

AD-A008 893

LOW-COST JET FUEL STARTER DESIGN
STUDY

A. Gabrys, et al

Teledyne CAE

Prepared for:

Air Force Aero Propulsion Laboratory

2 December 1974

DISTRIBUTED BY:

NTIS

National Technical Information Service
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

ADDITIONAL BY	
NTIS	White Section ☒
DEC	Self Section ☐
UNANNOUNCED	☐
JUSTIFICATION	

NOTICE

BY
DISTRIBUT

Dist.



When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specification, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This report has been reviewed and cleared for open publication and/or public release by the appropriate Office of Information (OI) in accordance with AFR 190-17 and DODD 5230.9. There is no objection to unlimited distribution of this report to the public at large, or by DEC to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

John G. Greleck III

John G. Greleck III, 1 Lt., USAF
Project Engineer

FOR THE COMMANDER

Buryl L. McFadden

Buryl L. McFadden
Technical Area Manager

Copies of this report should not be returned unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice on a specific document.

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER AFAPL-TP-74-104	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER AD-A008 893
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) LOW-COST JET FUEL STARTER DESIGN STUDY		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report (Final) 1 May 1974 - 2 December 1974
7. AUTHOR(s) A. Gabrys, R. Smith, et al.		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER Teledyne CAE Report No.
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Teledyne CAE Toledo, Ohio 43612		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) F33615-74-C-2041
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Aero Propulsion Lab (POP) Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Proj. 3145 Task 314501 W.U. 31450112
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE 2 December 1974
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 123
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; Distribution unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) DDC DECLASSIFIED APR 30 1975 D		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES PRICES SUBJECT TO CHANGE		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Jet Fuel Starter Electronic Fuel Control Free Power Turboshaft Sump & Oil Mist Lubrication Pinned Construction Annular Vaporizer Combustor Die Cast Rotors		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report presents the preliminary design and cost analysis of a low cost jet fuel starter. This unit is an accessory to be used for starting main aircraft propulsion units, and requires only jet fuel and battery power to achieve safe reliable starts. The basic starter has a peak output of 150 horsepower, is 10.0 inches in diameter, 20 inches long and weighs 98 pounds. The starter is capable of operating over an ambient temperature range of -65°F to 130°F and at altitudes up to 8,000 feet. The design features a radial air inlet, axial exhaust, and a low speed concentric shaft, with front power output. The low speed (low stress) permits simple construction and low cost fabrication techniques with an overall reduction in total parts and cost when compared with typical high speed units. The cost analysis indicates that the starter selling price is less than \$8,000 per unit in quantities of 100 per year, including tooling and R & D costs amortized over 2500 units.		

Reproduced by
NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE
US Department of Commerce
Springfield, VA. 22151

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION O

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

FOREWORD

This is the final Technical Report prepared by Teledyne CAE. The effort was sponsored by the Air Force Aero Propulsion Laboratory, Air Force Systems Command, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio under Contract No. F33615-74-C-2041 for the period 1 May 1974 to 2 December 1974. The work herein was accomplished under Project 3145, Task 01, Work Unit Number 12, with Lt. John G. Grelck III, AFAPL/POP-1, as Project Engineer. Mr. A. Gabrys and R. Smith of Teledyne CAE were technically responsible for the work.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
SECTION I - INTRODUCTION	1
SECTION II - ENGINE DESIGN	3
Engine Arrangement	3
Engine Performance	5
Rotating Structure	8
Gearbox	13
Compressor	13
Combustor	19
Turbines	19
Control and Starter System	27
Fuel Control Functional Description	31
SECTION III - DESIGN ASSURANCE	33
Approach	33
Reliability Analysis	33
Maintainability Analysis	35
Hazard Analysis	36
Failure Mode Effect Analysis and Maintenance Consequences	36
SECTION IV - COST ANALYSIS	41
Approach	41
Cost	43
SECTION V - ALTERNATE DESIGNS	45
JFS 206-A1 Engine Design	45
JFS 206-A2 Engine Design	45
Cost and Performance Comparison	48
Alternate Turbine Rotor Construction	49
Direct Drive Starter	53
Integrated Lubrication System	53
Self-Contained Lubrication System	53
SECTION VI - GROWTH POTENTIAL	59
Component Improvements	59
High Temperature Turbines	59
SECTION VII - CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	63
Conclusions	63
Recommendations	65

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	<u>Page No.</u>
APPENDIX A - ASSEMBLY DRAWINGS AND BILL OF MATERIALS	A-1
APPENDIX B - DETAILED COST DRAWINGS	B-1
APPENDIX C - DESIGN POINT DATA	C-1
APPENDIX D - DERIVATIVE ENGINES	D-1

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>FIGURE NO.</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
1	Teledyne CAE JFS206 Jet Fuel Starter	4
2	Sea Level Static Design Point Engine Performance	6
3	Compressor Performance Map Developed from Rig Testing	7
4	JFS206 Starter Torque and Horsepower Characteristics	7
5	Gas Generator Rotor	10
6	Tangential and Radial Stress Versus Gas Generator Rotor Radius	11
7	Gas Generator Shaft Critical Speed Versus Bearing Support Stiffness	12
8	Power Turbine Rotor	14
9	Tangential and Radial Stress Versus Power Turbine Rotor Radius	15
10	Power Turbine Shaft Critical Speed Versus Bearing Support Stiffness	16
11	JFS206 Gearbox	17
12	Four-Stage Axial Compressor Rotor Ready for Assembly in Compressor Test Rig	18
13	Correlation of Combustor Efficiency with Aerodynamic Loading	21
14	Correlation of Efficiency, Dwell Time and Pressure Drop	22
15	Relation of Heat Release Rate to Aerodynamic Loading	23
16	Gas Generator Turbine Velocity Triangles	25
17	Jet Fuel Starter Turbine Flowpath	26
18	Power Turbine Velocity Triangles	28
19	JFS206 Control System Schematic	29
20	Jet Fuel Starter-Maintenance Sequence Diagram	37
21	Engine Cost Tree	42
22	JFS206-A1 Jet Fuel Starter Alternate Design	46
23	JFS206-A2 Jet Fuel Starter Alternate Design	47
24	Comparison of JFS206 Baseline with JFS206-A1 Alternate	50
25	Comparison of JFS206 Baseline with JFS206-A2 Alternate	51

LIST OF FIGURES
(continued)

<u>FIGURE NO.</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
26	JFS206 Alternate Gas Generator Turbine Rotor Construction	52
27	JFS206 Alternate Power Turbine Rotor and Shaft Construction	52
28	Direct Drive Starter with Integrated Lube System	54
29	External Fuel Supply to Run Bearings	55
30	Direct Drive Starter Torque and Horsepower Characteristics	56
31	Direct Drive Starter with Self-Contained Lubrication System	57
32	Effect of Increased Turbine Inlet Temperature on Engine Output Horsepower	61
33	JFS206 Jet Fuel Starter	64
A-1	Model JFS206 Engine Assembly (Elevation)	A-2
A-2	Model JFS206 Engine Assembly (End View)	A-3
A-3	Model JFS206 Engine Assembly (Section A-A)	A-4
A-4	Model JFS206 Engine Assembly (Bill of Materials)	A-5
A-5	Model JFS206-A1 Engine Assembly (Elevation)	A-6
A-6	Model JFS206-A1 Engine Assembly (End View)	A-7
A-7	Model JFS206-A1 Engine Assembly (Bill of Materials)	A-8
A-8	Model JFS206-A2 Engine Assembly (Elevation)	A-9
A-9	Model JFS206-A2 Engine Assembly (End View)	A-10
A-10	Model JFS206-A2 Engine Assembly (Bill of Materials)	A-11
B-1 thru B-9	Detailed Cost Drawings	B-2 to B-10
C-1	Model JFS206 Jet Fuel Starter Design Point Data	C-2
C-2	Model JFS206-A1 and 206-A2 Alternate Designs Design Point Data	C-3
D-1	Turbojet Derivative of Jet Fuel Starter	D-2
D-2	Turbofan Derivative of Jet Fuel Starter	D-4

LIST OF TABLES

<u>TABLE NO.</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
1	JFS206 Combustor Parameters	20
2	Turbine Aerothermodynamic Requirements	27
3	Jet Fuel Starter Reliability Prediction	34
4	Failure Mode Effect Analysis and Maintenance Consequence	38 & 39
5	Labor and Material for JFS206	44
6	Sea Level Design Point Engine Performance Characteristics	60

LIST OF TABLES
(continued)

<u>TABLE NO.</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
7	Sea Level Design Point Component Improvements	60
D-1	Turbojet Performance Summary	D-3
D-2	Turbofan Performance Summary	D-5

SECTION

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this study was to define a low cost jet fuel starter with a selling price of less than \$8,000. The jet fuel starter is an accessory used to start aircraft propulsion units. It is permanently mounted either directly on the main engine, or on a remote gearbox. The starter must be compact to fit within the limited space available on modern aircraft, and sufficiently lightweight to be carried on board without seriously degrading the overall aircraft performance. The use of jet fuel for the starter eliminates the problem of starting time and logistics resulting from using other fuels such as cartridges or external power such as compressed air starter carts.

Current jet fuel starter designs are small, scaled-down versions of larger free-turbine engines utilizing conventional primary propulsion gas turbine precision techniques in their execution. The net result is a unit cost in the \$15,000 to \$20,000 range. To achieve the desired cost reduction of approximately 50 percent, new and innovative design techniques must be employed to reduce the expensive complexity and precision associated with conventional long life gas turbine design. The starter design objective of 2000 starts at 45 seconds per start results in only 25 hours of operation, and therefore permits a different design approach. The small amount of fuel used per start, less than 1 and 1/2 quarts, totally eliminates one major requirement of conventional engines; that of low fuel consumption. The starter design approach therefore must concentrate on safety, reliability, durability and low cost.

The starter design must also satisfy real-life military fiscal requirements, such as low procurement lots. For this study, a production rate of 100 per year has been used, with tooling and R & D amortized over 2500 units.

The starter is required to operate only as a starter, and continual discipline must be exercised to assure that desirable but unnecessary features are not added to drive the cost up without enhancing the ability to perform safe, reliable starts.

SECTION II

ENGINE DESIGN

ENGINE ARRANGEMENT


The Teledyne CAE JFS206 jet fuel starter is a compact turbine engine for starting main propulsion units. The engine (Figure 1) is composed of a gas generator, a power turbine, a reduction gear assembly, an accessory drive and a control and starting system. The gas generator consists of an axial flow compressor, an annular combustor, an axial flow turbine, a housing, and support structures. The annular reverse-flow combustor overlaps the axial compressor to provide a compact engine 10 inches in diameter, 20 inches long, and weighing only 98 pounds.

The gas generator rotor is straddle mounted, with a thrust bearing in the front, and a roller bearing in the rear. The rotor operates at 43,500 rpm which is only 70 percent of the speed normally used in high performance engines in this power class. Stress levels are thus only 50 percent of those encountered in higher speed engines. Low rotor stress levels allow the use of a large bore compressor rotor that accommodates a simple through-shaft design and also allows the use of die cast aluminum compressor rotors, each contributing to achievement of the cost objective.

The power turbine module is an investment cast rotor and a through-shaft to the cold end of the engine. The through-shaft design requires only two bearings for the rotor; shaft and pinion gear support from the thrust bearing at the front, and a roller bearing at the rear. The through-shaft design eliminates the complex costly exhaust collector required for a rear power-takeoff engine and locates the reduction gears in a cool environment.

The reduction gears are forward of the air inlet in a compartment with the rotor shaft thrust bearings. The low rotor speed of 29,000 rpm allows for a two-stage reduction to provide the necessary output speed of approximately 3,000 rpm for a normal start, and 3,300 to 3,500 rpm for starter cutout. An overrunning clutch is provided on the power output shaft to disengage the engine from the main propulsion engine. Reduction gears, reduction gear bearings, rotor thrust bearings and the accessory drive are "pot" lubricated within the compartment, eliminating the need for a circulatory system and the attendant components.

The accessory drive provides the gas generator power input to the fuel control system and transfers the electric starter drive power to the jet fuel starter. The spur and face gears provide a simple drive train with an overrunning clutch that disengages the electric starter from the gas generator. The same drive train provides the mechanical drive to the centrifugal boost pump of the fuel control system.



14037

The basic configuration of the jet fuel starter uses low rotor speeds which allow for simplified lubrication systems. The bearings and gears are located in the pot lubricated front end with the exception of the rotor roller bearings which are lubricated by a waste fuel system. This combination lubrication system has been successfully demonstrated on the Teledyne CAE J402-CA-400 HARPOON engine and the Teledyne CAE Model 373-2, Variable Speed Training Target engine (VSTT).

ENGINE PERFORMANCE

Sea level static design point engine performance is presented in Figure 2. A low performance cycle was chosen because specific fuel consumption is not an important criterion, because a very small amount (less than 1.5 quarts) of fuel is consumed per start.

Component efficiencies and pressure losses have been degraded relative to conventional gas turbine practice, thereby eliminating expensive development programs. At design point for sea level static flight conditions, engine inlet total pressure recovery is 99 percent.

The four-stage axial compressor, with a pressure ratio of 2.86, was developed in prototype through Teledyne CAE in-house funding. The compressor map measured during rig testing is shown in Figure 3. The JFS cycle compressor efficiency has been degraded to 80 percent from that shown on the test map. At sea level static design point, the compressor airflow is 2.23 lbs/sec at 43,500 rpm.

The gas generator turbine inlet temperature is 1800°F, with an adiabatic efficiency of 82.5 percent. The free turbine is designed to operate at 29,000 rpm, with an efficiency of 80 percent at sea level static condition. Total pressure loss is 1.5 percent in the inter-turbine passage and 5 percent in the combustor.

The exhaust nozzle operates at a 1.01 pressure ratio with a 5 percent exhaust total pressure loss at the design point.

The estimated starter torque and horsepower characteristics, as a function of output shaft speed, are shown in Figure 4.

Based on these component efficiencies and internal pressure losses, the jet fuel starter will deliver 150 horsepower at 1.3 lbs/hr-bhp BSFC, at sea level static design condition.

The degraded performance levels allow relatively large running clearances between the rotating and static structures. Since the static structures heat and cool more rapidly than the heavier rotating members, the rotors will retain their increased dimensions after shutdown. The engine performance for consecutive starts should show improvement in the form of higher starting torques for successive starts because of the reduced running clearances present until the temperature is stabilized.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE: POWER TURBINE OUTPUT - HP. BSFC - LBS/HR -BHP AIRFLOW - LBS/SEC.	150 1.30 2.23
INLET CONDITIONS: AMBIENT TEMPERATURE - °F AMBIENT PRESSURE - psia INLET PRESSURE RECOVERY - %	58.7 14.7 99.0
COMPRESSOR: PRESSURE RATIO ADIABATIC EFFICIENCY - %	2.86:1 80.0
GAS GENERATOR TURBINE: TURBINE INLET TEMPERATURE ADIABATIC EFFICIENCY - %	1800 82.5
POWER TURBINE: ADIABATIC EFFICIENCY - % MECHANICAL EFFICIENCY - %	80.0 96.0
TOTAL PRESSURE LOSS - % COMBUSTOR INNER TURBINE PASSAGE EXHAUST DIFFUSER	5.0 1.5 5.0
SPEED - RPM GAS GENERATOR POWER TURBINE	43,500 29,000

13929

Figure 2. Sea Level Static Design Point Engine Performance




Figure 3. Compressor Performance Map Developed From Rig Testing




Figure 4. JFS206 Starter Torque and Horsepower Characteristics.

The necessary time between start attempts is based on the nature of the preceeding attempt. If the preceeding start was successfully completed through shutdown, the succeeding start may be initiated with no time limitations. Engine bow, the curvature caused by the temperature difference between the top and bottom of the starter, does not begin to have an effect until after 60 seconds from shutdown. The jet fuel starter with its small diameter and short bearing span will experience a temperature differential under 30°F and a length differential of less than 0.004 inch at the outer casting. The resultant bow reduces the radial clearance less than 0.002 inch, which is minute compared to normal running clearances.

The number of starts is dependent upon the electric starter of the JFS206. Heat generation and build-up within the electric starter motor can be affected by a number of factors. With proper voltage and amperage to the electric starter, it is anticipated that ten consecutive start cycles may be run on the JFS206. With a ten minute respite, five more consecutive start cycles may be run before this process may be repeated two more times for a total of twenty-five starts within a one hour period.

In the event the main engine does not achieve a successful start and has sufficient drag to keep the starter from reaching its cut-out speed, the jet fuel starter may be operated for a two minute interval. After this interval, the start must be manually aborted to permit the lubricating oil in the sump to cool for a period of five minutes. Two more starts may be attempted for the two minute interval with the intervening five minute cooling period before the engine must be allowed to cool for sixty minutes.

In the event of an unsuccessful ignition of the jet fuel starter, the electric starter motor can be operated continuously up to two minutes. A ten minute shutdown is then required before operating continuously for another sixty second interval. Repetition of the ten minute shutdown and sixty seconds of operation may be continued for the duration of the electric power supply. If the electric starter motor has been running less than the two minute interval and the pilot has aborted the start (manual switch), a second attempt to start may be made after a 30 second interval, allowing the raw fuel to drain from the combustor section of the JFS206 starter.

The large running clearances between the rotating and static structures precludes wear between these surfaces. The degradation of performance is therefore primarily due to the erosion of the hot end components after a period of operation. The low turbine inlet temperature of 1800°F and previous starter engine experience indicates that the degradation of performance can be anticipated to be less than 5 percent of the torque over the 2000 start life.

ROTATING STRUCTURE

The JFS206 engine consists of two main rotating structures, the gas generator rotor and the power turbine rotor assembly.

The gas generator rotor (Figure 5), consists of a simple Greek Ascoloy shaft, a cast IN-100 turbine rotor, a Greek Ascoloy spacer, die cast aluminum compressor rotors, Greek Ascoloy pins, and a silver plated locking nut (to prevent galling). The remaining steel components are corrosion resistant and do not require special coatings or platings.


The compressor rotor stages are centered, and transmit their torque by means of radial pins. With the exception of the first stage rotor, they are retained by an overlap extension of the adjacent rotor. The first stage rotor is similarly pinned, but the pins are radially retained by a separate ring. This ring is axially retained by tangs bent into place from a sheet metal ring positioned by the pins. Three radial pins are used per stage. The radial pin holes through the rotors and shaft are machined at assembly, thereby eliminating the requirement for precision spacing between the pin holes. The use of the radial pins for centering the rotors eliminates the requirement for precision piloting between rotors and shaft and provides good centering under all conditions of elastic and thermal growths. The turbine rotor is piloted on the shaft from the rear and held in place with a large diameter nut which incorporates a positive locking ring. This feature provides disassembly from the rear of the starter for maintainability and replacement of the hot-end components.

The four compressor rotors are identical die castings to minimize tooling costs and take full advantage of high volume die casting. The tips are then machined to the required height for each stage to provide flow path control.

The low gas generator rotor speed of 43,500 rpm for this size rotor results in stress levels of approximately 50 percent of those found in conventional high performance rotors. This permits the use of automotive type die cast axial compressor stages for low cost, while retaining adequate structural margins. Only the first stage axial compressor rotor was stress analyzed because succeeding stages will have lower stress levels due to the shorter blade height. The SC84A aluminum die casting material has a minimum allowable yield strength of 19,500 psi. The blade stress at 43,500 rpm is only 6,820 psi maximum with predicted failure at a speed of 73,700 rpm, providing a speed margin of safety of 1.69. The rotor has an average tangential stress of 10,060 psi at 43,500 rpm and has a predicted burst speed of 60,660 rpm, providing a margin of safety of 1.39.

The cast IN-100 gas generator turbine rotor was similarly analyzed. The IN-100 material at temperature has an ultimate strength of 110,000 psi and a yield strength of 95,000 psi. The tangential and radial stresses throughout the rotor are plotted on Figure 6 for the 43,500 rpm rotor speed. The rotor has a predicted burst speed of 65,600 rpm, providing a margin of safety of 1.51.

The gas generator rotor shaft of Greek Ascoloy can have a relatively large diameter due to the low rotor stresses predicted. The shaft was subjected to critical speed analysis using varying bearing support stiffnesses (Figure 7). Selection of the bearing support stiffnesses is indicated on the graph to provide a first critical at 38 percent under the operating speed and a second critical at 29 percent over the operating speed.



14038

Figure 5. Gas Generator Rotor




Figure 6. Tangential and Radial Stress Versus Gas Generator Rotor Radius




Figure 7. Gas Generator Shaft Critical Speed Versus Bearing Support Stiffness

The power turbine rotor assembly (Figure 8) consists of an investment cast Inconel 713LC turbine rotor keyed to the Greek Ascoloy shaft and retained by a self-locking nut. The stress analysis on the power turbine rotor was conducted at 30 percent over the design operating speed to allow for the overspeed condition which will occur upon disengagement of the clutch when the main engine ignites. The radial and tangential stresses versus rotor radius are plotted on Figure 9 for 37,700 rpm. The rotor has a predicted burst speed of 54,600 rpm which provides a margin of safety of 0.88 over design speed and a margin of safety of 0.45 for the 30 percent overspeed.

The power turbine Greek Ascoloy shaft was subjected to critical speed analysis using varying bearing support stiffnesses with the results plotted on Figure 10. Selection of the bearing support stiffnesses similar to those used for the gas generator shaft is indicated on the graph. These stiffnesses indicate that the first critical occurs 34 percent under the operating speed, and the second critical occurs 34 percent over the operating speed.

GEARBOX

The gearbox of the jet fuel starter (Figure 11) consists of the two-stage reduction gearing, the overrunning clutch, the power output shaft, the accessory drive train, the main shaft thrust bearings, the gear bearings and the lubrication system, all housed within the front compartments. The two gear stages provide a 10:1 speed reduction to produce the necessary output speed, with peak power being supplied just prior to the 3,000 to 3,500 rpm starter cutout. The gears have been designed, using design factors which allow for the use of unground gears. These gears are oversized compared to aircraft quality gears; however, the elimination of the requirements for supercritical finishes contributes to achieving the cost objectives.

The accessory drive train uses face and spur gear sets which eliminate the critical alignment problems associated with bevel gear sets. Machining of the housing is facilitated by these lessened requirements. The low rotor rpm of both shafts permits the use of low speed bearings with a simplified lubrication system. All gears and bearings are splash-lubricated by the oil from the sump created by the front compartment. This lubrication system eliminates the usual pressure and scavenge oil pumps, oil lines, passages, tank jets, coolers, filters, and regulating and anti-leak valves normally associated with long-life propulsion gas turbine engines.

COMPRESSOR

The compressor is the heart of all gas turbine engines, and as such, is a major portion of any engine development program and is also a significant part of the recurring labor and material cost in conventional engines. The compressor for the jet fuel starter (Figure 12) is an existing Teledyne CAE design that has been fabricated and rig tested to establish its performance characteristics.




Figure 8. Power Turbine Rotor.




Figure 9. Tangential & Radial Stress Versus Power Turbine Rotor Radius.





Figure 11. JFS206 Gearbox.



6339

Figure 12. Four-Stage Axial Compressor Rotor Ready for Installation into the Compressor Test Rig.

The compressor map (Figure 3) has been developed from the test rig. The low-speed compressor design (860 ft/sec tip speed) provides the capability for a large diameter through-shaft for two-shaft engines. As an added benefit, the low stress levels permit the use of low cost automotive type aluminum die castings. The compressor efficiency has been degraded to 80 percent, as discussed in the performance section, thereby providing additional latitude for compressor changes to suit the die casting process.

COMBUSTOR

Sizing of the jet fuel starter combustor indicates that loading parameters, while somewhat high, are within demonstrated Teledyne CAE vaporizer combustor experience. A tabulation of several combustor parameters for the jet fuel starter combustor is given in Table 1. Five parameters are of prime interest: (1) heat release rate, (2) dwell time, (3) aerodynamic loading, (4) pressure loss, and (5) combustion efficiency.

The inter-relationship of these parameters is shown in Figures 13 through 15 for several Teledyne CAE combustors developed for lift engine and Advanced Turbine Engine Gas Generator (ATEGG) applications. The jet fuel starter combustor design point is shown for reference.

Figure 13 correlates combustion efficiency with aerodynamic loading and illustrates the fall-off in efficiency that can occur with increasing loading. This parameter indicates the JFS206 combustor to be quite highly loaded. However, it should be noted that the lift engine combustors were not only loaded heavily aerodynamically, but also in heat release rate and dwell time. This is illustrated in Figures 14 and 15. Figure 14 relates combustion efficiency with the product of dwell time and the square root of the pressure drop, and illustrates the extremely short dwell time of other engine combustors relative to the JFS206 combustor. Consequently, since dwell time has a strong influence on efficiency, the JFS206 combustor is within the range of advanced technology engine combustors which have demonstrated efficiency levels in excess of JFS requirements.

Also, as shown in Figure 15, other engine combustors have combined high heat release rates with the high aerodynamic loadings and short dwell times illustrated in Figures 13 and 14. The required heat release rate of the JFS206 combustor is substantially less than the demonstrated heat release rates of these engine combustors. The lower heat release rate combines with the longer dwell time to significantly ease the overall loading picture of the JFS combustor. The primary combustor development problem will be to achieve a satisfactory exit temperature profile with only three fuel nozzles and "T canes".

TURBINES

The gas generator turbine speed is fixed by the compressor requirement of 43,500 rpm. The rotor exit discharge critical velocity ratio was selected as low as possible, 0.319, with zero swirl to minimize the transition duct losses

TABLE 1

JFS206 COMBUSTOR PARAMETERS

Airflow - lb/sec.	2.228
Inlet Pressure - psia	41.99
Inlet Temperature - °R	744
Overall f/a	0.0245
Primary Volume - ft ³	0.0753
Total Volume - ft ³	0.1232
Mean Path Length (L) Total - ft	0.492
Primary Zone Intensity - BTU/Hr ft ³ atm	16.8 x 10 ⁶
Total Intensity - BTU/Hr ft ³ atm	9.76 x 10 ⁶
Aerodynamic Loading - lb/sec ft ³ atm ²	2.214
Temperature Rise - °F	1516
Reference Velocity - ft/sec	58.91
Residence Time (τ) - millisec.	8.43
Pressure Drop - %	5.0
Combustion Efficiency - %	95.0




Figure 13. Correlation of Combustion Efficiency to Aerodynamic Loading.




Figure 14. Correlation of Efficiency, Dwell Time and Pressure Drop.




Figure 15. Relation of Heat Release Rate to Aerodynamic Loading.

between the gas generator and power turbines. The axial chord of the nozzle was set at 1.5 inches to satisfy the combustor flow requirement: one half of the combustor flow is supplied through hollow nozzle vanes to the outer half of the combustor. A total vane cross-sectional area of 6.2 square inches is required to limit the total-to-total combustor pressure drop to 5.0 percent. To achieve this cross-flow area, twelve vanes are required, with a solidity in excess of optimum. The airfoils are lightly loaded however, and the efficiency penalty is minor.

A relatively large axial chord of 0.62 inch on the rotor along with a specified trailing edge thickness no less than 0.025 inch permits ease of castability of the integral wheel. A generous running tip clearance of two percent of the blade span should also allow the wheel to be cast to diameter with minimal machining. The preliminary velocity triangles of the described gas generator turbine are presented in Figure 16, and the flowpath is provided in Figure 17. The flow and work coefficients (Table 2), give this turbine a high efficiency potential. And when the simple geometrical constraints are imposed for castability, the performance is still predicted at 82.5 percent. The rotor hub blade stress is 26,143 psi with a blade temperature of less than 1650°F, giving a stress rupture IN 713C life in excess of 800 hours. Some of the gas generator turbine geometrical constraints for low cost and ease of manufacture are:

Vane Assembly	
Number of Nozzle Vanes	12
Axial Chord - in.	1.50
Trailing Edge Thickness - in.	0.035
Thickness to Chord Ratio	0.20
Rotor	
Number of Blades	37
Axial Chord - in.	0.62
Trailing Edge Thickness - in.	0.025
Thickness to Chord Ratio	0.13
Running Clearance - in.	0.020

The power turbine was sized with a containment ring sized not to exceed the combustor casing (engine) diameter of 10.0 inches. The rotational speed was then set as low as possible consistent with moderately high turbine aerodynamic loading to achieve the cycle efficiency requirement of 80 percent. As with the gas generator, the power turbine geometrical constraints were selected for ease of castability, simplicity, and low cost. A low rotor discharge critical velocity ratio of 0.323 was also required to minimize leaving losses. With this low leaving velocity, a direct dump is allowable and the cost of a diffuser is eliminated. Some of the power turbine geometrical constraints for low cost and ease of manufacturability are:





Figure 16. Gas Generator Turbine Velocity Triangles.



— ENGINE CENTERLINE — 14036

Figure 17. Jet Fuel Starter Turbine Flow Path.

Vane Assembly	
Number of Nozzle Vanes	21
Axial Chord - in.	0.95
Trailing Edge Thickness - in.	0.035
Thickness to Chord Ratio	0.15
Rotor	
Number of Blades	44
Axial Chord - in.	0.73
Trailing Edge Thickness - in.	0.025
Thickness to Chord Ratio	0.13
Running Clearance - in.	0.030

TABLE 2

TURBINE AEROTHERMODYNAMIC REQUIREMENTS

	Gas Generator Turbine	Power Turbine
Inlet Temperature T, °R	2260	2074
Inlet Pressure P, psia	39.9	24.48
Gas Flow W, lbs/sec.	2.283	2.283
Rotative Speed N, rpm	43500	29000
Flow Parameter $(WN/\delta 60)\epsilon$, lbs-rev/sec. ²	634	688
Specific Work ΔH , BTU/lb.	54.24	47.89
Referred Work $\Delta H/\theta_{cr}$, BTU/lb.	12.91	12.33
Referred Speed $N/\sqrt{\theta_{cr}}$, rpm	20524	14714
Total-To-Total Efficiency, %	82.5	80.0
Referred Flow $(W\sqrt{\theta_{cr}}/\delta)\epsilon$, lbs/sec.	1.85	2.81
Flow Coefficient V_x/U_m	0.601	0.674
Work Coefficient $gJ\Delta H/U_m^2$	1.34	1.57

A power turbine rotational speed of 29,000 rpm results in a predicted efficiency of 80.1, which meets the cycle requirement, with these geometrical constraints. The velocity triangles are given in Figure 18 and flowpath in Figure 17. Mach numbers and turning angles are moderate and performance targets should be readily achievable.

CONTROL AND STARTER SYSTEM

Operation

The control system (Figure 19) provides both control of the engine and a





Figure 18. Power Turbine Velocity Triangles.



13031

Figure 19. JFS206 Control System Schematic.

logical sequencing of events to assure proper performance of the overall system. The following narrative describes the basic cycling of the system from startup to shutdown. (Refer to Figure 19)

Startup

- A. The reset button is pushed to eliminate the effect from the previous shutdown. The over-center solenoid is energized to the on position.
- B. Moving the start switch to the On position initiates a series of events.
 - 1. The fuel inlet solenoid is powered open.
 - 2. The fuel dump solenoid is powered closed.
 - 3. The fuel control is turned on.
 - 4. The glow plug igniter is turned on.
 - 5. The electrical starter motor is provided with a low resistance current path on a separate line by means of two solenoid switches. The first low current switch is normally closed and in this position closes the second high current switch which is normally open. When the two switches are closed, the starter motor cranks the JFS206 engine to 60 percent speed on the gas generator spool.
 - 6. When the gas generator spool attains 60 percent, a signal from a speed pickup is compared to a predetermined value from the fuel control. The low-current solenoid to the starter motor opens which in turn opens the high-current solenoid switch. This shuts off the starter motor, thus preventing excessive running of it after the JFS206 has attained self-sustaining speed.

Acceleration

- A. During this portion of the cycle, the gas generator accelerates from 60 percent to 100 percent speed and is held at this speed by the fuel control system. This is accomplished by a closed-loop speed sensing system in which gas generator spool speed is sensed and compared to a predetermined value. This comparison is then translated into a drive speed signal and applied to the variable speed D.C. motor. This motor drives a constant displacement pump that provides metering of the fuel to the engine as a function of speed.
- B. As the gas generator increases in speed, the power turbine increases in speed and provides the direct cranking power to the driven engine.

At a predetermined power turbine speed, a speed signal comparator transmits a shutdown signal to the over-center solenoid switch. Opening of the switch contacts interrupts the electrical power supply to the entire system.

Shutdown

- A. Engine shutdown is triggered by the power turbine speed comparator signal. This signal indicates that the driven engine has attained sufficient speed to be self-sustaining. When this signal interrupts the main electrical power supply, a number of events are initiated:
 - 1. The glow plug igniter is turned off.
 - 2. The fuel control is turned off.
 - 3. The fuel dump solenoid is opened.
 - 4. The fuel inlet solenoid is closed.
 - 5. The over-center solenoid is powered open and cannot be closed until the reset button is pushed to initiate a start.
- B. The JFS206 then decelerates to a stop and is inactive until the start sequence is repeated.

FUEL CONTROL FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION

The fuel control system is divided into two basic subdivisions of electronics and hydraulics. A brief description of the major components and/or divisions follows.

ELECTRONICS

The fuel control electronics portion is basically an isochronous governor which uses speed signals from both the high- and low-pressure spools as well as a speed signal from the constant displacement fuel pump to generate a control signal for the variable speed D.C. motor. The gas generator signal is used to reduce the predetermined 100 percent speed demand signal until constant speed is achieved. The control uses a sequential series of select gates in a speed select network to provide the final D.C. motor drive signal. The inputs into this network include:

- A. Maximum Wf (preset)
- B. Starting Ramp Wf Rate (Generated Internally)

C. Topping Governor Wf (from NHP)

D. Minimum Wf (Preset)

E. Fuel Shutoff (from NLP)

In addition, the control provides speed signal values for both speed comparators to shut off the electrical starting motor at 60 percent gas generator speed and the entire system when the power turbine achieves 100 percent speed.

HYDRAULICS

The hydraulics section consists of a positive displacement pump, a variable speed D.C. motor, a constant pressure rise regulator, and a vapor core high pressure pump. The D.C. motor is driven and controlled by the output from the electronics and is independent of gas generator spool speed. The fuel from the pump passes through an orifice controlled by the constant pressure rise regulator thereby providing a very reproducible and predictable measure of fuel in proportion to pump speed. The high pressure vapor core pump is driven by the gas generator and provides sufficient pressure to overcome CDP plus fuel orifice pressure drops in the combustor.

SECTION III

DESIGN ASSURANCE

APPROACH

During the study phase of the low-cost jet fuel starter program, Teledyne CAE conducted an on-going assessment of its design-assurance (or "utility") attributes.

The study approach considered reliability, maintainability and system safety as related objectives. Their worth or "utility" had to be evaluated in concert with the low-cost, adequate performance and user convenience objectives of the program. We, therefore, selected the Failure Mode Effect Analysis (or FMEA) technique, because it can be expanded to answer such questions as:

1. Will the starter provide adequate reliability for the 2000 starts between overhaul "mission"?
2. Should maintenance aids, such as failure indicators, be included, and would their added cost be justified for a prospectively high-reliability device?
3. Do the modes of failure present hazards that are unacceptable by virtue of probability or consequence?
4. Would reliability enhancing design features improve the overall cost of ownership?

The results of the FMEA are discussed in the following subsection. In summary, they confirm that the basic approach will provide acceptable utility. However, it was also found that acceptably accurate forecasts of user life cycle costs require the design definitions and cost data that will be more readily acquired in the demonstrator engine development program.

RELIABILITY ANALYSIS

A preliminary reliability analysis of the JFS206 has been accomplished. The calculated result is based on conventional methods for assessing the reliability of individual mechanical, electrical and electronic components. Also, the estimated failure rates for electronic parts are based on the use of "good commercial" or industrial-quality parts, with no recourse to Mil-Spec type screening or reliability conditioning (burning-in) at the part level. As the JFS design becomes progressively defined, the value of a control-assembly burn-in will be evaluated.

Also, because of the cyclic duty cycle, the mean-time between failure data has been converted to a failure per overhaul cycle basis. The results of the analysis are shown in Table 3, together with recommended design goal values and

TABLE 3 . JET FUEL STARTER-RELIABILITY PREDICTION
TELEDYNE CAE MODEL JFS206

ITEM (1)	ITEM DESCRIPTION	QNTY Per Sys. (N)	ESTIMATED FAILURES IN 2000 STARTS (25 OPER. HR.) (λt)	ADJUSTED FAILURE ($N \lambda t$)
	Note: 1) Overhaul Life = 25 Hr. or 2000 Starts 2) Components are good-commercial or industrial quality 3) M_1 = Component MTBF (Hr.)			
1	Bare Engine ($M_1 = 1200$ Hr)	1	.0206	.0206
2	Starter Ass'y, Electric ($M_2 = 1500$)	1	.0165	.0165
3	Fuel Control, Solid State ($M_3 = 3600$)	1	.0083	.0083
4	Fuel Control, Electric Pump $M_4 = 1000$)	1	.0247	.0247
5	Speed Pick Up's ($M_5 = 10,000$)	2	.0025	.0050
6	Solenoid Valve - Fuel Dump ($M_6 = 28,000$)	1	.0009	.0009
7	Solenoid, NC (Application; $M_7 = 3.3 \times 10^5$) ($K = .1$)	1	.0001	.0001
8	Solenoid, Over Center, ($M_8 = M_7$)	1	.0001	.0001
9	Lines, Harness, Conn. $.15 \times (N \lambda t_2 + \dots N \lambda t_B)$	-	.0083	.0083
	SUB-TOTAL: Controls & Accessories TOTAL: Jet Fuel Starter	-	-	.0639
				.0845
	Estimated (Design Goal) Reliability for Total TBO of 2000 Starts = .92 Estimated (Design Goal) Reliability for One Flight/One Start = .999 ("Dispatch-Reliability") Recommended Specification Values: Reliability for 2000 Starts = .90, Reliability for One Start = .995			

specification requirements for follow-on phases of the jet fuel starter development. These values are:

1. Reliability for one overhaul cycle (2000 starts).
Objective = 0.92, Requirement = 0.90
2. Reliability for one start
Objective = 0.999, Requirement = 0.995

MAINTAINABILITY

Maintainability factors for the JFS206 will vary as a function of, 1) specific aircraft installations; 2) the using activities maintenance doctrine; and 3) the capability of ground equipment.

The aircraft installation will largely determine the time to repair or replace a suspect starter and will also establish the feasibility of replacing JFS accessories on aircraft.

The maintenance doctrine will determine the intermediate maintenance level or off-aircraft maintainability. For example, one doctrine could require that any suspect unit be returned to a depot, while another would require tear-down and replacement at a Jet Engine Base Maintenance facility. The appropriate doctrine should be determined by conducting an Optimum Repair Level Analysis (ORLA).

The capability of support equipment will affect the time to diagnose, repair (if necessary) and return a suspect unit to service.

The foregoing consideration requires some assumptions about the maintenance environment of the JFS206 in order to estimate its maintenance index. These are:

- a) In most applications, the unit will not be accessible for on-aircraft repair.
- b) Off-Aircraft repair will be accomplished at a Jet Engine Base Maintenance Facility.
- c) Control and accessory components will be repaired by replacement for an active maintenance time of one hour.
- d) Bare engine component repairs will also be accomplished by replacement after an engine tear-down inspection, for an active maintenance time of two and one-half hours.

The maintenance task times must be weighted with their relative frequency. For that purpose, the reliability analysis indicates that there will be three control and accessory actions for every action affecting the Bare Engine. The overall index is therefore calculated from:

$$Mct = (3 \times 1 \text{ hour} + 1 \times 2.5 \text{ hours}) / 4 = 1.375 \text{ hours.}$$

Where Mct = Mean Corrective Maintenance time.

HAZARD ANALYSIS

The safety analysis considered all failure modes classically exhibited by small turbo-machinery. The design has incorporated containment rings around critical areas to absorb energy and retain fragments should catastrophic failure of rotating components occur. Low stresses and temperatures inherent in the design have contributed to reducing hazardous failure probabilities. The hazard analysis is part of the integrated FMEA described in the next subsection.

FAILURE MODE EFFECT ANALYSIS AND MAINTENANCE CONSEQUENCES

This analysis provides a combined chart format as shown in Table 4 for completely identifying the consequences of a failure on maintenance costs and safety hazards. The failure modes considered are the historically typical ways in which a turbine engine can fail. The net effect upon the system is identified. The features of the JFS206 which preclude the failure mode are then related in the Safety Considerations and Compensating Provisions columns. The lowest levels of maintenance capable of correcting the system are listed in the Repair Level column. Components which must be removed to access the "failed" portion are identified and listed under the appropriate components column. The maintenance sequence diagram of Figure 20 complements the maintenance consequence analysis to describe maintenance tasks.

A separate index of manhours-per-theoretical "failure" case analysis was initiated. And although very low manhours expenditures were arrived at, the analysis is felt to be premature because of installation sensitivity.

Design variations in mounting of the JFS206 will affect the organizational maintenance task time for all failure modes explored. However, the design for maintenance concept at Teledyne CAE has resulted in an innovative feature which employs radial pins to secure the components of the JFS. The pins are retained in place by overlapping surfaces in the rotor assembly and band clamps on the housing assemblies. This labor saving feature allows the maintenance mechanic to disassemble the entire JFS by releasing one or two band fasteners and separating the components in the order described by the maintenance sequence diagram (Figure 20). By this innovation, assembly procedures have been made comparatively less time consuming than with similar engines by simply assembling pieces in a definite order and latching up a single fastener to complete an assembly. The other classic features for low maintenance cost are also embodied in this Teledyne CAE design: simple fasteners, lowest possible number of fasteners, no special disassembly tools, small size and weight of components for "one-man" handling, and pieces that lock together during assembly. The cost of material for maintenance was analyzed and the costs found to be sensitive to production and volume costs.




Figure 20. Jet Fuel Starter - Maintenance Sequence Diagram.

TABLE 4
FAILURE MODE EFFECT ANALYSIS
AND
MAINTENANCE CONSEQUENCE

ENGINE MODEL J79-204

PROGRAM Joe Paul Starter

SHEET 1 OF 2

COMPONENT NAME		COMPONENT PART NUMBER		FAILURE MODE		FAILURE EFFECTS		MAINTENANCE CONSIDERATIONS				SAFETY		COMPARING		REMARKS
				CASE	DESCRIPTION	ENGINE	STATION	REPAIR LEVEL	REMOVE & REINSTALL	CONV. VARIANTS	MAINT. COST	CONSIDERATIONS		PROVISIONS		
Compressor Motor				1.1	Blade Crack & Section Separation.	Sector Unbalance Slide Hub, RPM Loss.	Vibration, some power loss with main engine start dependent on 2 blades separated.	Org. Incr.	Starter Fuel Control Section Pre- Ign. Reg. Mag. Outer Casing. Compressor Reg Fuel Inlet Reg Compressor Shaft Section	Starter	Same as 1.1	Starter	Starter	Low stress levels due to low operating RPM.		
				1.2	Burst	Secondary damage, Starter impervious	No start, parts contained within motor casing.	Same as 1.1	Starter	Compressor Motors	Same as 1.1	Steel shroud containment of aluminum parts.	Starter	Low stress levels due to low operating RPM.		
Shaft				2.1	Thrust Bearing Failure.	RPM decreases Unbalance	Vibration, power loss, possible jamming, aborted start.	Org. Incr.	Starter Fuel Control Section Pre- Ign. Reg. Mag.	Starter	Same as 1.1	Starter	Starter	Not Lube System because adequate lube when way to fail. Low operating RPM & Temp.		
				2.2	Shaft Bearing Failure.	RPM decreases, Shroud Hub, Unbalance	Same as 2.1	Org. Incr.	Starter Pre- Ign. Reg. Mag.	Starter	Same as 2.1	Starter	Starter	Starter	Starter	
Compressor				3.1	Fatigue Cracks	No immediate effect	No Effect	Org.	Pre- Ign. Reg. Mag.	Compressor	Same as 1.1	Starter	Starter	Starter	Starter	
				3.2	Pinion Breaks Off	Possible misalignment, blade damage, vibration of compressor.	Minimal effect on performance, no effect on 3.1.	Org.	Pre- Ign. Reg. Mag.	Compressor	Same as 1.1	Starter	Starter	Starter	Starter	

TABLE 4

(continued)

ENGINE MODEL - 57E-206

PROGRAM - Jet Turb Starter

SHEET 2 OF 2

COMPONENT NAME	COMPONENT PART NUMBER	FAILURE MODE & DESCRIPTION	FAILURE EFFECTS		MAINTENANCE CONSEQUENCE			SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS	COMPENSATING PROVISIONS	REMARKS
			ENGINE	SYSTEM	REPAIR LEVEL	COMPONENTS REMOVE & REINSTALL	COMPONENTS AFFECTED & REPLACED			
HP Turbine Rotor	4.1	Blade cracks Pins or Separates	Secondary damage to Rotor & LP Turbine unbalance.	Vibration Power loss	Org.	Starter	Starter	Overriding Clutch dis- engage, com- pliant ring prevents sec- ondary system damage & re- tains pins.	Low stress levels short duration duty cycle.	
	4.2	Yield	Tip rub	Power loss	Same as 4.1	Same as 4.1	HP Turbine Rotor LP Turbine	Same as 4.1	Same as 4.1	
	5.1	Blade cracks Pins or Separates	Unbalance	Vibration Power loss	Org.	Starter	Starter	Same as 4.1	Same as 4.1	
Gear Train	5.2	Lock Nut failure	Rotor unbalanced possible secondary damage.	Power loss Possible system damage.	Org.	LP Turbine Section	LP Turbine	Same as 4.1	Same as 4.1	
	6.1	Drive Gear Break	Full stops Engine shutdown	No Start	Org.	Starter	Starter	Lock Ring Retention	Specified Torque at Assembly.	
	6.2	Output Gear Break	No Effect, to possible gear sec- tion Secondary Damage.	No Start Possible prime engine damage to Accessory Drive.	Inter.	Full Control Reduction Gear Section	Drive Gear	Low Inertia Force, will containment of fragments.	Overriding clutch protects system.	
	6.3	Output Gear Bearing Failure	Same as 6.2	Possible no start to secondary damage by mis- alignment and vibration	Org.	Same as 6.1	Output Gear Bearing	Same as 6.1	Low stress levels, derated components.	
						Same as 6.1		Same as 6.1	Same as 6.2	

This analysis is also premature for determining dollar values; this can be accomplished in a demonstrator phase. The simplicity of the design, and the low cost of parts will definitely affect spares costs and repair material costs in a positive manner. The sparing strategy for the low cost, die cast, axial compressor rotors is a case in point. The low cost of the material is additive to the setup and machining time. The setup and machining time is cost sensitive to the quantity to be run, over which the machining costs are amortized. During the demonstrator phase of the program, we can realize the cost impact, derive actual cost values and thereby recommend optimum sparing levels.

SECTION IV

COST ANALYSIS

APPROACH

The jet fuel starter has been subjected to a cost analysis based on an established Design-to-Cost procedure. The method selected is based on: (1) identifying the "finite elements" of engine cost, at the part design level; (2) providing the designer with "real time" knowledge of the cost consequence of his design decisions; and (3) providing management visibility of on-going success in achieving cost targets.

Other constraints and considerations addressed in the development of this method are:


1. It must provide sufficient detail to be useful for the appropriate phase of design.
2. The method should be compatible with manual as well as data processing compilation procedures.
3. The approach must provide the manufacturing engineering activity with sufficient information to critique the design intent and contribute to achieving the cost objective.

The approach utilizes the cost-tree procedure to allocate initial cost objectives and commitments to engine groups, parts, and controls/accessories. A typical cost-tree for a hypothetical engine is shown on Figure 21.

During the design process, the cost of manufacturing (or acquiring) each part is compiled by finite elements. The elements include the man-hours for individual manufacturing operations, the material costs and the support costs (in man-hours and material) of inspection, tool support and certain overhead Operations. Man-hour and material costs are entered as "burdened" values and performance indices and anticipated scrap rates are included for each operation, or summarized for each part.

The finite element work sheet also provides for a progressive identification of the cumulative value of the part by summing each operation's "added value". This data aids in determinations such as: when to inspect; when to stop advance production (to minimize inventory cost); and (in actual production) the optimum decision for Materiel Review Board and scrap actions.

These methods facilitate evaluating the cost consequence of changes in design and/or manufacturing operations. It also allows for estimating or specifying cost objectives as a function of production quantities and delivery rates. Burdened rates are used for material and labor but the burden (overhead and material handling costs) may vary as a function of the production quantity being estimated. However, all values are still in terms of cost and not price (i.e.,



14506

Figure 21. Engine Cost Tree.

they do not include G & A or Fee).

The cost of producing the specific parts of an engine are periodically compiled (by manual or data processing methods) into cost summaries for engine groups and for the total engine. This cost summary is then evaluated with respect to the initial cost objectives and commitments and also serves as an iterative cost model for the engine model of interest.

All values have been purposely retained in terms of cost to permit the designer to recognize the impact of his decisions towards achieving the cost targets. It is simply a matter of including G & A and Fee for the total engine cost to present the total selling price.

COST

To determine the cost to the customer of the JFS206 jet fuel starter, an abbreviated version of the detailed analysis described in the previous section was applied to all components of the engine. The results of the analysis are summarized for the major components of the JFS206 in Table 5. Experience has shown that preliminary cost of the components can be determined by a simplified approach which applies factors to both the standard labor hours and material dollars to arrive at total cost. This procedure uses the detail costing sheets filled out sufficiently to provide standard labor hours and material dollars for each component. These results are shown in standard labor hours and material dollars since each is treated differently in arriving at the selling price. The standard labor hours require additional labor hours for assembly, test and support functions and can be applied as a factor of 3.35 times the standard labor hours. This factor to estimate the supporting labor hours required is based on recent history of production engines, as these hours are representative to the effort required to support the direct machining and fabrication operations in the manufacturing of a gas turbine engine. The conversion of these labor hours to a selling price is accomplished by adding to the labor rate all burdens such as overhead, G&A, and profit to arrive at a selling price of \$23.59 per labor hour. The material dollars for purchased parts and material is handled at a different rate. The total burden for material includes material overhead, G&A, and profit and can be applied as a factor of 1.46 times the material dollars.

Using the factors described and the data summarized in Table 5, the JFS206 price is as follows:

Labor Hours	- 22.9 x 3.35 x \$23.59	= \$1810
Material Dollars	- \$2404 x 1.46	= <u>\$3510</u>
Basic Engine		= \$5320
Tooling and R&D Amortized over 2500 Units.		= <u>\$2021</u>
Total Estimated Price		= \$7341

The budgetary unit production price includes Production Tooling and R&D amortized over 2500 units as established for this study and is based on FY1974 economics.

TABLE 5
LABOR AND MATERIAL FOR JFS206

PART NUMBER	PART OR OPERATION	MATERIAL	MANUFACTURING METHOD	STANDARD LABOR HOURS	MATERIAL DOLLARS
719580-1)	Four Compressor Rotor Stages	SC84A Aluminum	Die Casting	0.259	9.24
" -3)					
" -5)					
" -7)					
719652)	Four Compressor Stator Stages	SC84A Aluminum	Die Casting	0.256	9.24
719580-4)					
" -6)					
" -8)					
" -9	Spacer, Compressor	Greek Ascoloy	Machined Tubing	0.263	4.84
719655	Nozzle, HP Turbine	MAR-M-509	Investment Casting	0.461	225.00
719653	Rotor, HP Turbine	IN-100	Investment Casting	0.237	175.00
719656	Nozzle, Power Turbine	Stellite 31	Investment Casting	0.595	250.00
719654	Rotor, Power Turbine	INCO 713LC	Investment Casting	0.239	190.00
719580-14	Ring, Turbine Containment	17-4 PH	Rolled & Welded Ring	0.589	61.00
719580-15	Shaft, L.P.	Greek Ascoloy	Machined Bar	1.267	10.88
719580-18	Shaft, H.P.	Greek Ascoloy	Machined Bar	1.400	10.48
719580-28	Combustor	N-155	Sheet Metal Weldment	5.233	14.66
719580-29	Casing, Outer	6061-T6 Aluminum	Rolled & Welded Sheet	0.547	23.00
719658	Cover, Combustor	SC84A Aluminum	Die Casting	0.378	3.13
719659	Housing, Thrust Bearing	SC84A Aluminum	Die Casting	0.533	3.18
719580-37	Shroud, Compressor Stator	SC84A Aluminum	Die Casting	0.295	3.10
719660	Cover, Gear Box	SC84A Aluminum	Die Casting	0.367	3.57
MGL-4013	Electric Starter Assembly	--	Purchased	--	44.16
719580-95	Fuel Control Assembly	--	Purchased	0.400	650.00
719580-71	Shaft Assembly, Power Take-off	--	Machined Forging	0.579	52.51
Miscellaneous:					
--	Bearings	--	--	--	310.00
--	Gears, Shafts, Clutch	--	--	3.761	177.87
--	Rings, Pins, Nuts, Bolts, Keys	--	--		
--	Seals & Remaining Hardware	--	--	5.142	173.20
TOTAL BASIC ENGINE				22.901	\$2404.06

SECTION V

ALTERNATE DESIGNS

JFS206-A1 ENGINE DESIGN

The JFS206-A1, engine alternate approach (Figure 22) uses a single-stage centrifugal compressor driven by a radial in-flow turbine as the gas generator rotor, thereby reducing the number of compressor stages as compared to the baseline design of the JFS206. The same low-cost static features as the baseline design have been used wherever practical.

The gas generator is comprised of a centrifugal compressor and radial diffuser, a reverse flow annular combustor, a turbine inlet nozzle and a radial inflow turbine. The centrifugal compressor operates at 40 percent greater speed than the baseline axial and consequently has much higher stress levels. The power turbine rotor is similar to the baseline, except due to the increased speed of the compressor, the small hole permissible through the gas generator precludes a simple suspension of the power turbine drive train. As a consequence, the power turbine is independently supported on two bearings at the rear and drives through a full floating quill to the straddle mounted pinion gear at the front end. This approach requires two additional high speed bearings and a quill splined at either end to replace the simple shaft pinned to the baseline engine. The mounting of the thrust bearing in the hot zone will require oil lubrication since fuel cannot provide the required lubricity for a heavily loaded thrust bearing, with added complexity to the lube system. The lube system would require a waste oil system requiring additional pumps and short term replenishment of the expended oil or a contained circulating oil system that would accommodate the thrust bearing heat rejection, inasmuch as it could not be rejected to the high ambient temperature frames.

The centrifugal compressor, due to its operational stresses, precludes the use of a die cast rotor and therefore costs more than the four die cast rotors used in the axial compressor engine. Considerably more high temperature material is needed to shroud the radial in-flow turbine and make the interstage transition between the gas generator and the power turbine.

The cost analysis of the JFS206-A1 has been performed on the detail components as has been previously discussed in Section IV. Using the same parameters as set forth for the baseline, the price summary is as follows:

Labor Hours - 25.8 x 3.35 x \$23.59	=	\$2039
Material Dollars - \$3186 x 1.46	=	\$4652
Basic Engine	=	\$6691
Tooling and R&D amortized over 2500 units	=	\$2021
Total Estimated Price	=	\$8712

JFS206-A2 ENGINE DESIGN

The JFS206-A2 alternate approach (Figure 23) uses a single-stage centrifugal




Figure 22. JFS206-A1 Jet Fuel Starter Alternate Design.




Figure 23. JFS206-A2 Jet Fuel Starter Alternate Design.

compressor driven by an axial turbine with rear power take-off and incorporates a slinger combustor to eliminate the problems associated with multiple injection in an annular combustor. The engine design uses a gas generator which is essentially the qualified (MQT) Teledyne CAE T-65 turboshaft engine, except for the removal of the supercharging axial compressor stage and replacing of the two-stage axial turbine with a single stage because of reduced work requirements. All detail design parts are modified to reflect the requirements for the Jet Fuel Starter (i.e., 2,000 starts at 45 seconds per start between overhauls). The design uses the low cost static structure features of the baseline design wherever practical.

The engine gas generator uses a single-stage centrifugal compressor and radial diffuser, a slinger combustor and an axial flow gas generator turbine. An axial-flow, free power turbine drives through a reduction gear and an overrunning clutch similar to the JFS206, except in this case the power takeoff is at the hot end of the engine and a concentric through-shaft is not used.

Air enters the centrifugal compressor, passes through a radial diffuser, then into an annular slinger combustor where fuel is added and combustion takes place. The hot gases pass successively through the gas generator turbine and power turbine, then enter the exhaust collector and exit at a right angle to the engine.

The fuel admission is through the center of the high speed rotating shaft and discharged through a row of holes in the shaft that makes up the fuel slinger. The injection velocity is derived from the tangential speed of the shaft and is thereby relatively insensitive to variations in fuel supply pressure.

The same high-speed compressor design as discussed for JFS206-A1 is used with the attendant fabrication cost due to the higher stress levels than the baseline design. An obvious added complexity with the non-through-shaft design is the complex, high temperature exhaust collector that must be provided to deflect the hot exhaust gases from the reduction gear. Additional complexities arise from bridging the exhaust collector with a cool structure and providing a large single outlet for the exhaust.

The cost analysis of the JFS206-A2 has been performed on the detail components as has been previously discussed in Section IV. Using the same parameters as set forth for the baseline, the price summary is as follows:

Labor Hours - 35.4 x 3.35 x \$23.59	=	\$2798
Material Dollars - \$3503 x 1.46	=	\$5114
Basic Engine	=	\$7912
Tooling and R&D amortized over 2500 units	=	\$2021
Total Estimated Price	=	\$9933

COST AND PERFORMANCE COMPARISON

The alternate design approaches discussed under the previous two items have been presented to provide a comparison to the baseline design. The more conven-

tional alternate designs use a higher pressure ratio of 3.9 and lower airflow of 1.95 pounds per second to achieve 148 shaft horsepower, which is very close to the 150 shaft horsepower of the baseline engine. The brake specific fuel consumption for the alternate designs is 1.08 lbs/bhp-hr versus 1.30 lbs/bhp-hr for the baseline design. The result of this trade-off has been to sacrifice specific fuel consumption for the lower pressure ratio to provide the low speed, lightly loaded JFS206. The design point data for the baseline and alternate designs have been included in Appendix C for complete performance comparisons.

The novel packaging of the JFS206 baseline engine provides both weight and volume advantages in addition to offering a cost advantage over both the JFS206-A1 and JFS206-A2. The volume advantages are shown in Figures 24 and 25 where the outline of the alternate designs are compared to the JFS206. The weight and cost advantages of the baseline engine are as follows:

<u>MODEL</u>	<u>WEIGHT (lbs)</u>	<u>% WEIGHT INCREASE</u>	<u>COST (\$)</u>	<u>% COST INCREASE</u>
JFS206	98	-	7341	-
JFS206-A1	120	+ 22.4	8712	+ 18.7
JFS206-A2	125	+ 27.5	9933	+ 35.3

ALTERNATE TURBINE ROTOR CONSTRUCTION

The component parts of the JFS206 were thoroughly investigated during the preliminary design phase of this program. The parts were investigated to provide the minimum cost components to meet the requirements of the jet fuel starter. The present configuration of the JFS206 allows for disassembly of the hot-end components from the aft end for ease of disassembly and replacement. During the development of the hardware, the reliability and life of the hot end components can be determined, and it is conceivable that disassembly from the aft end may not be a requirement.

A further cost savings can be realized by using an alternate construction for both the gas generator turbine rotor (Figure 26) and the power turbine rotor (Figure 27). The gas generator turbine rotor is no longer piloted on and axially pinned to the shaft to transmit torque. This is accomplished by the same method of radially pinning the rotor to center it and to transmit the torque as is used for the compressor rotor. This design also eliminates the nut, locking ring, threads, and slots on the shaft.

The power turbine rotor alternate construction is press-fitted in the shaft and pinned at assembly. This design eliminates the key, key way, threaded shaft, and self-locking nut of the baseline design. In addition, the power turbine rotor becomes a solid disc which allows a thinner disc (less weight) to be used with the same margin of safety.




Figure 24. Comparison of JFS206 Baseline with JFS206-A1 Alternate.




Figure 25. Comparison of JFS206 Baseline with JFS206-A2 Alternate Design.




Figure 26. JFS206 Alternate Gas Genrator Turbine Rotor Construction.




Figure 27. JFS206 Alternate Power Turbine Rotor and Shaft Construction.

The cost differential for the two alternate rotor constructions compared to the baseline rotors provides material dollars savings of \$31.00 and standard labor hour savings of 0.557. When the price differential is applied to the baseline JFS206, it amounts to a savings of 1.2 percent. When it is applied to the turbine rotors and shafts involved, the difference becomes 10.7 percent which is considerable on a component basis.

DIRECT-DRIVE STARTER

The adaptation of the jet fuel starter to current engine designs requires a reduction gear to match the desired speed range. The use of a low speed power turbine eases the gearing problem by lowering the required reduction ratio. For new or future applications, a direct-drive starter could be integrated directly into the main engine gearcase or into a remote accessory box, thereby yielding an overall net savings in cost and weight. Two different starter designs are possible, one where the starter lubrication system is partially integrated with the accessory case, and the second where the starter lubrication system is totally independent.

INTEGRATED LUBRICATION SYSTEM

The direct-drive starter with integrated lubrication system is shown in Figure 28. The starter is 10 inches in diameter, 17.5 inches long and weighs 85 pounds. The power takeoff is integral with the power turbine and the over-running clutch would be part of the main gearbox design. A magnetic speed pickup would sense the power turbine shaft speed and provide for safe and satisfactory operation of the starter control system as previously discussed. The fuel pump and starter fuel control would be repositioned from the initial design thereby permitting the short (17.5 inch) length. Lubrication of the thrust bearings and the fuel pump drive would be provided by the main engine gearbox. In the event of a "wet sump" system where an oil level to the centerline of the starter could be provided, a simple splash lube would be adequate. For a dry sump accessory case, the normal accessory lube system would be required to provide an oil jet feed to the thrust bearings, and an oil jet feed to the center of the tower shaft would lube the fuel pump drive train. A waste fuel system would still be used for the rear bearings. The lube flow would be provided by an external line as shown in Figure 29. The fuel air mixer tube would attach to the outer casing and fit into the bearing cavity with a slip fit to permit relative radial expansion of the hot and cold parts. The axial location is in line with the radial pins supporting the power turbine nozzle thereby eliminating axial differential thermal growths. The integrated lube system eliminates requirements for maintenance of a separate starter lube supply. The power output characteristics of the jet fuel starter are shown in Figure 30.

SELF-CONTAINED LUBRICATION SYSTEM

The direct-drive starter with a self-contained lubrication system is shown in Figure 31. A simple seal diaphragm and shaft seal are provided to retain the pot lube system for the thrust bearings and fuel pump drive system. The rear

bearing lube system as used for the integrated system is retained. The added seal diaphragm and shaft seal will add 1.4 pounds to the starter weight for a total of 86.4 pounds.




Figure 28. Direct-Drive Starter with Integrated Lube System.




Figure 29. External Fuel Supply to Rear Bearings.




Figure 30. Direct Drive Starter Torque and Horsepower Characteristics.




Figure 31. Direct-Drive Starter with Self-Contained Lubrication System.

SECTION VI

GROWTH POTENTIAL

COMPONENT IMPROVEMENTS

The JFS206 Jet Fuel Starter has significant growth potential (Table 6) built into its basic design without violating the exterior physical dimensions. Realization of the growth can be accomplished by improving component efficiencies and reducing total pressure losses in the flowpath.

To increase power output from the basic 150 horsepower to 186 horsepower, a 24 percent increase, requires improving compressor, gas generator turbine, and power turbine efficiencies one percent, increasing engine airflow three percent, reducing total pressure losses, and increasing the turbine inlet temperature to 1900°F. Achievement of these component performance improvements, without changing the engine physical size, is very realistic because the basic engine is degraded below the present state of the art. Improvements in component performance of the growth engine are summarized in Table 7.

HIGH TEMPERATURE TURBINES

A further example of the growth potential of this starter is illustrated in Figure 32. By increasing the turbine inlet temperature of the growth engine from 1900°F to 2300°F, the output power of the starter, while maintaining the basic frame size, can be increased as follows:

1. Cooled Turbine Version: Increased 26 percent from 186 to 236 HP.
2. Ceramic Turbine Version: Increased 32 percent, from 186 to 247 HP.

Compared to the basic engine, these represent a 57 percent increase, from 150 to 236 horsepower; and a 64 percent increase, from 150 to 247 horsepower.

Current progress in the development of ceramic components at Teledyne CAE and throughout the turbine industry could lead to a low cost method of fabricating ceramic components for future growth engines.

TABLE 6

**SEA LEVEL DESIGN POINT ENGINE
PERFORMANCE COMPARISON**

COMPONENT	BASIC ENGINE	GROWTH ENGINE
OVERALL PERFORMANCE: POWER TURBINE OUTPUT - HP. BSFC - LBS/HR - SHP AIRFLOW - LBS/SEC.	180 1.30 2.23	188 1.16 2.29
INLET CONDITIONS: AMBIENT TEMPERATURE - °F AMBIENT PRESSURE - psia INLET PRESSURE RECOVERY - %	88.7 14.7 88.0	88.7 14.7 88.0
COMPRESSOR: PRESSURE RATIO ADIABATIC EFFICIENCY - %	2.88:1 80.0	2.88:1 81.0
GAS GENERATOR TURBINE: TURBINE INLET TEMPERATURE ADIABATIC EFFICIENCY - %	1800 82.5	1900 83.5
POWER TURBINE: ADIABATIC EFFICIENCY - % MECHANICAL EFFICIENCY - %	80.0 88.0	81.0 88.0
TOTAL PRESSURE LOSS - % COMBUSTOR INNER TURBINE PASSAGE EXHAUST DIFFUSER	5.0 1.5 5.0	3.5 1.0 4.0
SPEED - RPM GAS GENERATOR POWER TURBINE	43,800 28,000	43,800 28,000

13828

TABLE 7

**SEA LEVEL DESIGN POINT
COMPONENT IMPROVEMENTS**

COMPONENT	BASIC ENGINE	GROWTH ENGINE	CHANGE FROM BASIC ENGINE
COMPRESSOR: AIRFLOW - LBS/SEC. ADIABATIC EFFICIENCY - %	2.23 80.0	2.29 81.0	+3% +1.0 POINT
GAS GENERATOR TURBINE: TURBINE INLET TEMP. - °F ADIABATIC EFFICIENCY - %	1800 82.5	1900 83.5	+100 +1.0 POINT
POWER TURBINE: ADIABATIC EFFICIENCY - %	80.0	81.0	+1.0 POINT
TOTAL PRESSURE LOSS (%) COMBUSTOR INNER-TURBINE PASSAGE EXHAUST DIFFUSER	5.0 1.5 5.0	3.5 1.0 4.0	-1.5 -0.5 -1.0

13930




Figure 32. Effect of Increased Turbine Inlet Temperature on Engine Output Horsepower.

SECTION VII


CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CONCLUSIONS

The preliminary starter design, as shown in Figure 33, can meet the objective of an \$8000 unit selling price. The starter, designated as JFS206 Jet Fuel Starter, is 10 inches in diameter, 20 inches long, weighs 98 pounds and produces a maximum output of 150 horsepower. The starter is a two shaft engine with a radial air inlet and axial exhaust, a reduction gear, a fuel pump and control, and an electric starter motor. This lightweight, compact unit contains all the features necessary to perform safe and reliable starts of the main engine. The starter is capable of operating over an ambient temperature range of -65°F to 130°F and at altitudes up to 8,000 feet. It incorporates containment provisions to preclude damage to the aircraft in the unlikely event of rotor burst.

The design incorporates the following low cost features:

- Low Speed Rotor Design with Die Cast Aluminum Compressor Rotors
- Low Speed Rotor Design Permits a Concentric Shaft Design with Each Rotor Simply Supported on Two Bearings
- Concentric Shaft Design eliminates Expensive, Complex Exhaust Elbow
- Simple, Annular Vaporizer Combustor with only three fuel injection pipes and three "tee canes"
- Die Cast Aluminum Housings
- Simple, Cylindrical Rolled Aluminum Outer Casing
- Rolled Steel Containment Ring
- Radial Pin Joint Construction
- Electronic Fuel Control with Motor Driven Metering Pump and Engine Driven Centrifugal Pump
- Commercially Available Outboard Engine Electric Starter
- Pot Lube Reduction Gear and Thrust Bearings
- Waste Fuel Lube Rear Bearings
- Face Gears in Accessory Drive
- Minimal Use of Expensive High Temperature Materials



14035




Figure 33. JFS206 Jet Fuel Starter.

- Maximum use of cast components to minimize Machining.

Two additional starter design approaches were prepared and compared to the JFS206 design to justify the basic design approach. A low speed concentric shaft engine design, although having more compressor rotors, permits a simple construction and low cost fabrication techniques with an overall reduction in total parts and total starter cost.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that a full scale demonstration engine, (Task 8), incorporating all the starter systems, be built and tested to prove the validity of the low cost features of this study. To build logically to this objective, seven discrete component tasks have been identified. It is recommended that they be accomplished as a necessary part of the full scale demonstrator program.

Task 1: Combustor Rig Test

In return for low cost and volume, the compact, simple combustor design with only three fuel nozzles and three "T canes" represents the only high risk aerodynamic components. Consequently, a combustor rig test program should be initiated.

This rig testing of the combustor will provide operational definition and resolution of the following parameters:

1. Combustor Efficiency
2. Pressure Loss
3. Temperature Distribution Factor
4. Minimum Temperature Rise
5. Radial Temperature Gradient
6. Fuel Injector System Efficiency

Task 2: Gas Generator

A full scale gas generator should be designed, fabricated and tested to demonstrate satisfactory thermodynamic and mechanical operation. The gas generator testing will provide confirmation of the:

1. Air Flow
2. Compressor Pressure Ratio
3. Compressor Adiabatic Efficiency
4. Turbine Inlet Temperature
5. Turbine Adiabatic Efficiency
6. Combustor Pressure Losses

Task 3: Waste Fuel Lube System

A bearing test rig should be designed, fabricated and tested to demonstrate the waste fuel lube and air cooling of the rear roller bearings. The 25 hours of operation and 2000 starts required by the JFS206 can readily be demonstrated using this rig. The proper fuel flow rate will be determined during rig operation. The bearing test rig will be used to:

1. Determine the proper fuel lubrication flow to the bearing.
2. Determine the cooling air flow for the bearing.
3. Demonstrate the capability of the bearing and lube system to complete 2000 cycles of operation.

Task 4: Reduction Gearbox Rig Test

A back-to-back gearbox test rig should be designed, fabricated and tested to demonstrate the power transmission hardware and its lubrication system. The engine hardware, in order to meet the cost objectives, will use un-ground gears as received after heat treatment.

The Rig will be used to:

1. Evaluate the heat treated gear distortions under varying conditions of speed and torque, simulating actual points of engine operation.
2. Establish proper gearbox oil level.
3. Confirm operating capability at different altitudes.
4. Establish oil baffle design requirements (if needed).

Task 5: Die Cast Rotor

The die cast rotor requires the application of die casting techniques to an axial rotor fabrication. The revisions to the rotor design to permit successful die casting will be established during the rotor fabrication phase. The die cast rotors will be:

1. Subjected to spin pit testing to establish their structural integrity.
2. Vibration testing to establish blade frequencies and endurance limits.
3. Gas generator testing to establish aerodynamic performance.

Task 6: Preliminary Demonstrator

The preliminary demonstrator will provide an evaluation of the complete starter without the automatic control system. The following components will be added to the basic gas generator of Task 2:

- Reduction Gearbox
- Overrunning Clutch
- Front Housing
- Power Shaft
- Turbine Inlet Nozzle
- Power Turbine

Testing of the basic demonstrator engine will allow compiling of the following data:

1. Power Turbine Mechanical Efficiency.
2. Power Turbine Adiabatic Efficiency
3. Inter-Turbine Duct Losses.
4. Shaft Horsepower.

This data will verify the performance of the basic engine configuration.

Task 7: Control System

The design fabrication and bench testing of the complete control system will be conducted under this task. The control system will include the fuel pump and metering valve, electronic control speed sensors and the necessary valving and automatic sequencing system to provide a complete control system for the jet fuel starter. Bench testing of the control will establish the following:

1. Fuel pumping characteristics.
2. Metering pump capability.
3. Speed sensor operation.
4. Sequencing system.

Task 8: Fully Operational Demonstrator

The fully operational demonstrator engine will incorporate all the features and elements of the first seven tasks. This demonstrator will add the Control System (Task 7) and the die cast rotor (Task 5) components to the Preliminary Demonstrator (Task 6) to provide a complete starter with all

critical low cost features. This unit will demonstrate the following:


1. Functional ability of the starter to perform safe starts.
2. Checkout of the automatic sequencing system.
3. Confirm engine output power.
4. Evaluate low cost structural features.
5. Confirm total package weight.

APPENDIX A

ASSEMBLY DRAWINGS AND BILL OF MATERIALS

The jet fuel starter design is defined by the assembly drawing and a bill of materials itemizing the total number of parts required. Figures A-1 through A-3 show the engine design, and Figure A-4 defines the bill of materials for the selected JFS206 starter.


The same data was prepared for the two alternate designs, JFS206 A-1 and JFS206 A-2 to provide a basis for detail cost estimates. These drawings and bill of materials are shown in Figures A-5 through A-10.



JFS-206 ENGINE CASE	
JFS-206 FUEL STARTER	
ENGINE ASSEMBLY	
MODEL JFS 206	
J 03104	7-1-57
DATE	

Figure A-1. Model JFS206 Engine Assembly (Elevation).


13828



13926

TELEDYNE CAE JFT FUEL STARTER ENGINE ASSEMBLY MODEL JFS 206	
J 03104	719580
DATE 7/11/74	

Figure A-2. Model 206 Engine Assembly (End View).



13926

TELETYPE CAE JET FUEL STARTER ENGINE ASSEMBLY MODEL JFS 206		J 03104 719580
7-7-74		

Figure A-3. Model 206 Engine Assembly (Section A-A).

13926

A-5

Reproduced from
best available copy.




Figure A-5. Model JFS206 A-1 Engine Assembly (Elevation).

1	20	BOLT	7	12	1	79580-41	COVER	5	60
1	19	WASHER	7	119	3	79580-40	NUT	5	59
1	18	BEARING	7	118	3	79580-39	P. CASE	5	58
1	17	SPRING	7	117	1	57	COMBUSTOR	5	57
1	16	RING	7	116	1	56	NOZZLE	5	56
1	15	SPACER	7	115	1	55	SEAL	5	55
1	14	RING	7	114	1	54	RING	5	54
1	13	SPRING	7	113	1	53	O-RING	6	53
1	12	SPRING	7	112	1	52	SPACER	6	52
1	11	SCREW	7	111	1	51	SEAL	6	51
1	10	WASHER	7	110	1	50	RING	6	50
2	09	STARTER ASSY	7	109	1	49	O-RING	6	49
4	08	WASHER	7	108	2	48	RING	6	48
4	07	NUT	7	107	1	47	GEAR	6	47
1	06	SEAL	7	106	1	79580-69	RING	6	46
1	05	KEY	7	105	2	79580-67	RING	6	45
1	04	CLUTCH ASSY	7	104	2	79580-66	BEARING	6	44
1	03	GEAR SHAFT	7	103	1	79580-64	BEARING	7	43
1	02	GEAR SHAFT	7	102	1	79580-63	RING	7	42
1	01	GEAR SHAFT	7	101	1	61	RING	7	41
1	00	HOUSING	7	100	1	79580-72	SEAL	7	40
1	99	HOUSING	7	99	1	99	HOUSING	7	39
1	98	SEAL	7	98	1	98	RING	7	38
1	97	WASHER	7	97	1	79580-71	GEAR	7	37
1	96	WASHER	7	96	1	96	BEARING	7	36
1	95	FUEL CONTROL ASSY	7	95	1	79580-74	ADAPTOR	7	35
1	94	SCREEN	7	94	1	79580-73	PIN	7	34
1	93	WASHER	7	93	1	79580-75	V-BAND ASSY	7	33
1	92	WASHER	7	92	1	79580-65	PIN	7	32
1	91	WASHER	7	91	1	51	COVER	7	31
1	90	WASHER	7	90	1	79580-66	COUNTERWEIGHT	7	30
1	89	GEAR SHAFT	7	89	1	79580-63	SCREW	7	29
1	88	GEAR SHAFT	7	88	1	79580-62	NUT	7	28
1	87	GEAR SHAFT	7	87	1	79580-61	HOUSING	7	27
1	86	GEAR SHAFT	7	86	1	79580-60	SPRING	7	26
1	85	GEAR SHAFT	7	85	2	79580-59	BEARING	7	25
1	84	GEAR SHAFT	7	84	1	79580-58	SPRING	7	24
1	83	GEAR SHAFT	7	83	1	79580-57	RING	7	23
1	82	GEAR SHAFT	7	82	1	72	PIN	7	22
1	81	GEAR SHAFT	7	81	1	79580-57	O-RING	7	21
1	80	GEAR SHAFT	7	80	1	79580-57	PLUNGER	7	20
1	79	GEAR SHAFT	7	79	1	79	GEAR	7	19
1	78	GEAR SHAFT	7	78	1	78	GEAR	7	18
1	77	GEAR SHAFT	7	77	1	77	GEAR	7	17
1	76	GEAR SHAFT	7	76	1	76	GEAR	7	16
1	75	GEAR SHAFT	7	75	1	75	GEAR	7	15
1	74	GEAR SHAFT	7	74	1	74	GEAR	7	14
1	73	GEAR SHAFT	7	73	1	73	GEAR	7	13
1	72	GEAR SHAFT	7	72	1	72	GEAR	7	12
1	71	GEAR SHAFT	7	71	1	71	GEAR	7	11
1	70	GEAR SHAFT	7	70	1	70	GEAR	7	10
1	69	GEAR SHAFT	7	69	1	69	GEAR	7	9
1	68	GEAR SHAFT	7	68	1	68	GEAR	7	8
1	67	GEAR SHAFT	7	67	1	67	GEAR	7	7
1	66	GEAR SHAFT	7	66	1	66	GEAR	7	6
1	65	GEAR SHAFT	7	65	1	65	GEAR	7	5
1	64	GEAR SHAFT	7	64	1	64	GEAR	7	4
1	63	GEAR SHAFT	7	63	1	63	GEAR	7	3
1	62	GEAR SHAFT	7	62	1	62	GEAR	7	2
1	61	GEAR SHAFT	7	61	1	61	GEAR	7	1

TELETYPE CASE 03104 719800	
-------------------------------	--

13921

Figure A-7. Model 206-A1 Engine Assembly (Bill of Materials).




Figure A-8. Model 206-A2 Engine Assembly (Elevation).




Figure A-9. Model 206-A2 Engine Assembly (End View).

QTY	PART NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	DRAWING OR DOCUMENT NO	ZONE	FINO	QTY	PART NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	DRAWING OR DOCUMENT NO	ZONE	FINO
4	8	WASHER	11 118				9380 61	NUT			5 60
4	17	BOLT	11 119				9380 61	HOUSING			5 59
4	18	DRIVE	11 120				9380 60	SPRING			5 58
4	19	SHARP	11 121				9380 59	PIN			5 57
4	20	DRIVE	11 122				9380 58	SPRING			5 56
4	21	SPACER	11 123				9380 57	PLUNGER			5 55
4	22	DRIVE	11 124				9380 56	GEAR			5 54
4	23	WASHER	11 125				9380 55	BEARING			5 53
4	24	SCREEN	11 126				52	BASE			6 52
4	25	SPACER	11 127				51	ISOLATION			6 51
4	26	WASHER	11 128				50	VALVE			6 50
4	27	SCREEN	11 129				49	BOLT			6 49
4	28	WASHER	11 130				48	NUT			6 48
4	29	SCREEN	11 131				47	RING			6 47
4	30	WASHER	11 132				46	PIN			6 46
4	31	SCREEN	11 133				45	RING			6 45
4	32	WASHER	11 134				44	ADAPTOR			6 44
4	33	SCREEN	11 135				43	BASE			6 43
4	34	WASHER	11 136				42	SCREW			6 42
4	35	SCREEN	11 137				41	NOZZLE			6 41
4	36	WASHER	11 138				9380 77	RING			5 40
4	37	SCREEN	11 139				39	PIN			5 39
4	38	WASHER	11 140				38	TYRING			6 38
4	39	SCREEN	11 141				37	TYRING			6 37
4	40	WASHER	11 142				36	SHARP			6 36
4	41	SCREEN	11 143				35	FAIRING			6 35
4	42	WASHER	11 144				34	SEAL			6 34
4	43	SCREEN	11 145				33	O RING			6 33
4	44	WASHER	11 146				32	O RING			6 32
4	45	SCREEN	11 147				31	O RING			6 31
4	46	WASHER	11 148				30	RING			6 30
4	47	SCREEN	11 149				29	BEARING			6 29
4	48	WASHER	11 150				28	WIPER			6 28
4	49	SCREEN	11 151				27	CARBON			6 27
4	50	WASHER	11 152				26	SEAL			7 26
4	51	SCREEN	11 153				25	O RING			7 25
4	52	WASHER	11 154				24	RING			7 24
4	53	SCREEN	11 155				23	RING			7 23
4	54	WASHER	11 156				22	COMPRESSOR			7 22
4	55	SCREEN	11 157				21	WASHER			7 21
4	56	WASHER	11 158				20	BASE			6 20
4	57	SCREEN	11 159				19	COMBUSTOR			6 19
4	58	WASHER	11 160				18	SHARP			6 18
4	59	SCREEN	11 161				17	NOZZLE			6 17
4	60	WASHER	11 162				9380 27	O RING			5 16
4	61	SCREEN	11 163				16	SEAL			6 15
4	62	WASHER	11 164				15	BEARING			6 14
4	63	SCREEN	11 165				14	RING			6 13
4	64	WASHER	11 166				13	ROTOR			6 12
4	65	SCREEN	11 167				12	RING			6 11
4	66	WASHER	11 168				11	SEAL LAND			6 10
4	67	SCREEN	11 169				10	SEAL			6 9
4	68	WASHER	11 170				9	BEARING			6 8
4	69	SCREEN	11 171				8	RING			6 7
4	70	WASHER	11 172				7	SPACER			6 6
4	71	SCREEN	11 173				6	BEARING			6 5
4	72	WASHER	11 174				5	RING			6 4
4	73	SCREEN	11 175				4	RING			6 3
4	74	WASHER	11 176				3	RING			6 2
4	75	SCREEN	11 177				2	RING			6 1
4	76	WASHER	11 178				1	RING			6 0
4	77	SCREEN	11 179				0	RING			6 0
4	78	WASHER	11 180				0	RING			6 0
4	79	SCREEN	11 181				0	RING			6 0
4	80	WASHER	11 182				0	RING			6 0
4	81	SCREEN	11 183				0	RING			6 0
4	82	WASHER	11 184				0	RING			6 0
4	83	SCREEN	11 185				0	RING			6 0
4	84	WASHER	11 186				0	RING			6 0
4	85	SCREEN	11 187				0	RING			6 0
4	86	WASHER	11 188				0	RING			6 0
4	87	SCREEN	11 189				0	RING			6 0
4	88	WASHER	11 190				0	RING			6 0
4	89	SCREEN	11 191				0	RING			6 0
4	90	WASHER	11 192				0	RING			6 0
4	91	SCREEN	11 193				0	RING			6 0
4	92	WASHER	11 194				0	RING			6 0
4	93	SCREEN	11 195				0	RING			6 0
4	94	WASHER	11 196				0	RING			6 0
4	95	SCREEN	11 197				0	RING			6 0
4	96	WASHER	11 198				0	RING			6 0
4	97	SCREEN	11 199				0	RING			6 0
4	98	WASHER	11 200				0	RING			6 0
4	99	SCREEN	11 201				0	RING			6 0
4	100	WASHER	11 202				0	RING			6 0
4	101	SCREEN	11 203				0	RING			6 0
4	102	WASHER	11 204				0	RING			6 0
4	103	SCREEN	11 205				0	RING			6 0
4	104	WASHER	11 206				0	RING			6 0
4	105	SCREEN	11 207				0	RING			6 0
4	106	WASHER	11 208				0	RING			6 0
4	107	SCREEN	11 209				0	RING			6 0
4	108	WASHER	11 210				0	RING			6 0
4	109	SCREEN	11 211				0	RING			6 0
4	110	WASHER	11 212				0	RING			6 0
4	111	SCREEN	11 213				0	RING			6 0
4	112	WASHER	11 214				0	RING			6 0
4	113	SCREEN	11 215				0	RING			6 0
4	114	WASHER	11 216				0	RING			6 0
4	115	SCREEN	11 217				0	RING			6 0
4	116	WASHER	11 218				0	RING			6 0
4	117	SCREEN	11 219				0	RING			6 0
4	118	WASHER	11 220				0	RING			6 0
4	119	SCREEN	11 221				0	RING			6 0
4	120	WASHER	11 222				0	RING			6 0
4	121	SCREEN	11 223				0	RING			6 0
4	122	WASHER	11 224				0	RING			6 0
4	123	SCREEN	11 225				0	RING			6 0
4	124	WASHER	11 226				0	RING			6 0
4	125	SCREEN	11 227				0	RING			6 0
4	126	WASHER	11 228				0	RING			6 0
4	127	SCREEN	11 229				0	RING			6 0
4	128	WASHER	11 230				0	RING			6 0
4	129	SCREEN	11 231				0	RING			6 0
4	130	WASHER	11 232				0	RING			6 0
4	131	SCREEN	11 233				0	RING			6 0
4	132	WASHER	11 234				0	RING			6 0
4	133	SCREEN	11 235				0	RING			6 0
4	134	WASHER	11 236				0	RING			6 0
4	135	SCREEN	11 237				0	RING			6 0
4	136	WASHER	11 238				0	RING			6 0
4	137	SCREEN	11 239				0	RING			6 0
4	138	WASHER	11 240				0	RING			6 0
4	139	SCREEN	11 241				0	RING			6 0
4	140	WASHER	11 242				0	RING			6 0
4	141	SCREEN	11 243				0	RING			6 0
4	142	WASHER	11 244				0	RING			6 0
4	143	SCREEN	11 245				0	RING			6 0
4	144	WASHER	11 246				0	RING			6 0
4	145	SCREEN	11 247				0	RING			6 0
4	146	WASHER	11 248				0	RING			6 0
4	147	SCREEN	11 249				0	RING			6 0
4	148	WASHER	11 250				0	RING			6 0
4	149	SCREEN	11 251				0	RING			6 0
4	150	WASHER	11 252				0	RING			6 0
4	151	SCREEN	11 253				0	RING			6 0
4	152	WASHER	11 254				0	RING			6 0
4	153	SCREEN	11 255				0	RING			6 0
4	154	WASHER	11 256				0	RING			6 0
4	155	SCREEN	11 257				0	RING			6 0
4	156	WASHER	11 258				0	RING			6 0
4	157	SCREEN	11 259				0	RING			6 0
4	158	WASHER	11 260				0	RING			6 0
4	159	SCREEN	11 261				0	RING			6 0
4	160	WASHER	11 262				0	RING			6 0
4	161	SCREEN	11 263				0	RING			6 0
4	162	WASHER	11 264				0	RING			6 0
4	163	SCREEN	11 265				0	RING			6 0
4	164	WASHER	11 266				0	RING			6 0
4	165	SCREEN	11 267				0	RING			6 0
4	166	WASHER	11 268				0	RING			6 0
4	167	SCREEN	11 269				0	RING			6 0
4	168	WASHER	11 270				0	RING			6 0
4	169	SCREEN	11 271				0	RING			6 0
4	170	WASHER	11 272				0	RING			6 0
4	171	SCREEN	11 273				0				

APPENDIX B

DETAILED COST DRAWINGS

Detailed cost drawings were prepared for complex parts where the starter assembly drawing did not provide adequate data for estimating labor and material cost. These drawings are shown in Figures B-1 through B-9.






Figure E-1.











Figure B-6.





13997

Figure B-8.



APPENDIX C

DESIGN POINT DATA

The engine design point computer printout data for the JFS206 jet fuel starter is shown in Figure C-1. The starter cycle, component efficiencies and pressure losses for the JFS206 A-1 and JFS206 A-2 were considered to be equal, and the computer printout data for this cycle is shown in Figure C-2.

DESIGN POINT CALCULATIONS-REGENERATED TURBOSHAFT ENGINE
SINGLE SPOOL, FREE TURBINE PROGRAM 30.038

DATE 9 5 74 NAME SINGH SQ91568
JET FUEL STARTER
469-4 STAGE AXIAL WITH INLET RECV
FREE TURBINE

INPUT

	ALT	M	TA	PA	P2/P1	
100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.99000 01	
	ETA COMB	LMV	EM	ETA N	HPE	F/A CONT
200	0.95000 00	0.18400 05	0.96000 00	0.10000 01	0.0	0.0
	BN	RC	BMC	BHM	RL	WA
300	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.22280 01
	OP/PHC	OP/PC	OP/PIT	OP/PD	OP/PHH	P10/PA
400	0.0	0.50000-01	0.15000-01	0.50000-01	0.0	0.10100 01
	P3/P2	ETA C	ETA T	TS	ETA PT	EHE
500	0.28570 01	0.80000 00	0.82500 00	0.22600 04	0.80000 01	0.0
	NPT	N	(ETA-CP)I	(ETA-TP)I	(ETA-PTP)	
600	0.10000 03	0.43500 05	0.0	0.0	0.0	

OUTPUT 30.038

TA	0.51870 03	PA	0.14700 02	N/RTM2	0.43500 05	N/RTM5C	0.21150 05
T2	0.51870 03	P2	0.14550 02	WRT/02	0.22510 01	WRT/0605	0.61610 03
T3	0.74440 03	P3	0.41570 02	NPT/T7C	0.50700 02	DHT/T5C	0.12860 02
T4	0.74440 03	P4	0.41570 02	WN/D6C7	0.22510 01	DHT/N2	0.28750 07
T5	0.22600 04	P5	0.39490 02	DHPT/T7	0.12850 02	DN/N2PT	0.49990-02
T6	0.20740 04	P6	0.25230 02	P3/P2	0.28570 01	E'A C	0.80000 00
T7	0.20740 04	P7	0.24850 02	P5/P6	0.15650 01	E'A T	0.82500 00
T8	0.19010 04	P8	0.15620 02	P7/P8	0.15900 01	E'A PT	0.80000 00
		P9	0.14040 02	P10/PA	0.10100 01	E'A N	0.10000 01
T10	0.19010 04	P10	0.14840 02	OP/PHC	0.0	E'A COM	0.95000 00
WA	0.22280 01	P11	0.14840 02	OP/PC	0.50000-01		
W3	0.22280 01	TAU	0.79430 04	OP/PIT	0.15000-01		
W5	0.22830 01			OP/PD	0.50000-01		
W7	0.22830 01			OP/PHH	0.0		
W10	0.22030 01			OP/PN	0.0		
WRT/P8	0.63700 01	RD	0.0	EHE	0.0	F/A	0.24520-01
FN	0.18070 02	FG	0.18070 02	AJ	0.61700 02	WI	0.19670 03
SHP	0.15120 03	BSFC	0.13010 01	FSHP	0.15850 03	EI SFC	0.12410 01
ETA-CP	0.82680 00	ETA-TP	0.81720 00	ETA-PTP	0.79100 00		

Figure C-1. Model 206 Jet Fuel Starter Design Point Data.

**DESIGN POINT CALCULATIONS-REGENERATED TURBOCHAFT ENGINE
SINGLE SPOOL, FREE TURBINE PROGRAM 30.038**

DATE 9 9 74 NAME SINGH S091619
JFS MODEL 206-A-1
CENTRIFUGAL COMPRESSOR NC=748
WA=1.95 PR=3.9

INPUT

100	ALT	M	TA	PA	P2/P1	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.99000 00	
200	ETA COMB	LHV	EM	ETA N	HPE	F/A CONT
	0.95000 00	0.18400 05	0.96000 00	0.10000 01	0.0	0.0
300	BN	BC	BMC	BHM	BL	WA
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.19500 01
400	CP/PHC	OP/PC	OP/PT	OP/PO	OP/PHH	P10/PA
	0.0	0.50000-01	0.15000-01	0.50000-01	0.0	0.10100 01
500	P3/P2	ETA C	ETA T	T5	ETA PT	EHE
	0.39000 01	0.74000 00	0.82500 00	0.22400 04	0.80000 00	0.0
600	NPT	N	(ETA-CP)I	(ETA-TP)I	(ETA-PT)I	
	0.10000 03	0.55000 05	0.0	0.0	0.0	

OUTPUT 30.038

TA	0.51870 03	PA	0.14700 02	N/RTH2	0.55000 05	N/RTH5C	0.26730 05
T2	0.51870 03	P2	0.14550 02	WRT/02	0.19700 01	WN/1605	0.49860 03
T3	0.84450 03	P3	0.54740 02	NPT/T7C	0.51780 02	OMT/T5C	0.18860 02
T4	0.84450 03	P4	0.56740 02	WN/D607	0.18080 01	OMT/N2	0.26390-07
T5	0.22600 04	P5	0.53900 02	DMPT/T7	0.15000 02	OMT/12PT	0.55930-02
T6	0.19060 04	P6	0.27440 02	P3/P2	0.35000 01	ETA C	0.74000 00
T7	0.19060 04	P7	0.27030 02	P5/P6	0.19650 01	ETA T	0.82500 00
T8	0.17890 04	P8	0.15620 02	T7/P8	0.17300 01	ETA PT	0.80000 00
		P9	0.14840 02	P10/PA	0.10100 01	ETA N	0.10000 01
T10	0.17890 04	P10	0.14840 02	OP/PHC	0.0	ETA COM	0.95000 00
WA	0.19500 01	P11	0.14840 02	OP/PC	0.50000-01		
W3	0.19500 01	TAU	0.77780 04	OP/PIT	0.15000-01		
W5	0.19500 01			OP/PO	0.50000-01		
W7	0.19500 01			OP/PHH	0.0		
W10	0.19500 01			OP/PN	0.0		
WRT/P8	0.54010 01	RO	0.0	EHE	0.0	F/A	0.22930-01
FA	0.15320 02	FG	0.15320 02	AJ	0.52310 02	WF	0.16100 03
SHP	0.14810 03	BSFC	0.10870 01	ESHP	0.15420 03	EBS:C	0.10440 01
ETA-CP	0.78350 00	ETA-TP	0.81310 00	ETA-PTP	0.78920 00		

Figure C-2. Model 206-A1 & 206-A2 Alternate Designs Design Point Data.

APPENDIX D

DERIVATIVE ENGINES

APPLICATIONS

Derivative engines, utilizing components from an existing design will decrease cost by increasing the production base. The basic jet fuel starter design is readily adapted to thrust engine applications, both as a turbojet and a turbofan. The thrust class, 130 to 265 pounds, is suitable for Remotely Piloted Vehicles (RPV) propulsion.

TURBOJET DERIVATIVE

The turbojet derivative (Figure D-1) produces 130 pounds of thrust and weights only 40 pounds. The basic engine is 20 inches long, 10 inches in diameter and features a self-contained control system. The addition of a commercial electric starter and generator will increase the weight by 12 pounds for a total of 52 pounds.

The design uses the basic jet fuel starter gas generator, consisting of the four-stage axial compressor, the combustor, and single-stage gas generator turbine. A new combined front frame and air inlet housing are provided along with an integral rear frame, exhaust duct and jet nozzle.

The fuel control is driven through a tower shaft by a face gear originating from gas generator which in turn drives a low speed (2,000 rpm) ring gear concentric with the engine. This ring gear provides the drive for a 12-volt electric starter and generator. The starter and generator selected are currently in high production for outboard engines and are readily available low cost units. The ring gear construction is similar to that used in the outboard engine industry except instead of a flywheel mount, it is carried by three grease-packed roller bearings. The front thrust bearing and gear drive system are pot lubed and the rear bearing is lubricated by waste fuel supplied through the center of the gas generator shaft. The engine performance is summarized in Table D-1.

TURBOFAN DERIVATIVE

The turbofan derivative (Figure D-2) uses both the gas generator and low pressure turbine section from the jet fuel starter. A front frame carries the gas generator thrust bearing, fan stator, shrouds, and the fan rotor and provides the support for the fan shaft thrust bearing. An exhaust duct and jet nozzle provides the primary (hot stream) exhaust. A full length fan duct directs the fan flow to the rear and provides a separate fan nozzle. The accessory drive system is similar to that discussed for turbojet derivative. The outboard engine starter and generator are utilized along with the low speed ring gear. The thrust bearings and face gear drives are pot lubed and




Figure D-1. Turbojet Derivative of Jet Fuel Starter.

TABLE D-1

TURBOJET PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

PARAMETER	SEA LEVEL STATIC	SEA LEVEL MACH 0.7	20,000 FT. MACH 0.7
THRUST (lbs)	130	120	72
SFC (lbs/hr/lb)	1.50	1.54	1.70
AIRFLOW (lbs/sec)	2.23	2.95	1.54




Figure D-2. Turbofan Derivative of Jet Fuel Starter.

the rear bearing lubed by waste fuel, utilizing a separate line within the fan duct to transmit the fuel to the rear bearing cavity. Compressor discharge air is bled into the bearing cavity to stabilize the temperature and to prevent hot gases from the turbine from entering the bearing cavity. The basic turbofan engine is 11.0 inches in diameter, 25.0 inches long and weighs 90 pounds. The addition of the starter and generator increases the weight by 12 pounds for a total of 102 pounds. The engine performance is summarized in Table D-2.

TABLE D-2

TURBOFAN PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

PARAMETER	SEA LEVEL STATIC	SEA LEVEL MACH 0.7	20,000 FT. MACH 0.7
THRUST (lbs)	265	204	122
SFC (lbs/hr/lb)	0.97	1.50	1.42
AIRFLOW (lbs/sec)	7.14	9.6	4.95