

---

# **MAGNETIC SWITCHING CORE GEOMETRY**

**Jerald Parker, et al.**

**10 June 2022**

**Technical Paper**

**Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. Public Affairs release approval AFRL-2022-3231.**



**AIR FORCE RESEARCH LABORATORY  
Directed Energy Directorate  
3550 Aberdeen Ave SE  
AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND  
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NM 87117-5776**

---

## NOTICE AND SIGNATURE PAGE

Using Government drawings, specifications, or other data included in this document for any purpose other than Government procurement does not in any way obligate the U.S. Government. The fact that the Government formulated or supplied the drawings, specifications, or other data does not license the holder or any other person or corporation; or convey any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may relate to them.

This report was cleared for public release by AFRL/PA and is available to the general public, including foreign nationals. Copies may be obtained from the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) (<http://www.dtic.mil>).

AFRL-RD-PS-TP-2022-0012 HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND IS APPROVED FOR PUBLICATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASSIGNED DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT.

//James Schrock//

---

JAMES SCHROCK, DR-III  
Senior Electronics Engineer, AFRL/RDHP

//Stephen Langdon//

---

STEPHEN LANGDON, DR-III  
Branch Chief, AFRL/RDHP

This report is published in the interest of scientific and technical information exchange, and its publication does not constitute the Government's approval or disapproval of its ideas or findings.

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. **PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.**

**1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)**  
11-03-2022

**2. REPORT TYPE**  
Technical Paper

**3. DATES COVERED (From - To)**  
11 JAN 2022 - 11 MAR 2022

**4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE**  
Magnetic Switching Core Geometry

**5a. CONTRACT NUMBER**

**5b. GRANT NUMBER**

**5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER**

**6. AUTHOR(S)**  
Jerald Parker, James Schrock, Bud Denny, Susan Heidger

**5d. PROJECT NUMBER**

**5e. TASK NUMBER**

**5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER** D0EW

**7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)**  
Leidos Air Force Research Laboratory  
2109 Air Park Rd SE High Power Microwave Division  
Albuquerque, NM 87108 3550 Aberdeen Ave SE  
Kirtland AFB, NM 87117-5776

**8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER**  
AFRL-RD-PS-TP-2022-0012

**9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)**  
Air Force Research Laboratory  
High Power Microwave Division  
3550 Aberdeen Ave SE  
Kirtland AFB, NM 87117-5776

**10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)**  
AFRL/RDHP

**11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)**

**12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**  
DISTRIBUTION A. Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

**13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES**  
Public Affairs release approval #AFRL-2022-3231

**14. ABSTRACT**  
This brief article documents calculations performed in an effort to identify an optimal geometry for compact low-inductance magnetic switches. The effects of magnetic core geometry on saturated inductance and mass for a constant magnetic switching flux are analyzed. This analysis demonstrates that there is not an exact optimum, but that saturated inductance and mass can be minimized for reasonable dimensional ratios.

**15. SUBJECT TERMS**  
PULSED POWER, MAGNETIC SWITCHING

**16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:**

**a. REPORT** Unclassified  
**b. ABSTRACT** Unclassified  
**c. THIS PAGE** Unclassified

**17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT**

SAR

**18. NUMBER OF PAGES**

7

**19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON**  
JAMES SCHROCK

**19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)**  
505-846-9105

## Abstract

This brief article documents calculations performed in an effort to identify an optimal geometry for compact low-inductance magnetic switches. The effects of magnetic core geometry on saturated inductance and mass for a constant magnetic switching flux are analyzed. This analysis demonstrates that there is not an exact optimum, but that saturated inductance and mass can be minimized for reasonable dimensional ratios.

## 1.0 Introduction

Magnetic switches have gathered significant interest as a high-power, high repetition rate, solid-state approach for driving short-pulse high power microwave (HPM) sources. Magnetic switches provide the simultaneous features of high power handling (GW-class), fast switching, ability to operate at high pulse repetition rates ( $\gg 1$  kHz), high degree of electrical robustness, exceptionally long lifetimes, relative inexpensive fabrication, and commercial supply chains. However, magnetic switches are physically large and heavy compared to spark gap alternatives when operating at GW-class power levels. This article analytically explores the influence of physical geometry on both saturated inductance and mass in an effort to minimize both.

## 2.0 Magnetic Switch Geometry Analysis

### 2.1 Geometric Analysis for Saturated Inductance

The switching flux of a magnetic core is determined by the cross-sectional area of the core and the maximum change of magnetic field in the core,  $\Delta B$ . Assuming a toroidal core with outer radius  $R_o$ , inner radius  $R_i$ , and length  $l_c$  the switching flux is given by

$$\Phi_s = \Delta B (R_o - R_i) l_c \quad (1)$$

Thus, the following product is a constant.

$$(R_o - R_i) l_c = \text{constant} \quad (2)$$

The saturated inductance when the core has switched is calculated by:

$$L = \frac{\mu_r}{2\pi} l_c \text{Ln} \left[ \frac{R_o}{R_i} \right] \quad (3)$$

Where  $\mu_r$  is the saturated relative permeability of the core. This is the smallest achievable inductance since the conductors are assumed to have the same dimensions as the core. We can now address the question of whether the inductance can be minimized by an appropriate choice of dimensions. Substituting  $l_c$  from the flux equation into the equation for L yields:

$$L = \frac{\mu_r}{2\pi} \frac{\Phi_s}{\Delta B} \frac{\text{Ln}(R_o/R_i)}{R_o - R_i} \quad (4)$$

Since we are concerned with geometry and not overall scale it is convenient to define a new variable  $\epsilon = (R_o - R_i)/R_o$ . As a function of  $\epsilon$ , the equation for the inductance is then:

$$L = \frac{\mu_r \phi_s}{2\pi \Delta B} \frac{1}{R_o} \frac{\text{Ln}[\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}]}{\epsilon} \quad (5)$$

If there is a value of  $\epsilon$  between 0 and 1 that minimizes the inductance, then  $dL/d\epsilon$  must be equal to zero for that value of  $\epsilon$ . With either some messy calculus or a few numerical evaluations it is clear that there is no such solution. In fact, this function of  $\epsilon$  is monotonically increasing with a starting value of 1.0 at  $\epsilon = 0$  and rising to infinity at  $\epsilon = 1$ .

Thus, the lowest inductance core configuration is the limiting case  $\epsilon = 0$  for a core of zero radial thickness and infinite length.

## 2.2 Geometric Analysis for Core Mass

A similar analysis can be performed to address the question of core mass. The mass of a given core geometry is given by:

$$M = \delta \pi (R_o^2 - R_i^2) l_c \quad (6)$$

where  $\delta$  is the density of the core material. Substituting as before for  $l_c$  gives:

$$M = \delta \pi (R_o^2 - R_i^2) \frac{\phi_s}{\Delta B} \frac{1}{R_o - R_i} = \delta \pi \frac{\phi_s}{\Delta B} R_o (2 - \epsilon) \quad (7)$$

So, once again, we have a monotonic function. In this case the lowest mass,  $\epsilon = 1$ , occurs for a solid disk (with infinite saturated inductance) and the highest mass,  $\epsilon = 0$ , for the geometry with the lowest saturated inductance.

## 2.3 Discussion

Despite the lack of an optimal geometry that simultaneously minimizes both saturated inductance and mass, the situation is not too dire. The table below shows the normalized values of  $l_c$ , M and L as a function of  $\epsilon$ . For  $\epsilon$  values around 0.3 the inductance is only 20% over the minimum and the length penalty is not too onerous.

$\epsilon$	$l_c$	M	L
0.0	Inf	2.0	1.0
0.1	9.0	1.9	1.054
0.2	5.0	1.8	1.116
0.3	3.33	1.7	1.189
0.4	2.5	1.6	1.278
0.5	2.0	1.5	1.386
0.6	1.67	1.4	1.527
0.7	1.43	1.3	1.720
1.0	1.0	1.0	Inf

## 3.0 Conclusion

This brief article analytically evaluated magnetic switching saturated inductance and mass. The analytics presented here find that there is not an exact optimal geometry for minimizing both saturated inductance and mass, but that for a dimensional aspect ratio of 0.3 the inductance is only 20% above minimum and

the mass is only 1.7 times the minimum. This analysis supports on-going work in GW-class short pulsed HPM drivers, and will help guide magnetic switching design engineers.

## **DISTRIBUTION LIST**

DTIC/OCP  
8725 John J. Kingman Rd. Suite 0944  
Ft Belvoir, VA 22060-6218 1 cy

AFRL/RVOP  
Kirtland AFB, NM 87117-5776 1 cy

James Schrock  
Official Record Copy  
AFRL/RDHE 1 cy