



2021 Academy Climate and Networking Study: US Coast Guard Academy

Executive Report

**DATA
DRIVEN
SOLUTIONS
FOR
DECISION
MAKERS**



Additional copies of this report may be obtained from:

Defense Technical Information Center

ATTN: DTIC-BRR

8725 John J. Kingman Rd., Suite #0944

Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-6218

Or from:

<http://www.dtic.mil/dtic/order.html>

Ask for report by DTIC#

2021 Academy Climate and Networking Study: US Coast Guard Academy

Executive Report

Lisa Davis,^a William (Xav) Klauberg,^b Sela Harcey Ph.D,^b Becky Lane Ph.D.,^b
Amanda Barry,^b Rachel Breslin Ph.D.,^a & Ashlea Klahr Ph.D.^a

^aOffice of People Analytics

^bFors Marsh Group, LLC

DATA
DRIVEN
SOLUTIONS
FOR
DECISION
MAKERS



Acknowledgments

The Office of People Analytics (OPA) is indebted to numerous people for their assistance with the *2021 Academy Climate and Networking Study (2021 ACNS)*, which was conducted on behalf of the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (OUSD[P&R]). This study was conducted under the leadership of Dr. Ashlea Klahr, Director of OPA's Health and Resilience (H&R) Division. H&R research is conducted under the oversight of Ms. Lisa Davis, Deputy Director, with the assistance of Dr. Rachel Breslin, Chief of Military Gender Relations Research. The project managers for this effort were Ms. Lisa Davis of OPA and Mr. William (Xav) Klauberg of Fors Marsh Group, LLC (FMG). The FMG project director for this effort was Ms. Amanda Barry.

We would like to thank the individuals at the U.S Coast Guard Academy who assisted us in administering this study, Ms. Shannon Norenberg, LT Eric Gerken, and CDR Maureen Johnson.

Policy officials contributing to the development of this project included Dr. Nathan Galbreath, Dr. Andra Tharp, and Dr. Beverly Fortson of the DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (SAPRO).

The lead survey design analysts were Mr. William (Xav) Klauberg and Ms. Amanda Barry, FMG and designed the unique presentation of complex items used in the survey. Mr. Hunter Peebles, Dr. Kiersten Downs, Mr. Brock Brothers, Ms. Mallory Mann, Mr. Mark Petusky, Ms. Jess Tercha, Mr. Alex Fernandes, Ms. Nativita Kaminski, Ms. Kasmita Mirani, Ms. Brittany Owen, and Mr. William (Xav) Klauberg of FMG, contributed to the focus group protocol development, data collection, and administration.

FMG's Advanced Analytics team, under the guidance of Dr. Jennifer Gibson, Vice President, was responsible for dataset development and all statistical aspects of the survey, including sampling and weighting. Mr. Thomas Mienk, Mr. Matt Wolf, and Mr. Sina Rahini were responsible for developing the survey sample file, cleaning frame data and survey returns, and merging statistical weights into the analytic dataset. Mr. Alex McMillan and Mr. Stephen Busselberg were responsible for coordinating the statistical processes and developed the statistical weights for the survey. Mr. Rich Maitland was responsible for programming and hosting the survey under the guidance of Ms. Kimberly Wyborski, Director of Data Collection.

Dr. Sela Harcey, Dr. Juan Arroyo-Flores, Mr. William (Xav) Klauberg, Mr. Alex Fernandes, and Ms. Kasmita Mirani of FMG, contributed to the quantitative analyses provided in this report. Ms. Megan Honaker, Mr. Martin Lopez, Mr. Chance Kennicutt, Ms. Divya Alukal, Ms. Jenna Tyler, Mr. Hunter Peebles, Ms. Nativita Kaminski, Ms. Kasmita Mirani, Mr. Alex Fernandes, Ms. Brittany Owen, Mr. DaCota Hollar, and Mr. William (Xav) Klauberg of FMG, contributed to the qualitative analyses provided in this report.

Dr. Ashlea Klahr and Ms. Lisa Davis of OPA, and Dr. Becky Lane, Mr. William (Xav) Klauberg, and Ms. Amanda Barry of FMG, contributed to the writing and quality control of this report.

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Executive Report	1
Introduction	1
Methodology	2
Influential Cadets	4
Leveraging Influential Cadets.....	5
Influencer-to-Influencer Ties	6
Social Norms	8
Social Norms Focus One: Expectation vs. Reality Mismatch	8
Social Norms Focus Two: Persistent Problematic Behaviors.....	10
How to Address the Problems: Ways to Reach Cadets	11
Conclusion	13
References	15

Appendices

Appendix A. Survey Instrument	17
Appendix B. Focus Group Guide	43
Appendix C. Example Focus Group Exercises	65

List of Tables

Table 1. Proportions of USCGA Influencer-to-Influencer Ties by Gender.....	6
--	---

List of Figures

Figure 1. Influencer Connections and Centrality	3
Figure 2. USCGA Gender Proportions Overall and of Influential Cadets	5
Figure 3. USCGA Influencer-to-Influencer – Gender.....	7
Figure 4. USCGA Influencer-to-Influencer – Class Year	8
Figure 5. Discrepant Expectations for Self, Peer, and Other Students’ Behavior.....	9
Figure 6. Low Expectations for Self, Peer, and Other Students’ Behavior.....	10
Figure 7. Influential Students’ Perceptions of Messaging that Resonates and Messaging That Does Not Resonate at USCGA.....	12

Executive Report

Introduction

The Health and Resilience (H&R) Research Division within the Office of People Analytics (OPA) has conducted congressionally mandated gender relations assessments at the Academies since 2005.¹ Title 10, United States Code (U.S.C.), Sections 4361, 6980, and 9361, as amended by Section 532 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year 2007, codified an assessment cycle at the Military Service Academies (MSA) that consists of alternating surveys and focus groups. In 2008, US Coast Guard Academy (USCGA) officials requested their Academy be included in this assessment cycle to evaluate and improve programs addressing sexual assault and sexual harassment. These regular assessments are known as the *Service Academy Gender Relations (SAGR)* project.

Despite years of concerted effort, results from the SAGR have found that many cadets experience sexual assault and/or sexual harassment at the Academy (Davis, Klahr, Klauberg, Hylton, & Petusky, 2019), highlighting the need for a continued focus on prevention of sexual assault and harassment specifically, as well as violence and harm more generally. Importantly, results from SAGR focus groups have consistently identified student leaders/influencers as critical, but unequipped, prevention messengers (Claros, Scolese, Barry, Klahr, & Davis, 2020). This is consistent with “social diffusion” theory, whereby influential individuals within social networks are key to the diffusion of new ideas and behaviors. Indeed, numerous effective public health interventions leverage the outsized impacts that influencers have within their social networks to promulgate information and norms and ultimately change behaviors (Kelly, 1991; Bush et al.; 2019; Coker et al., 2017; Rogers, 1983; Strang & Soule, 1998).

In order to provide actionable insights to inform prevention efforts at the Academies, and building on ideas from social diffusion theory, OPA, in conjunction with the Department of Defense’s (DoD) Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (SAPRO), conducted the *2021 Academy Climate and Networking Study (2021 ACNS)*. This study departed from the traditional SAGR focus group methodology and employed a mixed-methods approach, which included an online survey and virtual focus groups. The survey assessed norms relevant for prevention of violent or harmful behaviors and asked participants to identify influential students (and why they are considered influential). We then conducted virtual focus groups with influential students who were nominated by their peers to learn more about what makes these students influential, and assessed what training, messaging, and programs resonate or do not resonate with participants. The results detail the characteristics of influential students at USCGA, the norms that are promising targets for prevention activities, and the strategies for engaging with students that are most likely to resonate.

These results are designed to allow USCGA leadership to continue enhancing and refining their prevention efforts in three ways. First, these findings will allow USCGA to better identify students who are likely to be highly influential and to leverage these students in prevention

¹ The first assessment in this series was conducted in 2004 by the DoD Inspector General (IG). Details are reported in the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense (2005).

efforts. Next, these findings highlight norms within the Corps of Cadets that can contribute to risk of violence and harm; mitigating smaller harmful behaviors and augmenting helpful ones is a promising avenue with regards to preventing more serious negative outcomes. Finally, these findings highlight methods of training that may resonate more effectively with cadets. We recommend that USCGA employs these strategies within its prevention activities, formally evaluate their effectiveness, and modify as needed until the desired effect is achieved.

The purpose of this executive report is to describe the statistical and study methodology employed on the *2021 Academy Climate and Networking Study (2021 ACNS)* and provide topline findings.

Methodology

The *2021 ACNS* used a mixed-methods approach, employing both a web-based survey and virtually administered focus groups. The survey contained questions about perceived acceptability and commonality of behaviors associated with harmful outcomes (such as alcohol abuse) and positive factors (like standing up to sexist jokes), hereafter referred to as “social norms.” Social norms include the behaviors that cadets actually do, those behaviors they expect from others at USCGA, and any incongruence between these actual and expected behaviors. The survey also used a social network analysis (SNA) approach to identify influential cadets and midshipmen according to particular criteria. For a more thorough view of the results on social norms, please see the Topline Report.²

Survey participants were asked to nominate individuals from USCGA in five distinct categories shown to the right. Participants could only nominate one person per category and there were no limits for nominating the same person for more than one category. Participants were then asked to nominate an additional five peers that they felt particularly close to or spent significant time with in the past six months. Additionally, participants were asked to describe the connections between their nominated peers (e.g., influencer 1 is connected to influencer 2, but influencer 1 is not connected to influencer 5).

Influencer Nomination Survey Questions: “What cadet at your Academy...”

- ...do you look up to?
- ...do you respect?
- ...do you think is a good leader in sports, clubs, extracurricular groups, and other group activities?
- ...would you want to command the military unit you commission into?
- ...has a presence on social media that you like, follow, or enjoy?

The survey fielded online from February 22, 2021 to March 15, 2021. A census of all cadets at USCGA (with the exception of those under 18 years old and foreign exchange students) were invited to participate. The survey email announcement explained the purpose of the survey, how the information would be used, why participation was important, and information on how to opt-out. Throughout the survey field period, five additional email reminders were sent to those who had not completed the survey to encourage participation. A total of 266 of 1,024 cadets


² The Topline Report can be found on <https://opa.mil/research-analysis/health-well-being>

completed the survey,³ resulting in a total response rate of 26%. Using an industry-standard process, data were weighted to reflect USCGA’s population as of January 2021.

Focus groups were conducted with those identified as influential by their peers on the survey (regardless if the nominated influencer participated in the survey themselves). The focus groups posed questions to participants about the qualities of influential cadets, how the informal networks at USCGA disseminate information, and what helps Academy messaging on safety and prevention issues to resonate with cadets (see Appendix B for the focus group protocol).

Influence was measured not only by capturing these ties, but also by calculating the centrality to the network of each individual, hereafter referred to as “central influence” or “centrally influential.” The more central a person is to a group, the more connections they have with highly connected people. Figure 1 illustrates this concept and potential relationships among individuals at various levels of influence.

Figure 1.
Influencer Connections and Centrality



³ Completed surveys were defined as answering 50% or more of the social norms items.

The focus groups were conducted virtually from April 2, 2021 through April 9, 2021. Participants were recruited via email until group session size reached six to ten participants. A total of six focus groups were conducted at USCGA. The groups were comprised based on survey nominations, as shown to the right and in the footnote below.⁴ Experienced facilitators trained in focus group moderation and sensitive topics led the sessions. Gender-specific groups were led by facilitators of the same gender (i.e., male groups were led by a male facilitator; female groups were led by a female facilitator). For mixed-gender groups, the facilitator was either male or female. The sessions were recorded and transcribed, with recordings then destroyed to preserve anonymity of responses and participation. A total of 27 cadets (12 women and 15 men) participated in the focus groups.

Focus Group Participant Composition

Influencer Category Groups:

- Admired/Respected: Mixed Gender, Any Class
- Military: Mixed Gender, Any Class
- Social Media: Mixed Gender, Any Class

Nominated Influencer Groups:

- Nominated Influencers: Male, 1C/2C
- Nominated Influencers: Female, 1C/2C
- Nominated Influencers: Mixed Gender, 1C/2C

Data from the focus groups were analyzed using a multistep analytic induction method (Erickson, 1986). First, the data were organized by Academy, removing any personally identifiable information (PII) or other identifying information. Next, using qualitative analysis software (NVivo), the team coded data into key themes, and analysts developed assertions, which stated possible findings. Transcripts were coded and verified by two independent analysts to avoid individual bias. Once the data were compiled for each assertion, researchers determined whether to keep, revise, or eliminate the findings based on the support, and contradictions for the assertion. If an initial finding did not have evidence of support, it was eliminated as a potential assertion.

Influential Cadets


Cadet peers have been consistently identified in past SAGR research as critical messengers for prevention efforts regarding sexual assault and sexual harassment (Claros et al., 2020; Barry et al., 2020). Furthermore, civilian research has shown that the use of influential students can better drive culture and behavior change (e.g., Bush et al., 2019; Coker et al., 2017). Understanding the characteristics of influential students at USCGA is an important first step in understanding what factors make up the profile of an influential cadet. Providing USCGA with the demographics and traits of influential students will allow Academy prevention personnel to use this information as part of an assessment tool or strategy to identify cadets and midshipmen for participation in prevention programs and activities.

⁴ Participants assigned to the “Nominated Influencer” groups were those who received nominations for any category of influencer and prioritized survey participants who volunteered to participate in such focus groups, and were specific to class year and gender, unlike the “Influencer Category” groups.

Leveraging Influential Cadets

A minority of cadets were found to be centrally influential at USCGA (18%). Central influencers tended to be men, though women were more likely to be centrally influential; only 38% of USCGA students are women, but 47% of centrally influential cadets were women (Figure 2). Centrally influential cadets also tended to be upperclassmen, with first class cadets making up nearly half (43%) of all central influencers, despite all class years being approximately the same size. Representation among central influencers' declines with class year; specifically, second class cadets made up 24% of central influencers, third class made up 19%, and fourth class made up 15% of central influencers.

Figure 2.
USCGA Gender Proportions Overall and of Influential Cadets



In addition to these demographic factors, central influencers were also identified by category of influence at different rates. For example, central influencers were more often categorized as “Respected” (38%) and “Admired” (37%) influencers, whereas “Social Media” (30%) influencers were less common. Overall, central influencer status did not differ across gender, with the exception of “Military,” where men were more likely to be nominated as military leaders as compared to women. With regards to class year, “Social Media” influencers stood out from all other categories of influence, with a lower proportion of first class cadets (41%), compared to other influencer categories, in which first class cadets made up at least half of the category; specifically, “Military” (68%), “General Leadership” (63%), “Admired” (61%), and “Respected” (50%). All other types of influencers followed the same overall pattern of being largely made up of first class cadets and decreasing as class year becomes more junior.

“I feel like some of the best cadet leaders here are those who have a particular cause that they’re really passionate about... those people generally are really invested in actually improving the wellbeing of this institution. And because of that, they want to take feedback from not only their friends, but just anyone who might need a shoulder to listen to. And so they’re willing to... put others before themselves.” –USCGA Mixed-Gender Group

Influencer-to-Influencer Ties

Understanding how influencers are tied to each other allows for a better understanding of the unofficial structure of the USCGA student body, as opposed to its formal structures like class year, chain of command, and Academy unit. Examining influencer-to-influencer ties by key demographics reveals that gender plays a significant role in the structure of these unofficial social networks. Namely, influencer groups appear to be somewhat insular with regards to gender, with male influencers having more connections to other male influencers, and vice versa for female influencers (Table 1). However, this insularity appears to be greater for USCGA men, where 75% of male influencer-to-influencer ties are to other men vs. 58% of female influencer-to-influencer ties with other women. When examining influencer-to-influencer ties through the lens of class year a similar insularity can be found where the majority of influential cadets in any given class year are connected to other influential students in the same class year, however, the second-most common class year connection for second class, third class, and fourth class influential cadets was to first class influential cadets. In other words, although influential students in any given class year tend to be connected to other influential peers in the same class year, influential first class cadets maintain a strong presence throughout all levels of USCGA.

Table 1.
Proportions of USCGA Influencer-to-Influencer Ties by Gender

Influencer A Gender	Influencer B Gender		Total
	Female	Male	
Female	58.0%	42.0%	100%
Male	24.6%	75.4%	100%
Total	34.3%	65.7%	100%

Note. $\chi^2 = 22.732 \cdot df = 1 \cdot \varphi = 0.320 \cdot p = 0.000$

This indicates a significant, positive interaction ($p < 0.001$, $\varphi = 0.320$) for gender as it pertains to influencer-to-influencer ties.

At USCGA, the influencer-to-influencer ties formed a network with one central cluster and several branches. Approximately 38% of cadets at USCGA were nominated as influential at least one time, and among those who were nominated, half (50%) were in the largest network component. With regards to gender, the network appears to be well integrated, with both male and female cadets present throughout the network and many mixed-gender clusters (Figure 3). When examining the network by class year we see first class cadets to be highly central to the network, making up the majority of the central cluster (Figure 4). The network also illustrates how second class cadets appear to be “bridge” nodes in the network, connecting separate parts of

the network to the center. Some mixed class year clusters also appear outside the central network.

Figure 3.
USCGA Influencer-to-Influencer – Gender





Figure 4.
USCGA Influencer-to-Influencer – Class Year



Social Norms


The survey portion of this study contained questions about perceived acceptability and commonality of behaviors associated with harmful outcomes (such as alcohol abuse) and positive factors (like standing up to sexist jokes), hereafter referred to as “social norms.” Social norms in this study are prevention-oriented behaviors and are measured by understanding what cadets actually do, what they expect from others at USCGA, and any incongruence between these actual and expected prevention-oriented behaviors. These findings highlight norms on campus that can contribute to risk of violence and harm; targeting these norms is a promising intervention avenue to be addressed in various prevention and character building programs. Here we examine two sets of findings regarding social norms at USCGA: 1) mismatch between expectations and actual behavior and 2) persistent problematic behaviors with low expectations.

Social Norms Focus One: Expectation vs. Reality Mismatch

An exploration of how social norms (the actual, expected, and incongruent behaviors of cadets) are perceived within USCGA student body illuminated a critical mismatch between cadet perceptions of themselves and their peers, as compared to their expectations of other students’ behaviors. Specifically, reports of self-behavior, peer behavior, and expectations of other cadets revealed significant discrepancies across norms between what cadets and their peers do as compared to the standards to which they hold other students. The mismatch between expectations and perceptions of behaviors within the Academy may contribute to a culture of diffused responsibility. In other words, cadets erroneously thinking that a harmful behavior is

common may make it more socially acceptable for them to enact that behavior themselves. These behaviors may contrast with Service values—those of honor, respect, devotion to duty, loyalty, and integrity, among others. Figure 5 illustrates these differences.

Figure 5.
Discrepant Expectations for Self, Peer, and Other Students' Behavior



Note.

* Percent of students who indicated agree/strongly agree.

† Average percent

^ Percent of students who indicated often/very often

For norms related to sexist comments, roughly two-thirds of cadets (70%) reported that they confront sexist comments “often/very often” when they observe them, and believe that slightly fewer of their peers (66%) do so; however, 95% agree or strongly agree that they expect other students to do so. Similarly, 60% of cadets discourage abusive or hostile language about other cadets on social media, and 74% believe that their peers do the same, but 91% expect other students to discourage these social media behaviors. Slightly less than two-thirds of cadets (61%) report discouraging the humiliation of others with insults or sarcasm, and 63% believe that their peers also discourage these forms of humiliation, though 85% expect other students to intervene against students humiliating one another in these ways.

“I think if a female is confronting somebody about a sexist comment against females, then it’s likely to be far more well-received, because it’s assumed that that person has experience and therefore can speak to that topic.” –USCGA Mixed-Gender Group.


“...Accountability is just kind of weird... depending on how you handle the situation, depending on who finds out about it and who’s involved, you could be seen as the hardo or the hero.” –USCGA Mixed-Gender Group

In summary, most cadets have high expectations of one another, but they are not behaving in kind, nor do they believe that the behaviors of their peers align with their expectations. Instead, cadets are doing what they believe others do, even when their behaviors are not consistent with their own views about what they expect from each other. This incongruity characterizes a key component of how social norms are adopted and how they might be changed; what would happen if students knew that their peers expected them to behave differently than they believe they do?

Social Norms Focus Two: Persistent Problematic Behaviors

Also illuminated in cadet reports of self and peer behavior and their expectations of other students are the relatively low standards set for norms around alcohol use, holding others accountable to USCGA rules, and discouraging gossiping about other students. Figure 6 illustrates reported expectations and discrepancies.

Figure 6.
Low Expectations for Self, Peer, and Other Students’ Behavior



Note.

* Percent of students who indicated agree/strongly agree.

† Average percent

^ Percent of students who indicated often/very often

For norms related to alcohol use, only half (50%) of cadets report that they encourage healthy drinking behavior (such as drinking in moderation and discouraging underage drinking), and that less than half (38%) of their peers do so. In this case, self behavior and expectations of other students' behavior are more closely aligned; only 55% report that they expect other students to encourage health drinking behavior. Similarly, less than half of cadets report that they (31%) and their peers (46%) hold others accountable to Academy rules, regardless of whether they agree or disagree with the rules, and only 49% report that they expect other students to do so. As for gossip or talking about others, less than one-third of cadets report that they (29%) and their peers (28%) discourage these behaviors, and less than half (44%) expect other students to do so. This is relevant in the context of prior *SAGR* focus group findings, which have highlighted the rumor mill as a barrier to reporting an unwanted gender-related behavior due to fears of ostracism.

“A lot of binge drinking happens or not knowing your limits kind of thing. And I think that there's definitely a culture and habit of binge drinking because of decisions of our leadership to limit... The amount of restrictions that have been placed on us this year in comparison to previous years has actually just encouraged binge drinking culture, which is already a thing here like at every Academy I'm sure.” –USCGA Male Group

Because expectations surrounding these behaviors are relatively low, these norms are not good candidates for traditional norms interventions. Instead, as these behaviors are counter to good order and discipline, character development programs are one potential avenue to address these issues.


“It makes us feel like there's going to be a gotcha moment, where either we'll be discovered for breaking a rule, or there's just... Yeah, a level of risk associated every time you hang out with people...because of the policies that we may or may not be complying with and who might see that, and who might share that with other people?” –USCGA Male Group

How to Address the Problems: Ways to Reach Cadets

As there is likely not one single factor that drives these problems, there are likely multiple potential ways of addressing them. One potential avenue of addressing these problems that was explored in the *ACNS* focus groups was to better engage cadets through currently existing channels, specifically, the safety and prevention messaging, trainings, and programs that exist at USCGA. When discussing in the focus groups, participants not only identified specific messaging, trainings, or programs that they perceived to resonate and those they perceived as not resonating but explored what qualities of those messaging, trainings, and programs led the participants to feel that way. Understanding not only self-reported reasons for why these messaging, trainings, or programs did or did not resonate, but also using tangible examples of these trainings allows Academy staff to ensure the prevention personnel have the opportunity to learn from one another and tailor all elements of USCGA's safety and prevention to their own student body.

Overall, the most common themes of Academy messaging perceived as resonating well with cadets were those that were delivered in small groups that allowed discussion, involved cadet peers sharing personal experiences, exhibited transparency, and were cadet-driven. Each of these elements was said to drive buy-in of cadets receiving the messaging or participating in the program, as it allowed them to have a personal and authentic experience with the subject, especially when a peer could speak to the issue with personal experience, which participants said aided in making the issue real to them. As for messaging that did not resonate, common qualities included perceived patronizing tone, minimization of problems, lack of transparency, and hypocrisy. Any of these factors built a barrier between cadets and their ability to engage with the issue. A full illustration of these qualities and examples of training programs and messaging can be seen in Figure 7 below.

Figure 7.
Influential Students' Perceptions of Messaging that Resonates and Messaging That Does Not Resonate at USCGA



“I think when they pull out outside perspectives and officers that are in the fleet currently, and give us a chance to ask these questions and to have a specific topic that we can join and go ask some questions and we just listen to them, I feel like that's like a... good way of understanding that what's happening at the Academy is completely different when you get out of it.”

—USCGA, Mixed-Gender Group

Conclusion

Despite years of concerted effort, cadets and midshipmen remain at risk for sexual assault and sexual harassment (Davis et al., 2019). Additionally, results from SAGR focus groups have consistently identified USCGA student leaders/influencers as critical but unequipped prevention messengers. By revising the traditional SAGR focus group methodology to a mixed-methods approach in 2021, this study provided valuable insights for USCGA to consider in their integrated prevention programs.

The results of this study can be taken into consideration as USCGA reviews their comprehensive prevention plans and look to modify prevention programs or activities based on the information gained from this study. For example, by examining social norms, the results of this study found that while cadets have accurate expectations regarding how other cadets should behave with regard to confronting sexual comments, discouraging abusive/hostile language on social media, and discourage humiliating others, the actual behavior of cadets does not live up to these expectations. These behaviors are promising targets for a norms intervention within an existing prevention activity. For other behaviors such as encouraging healthy drinking behavior and holding others accountable to Academy rules, cadets have lower expectations of one another. These behaviors are counter to good order and discipline at USCGA and character development programs are an opportunity to address these norms to drive behavior change.

The focus groups with influential cadets as nominated by their peers provided greater insights into how cadets and midshipmen view the messaging, trainings, and programs around problematic behaviors at the Academies. Cadets and midshipmen felt that messaging, trainings, and programs that were delivered in small group settings, were led by fellow cadets/midshipmen, allowed for discussion, and included personal stories were particularly impactful in their assessment of whether they thought the training was effective.

Cadet and midshipmen peers have been consistently identified in past SAGR research as critical messengers for prevention efforts regarding sexual assault and sexual harassment (Claros et al., 2020; Barry et al., 2020). Research has shown that the use of influential students can better drive culture and behavior change (e.g., Bush et al., 2019; Coker et al., 2017). Understanding the characteristics of influential students at USCGA is an important first step in understanding what factors make up the profile of an influential cadet. The results of this study found that cadets who are viewed as influential are widely looked up to at USCGA, are respected, widely looked up to at the Academy, and excel in applying military knowledge. Academy prevention personnel could use this information as part of an assessment tool or strategy to identify cadets for participation in prevention programs and activities. USCGA can review current prevention activities and programs and consider changing how they are delivered and leverage these influential students to enhance their prevention programs and activities to better resonate with cadets.

If a prevention program or activity is modified by USCGA, an important step is to evaluate the effectiveness of the program in reducing the harmful behavior the program is targeting. Without evaluating the program, it will be hard for USCGA to know if the program is making an impact on actual behavior change overtime. Upcoming SAGR projects and the *Defense Organizational*

Climate Survey (DEOCS) are two opportunities to assess progress at USCGA, in concert with more targeted program evaluation efforts.

References

- Barry, A., Hill, A., Petusky, M., Klauberg, W. X., Davis, L., & Klahr, A. (2020). 2019 Service Academy Gender Relations Focus Groups: Overview Report. Office of People Analytics Alexandria, United States.
- Bush, H. M., Coker, A. L., DeGue, S., Clear, E. R., Brancato, C. J., & Fisher, B. S. (2019). Do violence acceptance and bystander actions explain the effects of Green Dot on reducing violence perpetration in high schools? *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 36(21–22), 10753–10774. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260519888206>
- Claros, Y., Scolese, A., Barry, A., Klahr, A., & Davis, L. (2020). *2019 U.S. Coast Guard Service Academy Gender Relations Focus Groups: Overview Report*. (Report No. 2020-069). Alexandria, VA: Office of People Analytics.
- Coker, A. L., Bush, H. M., Cook-Craig, P. G., DeGue, S. A., Clear, E. R., Brancato, C. J., Fisher, B. S., & Recktenwald, E. A. (2017). RCT Testing Bystander Effectiveness to Reduce Violence. *American journal of preventive medicine*, 52(5), 566–578. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2017.01.020>
- Davis, L., Klauberg, W. X., Namrow, N., Petusky, M., Claros, Y., Hylton, K., Creel, A., & Klahr, A. (2019). 2018 Service Academy Gender Relations Survey: Overview Report. Office of People Analytics Alexandria, United States. OPA (2018). 2018 Service Academy Gender Relations Survey: Overview Report. (Report No. 2018-073). Alexandria, VA: Author.
- Erickson, F. (1986). Qualitative methods in research on teaching. In M.C. Wittrock (Ed.), *Handbook of Research on Teaching*, (3rd ed., pp.119-161).
- Kelly, J. A., St Lawrence, J. S., Diaz, Y. E., Stevenson, L. Y., Hauth, A. C., Brasfield, T. L., Kalichman, S. C., Smith, J. E., & Andrew, M. E. (1991). HIV risk behavior reduction following intervention with key opinion leaders of population: an experimental analysis. *American journal of public health*, 81(2), 168–171. <https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.81.2.168> Bush et al 2019,
- Rogers, E.M. (1983) *Diffusion of Innovations*. Free Press, New York.
- Strang, D., & Soule, S.A. (1998). Diffusion in organizations and social movements: From hybrid corn to poison pills. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 24, 265–90. doi:10.1146/annurev.soc.24.1.265

Appendix A. Survey Instrument

**DATA
DRIVEN
SOLUTIONS
FOR
DECISION
MAKERS**



2021 ACNS

About the Academy Climate and Networking Study

- 1) **Purpose:** The Academy Climate and Networking Study (ACNS) research project is conducted by the Department of Defense's (DoD) Office of People Analytics (OPA) and contracted through an external research company (Fors Marsh Group [FMG]) to provide Department and Academy leadership with information about culture and life at the Military Service Academies (MSAs). For the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), or Department of Transportation (DOT) officials, those survey results will be aggregated separately. This survey asks all Academy cadets and midshipmen to answer the same questions about their beliefs, perceptions, and understanding of normal standards (hereafter norms) at their Academy. The combined responses to this survey give leadership valuable and important feedback about policy, training, reporting, and dissemination of messages that help ensure safe and supportive training and education.
- 2) **Confidentiality:** No one at your Academy (leadership, faculty, staff, nor cadets/midshipmen) will see how you personally answered any questions on this survey. Responses are combined from everyone at your Academy and the other MSAs to produce aggregate, confidential results. Protecting the confidentiality of your responses is of utmost importance to us.
- 3) **Voluntary Participation:** This survey is voluntary. You may skip any questions you choose not to answer, and you can stop participating at any time. However, participating in this short survey (approximately 15 minutes) is your opportunity to have your opinion heard by Academy, Service, and department leaders. Results of past research have resulted in improvements to training and education at your Academy, so the short 15 minutes you spend on the survey will have immeasurable impact on the lives of cadets and midshipmen at the Academies today and the lives of future cadets and midshipmen. If you choose not to participate after your survey is submitted, you have the right to withdraw your information retroactively at any time by contacting SA-Survey@mail.mil.

Thank you! We understand your time is valuable and we appreciate the time you spend taking this survey. The ACNS is most valuable when *everyone* participates to get the most accurate understanding of life at your Academy. Thank you for doing your part!

Academy Culture

*In this section, you will be asked about your perceptions of beliefs, attitudes, and behaviors at your Academy. Please select the response closest to your opinion: there are no wrong answers. We are interested in what **you** think and feel.*

1. What is your class year at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy]?

- ☐ First Class
☐ Second Class
☐ Third Class
☐ Fourth Class

2. What percent of [cadets|midshipmen] at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy] do you believe actively set positive examples with their own behavior toward others?

- 0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%
☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

3. What percent of [cadets|midshipmen] in your [company|squadron] do you believe actively set positive examples with their own behavior toward others?

- 0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%
☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

4. What percent of your [cadet|midshipman] friends do you believe actively set positive examples with their own behavior toward others?

- 0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%
☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

5. How much do you agree with the following statement?
[cadets|midshipmen] at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy] expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively set positive examples with their behavior toward others.

- ☐ Strongly agree
☐ Agree
☐ Neither agree nor disagree

- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

6. How much do you agree with the following statement?
[Cadets|Midshipmen] in my [company|squadron] expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively set positive examples with their behavior toward others.

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

7. How much do you agree with the following statement?
My [cadet|midshipman] friends expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively set positive examples with their behavior toward others.

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

8. How much do you agree with the following statement?
I expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively set positive examples with their behavior toward others.

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

9. How often do you actively set positive examples with your behavior toward others?

- ☐ Very often
- ☐ Often
- ☐ Sometimes
- ☐ Rarely
- ☐ Never

10. What percent of [cadets|midshipmen] at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy] do you believe actively hold others accountable to Academy rules, regardless of their opinion of the rules?

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

11. What percent of [cadets|midshipmen] in your [company|squadron] do you believe actively hold others accountable to Academy rules, regardless of their opinion of the rules?

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

12. What percent of your [cadet|midshipman] friends do you believe actively hold others accountable to Academy rules, regardless of their opinion of the rules?

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

13. How much do you agree with the following statement?
[cadets|midshipmen] at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy] expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively hold others accountable to Academy rules, regardless of their opinion of the rules.

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

14. How much do you agree with the following statement?
[Cadets|Midshipmen] in my [company|squadron] expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively hold others accountable to Academy rules, regardless of their opinion of the rules.

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

15. How much do you agree with the following statement?

My [cadet|midshipman] friends expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively hold others accountable to Academy rules, regardless of their opinion of the rules.

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

16. How much do you agree with the following statement?

I expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively hold others accountable to Academy rules, regardless of their opinion of the rules.

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

17. How often do you actively hold others accountable to Academy rules, regardless of your opinion of the rules?

- ☐ Very often
- ☐ Often
- ☐ Sometimes
- ☐ Rarely
- ☐ Never

18. What percent of [cadets|midshipmen] at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy] do you believe actively confront someone making sexist comments if and when they hear them?

- 0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%
- ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

19. What percent of [cadets|midshipmen] in your [company|squadron] do you believe actively confront someone making sexist comments if and when they hear them?

- 0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%
- ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

20. What percent of your [cadet|midshipman] friends do you believe actively confront someone making sexist comments if and when they hear them?

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

21. How much do you agree with the following statement?
[cadets|midshipmen] at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy] expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively confront someone making sexist comments if and when they hear them.

☐ Strongly agree
☐ Agree
☐ Neither agree nor disagree
☐ Disagree
☐ Strongly disagree

22. How much do you agree with the following statement?
[Cadets|Midshipmen] in my [company|squadron] expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively confront someone making sexist comments if and when they hear them.

☐ Strongly agree
☐ Agree
☐ Neither agree nor disagree
☐ Disagree
☐ Strongly disagree

23. How much do you agree with the following statement?
My [cadet|midshipman] friends expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively confront someone making sexist comments if and when they hear them.

☐ Strongly agree
☐ Agree
☐ Neither agree nor disagree
☐ Disagree
☐ Strongly disagree

24. How much do you agree with the following statement?
I expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively confront someone making sexist comments if and when they hear them.

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

25. How often do you actively confront someone making sexist comments if and when you hear them?

- ☐ Very often
- ☐ Often
- ☐ Sometimes
- ☐ Rarely
- ☐ Never

26. What percent of [cadets/midshipmen] at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy] do you believe actively discourage gossiping/talking about other [cadets/midshipmen]?

- | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 0% | 10% | 20% | 30% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 70% | 80% | 90% | 100% |
| ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |

27. What percent of [cadets/midshipmen] in your [company/squadron] do you believe actively discourage gossiping/talking about other [cadets/midshipmen]?

- | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 0% | 10% | 20% | 30% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 70% | 80% | 90% | 100% |
| ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |

28. What percent of your [cadet/midshipman] friends do you believe actively discourage gossiping/talking about other [cadets/midshipmen]?

- | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 0% | 10% | 20% | 30% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 70% | 80% | 90% | 100% |
| ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |

29. How much do you agree with the following statement?
[cadets/midshipmen] at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy] expect other [cadets/midshipmen] to actively discourage gossiping/talking about other [cadets/midshipmen].

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree

- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

30. How much do you agree with the following statement?

[Cadets|Midshipmen] in my [company|squadron] expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively discourage gossiping/talking about other [cadets|midshipmen].

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

31. How much do you agree with the following statement?

My [cadet|midshipman] friends expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively discourage gossiping/talking about other [cadets|midshipmen].

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

32. How much do you agree with the following statement?

I expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively discourage gossiping/talking about other [cadets|midshipmen].

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

33. How often do you actively discourage gossiping/talking about other [cadets|midshipmen]?

- ☐ Very often
- ☐ Often
- ☐ Sometimes
- ☐ Rarely

☐ Never

34. What percent of [cadets/midshipmen] at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy] do you believe actively discourage humiliating other [cadets/midshipmen] with insults, sarcasm, or gestures if and when they see it happening?

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

35. What percent of [cadets/midshipmen] in your [company/squadron] do you believe actively discourage humiliating other [cadets/midshipmen] with insults, sarcasm, or gestures if and when they see it happening?

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

36. What percent of your [cadet/midshipman] friends do you believe actively discourage humiliating other [cadets/midshipmen] with insults, sarcasm, or gestures if and when they see it happening?

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

37. How much do you agree with the following statement?
[cadets/midshipmen] at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy] expect other [cadets/midshipmen] to actively discourage humiliating other [cadets/midshipmen] with insults, sarcasm, or gestures if and when they see it happening.

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

38. How much do you agree with the following statement?
[Cadets/Midshipmen] in my [company/squadron] expect other [cadets/midshipmen] to actively discourage humiliating other [cadets/midshipmen] with insults, sarcasm, or gestures if and when they see it happening.

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

39. How much do you agree with the following statement?

My [cadet|midshipman] friends expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively discourage humiliating other [cadets|midshipmen] with insults, sarcasm, or gestures if and when they see it happening.

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

40. How much do you agree with the following statement?

I expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively discourage humiliating other [cadets|midshipmen] with insults, sarcasm, or gestures if and when they see it happening.

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

41. How often do you actively discourage humiliating other [cadets|midshipmen] with insults, sarcasm, or gestures if and when you see it happening?

- ☐ Very often
- ☐ Often
- ☐ Sometimes
- ☐ Rarely
- ☐ Never

42. What percent of [cadets|midshipmen] at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy] do you believe actively discourage abusive or hostile language¹ about other [cadets|midshipmen] on social media if and when they see it?

- | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 0% | 10% | 20% | 30% | 40% | 50% | 60% | 70% | 80% | 90% | 100% |
| ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |

¹ "Abusive or hostile language" was defined for all items as follows: "Abusive or hostile language includes unwanted comments about someone's behavior or appearance intended to cause harm to that person or intended to increase others' negative perceptions of that person." This definition was shown when participants hovered their mouse over the underlined question text.

43. What percent of [cadets|midshipmen] in your [company|squadron] do you believe actively discourage abusive or hostile language about other [cadets|midshipmen] on social media if and when they see it?

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

44. What percent of your [cadet|midshipman] friends do you believe actively discourage abusive or hostile language about other [cadets|midshipmen] on social media if and when they see it?

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

45. How much do you agree with the following statement?
[cadets|midshipmen] at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy] expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively discourage abusive or hostile language about other [cadets|midshipmen] on social media if and when they see it.

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

46. How much do you agree with the following statement?
[Cadets|Midshipmen] in my [company|squadron] expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively discourage abusive or hostile language about other [cadets|midshipmen] on social media if and when they see it.

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

47. How much do you agree with the following statement?
My [cadet|midshipman] friends expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively discourage abusive or hostile language about other [cadets|midshipmen] on social media if and when they see it.

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree

☐ Strongly disagree

48. How much do you agree with the following statement?

I expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively discourage abusive or hostile language about other [cadets|midshipmen] on social media if and when they see it.

- ☐ Strongly agree
☐ Agree
☐ Neither agree nor disagree
☐ Disagree
☐ Strongly disagree

49. How often do you actively discourage abusive or hostile language about other [cadets|midshipmen] on social media if and when you see it?

- ☐ Very often
☐ Often
☐ Sometimes
☐ Rarely
☐ Never

50. What percent of [cadets|midshipmen] at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy] do you believe actively encourage healthy drinking behavior? Examples of healthy drinking behavior include drinking in moderation, discouraging drinking games, and discouraging underage drinking.

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%
☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

51. What percent of [cadets|midshipmen] in your [company|squadron] do you believe actively encourage healthy drinking behavior? Examples of healthy drinking behavior include drinking in moderation, discouraging drinking games, and discouraging underage drinking.

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%
☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

52. What percent of your [cadet|midshipman] friends do you believe actively encourage healthy drinking behavior? Examples of healthy drinking behavior include drinking in moderation, discouraging drinking games, and discouraging underage drinking.

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%
☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

53. How much do you agree with the following statement?

[cadets|midshipmen] at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy] expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively encourage healthy drinking behavior.

Examples of healthy drinking behavior include drinking in moderation, discouraging drinking games, and discouraging underage drinking.

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

54. How much do you agree with the following statement?

[Cadets|Midshipmen] in my [company|squadron] expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively encourage healthy drinking behavior. Examples of healthy drinking behavior include drinking in moderation, discouraging drinking games, and discouraging underage drinking.

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

55. How much do you agree with the following statement?

My [cadet|midshipman] friends expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively encourage healthy drinking behavior.

Examples of healthy drinking behavior include drinking in moderation, discouraging drinking games, and discouraging underage drinking.

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

56. How much do you agree with the following statement?

I expect other [cadets|midshipmen] to actively encourage healthy drinking behavior. Examples of healthy drinking behavior include drinking in moderation, discouraging drinking games, and discouraging underage drinking.

- ☐ Strongly agree
- ☐ Agree

- ☐ Neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ Disagree
- ☐ Strongly disagree

57. How often do you actively encourage healthy drinking behavior? Examples of healthy drinking behavior include drinking in moderation, discouraging drinking games, and discouraging underage drinking.

- ☐ Very often
- ☐ Often
- ☐ Sometimes
- ☐ Rarely
- ☐ Never

Influential Peers

The next few questions will ask about [cadets|midshipmen] at your Academy. Consider interactions with all [cadets|midshipmen] at your Academy, including those outside your class year, [company|squadron], and immediate [cadet|midshipman] chain of command. Please identify only one [cadet|midshipman] for each of the following questions, but you can name the same person more than once. Please enter this person's full first and last names in the fields on the following items. As you type, the survey will display the best match in a drop-down list for you to select. Do not use nicknames. If there are multiple people with the same first and last name as the person you wish to nominate, please enter the person's name in the field and select the correct person in the pop-up list identified by their class year and/or [company|squadron].

For example, if you want to nominate [Cadet|Midshipman] John Smith class of 2021 in [company|squadron] D, and there are multiple "John Smith"s at your Academy, type out "John Smith" in the appropriate field, and select the entry with "class of 2021, [company|squadron] D."

*Please remember **your responses will be kept completely confidential.***

Thank you for your patience as the survey loads the list of your Academy peers. If you encounter difficulty filling out the field, please wait a few seconds for it to load.

58. What [cadet|midshipman] do you look up to at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy]?

a. (If Q58 = Complete) Why do you look up to this person?

59. What [cadet|midshipman] do you respect at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy]?

a. (If Q59 = Complete) What makes this person respectable?

60. What [cadet|midshipman] is a good leader in sports, Academy clubs, extracurricular groups, and other group activities at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy]?

a. (If Q60 = Complete) What makes this person a good leader?

61. What [cadet|midshipman] at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy] would you want to command the military unit you commission into?

a. (If Q61 = Complete) What about this person would make a desirable commander?

62. What [cadet|midshipman] at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy] has a presence on social media that you like, follow, or enjoy?

b. (If Q62 = Complete) What about their presence on social media do you like?

63. Looking back over the last six months (since September 2020), who are the [cadets|midshipmen] at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy] you have felt particularly close to or spent significant time with?

This can include [cadets|midshipmen] outside your class year, [company|squadron], and immediate [cadet|midshipman] chain of command. When answering this question, consider [cadets|midshipmen] at your Academy with whom you socialize, hang out, or are personally close to. They can be [cadets|midshipmen] you listed in the previous section or other [cadets|midshipmen]. Please enter these peoples' full first and last names in the fields on the following items. As you type, the survey will display the best match in a drop-down list for you to select. Do not use nicknames. If there are multiple people with the same first and last name as the person you wish to nominate, please enter the person's name in the field and select the correct person in the pop-up list identified by their class year and/or [company|squadron]. You may identify up to five people.

For example, if you want to nominate [Cadet|Midshipman] John Smith class of 2021 in [company|squadron] D, and there are multiple "John Smith"s at your Academy, type out "John Smith" in the appropriate field, and select the entry with "class of 2021; [company|squadron] D."

Thank you for your patience as the survey loads the list of your Academy peers. If you encounter difficulty filling out the field, please wait a few seconds for it to load.

Please enter the first of up to five [SLTNPL].

1.

Looking back over the last six months (since September 2020), who are the [cadets|midshipmen] at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy] you have felt particularly close to or spent significant time with?

So far you have selected:

1. Q63a

For example, if you want to nominate [Cadet\Midshipman] John Smith class of 2021 in [company\squadron] D, and there are multiple “John Smith”s at your Academy, type out “John Smith” in the appropriate field, and select the entry with “class of 2021; [company\squadron] D.”

Thank you for your patience as the survey loads the list of your Academy peers. If you encounter difficulty filling out the field, please wait a few seconds for it to load.

Please enter the second of up to five [SLTNPL].

2.

Looking back over the last six months (since September 2020), who are the [cadets\midshipmen] at [West Point\the Naval Academy\the Air Force Academy\the Coast Guard Academy\the Merchant Marine Academy] you have felt particularly close to or spent significant time with?

So far you have selected:

1. Q63a
2. Q63b

For example, if you want to nominate [Cadet\Midshipman] John Smith class of 2021 in [company\squadron] D, and there are multiple “John Smith”s at your Academy, type out “John Smith” in the appropriate field, and select the entry with “class of 2021; [company\squadron] D.”

Thank you for your patience as the survey loads the list of your Academy peers. If you encounter difficulty filling out the field, please wait a few seconds for it to load.

Please enter the third of up to five [SLTNPL].

3.

Looking back over the last six months (since September 2020), who are the [cadets\midshipmen] at [West Point\the Naval Academy\the Air Force Academy\the Coast Guard Academy\the Merchant Marine Academy] you have felt particularly close to or spent significant time with?

So far you have selected:

1. Q63a
2. Q63b
3. Q63c

For example, if you want to nominate [Cadet\Midshipman] John Smith class of 2021 in [company\squadron] D, and there are multiple “John Smith”s at your Academy, type out “John Smith” in the appropriate field, and select the entry with “class of 2021; [company\squadron] D.”

Thank you for your patience as the survey loads the list of your Academy peers. If you encounter difficulty filling out the field, please wait a few seconds for it to load.

Please enter the fourth of up to five [SLTNPL].

4.

Looking back over the last six months (since September 2020), who are the [cadets/midshipmen] at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy] you have felt particularly close to or spent significant time with?

So far you have selected:

1. Q63a
2. Q63b
3. Q63c
4. Q63d

For example, if you want to nominate [Cadet|Midshipman] John Smith class of 2021 in [company|squadron] D, and there are multiple "John Smith"s at your Academy, type out "John Smith" in the appropriate field, and select the entry with "class of 2021; [company|squadron] D."

Thank you for your patience as the survey loads the list of your Academy peers. If you encounter difficulty filling out the field, please wait a few seconds for it to load.

Please enter the fifth of up to five [SLTNPL].

5.

64. Thinking of the individuals you named, please explain all the ways in which you are connected with them. Some people can be connected to you in more than one way. For example, someone might be a classmate, a friend, and a teammate.

Please indicate how you are connected to each person by selecting the appropriate cell(s) with a check mark.

	Current/ Former Roommate	Take/Took Academic Class Together	Friend	Extracurricular Club Member (e.g., Academic, Cultural, Recreational, Military, etc.) <i>Please do not count sport teammates here.</i>	Sport (intramural, club, or intercollegiat e) Teammate	Current/ Former Superior in [Cadet/Midsh ipman] Chain of Command	Connected on Social Media (e.g., Jodel, Instagram, Snapchat, TikTok, Reddit, Facebook)	Other <i>Please specify how you are connected</i>
[INDIVIDUAL 1]								
[INDIVIDUAL 2]								
[INDIVIDUAL 3]								
[INDIVIDUAL 4]								
[INDIVIDUAL 5]								
[INDIVIDUAL 6]								
[INDIVIDUAL 7]								
[INDIVIDUAL 8]								
[INDIVIDUAL 9]								
[INDIVIDUAL 10]								

65. Thinking of the individuals you named, please identify how you interact with them. There can be multiple ways you can interact with each person. For example, you might hang out with, study with, and discuss personal issues with the same person.

Please indicate how you interact with each person by selecting the appropriate cell(s) with a check mark.

	Socialize/ Hang Out With	Talk About Classwork /Study Together	Discuss Life Plans	Discuss Personal Issues	Go to for Advice	Interact/T alk on Social Media (e.g., Jodel, Instagram, Snapchat, TikTok, Reddit, Facebook)	Other <i>Please specify how you interact.</i>
[INDIVIDUAL 1]							
[INDIVIDUAL 2]							
[INDIVIDUAL 3]							
[INDIVIDUAL 4]							
[INDIVIDUAL 5]							
[INDIVIDUAL 6]							
[INDIVIDUAL 7]							
[INDIVIDUAL 8]							
[INDIVIDUAL 9]							
[INDIVIDUAL 10]							

66. Please think about the people you listed, shown below. Which of these individuals spend significant amounts of time with one another?

Please indicate if you think the people listed in each row spend significant amounts of time with the people listed in each column by selecting the appropriate cell(s) with a check mark. For example, if the person in the first row spends a significant amount of time with the people in columns 2, 5, and 6, please select the cells where row 1 intersects with columns 2, 5, and 6 with a check mark. Repeat this process for each row. Please see the example illustrated below. Any cells where an individual intersects with themselves will be pre-populated with a check mark.

	John	Sam	Mary	Frank	Rob	Erica
John	☒	☒			☒	☒

	ID 1	ID 2	ID 3	ID 4	ID 5	ID 6	ID 7	ID 8	ID 9	ID 10
--	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	-------

[INDIVIDUAL 1]	☒									
[INDIVIDUAL 2]		☒								
[INDIVIDUAL 3]			☒							
[INDIVIDUAL 4]				☒						
[INDIVIDUAL 5]					☒					
[INDIVIDUAL 6]						☒				
[INDIVIDUAL 7]							☒			
[INDIVIDUAL 8]								☒		
[INDIVIDUAL 9]									☒	
[INDIVIDUAL 10]										☒

67. Please rank how important [cadets/midshipmen] in the following groups are to you personally in your experiences at [West Point|the Naval Academy|the Air Force Academy|the Coast Guard Academy|the Merchant Marine Academy] where the *most important group* is at the top and the *least important group* is at the bottom by dragging and dropping each group into the list.

Class year	
[Company Squadron]	
Academic major	
Those you have classes with	
Sports groups you belong to	
Extracurricular clubs (excluding sports) you belong to	
Those you have military training with	
Informal social groups (e.g., friendships, cliques, etc.)	
Digital communities on social media platforms (e.g., Jodel, Instagram, Snapchat, TikTok, Reddit, Facebook)	

68. Over the last six months (since September 2020), on average, how frequently did you communicate with the individuals you named? *Include interactions that are face-to-face, by phone, Zoom, text, mail, or on the internet.*

	At Least Once a Day	At Least Once a Week	At Least Once a Month	Less Than Once a Month
[INDIVIDUAL 1]	☒	☒	☒	☒
[INDIVIDUAL 2]	☒	☒	☒	☒
[INDIVIDUAL 3]	☒	☒	☒	☒
[INDIVIDUAL 4]	☒	☒	☒	☒
[INDIVIDUAL 5]	☒	☒	☒	☒
[INDIVIDUAL 6]	☒	☒	☒	☒
[INDIVIDUAL 7]	☒	☒	☒	☒
[INDIVIDUAL 8]	☒	☒	☒	☒
[INDIVIDUAL 9]	☒	☒	☒	☒
[INDIVIDUAL 10]	☒	☒	☒	☒

69. How many sports teams, clubs, and extracurricular groups at the Academy do you belong to?

Academy sports teams/clubs/extracurricular groups.

70. Would you like to participate in a virtual follow-up focus group to help improve [cadet/midshipman] life at your Academy? These groups will be conducted approximately in early April.

- ☐ Yes
☐ No

We appreciate your participation in this survey! In the event you would like to discuss issues related to this survey with someone from OPA, please contact Dr. Ashlea Klahr at (571) 372-1789 or DSN 372-1789.

Appendix B.

Focus Group Guide

**DATA
DRIVEN
SOLUTIONS
FOR
DECISION
MAKERS**



Academy Climate and Networking Study 2021 Focus Group Protocol

Focus groups will be conducted with groups of cadets and midshipmen at the Military Service Academies (MSAs) identified as influential by other cadets and midshipmen, and those nominated via snowball sampling for individuals making up social networks. These individuals will be nominated/identified in a survey fielded approximately one month before the focus group. Each group will consist of a “category” of influential person. These categories include “academic,” “athletic,” “leader,” “military culture,” and “social media.” Other groups will consist of survey participants who indicated being interested in participating in a follow-on focus group in their questionnaire responses.

Project Objective: Conduct focus groups with MSA cadets and midshipmen identified as influential with other Academy students to explore how influential students impact the dissemination of information and establishment/reinforcement of norms. These groups also aim to discuss participants’ understanding, perceptions, and attitudes toward sexual assault prevention messaging to better tailor prevention efforts at the Academies.

NOTES TO REVIEWER:

This discussion guide is not a script and therefore will not be read verbatim. The moderator will use these questions as a roadmap and probe as needed to maintain the natural flow of conversation. Question probes are *italicized*.

Moderator instructions are highlighted in yellow. Materials are highlighted in blue.

Session Overview: Total time—90 minutes

SECTION A: Introduction and Icebreaker (8 min.)

The interviewer will explain the purpose of the focus group, present the ground rules, and allow participants to ask any questions.

SECTION B: Friendships at the Academy (15 min.)

The purpose of this section is to assess the characteristics of friendships to warm up the group, explore what it means to be an influential cadet or midshipman, and identify how influential people interact with others.

Activities

- Sub-group identification (identifying, characterizing, and exploring what sub-groups or cliques exist at the Academy) and their relative influence on behavior and thought

SECTION C: Characteristics of Influential Students (25 min.)

The purpose of this section is to identify what the characteristics of influential cadets and midshipmen are through two activities.

Activities

- Stick figure characteristics (ask participants to identify and discuss the characteristics of an influential person at the Academy by projecting onto a neutral illustration)
- Character profile discussion (exploring profiles of cadets/midshipmen according to characteristics, and exploring their influence on others)

SECTION D: Norms and Behavior (15 min.)

The purpose of this section is to identify what norms exist around risk of negative outcomes, to explore perceptions of those norms, and identify what factors create/reinforce those norms.

Activities

- Vignettes of risky incidents (describing vignettes of potential risky incidents at the Academy, how they may play out, and reactions to intervention/non-intervention)

SECTION E: Academy Messaging around Safety (15 min.)

The purpose of this section is to identify what messaging strategies, language, and methods work best to reach and resonate with those influential people.

Activities

- Academy messaging topic generator (what things are messaged by the Academy, what makes them effective/ineffective, and how to improve ineffective messaging)

SECTION F: Social Networks (10 min.)

Discussion of the characteristics of social networks through an ego network mapping activity.

Activities

- Inner-circle/outer-circle mapping (describing participants' social networks, their characteristics, and how information flows through them)

SECTION G: Conclusion (2 min.)

- Moderator ensures that all questions are answered, and all comments have been heard.

Section A. Introduction and Icebreaker (8 minutes)

Thank you all for coming to talk to us today, I understand you are all busy people and your time is greatly appreciated. My name is _____, and I work for Fors Marsh Group, a government contractor that conducts hundreds of groups like these each year, and I'm here today to conduct this group on behalf of the DoD's Office of People Analytics, or OPA. I'm here to listen to you and what you have to tell me; I have no stake in how you respond. Today, we would like to hear from you about how you socialize with other [cadets [midshipmen], how you get messages about preventing risky behaviors from the Academy, and general culture here at your Academy.

[MODERATOR: *If asked, provide definition of risky behaviors - Risky behavior is defined as any consciously or non-consciously controlled behavior with a perceived uncertainty about its outcome, and/or about its possible benefits or cost for the physical, economic or psycho-social well-being of oneself or others.*]

We will have about 90 minutes for our discussion. Before we get started, I want to go over a few things:

- First, I want to emphasize that we are here today because each of you were identified as someone with valuable insights about your Academy. There are no wrong answers here and we are not here to evaluate or judge each other. Our whole purpose is to hear your perspectives, opinions, and experiences.
- What we talk about here is confidential. That means that you will not be personally identified in any of the summary reports or other materials we might prepare based on our discussions today.
- Likewise, we respect the privacy of everyone here, and would therefore ask that you please not share any of our discussions with others.
- Your participation is voluntary, and you have the right to withdraw from the group at any time.
- You don't have to answer every question, but I do want to hear from everyone, so I might call on you at some point. Please speak one at a time and clearly so I may hear you.
- You might have already noticed others in our meeting. There are some people from my team who are taking notes and helping with our discussion activities so I can be present in our discussion. Even though people are listening, please speak openly about your opinions and experiences. We want to learn from you, so it is important that you share your honest opinions.
- We are also recording this session. I am part of a team that will be speaking with cadets and midshipmen at all the Academies, and it will be impossible for me to remember everything we talk about here today. The recordings will be transcribed, but any information that could identify you including video of the call will be removed from the transcripts and ultimately deleted. After these groups are finished, the researchers I am working with will write a report and will refer to these transcripts in doing so.
- Please turn your cellphone off or switch to silent mode.
- If you need to go to the restroom during the discussion, please feel free to do so.

Does anyone have any questions before we begin?

Okay, great. First, I'm going to have everyone introduce themselves. Please tell us your name and something you like to do in your free time.

[Call on participants for their introduction alphabetically]

[*Introductions and Icebreaker*]

It's wonderful to meet you all—let's get started.

[Ask moderator assistant to begin recording]

[If asked about the purpose of the study]:

- These focus groups are designed to understand the climate and networks of Military Service Academy students. It also seeks to understand Academy life and what it is like to be a cadet/midshipman at a Military Service Academy.

[Briefing for late participants (to be placed into chat by moderator assistant as needed)]:

- What we talk about here is confidential, meaning that you will not be personally identified in any of the summary reports or other materials we might prepare based on our discussions today.
- Your participation is voluntary, and you have the right to withdraw from the group at any time.
- We are recording this session. These recordings will be transcribed and anonymized to remove any information that could identify you, including video of the call. After these groups are finished, the researchers I am working with will write a report and will refer to these transcripts in doing so. By remaining in the room, you consent to being recorded.

Section B. Friendships at the Academy (15 min.)

Purpose: This section focuses on identification of sub-groups at the Academies. The sub-group identification activity is designed to uncover what groups participants consider influential (those groups that determine what is normal, right, and expected), and to understand the network density of these groups (size, power, and interconnection among individuals in them). The activity is also designed to directly capture the ways in which social norms are set and disseminated by influential groups.

Now, I'd like to start us off by discussing what friendships look like at the Academy in your experience. My college experience was very different than what I imagine yours is, and I'd like to understand that a little bit better.

- How has COVID-19 impacted how you interact with other [cadets | midshipmen], if at all?

[Probe around social media and electronic communications in addition to in-person interactions.]

Thank you, COVID-19 has introduced a lot of changes in how we interact with people in every aspect of life. When I ask the following questions, I'd like you to think about your responses to them generally, outside of the impact COVID-19 may have had.

- How do you make friends here?
 - How did you make friends when you first started here?
 - How would you compare friendships at the Academy with friendships from high school?

Activity #1- SUB-GROUP IDENTIFICATION ACTIVITY

Thank you for that information, it's really valuable to hear what you have to say about friendships here. I'd like to shift our conversation from friendships to discussing social groups here.

[Moderator Assistant: share screen with Google Jamboard]

[Moderator Assistant: share Google Jamboard URL in meeting chat]

[Moderator: Ask moderator assistant to share Jamboard URL and share their screen, ask participants to click and join the Jamboard link, and for the moderator assistant to place sticky notes. Please note that the Jamboard is anonymous to participants and that the first activity is on slide 2. Participants can navigate to slides by clicking the "right" arrow at the top center of the page.]

Many large organizations have social groups within them, you might call them sub-groups, cliques, or other things, and I'd like to hear more about what groups you've seen here at the Academy. As a group, let's come up with a list of ways you would categorize [cadets | midshipmen] here at the Academy and then we'll discuss them. These can be official groups that are a part of the Academy structure or more informal groups. Are there any questions before we start?

[If asked for more information: a formal group might be class year, or company/squadron, and an informal grouping might be a clique or group of friends.]

[Generate a list of ways to categorize before moving into discussion. Moderator assistant document ideas generated on Activity 1 in activity platform on slide 2.]

- What is the first way you can think of how to categorize [cadets | midshipmen] here?
 - What is another way?

[Repeat until approximately 10 groups are identified]
- Of the groups we listed, which ones determine how other [cadets | midshipmen] act in social situations?
 - What about those groups influences others' behavior?
 - In what ways do those groups influence others' behavior?
 - How do people join these groups?
 - [Influencer Group Question] How do you influence how other [cadets | midshipmen] act in social situations?
 - [Influencer Group Question] What messages do you use?
- Of the groups we listed, which ones influence how other [cadets | midshipmen] think in social situations?
 - What about these groups influences others' thoughts?
 - In what ways do those groups influence others' thoughts?
 - How do people join these groups?
 - [Influencer Group Question] How do you influence how other [cadet | midshipmen] think in social situations?
 - [Influencer Group Question] What messages do you use?
- Of the groups listed, what are the groups that 'set the tone' for [cadets | midshipmen] in social situations?
 - Do these groups influence acceptable behaviors? How?
 - Do these groups influence unacceptable behaviors? How?

[Probe around ways in which influential students positively and negatively impact others' behaviors]

 - [Influencer Group Question] How do you 'set the tone' for [cadets | midshipmen] in social situations?

- [Influencer Group Question] What messages do you use?

- How do members of these groups interact with each other?
 - With members of other groups?

[MODERATOR NOTE: Probe around how they interact on/off campus, with instructors/coaches..]

- What other groups play a role here that we haven't discussed yet?
 - How do these groups influence others?

- [MODERATOR NOTE: Ask participants to click to next slide following this sub-group activity by clicking the "right" arrow at the top center of the screen. The next activity is on slide 3.]

Section C. Characteristics of Influential Students (25 minutes)

Purpose: This section seeks to identify the characteristics of influential cadets and midshipmen through two activities. The first one asks participants to project the characteristics of an influential person onto a stick figure, while the second provides characteristics and asks participants to build a profile of who that person might be. These activities mimic an exercise from the Oxfam Social Norms Diagnostic Tool, a set of exercises to help programmers identify and discuss social norms, perceptions, and expectations with community members.

Activity #2- STICK FIGURE CHARACTERISTICS

Thank you again for all your participation so far. For this next part, we're going to do a quick individual activity and then discuss as a group. For this activity, I'd like you to think about a particular [cadet] midshipman] whose opinions matter to others here at the Academy. Instead of sharing who you're thinking of or saying their name, I'd like you to think about them where I have this stick figure.

[Navigate to Activity 2 slide on activity platform].

[Please note this is slide 3 of the Jamboard to participants.]

When you have a person in mind, think about the characteristics of this person. Please click the "sticky note" button on the left-hand side panel, and type in characteristics of this influential person, whatever first comes to mind, and place it around this stick figure. Place as many notes as you like. Does anyone have any questions?

[Give participants at most 4 minutes to write out characteristics.]

Ok, let's look at some of the characteristics you wrote.

[Probe around responses and following questions.]

- Why does this person's opinion matter to others?
- Who do they socialize with?
 - How? (face-to-face, social media, etc.)
- What do they do in their free time?
- What extracurricular groups do they belong to?
- What varsity or club sport do they play?
- What is this person's academic major?
 - How do they perform academically?
- What is this person's role in the cadet/midshipman chain of command?
- How old is this person?
- How does prior service play a role?

- How does this person talk about official Academy information?
- How does this person talk about other [cadets | midshipmen]?
- What class year is this person?
- What is this person's race and/or ethnicity?
- What gender is this person?
- [Influencer Group Question] What characteristics does this focus group share with the stick figure we have here?
 - [Influencer Group Question] Are there characteristics of this focus group that are not represented on the stick figure?
- [Influencer Group Question] [MODERATOR NOTE: Brainstorm characteristics of the current focus group that make it particularly influential. Probe around responses.]

[MODERATOR NOTE: Ask participants to click to next slide following this stick figure activity. The next activity starts on slide 4.]

Activity #3- CHARACTER PROFILES ACTIVITY

Ok, we've talked about what the characteristics of an influential [cadet | midshipman] are, but I'd like to change things up a little bit and instead of asking you to describe the characteristics of our stick figure, I'd like to flip it around. I'm going to name a series of characteristics and I'd like you to create a "profile" of that person at the Academy for each one. Please click the "sticky note" button on the left-hand side panel, and type in characteristics of each character profile, whatever first comes to mind. Place as many notes as you like.

[Read the first characteristic and probe around how influential that person might be and how they interact with others.]

[MODERATOR NOTE: Ask participants to click to next slide following each character profile. Character profiles are on slides 5, 6, 7]

- What is the profile of someone who is academically talented here?
 - [MODERATOR NOTE: Probe around any mentions of gender or race.]
 - How influential is this person?
 - How do they interact with others?

- What makes this person influential?

[Repeat these probes as needed for the following questions/profiles.]

- Describe the profile of someone who naturally takes charge.
- What is the profile of someone who excels in military training?
- What is the profile of someone who enforces rules here?
- What is the profile of a star athlete here?
- Tell me about the profile of someone who has a following/presence on social media here.

[MODERATOR NOTE: Please note that the following items are intended to get at cadets/midshipmen involved in these programs. Emphasize the first question being around harassment only.]

- What is the profile of someone who is involved in [cadet|midshipman] programs on preventing sexual harassment?
 - What characteristics would you *want* this person to have?
- What is the profile of someone who is involved in [cadet|midshipman] programs on preventing sexual assault?
 - What characteristics would you *want* this person to have?
 - How would this person be different than the person you described as involved in sexual harassment prevention programs?
- What would motivate you to get more involved in SHARP/SAPR-related issues?
- How would an average [cadet|midshipman] in the "middle of the pack" be influential?
- What's the profile of the most influential person?
 - What is this person influential in?
 - How do they interact with others?

Section D. Norms and Behavior (15 min.)

Purpose: Using vignettes of “lower level” risky scenarios understood to be relatively common at the Academies, we seek to explore and identify the norms regarding intervention, the drivers of those norms, and how influential students impact these norms. The activity focuses on capturing the norms, attitudes, beliefs, behaviors, and the willingness or unwillingness to act that comprise the full understanding of a norm. By revealing the factors which reinforce norms as well as the potential for sanctions which may occur due to intervention or non-intervention, this activity seeks to explain how influential peers set norms and how they perpetuate them.

Activity #4- VIGNETTE ACTIVITY

Now, I'd like to talk about how [cadets | midshipmen] at the Academy might act in certain situations. I'm going to describe a few situations and I'd like you to react to them and explain some of the characters' behaviors, whether in person, face to face or via social media and/or electronic communications. As I read out the scenario, please visualize what this may realistically look like from your experiences at the Academy. Any questions before we begin?

[Read out the scenario and ask the following probes. Read the 2nd scenario after discussing the 1st.]

[MODERATOR NOTE: Ask participants to click to next slide following each scenario. The vignettes intro is on slide 8 and scenarios are on slides 9 and 10.]

Scenario #1

A [cadet | midshipman] leader emphasizes actively setting positive examples with their own behavior towards others.

- How would most [cadets | midshipmen] *actually* react if they saw this?
 - What would happen if a [cadet | midshipman] did the *opposite* of what most other [cadets | midshipmen] would actually do?
 - How would others respond to this person?
 - At the Academy, who sets the tone for how [cadets | midshipmen] would actually react to this scenario?
 - [Influencer Group Question] How does the reaction of most [cadets | midshipmen] differ from how you would react, if at all?
- [Influencer Group Question] Think of a time you saw something like this at the Academy. How did you react?
 - [Influencer Group Question] How did you talk about it with your peers?
- How do you think most [cadets | midshipmen] *should* react if they saw this?

- If this happened here, what's most likely to happen next?
 - What are some other ways the scenario might end?

[MODERATOR NOTE: Redirect if discussion turns to Academy rules and regulations. We are looking for how participants think others should react irrespective of Academy guidelines.]

Scenario #2

A group of cadets/midshipmen are talking during lunch, sharing stories and telling jokes. One [cadet|midshipman] tells a rude or offensive joke about women.

- How would most [cadets |midshipmen] *actually* react if they saw this?
 - What would happen if a [cadet|midshipman] did the *opposite* of what most other [cadets |midshipmen] would actually do?
 - How would others respond to this person?
 - At the Academy, who sets the tone for how [cadets | midshipmen] would actually react to this scenario?
 - [Influencer Group Question] How does the reaction of most [cadets | midshipmen] differ from how you would react, if at all?
- [Influencer Group Question] Think of a time you saw something like this at the Academy. How did you react?
 - [Influencer Group Question] How did you talk about it with your peers?
- How do you think most [cadets |midshipmen] *should* react if they saw this?
- If this happened here, what's most likely to happen next?
 - What are some other ways the scenario might end?

[MODERATOR NOTE: Redirect if discussion turns to Academy rules and regulations. We are looking for how participants think others should react irrespective of Academy guidelines.]

Scenario #3

A few [cadets |midshipmen] are playing in the hallway, grappling or wrestling with one another and shouting as others walk by.

- How would most [cadets | midshipmen] *actually* react if they saw this?
 - What would happen if a [cadet | midshipman] did the *opposite* of what most other [cadets | midshipmen] would actually do?
 - How would others respond to this person?
 - At the Academy, who sets the tone for how [cadets | midshipmen] would actually react to this scenario?
 - **[Influencer Group Question]** How does the reaction of most [cadets | midshipmen] differ from how you would react, if at all?
- **[Influencer Group Question]** Think of a time you saw something like this at the Academy. How did you react?
 - **[Influencer Group Question]** How did you talk about it with your peers?
- How do you think most [cadets | midshipmen] *should* react if they saw this?
- If this happened here, what's most likely to happen next?
 - What are some other ways the scenario might end?

[MODERATOR NOTE: Redirect if discussion turns to Academy rules and regulations. We are looking for how participants think others should react irrespective of Academy guidelines.]

Scenario #4

A [cadet | midshipman] checks their social media apps and sees a post mocking or gossiping about another [cadet | midshipman]. It's clear from the context who the person is, but the post does not name the person specifically.

[If needed, social media can include but isn't limited to Jodel, Instagram, Snapchat, TikTok, Reddit, Facebook, Twitter]

- How would most [cadets | midshipmen] *actually* react if they saw this?
 - What would happen if a [cadet | midshipman] did the *opposite* of what most other [cadets | midshipmen] would actually do?
 - How would others respond to this person?
 - At the Academy, who sets the tone for how [cadets | midshipmen] would actually react to this scenario?

- [Influencer Group Question] How does the reaction of most [cadets | midshipmen] differ from how you would react, if at all?
 - [Influencer Group Question] Think of a time you saw something like this at the Academy. How did you react?
 - [Influencer Group Question] How did you talk about it with your peers?
 - How do you think most [cadets | midshipmen] *should* react if they saw this?
 - If this happened here, what's most likely to happen next?
 - What are some other ways the scenario might end?
- [MODERATOR NOTE: Redirect if discussion turns to Academy rules and regulations. We are looking for how participants think others should react irrespective of Academy guidelines.]

Scenario #5

A group of [cadets | midshipmen] are at a party with alcohol. One of the group members says they want to get drunk to unwind after a hard week, but another says they don't want to drink and just want to relax.

- How would most [cadets | midshipmen] *actually* react if they saw this?
 - What would happen if a [cadet | midshipman] did the *opposite* of what most other [cadets | midshipmen] would actually do?
 - How would others respond to this person?
 - At the Academy, who sets the tone for how [cadets | midshipmen] would actually react to this scenario?
 - [Influencer Group Question] How does the reaction of most [cadets | midshipmen] differ from how you would react, if at all?
- [Influencer Group Question] Think of a time you saw something like this at the Academy. How did you react?
 - [Influencer Group Question] How did you talk about it with your peers?
- How do you think most [cadets | midshipmen] *should* react if they saw this?
- If this happened here, what's most likely to happen next?

- What are some other ways the scenario might end?

[MODERATOR NOTE: Redirect if discussion turns to Academy rules and regulations. We are looking for how participants think others should react irrespective of Academy guidelines.]

Scenario #6

A [cadet | midshipman] actively confronts someone making sexist comments.

- How would most [cadets | midshipmen] *actually* react if they saw this?
 - What would happen if a [cadet | midshipman] did the *opposite* of what most other [cadets | midshipmen] would actually do?
 - How would others respond to this person?
 - At the Academy, who sets the tone for how [cadets | midshipmen] would actually react to this scenario?
 - [Influencer Group Question] How does the reaction of most [cadets | midshipmen] differ from how you would react, if at all?
- [Influencer Group Question] Think of a time you saw something like this at the Academy. How did you react?
 - [Influencer Group Question] How did you talk about it with your peers?
- How do you think most [cadets | midshipmen] *should* react if they saw this?
- If this happened here, what's most likely to happen next?
 - What are some other ways the scenario might end?

[MODERATOR NOTE: Redirect if discussion turns to Academy rules and regulations. We are looking for how participants think others should react irrespective of Academy guidelines.]

Section E. Academy Messaging around Safety (15 minutes)

Purpose: This section seeks to identify what messaging strategies, language, flow of information, and dissemination styles resonate with influential individuals. The activity in this section asks the individuals to describe their perceptions on topics, programs, training, and messages aimed at cadet/midshipman safety. It is aimed at getting a greater understanding of what messages resonate with influential individuals and which do not.

[Direct participants to activity 5 on slide 11.]

Activity #5- SAFETY MESSAGING TOPIC GENERATOR ACTIVITY

Now I'd like to talk about something a little different. I would like to hear from you about any topics related to [cadet|midshipman] safety that the Academy gives training, programs, or messaging on and I'd like to start by brainstorming these with you. To be clear, I don't mean topics around defense, security, or military combat training, but topics aimed at keeping [cadets |midshipmen] safe in their time here at the Academy. These programs, training, or messages could be things you like or dislike, but we want to know what messages you found effective and which ones you did not. Right now we're just going to make a list so feel free to share as many ideas as you can think of. Please click the "sticky note" button on the left-hand side panel, type in a safety topic, and place it under effective or ineffective. Feel free to share as many ideas as you can think of.

[Navigate to Activity 5 in activities platform]

[Participants place the items on the Jamboard. Allow brainstorming for approx. 1-2 minutes or until group has exhausted options. Moderator then focuses on selection of items and uses prompts as needed to fully understand topic areas.]

Great, thank you. First, let's talk about the topics that really "click" with you.

- Which of these topics clicks with you the most?
- Why do these topics click with you?
- What are messages from the Academy on these topics like?
 - Probe around method, tone, messenger, frequency
- How have these Academy messages changed your or other [cadet's |midshipmen's] behavior?
 - How do those influential [cadets | midshipmen] we discussed message these safety topics among peers?

[MODERATOR NOTE: Ask the following question of influential student participants]

[Influencer Group Question] How do you message safety to other [cadets | midshipmen], if at all?

Now let's discuss the topics that don't "click," those that seem to miss the mark.

- Which of the topics we discussed earlier don't click?

- What about those topics doesn't resonate with you?

- How are Academy messages on these topics different from those on the topics we discussed earlier?

- Probe around method, tone, messenger, frequency

What is a better way these topics could be messaged to you? Are there any other topics we've missed?
[Probe as needed.]

[MODERATOR NOTE: Ask participants to click to next slide following this Topic Generator activity. This activity is on slide 12.]

Section F. Social Networks (10 min.)

Purpose: This section uses social network mapping to understand the dissemination of information and the flow through social networks. In addition, it will be used to understand and draw connections between influential individuals and their peers.

Activity #6- INNER-CIRCLE/OUTER-CIRCLE ACTIVITY

Before we finish our discussion today, I'd like to talk about friend groups. We talked earlier about what friendships at the Academy look like generally, but I'd like to focus on what your group of friends looks like. I don't want to discuss these people by name, but talk about what characteristics they may have and how you know each other. Please take a minute to think of the [cadets | midshipmen] you have regular contact with over the course of a given week. This can be in person, over text, social media, or other methods.

[Navigate to Activity 6 slide on activity platform.]

On this slide you can see a circle labeled "me" in the center, with rings around it like a bullseye. As you think of the people you regularly socialize with, please think of those you are closest with as on this "inner-circle" and those you socialize with but aren't as close with on this "outer-circle". Please do not write their names. Are there any questions?

- How do you socialize with these people on the inner circle?
 - In what contexts?
 - How do these people interact with each other?
 - How about those on the outer circle?
 - How did you meet these people?
- Are all these people on the inner circle in your [company | squadron]?
 - How do your relationships with people in your [company | squadron] differ from those outside it?
- Are all these people on the inner circle in your class year?
 - How is socializing with people in other class years different?
- How has this "inner circle" changed for you over your time at the Academy?

Think of a time where you heard a rumor through your fellow [cadets | midshipmen].

- How was that rumor disseminated?
- Where did the last rumor you heard start?
- What channels of communication do you use to discuss official Academy information?

- What channels of communication do you use to discuss non-Academy information?
- What channels of communication do you use to discuss sensitive topics?
- How do you discuss those messages around safety we discussed earlier with those you have in the inner-circle? [If asked for clarification, this can be information about upcoming events, trainings, or other information.]
 - How do you pass down messages around safety from the [cadet|midshipman] chain of command?
 - Is there anything else you would like us to know about safety messaging?

Section G. Conclusion (2 min.)

This has been a very helpful session. Thank you so much for taking time out of your day to be with me and share your perspectives and experiences. Before we wrap up, is there anything else that you would like to share or that we might have missed?

As mentioned in the beginning, we respect the privacy of everyone here, and ask that you please not share any of our discussions with others. We've talked about some things today that are sensitive so please be reminded to not discuss this session with others who did not attend.


Ok, thank you again for your time. Are there any final questions? If not, you are free to go. Have a wonderful day/evening!

Appendix C.

Example Focus Group Exercises

**DATA
DRIVEN
SOLUTIONS
FOR
DECISION
MAKERS**





Subgroup Identification

- Many large organizations have social groups within them, you might call them sub-groups, cliques, or other things, and I'd like to hear more about what groups you've seen here at the Academy.
- As a group, let's come up with a list of ways you would categorize cadets here at the Academy and then we'll discuss them. These can be official groups that are a part of the Academy structure or more informal groups.
- The moderator assistant will place sticky notes.

Stick-figure Influential Person Activity

- For this activity, I'd like you to think about a particular cadet whose opinions matter to others here at the Academy. Instead of sharing who you're thinking of or saying their name, I'd like you to think about them where I have this stick figure.
- Please click the "sticky note" button on the left-hand side panel, and type in characteristics of this influential person, whatever first comes to mind, and place it around this stick figure. Place as many notes as you like.



Character Profiles

Character Profiles

Describe the profile of:
...someone who has a following/presence on social media here.

Please
place a
sticky
note.

Character Profiles

Describe the profile of:

...someone who is involved in cadet programs on preventing sexual harassment.

Character Profiles

Describe the profile of:

...someone who is involved in cadet programs on preventing sexual assault.

Please
place a
sticky
note.

Situational Vignettes

A few cadets are playing in the hallway,
grappling or wrestling with one another
and shouting as others walk by.

A group of cadets are talking during lunch,
sharing stories and telling jokes. One
cadet tells a rude or offensive joke about
women.

Topic Generator Activity


- I would like to hear from you about any topics related to cadet safety that the Academy gives training, programs, or messaging on and I'd like to start by brainstorming these with you. To be clear, I don't mean topics around defense, security, or military combat training, but topics aimed at keeping cadets safe in their time here at the Academy.
- These programs, training, or messages could be things you like or dislike, but we want to know what messages you found effective and which ones you did not. Right now we're just going to make a list so feel free to share as many ideas as you can think of.

Effective Messaging

Ineffective Messaging

Inner/Outer Circle Activity

- On this slide you can see a circle labeled “me” in the center, with rings around it like a bullseye. As you think of the people you regularly socialize with, please think of those you are closest with as on this “inner-circle” and those you socialize with but aren’t as close with on this “outer-circle”. Please do not write their names.



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				<i>Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188</i>	
The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 16-06-2023		2. REPORT TYPE Executive Report		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) February 2021 - August 2022	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 2021 Academy Climate and Networking Study – US Coast Guard Academy: Executive Report				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Davis, L., Klauberg, W.K., Harcey, S., Lane, B., Barry, A., Breslin, R., Klahr, A.				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Office of People Analytics (OPA) 4800 Mark Center Drive, Suite 06E22 Alexandria, VA 22350-4000				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 2022-180	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (SAPRO) 4800 Mark Center Drive, Suite 07G21 Alexandria, VA 22350-4000				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT The purpose of this executive report is to describe the statistical and study methodology employed on the 2021 Academy Climate and Networking Study (2021 ACNS) and provide topline findings for the U.S. Coast Guard Academy (USCGA). The Office of People Analytics (OPA), in conjunction with the Department of Defense's (DoD) Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (SAPRO), conducted the 2021 ACNS to provide actionable insights to inform prevention efforts at the Academies through a mixed-methods approach comprising an online survey and virtual focus groups. Results are designed to equip the Academies to continue to enhance and refine their prevention efforts in three ways. First, these findings will allow the Academies to better identify students who are likely to be highly influential in order to leverage these students in prevention efforts. Next, these findings highlight norms on campus that can contribute to risk of violence and harm; targeting these norms is a promising intervention avenue. Finally, these findings highlight ways to engage students that are more likely to be effective.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS Unwanted Sexual Contact, Sexual Assault, Sexual Harassment, Bystander Intervention, Sexual Assault Prevention and Response, Unwanted Gender-Related Experiences, Leadership, Training, Reporting					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 88	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Klahr, Ashlea M.
a. REPORT UU	b. ABSTRACT UU	c. THIS PAGE SAR			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) (703) 819-5356

Reset

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING SF 298

1. REPORT DATE. Full publication date, including day, month, if available. Must cite at least the year and be Year 2000 compliant, e.g. 30-06-1998; xx-06-1998; xx-xx-1998.

2. REPORT TYPE. State the type of report, such as final, technical, interim, memorandum, master's thesis, progress, quarterly, research, special, group study, etc.

3. DATES COVERED. Indicate the time during which the work was performed and the report was written, e.g., Jun 1997 - Jun 1998; 1-10 Jun 1996; May - Nov 1998; Nov 1998.

4. TITLE. Enter title and subtitle with volume number and part number, if applicable. On classified documents, enter the title classification in parentheses.

5a. CONTRACT NUMBER. Enter all contract numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. F33615-86-C-5169.

5b. GRANT NUMBER. Enter all grant numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. AFOSR-82-1234.

5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER. Enter all program element numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 61101A.

5d. PROJECT NUMBER. Enter all project numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 1F665702D1257; ILIR.

5e. TASK NUMBER. Enter all task numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 05; RF0330201; T4112.

5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER. Enter all work unit numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 001; AFAPL30480105.

6. AUTHOR(S). Enter name(s) of person(s) responsible for writing the report, performing the research, or credited with the content of the report. The form of entry is the last name, first name, middle initial, and additional qualifiers separated by commas, e.g. Smith, Richard, J, Jr.

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES). Self-explanatory.

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER. Enter all unique alphanumeric report numbers assigned by the performing organization, e.g. BRL-1234; AFWL-TR-85-4017-Vol-21-PT-2.

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES). Enter the name and address of the organization(s) financially responsible for and monitoring the work.

10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S). Enter, if available, e.g. BRL, ARDEC, NADC.

11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S). Enter report number as assigned by the sponsoring/monitoring agency, if available, e.g. BRL-TR-829; -215.

12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT. Use agency-mandated availability statements to indicate the public availability or distribution limitations of the report. If additional limitations/ restrictions or special markings are indicated, follow agency authorization procedures, e.g. RD/FRD, PROPIN, ITAR, etc. Include copyright information.

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES. Enter information not included elsewhere such as: prepared in cooperation with; translation of; report supersedes; old edition number, etc.

14. ABSTRACT. A brief (approximately 200 words) factual summary of the most significant information.

15. SUBJECT TERMS. Key words or phrases identifying major concepts in the report.

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION. Enter security classification in accordance with security classification regulations, e.g. U, C, S, etc. If this form contains classified information, stamp classification level on the top and bottom of this page.

17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT. This block must be completed to assign a distribution limitation to the abstract. Enter UU (Unclassified Unlimited) or SAR (Same as Report). An entry in this block is necessary if the abstract is to be limited.

