

**REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE**

Form Approved OMB NO. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA, 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.  
PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 10-09-2020		2. REPORT TYPE Final Report		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 25-Jun-2013 - 24-Jun-2018	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Final Report: Science of Security			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER W911NF-13-1-0094		
			5b. GRANT NUMBER		
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHORS			5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
			5e. TASK NUMBER		
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAMES AND ADDRESSES North Carolina State University Office of Sponsored Programs and Regulatory Compli: Campus Box 7514 Raleigh, NC 27695 -7514				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS (ES) U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) ARO	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 63141-CS.67	
12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not contrued as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	15. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Laurie Williams
a. REPORT UU	b. ABSTRACT UU	c. THIS PAGE UU			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER 919-513-4151

**RPPR Final Report**  
as of 29-Jul-2022

Agency Code: 21XD

Proposal Number: 63141CS

**Agreement Number: W911NF-13-1-0094**

**INVESTIGATOR(S):**

**Name:** Michael Rappa  
**Email:** mrappa@ncsu.edu  
**Phone Number:** 9195130480  
**Principal:** N

**Name:** Laurie Ann Williams  
**Email:** williams@csc.ncsu.edu  
**Phone Number:** 9195134151  
**Principal:** Y

Organization: **North Carolina State University**

Address: Office of Sponsored Programs and Regulatory Compliance, Raleigh, NC 276957514

Country: USA

DUNS Number: 042092122

EIN: 566000756

**Report Date:** 24-Sep-2018

Date Received: 10-Sep-2020

**Final Report** for Period Beginning 25-Jun-2013 and Ending 24-Jun-2018

**Title:** Science of Security

**Begin Performance Period:** 25-Jun-2013

**End Performance Period:** 24-Jun-2018

**Report Term:** 0-Other

Submitted By: Laurie Williams

Email: williams@csc.ncsu.edu

Phone: (919) 513-4151

**Distribution Statement:** 1-Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

**STEM Degrees:**

**STEM Participants:**

**Major Goals:** Beginning in September 2014, the majority of project expenses were charged directly to an NSA account.

The scientific progress is reported on that project. The goal of that project is threefold:

\*Advance security research in five hard problem areas: Human Behavior, Security Metrics, Scalability and Composability, Resilience, and Policy-based Secure Collaboration

\* Build a science of security community

\* Advance the research methods used for cybersecurity research

**Accomplishments:** \* Advance security research in five hard problem areas: Human Behavior, Security Metrics, Scalability and Composability, Resilience, and Policy-based Secure Collaboration - published papers in 4 of the 5 hard problems

\* Build a science of security community - held a Science of Security community day

\* Advance the research methods used for cybersecurity research - published guidelines on research methods - analyzed papers

**Training Opportunities:** Nothing to Report

**Results Dissemination:** All dissemination was reported on the NSA projec

**Honors and Awards:** Nothing to Report

**RPPR Final Report**  
as of 29-Jul-2022

**Protocol Activity Status:**

**Technology Transfer:** Nothing to Report

**PARTICIPANTS:**

**Participant Type:** PD/PI

**Participant:** Laurie Ann Williams

**Person Months Worked:** 1.00

Project Contribution:

National Academy Member: N

**Funding Support:**

**ARTICLES:**

**Publication Type:** Journal Article

Peer Reviewed: Y

**Publication Status:** 1-Published

**Journal:** Automatica

Publication Identifier Type: DOI

Publication Identifier: 10.1016/j.automatica.2014.05.012

Volume: 50

Issue: 8

First Page #: 1989

Date Submitted:

Date Published:

Publication Location:

**Article Title:** Reachability for partially observable discrete time stochastic hybrid systems

**Authors:**

**Keywords:** Hybrid systems, Reachability, Optimal control, Stochastic control

**Abstract:** When designing optimal controllers for any system, it is often the case that the true state of the system is unknown to the controller. Imperfect state information must be taken into account in the controller's design in order to preserve its optimality. The same is true when performing reachability calculations. To estimate the probability that the state of a stochastic system reaches, or stays within, some set of interest in a given time horizon, it is necessary to find a controller that drives the system to that set with maximum probability, given the controller's knowledge of the true state of the system. To date, little work has been done on stochastic reachability calculations with partially observable states. The work that has been done relies on converting the reachability optimization problem to one with an additive cost function, for which theoretical results are well known. Our approach is to preserve the multiplicative cost structure when deriving a sufficient statistic tha

**Distribution Statement:** 3-Distribution authorized to U.S. Government Agencies and their contractors  
Acknowledged Federal Support:

## RPPR Final Report as of 29-Jul-2022

**Publication Type:** Journal Article      Peer Reviewed: Y      **Publication Status:** 1-Published  
**Journal:** IEEE Transactions on Dependable and Secure Computing  
**Publication Identifier Type:** DOI      **Publication Identifier:** 10.1109/TDSC.2013.58  
**Volume:** 11      **Issue:** 3      **First Page #:** 252  
**Date Submitted:**      **Date Published:**  
**Publication Location:**

**Article Title:** Effective Risk Communication for Android Apps

**Authors:**

**Keywords:** Risk communication, usability, mobile security

**Abstract:** The popularity and advanced functionality of mobile devices has made them attractive targets for malicious and intrusive applications (apps). Although strong security measures are in place for most mobile systems, the area where these systems often fail is the reliance on the user to make decisions that impact the security of a device. As our prime example, Android relies on users to understand the permissions that an app is requesting and to base the installation decision on the list of permissions. Previous research has shown that this reliance on users is ineffective, as most users do not understand or consider the permission information. We propose a solution that leverages a method to assign a risk score to each app and display a summary of that information to users. Results from four experiments are reported in which we examine the effects of introducing summary risk information and how best to convey such information to a user. Our results show that the inclusion of risk-score i

**Distribution Statement:** 3-Distribution authorized to U.S. Government Agencies and their contractors  
**Acknowledged Federal Support:**

**Publication Type:** Journal Article      Peer Reviewed: Y      **Publication Status:** 1-Published  
**Journal:** EDBT/ICDT Workshops 2014: 415  
**Publication Identifier Type:**      **Publication Identifier:**  
**Volume:** 0      **Issue:** 0      **First Page #:** 415  
**Date Submitted:** 3/21/18 12:00AM      **Date Published:** 12/19/14 5:00AM  
**Publication Location:**

**Article Title:** Data Anonymization: The Challenge from Theory to Practice

**Authors:** Ting Yu

**Keywords:** dataset privacy, data anonymization

**Abstract:** Data anonymization is an important technique for privacy protection when sensitive data are shared between organizations and with the public. Significant advances have been made in data anonymization in recent years, in terms of privacy models, anonymization algorithms and applications. Meanwhile, though we have seen quite a few instances where privacy is violated due to unsuccessful ad hoc anonymization schemes, many advanced techniques developed in the research community seem to have a hard time to be accepted and adopted in practice. In this talk, we will first provide a quick overview of the research development in data anonymization, and then discuss practical concerns and challenges to successfully apply these techniques in specific application domains.

**Distribution Statement:** 3-Distribution authorized to U.S. Government Agencies and their contractors  
**Acknowledged Federal Support:** N

### DISSERTATIONS:

**Publication Type:** Thesis or Dissertation

**Institution:**

**Date Received:** 05-Sep-2014

**Completion Date:**

**Title:** Redundancy-Based Detection of Security Anomalies in Web-Server Environments

**Authors:**

**Acknowledged Federal Support:**

**RPPR Final Report**  
as of 29-Jul-2022

**Publication Type:** Thesis or Dissertation

**Institution:**

Date Received: 05-Sep-2014

Completion Date:

**Title:** A Study of Fedora Security Profile

**Authors:**

Acknowledged Federal Support:

**Partners**

,

I certify that the information in the report is complete and accurate:

Signature:

Signature Date:

**W911NF1310094 : Science of Security****Reporting Period:** AUG 01, 2016 to JUL 31, 2017**Date Received:** 2018-03-21 15:10:36.0**Submitter:** Laurie Williams

---

**Distribution Statement:** Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

---

**Major Goals**

Beginning in September 2014, the majority of project expenses were charged directly to an NSA account. The scientific progress is reported on that project. The goal of that project is threefold: \* Advance security research in five hard problem areas: Human Behavior, Security Metrics, Scalability and Composability, Resilience, and Policy-based Secure Collaboration \* Build a science of security community \* Advance the research methods used for cybersecurity research

---

**Accomplishments Under Goals**

\* Advance security research in five hard problem areas: Human Behavior, Security Metrics, Scalability and Composability, Resilience, and Policy-based Secure Collaboration - published papers in 4 of the 5 hard problems

\* Build a science of security community - held a Science of Security community day

\* Advance the research methods used for cybersecurity research - published guidelines on research methods - analyzed papers

---

**Plans Next Period**

Nothing to Report

---

**Results Dissemination**

All dissemination was reported on the NSA project

---

**Honors and Awards**

Nothing to Report

---

**Training Opportunities**

All graduate students attended a weekly seminar where research methods were taught and applied. Students presented their own work and got feedback on the work. We held a summer workshop on research methods.

---

**Technology Transfer**

Interaction with NSA.

---

**Participants**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Role</b>	<b>Person Months</b>
Williams, Laurie	PD/PI	12