-A Degree



S. No. 410671
0.188-in. 7475-T761
Pitting

Fig. 93 Illustrates Visual Appearance of the 7475 Sheet After 48 Hours Exposure to EXCO. One Lot, S. No. 410658, in the T61 Temper Showed Appreciable Exfoliation, While all Other T61 Sheets, Represented by S. No. 410655, Showed Only Slight Exfoliation. All the T761 Sheets, Represented by S. No. 410671, Showed Only Pitting Corrosion. The Metallographic Appearance of the Attack in T61 Sheets Showing Exfoliation to an A Degree and of T761 Sheets Showing Only Pitting is Illustrated in Fig. 94.




Neg. 185871A

Mag: 100X

S. No. 410659, 0.188-in. Thick Etch-Keller's

Longitudinal Section Through a 7475-T61 Sheet that was Rated Visually as E-A. Although Visually the Attack has an Appearance Somewhat Like Pit-Blistering (Fig. 93), Metallographic Examination Clearly Showed it was Exfoliation Resulting from Intergranular Attack.




Neg. 186445A

Mag: 100X


S. No. 410671, 0.188-in. Thick Etch-Keller's

Longitudinal Section Through a Representative 7475-T761 Sheet Showing Attack is Strictly Pitting.

Fig. 94 Photomicrographs Showing Corrosive Attack in 7475-T61 and T761 Sheet Exposed 48 Hours to EXCO.




S. No. 410695-N7 Mag: 100X




Mag: 500X

7049-T73, Stressed 45 ksi, Failed at 44 Days





S. No. 410983-N95 Mag: 100X



Mag: 500X

7175-T736, Stressed 35 ksi, Failed at 56 Days


Fig. 95 Photomicrographs Showing Auxiliary Intergranular Cracks in Failed 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Die Forging Specimens. All the Die Forging Specimens Contained Such Intergranular Cracks, Hence it was Concluded that All Failed Specimens should be Regarded as Legitimate SCC Failures, Even Though Certain Specimens also Contained Auxiliary Transgranular Cracks.



DAYS EXPOSURE TO 3.5% NaCl-ALTERNATE IMMERSION

Fig. 96 Comparison of Per Cent Survival for Short-Transverse 1/8-in. Diameter Specimens from 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Die Forgings that Permitted a Specimen Perpendicular to and across the Parting Plane.


Fig. 96



S. No. 410675-CN10

Mag: 100X
Etch: Keller's

Short-Transverse Specimen from 1-3/4-in. 2124-T851 Plate. Specimen was Stressed to 50% Yield Strength and Failed after 7 Days Exposure to the Alternate Immersion Test.



S. No. 410680-CN10

Mag: 100X
Etch: Keller's

Short-Transverse Specimen from 2-in. 2124-T851 Plate. Specimen was stressed 50% Yield Strength and Failed After 27 Days Exposure to the Alternate Immersion Test.

Fig. 97 Photomicrographs Showing Presence of Intergranular Auxiliary Cracks in the Fractured Specimens from the Thin 2124-T851 Plates Indicating SCC as the Probable Cause of Failure.




Fig. 98 Per Cent Survival of Short-Transverse Specimens of 2124-T851 Plate Exposed to 3.5% NaCl Alternate Immersion Showing the Better Performance of the Thicker Plate.

Fig. 98

Edge Center



Pre-crack


Center Edge




Environmental Growth

S. No. 410693-SL2W Mag: 5X
Photomicrographs of Fractured Faces after 30 Day Test.

S. No. 410983-SL2W Mag: 5X
Photomicrographs of Fractured Faces after 30 Day Test.




S. No. 410693-SL2W 7049-T73 Mag: 100X



S. No. 410983-SL2W 7175-T736 Mag: 100X

Photomicrographs of Tip of the Crack in the DCB Specimens.

Fig. 99 DCB Specimens from Web Region of 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 Die Forgings.



1.75-in.

2.5-in.


3.5-in.

4.5-in.

5.5-in.

Fig. 100 Fractured Faces of DCB Specimens from 2124-T851 Plate by Producer A after Being Forced Fractured at the Conclusion of the 30 Day Test. Arrows Indicate: (A) Precrack, (B) Environmental Growth and (C) Final Forced Fracture. Mag: 2X


Fig. 100



S. No. 410675-SL2

Mag: 10X


Photomicrograph of Total Crack in DCB Specimen from 1.75-in. 2124-T851 Plate at the Mid-width of the Specimen. The Intergranular Nature of the Tip of the Crack is shown at Higher Magnification in Fig. 102.



S. No. 410679-SL2

Mag: 10X

Photomicrograph of Total Crack in DCB Specimen from 5.5-in. 2124-T851 Plate at the Mid-width of the Specimen. The Crack is much Shorter than in the 1.75-in. Plate and Completely Tensile in Nature (See Fig. 102). Photomicrograph is also Representative of the Cracking 2.5, 3.5 and 4.5-in. Thick Plates.



S. No. 410675-SL2

Mag: 100X

Photomicrograph of Tip of the Crack in the DCB Specimen from 1.75-in. 2124-T851 Plate Showing the Intergranular Nature Indicative of SCC.



S. No. 410679-SL2

Mag: 100X

Fig. 102 Photomicrograph of the Tip of the Crack in DCB Specimen from 5.5-in. 2124-T851 Plate. Crack Characteristics are Transgranular Similar to that of the Pre-crack.

TABLE I
 CHEMICAL COMPOSITIONS OF 7049-T73 AND 7175-T736 DIE FORGINGS
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Alloy and Temper	Sample Number	Thickness Range, in.	Die No.	Producer	Element, %										
					Si	Fe	Cu	Mn	Mg	Cr	Mi	Zn	Ti	Be	
7049-T73	410693	≤1.000	9078	A	0.07	0.14	1.46	0.02	2.27	0.15	0.00	7.47	0.01	0.002	
	410698	1.001-2.000	15789	A	0.06	0.12	1.52	0.00	2.48	0.17	0.00	7.46	0.02	0.001	
	410694		B5786	B	0.06	0.15	1.65	0.01	2.83	0.15	0.00	8.07	0.01	0.001	
	410697		40005	A	0.08	0.14	1.48	0.02	2.38	0.16	0.00	7.37	0.01	0.002	
	410695	2.001-3.000	40006	A	0.07	0.14	1.48	0.02	2.30	0.15	0.00	7.23	0.01	0.002	
	410700	4.001-5.000	B6204 B2362	B B	0.06 0.05	0.14 0.09	1.56 1.53	0.01 0.00	2.53 2.72	0.15 0.15	0.00 0.00	7.88 7.67	0.01 0.03	0.001 0.003	
Limits* (maximum unless range is shown)					0.25	0.35	1.2-1.9	0.20	2.0-2.9	0.10-0.22	--	7.2-8.2	0.10	0.05	
7175-T736	410983	≤1.000	9078	A	0.09	0.11	1.60	0.01	2.53	0.20	0.00	5.91	0.02	0.002	
	410699	1.001-2.000	15789	A	0.06	0.12	1.66	0.00	2.42	0.22	0.00	5.69	0.02	0.001	
	410704		F17961	A	0.06	0.11	1.59	0.00	2.43	0.18	0.00	5.54	0.01	0.000	
	410705		40005	A	0.09	0.09	1.49	0.00	2.45	0.21	0.00	5.59	0.01	0.000	
	410706		F17976	A	0.07	0.14	1.67	0.01	2.52	0.19	0.00	5.65	0.01	0.001	
410984	2.001-3.000	40006	A	0.09	0.11	1.59	0.00	2.53	0.20	0.00	5.85	0.02	0.002		
Limits* (maximum unless range is shown)					0.15	0.20	1.2-2.0	0.10	2.1-2.9	0.18-0.30	--	5.1-6.1	0.10	0.05	

* Aluminum Association Alloy Designations and Chemical Composition Limits for Wrought Aluminum Alloys, revised March, 1972.

TABLE II
 CHEMICAL COMPOSITIONS OF 7049-T73 AND 7175-T736 HAND FORGINGS
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Alloy and Temper	Sample			Element, %										
	Number	Dimensions, in.	Producer	Si	Fe	Cu	Mn	Mg	Cr	Ni	Zn	Ti	Be	
7049-T73	411019	2x16	A	0.13	0.25	1.67	0.03	2.54	0.15	0.03	8.25	0.04	0.003	
	410686	3x16	A	0.07	0.11	1.59	0.00	2.49	0.17	0.00	7.54	0.02	0.001	
	410966	4x16	A	0.07	0.11	1.54	0.00	2.47	0.17	0.00	7.43	0.02	0.001	
	410688	5x20	A	0.06	0.12	1.59	0.00	2.52	0.17	0.00	7.54	0.02	0.001	
Limits* (Maximum unless range is shown)				0.25	0.35	1.2-1.9	0.20	2.0-2.9	0.10-0.22	--	7.2-8.2	0.10	0.05	
7175-T736	410689	2x16	A	0.07	0.14	1.58	0.01	2.25	0.22	0.00	5.42	0.02	0.002	
	410985	3x12	A	0.08	0.13	1.70	0.01	2.41	0.22	0.00	5.81	0.02	0.001	
	410691	4x16	A	0.08	0.14	1.61	0.01	2.26	0.22	0.00	5.45	0.02	0.002	
	410986	5x20	A	0.08	0.13	1.53	0.01	2.33	0.20	0.00	5.61	0.02	0.001	
Limits* (Maximum unless range is shown)				0.15	0.20	1.2-2.0	0.10	2.1-2.9	0.18-0.30	--	5.1-6.1	0.10	0.05	

* Aluminum Association Alloy Designations and Chemical Composition Limits for Wrought Aluminum Alloys, revised March, 1972.

TABLE III

CHEMICAL COMPOSITIONS OF 7475-T61 AND T761 SHEET
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Thickness, in.	Producer	Element, %										
			Si	Fe	Cu	Mn	Mg	Cr	Ni	Zn	Ti	Be	
410888	0.032	A	0.05	0.09	1.47	0.00	2.21	0.21	0.00	5.48	0.02	0.001	
410651	0.040	A	0.06	0.07	1.54	0.00	2.32	0.19	0.00	5.46	0.01	0.001	
410652		A	0.07	0.10	1.65	0.00	2.33	0.20	0.00	5.70	0.02	0.001	
410663		A	0.07	0.10	1.65	0.00	2.33	0.20	0.00	5.70	0.02	0.001	
410664		A	0.06	0.07	1.54	0.00	2.32	0.19	0.00	5.46	0.01	0.001	
410653	0.063	A	0.06	0.09	1.49	0.00	2.28	0.20	0.00	5.66	0.02	0.001	
410654		A	0.07	0.07	1.55	0.01	2.40	0.19	0.00	5.66	0.02	0.001	
410665		A	0.06	0.06	1.59	0.00	2.29	0.20	0.00	6.04	0.02	0.002	
410666		A	0.07	0.07	1.55	0.01	2.40	0.19	0.00	5.66	0.02	0.001	
410655	0.090	A	0.06	0.07	1.54	0.00	2.32	0.19	0.00	5.46	0.01	0.001	
410656		A	0.07	0.10	1.65	0.00	2.33	0.20	0.00	5.70	0.02	0.001	
410667		A	0.06	0.07	1.54	0.00	2.32	0.19	0.00	5.46	0.01	0.001	
410668		A	0.07	0.10	1.65	0.00	2.33	0.20	0.00	5.70	0.02	0.001	
410657	0.125	A	0.05	0.07	1.61	0.00	2.00	0.20	0.00	5.86	0.04	0.001	
410658		A	0.07	0.07	1.55	0.01	2.40	0.19	0.00	5.66	0.02	0.001	
410669		A	0.06	0.09	1.53	0.00	2.28	0.20	0.00	5.59	0.02	0.001	
410670		A	0.07	0.07	1.55	0.01	2.40	0.19	0.00	5.66	0.02	0.001	
410659	0.188	A	0.06	0.09	1.50	0.00	2.21	0.20	0.00	5.66	0.02	0.001	
410660		A	0.06	0.09	1.61	0.00	2.23	0.20	0.00	5.62	0.02	0.001	
410671		A	0.06	0.09	1.50	0.00	2.21	0.20	0.00	5.66	0.02	0.001	
410672		A	0.06	0.09	1.61	0.00	2.23	0.20	0.00	5.62	0.02	0.001	
410661	0.249	A	0.06	0.08	1.44	0.02	2.02	0.18	0.00	6.02	0.02	0.001	
410662		A	0.06	0.09	1.50	0.00	2.21	0.20	0.00	5.66	0.02	0.001	
410673		A	0.07	0.07	1.55	0.01	2.40	0.19	0.00	5.66	0.02	0.001	
410674		A	0.06	0.09	1.50	0.00	2.21	0.20	0.00	5.66	0.02	0.001	
Limits*	(Maximum unless range is shown)		0.1	0.12	1.2-1.9	0.06	1.9-2.6	0.18-0.25	0.00	5.2-6.2	0.06	0.05	

* Aluminum Association Alloy Designations and Chemical Composition Limits for Wrought Aluminum Alloys, revised March, 1972.

TABLE IV
 CHEMICAL COMPOSITIONS OF 2124-T851 PLATE
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Thickness, in.	Producer	Element, %									
			Si	Fe	Cu	Mn	Mg	Cr	Ni	Zn	Ti	
410675	1.750	A	0.05	0.09	4.37	0.62	1.53	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00
410680	2.000	C	0.05	0.14	4.08	0.55	1.39	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.02
410681	2.040	B	0.13	0.21	4.25	0.33	1.47	0.01	0.00	0.07	0.03	0.03
410676	2.500	A	0.06	0.05	4.20	0.74	1.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02
410677	3.500	A	0.06	0.09	4.27	0.61	1.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
410682	4.000	B	0.08	0.19	4.23	0.36	1.45	0.01	0.00	0.19	0.04	0.04
410678	4.500	A	0.05	0.09	4.37	0.62	1.53	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00
410683	4.500	C	0.04	0.10	4.12	0.42	1.30	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.01
410679	5.500	A	0.04	0.07	4.32	0.63	1.49	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
410684	6.000	C	0.04	0.11	4.00	0.49	1.36	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.01
Limits*(Maximum unless range is shown)			0.2	0.3	3.8-4.9	0.3-0.9	1.2-1.8	0.10	--	0.25	0.05	0.05

* Interim Federal Specification QQ-A-00250/29.

TABLE V
MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF 7049-T73 DIE FORGINGS
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Number	Sample Thickness Range, in.	Direction†	Die No.	Producer	Tensile			Compressive		Shear Ultimate Strength, ksi	Bearing** EdgeWise		
					Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, ksi	Elong. in 4D, %	Reduction of Area, %	Yield Strength, * ksi		Ultimate Strength, * ksi	Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, * ksi
410693	≤1.000	L ST	9078	A	81.3 71.9	74.5 61.8	13.5 10.0	32 22	75.5 65.5	45.1 43.9	148.0	92.7	114.2
410698	1.001-2.000	L ST	15789	A	81.3 78.4	74.9 70.8	15.0 8.0	37 10	79.8 76.7	52.9 49.7	162.5	102.4	120.3
410694		L ST	B5786	B	75.9 75.4	67.0 66.1	13.5 10.9	30 5	68.8 68.0	47.3 46.9	152.7	94.9	122.1
410697A		L ST	40005	A	77.8 75.5	71.6 67.6	11.0 7.1	30 16	80.1 71.1	45.8 43.9	151.6	97.1	116.7
410697C		L ST	40005	A	80.8 73.4	74.4 67.1	11.5 7.8	25 10	78.5 69.7	43.5	--	--	--
410695	2.001-3.000	L ST	40006	A	80.3 74.3	73.1 66.3	12.5 8.0	26 9	74.6 68.0	45.3 44.6	146.8	95.2	113.5
410696		L ST	B6204	B	75.0 73.7	65.5 64.7	13.5 7.0	35 8	72.1 66.7	47.6 45.5	146.7	104.7	114.0
410697B		L ST	40005	A	81.6 73.9	75.9 64.9	11.5 8.0	28 15	79.6 69.0	44.8 43.7	149.6	97.8	113.0
410700	4.001-5.000	L ST	B2362	B	76.5 73.3	65.7 65.5	12.5 2.9	26 4	70.1 68.3	44.8 44.1	138.8	97.0	113.8

* Offset equals 0.2 per cent.
† Offset equals 2 per cent of pin diameter.
‡ L-Longitudinal, ST-Short transverse
** Specimens and fixtures cleaned ultrasonically

TABLE VI
MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF 7175-T736 DIE FORGINGS
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Number	Sample Thickness Range, in.		Die No.	Producer	Tensile			Compressive Yield Strength,* ksi	Shear Ultimate Strength, ksi	Bearing** Edgewise			
	Direction†	Yield Strength,* ksi			Elong in 4D, %	Reduction of Area, %	Ultimate Strength, ksi			Yield Strength, ksi	Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, ksi	Ultimate Strength, ksi
410983	≤1.000	L ST	9078	A	77.5 73.4	69.3 62.2	14.0 7.9	37 12	45.1 43.2	113.3 --	143.5 --	94.4 --	105.6 --
410699	1.000-2.000	L ST	15789	A	81.4 75.4	74.7 67.0	13.0 8.0	34 14	47.4 48.5	116.9 --	153.9 --	96.0 --	126.1 --
410704		L ST	F17961	A	82.9 77.4	76.9 68.4	13.0 9.0	34 11	47.4 46.2	121.3 --	158.0 --	101.3 --	119.3 --
410705A		L ST	40005	A	77.2 73.2	69.8 65.2	12.0 8.6	30 17	46.5 44.4	116.7 --	155.5 --	97.0 --	116.3 --
410705C		L ST	40005	A	79.1 75.1	72.4 67.4	13.0 9.4	36 17	44.6 --	-- --	-- --	-- --	-- --
410706		L ST	F17976	A	82.7 75.5	73.2 66.7	12.0 8.0	29 12	48.3 48.1	103.2 --	154.2 --	93.1 --	116.8 --
410705B	2.001-3.000	L ST	40005	A	78.5 74.2	71.8 66.4	12.5 7.0	35 15	45.3 44.4	117.5 --	151.6 --	100.0 --	115.9 --
410984		L ST	40006	A	76.9 73.6	68.0 62.6	15.5 9.0	38 12	45.7 43.5	109.9 --	141.0 --	90.2 --	103.1 --

* Offset equals 0.2 per cent.
† Offset equals 2 per cent of pin diameter.
‡ L-Longitudinal, ST-Short Transverse.
** Specimens and Fixtures cleaned ultrasonically.

TABLE VII
MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF 7049-T73 HAND FORGINGS
(F73615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Dimensions, in.	Producer	Direction [†]	Tensile			Reduction in Area, %	Compressive Yield Strength,* ksi	Shear Ultimate Strength, ksi	Bearing ^{**} Edge-wise			
				Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength,* ksi	Elong, %				Ultimate Strength,ksi e/D=1.5	Yield Strength,ksi e/D=1.5	Ultimate Strength,ksi e/D=2.0	Yield Strength,ksi e/D=2.0
411019	2x16	A	L	76.4	68.8	11.0	30	75.5	45.3	103.1	133.8	95.1	108.0
			LT	75.1	67.0	10.5	24	70.2	45.7	106.4	137.7	100.3	113.4
			ST	70.6	62.4	3.1	4	71.9	43.5	--	--	--	--
410686	3x16	A	L	74.2	65.4	13.0	34	66.5	44.8	118.0	153.0	101.4	118.2
			LT	75.2	66.2	11.5	27	67.0	42.3	107.0	139.1	88.2	105.8
			ST	72.2	62.3	7.5	12	65.4	43.2	--	--	--	--
410966	4x16	A	L	80.0	72.8	12.5	31	66.5	44.8	108.5	150.0	91.8	116.3
			LT	76.4	68.5	9.5	17	69.8	43.6	112.2	145.8	98.4	118.3
			ST	75.5	67.5	7.9	16	73.4	44.2	--	--	--	--
410688	5x20	A	L	70.3	60.1	13.5	31	62.6	42.6	98.1	139.9	86.2	107.5
			LT	68.4	58.1	12.0	23	62.1	40.7	100.3	126.8	86.4	105.5
			ST	68.1	59.1	6.0	6	61.3	41.2	--	--	--	--

* Offset equals 0.2 per cent.
[†] Offset equals 2 per cent of pin diameter.
[‡] L-Longitudinal; LT-Long-transverse; ST-Short-transverse.
^{**} Specimens and fixtures cleaned ultrasonically.

TABLE VIII
MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF 7175-T736 HAND FORGINGS
(F33615-71-0-1571)

Sample Number	Dimensions, in.	Producer	Direction†	Tensile			Reduction in Area, %	Compressive Yield Strength, * ksi	Shear Ultimate Strength, ksi	Bearing** EdgeWise			
				Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, * ksi	Elong in ϕD , %				Ultimate Strength, ksi $e/D=1.5$	Yield Strength, ksi $e/D=2.0$		
410689	2x16	A	L	75.5	67.2	14.0	38	70.1	45.1	116.0	149.4	99.6	114.7
			LT	73.5	65.4	14.0	36	70.3	41.4	105.5	146.6	96.6	110.1
			ST	74.1	66.4	10.9	23	69.0	45.3	--	--	--	--
410985	3x12	A	L	77.7	70.8	14.5	40	68.8	48.0	109.9	147.3	97.1	113.1
			LT	74.3	69.7	10.0	20	69.8	47.6	112.0	143.4	93.8	107.0
			ST	74.7	65.6	7.0	11	70.3	44.6	--	--	--	--
410691	4x16	A	L	72.8	62.4	13.0	32	67.5	42.8	114.5	134.3	97.7	104.0
			LT	70.8	59.9	10.0	19	64.7	41.1	104.5	135.6	85.0	104.0
			ST	71.4	61.4	8.6	15	63.9	41.4	--	--	--	--
410986	5x20	A	L	72.5	62.1	14.0	34	62.9	43.0	96.1	129.4	82.9	98.7
			LT	68.5	60.1	12.5	29	66.0	43.1	105.9	133.3	88.3	102.9
			ST	68.9	58.5	6.5	7	63.7	40.0	--	--	--	--

* Offset equals 0.2 per cent.
† Offset equals 2 per cent of pin diameter.
‡ L-Longitudinal; LT-Long-Transverse; ST-Short Transverse.
** Specimens and fixtures cleaned ultrasonically.

TABLE IX

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF 7475-T61 SHEET
(F33615-71-0-1571)

Number	Sample Thickness, in.	Direction†	Producer	Tensile		Compressive Yield Strength,* ksi	Shear Ultimate Strength, ksi	Bearing**	
				Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength,* in 2 in., %			Flatwise Ultimate Strength, ksi e/0.15	Flatwise Yield Strength, ksi e/0.15
410651	0.040	L T	A	81.5	76.2	71.1	49.7#	126.6	99.2
				81.3	72.9	76.9	--	125.2	100.3
410652	0.063	L T	A	83.1	77.1	72.8	50.3#	129.0	102.8
				79.8	72.1	75.5	--	128.8	103.1
410653	0.063	L T	A	79.8	74.1	75.0	48.2#	132.5	106.4
				80.8	72.6	77.6	--	132.5	106.4
410654	0.090	L T	A	81.9	75.7	71.3	48.9#	132.9	103.2
				82.3	73.8	78.1	--	132.3	105.8
410655	0.090	L T	A	80.3	74.2	72.9	--	128.8	108.8
				80.4	72.1	76.3	--	128.9	110.3
410656	0.125	L T	A	80.1	73.7	73.4	--	128.9	108.6
				80.4	71.9	76.2	--	129.8	110.5
410657	0.125	L T	A	79.5	74.5	74.5	--	132.2	115.0
				80.4	72.6	77.3	--	131.7	112.3
410658	0.188	L T	A	82.0	76.8	76.1	--	134.4	113.6
				82.2	73.1	77.9	--	134.1	116.2
410659	0.188	L T	A	80.4	75.0	71.8	--	128.8	109.0
				79.4	72.3	76.7	--	127.3	109.2
410660	0.249	L T	A	80.2	75.6	73.3	52.6	129.8	110.0
				80.1	72.6	77.4	52.4	128.9	110.8
410661	0.249	L T	A	79.5	75.3	77.1	51.8	127.4	109.3
				80.8	73.4	77.0	51.0	127.7	110.3
410662	0.249	L T	A	81.9	77.4	75.9	53.3	131.0	112.2
				83.1	75.0	80.1	53.3	130.9	111.8

* Offset equals 0.2 per cent
† Offset equals 2 per cent of pin diameter.
‡ L-Longitudinal, T-Transverse.
Punch-type shear specimens, all others cylindrical.
** Specimens and fixtures cleaned ultrasonically.

TABLE X
MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF 7475-T761 SHEET
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Thickness, in.	Direction*	Producer	Tensile		Compressive Yield Strength,* ksi	Shear Ultimate Strength, ksi	Bearing** Flatwise			
				Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength,* ksi			Ultimate Strength, ksi e/D=1.5	Yield Strength, ksi e/D=2.0		
410688	0.032	L T	A	79.7 77.8	72.8 69.4	71.8 73.2	48.5# --	116.4 124.5	161.7 159.2	92.0 99.5	111.4 114.0
410663	0.040	L T	A	80.0 78.3	73.7 70.8	70.7 73.3	48.5# --	119.6 121.2	155.4 160.8	96.7 98.3	108.9 116.6
410664		L T	A	81.3 78.9	74.3 71.1	70.8 73.5	49.4# --	128.1 125.7	166.7 170.4	94.9 99.0	118.8 112.4
410665	0.063	L T	A	79.0 76.9	70.5 69.0	73.3 74.4	48.2# --	124.5 125.2	156.1 157.4	98.7 100.0	115.5 116.8
410666		L T	A	75.2 75.3	66.4 65.0	65.8 68.4	45.5# --	120.5 121.0	154.8 154.8	96.2 98.7	112.6 116.1
410667	0.090	L T	A	75.0 74.1	67.3 64.6	65.4 67.7	-- --	119.5 118.4	154.0 152.0	99.6 99.3	111.4 111.1
410668		L T	A	77.1 77.2	69.8 68.3	69.2 72.8	-- --	124.4 122.5	159.5 157.6	104.9 103.0	115.3 114.2
410669	0.125	L T	A	74.2 75.3	66.4 64.9	65.2 69.5	-- --	121.7 119.2	152.7 151.1	103.5 101.0	118.6 117.8
410670		L T	A	74.8 76.0	66.8 65.6	65.2 69.4	-- --	121.9 122.2	153.4 152.8	102.5 104.6	116.9 120.4
410671	0.188	L T	A	73.4 73.9	66.4 65.3	63.6 67.6	47.2 46.9	118.1 117.1	150.0 148.8	99.1 99.1	114.7 114.8
410672		L T	A	75.3 75.3	67.0 67.0	66.5 68.9	49.1 47.3	119.6 119.5	153.2 151.5	100.8 101.1	118.1 116.7
410673	0.249	L T	A	74.1 74.9	67.0 65.9	63.8 68.4	47.6 47.9	117.9 117.0	149.1 150.0	99.3 97.4	113.7 116.4
410674		L T	A	76.0 77.3	67.8 68.3	66.5 71.7	49.1 49.4	120.3 120.2	153.5 153.2	101.0 100.6	118.2 121.1

* Offset equals 0.2 per cent.
† Offset equals 2 per cent of pin diameter.
‡ L-Longitudinal; T-transverse.
Punch-type shear specimens, all others cylindrical.
** Specimens and fixtures cleaned ultrasonically.

TABLE XI
 SUPPLEMENTAL MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF BARE AND ALCLAD 7475-T61 AND T761 SHEET
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Alloy and Temper	Sample Number	Thickness, in.	Direction†	Producer	Tensile		Compressive Yield Strength, * ksi	Shear Ultimate Strength, ksi	Bearing** Flatwise	
					Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, * ksi			Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, ksi
7475-T61	369704	0.063	L T	A	81.9	75.6	74.1	49.6#	131.9	112.1
	369544	0.090	L T	A	80.4	61.6	79.2	---	168.7	127.0
	369621		L T	A	81.0	76.0	75.7	---	167.6	127.9
	369598		L T	A	80.5	72.5	78.3	---	166.6	127.0
	369618		L T	A	80.6	76.4	74.1	---	171.6	130.0
	369597	0.125	L T	A	82.4	73.0	77.9	---	170.7	126.4
	369543		L T	A	82.0	74.5	80.4	---	170.1	114.4
	369548	0.188	L T	A	82.0	75.8	75.6	---	172.0	128.0
	369596		L T	A	82.0	74.1	80.0	---	169.7	113.0
	369516	0.249	L T	A	78.3	73.4	73.4	---	164.0	123.7
	369517		L T	A	79.2	71.8	76.2	---	167.4	106.5
			L T	A	82.9	78.4	80.4	---	174.5	107.4
			L T	A	83.0	73.6	80.4	---	172.3	132.8
			L T	A	80.6	77.3	80.4	---	172.0	114.9
			L T	A	82.0	74.2	78.5	---	162.2	118.0
			L T	A	81.3	76.2	78.5	---	169.7	129.4
			L T	A	81.3	74.2	78.5	---	171.0	116.4
		L T	A	79.3	73.0	78.1	---	172.0	115.6	
		L T	A	82.0	74.8	72.6	---	174.2	134.7	
		L T	A	82.0	72.4	72.6	---	164.1	129.7	
		L T	A	82.0	77.0	76.0	---	164.8	110.6	
		L T	A	82.2	75.2	79.0	---	171.8	115.2	
		L T	A	81.2	73.6	72.1	---	172.0	114.2	
-T761	369705	0.063	L T	A	79.0	71.4	72.1	---	---	---
	369615	0.090	L T	A	74.2	66.6	66.8	---	---	---
	369613		L T	A	74.8	65.7	70.7	---	151.8	114.0
	369599	0.125	L T	A	78.2	68.9	71.4	---	151.8	121.1
	369542		L T	A	74.2	67.0	65.8	---	160.5	116.6
	369509	0.249	L T	A	80.4	66.0	70.2	---	157.4	100.5
	369510		L T	A	80.3	72.3	75.8	---	162.2	110.1
			L T	A	74.0	64.5	64.3	---	166.9	98.4
			L T	A	78.0	72.5	68.0	---	121.8	116.2
			L T	A	78.3	70.6	71.2	---	124.2	119.9
			L T	A	78.5	73.6	73.6	---	126.9	124.2
			L T	A	78.5	70.6	73.6	---	126.6	104.6

(Continued)

TABLE XI
(Concluded)
SUPPLEMENTAL MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF BARE AND ALCLAD 7475-T61 AND T761 SHEET
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Alloy and Temper	Sample Number	Sample Thickness, in.	Direction†	Producer	Tensile		Compressive Yield Strength,* ksi	Shear Ultimate Strength, ksi	Bearing** Flatwise			
					Ultimate Strength, ksi	Elong. in 2 in., %			Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, ksi		
Alc. 7475-T61	369505	0.040	L	A	73.2	11.2	67.6	46.0#	124.8	150.1	102.9	114.7
	364506		L	A	72.4	11.2	68.2	47.7#	120.8	138.8	98.0	109.6
	369594	0.063	L	A	78.3	10.0	67.9	46.1#	119.2	144.4	98.0	116.8
	369616		L	A	73.2	9.5	70.8	46.0#	127.0	136.4	97.3	112.0
	369620		L	A	76.9	11.8	69.4	46.0#	124.4	160.5	100.4	111.6
	369617		L	A	75.6	11.3	72.0	48.1#	123.5	160.4	99.8	114.8
	369456	0.090	L	A	74.8	11.3	68.6	47.6#	123.8	160.9	100.0	117.8
	369507		L	A	71.2	10.5	71.4	---	130.6	168.2	105.0	121.7
	369508		L	A	78.1	11.2	75.1	---	130.2	167.4	106.4	122.9
	369619		L	A	70.2	10.8	70.8	---	129.6	167.1	107.0	122.2
	369545	0.125	L	A	78.6	11.0	74.4	---	129.2	168.8	107.0	126.6
	369546		L	A	76.8	11.5	66.7	---	129.0	156.3	106.2	119.2
	369457	0.188	L	A	75.2	11.5	69.9	---	121.5	155.8	102.6	119.8
	369493	0.249	L	A	76.8	11.5	72.4	---	124.6	161.6	105.7	122.4
			L	A	77.2	12.0	74.4	---	124.6	160.0	107.6	125.0
			L	A	79.8	11.5	74.5	---	127.2	163.3	106.2	122.4
			L	A	73.6	12.0	77.8	---	127.5	161.8	111.4	127.6
			L	A	71.6	11.5	74.6	---	128.8	165.0	110.2	125.2
			L	A	73.8	12.5	77.2	---	128.3	164.8	110.2	125.2
			L	A	71.5	12.5	72.5	---	123.0	158.9	104.1	120.2
			L	A	72.6	12.5	75.0	---	123.0	159.6	106.8	125.0
			L	A	68.6	12.5	66.2	---	122.9	158.2	103.4	121.8
			L	A	69.1	12.0	72.9	---	122.9	158.2	103.3	121.8
			L	A	73.2	12.5	72.8	---	127.4	162.8	109.9	127.6
			L	A	71.6	12.5	74.8	---	127.4	162.8	103.4	127.6
			L	A	69.6	13.5	69.3	---	122.5	156.2	103.4	121.6
			L	A	67.8	13.5	72.0	---	121.8	158.8	102.9	126.0
-T761	369611	0.063	L	A	71.0	10.5	61.4	43.2#	114.4	147.6	92.8	107.8
	369612		T	A	71.0	10.5	65.4	45.9#	115.3	147.6	94.2	109.8
	369458	0.090	L	A	75.6	10.3	66.7	---	123.2	159.1	99.7	118.6
	369614		L	A	64.9	10.0	69.9	---	122.4	159.2	100.8	117.1
	369460	0.188	L	A	71.1	11.5	59.4	---	111.0	142.6	92.7	106.8
	369499	0.249	L	A	69.6	11.2	62.2	---	110.0	141.6	91.4	107.4
			L	A	59.4	11.8	68.5	---	120.6	153.6	100.6	117.1
			L	A	74.4	12.0	71.2	---	120.2	155.2	100.6	119.7
			L	A	75.2	13.0	62.8	---	114.0	146.0	94.7	113.4
			L	A	72.0	13.5	64.6	---	114.8	147.9	95.2	114.3

* Offset equals 0.2 per cent.
† Offset equals 2.0 per cent of pin diameter.
‡ L-Longitudinal; T-Transverse
Punch-type shear specimens, all others cylindrical.
Specimens and fixtures cleaned ultrasonically.

TABLE XII

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF 2124-T851 PLATE
(F33615-71-0-1571)

Number	Sample Thickness, in.	Direction	Producer	Tensile			Reduction of Area, %	Compressive Yield Strength,* ksi	Shear Ultimate Strength, ksi	Bearing**				
				Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength,* ksi	Elong. in 2 in. or 4D, %				Ultimate Strength, ksi e/D=1.5	Yield Strength, ksi e/D=2.0			
410675	1.750	L	A	72.0	67.0	10.0	66.7	41.9	139.5	94.8	109.8			
		LT		65.7	8.0	107.2						94.1	106.8	
		ST		65.3	5.1	8						107.2	94.1	106.8
410680	2.000	L	C	71.5	66.2	8.5	65.2	41.2	139.1	95.5	107.2			
		LT		65.4	8.0	15						107.4	95.5	109.0
		ST		64.9	3.1	9						107.4	95.5	109.0
410681	2.040	L	B	70.8	65.4	8.5	63.4	41.2	138.3	92.0	105.8			
		LT		65.2	7.0	26						105.7	92.8	106.4
		ST		64.2	4.7	8						105.7	92.8	106.4
410676	2.500	L	A	71.5	65.4	9.0	63.9	40.9	138.3	93.0	108.7			
		LT		64.2	7.0	14						106.6	92.1	105.1
		ST		63.5	3.0	6						106.6	92.1	105.1
410677	3.500	L	A	70.3	63.9	9.0	62.9	40.7	136.2	91.3	107.1			
		LT		62.7	7.0	21						104.9	92.0	104.6
		ST		61.4	4.0	6						105.5	92.0	104.6
410682	4.000	L	B	70.2	65.5	6.5	63.1	40.7	133.8	94.5	106.3			
		LT		64.2	5.5	11						103.7	91.8	106.3
		ST		60.2	4.0	6						103.4	91.8	106.3
410678	4.500	L	A	70.2	63.4	8.0	61.1	40.5	135.0	93.3	107.1			
		LT		61.4	6.0	11						103.8	93.0	105.8
		ST		59.8	3.0	3						105.4	93.0	105.8
410683	4.500	L	C	67.8	59.8	8.0	57.3	39.1	131.2	85.2	98.4			
		LT		58.5	8.0	11						100.9	86.4	97.8
		ST		57.3	2.5	5						100.9	86.4	97.8
410679	5.500	L	A	68.2	61.1	8.0	58.3	40.0	131.5	90.3	103.7			
		LT		59.3	7.0	10						101.7	88.6	103.7
		ST		57.5	2.5	4						102.5	88.6	105.1
410684	6.000	L	C	65.2	57.1	8.0	52.1	38.2	125.3	83.8	97.0			
		LT		57.0	7.0	9						97.4	84.1	94.1
		ST		54.8	3.5	2						97.4	84.1	94.1

* Offset equals 0.2 per cent.
 † Offset equals 2 per cent of pin diameter.
 ** Specimens and fixtures cleaned ultrasonically.
 †† L-Longitudinal; LT-Long Transverse; ST-Short Transverse; L & LT specimens taken from T/4 location; ST specimens taken from T/2 location.

TABLE XIII

SUPPLEMENTAL MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF 2124-T851 PLATE
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Thickness, in.	Location	Direction†	Tensile			Compressive	Shear	Bearing**		
				Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, ksi	Elong in 2 in. or 4D, %			Reduction of Area, %	Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, ksi
369734-3	1.500	T/2	L	69.3	62.8	10.5	28	66.5	39.2	---	---
			LT	71.0	65.8	9.5	22	64.6	39.1	---	---
			ST	67.4	64.0	2.4	6	69.0	37.9	---	---
369736-3			L	69.6	63.5	10.2	26	65.0	39.6	89.1	102.1
			LT	70.2	64.4	9.0	20	64.6	39.6	88.4	102.7
			ST	67.0	62.8	3.1	2	67.6	37.2	---	---
369738-3			L	71.6	66.7	8.5	25	66.7	41.2	90.7	106.4
			LT	71.6	66.0	8.5	20	66.7	40.2	92.3	105.0
			ST	68.5	64.2	4.7	2	69.0	37.8	---	---
369797-3			L	72.8	66.6	10.8	28	68.0	40.0	91.3	107.0
			LT	73.0	67.0	9.5	23	67.2	40.4	91.3	107.0
			ST	70.9	65.4	4.7	2	70.8	37.7	---	---
337676	3.560	T/4	L	68.4	62.6	8.0	23	61.2	40.6	---	---
			LT	68.1	61.3	8.0	12	62.0	40.7	---	---
			ST	65.3	59.8	3.0	2	65.8	38.9	---	---
342615-1	4.000	T/4	L	68.0	62.3	10.0	25	61.6	39.9	86.8	102.7
			LT	67.2	62.0	7.5	13	62.4	39.2	89.5	105.6
			ST	63.6	59.3	2.3	6	62.6	35.4	---	---
342713-1			L	69.2	63.6	8.3	19	63.0	40.0	88.3	103.6
			LT	68.2	61.9	6.8	12	63.9	39.1	89.7	105.2
			ST	64.9	59.9	3.0	4	63.8	37.1	---	---
340897	4.310	T/4	L	66.6	59.1	8.5	18	58.2	39.4	---	---
			LT	66.4	58.2	8.0	16	58.4	39.6	---	---
			ST	63.9	57.5	4.5	4	60.8	38.4	---	---
337664	5.560	T/4	L	64.8	57.4	9.0	21	54.3	38.4	---	---
			LT	64.6	55.4	7.5	12	56.4	38.6	---	---
			ST	61.2	55.3	3.5	2	58.6	37.4	---	---

* Offset equals 0.2 per cent.

† Offset equals 2 per cent of pin diameter.

‡ L-Longitudinal; LT-Long-Transverse; ST-Short-Transverse.

** Specimens and fixtures cleaned ultrasonically.

TABLE XIV
SPECIFIED MINIMUM TENSILE PROPERTY VALUES FOR SOME ALUMINUM ALLOY FORGINGS, SHEET AND PLATE
 (F29615-71-C-1571)

Alloy and Temper	Product	Thickness, in.	Longitudinal			Long-Transverse			Short-Transverse			Specification
			Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, ksi	Elong. in 2 in. or 4D, %	Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, ksi	Elong. in 2 in. or 4D, %	Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, ksi	Elong. in 2 in. or 4D, %	
7049-773	Hand Forging	≤ 2.000	71.0	61.0	9	71.0	59.0	4	69.0	58.0	3	None AMS 4111
		2.001-4.000	69.0	59.0	8	69.0	57.0	3	67.0	56.0	2	
		4.001-5.000	67.0	56.0	7	67.0	56.0	3	66.0	55.0	2	
	Die Forging	≤ 1.000	72.0	62.0	7	72.0	62.0	7	71.0	61.0	3	None AMS 4111
		1.001-2.000	72.0	62.0	7	72.0	62.0	7	70.0	60.0	3	
		2.001-3.000	71.0	61.0	7	71.0	60.0	7	70.0	60.0	3	
7175-7736	Hand Forging	≤ 3.000	73.0	63.0	9	71.0	60.0	5	69.0	60.0	4	Tentative
		2.001-4.000	71.0	61.0	8	70.0	58.0	5	68.0	57.0	4	
		4.001-5.000	68.0	57.0	8	67.0	56.0	5	66.0	55.0	4	
7475-761	Die Forging	≤ 3.000	76.0	66.0	7	76.0	66.0	7	71.0	62.0	4	AMS 4149
		0.040-0.249	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
7475-761	Sheet	0.040-0.249	--	--	--	75.0	64.0	9	--	--	--	Tentative
		0.032	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	
Alc. 7475-761	Sheet	0.040-0.062	--	--	--	69.0	59.0	9	--	--	--	None Tentative
		0.063-0.187	--	--	--	70.0	60.0	9	--	--	--	
		0.188-0.249	--	--	--	72.0	61.0	9	--	--	--	
Alc. 7475-7761	Sheet	0.040-0.062	--	--	--	66.0	55.0	9	--	--	--	Tentative
		0.063-0.187	--	--	--	67.0	56.0	9	--	--	--	
		0.188-0.249	--	--	--	69.0	57.0	9	--	--	--	
2124-7651	Plate	1.500-2.000	66.0	57.0	6	66.0	57.0	5	64.0	55.0	1.5	Interim Federal Specification QQ-A-00250/29
		2.001-3.000	65.0	56.0	5	65.0	56.0	4	63.0	54.0	1.5	
		3.001-4.000	65.0	56.0	5	65.0	56.0	4	62.0	54.0	1.5	
		4.001-5.000	64.0	55.0	5	64.0	55.0	4	61.0	53.0	1.5	
		5.001-6.000	63.0	54.0	5	63.0	54.0	4	59.0	51.0	1.5	

* Offset equals 0.2 per cent.

TABLE XV

RATIOS AMONG THE TENSILE, COMPRESSIVE, SHEAR AND BEARING PROPERTIES OF 7049-T73 DIE FORGINGS
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Thickness Range, in.	Die No.	Producer	Compressive		Shear		Bearing (Edgewise)			
				$\frac{CYS(L)}{TYS(L)}$	$\frac{CYS(ST)}{TYS(ST)}$	$\frac{SU(L)}{TUS(L)}$	$\frac{SU(ST)}{TUS(ST)}$	$\frac{BUS(L)/TUS(L)}{e/D=1.5}$	$\frac{BYS(L)/TYS(L)}{e/D=2.0}$		
410693	1.000	9078	A	1.01	1.06	0.55	0.54	1.41	1.82	1.24	1.53
410698	1.001-2.000	15789	A	1.07	1.08	0.65	0.61	1.47	2.00	1.37	1.61
410694		B5786	B	1.03	1.03	0.62	0.62	1.41	2.01	1.25	1.61
410697A		40005	A	1.12	1.05	0.59	0.56	1.51	1.95	1.36	1.63
410697C		40005	A	1.06	1.04	0.54	--	--	--	--	--
410695	2.001-3.000	40006	A	1.02	1.03	0.56	0.56	1.41	1.83	1.30	1.55
410696		B6204	B	1.10	1.03	0.63	0.61	1.65	1.96	1.60	1.74
410697B		40005	A	1.05	1.06	0.55	0.54	1.46	1.97	1.29	1.49
410700	4.001-5.000	B2362	B	1.07	1.04	0.59	0.58	1.45	1.81	1.48	1.73

TABLE XVI
 RATIOS AMONG THE TENSILE, COMPRESSIVE, SHEAR AND BEARING PROPERTIES OF 7175-T736 DIE FORGINGS
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Thickness Range, in.	Die No.	Producer	Compressive		Shear		Bearing (Edgewise)			
				$\frac{CYS(L)}{TYS(L)}$	$\frac{CYS(ST)}{TYS(ST)}$	$\frac{SU(L)}{TUS(L)}$	$\frac{SU(ST)}{TUS(ST)}$	$\frac{BUS(L)}{e/D=1.5}$	$\frac{TUS(L)}{e/D=2.0}$	$\frac{BYS(L)}{e/D=1.5}$	$\frac{TYS(L)}{e/D=2.0}$
410983	≤ 1.000	9078	A	1.03	1.07	0.58	0.56	1.46	1.85	1.36	1.52
410699	1.001-2.000	15789	A	1.01	1.06	0.58	0.60	1.44	1.89	1.29	1.69
410704		F17961	A	1.03	1.06	0.57	0.56	1.46	1.91	1.32	1.55
410705A		40005	A	1.11	1.06	0.60	0.58	1.51	2.01	1.39	1.67
410705C		40005	A	1.04	1.05	0.56	--	--	--	--	--
410706		F17976	A	1.03	1.05	0.58	0.58	1.25	1.86	1.27	1.60
410705B	2.001-3.000	40005	A	1.06	1.03	0.58	0.57	1.50	1.93	1.39	1.61
410984		40006	A	1.01	1.05	0.59	0.57	1.43	1.83	1.33	1.52

TABLE XVII
RATIOS AMONG THE TENSILE, COMPRESSIVE, SHEAR AND BEARING PROPERTIES
OF 7049-T73 HAND FORGINGS
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Dimensions, in.	Producer	Compressive			Shear		Bearing (Edgewise)								
			$\frac{CYS(L)}{TTS(L)}$	$\frac{CYS(LT)}{TYS(LT)}$	$\frac{CYS(ST)}{TYS(ST)}$	$\frac{SU(L)}{TUS(L)}$	$\frac{SU(LT)}{TUS(LT)}$	$\frac{BYS(L)}{e/D=1.5}$	$\frac{BYS(LT)}{e/D=2.0}$	$\frac{BYS(LT)}{TYS(LT)}$	$\frac{BYS(LT)}{e/D=2.0}$					
411019	2x16	A	1.10	1.05	1.15	0.60	0.61	0.58	1.37	1.78	1.42	1.61	1.42	1.83	1.50	1.69
410686	3x16	A	1.02	1.01	1.05	0.60	0.56	0.57	1.57	2.03	1.53	1.79	1.42	1.85	1.33	1.60
410966	4x16	A	0.91	1.02	1.09	0.59	0.57	0.58	1.42	1.96	1.34	1.70	1.47	1.91	1.44	1.73
410688	5x20	A	1.04	1.07	1.04	0.62	0.60	0.66	1.43	2.04	1.48	1.85	1.47	1.85	1.49	1.82

TABLE XVIII
 RATIOS AMONG THE TENSILE, COMPRESSIVE, SHEAR AND BEARING PROPERTIES
 OF 7175-T736 HAND FORGINGS
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Dimensions, Producer	Compressive		Shear		Bearing (Edge-wise)									
		$\frac{CYS(L)}{TYS(L)}$	$\frac{CYS(LT)}{TYS(LT)}$	$\frac{CYS(ST)}{TYS(ST)}$	$\frac{SU(L)}{TUS(LT)}$	$\frac{SU(LT)}{TUS(LT)}$	$\frac{SU(ST)}{TUS(ST)}$	$\frac{BYS(L)}{e/D=1.5}$	$\frac{BYS(L)}{TYS(LT)}$	$\frac{BYS(LT)}{e/D=2.0}$	$\frac{BYS(LT)}{TYS(LT)}$	$\frac{BYS(LT)}{e/D=1.5}$	$\frac{BYS(LT)}{e/D=2.0}$		
410689	2x16 A	1.04	1.07	1.04	0.62	0.56	0.62	1.58	2.03	1.52	1.75	1.43	1.99	1.48	1.68
410985	3x12 A	0.97	1.06	1.07	0.65	0.64	0.60	1.48	1.98	1.48	1.72	1.51	1.92	1.43	1.63
410691	4x16 A	1.08	1.08	1.04	0.60	0.58	0.58	1.62	1.90	1.63	1.74	1.48	1.92	1.42	1.74
410986	5x20 A	1.01	1.06	1.09	0.62	0.62	0.58	1.38	1.86	1.38	1.64	1.52	1.92	1.47	1.71

TABLE XIX
 RATIOS AMONG THE TENSILE, COMPRESSIVE, SHEAR AND BEARING PROPERTIES OF BARE
 AND ALCLAD 7475-T61 SHEET
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Alloy and Temper	Sample Number	Sample Thickness, in.	Producer	Tensile		Compressive		Shear		Bearing (Flatwise)		Bearing (Longitudinal)				
				TUS(L) TUS(T)	TUS(L) TUS(T)	CTS(L) CTS(T)	CAS(L) CAS(T)	SU TUS(L)	SU(L) TUS(L)	SU(L) TUS(L)	SU(L) TUS(L)	BUS(L)/TUS(L) e/1.5	BUS(L)/TUS(L) e/2.0	BUS(L)/TUS(L) e/1.5	BUS(L)/TUS(L) e/2.0	
7475-T61	410651	0.040	A	1.00	1.05	0.98	1.05	0.61	--	1.36	1.64	1.54	2.02	1.78	1.59	
	410652		A	1.04	1.07	1.01	1.05	0.63	--	1.43	1.58	1.61	2.10	1.45	1.64	
	369704	0.063	A	1.02	1.06	1.04	1.11	0.62	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	410653		A	0.99	1.02	1.03	1.07	0.60	--	1.40	1.61	1.64	2.07	1.47	1.69	
	410654		A	1.00	1.03	0.97	1.06	0.59	--	1.47	1.61	1.61	2.01	1.43	1.63	
	369744	0.090	A	1.01	1.05	1.04	1.08	--	--	1.55	1.75	1.63	2.08	1.55	1.76	
	369743		A	1.00	1.02	1.02	1.07	--	--	1.53	1.74	1.61	2.07	1.51	1.78	
	369738		A	1.00	1.02	1.04	1.08	--	--	1.52	1.70	1.63	2.08	1.54	1.78	
	369739		A	1.00	1.02	1.02	1.08	--	--	1.52	1.70	1.63	2.07	1.54	1.78	
	410655		A	1.00	1.03	1.01	1.06	--	--	1.51	1.67	1.60	2.07	1.52	1.75	
	410652		A	1.00	1.03	1.01	1.06	--	--	1.51	1.70	1.60	2.05	1.51	1.74	
	369597	0.125	A	0.99	1.02	1.02	1.06	--	--	1.48	1.72	1.61	2.07	1.50	1.75	
369545		A	1.00	1.07	1.02	1.06	--	--	1.56	1.80	1.64	2.07	1.60	1.82		
410657		A	0.99	1.01	1.03	1.02	--	--	1.58	1.78	1.64	2.04	1.55	1.78		
410658		A	1.00	1.05	1.04	1.07	--	--	1.55	1.79	1.63	2.03	1.59	1.81		
369548	0.188	A	0.98	1.04	1.02	1.06	--	--	1.56	1.74	1.62	2.08	1.57	1.84		
369596		A	1.00	1.04	1.03	1.06	--	--	1.57	1.78	1.64	2.10	1.58	1.84		
369595		A	1.01	1.04	0.99	1.06	--	--	1.57	1.78	1.64	2.06	1.51	1.75		
410659		A	1.00	1.04	1.01	1.07	--	0.66	1.52	1.72	1.60	2.08	1.51	1.75		
369516	0.249	A	0.99	1.03	1.00	1.06	--	0.64	1.54	1.79	1.61	2.07	1.52	1.76		
369517		A	1.00	1.03	1.01	1.05	--	0.65	1.53	1.79	1.61	2.09	1.52	1.76		
410661		A	0.98	1.03	1.01	1.05	--	0.64	1.58	1.69	1.58	2.03	1.49	1.74		
410662		A	0.99	1.03	1.01	1.07	--	0.64	1.50	1.77	1.58	1.99	1.49	1.76		
369505	0.040	A	1.00	1.08	1.04	1.07	0.64	--	1.50	1.77	1.58	1.99	1.49	1.76		
369506		A	1.07	1.08	1.03	1.07	0.65	--	1.59	1.77	1.65	1.89	1.51	1.69		
369594	0.063	A	1.02	1.04	1.03	1.07	0.61	--	1.48	1.77	1.74	1.86	1.51	1.70		
369610		A	1.01	1.04	1.03	1.07	0.61	--	1.45	1.69	1.65	2.12	1.49	1.66		
369617		A	1.02	1.04	1.01	1.07	0.62	--	1.20	1.72	1.66	2.15	1.50	1.77		
369456	0.090	A	1.04	1.05	1.04	1.09	0.62	--	1.20	1.72	1.66	2.14	1.52	1.75		
369507		A	1.04	1.04	1.04	1.05	0.62	--	1.31	1.79	1.69	2.20	1.56	1.85		
369508		A	1.00	1.04	1.00	1.05	--	--	1.51	1.80	1.62	2.07	1.52	1.80		
369509		A	1.03	1.03	1.04	1.07	--	--	1.52	1.76	1.61	2.07	1.52	1.78		
369519		A	1.00	1.03	1.04	1.08	--	--	1.48	1.71	1.61	2.04	1.54	1.82		
369145	0.125	A	1.00	1.06	1.03	1.08	--	--	1.54	1.75	1.61	2.07	1.54	1.82		
369546		A	0.98	1.03	1.03	1.09	--	--	1.52	1.75	1.58	2.06	1.56	1.82		
369547	0.188	A	0.99	1.02	1.01	1.04	--	--	1.55	1.80	1.58	2.04	1.54	1.82		
369493	0.249	A	0.99	1.02	1.01	1.04	--	--	1.53	1.77	1.60	2.05	1.53	1.78		
				1.03	1.02	1.06	--	--	1.53	1.79	1.60	2.09	1.52	1.86		

TABLE XX
 RATIOS AMONG THE TENSILE, COMPRESSIVE, SHEAR AND BEARING PROPERTIES
 OF BARE AND ALCLAD 7475-T761 SHEET
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Alloy and Temper	Sample Number	Thickness, in.	Producer	Tensile		Compressive		Shear		Bearing (Flatwise)		BYS(LT)/TUS(LT) e/D=1.5	BYS(LT)/TUS(LT) e/D=2.0				
				TUS(L) TUS(LT)	TYS(L) TYS(LT)	CYS(L) CYS(LT)	TYS(L) TYS(LT)	SUS(L) TUS(LT)	SUS(LT) TUS(LT)	BUS(L) TUS(LT) e/D=1.5	BUS(LT) TUS(LT) e/D=2.0						
7475-T761	410888	0.032	A	1.02	1.05	1.03	1.05	0.62	--	1.50	2.08	1.33	1.61	1.60	2.05	1.43	1.64
	410663	0.040	A	1.02	1.04	1.00	1.04	0.62	--	1.53	1.98	1.37	1.54	1.55	2.05	1.39	1.65
	410664		A	1.03	1.05	1.00	1.03	0.62	--	1.62	2.11	1.37	1.67	1.59	2.16	1.39	1.58
	369705	0.063	A	1.03	1.03	1.01	1.03	0.61	--	1.62	2.06	1.43	1.67	1.63	2.06	1.45	1.69
	410665		A	1.02	1.02	1.01	1.05	0.60	--	1.60	2.06	1.48	1.74	1.61	2.06	1.52	1.79
	410666		A	1.00	1.02	1.01	1.05	0.60	--	1.60	2.06	1.48	1.74	1.61	2.06	1.52	1.79
	369615	0.090	A	0.99	1.02	1.02	1.08	--	--	1.60	2.03	1.56	1.75	1.57	2.02	1.49	1.77
	369613		A	1.01	1.03	1.04	1.08	--	--	1.61	2.07	1.53	1.76	1.60	2.05	1.53	1.76
	410667		A	1.01	1.04	1.01	1.05	--	--	1.61	2.08	1.54	1.72	1.60	2.05	1.54	1.72
	410668		A	1.00	1.02	1.01	1.07	--	--	1.61	2.07	1.54	1.69	1.59	2.04	1.31	1.67
	369599	0.125	A	0.99	1.02	1.00	1.06	--	--	1.60	2.05	1.52	1.77	1.60	2.05	1.55	1.81
	369592		A	1.00	1.04	1.05	1.07	--	--	1.61	2.07	1.52	1.77	1.61	2.08	1.53	1.82
410669		A	0.99	1.02	1.00	1.07	--	--	1.62	2.03	1.59	1.81	1.58	2.01	1.56	1.82	
410670		A	0.98	1.02	1.00	1.06	--	--	1.60	2.02	1.56	1.78	1.61	2.01	1.59	1.84	
410671	0.188	A	0.99	1.02	0.97	1.04	--	0.64	1.60	2.03	1.52	1.76	1.58	2.01	1.52	1.76	
410672		A	1.01	1.05	0.99	1.03	--	0.65	1.59	2.03	1.50	1.76	1.59	2.01	1.51	1.74	
Alc7475-T761	369509	0.249	A	1.00	1.03	0.98	1.05	--	0.65	1.58	2.05	1.53	1.80	1.60	2.05	1.56	1.86
	369510		A	1.00	1.02	1.01	1.04	--	0.65	1.58	2.08	1.51	1.76	1.60	2.07	1.48	1.79
	369511		A	0.98	1.02	0.97	1.04	--	0.64	1.57	1.99	1.51	1.73	1.56	2.00	1.48	1.77
	410673		A	0.98	0.99	0.97	1.05	--	0.64	1.56	1.99	1.48	1.73	1.55	1.98	1.47	1.77
	410674		A	0.98	0.99	0.97	1.05	--	0.64	1.56	1.99	1.48	1.73	1.55	1.98	1.47	1.77
	369611	0.063	A	1.00	1.02	1.01	1.08	0.61	--	1.61	2.08	1.53	1.77	1.62	2.08	1.56	1.81
369612		A	1.01	1.05	1.03	1.08	0.62	--	1.65	2.13	1.54	1.83	1.64	2.13	1.55	1.80	
369458	0.090	A	1.02	1.03	1.00	1.05	--	--	1.59	2.05	1.56	1.80	1.58	2.02	1.54	1.81	
369614		A	0.99	1.01	1.04	1.09	--	--	1.60	2.04	1.53	1.79	1.60	2.06	1.53	1.82	
369460	0.188	A	0.99	1.02	1.00	1.03	--	--	1.57	2.01	1.51	1.80	1.58	2.04	1.51	1.82	
369499	0.249	A	0.97	0.98	0.96	1.01	--	--	1.55	1.99	1.46	1.79	1.55	2.00	1.50	1.80	

TABLE XXI
 RATIOS AMONG THE TENSILE, COMPRESSIVE, SHEAR AND BEARING PROPERTIES OF 2124-T651 PLATE
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Thickness, in.	Producer	Compressive			Shear		Bearing (Flatwise)		Bearing (Flatwise)	
			$\frac{UTS(L)}{UTS(T)}$	$\frac{UTS(LT)}{UTS(TT)}$	$\frac{UTS(ST)}{UTS(TT)}$	$\frac{SU(L)}{TUS(LT)}$	$\frac{SU(LT)}{TUS(LT)}$	$\frac{BYS(L)}{e/b=1.5}$	$\frac{BYS(LT)}{e/b=2.0}$	$\frac{BYS(L)}{e/b=1.5}$	$\frac{BYS(LT)}{e/b=2.0}$
359734-2	1.500	A	1.06	0.98	1.08	0.55	0.53	--	--	--	--
359736-2		A	1.02	1.00	1.08	0.56	0.52	1.38	1.59	1.44	1.87
359737-2		A	1.02	1.01	1.07	0.58	0.53	1.37	1.61	1.46	1.89
359737-3	A	1.02	1.03	1.08	0.55	0.52	1.36	1.60	1.42	1.85	
410675	1.750	F	1.00	1.02	1.04	0.59	0.56	1.44	1.67	1.50	1.95
410680	2.000	C	0.98	1.02	1.05	0.58	0.55	1.46	1.64	1.51	1.94
410681	2.040	B	0.98	1.01	1.06	0.58	0.56	1.41	1.62	1.49	1.92
410676	2.500	A	0.98	1.03	1.05	0.58	0.56	1.45	1.69	1.51	1.94
410677	3.500	A	0.98	1.02	1.06	0.58	0.56	1.46	1.71	1.51	1.94
337676	3.560	A	0.98	1.01	1.07	0.60	0.57	--	--	--	--
410682	4.000	B	0.96	1.00	1.09	0.58	0.56	1.47	1.66	1.48	1.95
342615-1		A	0.99	1.01	1.06	0.59	0.53	1.40	1.66	1.52	1.96
342713-1		A	0.99	1.03	1.07	0.59	0.54	1.43	1.68	1.45	1.89
340837	4.210	A	0.98	1.00	1.06	0.59	0.58	--	--	--	--
410678	4.500	A	0.96	1.02	1.07	0.58	0.56	1.52	1.74	1.52	1.96
410683		C	0.96	0.99	1.09	0.58	0.55	1.46	1.68	1.49	1.93
410675	5.500	A	0.95	1.01	1.07	0.59	0.57	1.52	1.75	1.52	1.96
337664	5.560	A	0.95	1.02	1.06	0.59	0.58	--	--	--	--
410684	6.000	C	0.91	1.02	1.07	0.59	0.56	1.49	1.76	1.51	1.96

TABLE XXII
 STATISTICAL ANALYSES OF RATIOS AMONG TENSILE, COMPRESSIVE, SHEAR AND
 EDGEWISE BEARING PROPERTIES OF 7049-T73 DIE FORGINGS

(F33615-71-C-1571)

Ratio Cell	CVS (L)		CVS (ST)		Ratio Cell	SUS (L)		SUS (ST)		Ratio Cell	SUS (L, ST)*		e/D=1.5		e/D=2.0		Ratio Cell	BYS (L)		BYS (ST)	
	Ratio Cell	TYS(L)	TYS(ST)	TYS(L)		TYS(ST)	Ratio Cell	TYS(L)	TYS(ST)		Ratio Cell	TYS(L)	TYS(ST)	Ratio Cell	TYS(L)	TYS(ST)		Ratio Cell	TYS(L)	TYS(ST)	Ratio Cell
1.12	1				1					1			1					1			
1.11					0.64					0.65			1					1			
1.10	1				0.63					1.51			1					1			
1.09					0.62					1.50			1					1			
1.08			1		0.61					1.49			1					1			
1.07	2				0.60					1.48			1					1			
1.06	1		2		0.59					1.47			1					1			
1.05	1		1		0.58					1.46			1					1			
1.04	1		2		0.57					1.45			1					1			
1.03	1		3		0.56					1.44			1					1			
1.02	1				0.55					1.43			3					1			
1.01	1				0.54					1.42			3					1			
										1.41								1			
n	9		9										8					8			
R	1.059		1.047		0.587					1.471			1.471					1.919			
σ _R	0.07621		0.01732		0.03562					0.08043			0.08043					0.08425			
Min R	1.036		1.036		0.567					1.417			1.417					1.862			

* Students "t"-test showed no significant difference between average ratios for L and ST directions and "f"-test showed no significant difference in variability for L and ST directions.

TABLE XXIII
 STATISTICAL ANALYSES OF RATIOS AMONG TENSILE, COMPRESSIVE, SHEAR
 AND EDGEWISE BEARING PROPERTIES OF 7175-T736 DIE FORGINGS
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Ratio Cell	CYS (L)		CYS (ST)		Ratio Cell	SUS (L)		SUS (ST)		SUS (L&ST)*		e/D=1.5		e/D=2.0		
	TYS(L)	TYS(ST)	TYS(L)	TYS(ST)		TOS(L)	TOS(ST)	TOS(L)	TOS(ST)	TOS(L)	TOS(ST)	Ratio Cell	BUS(L)	BUS(ST)	Ratio Cell	BUS(L)
1.11	1		1	1	0.60	2	1.51	1	1.39	2	2.01	1	1.69	1	2.00	1
1.10			4	2	0.59	1	1.50	1	1.38	1	1.99	1	1.68		1.99	1
1.08			1	2	0.58	3	1.49	1	1.37	1	1.97	1	1.67		1.97	1
1.07	1	1	1	2	0.57	3	1.48	2	1.36	1	1.96	1	1.66		1.96	1
1.06		3	1	2	0.56	3	1.47	2	1.35	1	1.95	1	1.65		1.95	1
1.05	1	3	3				1.46		1.34	1	1.94	1	1.64		1.94	1
1.04	3	1					1.45		1.33	1	1.93	1	1.63		1.93	1
1.03	1						1.44		1.32	1	1.92	1	1.62		1.92	1
1.02	3						1.43		1.31	1	1.91	1	1.61		1.91	1
1.01	2						1.37		1.29	1	1.90	1	1.60		1.90	1
											1.89	1	1.59		1.89	1
											1.88	1	1.58		1.88	1
											1.87	1	1.57		1.87	1
											1.86	1	1.56		1.86	1
											1.85	1	1.55		1.85	2
											1.84	1	1.54		1.84	2
											1.83	1	1.53		1.83	2
n	8	8	8	7	15	15	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
\bar{R}	1.040	1.054	0.580	0.574	0.577	0.577	1.453	1.453	1.347	1.347	1.897	1.897	1.594	1.897	1.897	1.594
$\sigma_{\bar{R}}$.03251	.00420**			.01280	.01280	.04680	.04680	.03684	.03684	.06075	.06075	.06852	.06075	.06075	.06852
Min \bar{R}	1.018	1.055-1.024			0.572	0.572	1.418	1.418	1.320	1.320	1.853	1.853	1.544	1.853	1.853	1.544

* Students "t"-test showed no significant difference between average ratios for L and ST directions and "f"-test showed no significant difference in variability for L and ST directions.

** Regression analysis showed significant relationship with thickness. Value shown is σ_e/\sqrt{n} .

TABLE XVI
 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF RATIOS AMONG TENNILE COMPRESSIVE, SHEAR AND PLATWISE
 BEARING PROPERTIES OF BARE AND ALCOAD 7475-761 SHEET
 (P33615-71-C-1571)

Ratio Cell	TUS(L) TUS(LF)		CS(L) CS(LF)		SU(L) SU(LF)		Ratio Cell		SU(L) SU(LF)		SU(L) SU(LF)		Ratio Cell		SU(L) SU(LF)		SU(L) SU(LF)		Ratio Cell		SU(L) SU(LF)		SU(L) SU(LF)	
	TUS(L)	TUS(LF)	CS(L)	CS(LF)	SU(L)	SU(LF)	TUS(L)	TUS(LF)	SU(L)	SU(LF)	TUS(L)	TUS(LF)	SU(L)	SU(LF)	TUS(L)	TUS(LF)	SU(L)	SU(LF)	TUS(L)	TUS(LF)	SU(L)	SU(LF)	TUS(L)	TUS(LF)
1.11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.74	1	1	1	1	1	1.60	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1.10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.72	1	1	1	1	1	1.59	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1.09	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.70	1	1	1	1	1	1.57	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1.07	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.69	1	1	1	1	1	1.55	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1.06	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.68	1	1	1	1	1	1.54	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1.05	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.66	1	1	1	1	1	1.52	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1.04	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.64	1	1	1	1	1	1.51	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1.03	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.62	1	1	1	1	1	1.50	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1.01	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.61	1	1	1	1	1	1.48	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0.99	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.60	1	1	1	1	1	1.47	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0.98	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.58	1	1	1	1	1	1.46	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0.97	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.57	1	1	1	1	1	1.44	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.56	1	1	1	1	1	1.42	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.54	1	1	1	1	1	1.41	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.53	1	1	1	1	1	1.40	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.52	1	1	1	1	1	1.39	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.51	1	1	1	1	1	1.38	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.50	1	1	1	1	1	1.37	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.49	1	1	1	1	1	1.36	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.48	1	1	1	1	1	1.35	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.47	1	1	1	1	1	1.34	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.46	1	1	1	1	1	1.33	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.45	1	1	1	1	1	1.32	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.44	1	1	1	1	1	1.31	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.43	1	1	1	1	1	1.30	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.42	1	1	1	1	1	1.29	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.41	1	1	1	1	1	1.28	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.40	1	1	1	1	1	1.27	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.39	1	1	1	1	1	1.26	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.38	1	1	1	1	1	1.25	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.37	1	1	1	1	1	1.24	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.36	1	1	1	1	1	1.23	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.35	1	1	1	1	1	1.22	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.34	1	1	1	1	1	1.21	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.33	1	1	1	1	1	1.20	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.32	1	1	1	1	1	1.19	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.31	1	1	1	1	1	1.18	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.30	1	1	1	1	1	1.17	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.29	1	1	1	1	1	1.16	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.28	1	1	1	1	1	1.15	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.27	1	1	1	1	1	1.14	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.26	1	1	1	1	1	1.13	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.25	1	1	1	1	1	1.12	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.24	1	1	1	1	1	1.11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.23	1	1	1	1	1	1.10	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.22	1	1	1	1	1	1.09	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.21	1	1	1	1	1	1.08	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.20	1	1	1	1	1	1.07	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.19	1	1	1	1	1	1.06	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.18	1	1	1	1	1	1.05	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.17	1	1	1	1	1	1.04	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.16	1	1	1	1	1	1.03	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.15	1	1	1	1	1	1.02	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.14	1	1	1	1	1	1.01	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.13	1	1	1	1	1	1.00	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.12	1	1	1	1	1	0.99	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.11	1	1	1	1	1	0.98	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.10	1	1	1	1	1	0.97	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.09	1	1	1	1	1	0.96	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.08	1	1	1	1	1	0.95	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.07	1	1	1	1	1	0.94	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.06	1	1	1	1	1	0.93	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.05	1	1	1	1	1	0.92	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.04	1	1	1	1	1	0.91	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.03	1	1	1	1	1	0.90	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.02	1	1	1	1	1	0.89	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
							1.01	1	1	1	1	1	0.88	1	1	1								

TABLE XXVII
 STATISTICAL ANALYSES OF RATIOS AMONG TENSILE, COMPRESSIVE, SHEAR AND BEARING
 PROPERTIES OF BARE AND ALCLAD 7475-T761 SHEET
 (F73615-71-C-1571)

Ratio Cell	Tens(L) Tens(LF) Tens(LF)		Tens(L) Tens(LF) Tens(LF)		SU(L) SU(LF) SU(LF)		SU(L) SU(LF) SU(LF)		Ratio Cell		e/D=1.5 RHS(L) RHS(LF) RHS(LF)		e/D=2.0 RHS(L) RHS(LF) RHS(LF)		RHS(L) RHS(LF) RHS(LF)	
	Ratio Cell	Ratio Cell	Ratio Cell	Ratio Cell	Ratio Cell	Ratio Cell	Ratio Cell	Ratio Cell	Ratio Cell	Ratio Cell	Ratio Cell	Ratio Cell	Ratio Cell	Ratio Cell	Ratio Cell	Ratio Cell
1.09	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002
1.07	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002
1.06	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002
1.04	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002
1.02	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002
1.01	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002
0.99	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002
0.98	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002
0.97	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002
0.96	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002
0.95	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002	1.002
n	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26
R	1.002	1.024	1.007	1.054	0.620	0.645	0.638	0.642	1.593	1.592	1.610 [†]	1.499	1.508	1.512 [†]	1.745	1.750 [†]
σ _R	0.01617	0.01285	0.02513	0.02062	0.01225		0.0748		0.0338 [†]					0.0527 [†]		0.0513 [†]
Min R	0.996	1.019	0.998	1.047	0.608		0.638		1.60 [†]					2.05 [†]		1.75 [†]

* Student's "t" test showed no significant differences between the average ratios for the L and LF directions and the "r" test showed no significant differences in variability for the L and LF directions.

† These values determined by analyzing all bare and clad 7475-T61 and T761 ratios together.

TABLE XVIII
 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF RATIOS AMONG TENSILE, COMPRESSIVE, SHEAR AND
 FLATWISE BENDING PROPERTIES OF 2124-T351 PLATE
 (F3565-71-C-1571)

C/S (L)			S/S (L)			S/S (L)			S/S (L)			S/S (L)			S/S (L)			S/S (L)			S/S (L)		
Ratio Cell	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	
Ratio Cell	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	RES(L)	
1.08	2	3	3	4	4	11	4	4	11	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
1.07	1	1	8	8	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	
1.06	1	1	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
1.04	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
1.02	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
1.01	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
0.98	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
0.96	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
0.94	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
0.92	2	2	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
0.91	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
n	19	19	19	19	19	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	
\bar{R}	0.982	1.011	1.067	1.067	1.067	0.580	0.580	0.580	0.580	0.580	0.580	0.580	0.580	0.580	0.580	0.580	0.580	0.580	0.580	0.580	0.580	0.580	
σ_R	.00734**	.01311	.01327	.01327	.01327	.00227**	.00227**	.00227**	.00227**	.00227**	.00227**	.00227**	.00227**	.00227**	.00227**	.00227**	.00227**	.00227**	.00227**	.00227**	.00227**	.00227**	
Max R	1.006	1.005	1.062	1.062	1.062	0.566	0.566	0.566	0.566	0.566	0.566	0.566	0.566	0.566	0.566	0.566	0.566	0.566	0.566	0.566	0.566	0.566	
	0.946					0.587	0.587	0.587	0.587	0.587	0.587	0.587	0.587	0.587	0.587	0.587	0.587	0.587	0.587	0.587	0.587	0.587	

* Students "t"-test showed no significant difference between average ratios for L and IT directions and "F"-test showed no significant difference in variability for L and IT directions.
 ** Regression analysis showed significant relationship with thickness, value shown is 66/4F.

TABLE XXIX

RATIOS FOR COMPUTING DESIGN MECHANICAL PROPERTIES
OF 7049-T73 DIE FORGINGS

(F33615-71-C-1571)

Ratio	Thickness, in.			
	≤1.000	1.001- 2.000	2.001- 3.000	4.001- 5.000
$F_{cy}(L)/F_{ty}(L)$	1.036	1.036	1.036	1.036
$F_{cy}(ST)/F_{ty}(ST)$	1.036	1.036	1.036	1.036
$F_{su}/F_{tu}(L)$	0.567	0.567	0.567	0.567
$F_{bru}(L)/F_{tu}(L)$				
$e/D=1.5$	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40
$e/D=2.0$	1.85	1.85	1.85	1.85
$F_{bry}(L)/F_{ty}(L)$				
$e/D=1.5$	1.30	1.30	1.30	1.30
$e/D=2.0$	1.55	1.55	1.55	1.55

TABLE XXX
 RATIOS FOR COMPUTING DESIGN MECHANICAL PROPERTIES
 OF 7175-T736 DIE FORGINGS
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Ratio	Thickness, in.		
	≤ 1.000	1.001- 2.000	2.001- 3.000
$F_{cy}(L)/F_{ty}(L)$	1.018	1.018	1.018
$F_{cy}(ST)/F_{ty}(ST)$	1.055	1.040	1.024
$F_{su}/F_{tu}(L)$	0.572	0.572	0.572
$F_{bru}(L)/F_{tu}(L)$			
$e/D=1.5$	1.40	1.40	1.40
$e/D=2.0$	1.85	1.85	1.85
$F_{bry}(L)/F_{ty}(L)$			
$e/D=1.5$	1.30	1.30	1.30
$e/D=2.0$	1.55	1.55	1.55

TABLE XXXI

RATIOS FOR COMPUTING DESIGN MECHANICAL PROPERTIES
OF 7049-T73 HAND FORGINGS

(F33615-71-C-1571)

Ratio	Thickness, in.			
	≤2.000	2.001- 3.000	3.001- 4.000	4.001- 5.000
$F_{cy}(L)/F_{ty}(L)$	1.008	1.008	1.008	1.008
$F_{cy}(LT)/F_{ty}(LT)$	1.028	1.028	1.028	1.028
$F_{cy}(ST)/F_{cy}(ST)$	1.072	1.072	1.072	1.072
$F_{su}/F_{tu}(LT)$	0.570	0.570	0.570	0.570
$F_{bru}/F_{tu}(LT)$				
e/D=1.5	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40
e/D=2.0	1.85	1.85	1.85	1.85
$F_{bry}/F_{ty}(LT)$				
e/D=1.5	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40
e/D=2.0	1.65	1.65	1.65	1.65

TABLE XXVII
 RATIOS FOR COMPUTING DESIGN MECHANICAL PROPERTIES
 OF 7175-T736 HAND FORGINGS

(F33615-71-C-1571)

Ratio	Thickness, in.		
	≤3.000	3.001- 4.000	4.001- 5.000
$F_{cy}(L)/F_{ty}(L)$	1.015	1.015	1.015
$F_{cy}(LT)/F_{ty}(LT)$	1.058	1.058	1.058
$F_{cy}(ST)/F_{ty}(ST)$	1.050	1.050	1.050
$F_{su}/F_{tu}(LT)$	0.592	0.592	0.592
$F_{bru}/F_{tu}(LT)$			
e/D=1.5	1.45	1.45	1.45
e/D=2.0	1.90	1.90	1.90
$F_{bry}/F_{ty}(LT)$			
e/D=1.5	1.40	1.40	1.40
e/D=2.0	1.65	1.65	1.65

TABLE XXXIII
RATIOS FOR COMPUTING DESIGN MECHANICAL PROPERTIES
OF BARE AND ALCLAD 7475-T61 AND T761 SHEET
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Ratios	T61 Thickness, in. 0.040-0.249	T761 Thickness, in. 0.040-0.249
$F_{tu}(L)/F_{tu}(LT)$	0.998	0.996
$F_{ty}(L)/F_{ty}(LT)$	1.033	1.019
$F_{cy}(L)/F_{ty}(LT)$	1.018	0.998
$F_{cy}(LT)/F_{ty}(LT)$	1.063	1.047
$F_{su}/F_{tu}(LT)$	0.609	0.611
$F_{bru}/F_{tu}(LT)$		
e/D=1.5	1.60	1.60
e/D=2.0	2.05	2.05
$F_{bry}/F_{ty}(LT)$		
e/D=1.5	1.50	1.50
e/D=2.0	1.75	1.75

TABLE XXXIV
RATIOS FOR COMPUTING DESIGN MECHANICAL PROPERTIES
OF 2124-T851 PLATE

(F33615-71-C-1571)

Ratio	Thickness, in.				
	1.501- 2.000	2.001- 3.000	3.001- 4.000	4.001- 5.000	5.001- 6.000
$F_{cy}(L)/F_{ty}(L)$	1.006	0.998	0.980	0.963	0.946
$F_{cy}(LT)/F_{ty}(LT)$	1.005	1.005	1.005	1.005	1.005
$F_{cy}(ST)/F_{ty}(ST)$	1.062	1.062	1.062	1.062	1.062
$F_{su}/F_{tu}(LT)$	0.534	0.537	0.544	0.551	0.558
$F_{bru}/F_{tu}(LT)$					
$e/D=1.5$	1.45	1.45	1.45	1.45	1.45
$e/D=2.0$	1.90	1.90	1.90	1.90	1.90
$F_{bry}/F_{ty}(LT)$					
$e/D=1.5$	1.40	1.40	1.45	1.45	1.50
$e/D=2.0$	1.60	1.60	1.65	1.65	1.70

TABLE XXXV
 COMPUTED DESIGN MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF
 7049-T73 DIE FORGINGS
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Alloy	7049			
Form	Die Forging			
Condition	T73			
Thickness, in.	≤1.000	1.001- 2.000	2.001- 3.000	4.001- 5.000
Basis	S	S	S	S
Mechanical Properties:				
F_{tu} , ksi				
_L	72	72	71	70
ST	71	70	70	68
F_{ty} , ksi				
_L	62	62	61	60
ST	61	60	60	58
F_{cy} , ksi				
_L	64*	64*	63*	62*
ST	63*	62*	62*	60*
F_{su} , ksi	41*	41*	40*	39*
$F_{bru}(L)$, ksi				
_{e/D=1.5}	101*	101*	99*	98*
_{e/D=2.0}	133*	133*	131*	129*
$F_{bry}(L)$, ksi				
_{e/D=1.5}	80*	80*	79*	78*
_{e/D=2.0}	96*	96*	95*	93*
e, per cent				
_L	7	7	7	7
ST	3	3	3	2
E, 10 ³ ksi	10.2			
E _c , 10 ³ ksi	10.7 (-0.1)			
G, 10 ³ ksi	3.9			

* No values shown in MIL-HDBK-5B, September 1971.

TABLE XXXVI

COMPUTED DESIGN MECHANICAL PROPERTIES*
 OF 7175-T736 DIE FORGINGS
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Alloy	7175-T736		
Form	Die Forging		
Condition	T736		
Thickness, in.	≤1.000	1.001- 2.000	2.001- 3.000
Basis	S	S	S
Mechanical Properties:			
F _{tu} , ksi			
L	76	76	76
ST	71	71	71
F _{ty} , ksi			
L	66	66	66
ST	62	62	62
F _{cy} , ksi			
L	67	67	67
ST	65	64	63
F _{su} , ksi	43	43	43
F _{bru} (L), ksi			
e/D=1.5	106	106	106
e/D=2.0	140	140	140
F _{bry} (L), ksi			
e/D=1.5	86	86	86
e/D=2.0	102	102	102
e, per cent			
L	7	7	7
ST	4	4	4
E, 10 ³ ksi		10.2	
E _c , 10 ³ ksi		10.7	
G, 10 ³ ksi		3.9	

* No values shown in MIL-HDBK-5B, September 1971.

TABLE XXXVII
 COMPUTED DESIGN MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF
 7049-T73 HAND FORGINGS
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Alloy	7049			
Form	Hand Forging			
Condition	T73			
Thickness, in.	≤2.000	2.001- 3.000	3.001- 4.000	4.001- 5.000
Basis		S	S	S
Mechanical Properties;				
F _{tu} , ksi				
L	--	71	69	67
LT	--	71	69	67
ST	--	69	67	66
F _{ty} , ksi				
L	--	61	59	56
LT	--	59	57	56
ST	--	58	56	55
F _{cy} , ksi				
L	--	61 (-2)	59 (-2)	56 (-2)
LT	--	60 (-1)	58 (-1)	57 (-1)
ST	--	59 *	60 *	59 *
F _{su} , ksi	--	40 (+2)	39 (+2)	38(+2)
F _{bru} , ksi				
e/D=1.5	--	99 *	96 *	94 *
e/D=2.0	--	131 *	127 *	124 *
F _{bry} , ksi				
e/D=1.5	--	82 *	80 *	78 *
e/D=2.0	--	97 *	94 *	92 *
e, per cent				
L	--	9	8	7
LT	--	4	3	3
ST	--	3	2	2
E, 10 ³ ksi	10.2			
E _c , 10 ³ ksi	10.6			
G, 10 ³ ksi	3.9			

NOTE: Numbers in parenthesis are differences from values from MIL-HDBK-5A, September, 1971.

* No values shown in MIL-HDBK-5B, September 1971.

TABLE XXXVIII
 COMPUTED DESIGN MECHANICAL PROPERTIES*
 OF 7175-T736 HAND FORGINGS
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Alloy	7175		
Form	Hand Forging		
Condition	T736		
Thickness, in.	≤3.000	3.001-4.000	4.001-5.000
Basis			
Mechanical Properties:			
F _{tu} , ksi			
L	73	71	68
LT	71	70	67
ST	69	68	66
F _{ty} , ksi			
L	63	61	57
LT	60	58	56
ST	60	57	55
F _{cy} , ksi			
L	64	62	58
LT	63	61	59
ST	63	60	58
F _{su} , ksi	42	41	39
F _{bru} , ksi			
e/D=1.5	103	101	97
e/D=2.0	135	133	127
F _{bry} , ksi			
e/D=1.5	84	81	78
e/D=2.0	99	96	92
e, per cent			
L	9	9	8
LT	5	5	5
ST	4	4	4
E, 10 ³ ksi	10.2		
E _c , 10 ³ ksi	10.6		
G, 10 ³ ksi	3.9		

* No values shown in MIL-HDBK-5B, September 1971.

TABLE XXXIX
 COMPUTED DESIGN MECHANICAL PROPERTIES* OF BARE AND ALCLAD
 7475-T61 SHEET

(F33615-71-C-1571)

Alloy	7475			
	Bare Sheet	Alclad Sheet		
Form	T61			
Condition	T61	T61		
Thickness, in.	0.040- 0.249	0.040- 0.062	0.063- 0.187	0.188- 0.249
Basis				
Mechanical Properties:				
F_{tu} , ksi				
L	75	69	70	72
LT	75	69	70	72
F_{ty} , ksi				
L	66	61	62	63
LT	64	59	60	61
F_{cy} , ksi				
L	65	60	61	62
LT	68	63	64	65
F_{su} , ksi	45	42	42	44
F_{bru} , ksi				
e/D=1.5	120	110	112	115
e/D=2.0	154	141	143	147
F_{bry} , ksi				
e/D=1.5	96	88	90	91
e/D=2.0	112	103	105	107
e, per cent				
L	--	--	--	--
LT	9	9	9	9
E , 10^3 ksi	10.0	10.0 [‡]		
E_c , 10^3 ksi	10.5	10.5 [‡]		
G , 10^3 ksi	3.8	3.8 [‡]		

* No values shown in MIL-HDBK-5B, September, 1971.

[‡] Primary modulus values, secondary values not determined.

TABLE XL
 COMPUTED DESIGN MECHANICAL PROPERTIES* OF BARE AND ALCLAD
 7475-T761 SHEET
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Alloy	7475			
	Bare Sheet	Alclad Sheet		
Form	T761	T761		
Condition	T761	T761		
Thickness, in.	0.040- 0.249	0.040- 0.062	0.063- 0.287	0.188- 0.249
Basis				
Mechanical Properties:				
F _{tu} , ksi				
L	71	66	67	69
LT	71	66	67	69
F _{ty} , ksi				
L	61	56	57	58
LT	60	55	56	57
F _{cy} , ksi				
L	60	55	56	57
LT	63	57	58	59
F _{su} , ksi	43	40	41	42
F _{bru} , ksi				
e/D=1.5	113	105	107	110
e/D=2.0	145	135	137	141
F _{bry} , ksi				
e/D=1.5	90	82	84	85
e/D=2.0	105	96	98	100
e, per cent				
L	--	--	--	--
LT	9	9	9	9
E, 10 ³ ksi	10.0	10.0 [‡]		
E _c , 10 ³ ksi	10.5	10.5 [‡]		
G, 10 ³ ksi	3.8	3.8 [‡]		

* No values shown in MIL-HDBK-5B, September, 1971.

‡ Primary modulus values, secondary values not determined.

TABLE XLI
 COMPUTED DESIGN MECHANICAL PROPERTIES* OF 2124-T851 PLATE
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Alloy	2124				
Form	Plate				
Condition	T851				
Thickness, in.	1.501- 2.000	2.001- 3.000	3.001- 4.000	4.001- 5.000	5.001- 6.000
Basis					
Mechanical Properties:					
F_{tu} , ksi					
L	66	65	65	64	63
LT	66	65	65	64	63
ST	64	63	62	61	59
F_{ty} , ksi					
L	57	57	56	55	54
LT	57	57	56	55	54
ST	55	55	54	53	51
F_{cy} , ksi					
L	57	57	55	53	51
LT	57	57	56	55	54
ST	58	58	57	56	54
F_{su} , ksi	35	35	35	35	35
F_{bru} , ksi					
e/D=1.5	96	94	94	93	91
e/D=2.0	125	123	123	121	120
F_{bry} , ksi					
e/D=1.5	80	80	81	81	81
e/D=2.0	91	91	92	92	92
e, per cent					
L	6	6	5	5	5
LT	5	4	4	4	4
ST	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
E , 10^3 ksi	10.4				
E_c , 10^3 ksi	10.9				
G , 10^3 ksi	4.0				

* No values shown in MIL-HDBK-5B, September 1971.

TABLE XLII
 RESULTS OF TENSILE AND COMPRESSIVE STRESS-STRAIN AND MODULUS
 OF ELASTICITY TESTS OF 7049-T73 AND 7175-T736 DIE FORGINGS
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Alloy and Temper	Sample		Die No.	Producer	Longitudinal				Short-Transverse			
	Number	Thickness, in.			Tensile Yield Strength,* ksi	Tensile Modulus 10 ³ ksi	Compressive Yield Strength,* ksi	Compressive Modulus 10 ³ ksi	Tensile Yield Strength,* ksi	Tensile Modulus 10 ³ ksi	Compressive Yield Strength,* ksi	Compressive Modulus 10 ³ ksi
7049-T73	410693	≤ 1.000	9078	A	72.7	10.52	73.8	10.69	61.7	10.51	65.5	10.79
	410698	1.001-2.000	15789	A	73.4	10.97	79.5	10.61	69.9	10.07	74.7	10.49
	410697A	2.001-3.000 AVE	40005	A	74.9	10.61	81.7	11.21	65.4	10.24	71.8	11.01
	410695		40006	A	71.4	10.51	74.4	10.87	64.8	10.18	67.8	10.84
7175-T736	410983	≤ 1.000	9078	A	67.5	10.23	72.8	10.62	61.0	10.12	67.0	10.60
	410699	1.001-2.000	15789	A	76.0	10.27	75.2	10.72	67.4	10.18	71.2	10.61
	410705A	2.001-3.000 AVE	40005	A	73.8	10.29	75.5	10.67	64.0	10.16	69.5	10.60
	410984		40006	A	67.9	10.26	71.8	10.59	61.8	9.98	65.0	10.52
					10.26		10.65		10.11		10.58	

* Offset equals 0.2 per cent.

TABLE XLIII
 RESULTS OF TENSILE AND COMPRESSIVE STRESS-STRAIN AND MODULUS
 OF ELASTICITY TESTS OF 7049-T73 AND 7175-T736 HAND FORGINGS
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Alloy and Temper	Sample Number	Thickness, in.	Producer	Longitudinal			Long-Transverse			Short-Transverse					
				Tensile Yield Strength, * 10 ³ ksi	Tensile Modulus 10 ³ ksi	Compressive Yield Strength, 10 ³ ksi	Tensile Yield Strength, * 10 ³ ksi	Tensile Modulus 10 ³ ksi	Compressive Yield Strength, ksi	Compressive Modulus 10 ³ ksi	Tensile Yield Strength, ksi	Tensile Modulus 10 ³ ksi	Compressive Yield Strength, ksi	Compressive Modulus 10 ³ ksi	
7049-T73	411019	2x16	A	68.0	10.10	73.1	10.53	65.3	10.20	69.4	10.49	66.8	10.34	71.7	10.65
	410686	2x16	A	63.6	10.20	68.9	10.50	65.3	10.37	70.1	10.65	66.5	10.34	66.4	10.63
	410986	4x16	A	69.3	10.00	69.8	10.47	63.0	10.24	68.0	10.68	66.2	10.06	69.3	10.47
	410688	5x20	A	60.0	10.08	63.6	10.53	57.5	10.40	62.2	10.81	59.5	10.29	62.1	10.60
			AVE		10.10		10.51		10.30		10.65		10.21		10.59
7175-T736	410689	2x16	A	67.1	10.10	70.0	10.50	65.3	10.31	72.1	10.95	66.5	10.07	69.0	10.59
	410985	2x12	A	65.4	10.04	71.4	10.43	59.9	10.17	70.0	10.57	66.0	10.41	70.1	10.54
	410687	4x16	A	61.5	10.41	66.7	10.71	59.9	10.24	69.2	10.80	59.9	10.41	64.1	10.78
	410689	4x16	A	60.6	10.04	67.4	10.47	59.0	10.21	69.6	10.60	57.6	10.08	64.3	10.53
			AVE		10.15		10.53		10.23		10.75		10.13		10.56

* Offset equals 0.2 per cent.

TABLE XLIV

RESULTS OF TENSILE AND COMPRESSIVE STRESS-STRAIN AND MODULUS OF ELASTICITY TESTS OF 7475-T61 AND T761 SHEET

(F33615-71-C-1571)

Temper	Sample Number	Thickness, in.	Producer	Longitudinal				Transverse			
				Yield Strength,* ksi	Tensile Modulus, 10 ³ ksi	Yield Strength,* ksi	Compressive Modulus, 10 ³ ksi	Yield Strength,* ksi	Tensile Modulus, 10 ³ ksi	Yield Strength,* ksi	Compressive Modulus, 10 ³ ksi
T61	410651	0.040	A	75.1	10.12	72.7	10.50	71.7	10.03	76.0	10.48
	410653	0.063	A	74.3	9.97	71.9	10.36	72.3	9.95	76.7	10.53
	410655	0.090	A	74.2	9.89	74.9	10.34	71.3	9.92	76.4	10.43
	410657	0.125	A	73.9	10.04	75.4	10.60	72.2	10.02	77.4	10.58
	410659	0.188	A	75.2	10.00	72.4	10.57	71.1	10.10	76.6	10.58
	410661	0.249	A	75.1	10.17	73.2	10.39	72.3	10.17	77.5	10.60
			AVE		10.03		10.46		10.03		10.53
T761	410888	0.032	A	73.2	10.23	69.9	10.55	69.7	10.16	71.9	10.54
	410663	0.040	A	71.7	10.11	69.9	10.45	69.0	9.92	71.6	10.39
	410665	0.063	A	70.1	10.11	73.2	10.61	68.2	10.00	72.8	10.63
	410667	0.090	A	66.6	9.89	65.1	10.27	63.8	9.98	67.0	10.27
	410669	0.125	A	66.0	10.26	65.2	10.46	64.5	10.11	69.9	10.71
	410671	0.188	A	65.0	9.97	63.2	10.52	63.6	10.03	67.7	10.62
			AVE	10.10		64.1	10.44	64.7	10.10	68.7	10.58
			AVE	10.09			10.47		10.04		10.53

* Offset equals 0.2 per cent.

TABLE XLV
 RESULTS OF TENSILE AND COMPRESSIVE STRESS-STRAIN AND MODULUS
 OF ELASTICITY TESTS OF 2124-T851 PLATE
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Thickness, in.	Producer	Longitudinal		Long-Transverse		Short-Transverse							
			Tensile Yield Strength,* ksi	Modulus, 10 ³ ksi	Yield Strength,* ksi	Modulus, 10 ³ ksi	Tensile Yield Strength,* ksi	Modulus, 10 ³ ksi	Yield Strength,* ksi	Modulus, 10 ³ ksi				
410675	1.750	A	67.5	10.49	67.2	10.90	67.5	10.48	68.5	11.02	67.6	10.05	69.0	10.84
410676	2.500	A	65.4	10.45	65.1	10.98	63.9	10.50	66.1	10.84	62.4	10.35	67.1	10.81
410677	3.500	A	63.6	10.39	63.0	10.84	62.5	10.38	64.4	10.94	62.0	10.47	65.6	10.87
410678	4.500	A	63.9	10.37	62.4	10.77	61.5	10.59	64.0	11.04	59.4	10.45	63.9	10.84
410679	5.500	A	61.2	10.55	59.2	10.85	59.3	10.53	60.7	10.90	57.5	10.47	62.5	10.86
		AVG		10.45		10.87		10.50		10.95		10.36		10.84

* Offset equals 0.2 per cent.

TABLE XLVI

SUMMARY OF AVERAGE MODULUS VALUES OF 7049-T73
AND 7175-T736 FORGINGS, 7475-T61 AND T761 SHEET AND 2124-T851 PLATE
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Alloy and Temper	Product	Average Modulus of Elasticity Values, 10 ³ ksi					
		Tension			Compression		
		Longitudinal	Long- Transverse	Short- Transverse	Longitudinal	Long- Transverse	Short- Transverse
7049-T73	Die Forging Hand Forging	10.43 10.10	-- 10.30	10.20 10.21	10.84 10.51	-- 10.65	10.78 10.59
7175-T736	Die Forging Hand Forging	10.26 10.15	-- 10.23	10.11 10.13	10.65 10.53	-- 10.75	10.58 10.58
7475-T61	Sheet	10.03	10.03	--	10.46	10.53	--
-T761	Sheet	10.09	10.04	--	10.47	10.53	--
2124-T851	Plate	10.45	10.50	10.36	10.87	10.95	10.84
		<u>Averages*, All Directions</u>					
7049	7175 Die Forgings	10.2			10.7		
7049	7175 Hand Forgings	10.2			10.6		
7475	Sheet	10.0			10.5		
2124	Plate	10.4			10.9		

* Values rounded to nearest 100 ksi.

TABLE XLVII
 TYPICAL TENSILE AND COMPRESSIVE PROPERTIES
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Alloy and Temper	Product	Thickness Range, in.	Direction	Typical			
				Tensile Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, ksi	Elong, %	Compressive Yield Strength,* ksi
7049-T73	Die Forging	≤ 4.000	L ST	77.0	67.0	12.0	71.0
				76.0	66.0	8.0	69.0
7175-T736	Hand Forging	2.001-5.000	L LT ST	75.0	65.0	12.0	66.0
				75.0	63.0	7.0	65.0
				73.0	62.0	5.0	67.0
7475-T61	Die Forging	≤ 3.000	L ST	80.0	73.0	14.0	76.0
				76.0	67.0	10.0	71.0
-T761	Hand Forging	≤ 4.000	L LT ST	77.0	68.0	14.0	70.0
				75.0	66.0	11.0	70.0
				74.0	65.0	9.0	69.0
2124-T851	Sheet	0.040-0.249	L LT	--	75.0	--	73.0
				80.0	72.0	12.0	76.0
-T761	Sheet	0.040-0.249	L LT	--	69.0	--	67.0
				76.0	67.0	12.0	70.0
2124-T851	Plate	1.501-5.000	L LT ST	70.0	64.0	9.0	63.0
				69.0	63.0	7.0	64.0
				67.0	61.0	4.0	65.0

* Offset equals 0.2 per cent.

TABLE XLVIII
RESULTS OF COMPACT-TENSION FRACTURE TOUGHNESS TESTS OF
7049-T73 DIE FORGINGS
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Thickness Range, in.	Die No.	Producer	Orientation†	Tensile Yield Strength, (σ _{YS}) ksi	Specimen Number	Specimen Thickness, in.	Crack Length, in.	K _{IC} ²		Valid ?
									2.5($\frac{K_{IC}}{\sigma_{YS}}$) ²	K _{IC} , ksi√in.	
410693	≤ 1.000	9078	A	LS	74.5	L1	0.50	0.50	0.39	29.3	No ⁽³⁾
						L2	0.50	0.50	0.41	30.1	No ⁽³⁾
				Avg	61.8	N1	0.50	0.51	0.36	23.7	Yes
						N2	0.50	0.50	0.37	24.0	Yes
410698	1.001-2.000	15789	A	LS	74.9	L1	1.00	1.06	0.36	28.5	Yes
						L2	1.00	1.15	0.42	30.5	No
				Avg	70.8	N1	1.00	1.10	0.16	17.1	No ⁽⁸⁾
						N2	1.00	1.09	0.16	18.0	No ⁽⁸⁾
410694		B5786	B	LT	67.0	L1	0.50	0.47	0.45	28.3	Yes
						L2	0.50	0.48	0.46	28.7	Yes
				Avg	66.1	N1	0.50	0.49	0.21	19.1	Yes
						N2	0.50	0.52	0.22	19.6	Yes
410697-A		40005	A	LT	71.6	L1	0.50	0.48	0.53	33.1	No ^(1,2,3)
						L2	0.50	0.50	0.57	34.1	No ^(1,2)
				Avg	67.6	N1	0.75	0.80	0.29	23.1	Yes
						N2	0.75	0.80	0.30	23.4	Yes
410697-C		40005	A	LT	74.4	L5	0.50	0.48	0.42	30.6	Yes
						L6	0.50	0.49	0.43	30.8	Yes
				Avg	67.1	N5	0.50	0.46	0.31	30.7	Yes
						N6	0.50	0.48	0.27	23.5	Yes
410695	2.001-3.000	40006	A	LS	73.1	L1	0.75	0.78	0.53	33.6	Yes
						L2	0.75	0.79	0.50	32.6	No ⁽³⁾
				Avg	66.3	N1	0.75	0.79	0.27	22.4	Yes
						N2	0.75	0.79	0.26	21.8	Yes
410696		B6204	B	LT	65.5	L1	0.75	0.80	0.64	33.2	Yes
						L2	0.75	0.79	0.69	34.3	Yes
				Avg	64.7	N1	0.75	0.77	0.32	23.0	Yes
						N2	0.75	0.77	0.41	26.2	Yes
410697-B		40005	A	LT	75.9	L3	0.75	0.82	0.55	35.5	No ^(3,6)
						L4	0.75	0.83	0.60	37.2	Yes
				Avg	64.9	N3	0.75	0.82	0.38	25.4	Yes
						N4	0.75	0.81	0.37	25.1	Yes
410700	4.001-5.000	B2362	B	LT	65.7	L1	0.75	0.78	0.49	29.2	Yes
						L2	0.75	0.79	0.49	29.1	Yes
				Avg	65.5	N1	0.75	0.79	0.18	18.7	Yes
						N2	0.75	0.78	0.17	18.4	Yes

- (1) Specimen not thick enough.
(2) Fatigue crack too short.
(3) Excessive yielding before crack extrusion, value considered meaningful.
(4) Fatigue crack front curvature exceeded allowed amount, value considered meaningful.
(5) Stress-intensity was too high for the last step of fatigue cracking; value considered meaningful.

† Refer to Fig. 15 for description of orientation.

TABLE XLIX
 RESULTS OF COMPACT-TENSION FRACTURE TOUGHNESS TESTS OF
 7175-T736 DIE FORGINGS
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Thickness Range, in.	Die No.	Producer	Orientation*	Tensile Yield Strength, (YS) ksi	Specimen Number	Specimen Thickness, in.	Crack Length, in.	$2.5 \left(\frac{K_Q}{\sigma_{YS}} \right)^2$	K _Q , ksi√in.	Valid
410983	≤1.000	9078	A	LS	69.3	L1	0.50	1.05	1.00	43.9	No(1,3)
						L2	0.50	1.08	0.80	39.2	No(1,3,6)
					Avg						
410699	1.001-2.000	15789	A	LS	62.2	N1	0.49	1.07	0.65	31.6	No(1)
						N2	0.49	1.05	0.58	29.9	No(1)
					Avg						
410704	1.001-2.000	F17961	A	LT	74.7	L1	1.00	1.13	0.52	33.9	No(3,4,6)
						L2	0.99	1.14	0.54	34.8	No(3,4,6)
					Avg						
410705-A	1.001-2.000	40005	A	LT	67.0	N1	1.00	1.16	0.28	22.5	No(4,6)
						N2	1.00	1.10	0.35	25.2	No(6)
					Avg						
410705-B	1.001-2.000	40005	A	SL	76.9	L1	0.50	0.48	0.42	31.5	Yes
						L2	0.50	0.48	0.56	36.3	No(1,2,3)
					Avg						
410705-C	1.001-2.000	40005	A	SL	68.4	N1	0.50	0.46	0.25	21.5	No(6)
						N2	0.50	0.49	0.28	22.7	Yes
					Avg						
410706	1.001-2.000	40005	A	LT	69.8	L1	0.50	0.51	0.73	37.7	No(1,2,3)
						L2	0.50	0.48	0.81	39.8	No(1,2,3)
					Avg						
410707	1.001-2.000	40005	A	SL	65.2	N1	0.75	0.90	0.39	25.9	No(4,6)
						N2	0.75	0.83	0.40	26.0	Yes
					Avg						
410708	1.001-2.000	40005	A	LT	72.4	L5	0.50	0.49	0.52	33.0	No(1,2,3)
						L6	0.50	0.50	0.55	34.0	No(1,2,3)
					Avg						
410709	1.001-2.000	40005	A	SL	67.4	N5	0.50	0.50	0.37	25.8	Yes
						N6	0.50	0.49	0.35	25.1	Yes
					Avg					25.4	
410710	1.001-2.000	40005	A	LS	73.2	L1	0.75	0.78	0.58	35.3	No(3)
						L2	0.75	0.77	0.69	38.4	No(3)
					Avg						
410711	1.001-2.000	40005	A	SL	66.7	N1	0.75	0.85	0.36	25.2	No(4,6)
						N2	0.75	0.83	0.33	24.1	Yes
					Avg						
410712	1.001-2.000	40005	A	LT	71.8	L3	0.75	0.85	0.39	28.2	No(4,6)
						L4	0.75	0.87	0.42	29.3	No(4,6)
					Avg						
410713	1.001-2.000	40005	A	SL	66.4	N3	0.75	0.85	0.41	27.0	No(4,6)
						N4	0.75	0.87	0.44	27.8	No(4,6)
					Avg						
410984	1.001-2.000	40006	A	LS	68.0	L3	0.75	0.78	0.71	36.2	No(3)
						L4	0.75	0.65	0.84	39.5	No(1,2,3,4,6)
					Avg						
410985	1.001-2.000	40006	A	SL	62.6	N3	0.75	0.80	0.49	27.6	Yes
						N4	0.75	0.78	0.53	28.8	Yes
					Avg					28.2	

(1) Specimen not thick enough.
 (2) Fatigue crack too short.
 (3) Excessive yielding before crack extension, value considered meaningful.
 (4) Crack length/width (A/W) not between 0.45 and 0.55, value considered meaningful.
 (6) Fatigue crack front curvature exceeded allowed amount, value considered meaningful.

* Refer to Fig. 15 for description of orientation.

TABLE I.
RESULTS OF COMPACT-TENSION FRACTURE TOUGHNESS TESTS OF 7094-T73
AND 7175-T736 HARD FORGINGS

(F73615-71-G-1571)

Alloy and Temper	Sample Number	Dimensions, In.	Producer	(L _c) Orientation ^a					(T _L) Orientation ^b					(S-L) Orientation ^c								
				Yield Strength, ksi	Specimen Number	Thickness, in.	Crack Length, in.	K_{Ic}^2 , ksi/in.	Valid	Yield Strength, ksi	Specimen Number	Thickness, in.	Crack Length, in.	K_{Ic}^2 , ksi/in.	Valid	Yield Strength, ksi	Specimen Number	Thickness, in.	Crack Length, in.	K_{Ic}^2 , ksi/in.	Valid	
7094-T73	41019	3x16	A	12	1.00	1.04	0.42	28.2	Yes	74	1.00	1.02	0.20	18.7	Yes	14	1.00	1.05	0.14	15.6	Yes	
				14	1.00	1.11	0.36	26.2	No(6)	74	1.00	1.10	0.20	19.1	No(6)	14	1.00	1.05	0.18	16.0	Yes	
	41086	3x16	A	11	1.00	1.09	0.54	31.4	Yes	71	1.00	1.10	0.31	23.4	Yes	11	1.00	1.06	0.22	22.7	Yes	
				12	1.00	1.10	0.57	30.8	Yes	72	1.00	1.11	0.30	23.2	Yes	12	1.00	1.06	0.22	22.2	Yes	
	41096	4x16	A	11	1.00	1.08	0.55	34.2	Yes	71	1.00	1.05	0.22	21.7	Yes	11	1.00	1.05	0.24	20.7	Yes	
				12	1.00	1.09	0.47	31.5	Yes	72	1.00	1.09	0.22	21.0	Yes	12	1.00	1.06	0.24	20.8	Yes	
	41068	5x20	A	11	1.00	1.04	0.47	25.2	Yes	71	1.00	1.05	0.25	18.4	Yes	11	1.00	1.05	0.28	19.7	Yes	
				12	1.00	1.06	0.52	27.4	Yes	72	1.00	1.05	0.25	18.4	Yes	12	1.00	1.05	0.28	19.8	Yes	
	7175-T736	41069	2x16	A	11	1.00	1.10	0.70	35.5	No(3,4,6)	71	1.00	1.12	0.27	21.2	No(4,6)	11	1.00	1.05	0.29	26.3	Yes
					12	1.00	1.16	0.79	37.7	No(3,4,6)	72	1.00	1.12	0.27	21.2	No(4,6)	12	1.00	1.05	0.29	26.3	No(4,6)
41095		3x12	A	11	1.00	1.12	0.50	24.8	No(4,6)	71	1.00	1.10	0.39	26.1	Yes	11	1.00	1.08	0.31	23.0	Yes	
				12	1.00	1.11	0.54	33.0	No(4)	72	1.00	1.09	0.35	25.4	Yes	12	1.00	1.11	0.29	22.5	No(4,6)	
41051		4x16	A	11	1.00	1.07	0.75	34.3	No(2)	71	1.00	1.09	0.51	27.1	Yes	11	1.00	1.01	0.32	22.1	Yes	
				12	1.00	1.06	0.76	34.4	No(2)	72	1.00	1.07	0.50	26.9	Yes	12	1.00	1.04	0.31	21.9	Yes	
41086		5x20	A	11	1.50	1.51	0.75	32.2	No(6)	71	1.50	1.59	0.43	29.0	Yes	11	1.50	2.05	0.26	22.1	No(4,6,8)	
				12	1.50	1.54	0.75	32.2	Yes	72	1.50	1.59	0.38	28.2	Yes	12	1.50	1.66	0.43	24.2	No(4,6)	

(a) Excessive yielding before crack extension; Ratio of maximum load to secant load greater than 1.1; value considered meaningful.

(b) Crack length/width (A/W) not between 0.45 and 0.55; value considered meaningful.

(c) Fatigue crack front curvature exceeded allowed amount; value considered meaningful.

(d) Stress intensity was too high for fatigue crack; value considered meaningful.

Refer to FIG. 15 for description of orientation.

TABLE II
RESULTS OF COMPACT-TENSION FRACTURE-TOUGHNESS TESTS OF 2124-T651 PLATE
(P33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Thickness, in.	Producer	(L-T) Orientation #				(T-L) Orientation #				(S-L) Orientation #									
			Specimen Number	Thickness, in.	Crack Length, in.	K_{IC}^2 ksi/in. ^{3/2}	Yield Strength, ksi	Valid	Specimen Number	Thickness, in.	Crack Length, in.	K_{IC}^2 ksi/in. ^{3/2}	Tensile Strength, ksi	Specimen Number	Thickness, in.	Crack Length, in.	K_{IC}^2 ksi/in. ^{3/2}	Yield Strength, ksi	Valid	
410675	1.750	A	L-1	1.50	1.58	0.45	28.7	Yes	T-1	1.50	1.56	0.33	24.0	Yes	N-1	0.50	0.42	0.22	18.5	Yes
			L-2	1.50	1.57	0.45	28.4	Yes	T-2	1.50	1.56	0.33	23.9	Yes	N-2	0.50	0.42	0.19	18.8	Yes
410680	2.000	C	L-1	1.50	1.56	0.53	30.4	Yes	T-1	1.50	1.54	0.35	24.4	Yes	N-1	0.75	0.74	0.22	19.1	Yes
			L-2	1.50	1.55	0.52	30.4	Yes	T-2	1.50	1.54	0.34	24.2	Yes	N-2	0.75	0.74	0.22	19.2	Yes
410681	2.040	B	L-1	0.75	0.74	0.26	21.1	Yes	T-1	0.75	0.74	0.22	19.5	Yes	N-1	0.75	0.73	0.20	17.6	Yes
			L-2	0.75	0.74	0.27	21.2	Yes	T-2	0.75	0.73	0.22	19.4	Yes	N-2	0.75	0.73	0.21	17.8	Yes
410676	2.500	A	L-1	1.00	1.01	0.44	27.3	Yes	T-1	1.00	1.04	0.42	26.3	Yes	N-1	1.00	0.96	0.29	21.8	Yes
			L-2	1.00	1.02	0.43	27.1	Yes	T-2	1.00	1.05	0.41	26.1	Yes	N-2	1.00	0.97	0.31	22.2	Yes
410677	3.500	A	L-1	1.50	1.51	0.61	31.6	Yes	T-1	1.50	1.55	0.46	26.4	Yes	N-1	1.00	0.99	0.43	25.4	Yes
			L-2	1.50	1.52	0.61	31.5	Yes	T-2	1.50	1.55	0.46	26.6	Yes	N-2	1.00	0.99	0.41	25.0	Yes
410682	4.000	B	L-1	1.50	1.56	0.30	22.5	Yes	T-1	1.50	1.59	0.27	21.0	Yes	N-1	1.50	1.56	0.27	19.9	Yes
			L-2	1.50	1.56	0.31	22.8	Yes	T-2	1.50	1.59	0.26	20.9	Yes	N-2	1.50	1.54	0.27	20.0	Yes
410678	4.500	A	L-1	1.50	1.54	0.46	27.2	Yes	T-1	1.50	1.57	0.36	23.2	Yes	N-1	1.50	1.53	0.36	22.7	Yes
			L-2	1.50	1.52	0.44	26.7	Yes	T-2	1.50	1.52	0.34	22.3	Yes	N-2	1.50	1.52	0.35	22.6	Yes
410683	4.500	C	L-1	1.50	1.53	0.76	33.1	Yes	T-1	1.50	1.64	0.58	28.2	No†	N-1	1.50	1.54	0.51	25.8	Yes
			L-2	1.50	1.51	0.71	31.9	Yes	T-2	1.50	1.57	0.51	26.5	Yes	N-2	1.50	1.54	0.47	24.9	Yes
410679	5.500	A	L-1	1.50	1.50	0.55	28.1	Yes	T-1	1.50	1.54	0.35	22.3	Yes	N-1	1.50	1.50	0.42	23.6	Yes
			L-2	1.50	1.50	0.55	28.4	Yes	T-2	1.50	1.51	0.34	22.0	Yes	N-2	1.50	1.47	0.43	23.8	Yes
410684	6.000	C	L-1	1.50	1.52	0.65	29.1	Yes	T-1	1.50	1.58	0.48	24.1	Yes	N-1	1.50	1.53	0.50	24.5	Yes
			L-2	1.50	1.55	0.67	29.4	Yes	T-2	1.50	1.56	0.46	23.9	Yes	N-2	1.50	1.53	0.50	24.5	Yes

† Fatigue cracking stress-intensity factor K_{IC} was greater than $0.65K_{IC}$ for last stop of fatigue cracking.

Refer to Fig. 15 for description of orientation.

TABLE LII

 SUPPLEMENTAL COMPACT-TENSION FRACTURE TOUGHNESS DATA OF 2124-T851 PLATE
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Thickness, in.	Producer	Tensile			Specimen Thickness in.	Fracture Toughness			Valid
			Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength,* ksi	Elong in 4D, %		Crack Length, in.	$2.5 \left(\frac{K_Q}{\sigma_{YS}} \right)$	K_Q , ksi/in.	
369722	1.570	A	70.6	65.2	11.5	1.50	1.48	0.69	34.2	Yes
		AVG				1.50	1.53	0.73	35.2	Yes
369724		A	71.4	64.2	10.5	1.50	1.59	0.46	27.4	Yes
		AVG				1.50	1.58	0.48	28.0	No(6)
369726		A	72.8	67.2	9.8	1.50	1.56	0.39	26.5	Yes
		AVG				1.50	1.56	0.37	26.0	Yes
410752	2.500	A	71.5	66.2	10.0	2.00	2.16	0.69	34.9	Yes
		AVG				2.00	2.15	0.65	33.7	Yes
410853		A	70.8	65.6	9.0	2.00	2.10	0.78	36.7	Yes
		AVG				2.00	2.10	0.77	36.4	Yes
410799	2.520	A	74.9	69.7	8.5	1.00	0.94	0.33	25.2	Yes
						1.00	0.96	0.35	26.0	Yes
						1.00	0.96	0.34	25.5	Yes
410816	3.500	A	71.0	65.4	9.0	1.50	1.54	0.44	27.8	Yes
		AVG				1.50	1.58	0.59	31.8	No(4)
340900	4.000	A	67.2	59.4	9.4	1.00	1.00	0.59	28.9	Yes
		AVG				1.00	1.00	0.61	29.4	Yes
340896	4.210	A	67.2	59.3	9.4	1.00	1.00	0.50	26.5	Yes
		AVG				1.00	0.99	0.52	27.0	Yes
									26.8	

(Continued)

TABLE LII
(CONCLUDED)
SUPPLEMENTAL COMPACT-TENSION FRACTURE TOUGHNESS DATA OF 2124-T651 PLATE
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Thickness, in.	Producer	Tensile			Fracture Toughness				
			Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength,* ksi	Elong in 4D, %	Specimen Thickness, in.	Crack Length, in.	$2.5 \frac{K_{IC}}{\sqrt{W}}$ (6YS)	K_{IC} , ksi√in.	Valid
<u>Long-Transverse (T-L Orientation)</u>										
369722	1.570	A	70.4	65.2	10.0	1.50	1.52	0.51	29.4	Yes
						1.50	1.54	0.54	30.3	Yes
									29.8	
369724		A	72.8	67.2	8.8	1.50	1.59	0.35	25.3	Yes
						1.50	1.59	0.35	25.1	Yes
									25.2	
369726		A	72.6	67.2	9.0	1.50	1.56	0.32	24.0	Yes
						1.50	1.56	0.32	24.0	Yes
									24.0	
410852	2.500	A	70.8	64.8	8.0	2.00	2.15	0.47	28.1	Yes
						2.00	2.13	0.46	27.7	Yes
									27.9	
410853		A	70.5	64.4	7.0	2.00	2.18	0.54	30.0	Yes
						2.00	2.17	0.54	29.9	Yes
									30.0	
410799	2.520	A	73.9	67.6	6.5	1.00	0.96	0.28	22.6	Yes
						1.00	0.98	0.29	23.1	Yes
									22.8	
410816	3.500	A	70.2	64.2	7.0	1.50	1.52	0.33	23.3	Yes
						1.50	1.52	0.32	22.8	Yes
									23.0	
340900	4.000	A	67.8	60.0	8.8	1.00	1.01	0.31	21.0	No(6)
						1.00	1.03	0.38	23.4	Yes
340896	4.310	A	68.3	60.8	8.5	1.00	1.00	0.38	23.6	Yes
						1.00	0.99	0.39	23.9	Yes
									23.8	
<u>Short-Transverse (S-L Orientation)</u>										
369722	1.570	A	67.1	63.0	3.1	0.50	0.50	0.28	21.0	No(3)
						0.50	0.49	0.29	21.6	Yes
369724		A	69.7	65.4	3.9	0.50	0.47	0.21	18.8	Yes
369726		A	68.2	64.4	3.1	0.50	0.49	0.27	21.3	Yes
						0.50	0.50	0.25	20.5	Yes
									20.9	
410852	2.500	A	68.5	62.9	3.6	1.00	0.93	0.28	21.1	Yes
						1.00	0.93	0.28	21.2	Yes
									21.2	
410853		A	67.6	62.1	4.4	1.00	0.96	0.34	22.9	Yes
						1.00	0.94	0.31	21.8	Yes
									22.4	
410799	2.520	A	73.7	66.3	7.0	1.00	0.95	0.20	18.8	Yes
						1.00	0.97	0.24	20.6	Yes
									19.7	
410816	3.500	A	64.6	59.9	1.9	1.00	0.99	0.32	21.4	Yes
						1.00	0.99	0.27	19.8	Yes
									20.6	
340900	4.000	A	66.1	59.3	4.5	1.00	0.98	0.44	24.8	Yes
						1.00	0.98	0.38	23.1	Yes
									24.0	
340896	4.310	A	66.6	59.6	4.5	1.00	0.95	0.41	24.1	Yes
						1.00	0.97	0.42	24.5	Yes
									24.3	

(3) Excessive yielding before crack extension. Ratio of maximum load to secant load greater than 1.1; value considered meaningful.

(4) Crack length/width (A/W) not between 0.45 and 0.55.

(6) Fatigue crack front curvature exceeded allowed amount, value considered meaningful.

* Offset equals 0.2 per cent.

Refer to Fig.15 for description of orientation.

TABLE LIII
 RESULTS OF FRACTURE-TOUGHNESS TESTS OF 16-IN. WIDE PANELS FROM 7475-T61 SHEET
 (P33615-71-C-1571)

Nominal Thickness, in.	Sample Number	Specimen Number	Tensile Yield Strength, (σ _y) ksi	Original Crack Length, in.	Crack Length at Failure, in.	Gross Stress, (σ) ksi	Net Stress, (σ _n) ksi	σ _n [†] / σ _y [†]	K _c Visual Method, # in.	Valid K _c	K _c Compliance Method, # in.	Valid K _c
0.040	410651	L1	76.2	4.00	4.28	30.2	40.3	0.53	61.4	Yes	90.4	Yes
		L1	77.1	4.00	4.34	29.2	38.9	0.51	79.5	Yes	90.2	Yes
		L3	74.1	1.00	1.52	61.9	66.0	0.89	96.6	No*	107.4	No*
		L2	74.1	2.00	2.32	49.0	56.0	0.76	95.0	Yes	104.9	Yes
		L7	74.1	4.00	4.42	32.6	49.0	0.66	88.6	Yes	104.9	Yes
		L4	74.1	5.00	5.40	28.4	41.3	0.59	88.2	Yes	107.8	Yes
		L6	74.1	6.00	6.60	23.6	37.8	0.51	84.3	Yes	100.1	Yes
0.090	410654	L1	75.7	4.00	4.28	30.5	40.7	0.54	82.5	Yes	96.1	Yes
		L1	74.2	4.00	5.22	38.0	50.7	0.68	115.8	Yes	138.2	No*
		L1	73.7	4.00	4.62	38.3	51.1	0.69	108.3	Yes	119.4	Yes
0.125	410657	L1	74.5	4.00	4.78	38.6	51.4	0.69	111.2	Yes	118.8	Yes
		L1	76.8	4.00	4.90	36.2	48.2	0.53	105.8	Yes	108.8	Yes
0.188	410659	L1	75.0	4.00	6.48	36.7	49.0	0.65	129.5	No*	114.3	Yes
		L1	75.6	4.00	5.90	32.9	45.9	0.58	108.6	Yes	97.5	Yes
0.249	410661	L1	75.3	4.00	5.70	40.1	53.5	0.71	129.2	No*	120.7	No*
		L1	77.4	4.00	5.20	25.7	34.3	0.44	78.1	Yes	68.1	No*
0.040	410651	T1	72.9	4.00	4.52	30.3	40.4	0.55	84.5	Yes	93.7	Yes
		T1	73.1	4.00	4.36	31.7	42.3	0.59	86.5	Yes	96.1	Yes
		T3	72.6	1.00	1.64	60.7	64.8	0.89	98.5	No*	123.7	No*
0.063	410653	T2	72.6	2.00	2.34	45.9	52.5	0.72	93.4	Yes	83.5	Yes
		T2	72.6	4.00	4.27	38.1	46.9	0.65	93.3	Yes	102.7	Yes
		T4	72.6	4.00	4.52	31.2	41.5	0.57	86.8	Yes	90.6	Yes
		T6	72.6	5.00	6.78	27.8	40.4	0.56	87.2	Yes	101.3	Yes
		T1	72.8	2.00	2.22	22.2	35.6	0.49	81.2	Yes	90.4	Yes
		T1	72.8	4.00	4.38	29.3	39.1	0.53	80.3	Yes	89.6	Yes
0.090	410655	T1	72.1	4.00	4.88	34.3	43.8	0.64	100.2	Yes	106.8	Yes
		T1	71.9	4.00	5.12	36.8	49.1	0.68	110.6	Yes	112.4	Yes
0.125	410657	T1	72.6	4.00	5.36	35.6	47.4	0.65	110.1	Yes	113.2	Yes
		T1	72.1	4.00	4.94	29.7	39.6	0.54	87.2	Yes	83.6	Yes
0.188	410659	T1	72.3	4.00	5.00	30.9	41.3	0.57	91.7	Yes	92.8	Yes
		T1	72.6	4.00	5.98	27.7	36.9	0.51	92.1	Yes	80.7	Yes
0.249	410661	T1	73.4	4.00	5.60	32.9	45.1	0.62	107.8	Yes	108.7	Yes
		T1	73.0	4.00	4.17	25.0	33.3	0.44	73.0	Yes	65.9	Yes

* Invalid K_c: NTS based on crack length at failure > 0.80 TTS.
 † Based on original crack length.
 # Calculated using the following equation:

$$K_c = \frac{P a^{3/2}}{B w} \left[1.77 + 0.227 \left(\frac{a}{w}\right) - 0.510 \left(\frac{a}{w}\right)^2 + 2.7 \left(\frac{a}{w}\right)^3 \right]$$

Where
 P = load, lbs.
 a = original crack length, in.
 B = specimen thickness, in.
 w = specimen width, in.

TABLE LIV
RESULTS OF FRACTURE TOUGHNESS TESTS OF 16-IN. WIDE PANELS FROM 7475-4761 SHEET
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Nominal Thickness, in.	Sample Number	Specimen Thickness, in.	Tensile Yield Strength, ksi	Original Crack Length, in.	Crack Length at Failure Visual Method, in.	Gross Stress, (σ) ksi	Net Stress, (σ _n) ksi	σ _n / σ _{YS}	K _c , Visual Method, ksi√in.	Valid K _c Method, #	Valid Compliance Method, #	K _c Compliance, ksi√in.
Longitudinal (L-T)												
0.032	410888	L1	72.8	4.00	4.46	27.0	35.9	0.49	74.5	Yes	Yes	86.8
0.040	410663	L1	73.7	4.00	4.64	31.1	41.5	0.56	88.0	Yes	Yes	101.6
	410664	L1	74.3	4.00	4.44	29.6	39.4	0.53	81.6	Yes	Yes	92.6
0.063	410665	L3	70.5	1.00	1.66	61.4	65.5	0.93	100.2	No*	No*	128.9
		L5	70.5	2.00	2.48	49.7	56.8	0.81	99.8	Yes	Yes	109.6
		L2	70.5	2.00	3.22	40.2	49.3	0.74	96.3	Yes	Yes	105.6
		L7	70.5	4.00	3.29	37.9	38.5	0.96	88.5	Yes	Yes	107.6
		L4	70.5	2.00	2.64	51.4	50.8	0.96	89.1	Yes	Yes	103.3
		L6	70.5	2.00	2.64	51.4	50.8	0.96	89.1	Yes	Yes	103.3
0.090	410666	L1	68.2	4.00	4.72	35.7	47.5	0.72	102.0	Yes	Yes	112.5
		L1	67.3	4.00	5.24	38.9	51.9	0.77	118.8	No*	No*	143.6
0.125	410669	L1	66.4	4.00	4.90	39.7	53.0	0.76	116.3	No*	No*	133.3
		L1	66.8	4.00	5.30	41.2	55.0	0.83	126.7	No*	No*	148.2
0.188	410671	L1	66.4	4.00	5.24	39.8	53.0	0.79	121.2	No*	No*	127.3
		L1	69.3	4.00	5.88	46.2	61.5	0.89	151.8	No*	No*	155.3
0.249	410672	L1	67.9	4.00	5.12	42.7	57.0	0.85	128.5	No*	No*	136.0
		L1	67.8	4.00	5.22	42.7	57.1	0.85	84.8	Yes	Yes	82.2
Transverse (T-L)												
0.032	410888	T1	69.4	4.00	4.84	29.7	39.6	0.57	86.2	Yes	Yes	99.0
0.040	410663	T1	70.8	4.00	4.42	30.6	40.9	0.58	84.3	Yes	Yes	96.4
		T1	71.1	4.00	4.42	32.4	43.3	0.61	89.3	Yes	Yes	101.6
0.063	410665	T3	69.0	1.00	1.52	61.9	65.1	0.84	95.1	No	No	128.0
		T5	69.0	2.00	2.50	40.8	49.8	0.72	103.1	Yes	Yes	116.0
		T2	69.0	2.00	1.76	30.1	39.5	0.66	97.0	Yes	Yes	109.6
		T7	69.0	5.00	3.82	28.1	40.8	0.59	91.9	Yes	Yes	112.5
		T4	69.0	2.00	2.80	24.6	39.4	0.57	89.9	Yes	Yes	104.2
		T6	69.0	2.00	2.80	24.6	39.4	0.57	89.9	Yes	Yes	104.2
0.090	410666	T1	65.0	4.00	4.56	33.1	44.1	0.68	92.7	Yes	Yes	107.5
		T1	64.6	4.00	4.92	36.6	48.8	0.76	107.3	No	No	124.5
0.125	410669	T1	68.3	4.00	4.66	37.2	49.6	0.73	105.6	Yes	Yes	113.7
		T1	64.9	4.00	5.24	37.4	49.9	0.77	114.1	No	No	122.6
0.188	410671	T1	65.6	4.00	5.24	35.2	47.0	0.72	107.5	Yes	Yes	109.9
		T1	65.3	4.00	5.66	39.8	53.1	0.81	127.6	No	No	136.6
0.249	410672	T1	67.0	4.00	6.10	38.5	51.4	0.77	150.0	No	No	124.1
		T1	68.3	4.00	5.66	40.6	54.1	0.82	130.1	No	No	139.7
	410674	T1	68.3	4.00	5.08	25.6	34.1	0.50	76.6	Yes	Yes	71.6

* Invalid K_c; WTS based on crack length at failure > 0.80 WTS.
 † Based on original crack length.
 # Calculated using the following equation:

$$K_c = \frac{Pa^{3/2}}{BW} \left[1.77 + 0.227 \left(\frac{a}{W}\right)^2 - 0.510 \left(\frac{a}{W}\right)^3 + 2.7 \left(\frac{a}{W}\right)^4 \right]$$

Where P = load, lbs.
 a = original crack length, in.
 B = specimen thickness, in.
 W = specimen width, in.

TABLE IV
RESULTS OF SUPPLEMENTAL FRACTURE-TOUGHNESS TESTS OF 16-IN. WIDE PANELS FROM
ALCLAD 7475-T61 SHEET
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Nominal Thickness, in.	Sample Number	Specimen Number	Tensile Yield Strength, (TYS) ksi	Original Crack Length, in.	Crack Length at Failure		Gross Stress (σ) ksi	Net Stress (σ_n) ksi	σ_n / σ_{YS} †	K _c Visual Method, # ksi√in.	Valid K _c	K _c Compliance Method, # ksi√in.	Valid K _c
					Visual Method, in.	Compliance Method, in.							
0.063	369616	L3	69.3	1.00	1.93	2.64	58.0	61.8	0.89	102.2	No*	120.3	No*
		L4	69.3	3.00	4.07	4.07	37.3	46.0	0.66	97.9	Yes	97.9	Yes
		L5	69.3	4.00	5.30	5.65	32.7	43.6	0.63	100.5	Yes	104.7	Yes
		L6	69.3	6.00	7.50	7.82	21.5	42.1	0.51	83.0	Yes	102.0	Yes
		L7	69.3	1.00	1.50	1.73	22.8	36.7	0.53	90.5	Yes	86.8	Yes
		L4	71.8	3.00	3.77	4.43	36.7	60.5	0.84	87.9	No*	95.7	No*
		L5	71.8	4.00	4.55	5.48	31.2	38.5	0.54	73.1	Yes	74.4	Yes
0.090	369619	L6	71.8	4.00	4.28	4.74	30.1	40.1	0.56	84.2	Yes	94.5	Yes
		L7	71.8	6.00	6.25	6.75	26.9	35.9	0.50	72.6	Yes	77.1	Yes
		L5	73.9	4.00	4.72	4.98	20.0	32.0	0.45	68.7	Yes	72.6	Yes
0.063	369616	T3	66.5	1.00	1.78	2.63	57.3	61.2	0.92	96.9	No*	118.7	No*
		T4	66.5	3.00	3.68	4.50	37.4	46.1	0.69	92.7	Yes	104.0	Yes
		T6	66.5	4.00	5.08	5.96	31.2	41.8	0.63	92.5	Yes	102.9	Yes
		T7	66.5	6.00	7.08	7.86	21.5	42.1	0.63	94.3	Yes	103.5	Yes
		T4	68.4	1.00	1.35	1.18	23.2	37.3	0.56	88.5	Yes	88.8	Yes
		T5	68.4	3.00	3.52	2.97	55.8	59.4	0.87	81.9	No*	101.9	No*
		T6	68.4	4.00	4.50	4.60	36.5	45.0	0.66	88.3	Yes	102.8	Yes
0.090	369619	T7	68.4	6.00	6.35	6.89	28.0	37.5	0.55	78.0	Yes	86.7	Yes
		T5	71.5	4.00	4.27	4.27	29.8	39.8	0.58	81.3	Yes	89.7	Yes
		T6	71.5	4.00	4.52	4.10	21.5	34.4	0.50	74.6	Yes	79.4	Yes
0.063	369616	T5	71.5	4.00	4.27	4.27	27.9	37.2	0.52	75.4	Yes	75.4	Yes
		T6	71.5	4.00	4.52	4.10	29.7	39.7	0.55	82.9	Yes	78.3	Yes

* Invalid K_c: NTS based on crack length at failure > 0.80 TYS.
† Based on original crack length.
‡ Calculated using the following equation:

$$K_c = \frac{Pa\sqrt{2}}{BW} \left[1.77 + 0.227 \left(\frac{a}{W}\right) - 0.510 \left(\frac{a}{W}\right)^2 + 2.7 \left(\frac{a}{W}\right)^3 \right]$$

Where P = load, lbs.
a = original crack length, in.
B = specimen thickness, in.
W = specimen width, in.

TABLE LVI

RESULTS OF LONGITUDINAL SMOOTH AND NOTCHED ($K_t=3$) AXIAL-STRESS FATIGUE TESTS OF 7049-T73 DIE FORGINGS (R=0.0)

(F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Sample Thickness Range, in.	Die No.	Producer	Cycles to Failure						
				70.0	55.0	42.0	25.0	16.0	13.0	
410693	≤1.000	9078	A	--	57 400	--	--	17 332 900	--	--
410698	1.001-2.000	15789	A	15 900	--	--	25 100	--	--	--
410694		B5786	B	7 900	38 500	70 300	17 000	28 964 400#	19 364 300#	
410697A		40005	A	--	59 200	--	--	261 500	--	--
410697C		40005	A	--	49 400	--	--	271 200	--	--
410695	2.001-3.000	40006	A	--	67 900	--	--	594 700	--	--
410696		B6204	B	6 900	38 000	261 300	29 600	247 500	36 698 400#	
410697B		40005	A	17 400	50 300	164 400	27 900	552 800	10 696 800#	
410700	4.001-5.000	B2362	A	9 700	29 200	36 900*	20 800	127 000	13 797 800#	
			Log Mean Life	10 800	47 200	144 200	23 600			

Did not fail.

* Equipment malfunction, value not reliable.

TABLE LVII

RESULTS OF LONGITUDINAL SMOOTH AND NOTCHED ($K_t=3$) AXIAL-STRESS FATIGUE TESTS OF 7175-T736 DIE FORGINGS (R=0.0)

(F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Sample Thickness Range, in.	Die No.	Producer	Cycles to Failure					
				Smooth	Notched	Maximum Stress, ksi			
				70.0	55.0	42.0	25.0	16.0	13.0
410983	1.000	9078	A	--	57 400	--	--	149 900	--
410699	1.001-2.000	15789	A	13 100	--	--	19 300	--	--
410704		F17961	A	13 700	156 500	6 960 200	24 400	161 900	61 812 900#
410705A		40005	A	--	54 800	--	--	47 204 800#	--
410705C		40005	A	--	71 300	--	--	1 476 000	--
410706		F17976	A	14 300	80 700	142 300	22 700	122 800	69 848 200#
410705B	2.001-3.000	40005	A	19 100	91 100	6 206 500	30 000	19 439 900	42 209 100#
410984		40006	A	--	41 900	--	--	67 420 800	--
Lot Mean Life				14 900	72 800	1 831 900	23 800		

Did not fail.

TABLE LVIII
 RESULTS OF SMOOTH AND NOTCHED (K_t=3) AXIAL-STRESS FATIGUE TESTS OF
 7049-T73 AND 7175-T736 HAND FORGINGS(R=0.0)
 (F33615-71-0-1571)

Alloy and Temper	Sample Number	Dimensions, in.	Producer	Smooth						Notched					
				Longitudinal			Long Transverse			Longitudinal			Long Transverse		
				Cycles to Failure	Stress, Ksi	Maximum	Cycles to Failure	Stress, Ksi	Maximum	Cycles to Failure	Stress, Ksi	Maximum	Cycles to Failure	Stress, Ksi	Maximum
				65.0	55.0	40.0	65.0	55.0	40.0	25.0	16.0	13.0	25.0	16.0	13.0
7049-T73	411019	2x16	A	6 300	26 500	2 299 400	5 500	33 600	100 400	14 800	148 500	11 281 100#	12 300	153 900	531 100#
	410686	3x16	A	17 000	41 100	682 600	9 900	30 800	163 900	31 400	15 671 000#	13 028 300#	28 500	492 300#	11 251 900#
	410988	4x16	A	20 400	68 800	20 372 100	5 400	18 900	68 600	39 800	18 073 600#	13 235 700#	18 100	155 900	559 600
	410888	5x20	A	6 100	28 300	1 372 100	4 900	16 000	58 900	23 900	136 500	12 958 200#	24 400	139 100	237 800
	Log Mean Life			10 700	37 000	2 592 400	6 200	23 700	90 300	25 800			20 800		
7175-T736	410689	2x16	A	16 000	53 900	12 459 900	15 300	69 200	187 900	30 500	10 954 300#	15 732 100#	30 600	208 700	15 530 100#
	410985	3x12	A	11 400	49 600	686 500	9 500	36 500	9 700 400	16 300	817 800	44 571 800#	29 300	287 000#	10 894 600#
	410691	4x16	A	9 100	39 400	8 395 000	7 800	25 600	5 973 300	34 600	2 432 600	10 845 400#	23 700	201 600	26 071 600#
	410986	5x20	A	7 500	39 000	368 500	5 100	25 300	5 076 100	28 700	13 058 200#	15 875 700#	35 000	15 945 800#	13 504 800#
	Log Mean Life			10 600	45 000	2 268 000	8 700	35 800	3 878 000	26 500			29 400		

Did not fail.

TABLE LIX
 RESULTS OF SMOOTH AND NOTCHED ($K_t=3$) AXIAL-STRESS FATIGUE TESTS OF
 7475-T61 SHEET ($R=0.0$)
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Thickness in.	Producer	Smooth			Notched							
			Longitudinal Cycles to Failure		Transverse Cycles to Failure	Longitudinal Cycles to Failure		Transverse Cycles to Failure					
			70.0	40.0	35.0	70.0	40.0	35.0	70.0	40.0	35.0		
410651	0.040	A	12 300	1 155 000*	5 046 700	12 700	82 400	111 300	7 700	44 900	7 600	38 000	39 013 700#
410652	0.063	A	12 800	189 200	16 155 700	11 600	145 700*	111 300	9 100	44 400	7 600	44 700	23 300
410654	0.090	A	9 200	151 700	10 699 800	9 800	229 900	6 611 700	7 300	25 400	8 000	23 300	228 300
410656	0.125	A	14 100	253 000	5 541 500	13 800	111 700	14 537 100	7 300	36 000	7 600	41 800	13 413 000#
410658	0.188	A	19 200	391 500	4 104 200	23 800	228 800	10 501 700	6 600	24 900	7 600	28 800	235 800
410660	0.249	A	17 700	189 800	62 575 100#	17 000	534 100	15 974 400#	9 800	50 900	8 600	36 500	209 600
410661		A		2 934 700			4 976 500		7 000	24 100		23 400	
410662		A		2 202 800			30 864 100		7 000	38 800		31 100	
				25 415 300			50 054 200#		7 800	20 400		37 700	
				780 000			52 168 000#		7 800	33 200			
									7 800	2 316 700			

* Failed at grip end.
 # Did not fail.

TABLE LX
 RESULTS OF SMOOTH AND NOTCHED (K_t=3) AXIAL STRESS FATIGUE TESTS OF
 7475-T761 SHEET (R=0.0)
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Thickness, in.	Producer	Smooth						Notched								
			Longitudinal			Transverse			Longitudinal			Transverse					
			Cycles To Failure	Maximum Stress, ksi	Cycles To Failure	Cycles To Failure	Maximum Stress, ksi	Cycles To Failure	Cycles To Failure	Cycles To Failure	Maximum Stress, ksi	Cycles To Failure	Cycles To Failure				
			70.0	40.0	35.0	70.0	40.0	35.0	70.0	40.0	35.0	70.0	40.0	35.0	70.0	40.0	35.0
410888	0.032	A	17 700	119 800	988 600*	14 900	97 300	832 600*	6 800	26 400	636 000	4 900	17 900	10 970 900#			
410663	0.040	A	--	190 700*	--	--	38 700	--	--	27 000	--	--	28 700	--			
410664	0.063	A	10 100	1 745 800	1 366 300	13 900	76 600	140 800 #	7 900	26 000	9 866 800	5 800	34 400	5 529 900			
410665	0.063	A	--	238 900	--	--	102 000	--	--	15 900	--	--	22 100	--			
410666	0.090	A	9 100	202 000	13 240 600	7 600	260 600	15 621 100	6 900	22 900	6 045 700	7 400	22 000	17 724 900#			
410667	0.090	A	--	111 800	--	--	127 400	--	--	22 700	--	--	23 500	--			
410668	0.125	A	6 600	241 900	6 051 700	11 100	217 500	206 600	8 700	30 500	17 530 700	7 700	36 100	13 331 200			
410669	0.125	A	--	3 401 500	--	--	431 000	--	--	22 300	--	--	28 000	--			
410670	0.188	A	7 800	156 200	370 200	6 100	215 200	5 897 800	7 200	31 100	219 700	8 000	25 400	2 503 700			
410671	0.188	A	--	7 257 500	--	--	26 303 600	--	--	28 300	--	--	22 000	--			
410672	0.249	A	6 100	285 300*	21 900 500	8 800	22 448 500	31 494 100#	6 200	29 200	243 900	6 900	37 500	115 800			
410673	0.249	A	--	12 746 000	--	--	29 940 300#	--	--	22 000	--	--	33 600	--			
410674	0.249	A	9 700	306 600	104 448 800#	12 600	63 158 400	70 076 900#	5 700	25 500	126 500	6 100	23 800	1 011 200			
Log Mean Life			9 000	523 900		10 200			7 000	25 000		6 600	26 500				

* Failed at grip end
 # Did not fail.
 x Failed 1" from center.

TABLE LXI
 RESULTS OF SMOOTH AND NOTCHED AXIAL-STRESS FATIGUE TESTS OF 2124-T851 PLATE (R=0.0)
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Thickness, in.	Producer	Smooth				Notched					
			Longitudinal		Long-Transverse		Longitudinal		Long-Transverse			
			Cycles to Failure	24.0	Cycles to Failure	40.0	Cycles to Failure	40.0	Cycles to Failure	40.0		
			60.0	40.0	60.0	40.0	40.0	20.0	11.0	40.0	20.0	11.0
			Maximum Stress, ksi									
			24.0									
410675	1.750	A	62 600	90 800	18 400	90 800	40 156 700	39 000	34 400	5 400	34 500	37 523 600#
410680	2.000	C	90 300	97 200	18 700	120 800	40 002 700#	39 900	47 000	4 400	47 000	20 744 100
410681	2.040	B	87 200	120 800	18 700	120 800	65 002 700#	36 000	4 700	4 300	33 000	
410676	2.500	A	72 900	79 500		79 500		42 900			45 500	
410677	3.500	A	68 500	95 800		95 800		34 400			40 400	
410682	4.000	B	66 400	85 800		85 800	83 202 500#	35 900	4 600	3 600	36 300	494 900
410678	4.500	A	76 700	57 200	11 600	57 200		33 400			33 900	
410683	4.500	C	78 400	106 700	11 400	106 700	76 317 200#	40 400	5 500	5 700	45 100	4 167 900
410679	5.500	A	71 300	86 000		86 000		34 900			35 600	
410684	6.000	C	74 600	106 600	7 800	106 600	89 496 000#	39 400	5 000	4 200	39 800	452 300
	Log Mean Life		13 300	90 400	12 900	90 400		35 000	5 000	4 600	38 800	

Specimen did not fail.

Table LXIII

RESULTS OF SMOOTH AND NOTCHED ($K_t=3$) AXIAL-STRESS FATIGUE TESTS OF 7475-T61 AND T761 SHEET IN SALT FOG (F33615-71-C-1571)

7475-T61			7475-T761		
410652 (0.040-in.)	410658 (0.125-in.)	410664 (0.040-in.)	410670 (0.125-in.)		
Max. Stress, ksi	Max. Stress, ksi	Max. Stress, ksi	Max. Stress, ksi	Cycles to Failure	Cycles to Failure
39.9	40.0	39.9	40.1	29,600	51,000
24.0	28.0	24.0	28.0	213,300	1,276,800
19.9	24.0	20.0	24.0	1,276,700*	2,010,200
20.0	20.0	20.0	40.0	19,600	2,200
13.0	15.0	13.0	20.0	583,600	56,400
10.0	13.0	10.1	13.0	3,530,300	1,771,500

Smooth Specimens

Notched Specimens

Notes: Long-transverse sheet type specimens (Fig. 21); R = 0.0, f = 18.3 Hz.

* Failed in grip end.

Table LXIV

RATES OF FATIGUE CRACK PROPAGATION
Constant Load Tests
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Alloy and Temper	Product	Sample Size	Sample No.	Orientation	Specimen Type	da/dN at indicated ΔK , micro-in./cycle						
						Dry Air 7	Air 12	Humid Air 7	Air 12	Salt Fog [†] 7	Air 12	
7049-T73	Hand Forging	4x16 5x20 " " "	410966 410688 " " "	T-L T-L T-L L-T S-T	CT CT CN CN CT	72	130	10	150	11	150	150
						73	140	10	160	-	-	-
						74	80	7.5	95	12	100	100
						75	23	6.5	32	12	38	38
						76	70	0.3	19			
7175-T736	Hand Forging	4x16 5x20 " "	410691 410986 " " "	T-L T-L T-L L-T S-T	CT CT CN CN CT	77	35	7.0	40	9	35	35
						78	24	7.0	40	8	36	36
						79	35	6.5	40	10	50	50
						80	28	6.5	35	13	70	70
						81	32	1.4	40	1.4	30	30
7475-T61	Sheet	0.040 0.125	410652 410658 "	T-L T-L L-T	CN CN CN	82	14	8.5	38	11	42	42
						83	20	8.5	48	14	75	75
						84	17	10	55	14	70	70
7475-T761	Sheet	0.040 0.125	410664 410670 "	T-L T-L L-T	CN CN CN	85	24	6.5	35	15	50	50
						86	20	5.5	32	12	65	65
						87	15	5.0	32	14	55	55
2124-T851	Plate	2" 4.5" " "	410680 410683 " "	T-L T-L L-T S-L	CN CN CN CT	88	24	4.0	28	6	35	35
						89	12	4.0	25	3	28	28
						90	7	3.0	15	4	18	18
						91	28	3.5	34	3.5	28	28

* ksi $\sqrt{\text{in.}}$

+ indicates time of exposure in tests.

R = +1/3; f = 5.2 to 13.3 Hz

TABLE LXV

SYSTEM FOR VISUAL RATING OF EXFOLIATION CORROSION
CONTAINED IN ASTM METHOD G34-72
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Code	Classification and Specimen Condition
N	No appreciable attack. Surface may be etched or discolored.
P or P-A,B or C	Pitting. Includes discrete pitting or pit-blistering. In the latter case, attack results in a slight undercutting of the surface, and can occur in varying degrees of severity. The degree of severity of pit-blistering should be indicated by addition of one of the letters A, B or C (increasing order of severity).
E- A,B,C or D	Exfoliation. Visible lifting of the surface. A range of exfoliation can occur of varying degrees of severity. The degree of severity of exfoliation should be indicated by addition of one of the letters A, B, C or D (increasing order of severity). An example of the four degrees of severity is shown in Figure .

TABLE LXVI

RESULTS OF ACCELERATED EXFOLIATION TESTS ON 7049-T73 FORGINGS
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Number	Sample		Visual Rating*	
	Thickness or Dimensions, in.	Producer	EXCO	Salt Spray
<u>PART A - Die Forgings</u>				
410693	≤1.000	A	P	--
410693	≤1.000	A	P(T/2)	--
410698**	1.001-2.000	A	P	E-A
410698		A	E-A(T/2)	--
410697	2.001-3.000	A	P	--
410695		A	P	--
410694	1.001-2.000	B	P	--
410696	2.001-3.000	B	P	--
410700	4.001-5.000	B	P	--
<u>PART B - Hand Forgings</u>				
411019**	2x16	A	P	P
410686	3x16	A	E-A	--
410966	4x16	A	P	--
410688*	5x20	A	E-B	E-A

NOTE: All test specimens were T/10 panels except those noted to be T/2.

* ASTM Method G34-72 (See Table LXV, Fig. 92)

** T/10 panel from this item also exposed to seacoast atmosphere.

TABLE LXVII
RESULTS OF ACCELERATED EXFOLIATION TESTS ON 7175-T736 FORGINGS
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Number	Sample		Visual Rating*	
	Thickness or Dimensions, in.	Producer	EXCO	Salt Spray
<u>PART A - Die Forgings</u>				
410983	≤1.000	A	P	--
410983	≤1.000	A	P(T/2)	--
410699**	1.001-2.000	A	P	E-A
410699		A	P(T/2)	--
410704		A	P	--
410706		A	P	--
410705	2.001-3.000	A	P	--
410984		A	P	--
<u>PART B - Hand Forgings</u>				
410689**	2x16	A	P	P
410985	3x12	A	P	--
410691	4x16	A	P	--
410986**	5x20	A	P	P

NOTE: All test specimens were T/10 panels except those noted to be T/2.

* ASTM Method G34-72 (See Table LXV , Fig. 92).

** T/10 panel from this item also exposed to seacoast atmosphere.

TABLE LXVIII
RESULTS OF ACCELERATED EXFOLIATION TESTS ON 7475 SHEET
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Thickness,	Producer	Visual Rating*	
			EXCO	Salt Spray+
<u>PART A - 7475-T61</u>				
410651	0.040	A	E-A	P
410652	0.040	A	E-A	--
410653	0.063	A	E-A	P
410654	0.063	A	E-A	--
410655	0.090	A	E-A	P, E-A‡
410656	0.090	A	E-A	--, E-A‡
410657	0.125	A	E-A	P
410658	0.125	A	E-C	--, E-C‡
410659	0.188	A	E-A	P
410660	0.188	A	E-A	--
410661	0.249	A	E-A	P
410662	0.249	A	E-A	--
<u>PART B - 7475-T761</u>				
410663	0.040	A	P	P
410664	0.040	A	P	--
410665	0.063	A	P	P
410666	0.063	A	P	--
410667	0.090	A	P	P
410668	0.090	A	P	--
410669	0.125	A	P	P
410670	0.125	A	P	--
410671	0.188	A	P	P
410672	0.188	A	P	--
410673	0.249	A	P	P
410674	0.249	A	P	--

NOTE: T/10 panels used in all tests.

* ASTM Method G34-72; see Table LXV, Fig. 92.

+ Lots exposed to salt spray were also exposed to seacoast atmosphere.

‡ Retest

TABLE LXIX

RESULTS OF ACCELERATED EXFOLIATION TESTS ON 2124-T851 PLATE
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Number	Sample Thickness, in.	Product**	Visual Rating*		
			EXCO ⁺ , †		Salt Spray ††
			1	2	
410675	1.75	A	P	P	P
410680	2.00	C	P	P	--
410681	2.04	B	P	P	--
410676	2.50	A	P	P	P
410677	3.50	A	P	P	P
410682	4.00	B	P	P	--
410678	4.50	A	P	P	P
410683	4.50	C	P	P	--
410679	5.50	A	P	P	P
410684	6.00	C	P	P	--

NOTE: All test specimens were T/10 panels.

* ASTM Method G34-72 (See Table LXV)

** Lots fabricated by Producer A were also exposed to seacoast atmosphere.

+ Specimens tested from opposite ends of samples.

† Tested for 144 hrs rather than the 48 hr period specified for 7XXX series alloys.

†† Tested for 2 weeks rather than the 1 week period specified for 7XXX series alloys.

TABLE LXX

RESULTS OF LONGITUDINAL AND LONG-TRANSVERSE ACCELERATED SCC TESTS ON
7049-T73 AND 7175-T736 DIE FORGINGS

(F33615-71-C-1571)

Number	Sample Thickness, in.	Direction*	Producer	Original Tensile Properties		% Loss in Tensile Strength Unstressed	% Y.S.	SCC Data	
				Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, ksi			F/N	Stressed 75% of Yield Strength Days
PART A - 7049-T73									
410693	≤ 1.000	L	A	79.2	69.7	13	46	0/3	3 OK 84
410698	1.001-2.000		A	78.7	72.2	19	19	0/3	3 OK 84
410693	≤ 1.000	LT	A	73.8	63.4	11	32	0/3	3 OK 84
410698	1.001-2.000		A	80.3	73.3	20	23	0/3	3 OK 84
PART B - 7175-T736									
410983	≤ 1.000	L	A	81.2	73.9	11	55	0/3	3 OK 84
410699	1.001-2.000		A	83.0	76.2	16	27	0/3	3 OK 84
410983	≤ 1.000	LT	A	80.1	71.0	16	53	0/3	3 OK 84
410699	1.001-2.000		A	80.4	72.7	17	32	0/3	3 OK 84

NOTE: 0.125-in. dia. tensile specimens exposed 84 days to 3.5% NaCl-AI per Federal Method 823.

* L-Longitudinal; LT-Long-Transverse.

† F/N denotes number of specimens failed over number exposed.

TABLE LXII
RESULTS OF SHORT-TRANSVERSE ACCELERATED SCC TESTS ON 7049-T73 AND 7175-T736 DIE FORGINGS
(F23615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Thickness, in.	Producer	Test Location	Conductivity % IACS	Original Tensile Properties Ultimate Tensile Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, ksi	Elong, %	% Loss in Tensile Strength		Stressed 45 ksi		Stressed 25 ksi		SCC Data		
								Unstressed 45 ksi	Stressed 45 ksi	Unstressed 25 ksi	Stressed 25 ksi	F/N†	Days	F/N†	Days	Stressed 45 ksi
PART A - 7049-T73																
410593	1.000	A	3/16" from flash	--	72.1	63.4	8.0	17	45	37	3-OK 84	0/3	3-OK 84	0/3	3-OK 84	--
410594	1.001-2.000	A	3/8" from flash	41.5	72.0	68.1	8.0	29	--	39	30.47, 51	2/3	30.64, OK 84	2/3	37.49, 66	--
410595	2.001-3.000	A	3/8" from flash	38.2	68.2	72.8	8.0	34	--	--	18.31, 21	3/3	41.57, 56	3/3	41.57, 56	--
410596	3.001-4.000	B	3/8" from flash	39.0	77.3	68.7	5.0	37	--	--	38.57, 62	3/3	53.42, 73	3/3	53.42, 73	--
410597	4.001-5.000	A	3/8" from flash	41.5	73.7	66.3	6.0	28	40	24	22.38, 44	2/3	57.80, OK 84	2/3	57.80, OK 84	--
410700*	2.001-3.000	B	3/8" from flash	38.0	76.4	76.3	2.0	21	--	--	17.32, OK 84	0/3	3-OK 84	0/3	3-OK 84	--
410598†	2.001-3.000	B	Perpendicular	41.1	76.9	67.1	9.0	13	18	--	3-OK 84	--	3-OK 84	--	3-OK 84	--
410599‡	1.001-2.000	A	3/8" from flash	40.4	74.8	67.2	10.0	19	28	--	3-OK 84	0/3	3-OK 84	0/3	3-OK 84	--
410600#	1.001-2.000	A	3/8" from flash	40.4	74.8	67.2	10.0	--	--	--	3-OK 84	0/3	3-OK 84	0/3	3-OK 84	--
PART B - 7175-T736																
410587	1.000	A	3/16" from flash	--	74.9	64.3	8.0	14	--	--	45.46, 51	2/3	56.75, 1.0K 84	2/3	56.75, 1.0K 84	1/3
410588	1.001-2.000	A	3/8" from flash	40.3	74.1	63.3	8.0	20	--	35	46.75, 84	2/3	46.75, 84	2/3	46.75, 84	0/3
410589	2.001-3.000	A	3/8" from flash	38.5	77.6	68.5	8.0	25	--	50	58.73, 72	3/3	58.73, 72	3/3	58.73, 72	0/3
410706	1.001-2.000	A	3/8" from flash	39.4	75.6	68.3	8.0	26	--	57	37.49, 55	3/3	43.57, OK 84	3/3	43.57, OK 84	0/3
410708	2.001-3.000	A	3/8" from flash	40.4	76.6	67.9	8.0	30	--	68	46.50, 53	3/3	56.75, 84	3/3	56.75, 84	0/3
410709†	1.001-2.000	A	Perpendicular	40.1	75.7	66.7	8.0	15	93	52	44.77, 84	0/3	3-OK 84	0/3	3-OK 84	--
410705‡	2.001-3.000	A	Perpendicular	39.7	76.3	68.4	12.0	18	--	27	61.64, OK 84	0/3	3-OK 84	0/3	3-OK 84	--
410705#	2.001-3.000	A	3/8" from flash	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	3-OK 84	0/3	3-OK 84	0/3	3-OK 84	--

NOTE: Test specimens: 0.125-in. dia. tensile specimens unless noted otherwise.
Test Environment: 84 days to 3.5% NaCl-AI per Federal Method 822.

* Retest using 0.225-in. diam tensile specimen.
† 3/16" cover die, tensile specimen cannot be positioned across parting plane.
‡ 3/4-in. O.D. by 1.000-in. dia.
P/N denotes number of specimens failed over number exposed.

TABLE LXXII

COMPARISON OF PER CENT SURVIVAL VERSUS EXPOSURE TIME FOR SHORT-TRANSVERSE SPECIMENS FROM 7049-T73 AND 7175-T736 DIE FORGINGS*

(F33615-71-C-1571)

45 ksi Stress Level				35 ksi Stress Level			
Days of Exposure	No. of Failures	Cumulative Fraction	% Failed	Days of Exposure	No. of Failures	Cumulative Fraction	% Failed
17	1	1/42	2	20	1	1/42	2
18	1	2/42	7	27	1	2/42	7
21	1	3/42	12	41	2	4/42	12
25	1	4/42	17	49	3	5/42	17
31	2	6/42	24	53	2	7/42	24
34	2	8/42	33	56	2	9/42	28
38	1	9/42	48	62	1	10/42	35
39	2	11/42	55	64	1	11/42	45
44	1	12/42	69	73	1	12/42	50
47	1	13/42	74	80	1	13/42	55
51	1	14/42	79	OK84	8	--	60
60	4	--	--				--
OK84							

7049-T73				7175-T736			
Days of Exposure	No. of Failures	Cumulative Fraction	% Failed	Days of Exposure	No. of Failures	Cumulative Fraction	% Failed
17	1	1/36	3	42	2	2/36	6
18	1	2/36	8	43	1	3/36	14
21	1	3/36	17	44	1	4/36	19
25	1	4/36	25	56	2	6/36	27
31	1	5/36	31	69	1	7/36	34
34	1	6/36	39	75	1	8/36	42
38	1	7/36	48	80	1	9/36	47
44	1	8/36	58	OK84	1	10/36	52
49	1	9/36	69		1	11/36	58
51	1	10/36	75		1	12/36	64
53	1	11/36	83		1	13/36	69
55	1	12/36	92		1	14/36	75
63	1	--	97		1	15/36	81
72							

PART B: Final Comparison of Data

Alloy	Stress, ksi	Expected Life Days†	No. of Tests	Standard Deviation	Possible Error‡	Range in Days Containing 90% of the Failures	Possible Range in Days of Expected (Avg.) Life
7049-T73	45	39	21	18.0	17.0%	21 - 57	32 - 46
7175-T736	45	46	18	10.9	9.0%	35 - 57	41 - 51
7049-T73	35	70	21	30.9	15.8%	39 - 101	58 - 82
7175-T736	35	60	18	19.3	11.8%	40 - 80	52 - 68

NOTE: 0.125-in. diameter specimens taken perpendicular to or across the parting plane.

* 7049-T73 - S. Nos. 410693, 410694, 410695, 410698 and 410700
 7175-T736 - S. Nos. 410699, 410706, 410983 and 410984

† Mean failure time, equals time for 50 per cent survival.

‡ At a 90 per cent confidence level.

TABLE LXXIII
 STATUS OF ATMOSPHERIC SCC TESTS OF 7049-T73 AND 7175-T736 DIE FORGINGS
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Alloy and Temper	Sample Number	Sample Thickness, in.	Producer	SCC Data					
				Stressed F/N ⁺	45 ksi Days	Stressed F/N ⁺	35 ksi Days	Stressed F/N ⁺	25 ksi Days
PART A - Seacoast Atmosphere									
7049-T73	410693	≤1.000	A	0/3	3-OK388	0/3	3-OK388	--	--
	410698	1.001-2.000	A	3/3	63,63,90	2/3	63,310,312 1-OK	--	--
7175-T736	410983	≤1.000	A	0/2	2-OK265	0/3	3-OK265	0/3	3-OK265
	410699	1.001-2.000	A	0/3	3-OK312	0/3	3-OK312	0/3	3-OK312
PART B - Industrial Atmosphere									
7049-T73	410693	≤1.000	A	0/3	3-OK446	0/3	3-OK446	--	--
	410698	1.001-2.000	A	1/3	F348, 2-OK348	0/3	3-OK348	--	--
7175-T736	410983	≤1.000	A	0/3	3-OK315	0/3	3-OK315	0/3	3-OK315
	410699	1.001-2.000	A	0/3	3-OK348	0/3	3-OK348	0/3	3-OK348

NOTE: Short-transverse (0.125-in. dia.) tensile specimens taken 3/8-in. from base of flash.

+ F/N denotes number of specimens failed over number exposed.

TABLE LXXIV

RESULTS OF ACCELERATED SCC TESTS ON 7049-T73 HAND FORGINGS
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Dimensions, in.	Producer	Original Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, ksi	Elong, %	% Loss In Tensile Strength		SCC Data Stressed 75% Yield Strength F/N†	Days			
						Unstressed	75% Y.S.					
PART A - Longitudinal Tests												
411019	2x16	A	74.3	65.6	14.0	14	24	0/3	OK84			
410688	5x20	A	72.7	61.5	14.0	16	19	0/3	OK84			
PART B - Long-Transverse Tests												
411019	2x16	A	73.5	65.0	8.0	23	37	0/3	OK84			
410688	5x20	A	69.4	58.3	8.0	26	36	0/3	OK84			
Sample Number	Dimensions, in.	Producer	Conductivity % IACS	Original Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, ksi	Elong, %	% Loss in Tensile Strength Unstressed	SCC Data				
								45 ksi F/N†	Days	75 ksi F/N†	Days	
PART C - Short-Transverse Tests*												
411019	2x16	A	40.4	73.9	67.1	6.0	32	--	57	38,47,48	2/3	64,82,1-OK84
410686	3x16	A	40.8	73.2	64.8	10.0	26	--	45	59,53,72	0/3	OK84
410966	4x16	A	41.3	70.1	58.7	9.0	24	54	41	OK84	0/3	OK84
410688	5x20	A	41.5	68.5	56.7	8.0	27	--	37	66,78,80	0/3	OK84

NOTE: 0.125-in. diameter tensile specimens exposed 84 days to 3.5% NaCl-AI per Federal Method 823.

* Short-transverse specimens also exposed to seacoast and industrial atmospheres.

† F/N denotes number of specimens failed over number exposed.

TABLE LXXV

RESULTS OF ACCELERATED SCC TESTS ON 7175-T736 HAND FORGINGS
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Dimensions, in.	Producer	Original Tensile Properties Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, ksi	Elong, %	Original Tensile Properties Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, ksi	Elong, %	Conductivity % IACS	Original Tensile Properties Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, ksi	Elong, %	Loss in Tensile Strength Unstressed	% Loss in Tensile Strength Unstressed	75% Y.S.	SCC Data Stressed 75% Yield Strength		SCC Data 45 ksi		SCC Data 25 ksi	
																F/N†	Days	F/N†	Days	F/N†	Days
PART A - Longitudinal Tests																					
410689	2x16	A	76.0	67.3	14.0	10	18	0/3	OK84												
410986	5x20	A	70.1	59.9	16.0	12	17	0/3	OK84												
PART B - Long-Transverse Tests																					
410689	2x16	A	74.6	65.7	14.0	11	46	0/3	OK84												
410986	5x20	A	75.1	66.5	15.0	19	28	0/3	OK84												
PART C - Short-Transverse Tests*																					
410689	2x16	A	41.0	75.0	66.0	8.0	14	56	--	36	1/3	60,2-OK84	3/3	47,55,70	0/3	OK84					
410985	3x12	A	41.1	75.0	65.5	7.0	22	--	--	31	3/3	50,50,57	3/3	63,71,78	0/3	OK84					
410691	4x16	A	41.4	69.9	59.1	8.0	24	54	50	35	1/3	80,2-OK84	0/3	OK84	0/3	OK84					
410986	5x20	A	41.5	72.3	64.2	8.0	23	51	--	30	0/3	OK84	3/3	60,64,82	0/3	OK84					

NOTE: 0.125-in. diameter tensile specimens exposed 84 days to 3.5% NaCl-A.I. per Federal Method 823.

* Short-transverse specimens also exposed to seacoast and industrial atmospheres.

† F/N denotes number of specimens failed over number of specimens exposed.

TABLE LXXVI

RESULTS OF ACCELERATED SCC TESTS OF 7475 SHEET
(F33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Thickness,* in.	Producer	Original Tensile Properties		% Loss In Tensile Strength		SCC Data				
			Ultimate Strength, ksi	Yield Strength, ksi	Elong, %	Unstressed	75% Y.S.	Stressed 75% Yield Strength	Preforms	F/N†	Days
<u>PART A - T61 Temper</u>											
410651	0.040	A	81.3	72.9	11.0	37	38	0/2	2-OK84	0/2	2-OK182
410653	0.063	A	80.8	72.6	12.0	24	25	0/2	2-OK84	0/2	2-OK182
410655	0.090	A	80.4	72.1	11.5	23	18	0/2	2-OK84	0/2	2-OK182
410657	0.125	A	80.4	72.6	13.0	22	20	0/2	2-OK84	0/2	2-OK182
410659	0.188	A	79.4	72.3	13.0	24	21	0/2	2-OK84	0/2	2-OK182
410661	0.249	A	80.8	73.4	13.0	23	24	0/2	2-OK84	0/2	2-OK182
<u>PART B - T761 Temper</u>											
410663	0.040	A	78.3	70.8	10.5	36	32	0/2	2-OK84	0/2	2-OK182
410665	0.063	A	76.9	69.0	10.5	13	23	0/2	2-OK84	0/2	2-OK182
410667	0.090	A	74.1	64.6	11.5	13	28	0/2	2-OK84	0/2	2-OK182
410669	0.125	A	75.3	64.9	12.0	14	21	0/2	2-OK84	0/2	2-OK182
410671	0.188	A	73.9	65.3	13.0	14	27	0/2	2-OK84	0/2	2-OK182
410673	0.249	A	74.9	65.9	14.0	14	30	0/2	2-OK84	0/2	2-OK182

NOTE: Test specimens: Long transverse sheet, tensile and preforms
Test Environment: 3.5% NaCl-AI per Federal Method 823
Exposure Periods: Tensiles - 84 days, Preforms - 182 days

* 0.040 and 0.063-in. gage tested full thickness, other gauges machined on one side to 0.063-in. and rolled surface stressed in tension.

† F/N denotes number of specimens failed over number of specimens exposed.

TABLE LXXVII
RESULTS OF SCC TESTS OF 2124-T651 PLATE
(P33615-71-C-1571)

Sample Number	Thickness, .in.	Producer	Original Tensile Properties Ultimate Strength, ksi Yield Strength, ksi Elong, %	84 Days to 3.5% NaCl by Alternate Immersion per Federal Method 803				Seacoast Atmosphere*																	
				% Loss in Tensile Strength		Stressed 75%		Stressed 50%		Stressed 75%		Stressed 50%													
				Unstressed	Y.S.†	Y.S.†	Days	Y.S.†	Days	Y.S.†	Days	Y.S.†	Days												
				PART A - Longitudinal Tests																					
410675	1.75	A	72.0	18	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84			
410680	2.00	C	70.5	16	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84
410678	4.50	A	67.8	18	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84
410683	4.50	C	67.8	19	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84
				PART B - Long-Transverse Tests																					
410675	1.75	A	71.5	16	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84
410680	2.00	C	71.1	21	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84
410678	4.50	A	69.4	20	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84
410683	4.50	C	67.5	27	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84	0/3	3-OK	84
				PART C - Short-Transverse Tests																					
410675	1.75	A	70.4	33	3/3	4,4,5	27	2/3	62,84,OK84	2/3	4,4,5	27	2/3	62,84,OK84	2/3	4,4,5	27	2/3	62,84,OK84	2/3	4,4,5	27	2/3	62,84,OK84	
410678**	4.50	A	68.2	34	3/3	5,6,18	33	3/3	24,26,22	3/3	5,6,18	33	3/3	24,26,22	3/3	5,6,18	33	3/3	24,26,22	3/3	5,6,18	33	3/3	24,26,22	
410680**	2.00	C	68.2	45	3/3	7,1,9	40	3/3	41,42,65	3/3	7,1,9	40	3/3	41,42,65	3/3	7,1,9	40	3/3	41,42,65	3/3	7,1,9	40	3/3	41,42,65	
410678	2.50	A	69.2	40	2/3	17,27,32	51	2/3	65,84,OK84	2/3	17,27,32	51	2/3	65,84,OK84	2/3	17,27,32	51	2/3	65,84,OK84	2/3	17,27,32	51	2/3	65,84,OK84	
410683	4.50	C	64.1	44	3/3	60,71,OK84	38	3/3	57,64,OK84	2/3	60,71,OK84	38	3/3	57,64,OK84	2/3	60,71,OK84	38	3/3	57,64,OK84	2/3	60,71,OK84	38	3/3	57,64,OK84	
410683	4.50	C	64.1	44	3/3	53,64,65	62	3/3	57,64,OK84	2/3	53,64,65	62	3/3	57,64,OK84	2/3	53,64,65	62	3/3	57,64,OK84	2/3	53,64,65	62	3/3	57,64,OK84	

NOTE: 0.125-in. diameter specimen except where noted.

- * These items are also being tested at 50 and 50% GYS and in industrial atmosphere.
- ** 5% of 50% GYS.
- †† 5% of 50% GYS.
- # Metallographic examination detected only transgranular auxiliary cracks in these specimens.
- f P/W denotes number of specimens failed over number of specimens exposed.
- ** Retests using 0.225-in. diameter specimens.

TABLE LXXVIII
 RESULTS OF SCC TESTS WITH PRECRACKED SPECIMENS
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

Product	Alloy and Temper	Sample Number	Sample Thickness or Dimensions, in.	Producer	Location in Die Forging (See Fig. 34)	Crack Length - Inches*		Metallographic Nature of Cracking At Crack Tip
						Edge of Specimen Environmental†	Center of Spec. Total**	
Die Forging	7049-T73	410693	<1.000	A	Flange Web	0.02	0.07	Intergranular
		410693	<1.000	A		0.05	0.10	Intergranular
	7175-T736	410983	<1.000	A	Flange Web	0.10	0.17	Intergranular
		410983	<1.000	A		0.09	0.15	Intergranular
Hand Forging	7049-T73	411019	2x16	A		0.02	0.08	Intergranular
		410688	5x20	A		0.05	0.10	Intergranular
	7175-T736	410689	2x16	A		0.03	0.08	Intergranular
		410986	5x20	A		0.02	0.06	Intergranular
Plate	2124-T851	410675	1.75	A		0.26	0.37	Intergranular
		410676	2.50	A		0.07	0.15	Transgranular
		410677	3.50	A		0.08	0.13	Transgranular
		410678	4.50	A		0.05	0.11	Transgranular
		410679	5.50	A		0.01	0.08	Transgranular

NOTE: Test Specimen: Short-transverse (S-L) double cantilever beam precracked in tension and bolt loaded to pop-in.
 Test Environment: Air at 80 F, 45% R.H. plus 3.5% NaCl dropwise three times per day for 30 days.

* Average of duplicate specimens.
 † Length of the crack that developed in the corrosive environment.
 ** Includes both the mechanical precrack and the environmental crack.

APPENDIX

FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 7049-T73 HAND FORGINGS
Constant Load Tests, Stress Ratio = + 1/3

(F33615-71-C-1571)

SECTION I-1 ON SPEC. ORT. AIRSET 16.2KZ												SECTION I-1 ON SPEC. ORT. AIRSET 5.2KZ											
FATIGUE CRACK				FATIGUE CRACK				FATIGUE CRACK				FATIGUE CRACK											
LENGTH	INITIAL	FINAL	PERCENT	LENGTH	INITIAL	FINAL	PERCENT	LENGTH	INITIAL	FINAL	PERCENT	LENGTH	INITIAL	FINAL	PERCENT								
IN.	IN.	IN.	CRACKED	IN.	IN.	IN.	CRACKED	IN.	IN.	IN.	CRACKED	IN.	IN.	IN.	CRACKED								
0.1250	1.310	1.310	35.2	0.1250	1.310	1.310	35.2	0.1250	1.310	1.310	35.2	0.1250	1.310	1.310	35.2								
0.1500	1.310	1.310	37.3	0.1500	1.310	1.310	37.3	0.1500	1.310	1.310	37.3	0.1500	1.310	1.310	37.3								
0.1750	1.310	1.310	39.4	0.1750	1.310	1.310	39.4	0.1750	1.310	1.310	39.4	0.1750	1.310	1.310	39.4								
0.2000	1.310	1.310	41.5	0.2000	1.310	1.310	41.5	0.2000	1.310	1.310	41.5	0.2000	1.310	1.310	41.5								
0.2250	1.310	1.310	43.6	0.2250	1.310	1.310	43.6	0.2250	1.310	1.310	43.6	0.2250	1.310	1.310	43.6								
0.2500	1.310	1.310	45.7	0.2500	1.310	1.310	45.7	0.2500	1.310	1.310	45.7	0.2500	1.310	1.310	45.7								
0.2750	1.310	1.310	47.8	0.2750	1.310	1.310	47.8	0.2750	1.310	1.310	47.8	0.2750	1.310	1.310	47.8								
0.3000	1.310	1.310	49.9	0.3000	1.310	1.310	49.9	0.3000	1.310	1.310	49.9	0.3000	1.310	1.310	49.9								
0.3250	1.310	1.310	52.0	0.3250	1.310	1.310	52.0	0.3250	1.310	1.310	52.0	0.3250	1.310	1.310	52.0								
0.3500	1.310	1.310	54.1	0.3500	1.310	1.310	54.1	0.3500	1.310	1.310	54.1	0.3500	1.310	1.310	54.1								
0.3750	1.310	1.310	56.2	0.3750	1.310	1.310	56.2	0.3750	1.310	1.310	56.2	0.3750	1.310	1.310	56.2								
0.4000	1.310	1.310	58.3	0.4000	1.310	1.310	58.3	0.4000	1.310	1.310	58.3	0.4000	1.310	1.310	58.3								
0.4250	1.310	1.310	60.4	0.4250	1.310	1.310	60.4	0.4250	1.310	1.310	60.4	0.4250	1.310	1.310	60.4								
0.4500	1.310	1.310	62.5	0.4500	1.310	1.310	62.5	0.4500	1.310	1.310	62.5	0.4500	1.310	1.310	62.5								
0.4750	1.310	1.310	64.6	0.4750	1.310	1.310	64.6	0.4750	1.310	1.310	64.6	0.4750	1.310	1.310	64.6								
0.5000	1.310	1.310	66.7	0.5000	1.310	1.310	66.7	0.5000	1.310	1.310	66.7	0.5000	1.310	1.310	66.7								
0.5250	1.310	1.310	68.8	0.5250	1.310	1.310	68.8	0.5250	1.310	1.310	68.8	0.5250	1.310	1.310	68.8								
0.5500	1.310	1.310	70.9	0.5500	1.310	1.310	70.9	0.5500	1.310	1.310	70.9	0.5500	1.310	1.310	70.9								
0.5750	1.310	1.310	73.0	0.5750	1.310	1.310	73.0	0.5750	1.310	1.310	73.0	0.5750	1.310	1.310	73.0								
0.6000	1.310	1.310	75.1	0.6000	1.310	1.310	75.1	0.6000	1.310	1.310	75.1	0.6000	1.310	1.310	75.1								
0.6250	1.310	1.310	77.2	0.6250	1.310	1.310	77.2	0.6250	1.310	1.310	77.2	0.6250	1.310	1.310	77.2								
0.6500	1.310	1.310	79.3	0.6500	1.310	1.310	79.3	0.6500	1.310	1.310	79.3	0.6500	1.310	1.310	79.3								
0.6750	1.310	1.310	81.4	0.6750	1.310	1.310	81.4	0.6750	1.310	1.310	81.4	0.6750	1.310	1.310	81.4								
0.7000	1.310	1.310	83.5	0.7000	1.310	1.310	83.5	0.7000	1.310	1.310	83.5	0.7000	1.310	1.310	83.5								
0.7250	1.310	1.310	85.6	0.7250	1.310	1.310	85.6	0.7250	1.310	1.310	85.6	0.7250	1.310	1.310	85.6								
0.7500	1.310	1.310	87.7	0.7500	1.310	1.310	87.7	0.7500	1.310	1.310	87.7	0.7500	1.310	1.310	87.7								
0.7750	1.310	1.310	89.8	0.7750	1.310	1.310	89.8	0.7750	1.310	1.310	89.8	0.7750	1.310	1.310	89.8								
0.8000	1.310	1.310	91.9	0.8000	1.310	1.310	91.9	0.8000	1.310	1.310	91.9	0.8000	1.310	1.310	91.9								
0.8250	1.310	1.310	94.0	0.8250	1.310	1.310	94.0	0.8250	1.310	1.310	94.0	0.8250	1.310	1.310	94.0								
0.8500	1.310	1.310	96.1	0.8500	1.310	1.310	96.1	0.8500	1.310	1.310	96.1	0.8500	1.310	1.310	96.1								
0.8750	1.310	1.310	98.2	0.8750	1.310	1.310	98.2	0.8750	1.310	1.310	98.2	0.8750	1.310	1.310	98.2								
0.9000	1.310	1.310	100.1	0.9000	1.310	1.310	100.1	0.9000	1.310	1.310	100.1	0.9000	1.310	1.310	100.1								

NOTES: CN = Center Notch Specimen, Fig. 23.
Crack lengths are average readings on front and back surface;
total notch length includes machined flaw of 0.20 in.
CT = Compact Tension Crack Growth Specimen, Fig. 24.
Crack lengths are measured from load line.
T = Specimen thickness.

TABLE LXXX
 FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 7175-T736 HAND FORGINGS
 Constant Load Tests, Stress Ratio $m = 1/3$
 (F33615-71-C-1571)

SIZING L-T, CI SPEC, DRY AIR, RT, 3.2-MZ									
FATIGUE CRACK		FATIGUE CRACK		FATIGUE CRACK		FATIGUE CRACK		FATIGUE CRACK	
LENGTH, IN.	PER CENT CRACKED	LENGTH, IN.	PER CENT CRACKED	LENGTH, IN.	PER CENT CRACKED	LENGTH, IN.	PER CENT CRACKED	LENGTH, IN.	PER CENT CRACKED
CYCLES	FRONT	BACK	CYCLES	FRONT	BACK	CYCLES	FRONT	BACK	CYCLES
0	1.300	1.700	34.0	0	1.300	1.700	34.0	0	1.300
10200	1.310	1.710	34.3	10200	1.310	1.710	34.3	10200	1.310
21000	1.320	1.720	34.6	21000	1.320	1.720	34.6	21000	1.320
31800	1.330	1.730	34.9	31800	1.330	1.730	34.9	31800	1.330
42600	1.340	1.740	35.2	42600	1.340	1.740	35.2	42600	1.340
53400	1.350	1.750	35.5	53400	1.350	1.750	35.5	53400	1.350
64200	1.360	1.760	35.8	64200	1.360	1.760	35.8	64200	1.360
75000	1.370	1.770	36.1	75000	1.370	1.770	36.1	75000	1.370
85800	1.380	1.780	36.4	85800	1.380	1.780	36.4	85800	1.380
96600	1.390	1.790	36.7	96600	1.390	1.790	36.7	96600	1.390
107400	1.400	1.800	37.0	107400	1.400	1.800	37.0	107400	1.400
118200	1.410	1.810	37.3	118200	1.410	1.810	37.3	118200	1.410
129000	1.420	1.820	37.6	129000	1.420	1.820	37.6	129000	1.420
139800	1.430	1.830	37.9	139800	1.430	1.830	37.9	139800	1.430
150600	1.440	1.840	38.2	150600	1.440	1.840	38.2	150600	1.440
161400	1.450	1.850	38.5	161400	1.450	1.850	38.5	161400	1.450
172200	1.460	1.860	38.8	172200	1.460	1.860	38.8	172200	1.460
183000	1.470	1.870	39.1	183000	1.470	1.870	39.1	183000	1.470
193800	1.480	1.880	39.4	193800	1.480	1.880	39.4	193800	1.480
204600	1.490	1.890	39.7	204600	1.490	1.890	39.7	204600	1.490
215400	1.500	1.900	40.0	215400	1.500	1.900	40.0	215400	1.500
226200	1.510	1.910	40.3	226200	1.510	1.910	40.3	226200	1.510
237000	1.520	1.920	40.6	237000	1.520	1.920	40.6	237000	1.520
247800	1.530	1.930	40.9	247800	1.530	1.930	40.9	247800	1.530
258600	1.540	1.940	41.2	258600	1.540	1.940	41.2	258600	1.540
269400	1.550	1.950	41.5	269400	1.550	1.950	41.5	269400	1.550
280200	1.560	1.960	41.8	280200	1.560	1.960	41.8	280200	1.560
291000	1.570	1.970	42.1	291000	1.570	1.970	42.1	291000	1.570
301800	1.580	1.980	42.4	301800	1.580	1.980	42.4	301800	1.580
312600	1.590	1.990	42.7	312600	1.590	1.990	42.7	312600	1.590
323400	1.600	2.000	43.0	323400	1.600	2.000	43.0	323400	1.600
334200	1.610	2.010	43.3	334200	1.610	2.010	43.3	334200	1.610
345000	1.620	2.020	43.6	345000	1.620	2.020	43.6	345000	1.620
355800	1.630	2.030	43.9	355800	1.630	2.030	43.9	355800	1.630
366600	1.640	2.040	44.2	366600	1.640	2.040	44.2	366600	1.640
377400	1.650	2.050	44.5	377400	1.650	2.050	44.5	377400	1.650
388200	1.660	2.060	44.8	388200	1.660	2.060	44.8	388200	1.660
399000	1.670	2.070	45.1	399000	1.670	2.070	45.1	399000	1.670
409800	1.680	2.080	45.4	409800	1.680	2.080	45.4	409800	1.680
420600	1.690	2.090	45.7	420600	1.690	2.090	45.7	420600	1.690
431400	1.700	2.100	46.0	431400	1.700	2.100	46.0	431400	1.700
442200	1.710	2.110	46.3	442200	1.710	2.110	46.3	442200	1.710
453000	1.720	2.120	46.6	453000	1.720	2.120	46.6	453000	1.720
463800	1.730	2.130	46.9	463800	1.730	2.130	46.9	463800	1.730
474600	1.740	2.140	47.2	474600	1.740	2.140	47.2	474600	1.740
485400	1.750	2.150	47.5	485400	1.750	2.150	47.5	485400	1.750
496200	1.760	2.160	47.8	496200	1.760	2.160	47.8	496200	1.760
507000	1.770	2.170	48.1	507000	1.770	2.170	48.1	507000	1.770
517800	1.780	2.180	48.4	517800	1.780	2.180	48.4	517800	1.780
528600	1.790	2.190	48.7	528600	1.790	2.190	48.7	528600	1.790
539400	1.800	2.200	49.0	539400	1.800	2.200	49.0	539400	1.800
550200	1.810	2.210	49.3	550200	1.810	2.210	49.3	550200	1.810
561000	1.820	2.220	49.6	561000	1.820	2.220	49.6	561000	1.820
571800	1.830	2.230	49.9	571800	1.830	2.230	49.9	571800	1.830
582600	1.840	2.240	50.2	582600	1.840	2.240	50.2	582600	1.840
593400	1.850	2.250	50.5	593400	1.850	2.250	50.5	593400	1.850
604200	1.860	2.260	50.8	604200	1.860	2.260	50.8	604200	1.860
615000	1.870	2.270	51.1	615000	1.870	2.270	51.1	615000	1.870
625800	1.880	2.280	51.4	625800	1.880	2.280	51.4	625800	1.880
636600	1.890	2.290	51.7	636600	1.890	2.290	51.7	636600	1.890
647400	1.900	2.300	52.0	647400	1.900	2.300	52.0	647400	1.900
658200	1.910	2.310	52.3	658200	1.910	2.310	52.3	658200	1.910
669000	1.920	2.320	52.6	669000	1.920	2.320	52.6	669000	1.920
679800	1.930	2.330	52.9	679800	1.930	2.330	52.9	679800	1.930
690600	1.940	2.340	53.2	690600	1.940	2.340	53.2	690600	1.940
701400	1.950	2.350	53.5	701400	1.950	2.350	53.5	701400	1.950
712200	1.960	2.360	53.8	712200	1.960	2.360	53.8	712200	1.960
723000	1.970	2.370	54.1	723000	1.970	2.370	54.1	723000	1.970
733800	1.980	2.380	54.4	733800	1.980	2.380	54.4	733800	1.980
744600	1.990	2.390	54.7	744600	1.990	2.390	54.7	744600	1.990
755400	2.000	2.400	55.0	755400	2.000	2.400	55.0	755400	2.000
766200	2.010	2.410	55.3	766200	2.010	2.410	55.3	766200	2.010
777000	2.020	2.420	55.6	777000	2.020	2.420	55.6	777000	2.020
787800	2.030	2.430	55.9	787800	2.030	2.430	55.9	787800	2.030
798600	2.040	2.440	56.2	798600	2.040	2.440	56.2	798600	2.040
809400	2.050	2.450	56.5	809400	2.050	2.450	56.5	809400	2.050
820200	2.060	2.460	56.8	820200	2.060	2.460	56.8	820200	2.060
831000	2.070	2.470	57.1	831000	2.070	2.470	57.1	831000	2.070
841800	2.080	2.480	57.4	841800	2.080	2.480	57.4	841800	2.080
852600	2.090	2.490	57.7	852600	2.090	2.490	57.7	852600	2.090
863400	2.100	2.500	58.0	863400	2.100	2.500	58.0	863400	2.100
874200	2.110	2.510	58.3	874200	2.110	2.510	58.3	874200	2.110
885000	2.120	2.520	58.6	885000	2.120	2.520	58.6	885000	2.120
895800	2.130	2.530	58.9	895800	2.130	2.530	58.9	895800	2.130
906600	2.140	2.540	59.2	906600	2.140	2.540	59.2	906600	2.140
917400	2.150	2.550	59.5	917400	2.150	2.550	59.5	917400	2.150
928200	2.160	2.560	59.8	928200	2.160	2.560	59.8	928200	2.160
939000	2.170	2.570	60.1	939000	2.170	2.570	60.1	939000	2.170
949800	2.180	2.580	60.4	949800	2.180	2.580	60.4	949800	2.180
960600	2.190	2.590	60.7	960600	2.190	2.590	60.7	960600	2.190
971400	2.200	2.600	61.0	971400	2.200	2.600	61.0	971400	2.200
982200	2.210	2.610	61.3	982200	2.210	2.610	61.3	982200	2.210
993000	2.220	2.620	61.6	993000	2.220	2.620	61.6	993000	2.220
1003800	2.230	2.630	61.9	1003800	2.230	2.630	61.9	1003800	2.230
1014600	2.240	2.640	62.2	1014600	2.240	2.640	62.2	1014600	2.240
1025400	2.250	2.650	62.5	1025400	2.250	2.650	62.5	1025400	2.250
1036200	2.260	2.660	62.8	1036200	2.260	2.660	62.8	1036200	2.260
1047000	2.270	2.670	63.1	1047000	2.270	2.670	63.1	1047000	2.270
1057800	2.280	2.680	63.4	1057800	2.280	2.680	63.4	1057800	2.280
1068600	2.290	2.690	63.7	1068600	2.290	2.690	63.7	1068600	2.290
1079400	2.300	2.700	64.0	1079400	2.300	2.700	64.0	1079400	2.300
1090200	2.								

TABLE LXXXIII
 FATIGUE CRACK GROWTH DATA FOR 2144-T851 PLATE
 Constant Load Tests, Stress Ratio = + 1/3
 (F33615-71-0-1571)

2.0 IN. T-1, CN SPEC. NOTCH AIRBART 5.2 INZ									
CYCLES	FATIGUE CRACK LEADING IN.	TOTAL FATIGUE CRACK LEADING IN.	NOTCH FATIGUE CRACK LEADING IN.	PERCENT NOTCH FATIGUE CRACK LEADING IN.	FATIGUE CRACK		TOTAL		PERCENT
					AVG. LENGTH	CRACKED	AVG. LENGTH	CRACKED	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1000	0.185	0.185	0.185	100	0.185	0.185	0.185	100	100
2000	0.245	0.245	0.245	100	0.245	0.245	0.245	100	100
3000	0.305	0.305	0.305	100	0.305	0.305	0.305	100	100
4000	0.365	0.365	0.365	100	0.365	0.365	0.365	100	100
5000	0.425	0.425	0.425	100	0.425	0.425	0.425	100	100
6000	0.485	0.485	0.485	100	0.485	0.485	0.485	100	100
7000	0.545	0.545	0.545	100	0.545	0.545	0.545	100	100
8000	0.605	0.605	0.605	100	0.605	0.605	0.605	100	100
9000	0.665	0.665	0.665	100	0.665	0.665	0.665	100	100
10000	0.725	0.725	0.725	100	0.725	0.725	0.725	100	100
11000	0.785	0.785	0.785	100	0.785	0.785	0.785	100	100
12000	0.845	0.845	0.845	100	0.845	0.845	0.845	100	100
13000	0.905	0.905	0.905	100	0.905	0.905	0.905	100	100
14000	0.965	0.965	0.965	100	0.965	0.965	0.965	100	100
15000	1.025	1.025	1.025	100	1.025	1.025	1.025	100	100
16000	1.085	1.085	1.085	100	1.085	1.085	1.085	100	100
17000	1.145	1.145	1.145	100	1.145	1.145	1.145	100	100
18000	1.205	1.205	1.205	100	1.205	1.205	1.205	100	100
19000	1.265	1.265	1.265	100	1.265	1.265	1.265	100	100
20000	1.325	1.325	1.325	100	1.325	1.325	1.325	100	100
21000	1.385	1.385	1.385	100	1.385	1.385	1.385	100	100
22000	1.445	1.445	1.445	100	1.445	1.445	1.445	100	100
23000	1.505	1.505	1.505	100	1.505	1.505	1.505	100	100
24000	1.565	1.565	1.565	100	1.565	1.565	1.565	100	100
25000	1.625	1.625	1.625	100	1.625	1.625	1.625	100	100
26000	1.685	1.685	1.685	100	1.685	1.685	1.685	100	100
27000	1.745	1.745	1.745	100	1.745	1.745	1.745	100	100
28000	1.805	1.805	1.805	100	1.805	1.805	1.805	100	100
29000	1.865	1.865	1.865	100	1.865	1.865	1.865	100	100
30000	1.925	1.925	1.925	100	1.925	1.925	1.925	100	100
31000	1.985	1.985	1.985	100	1.985	1.985	1.985	100	100
32000	2.045	2.045	2.045	100	2.045	2.045	2.045	100	100
33000	2.105	2.105	2.105	100	2.105	2.105	2.105	100	100
34000	2.165	2.165	2.165	100	2.165	2.165	2.165	100	100
35000	2.225	2.225	2.225	100	2.225	2.225	2.225	100	100
36000	2.285	2.285	2.285	100	2.285	2.285	2.285	100	100
37000	2.345	2.345	2.345	100	2.345	2.345	2.345	100	100
38000	2.405	2.405	2.405	100	2.405	2.405	2.405	100	100
39000	2.465	2.465	2.465	100	2.465	2.465	2.465	100	100
40000	2.525	2.525	2.525	100	2.525	2.525	2.525	100	100
41000	2.585	2.585	2.585	100	2.585	2.585	2.585	100	100
42000	2.645	2.645	2.645	100	2.645	2.645	2.645	100	100
43000	2.705	2.705	2.705	100	2.705	2.705	2.705	100	100
44000	2.765	2.765	2.765	100	2.765	2.765	2.765	100	100
45000	2.825	2.825	2.825	100	2.825	2.825	2.825	100	100
46000	2.885	2.885	2.885	100	2.885	2.885	2.885	100	100
47000	2.945	2.945	2.945	100	2.945	2.945	2.945	100	100
48000	3.005	3.005	3.005	100	3.005	3.005	3.005	100	100
49000	3.065	3.065	3.065	100	3.065	3.065	3.065	100	100
50000	3.125	3.125	3.125	100	3.125	3.125	3.125	100	100
51000	3.185	3.185	3.185	100	3.185	3.185	3.185	100	100
52000	3.245	3.245	3.245	100	3.245	3.245	3.245	100	100
53000	3.305	3.305	3.305	100	3.305	3.305	3.305	100	100
54000	3.365	3.365	3.365	100	3.365	3.365	3.365	100	100
55000	3.425	3.425	3.425	100	3.425	3.425	3.425	100	100
56000	3.485	3.485	3.485	100	3.485	3.485	3.485	100	100
57000	3.545	3.545	3.545	100	3.545	3.545	3.545	100	100
58000	3.605	3.605	3.605	100	3.605	3.605	3.605	100	100
59000	3.665	3.665	3.665	100	3.665	3.665	3.665	100	100
60000	3.725	3.725	3.725	100	3.725	3.725	3.725	100	100
61000	3.785	3.785	3.785	100	3.785	3.785	3.785	100	100
62000	3.845	3.845	3.845	100	3.845	3.845	3.845	100	100
63000	3.905	3.905	3.905	100	3.905	3.905	3.905	100	100
64000	3.965	3.965	3.965	100	3.965	3.965	3.965	100	100
65000	4.025	4.025	4.025	100	4.025	4.025	4.025	100	100
66000	4.085	4.085	4.085	100	4.085	4.085	4.085	100	100
67000	4.145	4.145	4.145	100	4.145	4.145	4.145	100	100
68000	4.205	4.205	4.205	100	4.205	4.205	4.205	100	100
69000	4.265	4.265	4.265	100	4.265	4.265	4.265	100	100
70000	4.325	4.325	4.325	100	4.325	4.325	4.325	100	100
71000	4.385	4.385	4.385	100	4.385	4.385	4.385	100	100
72000	4.445	4.445	4.445	100	4.445	4.445	4.445	100	100
73000	4.505	4.505	4.505	100	4.505	4.505	4.505	100	100
74000	4.565	4.565	4.565	100	4.565	4.565	4.565	100	100
75000	4.625	4.625	4.625	100	4.625	4.625	4.625	100	100
76000	4.685	4.685	4.685	100	4.685	4.685	4.685	100	100
77000	4.745	4.745	4.745	100	4.745	4.745	4.745	100	100
78000	4.805	4.805	4.805	100	4.805	4.805	4.805	100	100
79000	4.865	4.865	4.865	100	4.865	4.865	4.865	100	100
80000	4.925	4.925	4.925	100	4.925	4.925	4.925	100	100
81000	4.985	4.985	4.985	100	4.985	4.985	4.985	100	100
82000	5.045	5.045	5.045	100	5.045	5.045	5.045	100	100
83000	5.105	5.105	5.105	100	5.105	5.105	5.105	100	100
84000	5.165	5.165	5.165	100	5.165	5.165	5.165	100	100
85000	5.225	5.225	5.225	100	5.225	5.225	5.225	100	100
86000	5.285	5.285	5.285	100	5.285	5.285	5.285	100	100
87000	5.345	5.345	5.345	100	5.345	5.345	5.345	100	100
88000	5.405	5.405	5.405	100	5.405	5.405	5.405	100	100
89000	5.465	5.465	5.465	100	5.465	5.465	5.465	100	100
90000	5.525	5.525	5.525	100	5.525	5.525	5.525	100	100
91000	5.585	5.585	5.585	100	5.585	5.585	5.585	100	100
92000	5.645	5.645	5.645	100	5.645	5.645	5.645	100	100
93000	5.705	5.705	5.705	100	5.705	5.705	5.705	100	100
94000	5.765	5.765	5.765	100	5.765	5.765	5.765	100	100
95000	5.825	5.825	5.825	100	5.825	5.825	5.825	100	100
96000	5.885	5.885	5.885	100	5.885	5.885	5.885	100	100
97000	5.945	5.945	5.945	100	5.945	5.945	5.945	100	100
98000	6.005	6.005	6.005	100	6.005	6.005	6.005	100	100
99000	6.065	6.065	6.065	100	6.065	6.065	6.065	100	100
100000	6.125	6.125	6.125	100	6.125	6.125	6.125	100	100

NOTES: CN = Center Notch Specimen, Fig. 23.
 Crack lengths are average readings on front and back surface;
 total notch length includes machined flaw of 0.20 in.
 CT = Compact Tension Crack Growth Specimen, Fig. 24.
 Crack lengths are measured from load line.
 T = Specimen thickness.

REFERENCES

1. G. W. Stickley and D. J. Brownhill, "Mechanical Properties of Stress-Relieved Stretched Aluminum Alloy Plate", Technical Report AFML-TDR-64-105, May, 1964.
2. J. G. Kaufman, G. E. Nordmark and B. W. Lifka, "Fracture Toughness, Fatigue and Corrosion Characteristics of 7075-T651, 7075-T7351 and 7079-T651 Aluminum Alloys", Technical Report AFML-TR-65-170, May, 1965.
3. J. G. Kaufman, G. E. Nordmark and B. W. Lifka, "Fracture Toughness, Fatigue and Corrosion Characteristics of 2020-T651, 2024-T851, 2219-T851 and 7001-T75 Aluminum Alloys", Technical Report AFML-TR-66-291, September, 1966.
4. D. J. Brownhill, R. E. Davies and D. O. Sprowls, "Mechanical Properties, Including Fracture Toughness and Fatigue, and Resistance to Stress Corrosion Cracking, of Stress-Relieved Stretched Aluminum Alloy Extrusions", Technical Report AFML-TR-68-34, February, 1968.
5. D. J. Brownhill, C. F. Babilon, G. E. Nordmark and D. O. Sprowls, "Mechanical Properties Including Fracture Toughness and Fatigue, Corrosion Characteristics and Fatigue Crack Propagation Rates of Stress-Relieved Aluminum Alloy Hand Forgings", Technical Report AFML-TR-70-10, February, 1970.
6. Military Standardization Handbook, Metallic Materials and Elements for Aerospace Vehicle Structures, Volume 1, September 1, 1971.
7. "Aluminum Standards and Data", The Aluminum Association, 1972-73.
8. "Federal Test Method Standard No. 151b", November 1967.
9. "Standard Methods of Verification of Testing Machines, E4-64", 1972 Book of ASTM Standards, Part 31.
10. "Standard Methods of Tension Testing of Metallic Materials, E8-68", 1972 Book of ASTM Standards, Part 31.
11. "Standard Methods of Compression Testing of Metallic Materials, E9-67", 1972 Book of ASTM Standards, Part 31.
12. R. E. Davies and J. G. Kaufman, "Effects of Test Method and Specimen Orientation on Shear Strengths of Aluminum Alloys", ASTM Proceedings, Vol. 64, 1964, pp. 999-1010.
13. "Standard Method for Pin-Type Bearing Test of Metallic Materials, E238-68", 1972 Book of ASTM Standards, Part 31.
14. "Standard Method for Determination of Young's Modulus at Room Temperature, E111-61", 1972 Book of ASTM Standards, Part 31.

REFERENCES

(Continued)

15. "Standard Method of Verification and Classification of Extensometers, E83-67", 1972 Book of ASTM Standards, Part 31.
16. D. P. Moon and W. S. Hyler, "MIL_HDBK-5 Guidelines for Presentation of Data", Technical Report AFML-TR-66-386, February, 1967.
17. "Standard Method of Test for Plane-Strain Fracture Toughness of Metallic Materials, E399-72", 1972 Book of ASTM Standards, Part 31.
18. J. G. Kaufman and A. H. Knoll, "Kahn-Type Tear Tests and Crack Toughness of Aluminum Sheet," Materials Research and Standards, Vol. 4, No. 4, April 1964, pp. 151-155.
19. "Fracture Testing of High Strength Sheet Materials", ASTM Bulletin No. 243, January 1960, p. 29; also No. 244, February 1960, p. 18.
20. C. E. Fedderson, "Evaluation and Prediction of the Residual Strength of Center-Cracked Tension Panels," Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, Ohio (presented at the ASTM Annual Meeting, Toronto, Canada, June, 1970).
21. R. H. Heyer and D. F. McCabe, "Plane Stress Fracture Toughness Testing Using a Crack-Line Loaded Specimen", Progress Report for Meeting of Subcommittee I of ASTM Committee E24, March 26, 1969; National Symposium of Fracture Mechanics, Lehigh University, August, 1969.
22. C. E. Jasko, C. E. Fedderson and K. B. Davies, "Analysis of Fatigue, Fatigue-Crack Propagation and Fracture Data," Quarterly Progress Report, May 19, 1972, sponsored by NASA Langley Research Center.
23. W. F. Brown, Jr. and J. E. Srawley, "Plane Strain Crack Toughness Testing of High Strength Metallic Materials", ASTM STP No. 410, 1967.
24. W. G. Clark, Jr., ASTM E24.4, "Fatigue Crack Growth Rate Testing Round Robin Program", Task Group Progress Report No. 3, May 14, 1971.
25. "Standard Method of Test for Exfoliation Corrosion Susceptibility in 7XXX Series Copper-Containing Aluminum Alloys (EXCO Tests)", G34-72, 1972 Book of ASTM Standards, Part 31.

REFERENCES

(Continued)

26. B. F. Brown, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C., "Stress-Corrosion Cracking in High Strength Steels and in Titanium and Aluminum Alloys", 1972.
M. V. Hyatt and M. O. Speidel, "High Strength Aluminum Alloys", ARPA Order No. 878.
27. C. L. Harmsworth, "Evaluation of Landing Gears Fabrication from 7175-T736 and 7049-T73 Aluminum Alloys", May 25, 1972.
28. R. E. Jones, "Fracture Toughness and Fatigue Crack Growth Properties of 7175-T736 Aluminum Alloy Forgings at Several Temperatures", Technical Report AFML-TR-72-1, February, 1972.
29. K. J. Oswalt, "Engineering Property Evaluation of 7049-T73 Die Forged Material", Northrop Corporation Aircraft Division Report, March 5, 1971.
30. O. L. Deel and H. Mindlin, "Engineering Data on New and Emerging Structural Materials", Technical Report AFML-TR-70-252, October 1970.
31. R. R. Cervay, "Engineering Design Data for Aluminum Alloy 7475 in the T761 and T61 Condition", Technical Report AFML-TR-72-173, September 1972.
32. D. Y. Wang, "Plane-Stress Fracture Toughness and Fatigue Crack Propagation of Aluminum Alloy Wide Panels; Douglas Aircraft Company Paper 6054.
33. D. E. McCabe and R. H. Heyer, "Test Method -- Fracture Toughness Measured by Crack Growth Resistance; Armco-Research and Technology Report, February 25, 1971.
34. C. N. Freed, A. M. Sullivan and J. Stoop, "Crack-Growth Resistance Characteristics of High Strength Sheet Alloys", Naval Research Laboratory Report 7374, January 31, 1972.
35. A. F. Liu and J. M. Van Orden, "Evaluation of X7475-T61 Clad Sheet", Lockheed California Company, Report LR-24951, February, 1972.

REFERENCES

(Concluded)

36. G. E. Nordmark and J. G. Kaufman, "Fatigue-Crack Propagation Characteristics of Aluminum Alloys in Thick Sections," *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, Vol. 4, pp. 193-204, 1972.
37. C. F. Lewis, "Statistics - A Useful Tool for the Examination of Corrosion Data", *Corrosion*, 9, No. 1, 38 (1953) January.
38. B. W. Lifka and D. O. Sprowls, "Stress Corrosion Testing", ASTM Special Technical Publication No. 425, 342 (1967).

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	
Aluminum Company of America		UNCLASSIFIED	
		2b. GROUP	
3. REPORT TITLE			
Mechanical Properties, Fracture Toughness, Fatigue, Environmental Fatigue Crack Growth Rates and Corrosion Characteristics of High-Toughness Aluminum Alloy Forgings, Sheet and Plate.			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)			
Final May 1971 - February 1973			
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial)			
C. F. Babilon, R. H. Wygonik, G. E. Nordmark and B.W. Lifka			
6. REPORT DATE		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	7b. NO. OF REFS
			38
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.		9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
F33615-71-C-1571		--	
b. PROJECT NO.		9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
7381		AFML-TR-73-83	
c. 738106			
d.			
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES			
Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY	
None		Air Force Materials Laboratory(MAAE) Air Force Systems Command Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio	
13. ABSTRACT			
<p>The mechanical properties, including toughness and fatigue, fatigue crack growth rates and corrosion characteristics have been determined for a total of 56 lots of 7049-T73 and 7175-T736 forgings, 7475-T61 and T761 sheet, and 2124-T851 plate. Supplemental data for bare and Alclad 7475 sheet and 2124-T851 plate are also presented.</p> <p>Tables of computed design mechanical properties and typical stress-strain and compressive tangent modulus curves were prepared.</p> <p>The plane-strain stress-intensity factor, K_{Ic}, was determined for the forging and plate samples and the critical stress-intensity factor, K_c, determined for the sheet samples.</p> <p>Log-mean fatigue lives were calculated from tests made in ambient air. Axial-stress fatigue tests were also made in a salt fog environment.</p> <p>The rates of fatigue crack propagation of these products generally do not vary significantly with specimen orientation. Humid and salt fog environments increased the rate of fatigue crack propagation for most specimens. Propagation is slower in 2124-T851 plate than for 2024-T851 plate but rates for sheet alloys 7475-T61, 7475-T761 and Alclad 7475-T61 are essentially equivalent as are rates for 7175-T736 and 7075-T7352 hand forgings.</p> <p>The 7175-T736 forgings, 7475-T761 sheet and 2124-T851 plate have a high resistance to exfoliation while the 7049-T73 forging and the 7475-T61 sheet show some susceptibility to exfoliation. All of the materials are resistant to stress corrosion exfoliation. All of the materials are resistant to stress corrosion cracking when stressed in the longitudinal and long-transverse grain direction. The resistance to SCC in the short-transverse direction of all the materials is representative of the respective alloys and tempers.</p>			

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
7049 Crack Propagation 7175 Stress-Corrosion 7475 Exfoliation 2124 Aluminum Die Forgings Hand Forgings Sheet Plate Tensile Compressive Shear Bearing Fracture-Toughness Fatigue						

INSTRUCTIONS

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY: Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (*corporate author*) issuing the report.

2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION: Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. GROUP: Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. REPORT TITLE: Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES: If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. AUTHOR(S): Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. REPORT DATE: Enter the date of the report as day, month, year; or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES: The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. NUMBER OF REFERENCES: Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER: If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. PROJECT NUMBER: Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S): Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S): If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (*either by the originator or by the sponsor*), also enter this number(s).

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES: Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through _____."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through _____."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through _____."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES: Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY: Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (*paying for*) the research and development. Include address.

13. ABSTRACT: Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. KEY WORDS: Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.