

AD-A008 426

THE DISSOLUTION KINETICS OF LITHIATED NiO IN AQUEOUS
ACID SOLUTIONS

Chin-Ho Lee, et al

Case Western Reserve University

Prepared for:

Office of Naval Research

15 January 1975

DISTRIBUTED BY:

NTIS

National Technical Information Service
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Unclassified

Security Classification

AD-A008 426

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
		2b. GROUP ---	
3. REPORT TITLE THE DISSOLUTION KINETICS OF LITHIATED NiO IN AQUEOUS ACID SOLUTIONS			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Technical Report No. 40			
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) Chin-Ho Lee, Alan Riga and E. Yeager			
6. REPORT DATE 15 January 1975		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 22	7b. NO. OF REFS 10
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. N00014-67-A-0404-0006		8a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) Technical Report No. 40	
b. PROJECT NO. NR 359-451			
c.		8b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
d.			
10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES -----		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217 Code 472: Chemistry Section	
13. ABSTRACT The factors controlling the dissolution of metal oxides are of major importance in understanding the role of such oxides in the control of corrosion through the formation of passivation films and the use of various oxides as battery cathodes. The dissolution kinetics of mosaic lithiated nickel oxide have been examined in concentrated H_2SO_4 and HCl solutions as a function of potential and found to be strongly dependent on potential with the rate increasing with increasingly anodic potentials and passing through a maximum in the H_2SO_4 solutions. This behavior corresponds to control of the dissolution kinetics by transmission of the cations over a potential energy barrier at potentials cathodic to the potential for the dissolution maximum and by anions over such a barrier at potentials anodic to the maximum. The temperature dependence indicates Arrhenius behavior with an enthalpy of activation of ~ 23 kcal/mole. Complexing agents such as EDTA have been found to increase the dissolution rate. At relatively anodic potentials, O^{18} labelling experiments indicate that the generation of O_2 involves to a significant extent lattice O^{2-}, probably through a mechanism involving the p-carriers falling into O^{2-} surface states. [Paper presented at the Ninth University Conference on Ceramic Science - Mass Transport Phenomena in Ceramics, held at Case Western Reserve University, in June 1974.]			

PRICES SUBJECT TO CHANGE

DD FORM 1473
1 JAN 64

Reproduced by
NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE
US Department of Commerce
Springfield, VA. 22151

Unclassified

Security Classification

Security Classification

Unclassified
Security Classification

ia

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
Contract N00014-67-A-0404-0006
Project NR 359-451

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 40

THE DISSOLUTION KINETICS OF LITHIATED NiO IN AQUEOUS ACID SOLUTIONS

by

Chin-Ho Lee, Alan Riga and Ernest Yeager

Department of Chemistry
Case Western Reserve University
Cleveland, Ohio 44106

15 January 1975

Paper prepared for presentation at the Ninth University Conference on Ceramic Science - Mass Transport Phenomena in Ceramics held at Case Western Reserve University in June, 1974.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for
any purpose of the United States Government

Approved for Public Release: Distribution Unlimited

Table of Contents

	Page
Title Page	i
Document Control Data - R & D	ii
List of Figures	v
Introduction	1
Experimental Procedures	2
Results and Discussion	3
1. Dependence of dissolution on electrode potential	3
2. Dependence of dissolution on pH of solution	4
3. Effect of complexing agent and redox couples	4
4. Residual currents attending the dissolution	5
5. Temperature dependence	9
6. Proposed dissolution mechanism	10
Acknowledgements	11
References	11
Figures	12-16
Distribution List	17

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Dissolution of lithiated nickel oxide in helium saturated 1 N HCl and 1 N H₂SO₄ solution at 95°C. Symbols corresponding to different group of electrodes:

○ ● Group 1, $(C_{Li})_{MS} = 0.88\%$; □ ■ ▲ Group 3, $(C_{Li})_{MS} = 0.26\%$;
 ◇ Group 2, $(C_{Li})_{MS} = 0.33\%$; ▲ ◆ Group 4, $(C_{Li})_{MS} = 0.12\%$

Dashed line: Calculated from eq. 1 with the following parameters: $\alpha_z = 0.62$, $\alpha_z = -0.62$, $r_f = 15,000 \text{ } \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2\text{-hr}$, $\phi_f = 1.68 \text{ V vs SHE}$. [Concentrations of Li in cation %, based on the slopes of the Mott-Schottky plots.]

Figure 2. Dissolution of lithiated NiO [$(C_{Li})_{MS} = 0.26\%$] in HCl + KCl solution as a function of pH at various electrode potentials; a) 0.9 V, b) 1.1 V, c) 1.3 V, d) 1.0 V vs SHE. Solid lines: present work, dashed line: Yohe et al. (4).

Figure 3. Dissolution of lithiated NiO (0.26% Li) in 1 N HCl solution with and without EDTA present at 95°C and at potentials: a) 0.765 V, b) 0.965 V, and c) 1.165 V vs SHE.

Figure 4. Residual currents recorded at the end of the dissolution of (Li)NiO in helium saturated 1N HCl solution at 95°C. Symbols are the actual data corresponding to electrodes shown in Figure 1. Solid curves are calculated currents corresponding to 1 and 10% of dissolution rates.

Figure 5. Dissolution of lithiated NiO in He-gas saturated 1 N HCl solution as a function of temperature at constant electrode potentials (vs SHE) for 0.26 % Li.

THE DISSOLUTION KINETICS OF LITHIATED NiO IN AQUEOUS ACID SOLUTIONS

by

Chin-Ho Lee^{*}, Alan Riga⁺ and Ernest Yeager

Department of Chemistry

Case Western Reserve University

Cleveland, Ohio

INTRODUCTION

Transition metal oxides are of major importance in a number of areas of electrochemistry, foremost of which are the corrosion of transition metals and their alloys, various battery systems, and electrochemical catalysis. A study of the mechanism of oxide dissolution and the factors which control the dissolution kinetics should lead to a better understanding of the role of oxides in these various areas of electrochemistry.

Previous work on the study of oxide dissolution mechanisms have been reviewed by Diggle (1). Various workers have found that the dissolution rates are usually potential dependent. The theoretical interpretation of the potential dependence of the dissolution rate of metal oxides has been considered by Engell (2) and Vermilyea (3). These treatments consider the dissolution of ionic oxides when the process is to be controlled by the transfer of ions across the oxide-electrolyte interface.

The rate of dissolution of an ionic crystal with the back reaction negligible is given by Vermilyea (3) as follows:

$$r = \frac{2r_f}{\exp - \frac{\alpha_+ z_+ F\eta}{RT} + \exp - \frac{\alpha_- z_- F\eta}{RT}} \quad (1)$$

^{*} Presently with Gould, Inc. 540 E. 105 St., Cleveland, Ohio

⁺ Presently with Lubrizol Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio

where $\eta = \phi_o - \phi_{o,f} = E - E_f$; ϕ_o and $\phi_{o,f}$ are the potential drops between oxide surface and outer Helmholtz plane in the solution corresponding to electrode potentials E and E_f ; E_f is the freely dissolving potential, r_f is the dissolution rate corresponding to $\eta = 0$; α and z is the transfer coefficient and the charge number (including sign) of cation (+) and anion (-). This equation is applicable to oxides such as lithiated NiO if there are no complications with either space charge or preferential site dissolution.

Preliminary studies of the dissolution properties of mosaic crystal lithiated NiO have been carried out at CWRU by Yohe et al. (4). These studies indicated a pronounced potential dependence of the dissolution rate in acid solutions. The space charge properties of the NiO(Li) - electrolyte interface have been examined by Tench and Yeager (5,6) who report an effective flat-band potential (E_{fb}) of $\sim 1.1V$ vs SHE in acid solution. At potentials anodic to E_{fb} , the majority of the potential drop is across the Helmholtz plane and the treatment of Vermilyea (3) may be applicable. At potentials cathodic to E_{fb} , most of the potential drop is across a space charge region within the semiconducting oxide and eq. 1 would not be applicable.

The present study of lithiated NiO has involved the examination of 1) the dependence of dissolution on the electrode potential and the pH of the solution and 2) the relationship between dissolution and the simultaneous residual currents.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Electrodes were prepared from $\langle 100 \rangle$ mosaic crystals of NiO(Li) which were grown and doped as described earlier (5). The dissolution experiments were carried out at 65 - 95°C (mostly at 95°C) in an all Pyrex cell under purified helium gas atmosphere in 1 N HCl and 1 N H₂SO₄ under potentiostatic conditions. The solutions were prepared from redistilled

reagent grade solutions with triple-distilled water followed by a treatment of purified activated carbon. The counter (Pt) and reference (Ag/AgCl) electrodes were isolated from the working electrode compartment by the use of salt-bridges of the same solution. Precautions were taken to minimize contamination of the working electrode. A Wenking potentiostat was used for the control of potential. The residual currents were monitored with an electrometer (Hewlett-Packard 419A DC null voltmeter). The dissolution rates were evaluated by analyzing the solutions for Ni^{2+} with a spectrophotometer using DMG as a complexing agent (7) [sensitive to trace Ni^{2+} down to 0.005 ppm]. The reproducibility of the dissolution results was $\pm 5\%$ or better.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Dependence of Dissolution on Electrode Potential

The dissolution rate data (Fig. 1) for H_2SO_4 indicate a maximum in the rate at anodic potentials with a Tafel slope on either side of 0.12 to 0.14 V/decade. This is in accord with eq. 1; i.e., below the maximum, the rate is controlled by the barrier for cation transfer and above the maximum by that for anion transfer. The charges of the species being transferred are uncertain but if z_+ is +2 and z_- is -1, then for the H_2SO_4 , $\alpha_+ = 0.31$ and $\alpha_- = 0.62$. The maximum could not be observed in HCl because of Cl_2 evolution but the Tafel slope of ~ 0.16 V/decade corresponds to $\alpha_+ = 0.23$.

The change in the Tafel slope in the region 1.2 to 1.3V is probably a consequence of a large change in the $\text{Ni}^{3+}/\text{Ni}^{2+}$ ratio on the surface, according to the reaction $2 \text{NiO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Ni}_2\text{O}_3 + 2 \text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^-$. Only in the potential region below 0.8 V^a is the dissolution rate dependent on the Li

^a All potentials are expressed vs the standard hydrogen electrode (SHE).

concentration in the oxide.^b This region has been found to correspond to exhaustive depletion in earlier studies (5) of the space charge properties of such electrodes. At more anodic potentials the change in potential drop across the space charge region is small.

2. Dependence of Dissolution on the pH of the Solution

The effect of pH on the dissolution rate (r) was studied at 95°C in HCl + KCl with the total ionic strength held at unity (Fig. 2). The $\log r$ decreased linearly with pH, and $(\partial \log r / \partial \text{pH})_E = -0.56, -0.53, \text{ and } -0.32$ at $E = 0.9, 1.1, \text{ and } 1.3\text{V}$, respectively.

Theoretical prediction of $(\partial \log r / \partial \text{pH})_E$ can be made by introducing the relationship between the potential ϕ_o and H^+ activity at constant applied potential, i.e.,

$$\phi_o = \frac{RT}{F} \ln \frac{a_{\text{H}^+}}{(a_{\text{H}^+})_o} + \text{constant} \quad (2)$$

with cation transfer rate controlling and negligible back reaction

$$r = \vec{r}_+ = n_+ \vec{k}_+ \exp \frac{\alpha_+ z_+ F \phi_o}{RT} \quad (3)$$

Combination of eqs. 2 and 3 yields

$$(\partial \log r / \partial \text{pH})_E = -\alpha_+ z_+ \quad (4)$$

The predicted slope according to eq. 4 using the values $\alpha_+ = 0.23$, $z_+ = 2$ evaluated from Fig. 1 is -0.46 as compared with an experimental value of ~ -0.5 . Thus the agreement between experimental results and theory is satisfactory.

3. Effect of Complexing Agent and Redox Couples

The complexing agent (EDTA) was found to increase the dissolution rate linearly with concentration at 0.765 to 1.165 V (Fig. 3). EDTA complexes with Ni^{2+} . Thus the enhancement of dissolution by EDTA

^b The concentrations listed in the legend of this and subsequent figures were determined from the slopes of the Mott-Schottky plots of the capacity-potential data (see ref. 5).

provides further evidence that the cation transfer is rate controlling at potentials cathodic to the maximum in Fig. 1


The introduction of $1.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M Tl}^+$ ions into the 1 N HCl solution had no detectable effect on the dissolution rate. The E° of the $\text{Tl}^+/\text{Tl}^{3+}$ couple is 1.25 V and hence Tl^+ should be oxidized to Tl^{3+} at the more anodic potentials. If some types of electronic charge transfer process were rate controlling for the dissolution of the NiO , then an interaction between this electronic charge transfer step and the oxidation of the Tl^+ might have been expected. Furthermore, if a large portion of the potential were across the space charge layer within the oxide, the presence of such redox couple would have influenced the value for ϕ_0 at a given applied potential E , and hence, would have changed the dissolution rate. In the potential range 1.265 V to 1.465 V where the effect of the Tl^+ ion were examined, however, the potential drop across the diffuse portion of the space charge region in the oxide is believed to be small (5) and the value for ϕ_0 is controlled principally by surface states at a given value of E . Therefore, the lack of any appreciable effect with the introduction of Tl^+ is compatible with the present interpretation of the dissolution kinetics as under ion transfer control.

4. Residual Currents Attending the Dissolution


Although the residual currents during dissolution were not very reproducible, small cathodic currents (10^{-8} to 10^{-7} A/cm^2) were evident at potentials cathodic to $\sim 0.8 \text{ V}$ (see Fig. 4). The scatter of the data, however, prevented recognizing any consistent trends with respect to potential in the range 0.3 to 0.8 V or lithium contents of the

NiO(Li) electrodes in the range 0.1 to 1.0 cation % Li. For comparison purposes, the current values equivalent to 1% of the dissolution rates are also shown in Fig. 4, assuming one electron/nickel ion.

The source of the scatter in the cathodic residual current data is not clear at this time but may have resulted from impurity effects, despite the purifications procedures used to safeguard against such effects in this work (9). A cathodic current is expected to attend the dissolution because the Ni^{3+} produced by the introduction of Li into the lattice must be finally converted to Ni^{2+} in the solution phase. This may proceed through the reduction of Ni^{3+} to Ni^{2+} on the NiO(Li) surface preceeding the transfer of Ni^{2+} ions into solution; i.e.,




An alternative is the transfer of Ni^{3+} into solution followed by reduction; i.e.,



This process seems less likely, however, on the basis of thermodynamic considerations. The potential of the $\text{Ni}^{2+}/\text{Ni}^{3+}$ couple for any reasonable ratio of Ni^{3+} to Ni^{2+} in solution would be very anodic to the voltage range where the cathodic residual currents are observed.

At potentials anodic to ~ 1.0 V an anodic current was usually observed (see Fig. 4). At potentials more anodic than 1.5 V


the current rapidly increases as O_2 is evolved at increasing rates in the H_2SO_4 electrolyte (or Cl_2 in the HCl). The anodic currents at potentials even well below those of the reversible O_2 electrode (1.2 V however, may also still be associated with O_2 formation since the anodic formation of O_2 from lattice O^{2-} can proceed at potentials less than those required for O_2 discharge from water. This is evident if one considers the coupling of the dissolution process to the formation of the O_2 from lattice O^{2-} ; i.e.,



Reactions c and d may be a single step or separate steps as shown.

Reaction e undoubtedly involves several component steps. The overall process is given by reaction g, independent of the steps. The standard free energy change from overall process relative to the reaction $2H^+ + 2e \rightarrow H_2$ can be calculated from the standard free energy of formations as listed by Pourbraix (8); NiO , $\Delta G_f^\circ = -51.3$ kcal/mole; Ni^{2+} , $\Delta G_f^\circ = -11.53$ kcal/mole; H_2O , $\Delta G_f^\circ = -56.5$ kcal/mole. The calculated relative standard free energy change for reaction g is +23.1 kcal/mole corresponding to a standard electrode potential of + 0.5 V.

Alternatively the O_2 formation from lattice oxide may involve two p carriers falling into a O^{2-} surface state; i.e.,




where reactions h and i are multiple step processes. For the overall process k, $\Delta G^\circ = -39.8$ kcal/mole and $E^\circ = 0.87$ V. Both of these potentials are cathodic to that for discharge of O_2 from water. Since the activity of Ni_{aq}^{2+} is far less than the unit value, the


equilibrium potentials may be considerably less anodic than the standard values.

O^{18} - labelling experiments (9) have indicated that the O_2 generated on $NiO(Li)$ anodes at more anodic potentials (>1.8 V vs SHE) is produced to a significant extent directly from lattice O^{2-} and not just H_2O of the solution. Unfortunately the limitation of the analytical method prevented the O^{18} -labelling experiment from being carried out at less anodic potentials.

In chloride solution, the O^{2-} ions or O atoms would react with Cl^- to form Cl_2 . The overall reaction in this case would be



with $\Delta G^\circ = +29.2$ kcal/mole; $E^\circ = 0.63$ V, and



with $\Delta G^\circ = +46$ kcal/mole; $E^\circ = 1.0$ V

These voltages are also much less than the standard values for the anodic formation of Cl_2 from Cl^- .

5. Temperature Dependence



Figure 5 represents the Arrhenius plot of the observed dissolution rate vs $1/T$ at $E = 1.0$ and 1.4 V. These two potentials fall in the regions of high and low Tafel slope. The apparent activation energies may be evaluated from the slopes with the equation

$$(\Delta H^\ddagger)_E = -2.303 R \left[\frac{\partial \log r}{\partial (1/T)} \right]_E \quad (5)$$



and are 22.9 kcal/mole at 1.0 V and 20.8 kcal/mole at 1.4 V. Thus the potential dependence of $\Delta H^\ddagger$ is relatively small. The potential at which $\Delta H^\ddagger$ would be most interesting is that corresponding to $\phi_o = 0$, since at this potential the Ni^{2+} would not be influenced by any field between the Helmholtz planes in the oxide and that in the solution. Unfortunately the potential corresponding to $\phi_o = 0$ is unknown but probably is not more than a few tenths of a volt from the flat band potential E_{fb} even with interference from anomalous surface states. At $25^\circ C$, $E_{fb} = \sim 1.1$ V and even at the considerably higher temperatures involved in Fig. 5, it would still be expected to be rather close to this value. Therefore, the value of $\Delta H^\ddagger$ at $\phi_o = 0$ should be close to the observed value of 23 kcal/mole at 1.0 V. This value is an order of magnitude smaller than the total energy of interaction of the Ni^{2+} with the six inner coordination sphere ligands in either the solid oxide phase or the solution phase. Assuming Ni^{2+} transfer across the interface as rate controlling, this implies that in the activated complex most of the ligand interactions of the inner coordination sphere are still intact.

6. Proposed Dissolution Mechanism

The dissolution kinetics for NiO(Li) do exhibit the type of potential and pH behavior expected from the Vermilyea treatment (3) for ion transfer as rate controlling. It is not possible to identify the nature of the species being transferred, however, in various potential regimes from the observed Tafel slopes because of uncertainty as to the transfer coefficient α . The authors suggest that the following mechanism explains the observed results quite well although not necessarily uniquely.



with either one of the following steps as rate controlling:



This discussion has not considered the morphology of the $\langle 100 \rangle$ NiO(Li) surface during the dissolution nor is such adequately represented in eq. 1. For the fully quantitative interpretation of such kinetic studies, the morphological aspects of the surface dissolution must be taken into account. Earlier work (10) has shown that at potentials cathodic to +0.8 V the surface is uniformly attacked with no etch pits evident using in situ optical microscopic examination of magnifications up to 800X during the dissolution even over periods of up to 7 hr. At potentials anodic to +0.9 V in 1 N HCl and +1.0 V in 1 N H₂SO₄, however, etch pits were clearly evident even after the first few minutes. This difference in behavior above and below potentials

of ~ 0.9 V is not just a matter of the slow dissolution rate at less anodic potentials making it more difficult to show up etch pits.

Acknowledgement: The authors acknowledge the support of the research by the U.S. Office of Naval Research.

REFERENCES

1. J. W. Diggle, "Dissolution of Oxide Phases", in "Oxide and Oxide Films", J. W. Diggle, ed., Vol. 2, Chapt. 4, Marcel Dekkar, Inc., New York, 1973, pp. 269-386.
2. H. J. Engell, Z. Physik. Chem. N.F. 7, 158 (1956).
3. D. A. Vermilyea, J. Electrochem. Soc. 113, 1067 (1966).
4. D. Yohe, E. B. Yeager, R. Greef, and A. Riga, Electrochim. Acta 13, 1351 (1968).
5. D. Tench and E. Yeager, J. Electrochem. Soc. 120, 164 (1973).
6. D. Tench and E. Yeager, *ibid.* 121, 318 (1974).
7. E. B. Sandell, "Colorimetric Determination of Trace Metal", 3rd Ed., Interscience, New York (1959).
8. M. Pourbaix, "Atlas of Electrochemical Equilibria in Aqueous Solutions", Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1966 (English translation by J. A. Franklin).
9. C. H. Lee and E. Yeager, "The Dissolution Kinetics of Lithiated Nickel Oxide in Aqueous Solutions", Tech. Report 5, Office of Naval Research Contract Nonr 1439(05), Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, 1969.
10. A. Riga, R. Greef, and E. Yeager, "The Electrochemical and Dissolution Properties of Nickel Oxide", Tech. Report 2, *ibid.*, 1966.




Figure 1. Dissolution of lithiated nickel oxide in helium saturated 1 N HCl and 1 N H₂SO₄ solution at 95°C. Symbols corresponding to different group of electrodes:

- Group 1, (C_{Li})_{MS} = 0.88%; □ ■ △ Group 3, (C_{Li})_{MS} = 0.26%;
 ◇ Group 2, (C_{Li})_{MS} = 0.33%; ▲ ◆ Group 4, (C_{Li})_{MS} = 0.12%

Dashed line: Calculated from eq. 1 with the following parameters: $\alpha_z = 0.62$, $\alpha_{-z} = -0.62$, $r_f = 15,000 \text{ } \mu\text{g/cm}^2\text{-hr}$, $\phi_f = 1.68 \text{ V vs SHE}$. [Concentrations of Li in cation %, based on the slopes of the Mott-Schottky plots.]




Figure 2. Dissolution of lithiated NiO [$(C_{Li})_{MS} = 0.26\%$] in HCl + KCl solution as a function of pH at various electrode potentials; a) 0.9 V, b) 1.1 V, c) 1.3 V, d) 1.0 V vs SHE. Solid lines: present work, dashed line: Yohe et al. (4).




Figure 3. Dissolution of lithiated NiO (0.26% Li) in 1 N HCl solution with and without EDTA present at 95°C and at potentials: a) 0.765 V, b) 0.965 V, and c) 1.165 V vs SHE.




Figure 4. Residual currents recorded at the end of the dissolution of (Li)NiO in helium saturated 1N HCl solution at 95°C. Symbols are the actual data corresponding to electrodes shown in Figure 1. Solid curves are calculated currents corresponding to 1 and 10% of dissolution rates.




Figure 5. Dissolution of lithiated NiO in He-gas saturated 1 N HCl solution as a function of temperature at constant electrode potentials (vs SHE) for 0.26 % Li.