

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD828959

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:

**Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors;
Administrative/Operational Use; 01 MAR 1968.
Other requests shall be referred to Air Force Technical Applications Center, Washington, DC 20330.**

AUTHORITY

AFTAC/USAF ltr 28 Feb 1972

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

AD828959

RESPONSE OF SEVERAL VERTICAL ARRAY PROCESSORS

1 March 1968

Prepared for

AIR FORCE TECHNICAL APPLICATIONS CENTER
Washington, D. C.

By

R. L. Sax

TELEDYNE, INC.

Under

Project VELA UNIFORM

Sponsored By

ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY
Nuclear Test Detection Office
ARPA Order No. 624



RESPONSE OF SEVERAL VERTICAL ARRAY PROCESSORS

SEISMIC DATA LABORATORY REPORT NO. 212

AFTAC Project No.:	VELA T/6702
Project Title:	Seismic Data Laboratory
ARPA Order No.:	624
ARPA Program Code No.:	5810
Name of Contractor:	TELEDYNE, INC.
Contract No.:	F 33657-67-C-1313
Date of Contract:	2 March 1967
Amount of Contract:	\$ 1,736,617
Contract Expiration Date:	1 March 1968
Project Manager:	William C. Dean (703) 836-7644

P. O. Box 334, Alexandria, Virginia

AVAILABILITY

This document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of Chief, AFTAC,
washington, D.C. 20333

This research was supported by the Advanced Research Projects Agency, Nuclear Test Detection Office, under Project VELA-UNIFORM and accomplished under the technical direction of the Air Force Technical Applications Center under Contract F 33657-67-C-1313.

Neither the Advanced Research Projects Agency nor the Air Force Technical Applications Center will be responsible for information contained herein which may have been supplied by other organizations or contractors, and this document is subject to later revision as may be necessary.

ABSTRACT

Several of the simplest vertical array processors were evaluated by means of frequency-wavenumber spectral analysis. The processors analyzed were beamed sum, multichannel deghost, and the fan filter. Adequate responses were obtained in the specified signal pass-band for all of the processors. Considerable differences between the processors were observed in the dead-band, especially at low wavenumbers corresponding to surface wave components in the noise. The best dead-band response was obtained for the fan filter using a spacing with uphole time between instruments of .1 seconds from the top to the bottom of the well.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
ABSTRACT	
PROCEDURE	1
RESULTS	2
REFERENCES	2

PROCEDURE

The SDL frequency-wavenumber analysis program VFKSPTRM (1966) was used to calculate the response of several simple processors for vertical arrays. The impulse responses of the filters as applied to each channel was subjected to frequency-wavenumber analysis. This implies an equal and simultaneous impulsive input to each channel.

Each processor involves a beamed sum of P-waves based on normal moveout times for vertical compressional waves. The multichannel deghoster and fan filter are followed by other operations designed to remove signal distortion or improve the dead-band response of the processor. The multichannel deghoster estimates the signal waveform at the surface without regard to correlated background noise. The fan filter (1967) ideally passes waves between a specified pass-band of limiting vertical phase velocities and rejects energy outside of the band.

We examined several vertical array geometries. These are specified by uphole times based on the arrival of the up-going vertical compressional wave at each instrument in the array subtracted from the arrival time at the surface. A vertical phase velocity of 6 km/sec was used for the f-k analysis.

The following table correlates the array geometry, type of processor and figure number. Each figure shows the relative power response in the f-k plane.

TABLE 1

Fig. No.	Processor	No. of Channels	Uphole times (sec.)	Frequency Bandpass (cps)	Velocity Bandpass (km/sec)
1	Beamed sum	7	.05,.15,.25,.35,.45,.55,.65	All Pass	0
2	Beamed sum	7	.05,.15,.25,.35,.45,.55,.65	.4 - 3.0	0
3	Beamed sum	7	.00,.15,.25,.35,.45,.55,.65	All Pass	0
4	Beamed sum	6	.15,.25,.35,.45,.55,.65	All Pass	0
5	Beamed sum	6	.05,.15,.25,.35,.45,.55	All Pass	0
6	Beamed sum	6	.05,.15,.25,.35,.45,.55	0.4 - 3.0	0
7	MCdeG	6	.05,.15,.25,.35,.45,.55	All Pass	0
8	MCdeG	6	.15,.25,.35,.45,.55,.65	All Pass	0
9	MCdeG	6	.05,.15,.25,.35,.45,.55	0.4 - 3.0	0
10	Fan Filter	6	.05,.15,.25,.35,.45,.55	All Pass	3.0-9.0
11	Fan Filter	6	.05,.15,.25,.35,.45,.55	0.4 - 3.0	3.0-9.0
12	Fan Filter	7	.05,.15,.25,.35,.45,.55,.65	0.4 - 3.0	3.0-9.0
13	Fan Filter	6	.15,.25,.35,.45,.55,.65	All Pass	3.0-9.0
14	Fan Filter	6	.05,.15,.25,.35,.45,.55	All Pass	4.5-7.5

RESULTS

Comparing Figure 2 and Figure 6 we see that an 18% increase in the length of the vertical array makes only slight improvement in the array response. An array with maximum uphole time of .55 sec. with 6 instruments provides an adequate response in the signal band with the power to discriminate body waves at frequencies above .7 cps.

Figures 4, 8, and 12 show the array response for equally spaced instruments placed in the lower 2/3 of the well. The apparent resolving power is inadequate between 1.0 and 1.3 cps for all of the processors analyzed. The fan filter appears to have the desired pass-band response with better dead-band rejection than the beamed sum and multichannel deghoster.

REFERENCES

- McCowan, D.W., Finite Fourier transformer theory and its application to the computation of convolutions, correlations, and spectra, Seismic Data Laboratory Report No. 168 (Revised) Teledyne, Alexandria, Va., 1966.
- Treitel, S., Shanks, J.L., Frasier, C.W., Some aspects of fan filtering, Geophysics, Vol. 32, n. 5, 1967.

TABLE 2


Symbols For the F-K Spectral Mapping

<u>DB</u>	<u>Symbol</u>
0-1	0
1.001 - 3	0
6-9	6
12-15	2
18-21	8
24-27	.

VFRPTM

SCANNING NO. = 3 NO. OF CHANNEL = 7
 SAMPLING RATE = 80.00 STARTING POINT = 800 TOTAL POINTS = 120
 THE SUM OF SMOOTHING TIME = 8

CHANNEL ID	SCALE FACTOR	DEPTH
DU1	1.00	.302
DU2	1.00	.900
DU3	1.00	1.000
DU4	1.00	0.100
DU5	1.00	2.700
DU6	1.00	2.300
DU7	1.00	2.000



ARRAY RESPONSE


100000000000 00 1 A .00 122222222224 0000000000 4622222222221 02. 0 1 00 0010000200001

Figure 1. Beamed Sum

VERBODEN

NO. OF CHANNELS = 7
 SAMPLING RATE = 2000
 STARTING POINT = 000
 TOTAL POINTS = 100
 THE NUMBER OF SMOOTHING TIME = 0

CHANNEL IN	SCALE FACTOR	DEPTH
001	1.00	300
002	1.00	900
003	1.00	1.500
004	1.00	2.100
005	1.00	2.700
006	1.00	3.300
007	1.00	3.900



ARRAY RESPONSE

Figure 2. Beamed Sum

VFKSPTRM

SEISMOGHAM MD. •

5

NO. OF CHANNEL • 7

SAMPLING RATE • 20.00

STARTING POINT • 500

TOTAL POINTS • 190

THE NUMBER OF SMOOTHING TIME • 0




Figure 3. Beamed Sum

VIKOPTIM
 SEISMIC STATION NO. = 2 NO. OF CHANNEL = 6
 SAMPLING RATE = 20.00 STARTING POINT = 000 TOTAL POINTS = 120
 THE NUMBER OF SHORTENING TIME = 0

CHANNEL ID	SCALE FACTOR	DEPTH
DU1	1.00	.900
DU2	1.00	1.900
DU3	1.00	0.100
DU4	1.00	2.700
DU5	1.00	3.300
DU6	1.00	3.900




Figure 4. Beamed Sum

VFASPTM

SEISMICITY NO. *

1

NO. OF CHANNEL *

6

SAMPLING RATE = 20.00

STARTING POINT = 000

TOTAL POINTS = 120

TIME NUMBER OF SMOOTHING TIME = 0

CHANNEL ID	SCALE FACTOR	DEPTH
DW1	1.00	.300
DW2	1.00	.900
DW3	1.00	1.500
DW4	1.00	2.100
DW5	1.00	2.700
DW6	1.00	3.300





Figure 5. Beamed Sum

VISPIN

BEAMING CHANNEL = 1 NO. OF CHANNEL = 1
 SAMPLE RATE = 1000 SLANTING POINT = 0.00 TOTAL POINTS = 300
 THE NUMBER OF SAMPLING TIME = 0

CHANNEL ID	SCALE FACTOR	DEPTH
001	1.00	.300
002	1.00	.900
003	1.00	1.500
004	1.00	2.100
005	1.00	2.700
006	1.00	3.300



ARRAY RESPONSE

1 000 .00 000000 222222 2 10 000000000000 01 2 222222 000000 00. 000 1

Figure 6. Beamed Sum

VFROPTNM

SEIGNORIAN NO. = 1

NO. OF CHANNEL = 6


SAMPLING RATE = 20.00

STARTING POINT = 500

TOTAL POINTS = 120

THE NUMBER OF SMOOTHING LINE = 0

CHANNEL ID	SCALE FACTOR	DEPTH
DM1	1.00	.300
DM2	1.00	.900
DM3	1.00	1.000
DM4	1.00	2.100
DM5	1.00	2.700
DM6	1.00	3.300



ARRAY RESPONSE


1 000 .00 000000 222222 2 10 000000000000 61 2 222222 000000 00. 000 1

Figure 7. MCdeG

VIRKSIAM

BOJHONGHAM NO. = 2 NR. OF CHANNEL = 6
 SAKPLING HAIS = 20.00 STARTING POINT = 000 TOTAL POINTS = 120
 THE MINIMUM OF SHOOTING TIME = 0

CHANNEL ID	SCALE FACTOR	DEPTH
DW1	1.00	.000
DW2	1.00	1.500
DW3	1.00	2.100
DW4	1.00	2.700
DW5	1.00	3.300
DW6	1.00	3.900



ARRAY RESPONSE


1 000 .00 000000 222222 2 10 000000000000 61 2 222222 000000 00. 000 1

Figure 8. MCdeG

VIRSPINN

BEIRINGHAM NO. = 1 NO. OF CHANNEL = 4
 SAMPLING RATE = 20.00 HANTING POINT = 500 TOTAL POINTS = 120
 THE NUMBER OF SMOOTHING TIME = 0

CHANNEL ID	SCALE FACTOR	DEPTH
D01	1.00	.300
D02	1.00	.900
D03	1.00	1.500
D04	1.00	2.100
D05	1.00	2.700
D06	1.00	3.300



ARRAY RESPONSE

1 000 .00 000000 22222 2 10 000000000000 01 2 222222 000000 00. 000 1

Figure 9. MCdeG

VFROPTIM

BEIDHNGHAM NO. = 1
 NO. OF CHANNEL = 6
 SAMPLING RATE = 20.00 STARTING POINT = 400 TOTAL POINTS = 120
 THE NUMBER OF SHOOTING TIME = 0

CHANNEL ID	SCALE FACTOR	DEPTH
DU1	1.00	.300
DU2	1.00	.900
DU3	1.00	1.500
DU4	1.00	2.100
DU5	1.00	2.700
DU6	1.00	3.300




Figure 10. Fan Filter

VFNOPTAM

SEISMOGRAM NO. = 1

NO. OF CHANNEL = 6

SAMPLING RATE = 20.00

STARTING POINT = 499

TOTAL POINTS = 128

THE NUMBER OF SHOOTING TIME = 0

CHANNEL NO	SCALE FACTOR	DEPTH	UP HOLD TIME PER 6 IN/SEC
CH1	1.00	.200	.05
CH2	1.00	.900	.15
CH3	1.00	1.500	.25
CH4	1.00	2.100	.35
CH5	1.00	2.700	.45
CH6	1.00	3.300	.55





Figure 11. Fan Filter

VIASPTM

SCHEMATIC NO. = 1
 NO. OF CHANNEL = 7
 SAMPLING RATE = 20.00 STARTING POINT = 400 TOTAL POINTS = 100
 THE NUMBER OF SMOOTHING TIME = 0

CHANNEL ID	SCALE FACTOR	DEPTH	UP HOLD TIME FOR 60 HZ
DV1	1.00	.300	.05
DV2	1.00	.900	.15
DV3	1.00	1.800	.25
DV4	1.00	2.100	.35
DV5	1.00	2.700	.45
DV6	1.00	3.300	.55
DV7	1.00	3.000	.65



ARRAY RESPONSE


100000000000 00 1 0.00 122222222200 0000000000 042222222221 00.0 1 00 0010000000001

Figure 12. Fan Filter

VFH0PTM

SEGMENT NO. = 1 NO. OF CHANNEL = 4
 SAMPLING RATE = 20.00 STARTING POINT = 034 TOTAL POINTS = 184
 THE NUMBER OF SMOOTHING TIME = 8

CHANNEL ID	SCALE FACTOR	OFFSET
Vp	1.00	-0.00
V3	1.00	1.500
V4	1.00	2.100
V5	1.00	2.700
V6	1.00	3.300
V7	1.00	3.900



ARRAY RESPONSE

1 000 .00 000000 22222 2 10 0000000000 41 2 22222 000000 00. 00A 1

Figure 13. Fan Filter

Unclassified

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)

TELEDYNE, INC.
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA

2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

Unclassified

2b. GROUP

3. REPORT TITLE

RESPONSE OF SEVERAL VERTICAL ARRAY PROCESSORS

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)

5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial)

Sax, R.L.

6. REPORT DATE

1 March 1968

7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES

19

7b. NO. OF REFS

20

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.

F33657-67-C-1313

8b. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)

212

a. PROJECT NO.

VELA T/6702

c. ARPA Order 624

d. ARPA Program Code No. 5810

8b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)

10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES

This document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of Chief, AFTAC

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY

ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY
NUCLEAR TEST DETECTION OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D. C.

13. ABSTRACT

Several of the simplest vertical array processors were evaluated by means of frequency-wavenumber spectral analysis. The processors analyzed were beamed sum, multichannel deghost, and the fan filter. Adequate responses were obtained in the specified signal pass-band for all of the processors. Considerable differences between the processors were observed in the dead-band, especially at low wavenumbers corresponding to surface wave components in the noise. The best dead-band response was obtained for the fan filter using a spacing with uphole time between instruments of .1 seconds from the top to the bottom of the well.

14. KEY WORDS

Vertical Array Processors
Frequency-Wavenumber Spectral Analysis
Beamed Sum
Multichannel Deghost

Fan Filter

Unclassified

Security Classification