

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD803204

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. Document partially illegible.

FROM:

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Critical Technology; OCT 1966. Other requests shall be referred to Air Force Technical Applications Center, Washigton, DC 20330. Document partially illegible. This document contains export-controlled technical data.

AUTHORITY

usaf ltr, 25 Jan 1972

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

803204

TR 66-96

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 66-96

QUARTERLY REPORT NO. 5

PROJECT VT/5051, DEEP-WELL RESEARCH

THIS DOCUMENT IS SUBJECT TO SPECIAL
EXPORT CONTROLS AND EACH TRANSMITTAL
TO FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS OR FOREIGN
NATIONAL MAY BE MADE ONLY WITH PRIOR
APPROVAL OF CHIEF, AFTAC.



TELEDYNE INDUSTRIES
GEOTECH DIVISION

GARLAND

TEXAS

**BEST
AVAILABLE COPY**

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 66-96
QUARTERLY REPORT NO. 5
PROJECT VT/5051, DEEP-WELL RESEARCH

by

Richard M. Shappee
Eduard J. Douze

Sponsored by

Advanced Research Projects Agency
Nuclear Test Detection Office
ARPA Order No. 624

Availability

Qualified users may request copies
of this document from:

Defense Documentation Center
Cameron Station
Alexandria, Virginia 22341

TELEDYNE INDUSTRIES
GEOTECH DIVISION
3401 Shiloh Road
Garland, Texas

15 October 1966

BLANK PAGE

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ABSTRACT	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. ASSEMBLE AND OPERATE TRIAXIAL-ARRAY SYSTEM, TASK 1e	1
3. CONTINUE DEVELOPING TECHNIQUES FOR IMPROVING THE SIGNAL-TO-NOISE RATIO, TASK 1f (1)	3
4. PROVIDE AND TEST AN ON-LINE SIGNAL PROCESSOR, TASK 1f (2)	10
APPENDIX - Amendment to statement of work	

ILLUSTRATIONS

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Listing of data	2
2	Deep-hole data processing techniques	4
3a	Spectrum of the surface noise, AP-OK	5
3b	Spectrum of the noise after optimum filtering using seismometer at 1063 m	6
3c	Spectrum of the noise after optimum filtering using seismometer at 1983 m	7
3d	Spectrum of the noise after optimum filtering using seismometer at 2897 m	8
4	Comparison of the optimum filters calculated in time and frequency domains	9

ABSTRACT

A vertical-array of four triaxial short-period seismographs was operated at Apache, Oklahoma. The outputs of the seismographs were digitally recorded.

Single-channel optimum filters were developed and tested off line. Deghosting filters were used in conjunction with the optimum filters.

BLANK PAGE

QUARTERLY REPORT NO. 5
PROJECT VT/5051, DEEP-WELL RESEARCH

1. INTRODUCTION

This report discusses a project in deep-hole seismology. The work reported herein includes the development and operation of a short-period triaxial seismometer array, development of digital analysis techniques, and expansion of the digital field system to include computational capability.

The purpose of this report is to present the technical accomplishments of this project for the period from 1 July 1966 through 30 September 1966; it is submitted in compliance with paragraph 2, Reports, of the Amended Statement of Work to be Done, AFTAC Project Authorization No. VELA T/5051 dated 19 May 1965. The project is under the technical direction of the Air Force Technical Applications Center (AFTAC) and under the overall direction of the Advanced Research Projects Agency (ARPA).

The main body of the report is presented in the same sequence as the tasks in the Amendment to the Statement of Work. The Statement of Work of Amendment No. 6 is included as an appendix to this report.

2. ASSEMBLE AND OPERATE TRIAXIAL-ARRAY SYSTEM, TASK 1e

The triaxial-array system operated throughout the reporting period. Some changes were made in the system to adapt it to requirements of the digital system. The gain of the summing amplifiers was increased to give larger excursion of the digitizer. Another change was the incorporation of a Signal Isolator, Model 6722-A to remove dc offsets from the summation traces. The remaining change was to incorporate provisions to easily adjust the level of the analog signals from the 16-channel digital-to-analog converter. This last change has not been completed because the supplier of the D-A has not made delivery.

During the quarter, a computer program was written for on-site use to list recorded data in integer form. Figure 1 shows the presentation of the data which results from this program. The program has greatly increased the precision of calibration of the seismographs.

SITE=APOK DAY= 235 HOUR= 23 MIN.= 2 SEC.= 39 FILE=62 RECORD=4

CH. 1	CH. 2	CH. 3	CH. 4	CH. 5	CH. 6	CH. 7	CH. 8	CH. 9	CH. 10	CH. 11	CH. 12	CH. 13	CH. 14	CH. 15	CH. 16
155	7	9	2	7 -	2 -	3	3	9 -	6 -	4	4	5	Ø	8 -	3
158	7	1Ø	3	5	Ø -	1	2	9 -	5 -	5	4	7	2	11 -	5
163	1Ø	11	2	6	Ø -	Ø	2	9 -	6 -	4	5	8	3	11 -	5
163	9	11	1	5	1 -	1	3	7 -	8 -	5	5	8	2	11 -	5
169	11	14	2	6	2 -	1	4	8 -	7 -	4	5	8	2	12 -	5
166	12	15	1	7	1 -	1	4	9 -	7 -	4	4	8	2	12 -	6
162	13	13 -	Ø	8	1 -	1	2	9 -	7 -	6	4	8	2	12 -	7
167	12	1Ø -	1	7	1 -	1	2	8 -	6 -	7	3	8	2	12 -	7
168	8	1Ø	Ø	6	Ø -	1	2	8 -	5 -	6	4	8	2	12 -	6
167	1Ø	14	3	6	Ø -	Ø	3	8 -	4 -	6	5	7	2	12 -	6
159	9	14	5	5	Ø -	Ø	3	9 -	4 -	5	5	7	2	12 -	6
163	8	13	5	4	1 -	Ø	4	8 -	4 -	5	5	7	2	12 -	6
17Ø	7	1Ø	6	4	1 -	1	3	7 -	5 -	4	5	7	3	13 -	6
18Ø	11	1Ø	4	4	1 -	1	3	7 -	5 -	4	5	7	4	14 -	6
176	14	11	2	5	2 -	Ø	2	7 -	5 -	5	5	7	5	14 -	7
183	17	15	4	5	2	1	2	8 -	5 -	5	5	7	6	14 -	6
174	16	18	3	4	1	2	3	9 -	5 -	4	4	7	6	13 -	6
161	13	18	1	4	Ø	2	2	9 -	5 -	5	4	7	6	13 -	6
169	11	16	Ø	4 -	Ø	2	2	9 -	5 -	6	4	6	5	13 -	6
175	11	14	Ø	5	Ø	2	2	8 -	5 -	6	5	7	4	14 -	6
17Ø	1Ø	1Ø	2	5	Ø	Ø	1	8 -	5 -	7	7	6	3	13 -	6
155	1Ø	9	4	3	1	Ø	Ø	7 -	5 -	7	8	5	4	13 -	6
154	13	11	5	3	2	1	Ø	8 -	5 -	6	9	5	5	13 -	7
144	13	12	6	3	2	1	1	9 -	6 -	5	9	5	5	13 -	8
143	11	13	4	3	1	1	1	1Ø -	6 -	6	8	5	5	13 -	8
142	12	13	3	3	2	2	Ø	1Ø -	6 -	6	8	5	5	14 -	8
156	12	13	4	2	2	2 -	Ø	1Ø -	5 -	6	7	5	5	14 -	8
174	12	14	3	2	2	2 -	Ø	11 -	5 -	6	7	6	5	14 -	7
178	11	11	3	2	2	1 -	Ø	1Ø -	5 -	5	6	6	5	14 -	6
179	11	1Ø	4	1	2	2 -	2	1Ø -	5 -	5	7	6	5	14 -	5
185	12	9	4	2	2	2 -	1	9 -	6 -	5	7	6	5	14 -	5
193	14	1Ø	4	3	2	2 -	1	9 -	7 -	5	7	6	5	14 -	5
185	13	7	3	3	2	Ø -	Ø	8 -	7 -	6	7	6	4	14 -	5
196	14	7	3	3	2	Ø	Ø	8 -	7 -	6	7	6	4	14 -	5
183	14	9	Ø	3	2	Ø	Ø	9 -	8 -	6	7	5	5	14 -	5
188	14	9	Ø	3	Ø	Ø	Ø	1Ø -	8 -	6	7	6	6	14 -	5
189	13	11 -	Ø	3	Ø	Ø	Ø	1Ø -	8 -	6	6	7	7	14 -	5
184	11	1Ø -	2	3 -	Ø	1 -	Ø	9 -	9 -	6	5	6	6	13 -	5
183	11	6 -	3	3 -	Ø	1 -	1	9 -	8 -	6	4	6	5	13 -	5
196	12	3 -	1	3	Ø	Ø -	1	7 -	8 -	5	5	6	5	14 -	5
184	11	2	2	3	Ø	Ø -	1	7 -	7 -	5	5	6	5	13 -	5
162	9	2	3	3 -	1	Ø -	1	6 -	6 -	5	6	5	4	13 -	6
162	1Ø	5	2	3 -	1	1	Ø	7 -	6 -	5	6	5	4	13 -	6
16Ø	1Ø	6	Ø	3 -	1	Ø	Ø	7 -	6 -	5	6	5	5	13 -	6
159	1Ø	6	Ø	3 -	Ø -	Ø	Ø	8 -	6 -	7	5	5	5	13 -	6
148	1Ø	8 -	1	3 -	Ø -	Ø	2	8 -	6 -	6	4	4	5	11 -	5
138	9	1Ø -	2	2 -	1	Ø	2	9 -	6 -	6	3	5	5	11 -	6
137	6	8 -	2	2 -	2	Ø	1	8 -	5 -	7	2	5	5	11 -	6
142	6	5 -	2	3 -	3	Ø	1	6 -	5 -	6	3	5	4	11 -	6
139	5	2 -	2	4 -	3 -	Ø	1	6 -	6 -	6	3	4	3	1Ø -	5

Figure 1. Listing of data

3. CONTINUE DEVELOPING TECHNIQUES FOR IMPROVING THE SIGNAL-TO-NOISE RATIO, TASK II (1)

Signal-channel optimum filters have been calculated with the technique that uses deghosting filters. The processing scheme shown in Figure 2a was used, together with a set of digital band-pass filters (3.0 to 0.3 sec period). The band-pass filters were included to eliminate a small long-period drift detectable at the high magnifications being used; this drift affected the correlations and had to be eliminated. For on-line processing band-pass filters will not be used.

Single-channel optimum filters were used in this first step because it was hoped that they would afford a greater insight into the process. Multi-channel Wiener filters for the same techniques will be calculated next.

Figures 3a, 3b, 3c, and 3d show the results obtained. The spectra shown were obtained by passing the noise samples used to calculate the optimum filters through the process and calculating the spectra of the outputs. The seismometer at the surface (15.2 m) was used as a reference. As can be seen from the figures, the process using the bottom seismometer (2897 m) was the only one that resulted on an appreciable reduction of the noise level. The seismometer at 1063 m, used together with the surface, actually increased the noise level somewhat. The reason for this behavior is not known; however, considering that noise and signal amplitude-depth relationships are very similar at this site, the inverse filters would tend to make the noise at depth and at the surface very similar. The closer to the surface the more pronounced this effect would be. The optimum filters can probably not cope with this situation.

In order to check the correctness of the time-domain optimum filters, the filters were also calculated in the frequency domain. Figure 4 shows the frequency-domain optimum filter and the Fourier transform of the time-domain filter for a depth of 1063 m. The agreement between the two is not as good as expected. The differences may be caused by the averaging over several frequency bands which occurs in the spectra used in calculating the frequency-domain filter. The problem, if any, will be investigated further and will be discussed in the next report.




Figure 2. Deep-hole data processing techniques

G 1691




Figure 3a. Spectrum of the surface noise, AP-OK

G 1692




Figure 3b. Spectrum of the noise after optimum filtering using seismometer at 1063 m

G 1693




Figure 3c. Spectrum of the noise after optimum filtering using seismometer at 1983 m

G 1694




Figure 3d. Spectrum of the noise after optimum filtering using seismometer at 2897 m

G 1695




Figure 4. Comparison of the optimum filters calculated in time and frequency domains G 1696

4. PROVIDE AND TEST AN ON-LINE SIGNAL PROCESSOR, TASK 1f (2)

The on-line signal processor, an Adage, Inc. Ambilog 200, exhibited unreliable performance through most of the reporting period. The manufacturer of the equipment modified its systems tapes and made new copies available to us in July with the result that for the first time we were able to use the digital system with confidence. In August, Adage made hardware modifications to the equipment and incorporated some changes in our digitizing program, both designed to reduce spurious noise in the system. After these changes, the system operated reliably until 21 September. At that time we experienced what appeared to be an intermittent in the memory. At the time this report is being written, it is not clear whether the fault lies in the memory or elsewhere in the computer.

Because of problems in obtaining satisfactory performance from the computer, we have been delayed in completing on-line processing programs. At this time, we are completing a program designed to do inverse filtering of the vertical summation of each triaxial seismograph. The program is written in such a way that we do not expect great problems in later including the Wiener filter routines.

When the inverse filter program is operational, we will ship tapes to our Garland plant. There we will off-line process them to provide an analog output on film. The D-to-A processing on line will be done as soon as the Adage equipment is received and installed.

APPENDIX to TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 66-96

AMENDMENT TO
STATEMENT OF WORK

AMENDED STATEMENT OF WORK TO BE DONE
AFTAC Project Authorization No. VELA T/5051

1. Tasks 1a, 1b, and that portion of Task 1c pertaining to oceanbottom testing, which have been completed, are deleted.

2. Task 1d and the rest of Task 1c, which are still applicable, are retained and enhanced by the following specific tasks:

a. Task 1e: Assemble and operate an easily transportable vertical-array system employing 3 to 6 triaxial short-period deep-well seismometers. Record deep-hole three-component data at deep-well sites to be designated by the AFTAC Project Officer. Analyze the data obtained to determine the behavior with depth of the horizontal and vertical components of signals and noise.

b. Task 1f: Continue developing techniques for improving signal/noise ratios and detecting low-level signals by processing data from vertical-borehole arrays of vertical and triaxial seismometers. Relate parameters of the processing techniques to varying conditions of seismic noise, seismographic system characteristics, geological structure, and geographic location. Provide and maintain an on-line vertical-array signal processing device and field test it at sites to be designated by the AFTAC Project Officer.

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Teledyne Industries, Geotech Division 3401 Shiloh Road, Garland, Texas		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
		2b. GROUP	
3. REPORT TITLE DEEP-WELL RESEARCH			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Quarterly Report No. 5, 1 July through 30 September 1966			
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) Shappee, Richard M. Douze, Eduard J.			
6. REPORT DATE 15 October 1966		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 16	7b. NO. OF REFS --
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. AF 33(657)-13668		9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) Technical Report No. 66-96	
b. PROJECT NO. VELA T/5051		9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report) --	
c.			
d.			
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC.			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY HQ USAF (AFTAC/VELA Seismological Center) Washington, D.C. 20333	
13. ABSTRACT A vertical-array of four triaxial short-period seismographs was operated at Apache, Oklahoma. The outputs of the seismographs were digitally recorded. (U) Single-channel optimum filters were developed and tested off line. Deghosting filters were used in conjunction with the optimum filters. (U)			

14

KEY WORDS

Vertical array
Triaxial seismographs
Optimum filtering
VT/5051

LINK A

LINK B

LINK C

ROLE

WT

ROLE

WT

ROLE

WT

INSTRUCTIONS

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.

2a. **REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. **GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. **AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. **REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. **CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. **PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. **ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. **OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).

10. **AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through _____."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through _____."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through _____."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. **SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.

13. **ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. **KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.