

AWARD NUMBER: W81XWH-14-1-0183

TITLE: Endobronchial Photoacoustic Microscopy for Staging of Lung Cancer

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Dr. Huabei Jiang

RECIPIENT: University of Florida, Verobeach, FL 32962

REPORT DATE: August 2016

TYPE OF REPORT: Final

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;
Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.				
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) August 2016		2. REPORT TYPE Final		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 06/01/2014-05/31/2016
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Endobronchial Photoacoustic Microscopy for Staging of Lung Cancer			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER W81XWH-14-1-0183	
			5b. GRANT NUMBER W81XWH-14-1-0183	
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Huabei Jiang, PhD Email: hjiang@bme.ufl.edu			5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
			5e. TASK NUMBER	
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Florida 207 Grinter Hall Gainesville, FL 32611			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Photoacoustic imaging, photoacoustic microscopy, lung cancer				
14. ABSTRACT This research is aimed at developing a new endoscopic imaging approach, called "photoacoustic microscopy (PAM)", for lung cancer staging. The project will develop imaging hardware and conduct phantom experiments to achieve the proposed goals. We have fulfilled the statement of work proposed. In Year 1, we characterized and optimized the proposed liquid acoustic lens for tunable photoacoustic imaging; we designed and optimized the housing of the endoscope, and conducted some initial phantom experiments to test/validate the constructed photoacoustic endoscope. In Year 2 (no-cost-extension year), we continued to optimize the endoscope and conduct more phantom experiments, and produced the prototype endoscopic PAM system. We analyzed the images from the phantom experiments and wrote the final report.				
15. SUBJECT TERMS prevalence				
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 24
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U		
				19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
1. Introduction	4
2. Keywords	4
3. Accomplishments	4
4. Impact	18
5. Changes/Problems	19
6. Products	20
7. Participants & Other Collaborating Organizations	22
8. Special Reporting Requirements	24
9. Appendices	24

1. **INTRODUCTION:** Narrative that briefly (one paragraph) describes the subject, purpose and scope of the research.

Lung cancer diagnosis and staging are very important for clinical therapy and prognosis. Lung cancer has a high mortality rate of 85% after five years. To properly manage the treatment of patients, the staging of lung cancer needs to be accurately performed. Surgical resection is mostly preferred when the staging indicates an ipsilateral mediastinal lymph node metastases; whereas chemotherapy and radiotherapy could be more effective when contralateral metastases are confirmed by staging. Computed tomography (CT) or positron emission tomography (PET) can only yield presumptive clinical diagnosis for lung cancer, and invasive biopsy is necessary to be carried out for the confirmation of staging. Endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS)-guided transbronchial needle aspiration (TBNA) has been demonstrated as the most accurate and minimally invasive method for the biopsy of lymph node. However, the ultrasound-based imaging has an inherently low imaging contrast owing to the low acoustic impedance mismatch between different tissues. In order to make the image-guided needle aspiration less invasive and more efficient, imaging with higher contrast and resolution is required. Recently photoacoustic imaging has emerged to offer relatively high optical contrast and comparable penetration depth as ultrasound. Nevertheless, the challenge to integrate the photoacoustic imaging modality into endoscopy through trachea is the limited room for the implementation of scanning. We have identified the emerging tunable endoscopic photoacoustic tomography (EPAT) as a candidate for cancer staging imaging. EPAT uniquely combines the high contrast advantage of optical imaging and the high resolution advantage of ultrasound imaging in a single modality. We will use 3D printing technology to realize the probe miniaturization and tunable focal point that are necessary for endoscopic imaging at different depths. It has been proved that the size of lymph node is closely related to the staging of cancer, especially lung cancer. Therefore, imaging the lymph node at different depths may provide us with an excellent tool to identify the appropriate staging of lung cancer. This technology focused application has put together a truly multi-disciplinary research team involving researchers in imaging, molecular targeting, tunable focusing, cancer biology, and surgical oncology.

2. **KEYWORDS:** Provide a brief list of keywords (limit to 20 words).

Endoscopic photoacoustic imaging, tunable acoustic lens, lung cancer, tumor staging, lymph node

3. **ACCOMPLISHMENTS:** The PI is reminded that the recipient organization is required to obtain prior written approval from the awarding agency Grants Officer whenever there are significant changes in the project or its direction.

What were the major goals of the project?

List the major goals of the project as stated in the approved SOW. If the application listed milestones/target dates for important activities or phases of the project, identify these dates and show actual completion dates or the percentage of completion.

The proposed major goals of the project are as follows:

1. Characterization and optimization of the tunable acoustic lens (months 1-3):
 - 1a. Design the lens molds with different dimensions and print them using 3-D printer (month 1).
 - 1b. Characterize the lenses with different numeric apertures and lensing liquids; Identify the relationships between the focal length and the infusion volume of the lensing liquid (month 2).
 - 1c. Test the lenses in photoacoustic microscopy experiment and identify the optimum lens working for the specific transducer as well as the probe (month 3).
2. Design and optimization of the housing of the probe (months 4-5):
 - 2a. Design the housing of the probe using sketchup with minimized and optimum dimensions and build it with 3-D printer (months 4-5).
 - 2b. Assemble the transducer, acoustic lens, optical fiber, and mini-motor in the housing (months 5).
3. Phantom experiments using the proposed probe (months 6-8):
 - 3a. Code the program for the data acquisition (month 6).
 - 3b. Make the phantoms to mimic the trachea and lymph node structure (months 6-7).
 - 3c. Carry out phantom experiments and image processing (months 7-8).
4. Assess the experimental results and make modifications (if needed) on the design (months 9-10):
 - 4a. Analyze the experimental results and look into the technical issues (if any) (month 9).
 - 4b. Make appropriate modifications (if needed) on the design to solve the technical issues (month 9).
 - 4c. Repeat the experiments (if needed) to validate the modified design (month 9-10).
5. Produce a prototype of the design and write a final report on this project (months 11-12):
 - 5a. Optimize the design and produce a prototype of the proposed probe (month 11).
 - 5b. Write a final report on this project (month 12).

What was accomplished under these goals?

For this reporting period describe: 1) major activities; 2) specific objectives; 3) significant results or key outcomes, including major findings, developments, or conclusions (both positive and negative); and/or 4) other achievements. Include a discussion of stated goals not met. Description shall include pertinent data and graphs in sufficient detail to explain any significant results achieved. A succinct description of the methodology used shall be provided. As the project progresses to completion, the emphasis in reporting in this section should shift from reporting activities to reporting accomplishments.

We are pleased to report that we have successfully completed all the proposed major goals/aims of the project. In the following sections, we describe in detail the completed tasks.

3.1 Characterization and optimization of the tunable acoustic lens (Task 1)

In this task, we proposed to develop a complete tunable EPAT system. Here we report our effort in designing, fabricating, and testing these components.




Figure 1. Fabrication of the liquid acoustic lens (a)-(e), measurement of the tunable focal length (f)

In this work, we demonstrated a liquid acoustic converging lens with an adjustable focal length and apply it to photoacoustic microscopy. We have managed to fabricate a novel liquid acoustic lens, whose focal length can be dynamically tuned by the infusion volume of the liquid (Figure 1). The fabrication process of liquid acoustic lens is illustrated in figures 1 (a)-1(e). The mold can be printed by a 3-D printer (Objet Eden 260V). Liquid-phase PDMS is poured into the mold, and after 40 minutes baking at 80 degree Celsius the PDMS can be hardened. Then it is peeled off from the mold and bonded with another piece of PDMS membrane. The occupation of such a tunable acoustic lens for photoacoustic imaging could greatly save the room required by scanning, since the axial scanning can be alternatively performed by tuning the acoustic focal length. Therefore, this may lead to a way to overcome the challenge to employ photoacoustic imaging method for endoscopy in trachea. The tunable function of this liquid acoustic lens is realized by pneumatically controlling the infusion volume of the liquid. Specifically, a syringe pump (KDS 210, KD Scientific) is used to accurately infuse or withdraw liquid into or from the lens chamber with a maximum pumping flow rate 474.8 $\mu\text{L/s}$ when using B-D 5ml syringe. In this way, the lens interface, which is an elastic membrane, can be tuned with its curvature. Therefore, the focal length of this acoustic lens can be adjusted simply by changing the infusion volume of the liquid.

We choose silicone oil as the working liquid, which has a relative refractive index of 1.4 and density of 0.986 g/cm^3 . The higher refractive index (relative to water) as well as the convex shape of the lens will enable an ability of focusing acoustic beam. To characterize the focal length of this liquid acoustic lens, a transducer (30MHz, V213, Olympus) was used in its transmission mode for providing ultrasound pulses. The liquid acoustic lens was attached to the transducer head to focus the acoustic wave. A hydrophone (HGL-0200, ONDA) was used to scan laterally and axially while detecting the ultrasound pulses from the transducer. Figure 1(f) shows the comparison of pressure distributions between the transducers with and without the liquid acoustic lens. The arrow in the figure shows the propagation direction of the acoustic beam. The acoustic wave emitted from the transducer has a slightly focused wavefront (refer to the pressure mapping of transducer without

the lens), which will eventually converge at the natural focus. When the transducer is working with the lens attached, the acoustic wave can be focused with variable focal length. Since the infusion volume of liquid determines the curvature of the lens, increasing the infusion volume by controlling the syringe pump can lead to a shortening of the focal length.




Figure 2. Curvature of the lens interface as a function of the infusion volume of liquid. The inset photographs illustrate the lens interface tuned by the infusion volume of the liquid.

The relationship between the curvature of the interface and the infusion volume of the liquid is characterized and shown in Figure 2. Assuming that the lens interface has aspherical shape and the liquid is incompressible, the infusion volume can mathematically determine the radius of the interface curvature (solid line in Figure 2) via

$$V = \pi h^2 (r - h/3), \quad (1)$$

where V represents the infusion volume of the liquid, and h and r denote the thickness of the lens and radius of the lens interface, respectively. The experimental results show a good agreement with the mathematical prediction. Setting this liquid acoustic lens on its focusing or diverging mode can be realized by purposely choosing the working liquid inside the lens chamber, which by principle is similar as manipulating optofluidic lens.




Figure 3. Focal length of the liquid acoustic lens as a function of the infusion volume of liquid.

Figure 3 shows the focal position as a function of the infusion volume of the liquid with both experimental results and theoretical analysis. The focal position can be mathematically calculated according to the conjugate relationship between image and object

$$\frac{1}{S_o} + \frac{1}{S_i} = \frac{1}{f}, \quad (2)$$

while considering the natural focus as the object S_o and focal position as the image S_i . The position of the natural focus can be calculated by

$$S_o = D^2 F / 4c, \quad (3)$$

where D is the diameter of the transducer, F is the working frequency, and c is the velocity of sound. The theoretical focal length of the liquid acoustic lens can be derived as a function of infusion volume using Eq. (1)

$$f = (V / \pi h^2 + h / 3) / (n - 1), \quad (4)$$

where n is the acoustic refractive index. From Eqs. (2) to (4), the focal position can be obtained:

$$\frac{1}{S_i} = \frac{1}{(V / \pi h^2 + h / 3) / (n - 1)} - \frac{4c}{D^2 F}, \quad (5)$$

which is represented as the solid line in Figure 3. The results from the experiment show an agreement with the theoretical analysis. The purpose of this experiment is to give a validation that the liquid acoustic lens can effectively focus acoustic wave with variable focal length, and also provides a calibration of the relationship between the focal positions and the infusion volume for the later phantom experiment.




Figure 4. Schematic of the experimental setup. Test was carried out to validate the functionality of the adjustable liquid acoustic lens: (1) Three hairs were buried at different depths within the background phantom with 4mm distance between each hair.

The advantage of this liquid acoustic lens with an adjustable focal length lies in imaging objects at different depths without axial scanning of the detector. We designed and carried out tests to demonstrate this advantage using human hair as micro-scale targets (Figure 4). The targets were buried in a background with an absorption coefficient of $\mu_a = 0.007 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ and a reduced scattering coefficient $\mu_s = 0.1 \text{ mm}^{-1}$. A 30MHz transducer (V213, Olympus) was used to collect the signal from the hair targets. The 30MHz transducer has outer diameter 11mm, and was equipped with corresponding lens whose apertures fit its outer diameter. The targets were illuminated and scanned by laser pulses with wavelength 532 nm and pulse duration 6 ns (NL 303HT, EKSPLA) coupled with

a translational stage (Zaber Tech T-LSM200A).




Figure 5. Images of hair by photoacoustic microscopy. Images of the three hairs in left column were captured by the 30MHz transducer without the liquid acoustic lens, and the other three column of images were captured with the lens under different conditions of infusion volumes.




Figure 6. Comparison of photoacoustic signals from the three hairs. The red line denotes the PA signal collected without using liquid acoustic lens; the black line represents the PA signal collected using the lens with a liquid infusion volume of 10 μ L.

Upon the energy deposition, an acoustic wave was generated from the local thermo-expansion and reflected by a glass slide to the ultrasound detector. The liquid acoustic lens was attached to the transducer and mounted on a hard-resin holder. The liquid was injected into the lens chamber through needle and tubing by a syringe pump (KDS 210, KD Scientific) with a flow rate of 1 μ L/s. During the data acquisition, the axial distance between the targets and the transducer was fixed,

and the translational stage provided a lateral scanning under each condition of infusion volume of the liquid.

For the hair imaging, three hairs were aligned in parallel and buried at different depths within the background phantom with 2.4mm distance between each other. The photoacoustic signal was first collected without using the liquid acoustic lens and processed with maximum amplitude projection (MAP) method. The images of the hairs (left column in Figure 5) show a broadened profile regarding the thickness of the hair. This lack of image fidelity is owing to the finite size of the effective diameter of the transducer. Considering the transducer has an effective diameter of several millimeters, the hairs were imaged to have approximately the same size regarding the thickness. After the transducer was equipped with the liquid acoustic lens, the PAM system managed to obtain sharper images of the hairs (with blue outlines in Figure 5) when the focus of the lens was axially adjusted to coincide with the position of the hair. During the test, the focal length was continuously shortened by increasing the infusion volume of liquid. Thus, hair 3, which was the farthest from the transducer, was first focused and imaged under a condition of low infusion volume of 10 ul. With the infusion volume going higher and focal length getting shorter, hair 2 and hair 1 came to their focus afterwards and were sharply imaged under the infusion conditions of 30 ul and 100ul, respectively.

We also looked into the comparison of photoacoustic signals between using and without the liquid acoustic lens, which is shown in Figure 6. The red line denotes the PA signal collected without using the liquid acoustic lens; the black line represents the PA signal collected using the lens with a liquid infusion volume of 10 ul. The collected PA signals from hair 2 and 3 when using the lens are stronger than those collected without the lens, while the difference of the PA signals of hair 1 goes to the opposite way. When employing the liquid acoustic lens with a 10 ul infusion volume, the focus was approximately located near the regions of hair 2 and 3, so a larger volume of the spherically radiating PA signals can be covered and collected by the transducer. On the other hand, the bare transducer can only take in the PA waves with Poynting vectors that fit the limited directivity of the transducer. With regard to hair 1, its position was relatively farther from the focus of the lens, so the spherical PA waves can hardly be converged to enter the transducer. Meanwhile, part of the PA signal could be reflected by the lens membrane, so the overall detected signal from hair 1 when using the lens is less than that using the bare transducer.

3.2 Design and optimization of the housing of the probe. (Task 2)

Figure 7 (a) illustrates the schematic of the probe for endobronchial photoacoustic microscopy based on the tunable acoustic lens, (b) shows the side view and front view of the fabricated endoscopic probe for PA imaging. A 10MHz transducer (3mm diameter, Olympus) is mounted along the central axis of the probe. The liquid acoustic lens is attached to transducer with ultrasound gel in between. The liquid, silicone oil with an acoustic refractive index 1.55, can be pumped into the lens chamber through the tunnel buried in the housing of the probe. The curvature of the lens interface can be controlled in a pneumatic way by an external syringe pump (KDS 210, KD Scientific). An optical fiber is employed to deliver the laser pulse through the window, which is located at the side of the probe. The window is sealed with a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) membrane, which is bio-compatible and can make a seamless contact with the trachea wall. When the tissues receive the pulsed electromagnetic energy, thermal vibration can be initiated and detected by the transducer. Indocyanine green can be used by exogenous injection to introduce better image contrast of the lymph nodes. A transparent glass slide is mounted to reflect the acoustic wave into transducer. By spinning the probe using the motor and tuning the focal length of the liquid acoustic lens, the transducer can cover a detection area. Owing to the tunable lens, the scanning process can be exempted from translating the transducer. And this makes the photoacoustic

microscopy feasible for the endobronchial application.




Figure 7. (a) Structure of the endoscopic PAT probe, (b) Fabricated endoscopic PAT probe




Figure 8. The whole EPAT imaging system

Figure 8 is the EPAT system for imaging. This imaging system consists of illumination part, acoustic detection part, rotation part, and data acquiring unit. The pulsed laser is used to illuminate the target to generate the photoacoustic (PA) signals. The pulsed laser from a Ti: Sapphire laser tunable (690 to 950 nm) is delivered to the target through the optical fiber, which is connected to the probe. The generated acoustic signals are collected by the transducer from 360 degree while the rotator is moving. The electrical signals of acoustic transducer are received by the data acquiring unit mounted on the computer with synchronic controlling. The PC is used to control the whole system for imaging and process the data for post processing.

3.3 Phantom experiments using the proposed probe. (Task 3)

The endoscopic probe has been demonstrated for evaluating the planar imaging ability firstly. The diameter of the fabricated probe is about 6mm which can be used for imaging the lymph node of

human lung cancer. The phantom experiment is conducted by using three hairs at different depths illustrated in figure 9. The hairs are separated by 5mm and 5mm along axial and lateral directions, respectively. The wavelength used to generate the acoustic signals is 532nm. The PA images shown in Figure 9 are obtained under three conditions: no focusing, out of focusing, and focal point at target 1, 2, and 3. The intensity and the diameter of the targets are analyzed quantitatively in figure 9 for the top, mid and bottom hairs. Based on the results, the focal point can be adjusted by injecting the silicon oil from 0 to 50 ul into the tube connected with the acoustic lens. The PA intensity can be increased when the focal point of the acoustic lens is focused on the target. The lateral resolution can be improved to about 300-400um by the focusing at the target, while the axial resolution about 200-300 um is decided by the frequency of the transducers. When the target is off the focal point or not focused, the intensity and lateral resolution are lower compared to the focused point. When the target is located more than 15mm, the signal is getting worse.




Figure 9. The PA imaging of hair phantom experiments using EPAT probe

The ultrasound images of the hair phantom are also obtained to evaluate the tunable focusing abilities, shown in figure 10. The setup of this ultrasound imaging method uses the same structure of probe but without the illumination of the pulsed laser. The focal point is adjusted by injecting the silicon oil into lens chamber. The ultrasound images in figure 10 are reconstructed by using the reflected ultrasound signals. Intensity and the diameter of the targets are analyzed to evaluate the image quality. According to the result in figure 10, the focal point has the best lateral resolution and strongest contrast. The EPAT probe can successfully image the target at different depths within 15mm. The conclusion is similar to the PA results shown in figure 9. However, the ultrasound image has better signal to noise ratio due to the high penetration of the ultrasound signals as source. Based on the 2D image, we explored the 3D imaging using the same probe by adding an extra scanning in Z direction. The 3D reconstructed images are shown in Figure 11. The top hair target is imaged under no focusing and focused conditions. The top view and side view of the top hair show that the target has the best intensity and resolution when it is well focused compared to the image with no focusing.




Figure 10. The ultrasound image of hair phantom experiments using EPAT probe




Figure 11. 3D ultrasound imaging of hair phantom. (a) Different views of the reconstructed 3D images under no focusing (a-c), and focusing (d-f).




Figure 12. (a) Schematic of dual-modality endoscopic imaging with circular scanning mode, PAI images of two coppers embedded at different positions under no focusing (b), and focusing at target 2 (c) and at target 1 (d). Insets show the close-up views of targets 1 and 2 under different focusing modes.




Figure 13. 2D (a-c) and 3D (d-f) ultrasound images of copper wires at different positions with circular scanning under no focusing (a), and focusing at target 2 (b) and target 1 (c).

The endoscopic imaging performance of the EPAT probe is evaluated by rotating the probe to obtain the image from 360 degree. This imaging method is used to test the endoscopic imaging ability for lung cancer. Two targets of copper wires with diameter of 0.1mm are imaged using this EPAT probe shown in figure 12. The right side shows the structure of the setup and phantom. The left side shows the image results of the two targets with and without focusing. When the focal point is moved to target 1, the lateral resolution is improved and the intensity is also increased compared to the no focusing condition. The same conclusion is drawn based on the target 2, but the deeper depth decreased the image quality of target 2. Figure 13 is the result of endoscopic ultrasound image of copper wires using the same probe and phantom. The probe also shows the ability to image the targets for ultrasound reconstruction by adjusting the focal point at different depths. 3D images are reconstructed in figure 13 (lower row) to show the image ability of this probe for non-focusing and focusing. The target 1 and 2 can be imaged sharply when the focal point is focused at the right depth. So far, we have finished the evaluating the probe using standard phantoms. This integrated tunable acoustic probe has the ability to image targets as small as 100um at the depth of about 1cm.




Figure 14. 2D endoscopic photoacoustic images of two simulating tumors with no focusing (a), and focusing at tumor 1 (b) and tumor 2 (c). The two simulating tumor targets are embedded inside the phantom with a separation of 3mm along the axial direction. The size of each tumor is about 2x5x5mm.

Based on the phantom experiments using hairs and copper wires, we extended the experiments to using the phantom mimicking solid tumor to evaluate the imaging performance of the endoscopic probe for a real target. In figure 14, two simulating tumor targets are embedded inside the phantom with the separation of 3mm along the axial direction. The size of the simulating tumor is about 2*5*5mm for thickness, width and length, respectively. The setup of the endoscopic imaging is the same as shown above. During the test, the infusion volume of acoustic lens was increased and under each condition of infusion volume, circular scanning was performed for the data acquisition. When the infusion volume went up to 5 ul, tumor 1, which was further away from the transducer, came into focus, while tumor 2 was out of focus to have a blurred image. As the infusion volume was increased to 50 ul leading to a shorter focal length, tumor 2, which was closer to the transducer, came into focus and was shapely imaged. Using this liquid acoustic lens, two targets with an axial distance of 3-5mm can be imaged without the implementation of axial scanning but alternatively by adjusting the focal length of the acoustic lens.




Figure 15. 2D endoscopic photoacoustic images of targets containing graphene nanosheets with different concentration (0.06mg/ml, 0.03mg/ml, 0.015mg/ml) (a), at different depths (3, 6 and 9mm) (b), and with different target sizes (c).

In the real situation of lymph node, the tissue does not include optical absorber which is important for PA imaging. To image the lymph node, the dye or absorber is necessary to generate the acoustic signals for PA signals. Three kinds of lymph node mimic phantoms are tested with the graphene as the optical absorber. In figure 15, phantom 1 has three targets with different concentrations of graphene solution: 0.06mg/ml, 0.03mg/ml and 0.015mg/ml. By changing the concentration, we can find the best concentration for PA imaging. The results show that the 0.06mg/ml can provide clear image quality for PA imaging. The phantom2 shown in figure 15 includes three targets at different depths (3mm separation) with same concentration of graphene 0.06mg/ml. The results indicated that the deeper depth decreases the intensity of the signal and image quality even the concentrations of the absorber are the same. The phantoms3 is designed to evaluate the ability of this imaging method to differentiate the size of the targets. Two targets with different size: 4mm and 2mm are included in the phantom. According to the reconstructed images, it is easy to tell the different size of the target by measuring the lateral length of the reconstructed targets.

3.4 Assess the experimental results and make modifications (if needed) on the design. (Task 4)

We have successfully demonstrated the endoscopic imaging of PAT probe for tunable focusing. Extensive phantom experimental results have shown that this tunable acoustic focusing technique is able to increase the signal intensity and lateral resolution when the focal point is at the position of the target. These features facilitate both photoacoustic and ultrasound techniques in endoscopic imaging that requires deep tissue penetration, variable imaging depths, and high acoustic resolution.

3.5 Produce a prototype of the design and write a final report on this project. (Task 5)

We have produced a prototype of the design as described in previous sections, and are now submitting this completed final report.

What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?

If the project was not intended to provide training and professional development opportunities or there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe opportunities for training and professional development provided to anyone who worked on the project or anyone who was involved in the activities supported by the project. “Training” activities are those in which individuals with advanced professional skills and experience assist others in attaining greater proficiency. Training activities may include, for example, courses or one-on-one work with a mentor. “Professional development” activities result in increased knowledge or skill in one’s area of expertise and may include workshops, conferences, seminars, study groups, and individual study. Include participation in conferences, workshops, and seminars not listed under major activities.

Nothing to Report

How were the results disseminated to communities of interest?

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe how the results were disseminated to communities of interest. Include any outreach activities that were undertaken to reach members of communities who are not usually aware of these project activities, for the purpose of enhancing public understanding and increasing interest in learning and careers in science, technology, and the humanities.

Nothing to Report

What do you plan to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals?

If this is the final report, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe briefly what you plan to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals and objectives.

Nothing to Report

4. **IMPACT:** Describe distinctive contributions, major accomplishments, innovations, successes, or any change in practice or behavior that has come about as a result of the project relative to:

What was the impact on the development of the principal discipline(s) of the project?

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe how findings, results, techniques that were developed or extended, or other products from the project made an impact or are likely to make an impact on the base of knowledge, theory, and research in the principal disciplinary field(s) of the project. Summarize using language that an intelligent lay audience can understand (Scientific American style).

Currently lung cancer is the leading cause of death in the United States. An accurate staging of metastasis status determines the optimum management of treatment to patients. Medical imaging diagnosis alone is not sufficient and only yields a suspicion of metastasis. Invasive biopsy has to be carried out to confirm the staging. The proposed research of developing a novel endobronchial photoacoustic microscopy will lead to a less invasive and more efficient method to guide the fine needle aspiration of lymph node. It will also help doctor to evaluate the tumor staging to improve the diagnosis and the consequent therapy.

What was the impact on other disciplines?

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe how the findings, results, or techniques that were developed or improved, or other products from the project made an impact or are likely to make an impact on other disciplines.

Nothing to Report

What was the impact on technology transfer?

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe ways in which the project made an impact, or is likely to make an impact, on commercial technology or public use, including:

- *transfer of results to entities in government or industry;*
- *instances where the research has led to the initiation of a start-up company; or*
- *adoption of new practices.*

Nothing to Report

What was the impact on society beyond science and technology?

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe how results from the project made an impact, or are likely to make an impact, beyond the bounds of science, engineering, and the academic world on areas such as:

- *improving public knowledge, attitudes, skills, and abilities;*

- *changing behavior, practices, decision making, policies (including regulatory policies), or social actions; or*
- *improving social, economic, civic, or environmental conditions.*

Nothing to Report

5. **CHANGES/PROBLEMS:** The Project Director/Principal Investigator (PD/PI) is reminded that the recipient organization is required to obtain prior written approval from the awarding agency Grants Officer whenever there are significant changes in the project or its direction. If not previously reported in writing, provide the following additional information or state, “Nothing to Report,” if applicable:

Changes in approach and reasons for change

Describe any changes in approach during the reporting period and reasons for these changes. Remember that significant changes in objectives and scope require prior approval of the agency.

Nothing to Report

Actual or anticipated problems or delays and actions or plans to resolve them

Describe problems or delays encountered during the reporting period and actions or plans to resolve them.

Nothing to Report

Changes that had a significant impact on expenditures

Describe changes during the reporting period that may have had a significant impact on expenditures, for example, delays in hiring staff or favorable developments that enable meeting objectives at less cost than anticipated.

Nothing to Report

Significant changes in use or care of human subjects, vertebrate animals, biohazards, and/or select agents

Describe significant deviations, unexpected outcomes, or changes in approved protocols for the use or care of human subjects, vertebrate animals, biohazards, and/or select agents during the reporting period. If required, were these changes approved by the applicable institution

committee (or equivalent) and reported to the agency? Also specify the applicable Institutional Review Board/Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approval dates.

Significant changes in use or care of human subjects

Nothing to Report

Significant changes in use or care of vertebrate animals.

Nothing to Report

Significant changes in use of biohazards and/or select agents

Nothing to Report

6. PRODUCTS: List any products resulting from the project during the reporting period. If there is nothing to report under a particular item, state “Nothing to Report.”

- **Publications, conference papers, and presentations**
Report only the major publication(s) resulting from the work under this award.

Journal publications. List peer-reviewed articles or papers appearing in scientific, technical, or professional journals. Identify for each publication: Author(s); title; journal; volume; year; page numbers; status of publication (published; accepted, awaiting publication; submitted, under review; other); acknowledgement of federal support (yes/no).

Chaolong Song, and Huabei Jiang, 'Tunable Acoustic Lens for Photoacoustic Microscopy', in *Biomedical Optics* (Optical Society of America, 2014), p. BS3A. 65.

Books or other non-periodical, one-time publications. Report any book, monograph, dissertation, abstract, or the like published as or in a separate publication, rather than a periodical or series. Include any significant publication in the proceedings of a one-time conference or in the report of a one-time study, commission, or the like. Identify for each one-time publication: Author(s); title; editor; title of collection, if applicable; bibliographic information; year; type of publication (e.g., book, thesis or dissertation); status of publication (published; accepted, awaiting publication; submitted, under review; other); acknowledgement of federal support (yes/no).

Nothing to Report

Other publications, conference papers, and presentations. *Identify any other publications, conference papers and/or presentations not reported above. Specify the status of the publication as noted above. List presentations made during the last year (international, national, local societies, military meetings, etc.). Use an asterisk (*) if presentation produced a manuscript.*

Nothing to Report

- **Website(s) or other Internet site(s)**

List the URL for any Internet site(s) that disseminates the results of the research activities. A short description of each site should be provided. It is not necessary to include the publications already specified above in this section.

Nothing to Report

- **Technologies or techniques**

Identify technologies or techniques that resulted from the research activities. In addition to a description of the technologies or techniques, describe how they will be shared.

Nothing to Report

- **Inventions, patent applications, and/or licenses**

Identify inventions, patent applications with date, and/or licenses that have resulted from the research. State whether an application is provisional or non-provisional and indicate the application number. Submission of this information as part of an interim research performance progress report is not a substitute for any other invention reporting required under the terms and conditions of an award.

Nothing to Report

- **Other Products**

Identify any other reportable outcomes that were developed under this project. Reportable outcomes are defined as a research result that is or relates to a product, scientific advance, or research tool that makes a meaningful contribution toward the understanding, prevention, diagnosis, prognosis, treatment, and/or rehabilitation of a disease, injury or condition, or to improve the quality of life. Examples include:

- *data or databases;*
- *biospecimen collections;*
- *audio or video products;*
- *software;*
- *models;*
- *educational aids or curricula;*
- *instruments or equipment;*
- *research material (e.g., Germplasm; cell lines, DNA probes, animal models);*
- *clinical interventions;*
- *new business creation; and*
- *other.*

Nothing to Report

7. PARTICIPANTS & OTHER COLLABORATING ORGANIZATIONS

What individuals have worked on the project?

Provide the following information for: (1) PDs/PIs; and (2) each person who has worked at least one person month per year on the project during the reporting period, regardless of the source of compensation (a person month equals approximately 160 hours of effort). If information is unchanged from a previous submission, provide the name only and indicate “no change.”

Example:

<i>Name:</i>	<i>Mary Smith</i>
<i>Project Role:</i>	<i>Graduate Student</i>
<i>Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID ID):</i>	<i>1234567</i>
<i>Nearest person month worked:</i>	<i>5</i>

<i>Contribution to Project:</i>	<i>Ms. Smith has performed work in the area of combined error-control and constrained coding.</i>
<i>Funding Support:</i>	<i>The Ford Foundation (Complete only if the funding support is provided from other than this award).</i>

Name:	Dr. Huabei Jiang
Project Role:	PI
Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID ID):	
Nearest person month worked:	2
Contribution to Project:	Directed/Oversaw the Project
Name:	Chaolong Song
Project Role:	PostDoc
Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID ID):	
Nearest person month worked:	2
Contribution to Project:	Designed and fabricated the Liquid lens
Name:	Hua Huang
Project Role:	Graduate Student
Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID ID):	
Nearest person month worked:	4
Contribution to Project:	Fabricated the endoscope based on the liquid lens, performed the phantom imaging experiments.
Name:	Xianjin Dai
Project Role:	Graduate Student
Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID ID):	2
Nearest person month worked:	
Contribution to Project:	Conducted the Phantom Experiments
Name:	Jianbo Tang
Project Role:	Graduate Student
Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID ID):	
Nearest person month worked:	3
Contribution to Project:	Performed the Phantom Experiments

Has there been a change in the active other support of the PD/PI(s) or senior/key personnel since the last reporting period?

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

If the active support has changed for the PD/PI(s) or senior/key personnel, then describe what the change has been. Changes may occur, for example, if a previously active grant has closed and/or if a previously pending grant is now active. Annotate this information so it is clear what has changed from the previous submission. Submission of other support information is not necessary for pending changes or for changes in the level of effort for active support reported previously. The awarding agency may require prior written approval if a change in active other support significantly impacts the effort on the project that is the subject of the project report.

Nothing to Report

What other organizations were involved as partners?

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe partner organizations – academic institutions, other nonprofits, industrial or commercial firms, state or local governments, schools or school systems, or other organizations (foreign or domestic) – that were involved with the project. Partner organizations may have provided financial or in-kind support, supplied facilities or equipment, collaborated in the research, exchanged personnel, or otherwise contributed.

Provide the following information for each partnership:

Organization Name:

Location of Organization: (if foreign location list country)

Partner’s contribution to the project (identify one or more)

- *Financial support;*
- *In-kind support (e.g., partner makes software, computers, equipment, etc., available to project staff);*
- *Facilities (e.g., project staff use the partner’s facilities for project activities);*
- *Collaboration (e.g., partner’s staff work with project staff on the project);*
- *Personnel exchanges (e.g., project staff and/or partner’s staff use each other’s facilities, work at each other’s site); and*
- *Other.*

<i>Nothing to Report</i>

8. SPECIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

COLLABORATIVE AWARDS: For collaborative awards, independent reports are required from BOTH the Initiating PI and the Collaborating/Partnering PI. A duplicative report is acceptable; however, tasks shall be clearly marked with the responsible PI and research site. A report shall be submitted to <https://ers.amedd.army.mil> for each unique award.

QUAD CHARTS: If applicable, the Quad Chart (available on <https://www.usamraa.army.mil>) should be updated and submitted with attachments.

- 9. APPENDICES:** Attach all appendices that contain information that supplements, clarifies or supports the text. Examples include original copies of journal articles, reprints of manuscripts and abstracts, a curriculum vitae, patent applications, study questionnaires, and surveys, etc.