

AWARD NUMBER: W81XWH-20-C-0117

TITLE: Medic Surgical Technical Assistance Tool (mSTAT) Development and Testing

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Samuel A. Tisherman, MD

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION:

University of Maryland, Baltimore, a public university of the State of Maryland
Office of Research and Development
620 W. Lexington Street, 4th Floor
Baltimore, MD 21201

REPORT DATE: OCTOBER 2022

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual Report

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			<i>Form Approved</i> <i>OMB No. 0704-0188</i>		
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE OCTOBER 2022		2. REPORT TYPE Annual		3. DATES COVERED 15 Sept 2021 – 14 Sept 2022	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Medic Surgical Technical Assistance Tool (mSTAT) Development and Testing			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
			5b. GRANT NUMBER W81XWH-20-C-0117		
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S) Samuel A. Tisherman, MD, Adam C. Puche, PhD, Kristy Fuller, MS E-Mail: stisherman@som.umaryland.edu			5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
			5e. TASK NUMBER		
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Maryland, Baltimore Office of Research and Development 620 W. Lexington Street, 4th Floor Baltimore, MD 21201			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT We propose to evaluate the efficacy of a video-based step-by-step approach (medic Surgical Technical Assistance Tool, mSTAT) to enable medics to perform more advanced surgical interventions. We have previously shown that this approach significantly improves the performance of selected vascular and fasciotomy procedures by trained surgeons. Aim 1: To test if medics using a similar tool, redesigned to target individuals whose surgical procedural skill level is that of the combat medic, will allow for effective advanced vascular and fasciotomy procedures. Aim 2: To analyze medic cognition and reasoning as they undertake each of the procedures in comparison to available surgeon data to inform development of effective training/forward knowledge interventions.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS Trauma, hemorrhage, video training, vascular control, fasciotomy					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 12	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRDC
a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1. Introduction	4
2. Keywords	4
3. Accomplishments	4
4. Impact	8
5. Changes/Problems	9
6. Products	10
7. Participants & Other Collaborating Organizations	11
8. Special Reporting Requirements	12
9. Appendices	12

1. INTRODUCTION:

A substantial fraction of medical care in combat environments involves medics providing field stabilization, followed by aeromedical evacuation to a forward medical facility. Potential delays in aeromedical evacuation requires advancing medical capabilities closer to the point of injury. The objective of this proposal is to provide a knowledge- and skills-based tool that a medic could use to improve performance of more advanced surgical stabilization interventions in austere environments and/or situations in which advanced telecommunications are unavailable. We propose to evaluate the efficacy of a video-based step-by-step approach (medic Surgical Technical Assistance Tool, mSTAT) to enable medics to perform more advanced surgical interventions.

2. KEYWORDS:

Trauma, hemorrhage, video training, vascular control, fasciotomy

3. ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

What were the major goals of the project?

Specific Aim 1: To test if medics using the mSTAT, video-based tool, can effectively perform advanced vascular and fasciotomy procedures.

Major Task 1: IRB submission, Sub-Contract negotiations, Didactic Curriculum, mSTAT Video development, pilot testing.

- 1.1 Kick-off meeting of research staff, consultants and Sub-Contractors.
- 1.2 Complete Sub-Contract negotiations.
- 1.3 Develop didactic curriculum and teaching videos with input from trauma, military, and medic experts.
- 1.4 Obtain IRB & HRPO approval
- 1.5 Test didactic curriculum and teaching videos on pilot subjects; Additional input from experts; finalize curriculum and videos; develop introductory materials for potential subjects; pilot procedures with medics (n=10 medics and 10 cadavers)

Major Task 2: Subject enrollment

- 2.1 Send Introductory Materials to potential medic subjects; Begin Study Enrollment of medics (after IRB approvals and Informed consent obtained). Assignment into study groups: n=20 per group, 3 groups; 60 participants total.
- 2.2 Evaluation of medics performing 4 ASSET procedures on unpreserved cadavers (n=60) using didactic education 3-6 months before testing only, the video tool only, or didactic education plus video too.
- 2.3 Mid-term review meeting with investigators and consultants
- 2.4 Complete recruitment of subjects testing the efficacy of the didactic curriculum and the video tool for medic procedural performance

Major Task 3: Data analysis

- 3.1 Analyze metrics of performance to determine efficacy of the didactic curriculum and/or video tool. Correlate performance metrics against think-aloud cognitive analysis. Compare project dataset with previous surgeon performance data from W81XWH-13-2-0028 and W81XWH-17-2-0011 on the same procedures.
- 3.2 Draft papers; present results; and Prepare Final report.

Specific Aim 2: To analyze medic cognition and reasoning during the procedures in comparison to available surgeon data to help development of effective training/forward knowledge interventions.

- 1.1 Evaluation of 'think-aloud' from the medic audio recordings for content (planning, procedural narratives, anatomical references) and affective metrics (metacognition, stress)
- 1.2 Evaluate medics' self-reported survey of subjective factors of perceptions of self-confidence/helpfulness from the didactic curriculum and/or the video tool.

What was accomplished under these goals?

Specific Aim 1: To test if medics using the mSTAT, video-based tool, can effectively perform advanced vascular and fasciotomy procedures.

Major Task 1: IRB submission, Sub-Contract negotiations, Didactic Curriculum, mSTAT Video development, pilot testing.

- 1.1 Kick-off meeting of research staff, consultants and Sub-Contractors.
We have met with research staff, consultants, and sub-contractors.
- 1.2 Complete Sub-Contract negotiations.
Subcontract negotiations with WSU are complete. We are working with the Geneva Foundation and Womack and received the Womack IRB reliance and command approvals.
- 1.3 Develop didactic curriculum and teaching videos with input from trauma, military, and medic experts.
We have completed shooting, revising/reshooting and editing the principal videography for the procedural videos to use in both the mSTAT and Didactic components of the project. Post-production for all of the proposed procedures is completed. Training materials for the medic didactic training sessions have been finalized and completed. We have continued to draft assessment scripts for the procedure scenarios.

Procedure	Shot raw footage	Video post-production	Scripts drafted	Finalized scripts
Axillary artery exposure	✓	✓	✓	
Femoral artery exposure	✓	✓	✓	
Lower extremity fasciotomy	✓	✓	✓	
Upper extremity fasciotomy	✓	✓	✓	
Carotid artery exposure	✓	✓	N/A*	
Brachial artery exposure	✓	✓	N/A	
Hand fasciotomy	✓	✓	N/A	

*These procedures will be introduced to the participants as part of the didactic curriculum but will not be tested in the cadavers. Therefore, scripts will not be needed. By including additional procedures in the didactic curriculum that won't be tested, we hope to decrease the chances that the participants will actively prepare ahead of time for performing the procedures.

1.4 Obtain IRB & HRPO approval

We have approval from the University of Maryland, Baltimore, Institutional Review Board. We have an IRB Institutional Agreement between WSU and UMB. We have approval from the Womack Army Medical Center Human Research Protection Program.

Army HRPO approval has been obtained (January 7, 2022.)

1.5 Test didactic curriculum and teaching videos on pilot subjects; Additional input from experts; finalize curriculum and videos; develop introductory materials for potential subjects; pilot procedures with medics (n=10 medics and 10 cadavers)

We have completed the first round of pilot testing (n=4) and incorporated their relevant suggestions into editing our didactic materials.

Major Task 2: Subject enrollment

2.1 Send Introductory Materials to potential medic subjects; Begin Study Enrollment of medics (after IRB approvals and Informed consent obtained). Assignment into study groups: n=20 per group, 3 groups; 60 participants total.

Medic enrollment has begun and the first didactic session has been completed. (n=16).

Informed consent was obtained for all subjects. Subjects have not been further divided into study groups.

2.2 Evaluation of medics performing 4 ASSET procedures on unpreserved cadavers (n=60) using didactic education 3-6 months before testing only, the video tool only, or didactic education plus video too.

Not yet initiated.

2.3 Mid-term review meeting with investigators and consultants

Not yet initiated.

2.4 Complete recruitment of subjects testing the efficacy of the didactic curriculum and the video tool for medic procedural performance

Not yet initiated.

Major Task 3: Data analysis

3.1 Analyze metrics of performance to determine efficacy of the didactic curriculum and/or video tool. Correlate performance metrics against think-aloud cognitive analysis. Compare project dataset with previous surgeon performance data from W81XWH-13-2-0028 and W81XWH-17-2-0011 on the same procedures.

Not yet initiated.

3.2 Draft papers; present results; and Prepare Final report.

Not yet initiated.

Specific Aim 2: To analyze medic cognition and reasoning during the procedures in comparison to available surgeon data to help development of effective training/forward knowledge interventions.

1.1 Evaluation of ‘think-aloud’ from the medic audio recordings for content (planning, procedural narratives, anatomical references) and affective metrics (metacognition, stress)

Not yet initiated.

1.2 Evaluate medics’ self-reported survey of subjective factors of perceptions of self-confidence/helpfulness from the didactic curriculum and/or the video tool.

Not yet initiated.

DESCRIBE THE REGULATORY PROTOCOL AND ACTIVITY STATUS

PROTOCOL (1 of 1 total):

HRPO Protocol: E01511.2a

Title: Medical Surgical Technical Assistance Tool (mSTAT) Development and Testing

Target required for statistical significance: 60

Target approved for statistical significance:

SUBMITTED TO AND APPROVED BY:

- University of Maryland, Baltimore, Institutional Review Board
 - Submitted 3/19/20
 - Approved 6/24/20
- Wright State University Institutional Review Board Authorization Agreement
 - Submitted 12/17/20
 - Approved 4/5/21
- US Army Human Research Protection Office
 - Submitted 9/16/21
 - Approved 1/7/22

ENROLLMENT TABLE:

<u>HRPO Protocol Number</u>	<u>Protocol PI Name</u>	<u>Organization (Site)</u>	<u>Enter information regarding number of subjects</u>					<u>Other</u>
			<u># Target</u>	<u># Screened</u>	<u># Recruited</u>	<u># Enrolled</u>	<u># Completed</u>	
E01511.2a	Tisherman/Puche	UMB						Pilots Completed
This annual reporting period			60	16	16	16	0	4
Cumulative			60	16	16	16	0	4

What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?

Nothing to report.

How were the results disseminated to communities of interest?

If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe how the results were disseminated to communities of interest. Include any outreach activities that were undertaken to reach members of communities who are not usually aware of these project activities, for the purpose of enhancing public understanding and increasing interest in learning and careers in science, technology, and the humanities.

Nothing to report.

What do you plan to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals?

We will finalize the scripts and start scheduling subjects for evaluations for the end of this year. We will make sure that the mSTAT tool is in its final form and ready for evaluations. We will also begin recruitment of subjects for our next round of didactic training which we plan to schedule in the first quarter of 2023.

4. IMPACT:

What was the impact on the development of the principal discipline(s) of the project?

Nothing to report.

What was the impact on other disciplines?

Nothing to report.

What was the impact on technology transfer?

Nothing to report.

What was the impact on society beyond science and technology?

Nothing to report.

5. CHANGES/PROBLEMS:

Changes in approach and reasons for change

No changes to report.

Actual or anticipated problems or delays and actions or plans to resolve them

Describe problems or delays encountered during the reporting period and actions or plans to resolve them.

Previously there was a prolonged delay in obtaining approval from the Womack IRB. The approval has now been approved by the US Army HRPO. This delay previously caused delays with pilot testing and subject recruitment, but now we have completed the first round of pilot testing as well as the first didactic training session.

Changes that had a significant impact on expenditures

Describe changes during the reporting period that may have had a significant impact on expenditures, for example, delays in hiring staff or favorable developments that enable meeting objectives at less cost than anticipated.

COVID-19 restrictions on research and the delay in approvals initially limited our ability to develop the didactic curriculum and videos. As such, we did not initially deploy our full FTE staffing to ensure fiscal health of the project during the restriction period. However, as ongoing COVID-19 restrictions have reduced and we have obtained regulatory approvals, we have employed a new FTE, Sharon Sorto.

Significant changes in use or care of human subjects, vertebrate animals, biohazards, and/or select agents

Significant changes in use or care of human subjects

Nothing to report.

Significant changes in use or care of vertebrate animals

N/A

Significant changes in use of biohazards and/or select agents

N/A

6. PRODUCTS:

- **Publications, conference papers, and presentations**

Journal publications.

Nothing to report.

Books or other non-periodical, one-time publications.

Nothing to report.

Other publications, conference papers and presentations.

Nothing to report.

- **Website(s) or other Internet site(s)**

Nothing to report.

- **Technologies or techniques**

Nothing to report.

- **Inventions, patent applications, and/or licenses**

Nothing to report.

- **Other Products**

Nothing to report.

7. PARTICIPANTS & OTHER COLLABORATING ORGANIZATIONS

What individuals have worked on the project

Name	Project Role	Researcher Identifier	Nearest Person Month Worked	Contribution to Project
Samuel A. Tisherman, MD	Co-PI	0000-0003-3810-3729	1	Project oversight and management, directs and mentors staff
Adam Puche, PhD	Co-PI	eracommons 'apuche'	1	Project oversight and management, directs and mentors staff
Kristy Fuller, MS	Research Lead Specialist		9	Coordinates project and manages data collection, development of project materials and data collection and analysis
Sharon Sorto, BS	Research Assistant		1	Assists with participant scheduling, project logistics, data organization, and data analysis
Cheralyn Hendrix, MD	Trauma Surgery Collaborator		1	Assists in project material development and participant training

Has there been a change in the active other support of the PD/PI(s) or senior/key personnel since the last reporting period?

Dr. Tisherman:

- Grant number W81XWH-17-2-0011 (Co-PI; 5% effort), "Emergency Refreshing of Combat Surgical Skills" has been closed.
- Grant number PR191560 (Co-I, 2% effort), "Cooling to Help Injured Lungs (CHILL) Phase IIB Randomized Control Trial of Therapeutic Hypothermia in Patients with ARDS" has been funded.

Dr. Puche:

- Grant W81XWH-17-2-0011 (Co-PI; 5% effort), "Emergency Refreshing of Combat Surgical Skills" has been closed.

What other organizations were involved as partners?

Organization Name: Wright State University

Location of Organization: Dayton, OH

Partner's contribution to the project: Will analyze medic cognition and reasoning during the procedures

Key personnel: Valerie Shalin, PhD

Organization Name: Womack Army Medical Center

Location of Organization: Fort Bragg, NC

Partner's contribution to the project: Will recruit subjects for the project and assist with logistics for the didactic curriculum and the procedures (which will be performed at the Maryland State Anatomy Board.

Key personnel: COL Tyler Harris, MD

8. SPECIAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

QUAD CHARTS: *If applicable, the Quad Chart (available on <https://www.usamraa.army.mil/Pages/Resources.aspx>) should be updated and submitted with attachments.*

- 9. APPENDICES:** *Attach all appendices that contain information that supplements, clarifies or supports the text. Examples include original copies of journal articles, reprints of manuscripts and abstracts, a curriculum vitae, patent applications, study questionnaires, and surveys, etc.*