



ARL-TR-8897 • JAN 2020



Consistent Electrostatics of Crystalline Conductor: 4. Fully Consistent Phenomenological Model

by Michael Grinfeld and Steven Segletes

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

NOTICES

Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.



Consistent Electrostatics of Crystalline Conductor: 4. Fully Consistent Phenomenological Model

Michael Grinfeld and Steven Segletes

Weapons and Materials Research Directorate, CCDC Army Research Laboratory

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

*Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188*

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) January 2020			2. REPORT TYPE Technical Report		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 1 July 2019–10 October 2019	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Consistent Electrostatics of Crystalline Conductor: 4. Fully Consistent Phenomenological Model					5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
					5b. GRANT NUMBER	
					5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Michael Grinfeld and Steven Segletes					5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
					5e. TASK NUMBER	
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) CCDC Army Research Laboratory ATTN: FCDD-RLW-PA Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005					8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ARL-TR-8897	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)					10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
					11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.						
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES						
14. ABSTRACT The conductor model of Grinfeld et al. ² permits the negatively charged boundary layers with finite 2-D magnitude (i.e., with infinite 3-D magnitude). Therefore, in this report we modify our model one more time to eliminate the appearance of negatively charged boundary layers with infinite 3-D magnitude. We do this along the lines of Grinfeld and Grinfeld (i.e., taking into account the thermodynamic internal energy density of charged negative liquid).						
15. SUBJECT TERMS plasma, thermodynamics, Gibbs variational principles, plasma stability, equations of state						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 14	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Michael Grinfeld	
a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) (410) 278-7030	

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Formulation of the 1-D Boundary Value Problem (BVP)	1
3. The Exact Solution of the BVP for the Canonical EOS of Mobile Charges	2
4. On the Local Extinction of the Mobile Component	5
5. Calculation of the Pressure	5
6. Conclusion	6
7. References	7
List of Symbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms	8
Distribution List	9

1. Introduction

This report is a continuation of the series of studies and reports¹⁻³ aimed at the elimination of some inconsistencies in the classical electrostatics. The main inconsistency, discussed in detail in Grinfeld and Segletes,¹ is reflected in the appearance of the surface layers of electric charge in the vicinity of the conductors' boundaries. Formally, these layers have the finite 2-D mass density. In fact, this means that the standard 3-D densities of the negative or positive charges have the magnitude equal to infinity. Obviously it is highly desirable to eliminate all these sort of paradoxical inconsistencies. They contradict standard experimental physics, which deals with fields or high magnitudes but never with the infinitely large fields. Also, this sort of modeling defect causes various difficulties in the numerical implementation and in the consistent modeling of novel effects.

The paradox of infinitely high density of charge is particularly striking in what concerns the positive charges at the boundaries of solid conductors. Basically, in all models of crystalline conductors, the densities of those positive charges are not only finite but also fixed. Therefore, in Grinfeld et al.² we first reformulated the classical electrostatics in such a way that no positively charged boundary layers with finite 2-D density can appear. We formulated the modified model in the form of the boundary value problem and illustrated our approach by considering the conducting slab. Later, Segletes³ analyzed another instructive model dealing with a spherical conductor.

Still, the model of Grinfeld et al.^{2,3} permits the negatively charged boundary layers with finite 2-D magnitude (i.e., with infinite 3-D magnitude). Therefore, in this report we modify our model one more time to eliminate the appearance of negatively charged boundary layers with infinite 3-D magnitude. We do this along the lines of Grinfeld and Grinfeld⁴⁻⁶ (i.e., taking into account the thermodynamic internal energy density of charged negative liquid).

2. Formulation of the 1-D Boundary Value Problem (BVP)

We follow here the report of Grinfeld et al.² and Section 8 of Grinfeld and Grinfeld.⁵ Per these reports, the entire system of equilibrium equations includes the following three elements:

- 1) The condition of thermal equilibrium

$$T = T^\circ = \text{const} \tag{1}$$

throughout the whole configuration, where T is the absolute temperature.

2) The electrostatics system

$$\frac{d^2\varphi}{dz^2} = -4\pi(\sigma_e\rho_e + \sigma_i\rho_i) \quad (2)$$

where σ_e, σ_i are the respective charge densities per unit mass of the negative (mobile) charge and positive (immobile) charge components per unit mass, and ρ_e, ρ_i are the mass densities of the components; φ is the electric potential.

3) The electrochemistry equation for the mobile charges

$$(\rho e)_{\rho_e} + \sigma_e\varphi = \Lambda_e \quad (3)$$

where Λ_e is the indefinite Lagrange multiplier.

To determine the Lagrange multiplier, we have to use the equation dealing with the total charge (or mass) of the mobile negative charges. Let M_e be the total mass of the column of the mobile charges with a unit cross-section. This leads to the relationship

$$\int_{-H}^H dz \rho_e(z) = M_e \quad (4)$$

3. The Exact Solution of the BVP for the Canonical Equation of State (EOS) of Mobile Charges

Differentiating Eq. 3, we get the equation

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dz} = -\frac{a_e^2}{\sigma_e} \frac{d\rho_e}{dz} \quad (5)$$

where a_e^2 is defined as

$$a_e^2 \equiv (\rho\psi)_{\rho_e\rho_e} \quad (6)$$

and $\psi(\rho_e)$ is the energy per unit mass of the negatively charged liquid of mobile particles. Here and in the following we assume that the conductor is maintained at fixed temperature and skip the T parameter.

Generally speaking, the quantity a_e^2 is a nonlinear function of the density ρ_e . This fact makes the electrostatic problem an essentially nonlinear partial differential equation, the solution of which is hard to find analytically.

Following Grinfeld and Grinfeld,⁵ consider the particularly simple, but instructive case when the function $a_e^2 \equiv (\rho e)_{\rho_e \rho_e}$ is a positive constant. In this case, inserting Eq. 5 in the equation of electrostatics, Eq. 2, we arrive at the following linear ordinary equation:

$$\frac{a_e^2}{4\pi\sigma_e} \frac{d^2 \rho_e}{dz^2} = \sigma_e \rho_e + \sigma_i \rho_i \quad (7)$$

Looking for the solutions of Eqs. 6 and 7 in the form

$$\rho_e = A_e e^{\lambda z} - \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_e} \rho_i \quad (8)$$

where A_e is a nonzero constant, we arrive at the algebraic equation

$$\left(\sigma_e - \frac{a_e^2}{4\pi\sigma_e} \lambda^2 \right) A_e = 0 \quad (9)$$

Equation 9 leads to the following values of λ :

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}} \quad (10)$$

Combining Eqs. 8 and 9, we arrive at the following general solution of our problem

$$\rho_e(z) = -\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_e} \rho_i + A_+ e^{\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}} z} + A_- e^{-\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}} z} \quad (11)$$

where A_+ and A_- are the (arbitrary) constants to be determined from the additional conditions.

Equation 11 leads us to the following relationship for the local charge distribution:

$$\sigma_e \rho_e(z) = -\sigma_i \rho_i + A_+ \sigma_e e^{\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}} z} + A_- \sigma_e e^{-\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}} z} \quad (12)$$

If we are looking for a solution that is symmetric with respect to the point $z = 0$, we have to demand that

$$A_+ = A_- = A \quad (13)$$

Then the general solution, Eq. 11, for the symmetric solutions takes the form

$$\rho_e(z) = -\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_e} \rho_i + 2A \cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}} z\right) \quad (14)$$

Inserting Eq. 11 into the mass balance Eq. 4, we arrive at the relationship

$$M_e = -\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_e} \rho_i 2H + A_+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}}} \left(e^{\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}} H} - e^{-\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}} H} \right) - A_- \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}}} \left(e^{-\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}} H} - e^{\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}} H} \right) \quad (15)$$

We can rewrite Eq. 15 as

$$M_e = -\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_e} \rho_i 2H + 2(A_+ + A_-) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}}} \sinh \left(\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}} H \right) \quad (16)$$

For symmetric solutions, Eq. 16 implies

$$M_e = -\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_e} M_i + 4A \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}}} \sinh \left(\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}} H \right) \quad (17)$$

Equation 17 implies the following relationship for the full charge $Q = Q_e + Q_i$, where $Q_e \equiv M_e \sigma_e$ and $Q_i \equiv M_i \sigma_i$:

$$Q_e + Q_i = 4A \sqrt{\frac{a_e^2}{4\pi}} \sinh \left(\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}} H \right) \quad (18)$$

Using Eq. 18, we get the following formula for the constant A :

$$A = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2a_e \sinh \left(\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}} H \right)} (Q_e + Q_i) \quad (19)$$

Inserting Eq. 19 into Eq. 14, we get the following solution:

$$\rho_e(z) = -\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_e} \rho_i + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{a_e} (Q_e + Q_i) \frac{\cosh \left(\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}} z \right)}{\sinh \left(\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}} H \right)} \quad (20)$$

This is our exact solution. We see that the 3-D mass density of the mobile charges is a finite quantity everywhere inside the conductor.

4. On the Local Extinction of the Mobile Component

Per Eq. 20, the boundary values of the density at $z = \pm H$ are equal to

$$\rho_e(\pm H) = -\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_e} \rho_i + \frac{Q_e + Q_i}{a_e} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\tanh\left(\sqrt{\frac{4\pi\sigma_e^2}{a_e^2}} H\right)} \quad (21)$$

At sufficiently large $|\sigma_e|H/a_e$ Eq. 21 can be replaced with

$$\rho_e(\pm H) = -\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_e} \rho_i + \sqrt{\pi} \frac{Q_e + Q_i}{a_e} \quad (22)$$

Per Eq. 22, when the full charge $Q_{tot} \equiv Q_e + Q_i$ is negative and has sufficiently high absolute value, the local mass density $\rho_e(H)$ can vanish. This phenomenon we call the local extinction of the electronic gas. The local extinction phenomenon requires a more delicate analysis and will be done elsewhere. It does not happen, though, when the conductor is nearly neutral (i.e., $|Q_i/Q_e| = 1 \pm \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon \ll 1$).

5. Calculation of the Pressure

If we consider the isothermal processes at $T = T_0$, where T_0 is the base temperature, we arrive at the relationships

$$\psi_e(\rho_e) - \psi_{e0} = a_e^2 \frac{1}{2\rho_e} (\rho_e - \rho_{e0})^2 + p_{e0} \left(\frac{1}{\rho_{e0}} - \frac{1}{\rho_e} \right) \quad (23)$$

and

$$p_e(\rho_e) = a_e^2 \frac{1}{2} (\rho_e^2 - \rho_{e0}^2) + p_{e0}, \quad (\rho\psi_e)_{,\rho\rho} = a_e^2 = const \quad (24)$$

Using the Eq. 20, we get

$$p_e(z) = \frac{a_e^2}{2} \left\{ \left[-\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_e} \rho_i + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{a_e} (Q_e + Q_i) \frac{\cosh\left(\sqrt{4\pi\sigma_e^2 a_e^{-2}} z\right)}{\sinh\left(\sqrt{4\pi\sigma_e^2 a_e^{-2}} H\right)} \right]^2 - \rho_0^2 \right\} + p_0 \quad (25)$$

6. Conclusion

We suggested a thermodynamically consistent model of conductor (i.e., the model based on the minimum energy principle and for which the 3-D densities of the positive and negative charges remain finite everywhere inside the conductor. Of course this model drastically differs from the classical electrostatic model of conductor, the specific feature of which is the appearance of the boundary layers of charges with the infinite 3-D densities of the positive or negative charges.

7. References

1. Grinfeld M, Segletes S. Toward paradoxical inconsistency in electrostatics of metallic conductors. Aberdeen Proving Ground (MD): Army Research Laboratory (US); 2018 Apr. Report No.: ARL-TR-8365.
2. Grinfeld M, Grinfeld P, Segletes S. Consistent electrostatics of crystalline conductors. Aberdeen Proving Ground (MD): Army Research Laboratory (US); 2018 Sep. Report No.: ARL-TR-8492.
3. Segletes S. Consistent electrostatics of crystalline conductors: spherical geometry conductors. Aberdeen Proving Ground (MD): Army Research Laboratory (US); 2019 Jan. Report No.: ARL-TR-8631.
4. Grinfeld M, Grinfeld P. The Gibbs variational method in thermodynamics of equilibrium plasma: 1: General conditions of equilibrium and stability for one-component charged gas. Aberdeen Proving Ground (MD): Army Research Laboratory (US); 2018 Apr. Report No.: ARL-TR-8348.
5. Grinfeld M, Grinfeld P. The Gibbs variational method in thermodynamics of equilibrium plasma: 2: The equation of state for plasma. Aberdeen Proving Ground (MD): Army Research Laboratory (US); 2018 June. Report No.: ARL-TR-8419.
6. Grinfeld M, Grinfeld P. The Gibbs variational method in thermodynamics of equilibrium plasma: 3: Multicomponent plasma. Aberdeen Proving Ground (MD): CCDC Army Research Laboratory (US); 2019 May. Report No.: ARL-TR-8697.

List of Symbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms

1-D	1-dimensional
2-D	2-dimensional
3-D	3-dimensional
BVP	Boundary Value Problem
EOS	equations of state

1 DEFENSE TECHNICAL
(PDF) INFORMATION CTR
DTIC OCA

1 CCDC ARL
(PDF) FCDD RLD CL
TECH LIB

1 SANDIA NATL LAB
(PDF) J NIEDERHAUS
A ROBINSON
C SIEFERT

31 CCDC ARL
(PDF) FCDD RLD
M TSCHOPP
FCDD RLW
S SCHOENFELD
FCDD RLW B
C HOPPEL
B SCHUSTER
R BECKER
A TONGE
FCDD RLW M
B LOVE
FCDD RLW MB
G GAZONAS
D HOPKINS
B POWERS
T SANO
FCDD RLW MG
J ANDZELM
FCDD RLW PA
S BILYK
W UHLIG
P BERNING
M COPPINGER
K MAHAN
C ADAMS
M GREENFIELD
FCDD RLW PB
T WEERASOORIYA
S SATAPATHY
FCDD RLW PC
D CASEM
J CLAYTON
R LEAVY
J LLOYD
M FERREN-COKER
S SEGLETES
C WILLIAMS
FCDD RLW PD
R DONEY
C RANDOW
G VUNNI