

**VOLKSWIRTSCHAFTLICHE DISKUSSIONSBEITRÄGE**

**WORKING PAPERS IN ECONOMICS**

Friedrich L. Sell and Michael Öllinger

On the distributional effects of minimum wages. A note

## Autoren/Authors

### **Michael Öllinger**

Universität der Bundeswehr München / Bundeswehr University Munich  
Institut für Controlling, Finanz- und Risikomanagement  
Werner-Heisenberg-Weg 39  
85577 Neubiberg  
Germany  
michael.oellinger@unibw.de

### **Friedrich L. Sell (corresponding author)**

Universität der Bundeswehr München / Bundeswehr University Munich  
Institut für Ökonomie und Recht der globalen Wirtschaft  
Werner-Heisenberg-Weg 39  
85577 Neubiberg  
Germany  
friedrich.sell@unibw.de

## Herausgeber/Editors

Prof. Dr. Stefan D. Josten  
Prof. Dr. Karl Morasch  
Prof. Dr. Friedrich L. Sell

Bis zum Jahr 2008 (20. Jg.) erschien diese Reihe unter dem Titel:

Until 2008 published as:

„Diskussionsbeiträge des Instituts für Volkswirtschaftslehre der Universität der Bundeswehr München“.

*Dieser Diskussionsbeitrag ist auch als elektronische Version verfügbar unter:  
An electronic version of this paper may be downloaded from:  
<http://www.unibw.de/makro/forschung/diskussion>*

**REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE**

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE Jul 2017	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Working Paper	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE On The Distributional Effects of Minimum Wages. A Note			5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
6. AUTHOR(S) Friedrich L. Sell Michael Ollinger				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) UNIBW			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Universität für der Bundeswehr München Werner-Heisenberg-Weg 39 D-85577 Neubiberg Germany			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Text in German.				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Public release. Copyrighted. (1 and 20)			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) In this investigation, a political economy model of the labor market is proposed, where unions offer their (old and new) affiliates the combinations between the average real wage level and the standard deviation of wages or salaries. Globalization and other forces, however, have made it recently more difficult to the unions to pursue their policy in the backdrop of a declining union density. This has been established empirically for selected European countries. In an econometric exercise, we have also tested directly the impact of changes in real wages, minimum wage rate, and the effect of 90 to 10 decile ratio on the change in the degree of affiliation, which the unions were able to achieve in the recent past. Machine assisted translation.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS UNIBW, German, Political Economy of the labor market, union density, wage dispersion, average and minimum wages			15. NUMBER OF PAGES	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)  
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18  
298-102

# **On the distributional effects of minimum wages. A note**

by

**Michael Öllinger und Friedrich L. Sell**

Juli 2017

## **Abstract**

In this note, we recall that unions traditionally pursue a policy of pushing higher average wages and, at the same time, for a compression of the structure of wages and salaries, which is some sort of equity. Given the precipitous fall of union density in many, if not all, OECD countries, the actual good climate for minimum wage policy comes at no surprise. Despite the fact that unions do dislike the intervention of labor market policy to the detriment of tariff autonomy, we can show that minimum wages are capable of serving both of the above-mentioned goals of unions and also help increase the overall wage quota in the economy. Hence, It can be concluded that the unions have a double dividend when inviting policy makers to pursue a policy of minimum wages: both the average wage rate can be increased and the dispersion of wages be lowered, *ceteris paribus*.

**JEL Categories:** J51, J38, J41, J58

**Keywords:** strategy of unions, wage dispersion, average and minimum wages, wage quota

# On the distributional effects of minimum wages. A note

## 1. Introduction

Unions traditionally pursue a policy of pushing higher average wages and, at the same time, for a compression of the structure of wages and salaries, which is some sort of equity. Given the precipitous fall of union density in many, if not all, OECD countries, the actual good climate for minimum wage policy comes at no surprise. Despite the fact that unions do dislike the intervention of labor market policy to the detriment of tariff autonomy, it can be shown easily that minimum wages are capable of serving both of the above-mentioned goals of unions and also help increase the overall wage quota in the economy. The latter, as it seems, continues to be a core variable when it comes to the discussion of the eternal conflict between labor and capital. This short paper is organized as follows: In the subsequent section, we recall briefly the other contributions on the subject. Thereafter, we present some simple algebra on the wage quota and possible strategies adopted by unions to raise the latter. Then, we present an analytical and graphical analysis of the impact of minimum wages on the density function of wages and salaries. A short conclusion at the end culminates the exposition.

## 2. What does the recent literature say?

The majority of contributions on this subject have analyzed empirically the impact of minimum wages on some measures of overall inequality of the economy or society in concern. Such is the case in the contributions of Escobar Toledo (2014) on Mexico; Groisman (2014) on Argentina; Butcher, Dickens, and Manning (2012) on UK; Bosch Mossi and Manacorda (2010) on Mexico; and Chun and Khor (2010) on Indonesia. Besides these, the more theoretical papers such as those of Belser and Rani (2015), Manning (2011) and Adam and Moutos (2006) either relate the issue to employment problems and possibilities or set up an institutional and sociological framework. In some cases, they also present the subject in a historical perspective. All these studies are thoughtful and have their own merits but leave aside the important aspect of regarding explicitly the distribution of wages and salaries before and after the introduction of minimum wages. This is what we address in the following section.

### 3. Some simple algebra

$$(1) W = w_{ar} \cdot L$$

Total wage sum  $W$  is the product of the average wage rate,  $w_{ar}$ , and the number of employed,  $L$ . In turn, employment will be low (high) if the average wage rate  $w_{ar}$  is high (the dispersion of wages, measured by the standard deviation of wages,  $\sigma$ ):

$$(2) L = L(w_{ar}, \sigma) \text{ with } \frac{\partial L}{\partial w_{ar}} < 0; \frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma} > 0$$

While the negative relationship between employment and wages is standard as long as the demand for labor is the short side of the labor market. The impact of a high standard deviation indicates a wage structure that resembles, as good as possible, the distribution of talents and capabilities and hence helps boost employment.

$$(3) Y = \beta \cdot L(w_{ar}, \sigma) \text{ with } \beta = \beta(w_{ar}, \sigma) \text{ and } \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial w_{ar}} > 0; \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \sigma} > 0$$

Total output,  $Y$ , is the product of employment,  $L$ , and labor productivity,  $\beta$ . The latter, due to the effect of efficiency wages, is a positive function of the average wage rate and increases further with the standard deviation of wages and salaries. This effect represents the virtue of an optimal degree of labor division.

The unions interested in the wage quota (which, by the way, is equal to the real unit labor costs, RULC) have to consider the following equation:

$$(4) RULC = \tilde{R} = \frac{W}{p \cdot Y} = \frac{w_{ar}}{\beta(w_{ar}, \sigma) \cdot p}$$

The determinants of changes in RULC can be determined by the total derivative:

$$(5) dRULC = d\tilde{R} = dw_{ar} \left[ 1 - \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial w_{ar}} \right] - d\sigma \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \sigma} - dp$$

The growth rate of RULC, hence, reads as:

$$(6) \frac{dRULC}{RULC} = \frac{d\tilde{R}}{\tilde{R}} = \frac{dw_{ar} \left[ 1 - \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial w_{ar}} \right] - d\sigma \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial \sigma} - dp}{[w_{ar} : (\beta \cdot p)]}$$

The unions striving for a positive growth rate of the wage quota/RULC should aim at raising the average wage rate ( $dw_{ar} > 0$ ) and at reducing the dispersion of wages ( $d\sigma < 0$ ). The development of the inflation rate seems to be out of reach for unions.

#### 4. The distribution effect of minimum wages

Notice that in the most casual case of a log-normal distribution of wages, a higher dispersion of wages will elevate the average wage rate, *ceteris paribus*:

$$(7) \quad dw_{ar} = (d\mu + \sigma \cdot d\sigma) \cdot e^{(\mu + 1/2\sigma^2)}$$

(see Beichelt and Montgomery 2003, pp. 46-48)

**Figure 1** shows such a log-normal distribution of wages.




Figure 1: The stylized distribution pattern of wages. Source: Authors.

In order to determine the effect of introducing minimum wages, given such a distribution pattern, we used the statutory minimum wage rate of 8.50 € per hour, introduced in Germany on January 1, 2015, as an example. Two scenarios may emerge: the first one (Figure 2) distributes evenly the “lost density” sort over the rest of the density function. The second one (Figure 3) concentrates on the compensating density to the area on the right side of the minimum wage. This implies that the employees earning more than the minimum wage want to regain the distance to the lower wage group they already had before the minimum wage rate was introduced.

It is important to realize that, in both scenarios, the average wage rate increases ( $dw_{ar} > 0$ ) and the standard deviation decreases  $d\sigma < 0$ .




Figure 2: The subsidiary effect of a minimum wage rate, Case a. Source: authors.




Figure 3: The subsidiary effect of a minimum wage rate. Case b. *Source:* authors.

## 5. Conclusion

It can be concluded that the unions have a double dividend when inviting policy makers to pursue a policy of minimum wages: both the average wage rate can be increased and the dispersion of wages be lowered, *ceteris paribus*.

## 6. References

- Adam, A./Moutos, T. (2006), Minimum wages, inequality and unemployment, in: *Economics Letters*, Vol. 92, No. 2, pp. 170-176.
- Beichelt, F. E. /Montgomery, D. C. (2003), *Teubner-Paperback of Stochastics: Probability Theory, Stochastic Processes, Mathematical Statistics (Teubner-Taschenbuch der Stochastik: Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie, Stochastische Prozesse, Mathematische Statistik)*, 1st edn, Wiesbaden: Teubner-Verlag.
- Belser, P./Rani, U., (2015), Minimum wages and inequality, in: *Labour Markets, institutions and inequality: building just societies in the 21<sup>st</sup> century*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, pp. 123-146.
- Bosch Mossi, M./Manacorda, M. (2010), Minimum wages and earnings inequality in urban Mexico, in: *American economic journal*, Vol. 2, No. 4, pp. 128-149.
- Bosch Mossi, M./Manacorda, M. (2008), Minimum wages and earnings inequality in urban Mexico, revisiting the evidence. CEP Discussion Paper 1990-; 880, London.
- Butcher, T./Dickens, R./Manning, A, (2012), Minimum wages and wage inequality: some theory and an application to the UK, CEP Discussion Paper 1990-; 1177, London.
- Chun, N./Khor, N. (2010), Minimum wages and changing inequality in Indonesia, ADB economics working paper series 2008- ; 196, Manila.
- Escobar Toledo, S. (2014), Salarios minimos: desigualdad y desarrollo, in: *Economia*, Vol. 11, No. 33, pp. 94-109.
- Groisman, F. (2014), Employment, inequality and minimum wages in Argentina, in: *Creative labor regulation: indeterminacy and protection in an uncertain world*. Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 87-125.
- Manning, A. (2011), *Employment in the lean years: policy and prospects for the next decade*. Oxford University Press, pp. 134-150.

In dieser Reihe sind zuletzt erschienen / Recently published:

## 2015

- 27/01 **Sell, Friedrich L. und Öllinger, Michael**, Towards equilibrium in income distribution: theoretical background and empirical evidence for European Countries

## 2014

- 26/02 **Zhu, Yanyuan und Xiao, Feng**, China's National Production Function Since 1997: A Reinvestigation  
26/01 **Sell, Friedrich L. und Ernst Ruf**, Anmerkungen zum Monopson am Arbeitsmarkt II

## 2013

- 25/05 **Morasch, Karl**, Cooperation and Competition in Markets with Network Externalities or Learning Curves  
25/04 **Sell, Friedrich L., Werner, Thomas, Reinisch, David C.**, Price Effects of Minimum Wages: Evidence from the Construction Sector in East and West Germany  
25/03 **Bartholomae, Florian W.**, Networks, Hackers and Nonprotected Consumers  
25/02 **Sell, Friedrich L. und Reinisch, David C.**, How do Beveridge and Philips curves in the Euro Area behave under the stress of the World Economic Crisis?  
25/01 **Sell, Friedrich L. und Sauer, Beate**, Ist he Eurozone not a Monetary Union, bit an Extraordinary Exchange Rate Union?

## 2012

- 24/02 **Sell, Friedrich L. und David C. Reinisch**, Anmerkungen zum Monopson am Arbeitsmarkt: Der Zeithorizont macht den Unterschied  
24/01 **Sell, Friedrich L. und Felix Stratmann**, Verteilungs(un)gleichgewicht in Deutschland: Zweieinhalb theoretische Konzepte und fünf empirische Belege

## 2011

- 23/02 **Sell, Friedrich L. und Beate Sauer**, A Further View on Current Account, Capital Account and Target2 Balances: Assessing the Effect on Capital Structure and Economic Welfare  
23/01 **Sell, Friedrich L. und Felix Stratmann**, Downs' ökonomische Theorie der Demokratie 2.0: Politische Präferenzen und Gleichheitsaversion

**Universität der Bundeswehr München  
Fachgruppe Volkswirtschaftslehre an der  
Fakultät für Wirtschafts- und Organisationswissenschaften  
D – 85577 Neubiberg**