

AD-771 918

HEAT EXCHANGER CORROSION TESTS. PHASE
II

Oscar Oldberg

Army Mobility Equipment Research and
Development Center
Fort Belvoir, Virginia

July 1973

DISTRIBUTED BY:

NTIS

National Technical Information Service
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

AD 771918

(1)

U.S. ARMY MOBILITY EQUIPMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER
FORT BELVOIR, VIRGINIA

HEAT EXCHANGER CORROSION TESTS
PHASE II

D D C
RECEIVED
DEC 27 1973
RECEIVED

C
for

NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE
1701 Eisenhower Avenue
Springfield, VA 22151

PREPARED BY
OSCAR OLDBERG
ENVIRONMENTAL EQUIPMENT DIVISION
MECHANICAL TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT
U.S. ARMY MOBILITY EQUIPMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

JULY 1973

HEAT EXCHANGER CORROSION TESTS

PHASE II

INTRODUCTION

A Phase I corrosion test program covering brazed aluminum heat exchanger coils used in military environmental control units (ECU) was completed in 1968. This was prompted by field failures of condensers that were particularly prevalent in coastal areas with atmospheres of high salt content expectancy.

This field exposure study was conducted in the Panama Canal Zone making use of a test console as shown in Figure 1. It provided means of mounting twelve pressurized heat exchangers, with fans to draw equal air volumes thru each at approximately 800 ft/min. face velocity. Through the use of special materials and protective coatings the test life before leakage occurred was increased from an initial two months to twenty two months for this high salt atmosphere. (Report AD-656617, Defense Documentation Center).

The trend of heat exchanger design has been to the fin and tube type for the military ECU's because of cost and reliability factors. It was decided to continue a Phase II corrosion program for the fin and tube design, particularly because of the increase in the use of all aluminum construction commercially and its possible military application. This information is also useful in evaluating the differences in the two basic heat exchanger types when operating under similar exposure conditions. Accordingly the installation, tests, and observations were continued by the Tropic Test Center of the U.S. Army Test and Evaluation Command.



SELECTION OF TEST SITE

The test site location remained the same as for Phase I, the selection having been made by representatives of USAMERDC (then USAERDL) and U. S. Army Tropic Test Center. The test console was located approximately 300 yards from the Caribbean Beach facing the prevailing wind off the water at Fort Sherman, Canal Zone.

Salt-fall conditions vary throughout the world and are heaviest in oceanic islands and coastal areas which have a range of 25-300 lb/acre/yr¹. Extreme exposure situations produce higher values such as the 4400 lb/acre/yr recorded at the beach breakwater of Fort Sherman approximately 1200 yards from the test site. Salt-fall in the United States is relatively low ranging from 1/2 to 1 lb/acre/yr in the interior portion to approximately 15 lb/acre/yr in the Miami area. Cape Hatteras, N. C. reports 10 lb/acre/yr. Salt-fall at the Fort Sherman heat exchanger test site was relatively heavy at 208 lb/acre/yr based on recordings made in other nearby test sites. Relative humidity ranged from 90 to 100% during night and early morning to 70 to 80 during the daytime. The heat exchanger test site thus represents an accelerated condition for most applications, but provides an exposure representative of heavy salt-fall which would be encountered by some military units in tropical salt environments.

1. "Atmosphere Sea-salts Design Criteria Areas," by William B. Brierly, U.S. Army Natick Laboratories. October 1965



TEST RESULTS

The general appearance and degree of corrosion attack after exposure to about two years or more on the test console was taken as a measure of the corrosion resistance of the various constructions and coatings. These results are shown on page 4 and photographs of the six test coils remaining on the console at the completion are shown in figures 2-7.

There were no corrosion attributable leaks, although one test coil (no. UAT-II) developed a stress-corrosion type leak at a point of tube flaring. For uncoated construction the all-aluminum type 3003 tube 7072 fin showed less attack than the copper tube-aluminum fin construction (figure 8 & 9). Phenolic coatings of approximately .001" thickness improved resistivity considerably, and the all-aluminum sample with this coating still looked good after thirty-one months exposure.

In general, the evidence of electrolytic type corrosion at copper-aluminum contact points was quite evident, to the extent of destruction of considerable fin areas in some cases. It should be noted that although all-aluminum construction showed less corrosion attack for these test heat exchangers under the isolated test conditions, no attempt was made to evaluate the effect of adjacent or nearby dissimilar metals that may be employed in an ECU system.

It was also interesting to note the results obtained with a special construction brazed aluminum plate and fin exchanger with phenolic coating that had been included after completion of Phase I testing. A total of 63 months of exposure testing was compiled and the condition was good at the termination.

TESTS RESULTS

TEST NO.	DESCRIPTION	START DATE	NOV.	MAR.	AUG.	TEST DATE	APPEARANCE AF
A-5	Copper tube (tin plate) alum. fin. coated	NOV. 58	70	71 (Months returned)	71 of	31 Jul 72 (exposure)	Extensive corrosion at face.
A-6	Copper tube alum. fin. coated		X	24 mo. returned			Extensive corrosion. Most grained at face.
F-3	Brazed alum. #100 tube sheet phenolic coated		X	47	52	63	Very good condition
CCTI	Cu. tube-al. fin phenolic sheet		X	13	19	29	Extensive corrosion. Considerable at tube fin joints.
UCT II	Copper tube-al. fin. coated		X	13	19	29	Appearance satisfactory; at first leak where flange port plate.
UCT II	Al. tube al. fin. uncoated		X	13	Remove 19		Condition appropriate on adjacent coil
A-1	Al. tube-al. fin. Heresite coated	Returned 6 mo.		15	21	31.5	
A-4	Al. tube-al. fin. uncoated		X	15	21	31.5	Slight corrosion
A-3	Copper tube - copper fin. uncoated		On test X	4	10	19	Very good condition

TESTS RESULTS

CONCRETE CONDITION DESCRIPTION	START DATE	NOV.	MAR.	AUG.	TEST DATE	APPEARANCE AFTER TEST
Copper tube (tin plate) alum. fin. Coated	Nov. 58	70	71 (Months returned)	71 of	31 Jul 72 (exposure)	Extensive corrosion at tube joints. Some fins disintegrated at face.
Copper tube alum. fin. Coated		X	24 mo. returned			Extensive corrosion at tube joints. Most of fins disintegrated at face.
razed alum. #100 tube sheet phenolic coated		X	47	52	63	Very good condition.
u. tube-al. fin		X	13	19	29	Extensive corrosion at joints.
u. tube-al. fin		X	13	19	29	Considerable corrosion at tube fin joints.
u. tube-al. fin		X	13	Remove 19		Appearance fair, slight leak where flaring port plate.
u. tube-al. fin	Returned 6 mo.	X	15	21	31.5	Condition appears good, corrosion on edge of adjacent coil.
u. tube-al. fin		X	15	21	31.5	slight corrosion at joints.
Copper tube - copper fin. Uncoated		On test X	4	10	19	Condition appears good, some corrosion at joints.

Reproduced from
best available copy.





Figure 2. Condenser UCTII Showing White Oxide Coating



Figure 3. Condenser A4 Showing White Oxide Coating

Reproduced from
best available copy. 





Figure 4. Condenser Al Showing White Waxy Substance and Debris on Fin Surfaces



Figure 5. Condenser ASCI Showing Green Deposits of Aluminum Oxide

Reproduced from
best available copy. 




Figure 6. Condenser F3 Showing White Oxide on Fin Surfaces and Corrosion on Joints




Figure 7. Condenser CCTI Showing White Oxide and Debris Accumulation on Fin Surfaces

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of this test program indicate that all-aluminum condensers may be substituted for the copper tube-aluminum fin construction currently used in military ECU's in the interest of cost savings. However, care should be exercised at the tube joint with any dissimilar metals, which should be protected with a moisture proof external seal.

In the event of a production run of ECU's with all-aluminum condensers, it is recommended that one unit be installed in the Fort Sherman or other suitable Panama area for observation during a two year period.