

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER
AD860080
NEW LIMITATION CHANGE
TO Approved for public release, distribution unlimited
FROM Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Critical Technology; MAY 1969. Other requests shall be referred to Air Force Materials Laboratory, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433.
AUTHORITY
AFSC/USAF ltr, 2 Mar 1972

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

AFML-TR-69-100


AD 860080

**ELEVATED TEMPERATURE MECHANICAL
PROPERTIES OF TWO CAST
ALUMINUM ALLOYS**

A. W. GUNDERSON

TECHNICAL REPORT AFML-TR-69-100

MAY 1969



This document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of the Air Force Materials Laboratory (MAAE), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433.

AIR FORCE MATERIALS LABORATORY
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO

NOTICES

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of MAAE, Air Force Materials Laboratory.

Information in this report is embargoed under the Department of State ITIAR. This report may be released to foreign governments by department or agencies of the U. S. Government subject to approval of Air Force Materials Laboratory, Materials Applications Division or higher authority within the Air Force. Private individuals or firms require a Department of State Export license.

Copies of this report should not be returned unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice on a specific document.

ACQUISITION NO.	
CODE	WHITE SECTION <input type="checkbox"/>
BOC	BUFT SECTION <input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED	<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTIFICATION
BY
DISTR. BY/FORM. AVAILABILITY CODES
DATE	EXPL. OR/OF SPECIAL
2	

AFML-TR-69-100

**ELEVATED TEMPERATURE MECHANICAL
PROPERTIES OF TWO CAST
ALUMINUM ALLOYS**

A. W. GUNDERSON

This document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of the Air Force Materials Laboratory (MAAE), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433.

1/10/70

FOREWORD

This report was prepared by Allen W. Gunderson of the Materials Engineering Branch, Materials Support Division, Air Force Materials Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio. This program was conducted under Project No. 7381 "Materials Applications," Task No. 738106 "Engineering and Design Data." This report covers work conducted from September 1967 to December 1968. The manuscript was released by the author in February 1969 for publication as a technical report.

The testing portion of the program was accomplished by Richard J. Marton and the computer data reduction by Robert Smith of the University of Dayton Research Institute.

The items tested in this program were commercial items that were not developed or manufactured to meet any government specifications, to withstand the tests to which they were subjected, or to operate as applied during this study. Any failure to meet the objectives of this study is no reflection on any of the commercial items discussed herein or on any manufacturer.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved.

Albert Olevitch

ALBERT OLEVITCH
Chief, Materials Engineering Branch
Materials Support Division
Air Force Materials Laboratory

ABSTRACT

A test program was conducted to obtain mechanical properties data on two aluminum casting alloys. Work included tensile, creep, and rupture tests at room temperature, 300, 400, 500, and 600°F. The alloy Hiduminium RR-350 was developed as a high temperature alloy. Its room temperature properties were moderate but it held its strength quite well up to 600°F. The alloy CH-70 was developed as a high strength, high performance alloy in the 60,000 ultimate tensile strength, 50,000 yield strength, and 5% elongation range. Tensile properties of CH-70 held up well at the 300° test temperature but decreased rapidly at higher temperatures.

This abstract is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of the Air Force Materials Laboratory (MAAE), Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433.

ILLUSTRATIONS

FIGURE		PAGE
1	Smooth Cast Test Bar Specimen	26
2	Notched ($K_t=3.0$) Cast Test Bar Specimen	26
3	Component Part Flat Specimen	27
4	CH-70 Wing Spar Casting Specimen	27
5	Typical Load-Strain Record for RR-350 Cast Test Bar Specimen A-23 550°F Age Temperature	28
6	Hiduminium RR-350 Cast Test Bar Specimens, Effect of Age Temperature on Tensile Properties	29
7	Hiduminium RR-350 Cast Test Bar Specimens, Effect of 1000-Hour Exposure on Tensile Properties	30
8	Hiduminium RR-350 Cast Test Bar Specimens, Effect of 1000-Hour Exposure on Tensile Properties	31
9	Hiduminium RR-350, Stress Vs. Rupture Time	32
10	Hiduminium RR-350 Vendor B Cast Test Bar Specimens, 400°F Time to Deformation	33
11	Hiduminium RR-350, 300°F Creep Deformation Vs. Time	34
12	Hiduminium RR-350, 400°F Creep Deformation Vs. Time	35
13	Hiduminium RR-350, 400°F Creep Deformation Vs. Time	36
14	Hiduminium RR-350, 500°F Creep Deformation Vs. Time	37
15	Hiduminium RR-350, 600°F Creep Deformation Vs. Time	38
16	Hiduminium RR-350, 600°F Creep Deformation Vs. Time	39
17	CH-70 Wing Spar Casting Specimens, Effect of 1000-Hour Exposure on Tensile Properties	40
18	CH-70 Wing Spar Casting Specimens, 400°F Creep Deformation Vs. Time	41
19	CH-70 Wing Spar Casting Specimens, 600°F Creep Deformation Vs. Time	42
20	Hiduminium RR-350 and CH-70, Comparison of Ultimate Tensile Strength Vs. Temperature for 1000-Hour Exposure	43
21	Hiduminium RR-350 and CH-70, Comparison of Tensile Yield Strength Vs. Temperature for 1000-Hour Exposure	44

ILLUSTRATIONS

TABLES	PAGE	
I	Nominal Chemical Compositions for RR-350 and CH-70	7
II	Test Plan for Aluminum Castings	8
III	Hiduminium RR 350 Cast Test Bar Specimens, Effect of Age Temperature on Room Temperature Tensile Properties	9
IV	Hiduminium RR-350 Vendor B Cast Test Bar Specimens, Effect of Temperature Exposure on Tensile Properties	10
V	Hiduminium RR-350 Cast Test Bar Specimens, Effect of Temperature Exposure on Tensile Properties	11
VI	Hiduminium RR-350 Component Castings, Standard Age Room Temperature Tensile Properties	12
VII	Hiduminium RR-350 Component Castings, Effect of Temperature Exposure on Tensile Properties	13
VIII	CH-70 Wing Spar Casting Specimens, Standard Age Room Temperature Tensile Properties	14
IX	CH-70 Wing Spar Casting Specimens, Effect of Temperature Exposure on Tensile Properties	15
X	Hiduminium RR-350 Cast Test Bars, Notched ($K_t=3.0$) Rupture Tests	16
XI	Hiduminium RR-350 Vendor A Cast Test Bar Specimens, 400 and 600°F Time Vs. Total Deformation in Percent	17
XII	Hiduminium RR-350 Vendor B Cast Test Bar Specimens, 300 and 500°F Time Vs. Total Deformation in Percent	18
XIII	Hiduminium RR-350 Vendor B Cast Test Bar Specimens, 400°F Time Vs. Total Deformation in Percent	19
XIV	Hiduminium RR-350 Vendor B Cast Test Bar Specimens, 600°F Time Vs. Total Deformation in Percent	20
XV	Hiduminium RR-350 Component Casting Specimens, 300 and 500°F Time Vs. Total Deformation in Percent	21
XVI	Hiduminium RR-350 Component Casting Specimens, 400°F Time Vs. Total Deformation in Percent	22
XVII	Hiduminium RR-350 Component Casting Specimens, 600°F Time Vs. Total Deformation in Percent	23

ILLUSTRATIONS (Cont'd)

TABLE		PAGE
XVIII	CH-70 Wing Spar Casting Specimens, 400°F Time Vs. Total Deformation in Percent	24
XIX	CH-70 Wing Spar Casting Specimens, 600°F Time Vs. Total Deformation in Percent	25

INTRODUCTION

The emergence of high strength and temperature resistant aluminum casting alloys in recent years has led to their increased usage in aerospace systems. The castable alloys provide a design flexibility and economy not attainable by wrought products and processes.

The two alloys tested in this program are of a new generation of aluminum casting alloys. The major portion of the test program was conducted on Hiduminium RR-350 alloy. Hiduminium RR-350 is a sand-casting alloy specifically developed for high temperature applications and is jointly patented by High Duty Alloy and Rolls-Royce Limited of England. The Hiduminium specimens were furnished the Air Force Materials Laboratory by the General Electric Company Flight Propulsion Division. The material has been used as a scavenge pump housing material on the J-93 engine, and is scheduled for use in controls and accessory systems in the GE-4 engine (SST).

The second alloy evaluated was CH-70, a high performance alloy developed by Aluminum Company of America. The specimens of CH-70 were furnished by Northrup Corporation Norair Division. Norair recently completed a room temperature mechanical property evaluation of several high strength aluminum castings alloys, including CH-70, and the results are to be published as an Air Force Materials Laboratory Technical Report AFML-TR-68-8.

The present effort was conducted to establish the properties of the RR-350 alloy, particularly for extended periods of exposure, and to establish the elevated temperature capabilities of the CH-70 alloy.

EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

The Inconel RR-350 alloy is used in jet engine components, such as housings and cover boxes for accessory drives. In such locations, the ambient temperatures may exceed 400°F. RR-350 in limited testing previously conducted by High Duty Alloys had shown attractive high temperature strength. This test program, outlined in Table II, was designed to determine the effect of high temperature environments on the RR-350 alloy, particularly over extended periods of time, and to establish a comparison with the elevated temperature properties of the CH-70 alloy.

The CH-70 test program, Table II, followed the same temperature levels as the RR-350, but because of the limited number of specimens available and the availability of mechanical property data from other sources, its evaluation was primarily for comparison only.

SPECIMEN INFORMATION

The RR-350 alloy was from three sources. Separately cast test bars from two vendors were machined to the 2-inch gage section threaded specimen configuration shown in Figures 2 and 3. Also, flat specimens were machined from actual component castings (one is shown in Figure 4).

All of the CH-70 specimens were taken from wing span castings such as shown in Figure 5. Flat specimens with a 1-inch gage length were machined.

The nominal chemical compositions for the two alloys are given in Table I.

The standard heat treatment of the Aluminum RR-350 alloy was:

5 hours at 1004-1012°F
Quench in boiling water
Age 16 hours @ 414-424°F.

For the portion of the test program investigating the effect of age temperature on tensile properties, the listed temperature was used instead of the standard.

The vendor "B" specimens listed as overaged were aged 16 hours at 500°F in addition to the standard age.

The CH-70 alloy was heat treated to the T-6 condition by the following procedure:

2 hours at 975°F
4 hours at 985°F
12 hours at 995°F
1 hour at 985°F
Water quench (less than 10 seconds delay)
Age 18 hours at 310°F

The test plan for both alloys is given in Table II.

TEST METHODS

Tensile testing was done on a 50,000-lb Baldwin Weidemann Universal Testing Machine. Autographic load-strain data was recorded as shown in Figure 5. For the room temperature tests the strain was measured by a Baldwin Microformer transducer. The elevated temperature test used an Arcweld extensometer which also utilizes a linear variable differential transformer.

Creep and creep rupture testing were accomplished on Satec-Arcweld test frames with temperature control by either a Leeds and Northrup or an Arcweld controller. Data was recorded automatically by the MAAE Data-Logger unit.

RESULTS

The tensile properties of Hyduminium RR-350 held up fairly well to the 600°F temperature level. As can be seen from the data, the cast test bars are noticeably stronger than specimens machined from component castings. The overage condition of some vendor "B" specimens did not improve the tensile properties. The vendor "A" specimens gave slightly higher tensile values than the vendor "B" specimens. The tensile properties of RR-350 are listed in Tables IV through VII and show graphically in Figures 6 through 8. Test temperatures to 600°F were used to characterize these properties. Tensile properties of CH-70 are given in Tables VII, IX, and X.

The creep rupture and creep tests for RR-350 are presented as one group of data because of the overlapping values obtained. The creep rupture tests were originally designed to anticipate rupture in 500 hours and the creep tests anticipated deformation in the 0.1 to 0.2% range. The curves shown are for representative specimens from each temperature. The creep and creep rupture specimens were also tested at temperatures up to 600°F. This data is presented in Tables XI through XVII and Figures 9 through 16.

In both the tensile property tests and the creep tests, the component specimens were lower in values than the cast test bar specimens.

The CH-70 alloy was not designed specifically for elevated temperature applications and the values obtained in this program should not affect its major usage as a high strength casting alloy for use at low temperatures. As shown in Figure 17, the tensile properties withstood 300°F fairly well, but then decreased rapidly.

The creep test results for the CH-70 at 400°F show that temperature to be near the upper limit for the material's usefulness (Figure 19).

Comparison data is given in Figures 20 and 21.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Hiduminium RR-350 exhibits fair tensile properties up to 600°F.
2. Hiduminium RR-350 creep strength drops rapidly after 500°F.
3. The CH-70 alloy has good tensile properties up to 300°F. At 400°F, the tensile values are about one-half room temperature values.
4. CH-70 creep specimens show little elongation before fracture.

TABLE I

NOMINAL CHEMICAL COMPOSITIONS FOR RR-350 AND CH-70

ELEMENT	PERCENT	
	HIDUMINIUM RR-350	CH-70
COPPER	5	4.5
NICKEL	1.5	---
SILVER	---	0.7
MANGANESE	0.25	0.30
MAGNESIUM	---	0.27
TITANIUM	0.2	0.29
COBALT	0.25	---
ANTIMONY	0.25	---
ZIRCONIUM	0.25	---
SILICON	---	0.06
IRON	---	0.02
ALUMINUM	Remainder	Remainder

TABLE II

TEST PLAN FOR ALUMINUM CASTINGS

HIDUMINIUM RR-350

CH-70

EFFECT OF AGE TEMP ON TENSILE PROPERTIES		CAST TEST BARS				COMPONENT CASTINGS		WING SPAR CASTING	
		VENDOR B		VENDOR A		smooth	$K_t=3$	smooth	$K_t=3$
TEST TEMPERATURE ROOM	AGE TEMPERATURE 414-424	3	1	1	1	3	3	3	3
ROOM	500	3	1	1	1				
ROOM	550	3	1	1	1				
ROOM	600	3	1	1	1				
EFFECT OF TEMP ON TENSILE PROPERTIES			smooth over-age						
TEST TEMPERATURE 300	HOLD TEMPERATURE 1000	3	1	1		3		3	
400	1000	3	1	1		3		3	
500	1000	3				3		3	
600	1000	3	1	1		3		3	
400	1/2	3						3	
400	500	3				3		3	
STRESS RUPTURE TEST TEMPERATURE			notched $K_t=3$						
300		1				1			
400		3	1	1		3		3	
500		1				1			
600		3	1	1		3		3	
CREEP (.1 to .2%) TEST TEMPERATURE			smooth over-age						
300		1				1			
400		3	1	1		3		3	
500		1				1			
600		3	1	1		3		3	

TABLE III

HIDUMINIUM RR-350 CAST TEST BARS,
EFFECT OF AGE TEMPERATURE ON ROOM TEMPERATURE TENSILE PROPERTIES

SPEC. NO.	ULT. KSI	0.2% Y.S. KSI	% ELONG.	AGE °F	AVERAGES		
					ULT.	Y.S.	ELONG.
B25	39.99	32.31	1.5	STD	41.64	32.93	1.3
B39	43.31	33.27	1.15	STD			
B49	41.61	32.92	1.25	STD			
B115	36.69	24.31	1.85	500	37.65	24.11	1.95
B127	38.45	25.59	2.1	500			
B135	37.81	22.44	1.9	500			
B107	37.05	21.83	2.65	600	36.53	22.07	2.33
B119	36.49	21.97	2.05	600			
B131	36.04	22.40	2.3	600			
B111	37.70	21.30	2.8	550	36.83	22.21	2.43
B139	35.12	22.64	1.95	550			
B143	37.67	22.70	2.55	550			
A132	42.89	34.03	1.35	STD			
A8	38.19	27.00	1.85	500			
A52	38.57	24.20	2.35	600			
A23	38.55	25.42	2.3	550			
B80	39.34	Notched		STD			
B95	39.95		STD				
B103	34.13		500				
B123	33.06		600				
B147	32.27		550				
A57	40.83		STD				
A3	36.24		500				
A43	34.08		600				
A15	33.92		550				

TABLE IV

MIDUMINIUM RR-350 VENDOR B CAST TEST BAR SPECIMENS,
EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE EXPOSURE ON TENSILE PROPERTIES

SPEC	ULT.	Y.S.	ELONG.	TEMP	TIME	AVERAGES		
						ULT.	Y.S.	ELONG.
B31	39.50	29.71	2.05	300	1000	39.68	30.45	1.9
B37	39.55	31.11	1.95	300	1000			
B55	39.99	30.52	1.70	300	1000			
B88	33.97	23.37	2.65	400	1/2	33.64	25.06	2.63
B92	33.63	26.53	2.55	400	1/2			
B96	33.31	25.27	2.7	400	1/2			
B21	34.72	24.24	2.6	400	500	33.69	23.95	2.33
B57	33.22	23.73	2.5	400	500			
B77	33.14	23.77	1.9	400	500			
B23	33.61	25.77	2.45	400	1000	34.12	25.02	2.53
B43	33.63	22.86	2.40	400	1000			
B83	35.11	26.44	2.7	400	1000			
B18	30.465	22.75	3.45	500	1000	30.09	22.5	3.53
B62	30.465	22.94	3.7	500	1000			
B99	29.34	21.81	3.45	500	1000			
B59	23.04	16.96	4.85	600	1000	23.17	17.1	5.62
B64	23.00	17.19	6.5	600	1000			
B73	23.48	17.15	5.5	600	1000			

TABLE V

MAGNESIUM RR-350 CAST TEST BAR SPECIMENS,
EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE EXPOSURE ON TENSILE PROPERTIES

SPEC. NO.	ULTIMATE STRENGTH	YIELD STRENGTH	ELONGATION	HOLD AND TEST TEMP.	HOLD TIME
A60	38.97	32.21	1.7	300	1000
A105	34.02	27.65	2.7	400	1000
A159	23.06	17.52	7.8	600	1000
*B136	36.06	25.86	2.8	300	1000
*B128	33.10	24.98	2.8	400	1000
*B116	22.59	16.37	4.8	600	1000

* STANDARD HEAT TREATMENT AND AGE PLUS 16 HOURS AT 500°F PRIOR TO TEST EXPOSURE.

TABLE VI

HIDUMINIUM RR-350 COMPONENT CASTINGS
 STANDARD AGE
 ROOM TEMPERATURE TENSILE PROPERTIES

SPEC. NO.	ULTIMATE KSI	YIELD KSI	ELONGATION %	SPEC. TYPE
2A	37.17	28.79	1.9	Smooth
6A	37.46	29.49	1.2	Smooth
6B	35.45	29.09	1.2	Smooth
AVERAGE	36.69	29.12	1.4	
22	30.44			Notched $K_t=3.0$
23	32.37			Notched $K_t=3.0$
24	31.46			Notched $K_t=3.0$
AVERAGE	31.42			

$\frac{\text{NOTCHED}}{\text{UNNOTCHED}}$ RATIO = .85

TABLE VII

HIDUMINIUM RR-350 COMPONENT CASTINGS,
EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE EXPOSURE ON TENSILE PROPERTIES

SPEC. NO.	ULTIMATE STRENGTH KSI	YIELD STRENGTH KSI	ELONGATION %	HOLD AND TEMP. TEST °F	HOLD TIME (Hrs)
8	31.94	25.99	1.8	300	1000
14	30.09	23.75	2.4	300	1000
57	33.03	26.11	1.7	300	1000
AVERAGE	31.68	25.28	2.0	300	1000
12	25.46	20.01	2.4	400	1000
29	31.84	23.66	6.4	400	1000
71	27.21	20.79	2.8	400	1000
AVERAGE	28.17	21.45	3.9	400	1000
11	21.10	17.53	3.1	500	1000
39	24.44	19.41	2.6	500	1000
72	24.73	19.62	2.8	500	1000
AVERAGE	23.42	18.85	2.8	500	1000
13	15.5	13.12	3.1	600	1000
34	18.57	14.84	3.1	600	1000
70	17.21	13.25	2.6	600	1000
AVERAGE	17.09	13.74	2.92	600	1000
15	25.27	19.62	2.3	400	500
42	27.39	21.35	2.5	400	500
65	27.08	19.99	2.6	400	500
AVERAGE	26.58	20.32	2.5	400	500

TABLE VIII

CH-70 WING SPAR CASTING SPECIMENS,
STANDARD AGE ROOM TEMPERATURE TENSILE PROPERTIES

SPEC. NO.	ULTIMATE KSI	YIELD KSI	ELONGATION %	SPEC. TYPE
C6-1-2	66.16	54.64	7.8	Smooth
C26-1-2	63.90	47.4	10.2	Smooth
C27-1-1	67.85	54.47	10.1	Smooth
AVERAGE	65.97	52.17	9.4	
C5-1-1	70.53			Notched $K_t=3.0$
C26-1-1	66.79			Notched $K_t=3.0$
C27-1-1	67.02			Notched $K_t=3.0$
AVERAGE	68.11			

$\frac{\text{NOTCHED}}{\text{UNNOTCHED}} \text{ RATIO} = 1.03$

TABLE IX

CH-70 WING SPAR CASTING SPECIMENS,
EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE EXPOSURE ON TENSILE PROPERTIES

SPEC. NO.	ULTIMATE STRENGTH KSI	YIELD STRENGTH KSI	ELONGATION %	HOLD AND TEMP. TEST °F	HOLD TIME (Hrs)
C6-2-1	58.86	54.24	8.6	300	1000
C26-2-1	59.60	57.59	5.0	300	1000
C27-1-2	55.90	52.80	6.1	300	1000
AVERAGE	57.45	54.87	6.56		
C6-4-1	47.62	46.03	12.2	400	1/2
C26-4-1	47.81	45.78	9.4	400	1/2
C27-3-1	50.3	47.0	2.2*	400	1/2
AVERAGE	48.58	46.27	7.2		
* Fractured at gage mark.					
C6-4-2	36.53	32.96	13.2	400	500
C26-4-2	36.08	32.02	10.0	400	500
C27-3-2	38.92	36.12	8.7	400	500
AVERAGE	37.18	33.70	10.6		
C6-2-2	36.62	32.48	15.6	400	1000
C26-2-2	34.38	30.32	11.9	400	1000
C27-1-2	35.20	30.37	9.7	400	1000
AVERAGE	35.40	31.06	12.4		
C6-3-1	21.21	17.55	18.2	500	1000
C26-3-1	20.77	18.29	21.1	500	1000
C27-2-1	24.92	20.93	10.4	500	1000
AVERAGE	22.30	18.92	16.6		
C6-3-2	10.71	8.54	46	600	1000
C26-3-2	11.14	8.83	28.2	600	1000
C27-2-2	11.17	9.14	35.9	600	1000
AVERAGE	11.0	8.83	36.7		

TABLE X

MIDMINIUM RR-350 CAST TEST BARS,
NOTCHED ($K_t=3.0$) RUPTURE TESTS

SPECIMEN NO.	TEST TEMPERATURE °F	STRESS KSI	HOURS TO RUPTURE
B29	300	28	1868 Did Not Fail
B69	400	23	682.2
B100	500	15.5	561.6
B67	600	7	1110.3

TABLE XI

TIME IN HOURS VS. TOTAL SPECIMEN DEFORMATION IN PERCENT

MATERIAL:	Aluminum RR-350 Vendor "A" Cast Test Bar Specimens			
TEMPERATURE:	400°F	400°F	600°F	600°F
STRESS KSI:	23.0	16.0	7.5	3.5
SPECIMEN				
TIME (HRS)	A72	A141	A66	A114
0.01	0.17	0.19	0.09	0.04
0.02	0.31	0.20	0.10	0.04
0.05	0.35	0.20	0.12	0.04
0.1	0.38	0.22	0.17	0.04
0.2	0.40	0.23	0.17	0.04
0.5	0.43	0.23	0.17	0.04
1.0	0.47	0.23	0.17	0.04
2.0	0.52	0.23	0.17	0.04
5.0	0.60	0.24	0.17	0.05
10.0	0.67	0.24	0.17	0.05
20.0	0.73	0.27	0.17	0.05
50.0	0.84	0.27	0.20	0.06
100.0	0.92	0.31	0.23	0.06
200.0	1.03	0.32	0.26	0.08
500.0	1.17	0.32	0.44	0.12
1000.0	1.33	0.34	0.93	0.21

TABLE XII

MATERIAL:	Aluminum RR-350 Vendor "B" Cast Test Bar Specimens			
TEMPERATURE:	300°F	300°F	500°F	500°F
STRESS KSI:	25.0	23.0	14.0	8.0
SPECIMEN				
TIME (HRS)	B85	B9	B74	B34
0.01	0.16	0.19	0.14	0.06
0.02	0.19	0.19	0.15	0.06
0.05	0.20	0.19	0.15	0.06
0.1	0.21	0.19	0.15	0.06
0.2	0.22	0.19	0.15	0.06
0.5	0.23	0.19	0.16	0.06
1.0	0.23	0.20	0.17	0.06
2.0	0.24	0.21	0.18	0.06
5.0	0.25	0.22	0.18	0.07
10.0	0.26	0.23	0.20	0.07
20.0	0.29	0.24	0.22	0.07
50.0	0.33	0.26	0.25	0.08
100.0	0.35	0.27	0.30	0.10
200.0	0.51	0.30	0.35	0.11
500.0	0.57	0.34	0.48	0.16
1000.0	0.60	0.38	0.72	0.18

TABLE XIII

MATERIAL: Aluminum RR-350 Vendor "B" Cast Test Bar Specimens

TEMPERATURE: 400°F

STRESS KSI 25.66

SPECIMEN TIME (HRS)	B68	B53	B120	B24	B4	B46	B1	B16	B144
0.01	0.45	0.45	0.36	0.42	0.21	0.16	0.12	0.14	0.18
0.02	0.54	0.52	0.68	0.46	0.23	0.16	0.12	0.14	0.18
0.05	0.64	0.58	0.87	0.51	0.25	0.17	0.12	0.14	0.18
0.1	0.71	0.63	0.87	0.54	0.26	0.17	0.12	0.15	0.18
0.2	0.76	0.67	0.87	0.58	0.28	0.17	0.12	0.15	0.18
0.5	0.86	0.74	0.88	0.63	0.30	0.18	0.13	0.15	0.18
1.0	0.92	0.81	0.98	0.67	0.31	0.18	0.14	0.16	0.18
2.0	1.01	0.87	0.99	0.73	0.34	0.18	0.14	0.17	0.19
5.0	1.16	0.97	1.03	0.81	0.38	0.19	0.14	0.18	0.21
10.0	1.29	1.06	1.07	0.88	0.42	0.20	0.14	0.20	0.23
20.0	1.44	1.19	1.09	0.98	0.45	0.22	0.15	0.21	0.23
50.0	1.72	1.45	1.17	1.14	0.51	0.22	0.17	0.22	0.24
100.0	2.08	1.82	1.24	1.30	0.54	0.25	0.18	0.23	0.28
200.0	Fracture @143 Hrs	Fracture @134 Hrs	Fracture @158Hrs	1.61	0.58	0.28	0.22	0.25	0.28
500.0				2.22	0.63	Fracture @332 Hrs	0.23	0.27	0.29
1000.0				Fracture @574 Hrs	0.74		0.23	0.30	0.30

TABLE XIV

MATERIAL: Hiduminium RR-350 Vendor "B" Cast Test Bar Specimens
 TEMPERATURE: 600°F
 STRESS KSI: 7.0 7.5 7.0 3.5 3.5 4.0 4.0

SPECIMEN TIME (HRS)	B13	B60	B140	B10	B28	E78	B124
0.01	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.06
0.02	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06
0.05	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06
0.1	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06
0.2	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06
0.5	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.06
1.0	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.06
2.0	0.12	0.13	0.09	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.07
5.0	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.09
10.0	0.16	0.17	0.12	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.09
20.0	0.19	0.20	0.14	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.10
50.0	0.32	0.30	0.19	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.13
100.0	0.41	0.43	0.27	0.07	0.06	0.13	0.16
200.0	0.56	0.70	0.38	0.11	0.06	0.25	0.19
500.0	1.15	Fracture @446 Hrs	0.80	0.20	0.15	0.36	0.27
1000.0	Fracture @815 Hrs		Fracture @871 Hrs	0.25	0.20	0.40	0.42

TABLE XV

MATERIAL: Hiduminium RR-350 Component Parts Specimens
 TEMPERATURE: 300°F 300°F 500°F 500°F
 STRESS KSI 26.0 23.0 12.0 8.0

SPECIMEN TIME (HRS)	30	28	37	53
0.01	0.37	0.29	0.36	0.07
0.02	0.39	0.30	0.36	0.07
0.05	0.41	0.30	0.38	0.07
0.1	0.42	0.30	0.39	0.07
0.2	0.44	0.30	0.40	0.07
0.5	0.49	0.30	0.43	0.07
1.0	0.50	0.30	0.45	0.07
2.0	0.53	0.30	0.46	0.08
5.0	0.55	0.34	0.51	0.09
10.0	0.58	0.34	0.53	0.09
20.0	0.62	0.35	0.58	0.09
50.0	0.73	0.38	0.63	0.09
100.0	0.84	0.41	0.69	0.13
200.0	0.88	0.49	0.72	0.16
500.0	0.96	0.45	0.77	0.21
1000.0	1.02	0.54	0.77	0.27

TABLE XVI

MATERIAL: Hiduminium RR-350 Component Parts Specimens

TEMPERATURE: 400°F

STRESS KSI: 23.0 20 16 16 15 15

SPECIMEN TIME (HRS)	21	41	61	27	45	56
0.01	0.61	0.14	0.21	0.19	0.07	0.10
0.02	0.72	0.31	0.21	0.19	0.07	0.10
0.05	0.83	0.40	0.21	0.20	0.07	0.10
0.1	0.94	0.44	0.21	0.21	0.07	0.10
0.2	1.10	0.50	0.22	0.24	0.08	0.10
0.5	1.47	0.59	0.24	0.24	0.08	0.11
1.0	Fracture @0.51 Hrs	0.68	0.24	0.29	0.08	0.12
2.0		0.84	0.26	0.29	0.11	0.13
5.0		1.04	0.29	0.34	0.12	0.13
10.0		Fracture @5.3 Hrs	0.31	0.38	0.12	0.13
20.0			0.36	0.44	0.12	0.13
50.0			0.38	0.46	0.12	0.18
100.0			0.42	0.51	0.18	0.20
200.0			0.48	0.54	0.20	0.21
500.0			1.11	0.60	0.26	0.35
1000.0				Fracture @694 Hrs	0.26	0.39

TABLE XVII

MATERIAL: Hiduminium RR-350 Component Parts Specimens
 TEMPERATURE: 600°F
 STRESS KSI: 7.0 7.0 6.0 4.0 3.5 3.0

SPECIMEN TIME (HRS)	26	43	59	50	32	51
0.01	0.08	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.04
0.02	0.09	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.04
0.05	0.09	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.04
0.1	0.09	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.06
0.2	0.09	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.06
0.5	0.26	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.06
1.0	0.26	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.07
2.0	0.26	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.07
5.0	Controller Caused Failure @ 3.5	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.07
10.0		0.09	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.07
20.0		0.16	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.07
50.0		0.32	0.13	0.01	0.02	0.07
100.0		0.73	0.20	0.07	0.03	0.07
200.0		1.74	Fracture @175 Hrs	0.10	0.07	0.09
500.0		Fracture @208 Hrs		0.43	0.11	0.11
1000.0				1.18	0.15	0.11

TABLE XVIII

TIME IN HOURS VS. TOTAL SPECIMEN DEFORMATION IN PERCENT

SPECIMEN TIME (HRS)	MATERIAL: CH-70		TEMPERATURE: 400°F		STRESS KSI: 27.0		23.0	23.0	16.0	16.0	16.0
	C26-8-1	C6-8-1	C27-8-1	C27-8-2	C6-12-1	C26-8-2	C6-12-1				
0.01	0.30	0.18	0.39	0.20	0.27	0.13	0.09				
0.02	0.30	0.18	0.39	0.20	0.30	0.13	0.10				
0.05	0.30	0.18	0.40	0.20	0.37	0.13	0.10				
0.1	0.30	0.18	0.42	0.20	0.47	0.13	0.10				
0.2	0.30	0.18	0.44	0.20	0.54	0.13	0.10				
0.5	0.31	0.19	0.47	0.20	0.68	0.14	0.10				
1.0	0.32	0.19	0.47	0.22	0.83	0.14	0.10				
2.0	0.32	0.19	0.48	0.22	0.95	0.14	0.10				
5.0	0.34	0.20	0.52	0.23	Fracture @3.7 Hrs	0.14	0.11				
10.0	0.38	0.21	0.53	0.24		0.15	0.11				
20.0	0.41	0.22	0.54	0.25		0.15	0.11				
50.0	0.49	0.26	0.61	0.30		0.20	0.12				
100.0	Fracture @93 Hrs	0.31	0.64	0.30		0.21	0.13				
200.0		Failed in Grip @127 Machining Fault	0.71	0.47		0.25	0.16				
500.0			Fracture @379 Hrs	Fracture @225 Hrs		0.29	0.23				
1000.0						0.34	0.30				

TABLE XIX

MATERIAL: CH-70
 TEMPERATURE: 600°F
 STRESS KSI: 4.0 4.0 3.5 3.0 3.0

SPECIMEN TIME (HRS)	C6-8-2	C6-12-2	C26-12-2	C27-12-1	C27-12-2
0.01	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.08	0.04
0.02	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.08	0.04
0.05	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.08	0.04
0.1	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.08	0.04
0.2	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.08	0.04
0.5	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.08	0.04
1.0	0.05	0.07	0.03	0.08	0.05
2.0	0.05	0.08	0.03	0.08	0.05
5.0	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.11	0.05
10.0	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.11	0.05
20.0	0.10	0.12	0.08	0.11	0.06
50.0	0.21	0.18	0.14	0.16	0.13
100.0	0.40	0.32	0.25	0.22	0.18
200.0	0.96	0.60	0.57	0.46	0.41
500.0	3.25	2.45	2.29	1.37	1.29
1000.0	Fracture @546 Hrs	Fracture @502 Hrs	Fracture @521 Hrs	Fracture @882 Hrs	Fracture @556 Hrs




FIGURE 1
SMOOTH CAST TEST BAR SPECIMEN




FIGURE 2
NOTCHED ($K_t=3.0$) CAST TEST BAR SPECIMEN




FIGURE 3
COMPONENT PART FLAT SPECIMEN



FIGURE 4
CH-70 WING SPAR CASTING SPECIMEN




FIGURE 5. TYPICAL LOAD-STRAIN RECORD FOR RR-350 CAST TEST BAR SPECIMEN A-23 550°F AGE TEMPERATURE




FIGURE 6. ALUMINUM RR-350 CAST TEST BAR SPECIMENS, EFFECT OF AGE TEMPERATURE ON TENSILE PROPERTIES




FIGURE 7. HIDUMINIUM RR-350 CAST TEST BAR SPECIMENS, EFFECT OF 1000-HOUR EXPOSURE ON TENSILE PROPERTIES




FIGURE 8. ALUMINUM RR-350 CAST TEST BAR SPECIMENS,
EFFECT OF 1000-HOUR EXPOSURE ON TENSILE PROPERTIES




FIGURE 9. HIDUMINIUM RR-350, STRESS VS. RUPTURE TIME




FIGURE 10. ALUMINUM RR-350 VENDOR B CAST TEST BAR SPECIMENS, 400°F TIME TO DEFORMATION




FIGURE 11. HIDUMINIUM RR-350, 300°F CREEP DEFORMATION VS. TIME

This document contains information which is classified "Secret" under Executive Order 12958, Section 1.4, as amended, and is to be controlled accordingly.




FIGURE 12. HIDUMINIUM RR-350, 400°F CREEP DEFORMATION VS. TIME




FIGURE 13. HIDUMINIUM RR-350, 400°F CREEP DEFORMATION VS. TIME




FIGURE 14. HIDUMINIUM RR-350, 500°F CREEP DEFORMATION VS. TIME




FIGURE 15. ALUMINUM RR-350, 600°F CREEP DEFORMATION VS. TIME




FIGURE 16. ALUMINUM RR-350, 600° F CREEP DEFORMATION VS. TIME




FIGURE 17. CH-70 WING SPAR CASTING SPECIMENS, EFFECT OF 1000-HOUR EXPOSURE ON TENSILE PROPERTIES




FIGURE 18. CH-70 WING SPAR CASTING SPECIMENS, 400°F CREEP DEFORMATION VS. TIME




FIGURE 19. CH-70 WING SPAR CASTING SPECIMENS, 600°F CREEP DEFORMATION VS. TIME HYDROGEN RR-350 and CH-70




FIGURE 20. COMPARISON OF ULTIMATE TENSILE STRENGTH VS. TEMPERATURE FOR 1000-HOUR EXPOSURE




FIGURE 21. HIDUMINIUM RP 350 and CH-70, COMPARISON OF TENSILE YIELD STRENGTH VS. TEMPERATURE FOR 1000-HOUR EXPOSURE

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Air Force Materials Laboratory (MAAE) Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
2b. GROUP			
3. REPORT TITLE Elevated Temperature Mechanical Properties of Two Cast Aluminum Alloys			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Final Report			
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name) Allan W. Gunderson			
6. REPORT DATE April 1969		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 56	7b. NO. OF REFS None
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.		9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) AF-L-TR-69-100	
b. PROJECT NO. 7381		9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
c. Task No. 738106			
d.			
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT This document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign government or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of the Air Force Materials Laboratory (MAAM), Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433.			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Air Force Materials Laboratory (MAAE) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433.	
13. ABSTRACT A test program was conducted to obtain mechanical properties data on two aluminum casting alloys. Work included tensile, creep, and rupture tests at room temperature, 300, 400, 500, and 600°F. The alloy, Hiduminium RR-350 was developed as a high temperature alloy. Its room temperature properties were moderate but it held its strength quite well up to 600°F. The alloy CH-70 was developed as a high strength, high performance alloy in the 60,000 ultimate tensile strength, 50,000 yield strength, and 5% elongation range. Tensile properties of CH-70 held up well at the 300° test temperature but decreased rapidly at higher temperatures.			
This Abstract may be distributed without limitation			

DD FORM 1473
1 NOV 65

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

14. KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Aluminum Castings						