

AD-782 438

MULTIPATH MEASUREMENTS

Alan H. Greene

Raytheon Company

Prepared for:

Army Missile Command
Advanced Research Projects Agency

21 June 1974

DISTRIBUTED BY:

NTIS

National Technical Information Service
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D		
(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)		
1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Raytheon Company Equipment Development Laboratories Wayland, Massachusetts 01778		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED
		2b. GROUP --
3. REPORT TITLE MULTIPATH MEASUREMENTS		
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Midterm Technical Report 30 April 1974 - 18 June 1974		
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name) Alan H. Greene		
6. REPORT DATE 21 June 1974	7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 39	7b. NO. OF REFS 2
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. DAAH01-74-C-0704	9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) ER74-4221	
b. PROJECT, TASK, WORK UNIT NOS.		
c. DOD ELEMENT	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
d. DOD SUBELEMENT		
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT 		DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A Approved for public release Distribution Unlimited
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Headquarters U. S. Army Missile Command Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809
13. ABSTRACT An outline of the final report to be issued during August 1974 is provided. Major topics to be covered are Multipath Theory, Experimental Measurements, Computer Simulation and Proposed Plans and Schedule for Phases II and III. Included also is material presented at a recent Design Review which describes technical progress to date.		

Security Classification

Unclassified

Security Classification

MIDTERM TECHNICAL REPORT

for

Period 30 April 1974 through 18 June 1974

ER74-4221

21 June 1974

MULTIPATH MEASUREMENTS

Effective Date: 30 April 1974

Completion Date: 15 August 1974

Contract Amount: \$49,988.00


Prepared by

RAYTHEON COMPANY
EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT LABORATORIES
ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT LABORATORY
Wayland, Massachusetts 01778

Project Engineer: A. H. Greene
(617) 358-2721 x2825

Sponsored by

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
ARPA Order No. 2731
Program Code No.



"The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency or the U. S. Government."

Reproduced by
NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE
U. S. Department of Commerce
Springfield, VA 22151

This research was supported by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency of the Department of Defense and was monitored by the U. S. Army Missile Command under Contract Number DAAH01-74-C-0704.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

AD782438

if

134

ABSTRACT

An outline of the final report to be issued during August 1974 is provided. Major topics to be covered are Multipath Theory, Experimental Measurements, Computer Simulation and Proposed Plans and Schedule for Phases II and III. Included also is material presented at a recent Design Review which describes technical progress to date.

Section 1. INTRODUCTION

This is the Midterm Technical Report (CDRL Item A002) on Contract No DAAH01-74-C-0704.

At a meeting held on 28 May 1974, Raytheon was directed by Mr. Sam Uptain, USAMC, and Major John Meinhardt, ARPA, to keep this report to minimum size because of the short program schedule. Specifically, the report is required to contain primarily an outline of the final report (due mid August 1974).

The required outline is provided in Section 2. In addition, to indicate technical accomplishments to date, copies of VuGraphs discussed at the recent Design Review meeting (18 June 1974) are presented in Section 3. The program is currently up to date according to the Project Schedule on the third VuGraph (Figure 3).

Section 2. FINAL REPORT OUTLINE

A preliminary outline for the final report is provided in Table 1. A short description follows for each of the major headings. Currently available details are referred to as they appear on the VuGraphs of Section 3 (Figures 1-34).

2.1 Introduction

Included will be statements of program objectives (Figures 1, 2), and summaries of the experimental concept chosen (Figures 4, 5) and the methods to be used in collecting and analyzing data. Options of varying degrees of sophistication may exist; these will be briefly described.

2.2 Multipath Theory

The advanced multipath theory implemented by the computer simulation will be described briefly utilizing basic material from references 1 and 2. Additional features resulting from the current effort will be carefully discussed (Figures 14-19).

2.3 Experimental Measurement

Trade-off studies of geometry, power levels and hardware options, (Figures 6-12, 32, 34) will be presented and the selected configuration (Figures 28-31, 33) described in some detail. Included will be rationale related to selection of measurement sites and support (balloon, helicopter) for the beacon transmitter (Figures 25-27).

The measurement approach discussion will define types of data to be taken and the progression of recommended sites representing a progression from simple to sophisticated terrain (or water).

The data analysis discussion will be based on the concepts portrayed in Figure 24.

Ref. 1. The Scattering of Electromagnetic Waves from Rough Surfaces by Beckmann and Spizzichino, Pergamon Press, 1963.

Ref. 2. Low Angle Radar Tracking by David K. Barton, IEEE Proceedings, June 1974.

Table 1.
Final Report Outline

Abstract

Section

1. Introduction

Total Program Objective

Phase I Objectives

Brief Description of Experimental Concept

Summary of Data Collection and Analysis Plans including Options

2. Multipath Theory

Classical Theory

Modifications Recently Developed

3. Experimental Measurements

Trade-off Studies

Selected Configuration

Measurement Approach

Data Analysis

4. Computer Simulation

Program Inputs and Outputs

Computational Capabilities

Typical Results

Desirable Future Options

5. Proposed Plans and Schedules for Phases II and III

Appendices

A. Summary Flow Chart and Listing of Computer Simulation Program

B. Equipment Specification

C. Equipment Implementation Plan

D. Measurement Plan

E. Data Analysis Plan

2.4 Computer Simulation

The capabilities of the computer simulation will be described (Figure 13) in some detail and all input and output parameters defined (Figures 18, 20). Typical results applicable to both experimental situations and some tracking conditions will be provided. Some preliminary examples are shown in Figures 21-23. Additional options not currently included, but which would enhance the validity of the simulation, will be recommended for incorporation at a later date.

2.5 Proposed Plans and Schedules

Recommended plans and schedules for gathering and analyzing definitive data (Phases II and III) will be provided. These will include recommendations for electronic and support equipment, such as:

1. receiving antenna, pedestal, receiver, and computational facilities aboard a van or trailer,
2. beacon transmitter, balloon, and tethering equipment.

Also, specific sites will be proposed for measurements. Reasonable schedules for equipment acquisition and assembly and for taking and reducing data will be given.

The specifications and plans in Appendices B-E will provide detailed backup for this section.

2.6 Appendices

Contained herein will be a listing and flow chart to supplement the computer simulation (Section 2.4) as well as the specifications and planning documents developed as part of the Phase I effort.

Section 3. DESIGN REVIEW MATERIAL

At a design review meeting, the material in Figures 1-34 was presented. These figures represent technical progress to date. The figures are organized as follows:

1. Program Objectives and Schedule
Figures 1 to 3
2. Experimental Concept
Figures 4 to 12
3. Multipath Computer Simulation, Theory and Data Analysis
Figures 13 to 24
4. Experimental Configuration including site selection, electronic hardware and support equipment.

Figure 1.

MULTIPATH MEASUREMENTS PROGRAM

TECHNICAL OBJECTIVES

- o REFINE CLASSICAL MULTIPATH THEORY
- o MEASURE TERRAIN AND SEA REFLECTION PHENOMENA
- o QUANTIFY EXISTING THEORY
- o ACCURATELY PREDICT RADAR TRACKING AT SHALLOW GRAZING ANGLES
- o DEVISE TECHNIQUES FOR IMPROVING TRACKING ACCURACY

TOTAL PROGRAM PHASES

- I MEASUREMENTS PROGRAM DESIGN
- II MEASUREMENTS PROGRAM
- III DATA REDUCTION INCLUDING MULTIPATH HANDBOOK

Figure 2.

PHASE I

April 30 - Aug. 15, 1974

DEVELOP MULTIPATH COMPUTER MODEL

- o SIMULATE MEASUREMENT EXPERIMENT
- o SIMULATE TRACKING RADAR

DEFINE MEASUREMENT CONCEPT

- o HARDWARE FOR MEASURING REFLECTION COEFFICIENT
- o SELECT SUITABLE MEASUREMENT SITES

GENERATE SPECIFICATIONS AND PLANS

- o EQUIPMENT
- o MEASUREMENTS
- o DATA ANALYSIS

PROJECT SCHEDULE

Figure 3.





Figure 4.

EXPERIMENTAL CONCEPT

- o RELIABLE AND REPRODUCIBLE DATA NEEDED
 - MINIMIZE EQUIPMENT COMPLEXITY
 - SELECT UNIFORM TERRAIN

- o CANDIDATE MEASUREMENT CONCEPT
 - TETHERED BALLOON - PULSED BEACON
 - WIDE BAND GROUND RECEIVER
 - K_u BAND (≈ 16 GHz) AND X OR C BAND
 - SINGLE NARROW RECEIVE BEAM
 - HOMOGENEOUS TERRAIN - GRASS, DESERT



PICTORIAL OF THE PLANNED EXPERIMENT

Figure 5.

Figure 6.

PLAN VIEW - MULTIPATH MEASUREMENTS

RECEIVE BEAM WIDTH 0.5 DEG

TRANSMIT PULSE WIDTH 1.0 NSEC

$h_r = 10$ FEET $h_t = 1000$ FEET 0.5 DEG BEAM BOUNDARY




Figure 7.




Figure 8.




Figure 9.




Figure 10.




Figure 11.




Figure 12.




Figure 13.

MULTIPATH COMPUTER SIMULATION


OBJECTIVE: MEASURE MULTIPATH RETURNS AND TRACKING
ERRORS

PROGRAM INCLUSIONS

- o MEASUREMENT AND TRACKING MODES
- o EQUIPMENT CONFIGURATIONS
 - o PASSIVE RECEIVER - PULSE BEACON
 - o PASSIVE RECEIVER - CW BEACON
 - o PULSE RADAR - PASSIVE TARGET
 - o CW RADAR - PASSIVE TARGET
- o CURVED EARTH GEOMETRY
- o GROSS TERRAIN FEATURES
- o VEGETATION FACTOR
- o SMALL SCALE SURFACE ROUGHNESS MODIFICATION

Figure 14.

GLISTENING SURFACE DETERMINATION



- o Uniform surface slope distribution: $\beta \leq \beta_0$
- o Gaussian surface slope distribution: $\beta \leq 3\beta_0$, $\sigma = \beta_0$
($\beta_0 \equiv \text{max. absolute slope of surface irregularities}$)

Figure 15.

PATCH POWER DETERMINATION

o Specular Patch Power

$$\Delta P_s = \frac{(\lambda \rho_v R_o D \rho_s)^2 P_T g_T g_R}{(4\pi)^3 (R_1 + R_2)^2} \delta s$$

$$\rho_s = \rho_o(\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3) e^{-g/2}$$

$$\rho_o \sim \begin{cases} 0 & \text{outside 1st few fresnel zones} \\ 1 & \text{as } \psi_1 \rightarrow \psi_2 \text{ and } \psi_3 \rightarrow 0 \end{cases}$$

$$g = \frac{4\pi \sigma_h}{\lambda} (\sin \psi_1 + \sin \psi_2)^2$$




Figure 16.

PATCH POWER DETERMINATION

o Diffuse Patch Power

$$\Delta P_d = \frac{(\lambda \rho_v R_o)^2 P_T \epsilon_T \epsilon_R}{(4\pi)^3 R_1^2 R_2^2} F_d^2(\psi_1, \psi_2) G(\beta, \beta_o) \delta s$$

$$F_d^2(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \sqrt{(1 - \rho_{s1}^2)(1 - \rho_{s2}^2)}$$

$$\rho_{si}^2 = \text{Exp} \left[- \left(\frac{4\pi \sigma_h \sin \psi_i}{\lambda} \right)^2 \right] \quad i = 1, 2$$

$$G(\beta, \beta_o) = \begin{cases} \cot^2(\beta_o) & \text{for } \beta \leq \beta_o \\ 0 & \text{for } \beta > \beta_o \end{cases} \quad (\text{Uniform})$$

$$G(\beta, \beta_o) = \begin{cases} \cot^2(\beta_o) \text{Exp} \left(- \frac{\tan^2 \beta}{\tan^2 \beta_o} \right) & \text{for } \beta \leq 3\beta_o \\ 0 & \text{for } \beta > 3\beta_o \end{cases} \quad (\text{Gaussian})$$

o Total Patch Power

$$\Delta P = \Delta \rho_s + \Delta \rho_d$$

Figure 17.

TOTAL POWER

Option 1:

$$\text{power} = \sum_{\text{all patches}} \Delta P$$

Option 2:

$$\text{voltage} = \sum_{\text{all patches}} (\pm) \sqrt{\Delta P} e^{j \Delta \alpha}$$

$$\Delta \alpha = \frac{2\pi \Delta R}{\lambda} + \phi$$

$$\phi = \text{phase of fresnel reflection coefficient}$$

$$\Delta R = \Delta R_{\max} \text{Ran}(0, 1) + \Delta R_{\min} (1 - \text{Ran}(0, 1))$$

$$\Delta R_{\max} = \Delta R_o + |(\sin \psi_1 - \sin \psi_2 \cos \psi_3) \frac{\Delta x}{2}| \\ + |\sin \psi_2 \sin \psi_3 \frac{\Delta y}{2}| + |\cos \psi_1 + \cos \psi_2| c_h$$

$$\Delta R_{\min} = \text{Max}(0, \Delta R_o - \Delta R_{\max})$$

$$\Delta R_o = \text{Path difference at center of patch}$$

$$\text{Power} = |\text{VOLTAGE}|^2$$

Figure 18.

PROGRAM INPUTS

- o PEAK POWER AND ANTENNA GAIN OF TRANSMITTER
- o RECEIVING ANTENNA PATTERN AND GAIN
- *o $\Delta x, \Delta y$
- o RECEIVER/TRANSMITTER HEIGHTS
- o RECEIVER/TRANSMITTER GROUND RANGE
- o PULSE SHAPE
- o FREQUENCY
- o NOISE FIGURE
- o BEAMWIDTH/POINTING DIRECTIONS
- *o SURFACE STATISTICS

Figure 19.

SURFACE STATISTICS

- o SMALL SCALE ROUGHNESS
 - o SURFACE DISTRIBUTION
 - o MEAN SURFACE HEIGHT = 0
 - o SURFACE HEIGHT VARIANCE (σ_h^2)
 - o CORRELATION DISTANCE (T)
 - o MAX ABSOLUTE SLOPE OF SURFACE IRREGULARITIES
$$(\theta_o = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2\sigma_h}{T} \right))$$
 - o ELECTRICAL PROPERTIES OF TERRAIN
 - o DIALECTRIC CONSTANT
 - o CONDUCTIVITY
 - o GROSS TERRAIN FEATURES
 - o LOCATION wrt RECEIVER
 - o MEAN HEIGHT PER PATCH
 - o MEAN x & y SLOPES PER PATCH

Figure 20.

PROGRAM OUTPUT

- o MEASUREMENT MODE
 - o DIRECT POWER RETURN
 - o REFLECTED POWER RETURN FOR EACH SCAN BEAM POSITION
 - o REFLECTED POWER RETURN AS A FUNCTION OF DOWN RANGE AND CROSS RANGE DISTANCES
 - o TRACKING MODE
 - o ELEVATION ERROR VS GROUND RANGE
 - o AZIMUTH
 - o RANGE
- ERRORS AS TIME PERMITS

Figure 21.




Figure 22.




Figure 23.




Figure 24.

DATA ANALYSIS




Figure 25.

SITE SELECTION

A. Uniform terrain

1. Flat cement
2. Sand
3. Grass
4. Water (calm)

B. Non-uniform terrain

1. Hills, hummocks, etc.
2. Rough sea
3. Mixed terrain features

C. Air space usage

1. Regions restricted against air traffic
2. Regions with minimal air traffic
3. Airports and Air Force bases
(Grenier, Westover, Otis)

Figure 26.

SUPPORT FOR TRANSMITTER

A. Towers, tall buildings

Advantages:

1. Transmitter position firmly fixed
2. No wind or weather limitations
3. Operation night or day
4. Low cost

Disadvantages:

1. Terrain restricted to specific locations
2. Severe altitude restriction
3. Possible unplanned multipath

B. Helicopter

Advantages:

1. Can be taken anywhere
2. Any reasonable altitude readily obtained
3. Tether not required

Disadvantages:

1. Probably not practical to hover in one spot
2. May be difficult to determine altitude
3. Requires patient cooperative pilot
4. Requires reliable ground-to-air communications
5. Severe wind and weather limitations
6. Operation only in daylight
7. High cost

C. Tethered balloon

Advantages:

1. Can be taken anywhere
2. Position in space can be fairly firmly fixed
3. Altitudes as high as we will want are attainable
4. Can probably be used in fairly severe weather
5. Can probably be used at night, if required
6. Moderate cost

Disadvantages:

1. Tether(s) required
2. May be difficult to handle under some conditions
3. FAA requirements for banners on the tether lines in daylight and lights at night, except in restricted locations
4. Provisions, equipment, and manpower required for storing, inflating, launching, and maneuvering

Figure 27.

A FEW CONSIDERATIONS
RELATING TO
THE TETHERED BALLOON


A. Shape

1. Spherical
2. Aerodynamic, e.g., elongated, with fins (Kytoon)
3. Vee-balloon (Goodyear)

B. Accessory equipment

1. Tether line(s)
2. Banners, lights
3. Winch
4. Tie-down hardware for supplemental tethers
5. Helium tanks; inflating equipment
6. Instruments to determine balloon position
 - a. three theodolites
 - b. transit
 - c. laser radar
 - d. tether angle sensor



Figure 28.



Total power consumption: 100 milliwatts

Weight (excluding battery and antenna): 12 ounces


AIRBORNE (TRANSMITTER) ELECTRONICS





FLAT DISK ANTENNA
(Vertical polarization)

TRIPLE DIPOLE ANTENNA
(Horizontal polarization)

Figure 29.









Balloon Height (h_t)	1000'	2000'
Direct Path	20024.4875	20098.7587
Shortest (Specular) Reflected Path	20025.4863	20100.7487
Longest Reflected Path	21000.0025	22000.0025

1 nanosecond $\rightarrow$ 1 foot (for one-way path)



RECEIVER PATH GEOMETRY

Figure 32.



GROUND RECEIVING EQUIPMENT

Figure 33.

Figure 34.

DIRECT PATH SIGNAL RECEPTION

18" parabolic antenna: gain = 36 dB

Signal level = -46 dbm

Bandwidth = 1 GHz

KTB = -84 dbm

Noise figure = 12 dB

Noise level = -72 dbm

Hence, the signal is 26 dB above noise.

TWT gain = 46 dB; therefore, the signal at the detector = 0 dbm.

Detector sensitivity = 0.3 mV/ μ W $\therefore$ trigger signal = 0.3 volt.

REFLECTED PATH SIGNAL RECEPTION

9' parabolic antenna: gain = 50 dB

Assume 30 dB reflection loss

Signal level = -62 dbm

Bandwidth = 1GHz

KTB = -84 dbm

Noise figure = 8 dB

Noise level = -76 dbm

Hence, the signal is 14 dB above noise. Video integration may be used to improve the S/N ratio.

First TWT gain = 30 dB; assume 4 dB filter loss; second TWT gain = 46 dB.

The net gain is 72 dB; therefore, the signal at the detector is +10 dbm.

The noise level at the detector is -4 dbm. Both levels may be reduced with no S/N penalty by reducing the gain of the second TWT.