

AWARD NUMBER: W81XWH-19-1-0241

TITLE: The impact of germline predisposition to myelodysplastic syndrome on allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant outcomes using related donors

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Lucy A. Godley

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: The University of Chicago

REPORT DATE: August 2020

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual Report

**PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012**

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. **PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.**

1. REPORT DATE Aug 2020		2. REPORT TYPE Annual		3. DATES COVERED 07/15/2019 - 07/14/2020	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE The Impact of Germline Predisposition to Myelodysplastic Syndrome on Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant Outcomes Using Related Donors				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER W81XWH-19-1-0241	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Dr. Lucy Godley				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Chicago				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT We will use samples from the Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research (CIBMTR) to determine the frequency with which germline mutations occur in myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) patients across the entire age spectrum. In addition to determining mutation frequency, we will associate the germline status of both matched-donor and recipient with engraftment kinetics and post-transplant outcomes. This comprehensive study will change the diagnostic and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) planning work-up for MDS patients by identifying age groups that are most likely to have a germline predisposition mutation, which will position the HSCT field to implement appropriate clinical testing strategies across the world to detect these types of mutations in MDS patients. This work will also shape HSCT policies regarding allogeneic stem cell donor choices and transplantation protocols for these patients with the goal of improving outcomes.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS-					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1. Introduction	1
2. Keywords	1
3. Accomplishments	1-3
4. Impact	4-5
5. Changes/Problems	5-6
6. Products	6-7
7. Participants & Other Collaborating Organizations	7-9
8. Special Reporting Requirements	10
9. Appendices	10

1. **INTRODUCTION:** *Narrative that briefly (one paragraph) describes the subject, purpose and scope of the research.*

We will use samples from the Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research (CIBMTR) to determine the frequency with which germline mutations occur in myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) patients across the entire age spectrum. In addition to determining mutation frequency, we will associate the germline status of both matched-donor and recipient with engraftment kinetics and post-transplant outcomes. This comprehensive study will change the diagnostic and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) planning work-up for MDS patients by identifying age groups that are most likely to have a germline predisposition mutation, which will position the HSCT field to implement appropriate clinical testing strategies across the world to detect these types of mutations in MDS patients. This work will also shape HSCT policies regarding allogeneic stem cell donor choices and transplantation protocols for these patients with the goal of improving outcomes.

2. **KEYWORDS:** *Provide a brief list of keywords (limit to 20 words).*

Germline predisposition; myelodysplastic syndrome

3. **ACCOMPLISHMENTS:** *The PI is reminded that the recipient organization is required to obtain prior written approval from the awarding agency grants official whenever there are significant changes in the project or its direction.*

What were the major goals of the project?

List the major goals of the project as stated in the approved SOW. If the application listed milestones/target dates for important activities or phases of the project, identify these dates and show actual completion dates or the percentage of completion.

Specific Aim 1: DNA extraction and next-generation sequencing

Milestones for this Aim:

High quality DNA extraction from mononuclear cells from whole blood (n=705) using the

Qiacube extraction robot- **completed**

DNA quantification using a Qubit fluorometer and quantitation reagents (n=705)-

completed

Preparing next-generation sequencing libraries by fragmenting genomic DNA and ligating adapters to both fragment ends (n=705)- **completed [ahead of schedule]**

Cluster amplification and sequencing/alignment of the reads to a reference genome using bioinformatic software (n=705, 235 per 6 months)- **completed [ahead of schedule]**

Analysis of single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) and copy number variants (CNVs) and confirmation of these variants- **SNVs have been called for our list of ‘top 40’ genes to be considered and we are now calling the variants on the next 150 genes of highest interest. We are developing a pipeline for calling CNVs.**

Confirmation of variants via Sanger sequencing/microarrays/ quantitative reverse transcription PCR- **not yet begun; will begin when sequencing/alignment are completed.**

Specific Aim 2: Transplant data analysis and statistics- not yet begun; will begin when we have called variants on the ‘top 200 genes’.

What was accomplished under these goals?

For this reporting period describe: 1) major activities; 2) specific objectives; 3) significant results or key outcomes, including major findings, developments, or conclusions (both positive and negative); and/or 4) other achievements. Include a discussion of stated goals not met. Description shall include pertinent data and graphs in sufficient detail to explain any significant results achieved. A succinct description of the methodology used shall be provided. As the project progresses to completion, the emphasis in reporting in this section should shift from reporting activities to reporting accomplishments.

1) Major activities: Our current focus as described above is calling single nucleotide variants (SNVs) in our ‘top 200’ genes relevant to hematopoiesis. We are also developing a pipeline for calling copy number variants (CNVs) from whole exome sequencing (WES) data.

2) Specific objectives: We aim to finish SNV calling by the end of Sept for all CIBMTR samples. We will then provide these data to the CIBMTR to begin the statistical analysis.

3) To date, we have identified about 20 pairs of samples (MDS patient and related donor) who share a deleterious SNV, and the more genes we analyze, the more pairs we find. We will determine if these have any impact on transplant outcome when we perform the statistical analysis with the CIBMTR.

4) Other achievements: We are close to having a working bioinformatic pipeline for calling CNVs from WES. This was not anticipated in the grant itself, but we feel that it will add to our analysis, and we are excited that we may be able to accomplish this.

What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?

If the project was not intended to provide training and professional development opportunities or there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe opportunities for training and professional development provided to anyone who worked on the project or anyone who was involved in the activities supported by the project. “Training” activities are those in which individuals with advanced professional skills and experience assist others in attaining greater proficiency. Training activities may include, for example, courses or one-on-one work with a mentor. “Professional development” activities result in increased knowledge or skill in one’s area of expertise and may include workshops, conferences, seminars, study groups, and individual study. Include participation in conferences, workshops, and seminars not listed under major activities.

Both Simone Feurstein and Amy Trottier have learned a tremendous amount from this project in terms of calling SNVs and CNVs. Both will participate in our upcoming American Society of Hematology Friday Scientific Workshop on Inherited Hematopoietic Malignancies and Bone Marrow Failure. Likewise, Matt Pozsgai has improved his bioinformatic skills by working on this project. Matt is now doing programming for Amazon, Inc., so he is using the skills that he developed from this project on a daily basis.

The development of a CNV calling pipeline from WES data will be another major accomplishment for our team.

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe how the results were disseminated to communities of interest. Include any outreach activities that were undertaken to reach members of communities who are not usually aware of these project activities, for the purpose of enhancing public understanding and increasing interest in learning and careers in science, technology, and the humanities.

Nothing to report.
We plan to publish a major paper with these results.

What do you plan to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals?

If this is the final report, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe briefly what you plan to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals and objectives.

During the next reporting period, we will finalize the SNV calling and will work with the CIBMTR statisticians to see if the presence of deleterious germline variants has any impact on allogeneic stem cell transplantation outcomes.

4. IMPACT: Describe distinctive contributions, major accomplishments, innovations, successes, or any change in practice or behavior that has come about as a result of the project relative to:

What was the impact on the development of the principal discipline(s) of the project?

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe how findings, results, techniques that were developed or extended, or other products from the project made an impact or are likely to make an impact on the base of knowledge, theory, and research in the principal disciplinary field(s) of the project. Summarize using language that an intelligent lay audience can understand (Scientific American style).

As stated in the opening response, we anticipate that this work will change the diagnostic and HSCT planning work-up for MDS patients by identifying age groups that are most likely to have a germline predisposition mutation, which will position the HSCT field to implement appropriate clinical testing strategies across the world to detect these types of mutations in MDS patients. This work will also shape HSCT policies regarding allogeneic stem cell donor choices and transplantation protocols for these patients with the goal of improving outcomes.

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe how the findings, results, or techniques that were developed or improved, or other products from the project made an impact or are likely to make an impact on other disciplines.

Nothing to Report

However, we anticipate that once our work is published, it may impact the diagnostic work-up of patients diagnosed with MDS/leukemia as well as HSCT.

What was the impact on technology transfer?

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe ways in which the project made an impact, or is likely to make an impact, on commercial technology or public use, including:

- *transfer of results to entities in government or industry;*
- *instances where the research has led to the initiation of a start-up company; or*
- *adoption of new practices.*

Nothing to Report

What was the impact on society beyond science and technology?

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe how results from the project made an impact, or are likely to make an impact, beyond the bounds of science, engineering, and the academic world on areas such as:

- *improving public knowledge, attitudes, skills, and abilities;*
- *changing behavior, practices, decision making, policies (including regulatory policies), or social actions; or*
- *improving social, economic, civic, or environmental conditions.*

Nothing to Report

5. CHANGES/PROBLEMS: *The PD/PI is reminded that the recipient organization is required to obtain prior written approval from the awarding agency grants official whenever there are significant changes in the project or its direction. If not previously reported in writing, provide the following additional information or state, "Nothing to Report," if applicable:*

Nothing to Report

Changes in approach and reasons for change

Describe any changes in approach during the reporting period and reasons for these changes. Remember that significant changes in objectives and scope require prior approval of the agency.

Actual or anticipated problems or delays and actions or plans to resolve them

Describe problems or delays encountered during the reporting period and actions or plans to resolve them.

None; so far this project has gone extremely smoothly. We have had no problem performing the work to date, and we are on time/ahead of our planned schedule.

Changes that had a significant impact on expenditures

Describe changes during the reporting period that may have had a significant impact on expenditures, for example, delays in hiring staff or favorable developments that enable meeting objectives at less cost than anticipated.

No, fortunately, we already had our WES data in hand when the covid pandemic hit and closed our laboratory to bench research—so we were able to work from home to continue the bioinformatic analysis. In fact, if anything, the covid pandemic accelerated the research, since the staff working on this project were not able to do any laboratory bench work and they focused even more on this project. This allowed us to achieve some milestones ahead of our anticipated schedule.

Significant changes in use or care of human subjects, vertebrate animals, biohazards, and/or select agents

Describe significant deviations, unexpected outcomes, or changes in approved protocols for the use or care of human subjects, vertebrate animals, biohazards, and/or select agents during the reporting period. If required, were these changes approved by the applicable institution committee (or equivalent) and reported to the agency? Also specify the applicable Institutional Review Board/Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approval dates.

Significant changes in use or care of human subjects

None

Significant changes in use or care of vertebrate animals

N/A

Significant changes in use of biohazards and/or select agents

None

6. PRODUCTS: *List any products resulting from the project during the reporting period. If there is nothing to report under a particular item, state "Nothing to Report."*

- **Publications, conference papers, and presentations**

Report only the major publication(s) resulting from the work under this award.

Journal publications. *List peer-reviewed articles or papers appearing in scientific, technical, or professional journals. Identify for each publication: Author(s); title; journal; volume; year; page numbers; status of publication (published; accepted, awaiting publication; submitted, under review; other); acknowledgement of federal support (yes/no).*

Nothing to Report

Books or other non-periodical, one-time publications. *Report any book, monograph, dissertation, abstract, or the like published as or in a separate publication, rather than a periodical or series. Include any significant publication in the proceedings of a one-time conference or in the report of a one-time study, commission, or the like. Identify for each one-time publication: author(s); title; editor; title of collection, if applicable; bibliographic*

information; year; type of publication (e.g., book, thesis or dissertation); status of publication (published; accepted, awaiting publication; submitted, under review; other); acknowledgement of federal support (yes/no).

Nothing to Report

Other publications, conference papers and presentations. *Identify any other publications, conference papers and/or presentations not reported above. Specify the status of the publication as noted above. List presentations made during the last year (international, national, local societies, military meetings, etc.). Use an asterisk (*) if presentation produced a manuscript.*

Nothing to Report

- **Website(s) or other Internet site(s)**

List the URL for any Internet site(s) that disseminates the results of the research activities. A short description of each site should be provided. It is not necessary to include the publications already specified above in this section.

N/A

- **Technologies or techniques**

Identify technologies or techniques that resulted from the research activities. Describe the technologies or techniques were shared.

None

- **Inventions, patent applications, and/or licenses**

Identify inventions, patent applications with date, and/or licenses that have resulted from the research. Submission of this information as part of an interim research performance progress report is not a substitute for any other invention reporting required under the terms and conditions of an award.

None

- **Other Products**

Identify any other reportable outcomes that were developed under this project. Reportable outcomes are defined as a research result that is or relates to a product, scientific advance,

or research tool that makes a meaningful contribution toward the understanding, prevention, diagnosis, prognosis, treatment and /or rehabilitation of a disease, injury or condition, or to improve the quality of life. Examples include:

- data or databases;
- physical collections;
- audio or video products;
- software;
- models;
- educational aids or curricula;
- instruments or equipment;
- research material (e.g., Germplasm; cell lines, DNA probes, animal models);
- clinical interventions;
- new business creation; and
- other.

None

7. PARTICIPANTS & OTHER COLLABORATING ORGANIZATIONS

What individuals have worked on the project?

Provide the following information for: (1) PDs/PIs; and (2) each person who has worked at least one person month per year on the project during the reporting period, regardless of the source of compensation (a person month equals approximately 160 hours of effort). If information is unchanged from a previous submission, provide the name only and indicate "no change".

Example:

Name: Mary Smith
Project Role: Graduate Student
Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID ID): 1234567
Nearest person month worked: 5

Contribution to Project: Ms. Smith has performed work in the area of combined error-control and constrained coding.

Funding Support: The Ford Foundation (Complete only if the funding support is provided from other than this award.)

Name: Lucy Godley
Project Role: Principal Investigator
Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID ID): ORCID ID: 0000-0003-1914-9158
Nearest person month worked: 2
Contribution to Project: Dr. Godley has supervised the generation of DNAs from the primary samples; the expansion of whole exome sequencing to cover non-coding regions; the performance of the next-generation sequencing; and the calling of deleterious variants.

Name: Matt Pozsgai
Project Role: Godley Laboratory Research Technologist
Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID ID): none
Nearest person month worked: 5.5
Contribution to Project: Mr. Pozsgai has coordinated delivery of the primary DNA samples to the sequencing center, and he has organized the retrieval of sequencing data.

Name: Simone Feurstein
Project Role: Godley Laboratory Postdoctoral Fellow
Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID ID): none
Nearest person month worked: 9
Contribution to Project: Dr. Feurstein has analyzed the sequencing data and called variants.

Name: Amy Trottier
Project Role: Godley Laboratory Postdoctoral Fellow
Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID ID): none
Nearest person month worked: 4
Contribution to Project: Dr. Trottier has analyzed the sequencing data and called variants.

Name: Jeremy Segal
Project Role: Co-Investigator
Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID ID): none
Nearest person month worked: 1
Contribution to Project: Dr. Segal has discussed variant curation with the Godley staff.

Name: Aelin Kim
Project Role: Research Analyst
Researcher Identifier (e.g. ORCID ID): none
Nearest person month worked: 8
Contribution to Project: Ms. Kim has assisted in preparing DNAs for the project.

Has there been a change in the active other support of the PD/PI(s) or senior/key personnel since the last reporting period?

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

If the active support has changed for the PD/PI(s) or senior/key personnel, then describe what the change has been. Changes may occur, for example, if a previously active grant has closed and/or if a previously pending grant is now active. Annotate this information so it is clear what has changed from the previous submission. Submission of other support information is not necessary for pending changes or for changes in the level of effort for active support reported previously. The awarding agency may require prior written approval if a change in active other support significantly impacts the effort on the project that is the subject of the project report.

Nothing to Report

What other organizations were involved as partners?

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe partner organizations – academic institutions, other nonprofits, industrial or commercial firms, state or local governments, schools or school systems, or other organizations (foreign or domestic) – that were involved with the project. Partner organizations may have provided financial or in-kind support, supplied facilities or equipment, collaborated in the research, exchanged personnel, or otherwise contributed.

Provide the following information for each partnership:

Organization Name:

Location of Organization: (if foreign location list country)

Partner’s contribution to the project (identify one or more)

- *Financial support;*
- *In-kind support (e.g., partner makes software, computers, equipment, etc., available to project staff);*
- *Facilities (e.g., project staff use the partner’s facilities for project activities);*
- *Collaboration (e.g., partner’s staff work with project staff on the project);*
- *Personnel exchanges (e.g., project staff and/or partner’s staff use each other’s facilities, work at each other’s site); and*
- *Other.*

Nothing to Report. The CIBMTR statisticians will help analyze transplant outcomes once we finish variant calling, but that has not happened yet.

8. SPECIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

COLLABORATIVE AWARDS: *For collaborative awards, independent reports are required from BOTH the Initiating Principal Investigator (PI) and the Collaborating/Partnering PI. A*

duplicative report is acceptable; however, tasks shall be clearly marked with the responsible PI and research site. A report shall be submitted to <https://ers.amedd.army.mil> for each unique award.

N/A

QUAD CHARTS: *If applicable, the Quad Chart (available on <https://www.usamraa.army.mil>) should be updated and submitted with attachments.*

N/A

9. APPENDICES: *Attach all appendices that contain information that supplements, clarifies or supports the text. Examples include original copies of journal articles, reprints of manuscripts and abstracts, a curriculum vitae, patent applications, study questionnaires, and surveys, etc.*

N/A