



Continuum Shape Sensitivity Analysis with Spatial Gradient Reconstruction for Fluid-Structure Interaction

**Robert Canfield
VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INST AND STATE UNIVERSITY**

**04/24/2019
Final Report**

DISTRIBUTION A: Distribution approved for public release.

**Air Force Research Laboratory
AF Office Of Scientific Research (AFOSR)/ RTA2
Arlington, Virginia 22203
Air Force Materiel Command**

DISTRIBUTION A: Distribution approved for public release.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGEForm Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 15-12-2018		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING SF 298

1. REPORT DATE. Full publication date, including day, month, if available. Must cite at least the year and be Year 2000 compliant, e.g. 30-06-1998; xx-06-1998; xx-xx-1998.

2. REPORT TYPE. State the type of report, such as final, technical, interim, memorandum, master's thesis, progress, quarterly, research, special, group study, etc.

3. DATE COVERED. Indicate the time during which the work was performed and the report was written, e.g., Jun 1997 - Jun 1998; 1-10 Jun 1996; May - Nov 1998; Nov 1998.

4. TITLE. Enter title and subtitle with volume number and part number, if applicable. On classified documents, enter the title classification in parentheses.

5a. CONTRACT NUMBER. Enter all contract numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. F33315-86-C-5169.

5b. GRANT NUMBER. Enter all grant numbers as they appear in the report. e.g. AFOSR-82-1234.

5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER. Enter all program element numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 61101A.

5e. TASK NUMBER. Enter all task numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 05; RF0330201; T4112.

5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER. Enter all work unit numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 001; AFAPL30480105.

6. AUTHOR(S). Enter name(s) of person(s) responsible for writing the report, performing the research, or credited with the content of the report. The form of entry is the last name, first name, middle initial, and additional qualifiers separated by commas, e.g. Smith, Richard, J, Jr.

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES). Self-explanatory.

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER. Enter all unique alphanumeric report numbers assigned by the performing organization, e.g. BRL-1234; AFWL-TR-85-4017-Vol-21-PT-2.

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES). Enter the name and address of the organization(s) financially responsible for and monitoring the work.

10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S). Enter, if available, e.g. BRL, ARDEC, NADC.

11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S). Enter report number as assigned by the sponsoring/monitoring agency, if available, e.g. BRL-TR-829; -215.

12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT. Use agency-mandated availability statements to indicate the public availability or distribution limitations of the report. If additional limitations/ restrictions or special markings are indicated, follow agency authorization procedures, e.g. RD/FRD, PROPIN, ITAR, etc. Include copyright information.

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES. Enter information not included elsewhere such as: prepared in cooperation with; translation of; report supersedes; old edition number, etc.

14. ABSTRACT. A brief (approximately 200 words) factual summary of the most significant information.

15. SUBJECT TERMS. Key words or phrases identifying major concepts in the report.

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION. Enter security classification in accordance with security classification regulations, e.g. U, C, S, etc. If this form contains classified information, stamp classification level on the top and bottom of this page.

17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT. This block must be completed to assign a distribution limitation to the abstract. Enter UU (Unclassified Unlimited) or SAR (Same as Report). An entry in this block is necessary if the abstract is to be limited.

FINAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

15 December 2018

for

CONTINUUM SHAPE SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS WITH SPATIAL GRADIENT

RECONSTRUCTION FOR FLUID-STRUCTURE PROGRAM

AFOSR GRANT # FA9550-16-1-0125

Performance period: 15 DEC 2015 to 14 DEC 2018

Robert A. Canfield
Aerospace and Ocean Engineering Department
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

AFOSR Program Manager
Dr. Fariba Fahroo

CONTINUUM SHAPE SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS WITH SPATIAL GRADIENT RECONSTRUCTION FOR FLUID-STRUCTURE PROGRAM

Robert A. Canfield

Abstract

The objective of this research was to develop a computational method to calculate the sensitivity of aeroelastic response with respect to changes in vehicle shape. An efficient and accurate continuum sensitivity analysis (CSA) method was developed to compute shape design derivatives for nonlinear aeroelastic structures. These design derivatives facilitate efficient design optimization of high-fidelity, aeroelastic, computational models. CSA differentiates the nonlinear governing fluid-structure interaction (FSI) partial differential system of equations to arrive at a linear system of partial differential *continuum sensitivity equations* (CSEs). FSI boundary conditions were differentiated to specify the sensitivity boundary conditions. Accuracy of this CSA *boundary velocity formulation* was ensured through spatial gradient reconstruction (SGR) to overcome inaccuracy compared to domain velocity or discrete analytic formulations. The benefit is that geometric sensitivity, known as design velocity, is not required in the domain. In contrast to the usual discrete sensitivity approach, a principal advantage of CSA is that mesh sensitivity and differentiation of the discrete Jacobian system matrix is avoided. An important consideration is to distinguish design velocity from grid velocity at the FSI interface for arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian (ALE) reference frames, which are often needed for aeroelastic gust response involving rigid body motion. A novel continuum-discrete *hybrid adjoint* formulation was derived to efficiently handle the case of many design variables. This original CSA method with SGR was developed in a nonintrusive manner for gust response, enabling use with common FSI analysis tools. Recovery of the analysis rate of convergence was often achieved for CSA.

Summary

AFOSR awarded the grant in Dec 2015. This grant builds upon research begun in AFOSR grant begun in FY11. The research goal was to derive, implement, and demonstrate a novel method to compute shape sensitivity of flexible aircraft. Just as finite differences are popular because they treat analysis tools as black boxes to approximate derivatives, the proposed approach is to efficiently compute accurate design derivatives by post-processing analysis results in a nonintrusive manner, obviating the need to modify analysis source code. The three-year follow-on research effort has further developed the computational algorithms for efficient analysis and design optimization of aeroelastic models in several areas.

Progress in the first year was in three areas: 1) nonintrusive implementation of continuum sensitivity analysis (CSA) for finite volume computational fluid dynamics (FV-CFD) demonstrated for the commercial Fluent CFD solver, 2) convergence rate studies of spatial gradient reconstruction (SGR) for FV-CFD flow analysis, and 3) CSA derivation for an arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian (ALE) reference frame for fluid-structure interaction (FSI).

Results for rates of convergence for SGR and CSA obtained for a lid-driven cavity flow and for a NACA 0012 airfoil are presented in the following Section 2 attached to this annual report. Figure

2.16 illustrates that second-order rate of convergence is achieved for the cavity flow using SGR patches of at least two layers, based on reconstructing results for a manufactured solution. Figures 2.35 and 2.36 indicate that in general second-order, and sometimes not even first-order, rate of convergence for spatial derivatives was not achieved, based on reconstructing FV-CFD results from SU2 flow solver. Consequently, less than first-order rate of convergence was achieved for shape derivatives of lift coefficient (Figure 2.40), while first-order rate was achieved for drag coefficient derivatives (Figure 2.41) with respect to airfoil shape parameters.

In the second year, additional study of boundary conditions and their material derivatives was undertaken, based on the generally first-order rates of convergence reported for finite volume CFD in the first year. In particular, boundary condition enforcement and additional layers in SGR patches are being investigated as a means to improve reconstruction of flow state variables and their spatial derivatives, which drive the shape derivative CSA.

In the third year, CSA-SGR was implemented in the AFRL MSTC computational environment with design velocity for parametric shape change and meshing driven by the Computational Aircraft Prototype Syntheses (CAPS) program. In contrast to the usual discrete sensitivity approach, a principal advantage of CSA is that mesh sensitivity in the domain is avoided and the system Jacobian matrix is not perturbed or regenerated. Also, progress in the last year was achieved in deriving FSI CSA in an arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian (ALE) reference frame, which is often needed for aeroelastic gust response involving rigid body motion. This original CSA method with SGR has been developed in a nonintrusive manner for gust response, enabling use with common FSI analysis tools.

Accomplishments

Four journal articles [1–4] were published, one submitted for publication [5], and one dissertation published [6] were published, documenting the funded research. Three conference papers were presented during the performance period [7–9]. The funded research supported two doctoral students and one post-doctoral research assistant.

Several significant contributions to the state of knowledge were made for the application of CSA. Although they were posed in support of the sensitivity analysis of FSI problems, some findings are more general and more fundamental than the application to FSI. Some of accomplishments, as a result of our AF-funded research, are summarized as follows.

- Hybrid Continuum-Discrete Adjoint for Boundary Velocity CSA
 - Treats Large Numbers of Design Variables
 - Same Numerical Answer as Direct CSA, but more efficiently
 - Acts on Boundary Velocity CSA RHS Pseudo-Load using Discrete Adjoint Vector
- CSA-SGR for Finite Volume
 - Fluent and SU2
 - Non-Intrusive for Fluent w/o Full Jacobian
- K-exact Reconstruction compared with SGR
 - Same Accuracy up to 2nd-Order Finite Volume
- CSA Derived for Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian (ALE) Frame 1st Time for FSI
 - Incompressible Linear and Compressible Nonlinear Full Potential ALE CSA Derivation
- CSA-SGR implemented at AFRL MSTC using ASTROS, NASTRAN & SORCER

Acknowledgment/Disclaimer

This work was sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, USAF, under grant/contract number FA9550-16-1-0125. The views and conclusions contained herein are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies or endorsements, either expressed or implied, of the Air Force Office of Scientific Research or the U.S. Government.

References

1. Liu, Shaobin; and Canfield, Robert A. (2016) “Two Forms of Continuum Shape Sensitivity Method for Fluid-Structure Interaction Problems,” *Journal of Fluids and Structures*, Vol. 62, pp. 46–64, April 2016, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfluidstructs.2015.12.013>
2. Cross, David M.; and Canfield, Robert A. (2016) “Convergence Study for the Local Continuum Sensitivity Method Using Spatial Gradient Reconstruction,” *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 54, No. 3, pp. 1050–1063, March 2016, doi: [10.2514/1.J053800](https://doi.org/10.2514/1.J053800)
3. Kulkarni, Mandar D.; Cross, David M.; and Canfield, Robert A. (2016) “Discrete Adjoint Formulation for Continuum Sensitivity Analysis,” *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 54, No. 2, February 2016, doi: [10.2514/6.2015-0138](https://doi.org/10.2514/6.2015-0138)
4. Canfield, Robert A., and David A. Sandler (2018), “Continuum Shape Sensitivity Analysis for Aeroelastic Gust Using an Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian Reference Frame,” *Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization*, May 2018, Volume 57, Issue 5, pp 1871–1887, doi: [10.1007/s00158-018-1947-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00158-018-1947-x)
5. Kulkarni, Mandar D.; Canfield, Robert A.; and Patil, Mayuresh (under review), “Nonintrusive Continuum Sensitivity Analysis for Fluid Applications,” *Journal of Computational Physics*, JCOMP-D-18-01625, submitted Oct 10, 2018
6. Kulkarni, Mandar D., “Continuum Sensitivity Analysis using Boundary Velocity Formulation for Shape Derivatives,” PhD Dissertation, <http://hdl.handle.net/10919/73057>, August, 2016
7. Canfield, R. A., Durscher, R. J., Bryson, D. E., and Kolonay, R. M. “Shape Continuum Sensitivity Analysis using ASTROS and CAPS,” *AIAA SciTech 2019 Forum*. American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2019, doi:10.2514/6.2019-2228
8. Canfield, Robert A., and Sandler, David A., “Continuum Shape Sensitivity Analysis for Aeroelastic Gust using an Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian Reference Frame,” *AIAA/ASCE/AHS/ASC Structures, Structural Dynamics, and Materials Conference*, Orlando, FL, January 10, 2018, doi: [10.2514/6.2018-1206](https://doi.org/10.2514/6.2018-1206)
9. Kulkarni, M. D., Canfield, R. A., and Patil, M. “Continuum Sensitivity Analysis for Aeroelastic Shape Optimization,” *57th AIAA/ASCE/AHS/ASC Structures, Structural Dynamics, and Materials Conference*. American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, <https://dx.doi.org/10.2514/6.2016-1177>, 2016