

AD-A015 090

WIDEBAND SOLID-STATE PHASED-ARRAY ANTENNA
DEVELOPMENT AT UHF

G. J. Laughlin

Johns Hopkins University

Prepared for:

Office of Naval Research

July 1975

DISTRIBUTED BY:

NTIS

National Technical Information Service
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

PLEASE FOLD BACK IF NOT NEEDED
FOR BIBLIOGRAPHIC PURPOSES

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1. REPORT NUMBER APL/JHU TG 1278	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER								
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) WIDEBAND SOLID-STATE PHASED-ARRAY ANTENNA DEVELOPMENT AT UHF		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Memorandum								
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER								
7. AUTHOR(s) G. J. Laughlin		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00017-72-C-4401								
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME & ADDRESS The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory 8621 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20910		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS								
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME & ADDRESS Naval Plant Representative Office 8621 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20910		12. REPORT DATE July 1975								
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 101								
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS Naval Plant Representative Office 8621 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20910		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified								
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE N/A								
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) N/A										
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES N/A										
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Array antennas</td> <td>Solid-state phased arrays</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Phased arrays</td> <td>Integrated phased arrays</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Wideband phased arrays</td> <td>Baluns</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Octave band phased arrays</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			Array antennas	Solid-state phased arrays	Phased arrays	Integrated phased arrays	Wideband phased arrays	Baluns	Octave band phased arrays	
Array antennas	Solid-state phased arrays									
Phased arrays	Integrated phased arrays									
Wideband phased arrays	Baluns									
Octave band phased arrays										
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Development of an experimental 16-element solid-state linear phased-array antenna that has an operating band from 0.6 to 0.95 GHz is described. Radiating elements in the antenna are novel strip radiators made using printed circuit techniques. The array aperture has been impedance matched to a VSWR < 3.0:1 over the octave band from 0.5 to 1.0 GHz with beam scanning to $\pm 60^\circ$ in the H plane. Element patterns measured on a 10- by 10-element array aperture indicate that the aperture is also matched with beam scanning to $\pm 60^\circ$ in the E plane. Each of the radiators in the 16-element linear array was integrated with a microwave transistor amplifier with a nominal gain of 10 dB and efficiency of 50% at 3 watts output. Bandwidths of the amplifiers limit the 16-element solid-state array to an operating band from 0.6 to 0.95 GHz. Beam scanning in the H plane is accomplished by inserting lengths of coaxial delay lines between the integrated array and a 16:1 power divider network. Techniques and methods used in this development are applicable at higher radar frequencies.										

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

-1-

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

APL/JHU

TG 1278

JULY 1975

Technical Memorandum

**WIDEBAND SOLID-STATE
PHASED-ARRAY ANTENNA
DEVELOPMENT AT UHF**

G. J. LAUGHLIN

THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY • APPLIED PHYSICS LABORATORY
8621 Georgia Avenue • Silver Spring, Maryland • 20910
Operating under Contract N00017 72 C-4401 with the Department of the Navy


279140

APL/JHU

TG 1278

JULY 1975

Copy No. 8




ADA015090

Technical Memorandum

**WIDEBAND SOLID-STATE
PHASED-ARRAY ANTENNA
DEVELOPMENT AT UHF**

G. J. LAUGHLIN

Reproduced by
NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE
U S Department of Commerce
Springfield VA 22151



THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY ■ APPLIED PHYSICS LABORATORY

CONTENTS

List of Illustrations	5
1. Introduction	9
Wideband Solid-State Phased-Array Antennas	9
Approach	9
2. Octave Band Array Aperture	11
Strip Radiator	11
Strip Radiator Model	13
Strip Radiator Design Procedure	16
Equal Amplitude Antiphase Feed Network	25
UHF Aperture	30
3. Wideband Transistor Power Amplifiers	40
Amplifier Design Method	40
Wideband UHF Amplifiers	42
4. Integrated Antenna System	49
5. Summary and Conclusions	61
Appendix A Equivalent Circuit for Array of Strip Radiators	63
Appendix B Effects of Probe Location on Active Element Impedance of Array of Strip Radiators	77
Appendix C Computer Program Listing (CPS Language) for Strip Radiator Analysis	80
Appendix D Computer Printout for Array of Strip Radiators Under H-Plane Scan Conditions	84

Appendix E	Saturated Output Power and Efficiency of RF Transistors Operating in Class C	86
Nomenclature		91
References		95

ILLUSTRATIONS

1	Array of Strip Radiators	12
2	Array Simulator Terminated in Two Elements	14
3	Strip Radiator in Waveguide Simulator	15
4	Equivalent Circuit, Array of Strip Radiators (H-plane scan)	16
5	Resistive Part of Active Element Impedance versus w/d_E ; $\epsilon_r = 1$, $d_E/\lambda = d_H/\lambda = 0.238$	18
6	Resistive Part of Active Element Impedance versus w/d_E ; $\epsilon_r = 2.5$, $d_E/\lambda = d_H/\lambda = 0.238$	19
7	Resistive Part of Active Element Impedance versus w/d_E ; $\epsilon_r = 4$, $d_E/\lambda = d_H/\lambda = 0.238$	20
8	Resistive Part of Active Element Impedance versus w/d_E ; $\epsilon_r = 1$, $d_E/\lambda = d_H/\lambda = 0.5$	21
9	Resistive Part of Active Element Impedance versus w/d_E ; $\epsilon_r = 2.5$, $d_E/\lambda = d_H/\lambda = 0.5$	22
10	Resistive Part of Active Element Impedance versus w/d_E ; $\epsilon_r = 4$, $d_E/\lambda = d_H/\lambda = 0.5$	23
11	Effects of Probe Separation on Resistive Part of Element Impedance	24
12	Coaxial Series-Connected Balun	26
13	Stripline Balun	28

14	Measured Results, Stripline Balun	29
15	Strip Radiator Feed Balun and Feed Probes	30
16	Admittance of Simulated Array of Strip Radiators	32
17	Simulated Array Aperture of Printed Circuit Radiators	33
18	Admittance with WAIM Referenced at WAIM Sheet	35
19	VSWR of Simulated Array with WAIM	36
20	H- and E-Plane Element Patterns, 10 by 10 Array, Frequency = 0.5 GHz	37
21	H- and E-Plane Element Patterns, 10 by 10 Array, Frequency = 0.75 GHz	38
22	H- and E-Plane Element Patterns, 10 by 10 Array, Frequency = 1.0 GHz	39
23	Schematic Circuit of the Prototype Amplifier	43
24	Load Admittance of the Prototype Amplifier	43
25	Performance Data of the Prototype Amplifier	44
26	The Prototype Amplifier	45
27	Wideband RF Amplifier for Solid-State Array	46
28	Final Performance of the RF Amplifiers	48
29	Schematic of the 16-Element Solid-State Array System	49
30	Dimensions of the 16-Element Linear Array Aperture	50

31	16-Element Integrated Linear Array System Mounted on Pedestal in Anechoic Chamber	52
32	Aperture Amplitude Variation due to Amplifier Gains	52
33	Antenna Patterns, 16-Element Integrated Linear Array, Frequency = 0.6 GHz	54
34	Antenna Patterns, 16-Element Integrated Linear Array, Frequency = 0.75 GHz	55
35	Antenna Patterns, 16-Element Integrated Linear Array, Frequency = 0.95 GHz	56
36	Gain of 16-Element Linear Array	57
37	Element Patterns, 16-Element Linear Array Enclosed in Horn, Frequency = 0.95 GHz	58
38	Element Patterns, 16-Element Linear Array, Horn Removed, Frequency = 0.95 GHz	60
A-1	Partially Filled Slit-Coupled T-Junction	64
A-2	Bifurcated T-Junction	67
A-3	Grating of Posts with Series Resistors	69
A-4	Equivalent Circuit, Array of Strip Radiators (H-plane scan)	71
A-5	Aperture Admittance versus Frequency for Array of Strip Radiators	76
B-1	Circuit for Effects of Moving Probes Inward from Strip Edges	78
E-1	Schematic of RF Amplifier and Transistor Collector Characteristics	87

1. INTRODUCTION

WIDEBAND SOLID-STATE PHASED-ARRAY ANTENNAS

Wideband phased-array antennas have been viewed as a solution to the proliferation of shipborne antenna systems resulting from the many and varied needs on naval vessels. The present large number of antennas could be consolidated into a single time-shared wideband aperture with electronic beam scanning. In recent years, solid-state microwave technology has advanced to the stage where increased reliability and efficiency of solid-state devices can be used advantageously for RF power generation in modern phased arrays. Integrating wideband solid-state power devices with a wideband array aperture would result in a highly reliable system with increased efficiency between DC power generation and RF transmission, reduce the number of shipborne antennas, and provide enhanced operational capabilities in an unfriendly environment. Also the wideband antenna capabilities have clear potential for countermeasure applications, both passive and active.

A wideband solid-state phased-array antenna with wide angle scanning was developed to demonstrate the feasibility of such a system for eventual shipborne application. An experimental very wideband system with beam scanning to $\pm 60^\circ$ was built for operation at UHF frequencies. This effort included investigations of techniques required to design an octave band (0.5 to 1.0 GHz) array aperture and methods for the efficient wideband generation of RF power. Designs used in this system were to be adaptable to higher radar frequencies.

APPROACH

Radiated power from a solid-state antenna aperture can be increased if the solid-state devices are integrated with electrically small radiating elements. The elements

can be closely spaced in the array aperture to combine efficiently in free space the RF energy from many low-power elements. In addition, the closely spaced elements help to ease impedance matching of the antenna aperture over large scan angles (Ref. 1). The Applied Physics Laboratory (APL) has developed a strip radiator that is electrically small and compatible with printed circuitry (Ref. 2). It appeared that this radiator could be made with very wideband capabilities. Therefore, we decided to develop an active band aperture of these strip radiators closely spaced with beam scanning to $\pm 60^\circ$. The effort included modeling the element, generating design curves, fabricating an aperture for measurement in a waveguide simulator, and constructing a 10- by 10-element aperture to measure element radiation patterns.

The solid-state device selected for RF amplification in the array antenna is the microwave bipolar transistor with its inherent wide bandwidth. While there are a number of solid-state devices capable of higher peak powers and operation at higher frequencies, all are characterized by relatively low DC-RF efficiency with resultant low average power and are generally restricted to narrowband systems. Microwave transistors have efficiencies as high as 70% and typically exhibit efficiencies of about 30% through S-band, with average power in the several watt range. For the solid-state array system, transistor amplifiers operating in the high efficiency class C mode were designed with the objective of providing as wide a bandwidth as practical.

Lastly, a linear array of the active band elements was integrated with the wideband amplifiers to form a solid-state array. Array performance was measured in an anechoic chamber at the APL Antenna and Boresight Facility.

Ref. 1. J. L. Allen, "On Array Element Impedance Variation With Spacing," IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. AP-12, May 1964, pp. 371-372.

Ref. 2. E. V. Byron, "A New Flush Mounted Antenna Element for Phased Array Application," Proceedings of the Phased-Array Antenna Symposium, 1970, pp. 187-192.

2. OCTAVE BAND ARRAY APERTURE

STRIP RADIATOR

Development of the octave band antenna is based on a phased-array radiating element, the strip radiator, that was developed for Project Camel (Refs. 2 and 3). Attractive features of the element are that it is configured using printed circuit techniques, it is suitable for integration with solid-state devices, and it can be densely packed in an array aperture.

Figure 1 shows an aperture of the strip radiators that was developed for Project Camel. It consisted of an array of conducting strips situated above a ground plane where a suitable dielectric filled the region between ground plane and strips. The strips were nominally a half wavelength wide in the dielectric and were excited periodically near the edges by probes extending through the ground plane. On each strip, probes were placed opposite one another and near the edge. The two probes at opposite edges were driven in antiphase with equal amplitudes. That development resulted in array apertures with half wavelength element spacing and bandwidths on the order of 10 to 12%. For Project Camel, the emphasis was on antennas with elements spaced very close to the ground plane.

In the present work, we felt both that a very wide-band antenna aperture could be achieved by increasing the spacing between strips and ground plane and that close element spacing could be easily obtained in the H-plane by moving adjacent pairs of feed probes close together. Originally it was thought that close E-plane spacing could be achieved by dielectric loading to make the strips narrow ($\lambda/2$ in the dielectric), but, as the discussion of the strip radiator model in the next subsection shows, narrow strips and close E-plane spacing can be accomplished even without dielectric loading. The need for a compact and wide-band antiphase power divider for driving the elements was

Ref. 3. E. V. Byron, Antenna Aperture Design for Project Camel, APL/JHU TG 1101, February 1970.




Fig. 1 Array of Strip Radiators

recognized. this required an additional development effort which is discussed in the subsection on the antiphase feed network.

Aperture resonance, which can be initiated by dielectric impedance matching sheets on an array aperture, was the limiting factor in an earlier wideband antenna design (Ref. 4). To avoid these resonances as much as possible with the strip radiators, where dielectric loading is inherent in the design, the aperture impedance matching was implemented in this design by networks behind the aperture rather than by additional dielectric sheets.

STRIP RADIATOR MODEL

A large planar phased-array aperture can be simulated with relatively few elements in waveguide to determine aperture radiation performance (Ref. 5). A waveguide excited in the TE_{10} mode may be considered to contain two inclined plane waves propagating down the guide. The angle each of the plane waves makes with the longitudinal direction (Fig. 2) is determined by the H-dimension of the waveguide and simulates the angle of scan of an infinite array:

$$\sin \theta = \lambda / \lambda_c \quad (1)$$

Additional scan angles may be simulated by exciting other modes. The waveguide dimensions are chosen so that a radiating element (or elements) placed in the waveguide

Ref. 4. G. J. Laughlin, E. V. Byron, and T. C. Cheston, "Very Wideband Phased-Array Antenna," IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. AP-20, November 1972, pp. 699-704.

Ref. 5. P. W. Hannan and M. A. Balfour, "Simulation of a Phased-Array Antenna in Waveguide," IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. AP-13, May 1965, pp. 342-353.




Fig. 2 Array Simulator Terminated in Two Elements

sees mirror images in the walls of the guide that appear to be at the same spacing as the array to be simulated. Excitation of the waveguide in the TE_{10} mode simulates H-plane scanned conditions on the infinite array.

A model for an array of strip radiators can be developed that is based on the array aperture simulated in waveguide. The aperture equivalent circuit under H-plane scanning conditions is derived from the equivalent circuits for obstacles in waveguide. Let us consider an array of the strip radiators with rectangular element spacing simulated in waveguide as depicted in Fig. 3. The height of the waveguide is one-half the E-plane spacing so that only a single feed probe of each radiator is included. The model is presented to show the air-filled simulator (i.e., the region to the left of the radiator strip in the figure) and the aperture dielectric region as separate waveguide sections coupled by a slit. In the aperture dielectric region an array of probes excites an electric field between strip and




Fig. 3 Strip Radiator in Waveguide Simulator

ground plane (horizontal polarization in the figure), and a short circuited length of guide is paralleled across the probes. These waveguide obstacles are considered separately in arriving at the array aperture equivalent circuit. Implicit in this model is the assumption that coupling slit, probes, and short circuit are sufficiently separated that coupling through fringing fields between obstacles is negligible.

Detailed derivations of the waveguide obstacles and of the aperture equivalent circuit are given in Appendix A. Figure 4, reproduced from Appendix A, shows the resulting array aperture equivalent circuit. Summarizing the appendix, this circuit considers the antenna impedances on a half-unit cell basis where one feed probe is included in each half-cell. The resistance, R_p , is the probe coaxial termination. The free space impedance, Z_{fs} is shown in Appendix A (Eq. (A-12)) to be




Fig. 4 Equivalent Circuit, Array of Strip Radiators (H-plane scan)

$$Z_{fs} = \frac{1}{2} (d_E/d_H) \lambda / \cos \theta_o .$$

Equations (A-17) through (A-23) give the remaining impedance elements in the equivalent circuit.

STRIP RADIATOR DESIGN PROCEDURE

The method for designing an array of printed circuit radiators with given E- and H-plane spacings considers the center frequency broadside active element impedance of a half-element as seen at the feed probe inputs. The feed probes are assumed to be purely inductive in this procedure (i.e., in Fig. 4 $X_3 = 0$). Element parameters are chosen to make the resistive part of the active element impedance (of a half-element) equal to the coaxial termination (generator) impedance at each probe, typically 50 ohms. The antenna reactance is cancelled by selecting the probe dimensions and, if necessary, inserting a capacitor in series with the probe. In the case of ribbon probes, the

best capacitor location has been found experimentally to be midway between strips and ground plane.

The first step in the design is to plot the resistive part, $R(w)$, of the active element impedance for the feed probes located at the strip edges. Curves of $R(w)$ versus w/d_E for the specific examples of square spacing with $d_E/\lambda = 0.5$ and 0.238 and for several values of dielectric constant, ϵ_r , are given in Figs. 5 through 10.

Secondly, the effects of moving the probes inward from the strip edges are determined. An analysis of the effects on the resistive part of the broadside active element impedance, given in Appendix B, results in Eq. (B-5):

$$\frac{R(s)}{R(w)} \approx \left(1 - \frac{(1 - s/w) \beta_{\epsilon} w/2}{\tan(\beta_{\epsilon} w/2)} \right)^2$$

This equation is plotted in Fig. 11. The resistance change with probe location is used to adjust the resistance, $R(s)$, to match the coaxial termination.

Summarizing, the design procedure is to select a convenient set of values for aperture dielectric, thickness, and strip width using Figs. 5 through 10. The probe separation is picked using Fig. 11 to adjust $R(s)$ to match the probe termination (generator) impedance. For the selected parameters the resulting reactance is cancelled by choosing appropriate probe dimensions according to Eq. (A-20) from Appendix A and, if necessary, incorporating a series capacitor in each probe. It is then necessary to computer analyze the designed aperture to examine bandwidth and scanning capabilities, using several iterations of designs from the above procedure to meet specifications.




Fig. 5 Resistive Part of Active Element Impedance (per feed probe) versus w/d_E ; $\epsilon_r = 1$, $d_E/\lambda = d_H/\lambda = 0.238$




Fig. 6 Resistive Part of Active Element Impedance versus w/d_E ; $\epsilon_r = 2.5$, $d_E/\lambda = d_H/\lambda = 0.238$




Fig. 7 Resistive Part of Active Element Impedance versus w/d_E ; $\epsilon_r = 4$, $d_E/\lambda = d_H/\lambda = 0.238$




Fig. 8 Resistive Part of Active Element Impedance versus w/d_E ; $\epsilon_r = 1$, $d_E/\lambda = d_H/\lambda = 0.5$




Fig. 9 Resistive Part of Active Element Impedance versus w/d_E ; $\epsilon_r = 2.5$, $d_E/\lambda = d_H/\lambda = 0.5$




Fig. 10 Resistance Part of Active Element Impedance versus w/d_E ; $\epsilon_r = 4$, $d_E/\lambda = d_H/\lambda = 0.5$




Fig. 11 Effects of Probe Separation on Resistive Part of Element Impedance

EQUAL AMPLITUDE ANTIPHASE FED NETWORK

As discussed previously, the strip radiator requires the two feed probes to be driven in antiphase and equal amplitude over an octave bandwidth. It is desirable to achieve this by means of stripline circuitry. A device that can perform the antiphase and equal amplitude function is a modified balanced-to-unbalanced transmission line converter (the balun) in which the balanced line is in the form of a pair of antiphase unbalanced lines. Several authors have described baluns that operate over wide frequency ranges (Refs. 6 through 11). A series-connected balun developed for the strip radiator differs from the earlier devices by its method of achieving input-to-output coupling, resulting in an easily fabricated balun applicable to strip-line circuitry.

The coaxial circuit of the balun for the strip radiator is shown in Fig. 12 (from Ref. 12). The outer conduc-

Ref. 6. N. Marchand, "Transmission-Line Conversion," Electronics, Vol. 17, December 1944, pp. 142-145.

Ref. 7. W. K. Roberts, "A New Wideband Balun," Proceedings of the IRE, December 1957, pp. 1628-1632.



Ref. 8. J. W. McLaughlin, D. A. Dunn, and R. W. Grow, "A Wideband Balun," IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Vol. MTT-6, July 1958, pp. 314-316.

Ref. 9. R. Bawer and J. J. Wolfe, "A Printed Circuit Balun for Use With Spiral Antennas," IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Vol. MTT-8, May 1960, pp. 319-325.

Ref. 10. G. Oltman, "The Compensated Balun," IEEE Transactions of Microwave Theory and Techniques, Vol. MTT-14, March 1966, pp. 112-119.

Ref. 11. H. R. Phelan, "A Wideband Parallel-Connected Balun," IEEE Transactions of Microwave Theory and Techniques, Vol. MTT-18, May 1970, pp. 259-263.

Ref. 12. G. L. Laughlin, Patent 3,827,001, July 1974.



Equivalent Circuit

Fig. 12 Coaxial Series-Connected Balun

tors of adjacent coaxial lines are joined together. A cavity, nominally a half wavelength long, encloses the balun and is shorted to the coaxial lines at each end. A balanced parallel line output may be formed if the output lines are bent together outside the cavity and the center conductors extended forming a parallel transmission line. Coupling in the balun occurs at the gap formed by a break in the outer conductors of the coaxial lines across which an electric field is excited by an incident power flow in the input line. An electric field could also be excited if outputs A and B were driven in antiphase and equal amplitude. If a field is excited across the gap due to RF flow in a coaxial line, then, by reciprocity, an impressed electric field across the gap will induce power flow in the line. Thus, the field excited by the input coaxial lines couples RF powers into the output coaxial lines. For a small gap ($\approx \lambda/100$) the excited field is uniform, and the coupled powers are equal amplitude and in antiphase. Impedances of the outputs are series connected as shown in the equivalent circuit.

The enclosing cavity has the effect of paralleling a pair of series-connected short circuit stubs ($\lambda/4$ long) across the series-connected loads. Each of the short-circuit stubs is coaxial, with the outer conductor being the cavity and the center conductor being the shields of the enclosed coaxial lines. In Fig. 12, an open circuited stub, nominally a quarter wavelength long, is connected directly to the input line and appears in the equivalent circuit as a reactance in series at the input. The presence of the stub makes the balun "compensated." This stub can be replaced by a dummy solid rod that maintains gap symmetry and results in an "uncompensated" balun. The input impedance of the compensated balun as seen within the input line and referenced at the gap is

$$Z_{in} = \frac{Z_c Z_s \tan \theta_c \cot \theta_s + j Z_L (2 Z_c \tan \theta_c - Z_s \cot \theta_s)}{Z_L + j Z_c \tan \theta_c} \quad (2)$$

A stripline modification of this balun was built for the octave band covering 0.5 to 1.0 GHz (Fig. 13). The input and output center conductors were of planar construction




Fig. 13 Stripline Balun

on a stripline substrate ($\epsilon_r = 2.5$, $b = 0.167$ inch). The outer conductors were etched lines 1.27 inches wide such that one pair of upper and lower lines enclosed the center conductors, the pair being joined by eyelets. We eliminated the shielding between input and output center conductors (normally formed by eyelets) from the design by separating the conductors so that line coupling was less than 30 dB. Balun coupling was through a 0.100-inch gap in the outer conductor. The design, which was for 50-ohm input and output impedances, was achieved by including a 35-ohm quarter wavelength transformer in each output arm. The output ports were spaced 2.75 inches apart to meet requirements of the strip radiator discussed in a following subsection. The balun cavity was formed by a dielectrically filled ($\epsilon_r = 2.5$) rectangular box 1.125 inches high giving a cavity impedance of approximately 50 ohms. The total cavity length was shortened to 0.28λ at midband to accommodate the required spacing at the output ports. Impedance matching, required because of the shortened cavity, was done experimentally and resulted in placing a compensating 38-ohm

open circuit stub 0.13 inch wide and 0.18λ in length at mid-band, and placing capacitive stubs, located at the gap, on the coaxial outer conductor. Measured results of the feed network are given in Fig. 14. The input VSWR over the octave band is under 1.5:1, insertion loss is less than 0.4 dB, and maximum phase error is 2.6° .




Fig. 14 Measured Results, Stripline Balun

For application with the strip radiator, we enlarged the balun stripline substrate and extended the output center conductors as shown in Fig. 15. The extended conductors form the feed probes and are soldered to the radiating element strip. A gap is etched in each probe to accommodate a 2.2-pF capacitor included in the element design.




Fig. 15 Strip Radiator Feed Balun and Feed Probes

UHF APERTURE

An array aperture of the strip radiators was designed for the octave band covering 0.5 to 1.0 GHz. The antenna used square element geometry with close E- and H-plane spacing of 3.75 inches (0.238λ at midband). Aperture excitation was by flat probes oriented such that each pair of probes in an element was coplanar (perpendicular to strip edges). The design was that each probe terminated in 50 ohms.

Design parameters for the aperture, based on the computer analysis of bandwidth and scanning performance, were an aperture dielectric 1.5 inches thick with $\epsilon_r = 2.5$, a strip width of 3.1 inches, and probe separation of 2.75 inches. Referring to the design curves, we found that this configuration yielded an active element impedance at midband with a 57-ohm resistive part. The reactance, obtained in the aperture analysis, was cancelled by making the probe width 0.200 inch and incorporating a 2.2-pF capacitor in series, located midway in the dielectric. Predicted per-

formance of the aperture was a VSWR under 3.5:1 over the octave band with scanning to $\pm 45^\circ$ in the H-plane. A listing of the computer program (Conversational Programming System language) and a printout for the above H-plane aperture impedances are given in Appendixes C and D, respectively.

An aperture for measurement in a waveguide simulator was constructed using polystyrene for the dielectric and copper foil tape for the strips. Eight strip radiators were included in the simulation. The probes were fabricated using stripline with the ground planes etched away in the aperture dielectric region. The coaxial lines extended behind the aperture ground plane and were terminated in 50 ohms. At the other end the extended center conductors were soldered to the strip.

When compared with the calculated data for the simulated angles, admittance measurements were more capacitive than predicted. We found that trimming the strip width to 3.0 inches gave closer correlation to the calculated values for a 3.1-inch strip (Fig. 16).

Octave band feed networks as described above, with a VSWR under 1.5:1 over the 0.5 to 1.0 GHz octave band, were built and incorporated in a simulated array. Figure 17 is a photograph showing the aperture and feed network. In this array a lightweight dielectric (Eccof foam Hik 625D) with $\epsilon_r = 2.5$ was used. Otherwise the aperture was the same as above. The feed networks used stripline construction, and the extended center conductors of the outputs formed the feed probes. Measured data on this aperture were nearly identical to those with each probe individually terminated in 50 ohms.




Fig. 16 Admittance of Simulated Array of Strip Radiators




Fig. 17 Simulated Array Aperture of Printed Circuit Radiators

A final modification to the aperture was to use a wide-angle impedance matching (WAIM) technique developed by McGill and Wheeler (Ref. 13) to improve scanning performance. The technique uses a thin, planar, dielectric sheet with a high relative constant, that is as large as the aperture and is placed in front of and parallel to the array face. The admittance of the sheet is susceptible and is dependent on both scan angle and polarization. For a thin dielectric sheet the susceptance at broadside is given by

$$\frac{B(0)}{Y_0} = (\epsilon_r - 1) \frac{2\pi h}{\lambda}. \quad (3)$$

The susceptance variations with scan angle in the E- and H-planes are

$$\text{E-plane: } \frac{B(\theta)}{B(0)} = \cos \theta - \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\epsilon_r \cos \theta}; \quad (4)$$

$$\text{H-plane: } \frac{B(\theta)}{B(0)} = \frac{1}{\cos \theta}. \quad (5)$$

Referring to the Smith chart plot of calculated aperture admittance (for a 3.1-inch strip) given in Fig. 18, we can see that a 0.125-inch dielectric sheet with $\epsilon_r = 9$, spaced 2.00 inches in front of the aperture, can improve H-plane scan performance to a VSWR of less than 3.0:1 for scanning to 60° over the 0.5 to 1.0 GHz band. VSWR measurements with the WAIM on the aperture (trimmed to a 3.0-inch strip width) were performed in a waveguide simulator with the results shown in Fig. 19. The measured values correlate reasonably well with predicted values.

A 10- by 10-element array was constructed to measure element patterns and thus to verify H-plane performance and observe E-plane characteristics. An antiphase

Ref. 13. E. G. Magill and H. A. Wheeler, "Wide-Angle Impedance Matching of a Phased Array Antenna by a Dielectric Sheet," IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. AP-14, January 1966, pp. 49-53.




Fig. 18 Admittance with WAIM (predicted for 3.1-inch strip) Referenced at WAIM Sheet




Fig. 19 VSWR of Simulated Array with WAIM

power divider was included for each element, and the divider input ports were individually terminated in 50 ohms. Measured element patterns in E- and H-planes with and without WAIM for frequencies of 0.5, 0.75, and 1.0 GHz are given in Figs. 20 through 22. The ripple in these patterns is caused by the small aperture size, and the asymmetry occurs because the element used for measurement was displaced one-half element from the aperture center. Averaged patterns (averaging out the pattern ripple) in the H-plane are seen to correlate well with predicted performance. The patterns with WAIM are broader than those without, particularly at 0.75 GHz, and better approximate the $\cos \theta$ pattern. E-plane patterns, for which no predictions were made, are seen to be close to the $\cos \theta$ pattern both with and without WAIM. No indication of undesirable aperture resonance (pattern notch) was observed in either scan plane over the operating band of 0.5 to 1.0 GHz. The broadside cross polarization component at 0.75 GHz was measured to be less than -27.4 dB.





Fig. 20 H- and E-Plane Element Patterns, 10 by 10 Array, Frequency=0.5 GHz




Fig. 21 H- and E-Plane Element Patterns, 10 by 10 Array, Frequency=0.75 GHz





Fig. 22 H- and E-Plane Element Patterns, 10 by 10 Array, Frequency=1.0 GHz

3. WIDEBAND TRANSISTOR POWER AMPLIFIERS

AMPLIFIER DESIGN METHOD

The RF generating sources for the experimental solid-state array are microwave transistor amplifiers operated in the high efficiency class C mode. Operation in the class C mode has the unfortunate property of limiting instantaneous bandwidth in single transistor amplifiers to less than an octave. Harmonic frequencies present in the output waveform must be filtered by a less-than-octave passband filter. The filter is normally inherent in the amplifier output circuit.

Wideband class C power amplifiers at microwave frequencies require design methods substantially different from those used in small signal, class A designs. In small signal designs, "s"-parameter characterization is applicable, and the input and output impedance matching networks can be considered jointly in meeting design objectives. Characterization by "h"-parameters is not applicable in class C designs because of the nonlinear operation, and the input and output networks must be considered separately. The output matching circuit is designed to achieve good efficiency and saturated output power over the bandwidth. The output circuit also affects power gain, which is often in conflict with output power objectives, and significantly affects the amplifier input impedance. Input circuitry is primarily directed at maximizing or leveling the amplifier gain over the required bandwidth.

The output circuit is designed first in class C power amplifiers with the objective of achieving a specified saturated output power at maximum efficiency. An approximation for the required load conductance is arrived at by using the expression given in Appendix E (Eq. (E-5)) for saturated output power:

$$P_{RF} = \frac{1}{2} (V_{cc} - v_{sat})^2 G_L$$

The term v_{sat} is not a well defined quantity with microwave transistors and must be determined experimentally. DC-RF efficiency is affected by the susceptance of the load and, from Appendix E (Eq. (E-10)), is approximated by

$$\kappa_c = \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{V_{cc} - v_{sat}}{V_{cc}} \sqrt{1 + (B_L / G_L)^2}.$$

Thus, the ranges over which the load conductance and susceptance are allowed to vary for a given output power and efficiency specification can in principle be defined.

In reality the approximations of Eqs. (E-5) and (E-10) do not yield reliable results because of complex parasitics and an ill-defined threshold of saturation in microwave transistors. The experimental procedure in designing an amplifier is to start with a load as determined from the approximations and then to tune the load to achieve the desired power and maximum efficiency at several frequencies across the band. After the optimum loads at several frequencies across the band are found, an output circuit is designed to approximate as closely as possible the optimum load.

The input circuit is designed to impedance match the transistor with the transistor connected to the previously designed output circuit since it affects the input impedance. Maximum gain with the given load results when the input is matched. If gain leveling is desired, selective mismatching is designed into the circuit. The procedure used in designing the input circuit is to impedance match the input with stub tuners at each of several frequencies across the band while keeping the output power at the prescribed level. At each frequency, the input tuning is removed from the transistor, and its impedance is measured "looking back" from the transistor. The measured impedance is appropriately transformed to the transistor input. The input circuit is designed to approximate the transformed optimum impedance across the frequency band.

WIDEBAND UHF AMPLIFIERS

The transistor selected for the amplifiers in the experimental wideband solid-state array is the TRW series MRA 0610-3 which is rated at 3 watts output with 50% efficiency and 10-dB gain over a 0.6 to 1.0 GHz band. This transistor has a built-in compensation network at the input to help impedance match parasitics and increase bandwidth. As with most microwave power transistors, the MRA 0610-3 is operated in a grounded base configuration. It is worth noting that an 18-watt version with the above bandwidth capability is also available.

We purchased an initial supply of TRW transistors, constructed input-output circuits (using a TRW design), and built a prototype amplifier in microstrip. A schematic circuit of the amplifier is given in Fig. 23, and the load admittances are shown in Fig. 24. The approximate load objective is calculated from Eq. (E-5) for 3 watts out assuming $v_{sat} = 7.5$ (Ref. 14) and a transistor output capacitance of 4.5 pF. Admittances from the circuit were calculated using the output circuit in the schematic. Measured performance of the prototype amplifier is shown in Fig. 25; Fig. 26 is a photograph of the amplifier. These results are at the highest efficiency states. The output power is greater than 3 watts, gain more than 10 dB, and efficiency above 50% from 0.6 to 0.95 GHz. Satisfactory performance extends down to 0.55 GHz and up to 1.0 GHz.

A number of the TRW transistors were then purchased to satisfy the need for 18 amplifiers in the solid-state array (including the distribution network). The amplifiers were built using the RF circuit of the prototype

Ref. 14. W. H. Leighton, R. J. Chaffin, and J. G. Webb, "RF Amplifier Design With Large Signal S-Parameters," IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Vol. MTT-21, December 1973, pp. 809-814.




Fig. 23 Schematic Circuit of the Prototype Amplifier




Fig. 24 Load Admittance of the Prototype Amplifier




Fig. 25 Performance Data of the Prototype Amplifier




Fig. 26 The Prototype Amplifier

with specially designed circuit frames. Figure 27 is a photograph of a typical amplifier. The output circuit was built on a 99.5% alumina substrate 1 by 3 by 0.025 inches with a 0.000 010 inch surface finish. Conductor metallization is 0.000 250 inch of gold on top of a chrome bonding layer 10 nm thick. The input circuit was built on an identical substrate 1 by 1 inch thick.

Measurements performed on the several amplifiers showed performance that was significantly different from that obtained with the prototype; in all cases performance was degraded. The difference is attributed to variations in transistors from batch to batch and differences in package parasitics possibly due to changes in fabrication methods, personnel, or normal uncontrollable variations in the fabrication process. Since the input-output circuits were designed around the transistor used in the prototype, it is not surprising that performance was degraded in all others.




Fig. 27 Wideband RF Amplifier for Solid-State Array

Due to this degraded performance, it was necessary to modify the circuits, to the extent that this could be easily accomplished, to bring performance to an acceptable level. Modification was done by placing capacitive pads of conductive silver paint at judiciously selected positions along the circuits. Trial and error methods were used, and nearly every circuit required different modification.

The final performance of the several amplifiers is shown in Fig. 28 where the shaded region is the performance variation. The most pronounced variation is in amplifier gain where there is as much as 3.6 dB difference at a single frequency and 3.8 dB across the 0.6 to 0.95 GHz band. Relative phase shift of the several units is also shown. The maximum phase difference between units is 41° at 0.6 GHz and the minimum is 29° at 8.0 GHz. The maximum and minimum rms phase errors with all amplifiers is 14.5° (0.25 rad) and 7.9° (0.14 rad), respectively.




Fig. 28 Final Performance of the RF Amplifiers

4. INTEGRATED ANTENNA SYSTEM

A 16-element linear array aperture of the printed circuit radiators and several transistor amplifiers were integrated to form an array system with experimental very wideband solid-state characteristics: it is shown schematically in Fig. 29. Dimensions of the aperture (without the WAIM sheet) are given in Fig. 30. Performance objective was beam scanning to $\pm 60^\circ$ in the H-plane over a 0.6 to 0.95 GHz band (the amplifiers capacity was the limiting factor).




Fig. 29 Schematic of the 16-Element Solid-State Array System




Fig. 30 Dimensions of the 16-Element Linear Array Aperture

A large planar array was simulated in the nonscanning E-plane (to maintain aperture impedance match) by placing the linear array aperture between parallel conducting planes spaced by the E-plane element spacing of the simulated array. Elements are imaged in the planes in a fashion identical to that in a waveguide simulator. The ends of the array were closed off and the enclosure flared out into a horn for radiation into space. To minimize horn aperture reflections ($VSWR < 1.5:1$), the E-dimension (34 inches) of the horn was chosen to be greater than one wavelength at the lowest frequency. The E-plane horn angle of 23° minimizes horn throat reflection ($VSWR < 1.5:1$). H-plane scanning to 60° required that the H-plane horn angle be $\pm 60^\circ$. Horizontal space limitations in the anechoic chamber used for the measurements forced the horn to be built in two parts. A basic section was built with $\pm 30^\circ$ H-plane flares permitting scanning to $\pm 30^\circ$. A wing extending one side to 60° was attached for scanning between 30° and 60° . In the latter configuration, the array had to be set off-center on the chamber pedestal in order to be accommodated in the anechoic chamber. Figure 31 is a photograph of the array system mounted on the pedestal in the chamber.

Each radiating element in the array was driven by a 0.8 to 0.95 GHz bandwidth transistor amplifier. The several amplifiers were arranged in the array according to gain such that, at midband, higher gain amplifiers were in the array center and lower gain units at the edges. Amplifier gains vary with frequency as well as from unit to unit, resulting in the aperture amplitude errors given in Fig. 32. The outputs of the amplifiers were protected from aperture mismatch by isolators.

The distribution network for the experimental array was a matched 16:1 power divider assembled from 180° hybrids. This type of manifold provides isolated feeds to the RF drive amplifier at the elements, thus eliminating aperture illumination anomalies due to amplifier input mismatch (i.e., a reactive feed network has an output power distribution strongly dependent on load mismatch). Two RF




Fig. 31 16-Element Integrated Linear Array System Mounted on Pedestal in Anechoic Chamber




Fig. 32 Aperture Amplitude Variation due to Amplifier Gains

amplifiers were used within the feed network to supply RF power to the drive amplifiers.

Beam steering, confined to the H-plane, was accomplished by inserting lengths of time-delaying coaxial cables between the feed network and integrated array elements. Cable sets were procured for the scan angles of 0° , 15° , 30° , 45° , and 60° .

Antenna pattern measurements on the integrated array were made in an anechoic chamber at the APL Antenna and Bore-site Facility. Patterns at 0.6, 0.75, and 0.95 GHz for the H-plane scan angles of 0° , 30° , and 60° are given in Figs. 33, 34, and 35. The 0° and 30° beams show beam widths and sidelobe levels approximately as expected. The lack of sharp nulls between sidelobe is due to phase error between driver amplifiers at each radiating element, and is particularly pronounced at 0.6 GHz where the maximum phase error exists. The asymmetry in the broadside patterns is due to phase error between amplifiers with the two amplifiers in the feed distribution network probably contributing the most significant effect.

Measured antenna gain as a function of frequency is plotted in Fig. 36. Broadside gain is given for the solid-state array, and gain variation with scan angle is given for the antenna without amplifiers (passive). The reference gain is for an assumed effective antenna aperture equal to the array length (60 inches) times the enclosing horn height (24 inches). The observed rolloff in the broadside solid-state array gain at the high and low frequencies is due to variation in transistor amplifier gains. As discussed previously, the amplifier gains are maximum at midband and roll off by as much as 3.8 dB at the band edges. In the experimental solid-state array the rolloff is approximately twice that of a single amplifier since the amplifiers in the distribution network also have low gains at the band edges.

An unexpected result from the antenna measurements is the low gain measured at 60° when the antenna is




Fig. 33 Antenna Patterns, 16-Element Integrated Linear Array, Frequency=0.6 GHz




Fig. 34 Antenna Patterns, 16-Element Integrated Linear Array, Frequency=0.75 GHz




Fig. 35 Antenna Patterns, 16-Element Integrated Linear Array, Frequency=0.95 GHz




Fig. 36 Gain of 16-Element Linear Array

phased to a pointing angle of 60°. Also, the measured beam patterns at this phasing have maxima at angles smaller than anticipated and excessively high sidelobes. These results are, of course, indicative of narrow element patterns. Since broad element patterns were measured with the 10- by 10-element aperture, it was felt that the anomalous behavior might be caused by shadowing from the enclosing sidewalls of the horn. To verify the hypothesis, two sets of element patterns with the linear array were measured at 0.95 GHz. The first set was measured on the aperture enclosed in the horn with the 30° H-plane flare




Fig. 37 Element Patterns, 16-Element Linear Array Enclosed in Horn,
Frequency=0.95 GHz

angles. Figure 37 shows the patterns for the two center elements. Unfortunately, element patterns were not measured with the 60° wing attached, but the patterns given are clearly much narrower than previously measured patterns and show much evidence of severe pattern interference from multiple reflections off the sidewalls. The pattern interference tends to average out across the 16 elements, which undoubtedly accounts for the behavior of gain versus frequency and gain versus scan angle seen in Fig. 36.

The second set of patterns was measured with the horn removed, leaving the array enclosed in a 9-inch section of parallel plane with small flare and open ends. Figure 38 shows the patterns for the two center elements. These patterns are very broad, demonstrating that the horn enclosure is the cause of the narrow element patterns, low 60° gain, and beam maxima occurring at small angles.

In conclusion, the experimental solid-state array has beam steering capability to $\pm 60^\circ$, but the presence of the enclosing horn which was used to maintain aperture impedance match caused the high scan angle beams to deteriorate. It should be emphasized that the horn was a measuring tool and would not be used in an operational array. Further, it is apparent from these observations that future experimental arrays of this nature should not be enclosed in a horn but rather should be surrounded with terminated dummy elements.




Fig. 38 Element Patterns, 16-Element Linear Array, Horn Removed, Frequency=0.95 GHz

5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We developed and tested a phased-array aperture impedance matched over an octave bandwidth and with beam scanning to $\pm 60^\circ$. The aperture, consisting of strip radiators, was modeled, and design curves were generated. Predicted performance of the aperture was a VSWR under 3.0:1 over a 0.5 to 1.0 GHz frequency band with scanning in the H-plane to 60° . Experimental data from measurements in a waveguide simulator have demonstrated a close fit with predicted data. A 10- by 10-element aperture was built to measure element patterns in the E- and H-planes; these patterns were close to the $\cos \theta$ shape out to 60° in both planes over the octave band. The aperture design is attractive for solid-state array application in that it is made with printed circuitry and lends itself to interfacing with integrated circuits.

Wideband transistor amplifiers, using TRW MRA 0610-3 transistors operating in class C, were built and evaluated for use as RF sources in a solid-state array. Performance of a prototype amplifier was greater than 10-dB gain at more than 50% efficiency for power levels in excess of 3 watts over a 0.6 to 0.95 GHz band. When a large number (more than 18) of the amplifiers were built, it was found that amplifier performance was significantly degraded due to variations in transistor characteristics. As a result none of the amplifiers achieved the performance of the prototype, and each amplifier had to be individually tuned. This experience suggests that current transistor manufacturing methods are unable to mass produce transistors sufficiently similar for wideband high power transistor circuits without individual tuning.

A linear array aperture of the radiating elements was integrated with the wideband transistor amplifiers to form a wideband solid-state phased-array system. Beam scanning, by means of inserting lengths of coaxial delay

lines between the antenna and a feed network, is to 60° in the H-plane. Antenna performance was measured in an anechoic chamber over the 0.6 to 0.95 GHz frequency range (transistor amplifiers are the limiting factor). Satisfactory beam patterns were obtained for scan angles out to 30° , but patterns further out were less than satisfactory due to pattern deterioration from the enclosing horn.

This developmental effort demonstrates that wide-band solid-state phased-arrays are feasible. Matched octave band array apertures with wide angle scanning are within the current state of the art. Wideband transistor amplifiers are also available, but experience indicates that mass production is a problem. In addition, the amplifiers used in this effort are inherently limited to less than an octave bandwidth (due to class C operation). A full octave, or greater, solid-state array would require further development in transistor amplifiers to achieve octave bandwidth circuits.

Appendix A

EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT FOR ARRAY OF STRIP RADIATORS

The equivalent circuit for an array of strip radiators is developed from a model that considers the array aperture simulated in waveguide, as was shown in Fig. 3. The height of the waveguide simulator is one-half the E-plane spacing so that only a single feed probe of each element is included. However, multiple probes in the H-plane can be included. As described in the body of the text, the model views the air-filled simulator and the aperture dielectric region as separate waveguide sections coupled by a slit. An array of feed probes excites fields in the dielectric region (horizontal E-fields in Fig. 3), and a short circuited length of guide is paralleled across the probes. The slit coupled region, the array of feed probes, and the short circuit guide section are treated separately in the following subsections; their equivalent circuits are then joined to form the array aperture equivalent circuit. It is assumed that the separation between these waveguide obstacles is sufficient so that coupling by means of fringing fields between them is negligible.

SLIT-COUPLED WAVEGUIDE SECTIONS

A portion of the strip radiator model consists of two waveguide sections coupled by a slit where one of the guides is filled with dielectric. The equivalent circuit of the coupling is developed by first considering the partially filled T-junction shown in Fig. A-1. The two rectangular guides are of unequal heights but equal width, and are coupled by a narrow slit across a wall of zero thickness. TE_{10} modes are assumed to exist in both guides. The equivalent circuit and element values for an air-filled




Fig. A-1 Partially Filled Slit-Coupled T-Junction

structure have been previously given by Marcuvitz (Ref. 15, pp. 351-352). The form of the equivalent circuit is assumed to be valid also for a fully filled structure and for the partially filled junction shown on Fig. A-1. When both guides are dielectrically filled, the element values are given relative to the filled guides and may be rewritten as

$$\frac{Y'_{0\epsilon}}{Y_{0\epsilon}} = \frac{b}{b'} \quad (A-1)$$

$$\frac{B_{a\epsilon}}{Y_{0\epsilon}} = \frac{b}{\lambda_{g\epsilon}} \left(\frac{\pi g}{4b} \right)^2, \quad g \ll b \quad (A-2)$$

Ref. 15. N. Marcuvitz, Waveguide Handbook, Vol. 10, MIT Radiation Laboratory Series, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1951.

$$\frac{B_{b\epsilon}}{Y'_{o\epsilon}} \approx \frac{4b}{\lambda_{g\epsilon}} \left[\ln \frac{2\sqrt{2bb'}}{\pi g} + \left(\frac{b}{\lambda_{g\epsilon}} \right)^2 \right], \quad b \ll \lambda_{g\epsilon} \quad (A-3)$$

where

$$\lambda_{g\epsilon} = \lambda / \sqrt{\epsilon_r - (\lambda/\lambda_c)^2}.$$

To develop the equivalent circuit values for the partially filled T-junction, it is necessary to consider separately the small slit or symmetrical iris across a rectangular guide. The iris is represented by a capacitive susceptance (Ref. 15, pp. 218-219). As before, when the guide is dielectrically filled, the susceptance may be rewritten as

$$\frac{B_{c\epsilon}}{Y'_{o\epsilon}} = \frac{4b'}{\lambda_{g\epsilon}} \left\{ \ln \left(\frac{2b'}{\pi g} \right) + \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{\pi g}{2b'} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{b'}{\lambda_{g\epsilon}} \right)^2 \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi g}{2b'} \right)^2 \right]^4 \right\}, \quad g \ll b' \quad (A-4)$$

where

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \lambda_{g\epsilon} \text{ is replaced by } \lambda_g \\ Y'_{o\epsilon} \text{ is replaced by } Y'_o \end{array} \right\} \text{ for air filled.}$$

The equivalent circuit and element values of the partially filled T-junction can now be found from the completely filled T-junction and the symmetrical iris. The coupling slit between guides in the partially filled T-junction is assumed to be narrow so that in the plane of the slit only horizontal field components normal to the strip edges exist. Under this assumption the guide section above the reference plane T' is equivalent to one side of an air-filled guide with iris. It follows that the inductive components of the T-junction circuit are functions of the configuration below the reference plane and are unaffected by a change of dielectric

in the upper region. The total capacitance in the partially filled junction is arrived at by subtracting one-half the capacitance of a dielectric-filled guide with an iris from the capacitance of a fully filled T-junction, and adding one-half the capacitance of an air-filled guide with an iris:

$$B'_b = B_{b\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2} B_{c\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2} B_c \quad (A-5)$$

$$\frac{B'_b}{Y_{o\epsilon}} = \frac{B_{b\epsilon}}{Y_{o\epsilon}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{B_{c\epsilon}}{Y'_{o\epsilon}} \frac{Y'_{o\epsilon}}{Y_{o\epsilon}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{B_c}{Y'_o} \frac{Y'_o}{Y_{o\epsilon}} \quad (A-6)$$

where

$$B'_a = B_{a\epsilon} \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{Y'_o}{Y_{o\epsilon}} = \frac{b}{b'} \frac{\lambda_{g\epsilon}}{\lambda_g}.$$

The junction of particular interest in modeling the array aperture of strip radiators is the bifurcated T-junction wherein only one-half of the above structure is considered. When the T-junction is excited by a TE_{10} mode in the vertical guide, a conducting septum may be inserted along symmetry plane T, as illustrated in Fig. A-2. Since the septum is normal to all horizontal fields, and at the plane of symmetry there are no vertical fields, the overall field configuration is undisturbed. The resulting two L-junctions are separable, and each has the equivalent circuit shown. Circuit values are given by

$$B_g = 2B'_b \quad (A-7a)$$




Fig. A-2 Bifurcated T-Junction

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B_g}{Y_{oe}} = \frac{8b}{\lambda_{ge}} & \left(\ln \frac{2\sqrt{2bb'}}{\pi g} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{2b'}{\pi g} \right) - \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{\pi g}{2b'} \right)^2 \right. \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{ge}} \right)^2 \left\{ b^2 - \left(\frac{b'}{2} \right)^2 \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi g}{2b'} \right)^2 \right]^4 \right\} \\ & + \left(\frac{\lambda_{ge}}{\lambda_g} \right)^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{2b'}{\pi g} \right) - \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{\pi g}{2b'} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{b'}{\lambda_g} \right)^2 \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi g}{2b'} \right)^2 \right]^4 \right\} \right) , b \ll \lambda_{ge} \end{aligned}$$

(A-7b)

$$\frac{B_a}{Y_{oe}} = \frac{b}{\lambda_{ge}} \left(\frac{\pi g}{4b} \right)^2 , \quad g \ll b \quad (A-8)$$

GRATING OF METALLIC POSTS

The equivalent circuit of the feed probes is arrived at by considering a planar grating of metallic posts and closely spaced resistors in free space as depicted in Fig. A-3. Axes of the posts are parallel to the electric field of an incident plane wave arriving at angle θ . The equivalent circuit for a grating of posts has been derived by Marcuvitz (Ref. 15, pp. 285-289) (as in the figure, but without the resistors). When the radiating medium is dielectric rather than free space, appropriate substitutions in the element values can be made, and the circuit values for the grating of posts may be written as

$$\frac{X_{ae}}{Z_{oe}} \approx \frac{a \cos \theta}{\lambda_e} \left[\ln \left(\frac{a}{2\pi r_o} \right) + 0.601 \left(3 - 2 \cos^2 \theta \right) \left(\frac{a}{\lambda_e} \right)^2 \right] , \quad a/\lambda_e \ll 1$$

(A-9)




Fig. A-3 Grating of Posts with Series Resistors

$$\frac{X_{a\epsilon}}{Z_{o\epsilon}} \approx \frac{a \cos \theta_{\epsilon}}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} \left[\ln \left(\frac{a}{2\pi r_o} \right) + \left(14.5 - 13 \cos \theta_{\epsilon} \right) \left(a/\lambda_{\epsilon} \right)^3 \right],$$

$$a/\lambda \approx 0.5 \quad (A-10)$$

$$\frac{X_{b\epsilon}}{Z_{o\epsilon}} = \frac{a \cos \theta_{\epsilon}}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} \left(\frac{2\pi r_1}{a} \right)^2 \quad (A-11)$$

where

$2r_o = d$, $2r_1 = d$ for circular cross section — case a,

$2r_o = d'/2$, $r_1 = 0$ for coplanar ribbon posts — case b,
and

$2r_o = d''/2$, $2r_1 = d''/2$ for ribbon posts perpendicular to grating plane — case c.

Equation (A-10) is obtained from fitting a curve to Marcuvitz's plots for the complete expression. The equivalent radii for cases b and c are for rectangular posts with one dimension set to zero.

If small resistors are placed close together and in series in each of the posts, the previous equivalent circuit is adapted to include a resistive component (Fig. A-3). The resistive component has the value $r = R \cdot a/\ell$ expressed in ohms per square, and can be understood by considering a square with sides D where $n\ell = ma = D$. For convenience let D take any value such that n and m are integers. In the D by D square there are m columns of resistors where each column has n resistors in series, resulting in a total resistance for the square of $\frac{1}{m} (nR)$ ohms or $r = \frac{n}{m} R$ ohms per square. Since, from the above, $n\ell = ma$, it can be seen that $r = R a/\ell$ ohms per square.

A pair of vertical conducting walls may be inserted that are normal to and pass through the grating to form an

infinitely high waveguide. In the waveguide region plane wave propagation is simulated at some incident angle. The walls must pass either through the axis of the posts or midway between the posts to maintain the simulation. Horizontal top and bottom walls can be included in symmetry planes, leaving the contained fields undisturbed. If the small resistors are replaced by coaxial terminations at one horizontal wall, then the simulated array of posts is identical to the feed probes with the equivalent circuit shown and the impedances of Eqs. (A-9), (A-10), and (A-11) where

$r = R \frac{B/l}{A/a}$ expressed in ohms for the A by B simulator section. Here R is equal to twice the coaxial termination.

APERTURE EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT

A simulated array of strip radiators, shown earlier in Fig. 3, has the equivalent circuit given in Fig. A-4 for each half-unit cell. R_p is the coaxial termination of each feed probe. The circuit is formed by combining the circuits of the L-junction and the feed probes. The slit-coupled L-junction and feed probes are separated by a length $(d_E - s)/2$ (characteristic impedance Z_{0E}) with a short-circuited length of guide shunted across the probes. Circuit values, normalized to guide impedances, are derived as previously discussed.




Fig. A-4 Equivalent Circuit, Array of Strip Radiators (H-plane scan)

The simulated strip radiator antenna model contains both waveguide components and coaxial elements (the exciting probe terminations). Absolute impedance concepts are ambiguous in waveguide, although not in coaxial, and a problem arises in assigning values to the normalizing impedances that appear in circuit expressions (Ref. 16). A somewhat arbitrary choice is to use plane waves in a waveguide simulator. Furthermore, it is convenient in this aperture model to consider antenna impedances on a half-unit cell basis so that one feed probe is included in each half-cell. Thus the normalizing impedances appearing in previous expressions are given by

$$Z'_O = \left(\frac{1}{2} d_E/d_H \right) \pi / \cos \theta_O, \text{ air-filled region} \quad (\text{A-12})$$

$$Z_{O\epsilon} = b/d_H \pi / \left(\sqrt{\epsilon_r} \cos \theta_\epsilon \right), \text{ dielectric-filled region, and} \quad (\text{A-13})$$

$$Z'_O = 1/Y'_O,$$

$$Z_{O\epsilon} = 1/Y_{O\epsilon}.$$

The impedance of free space per half-unit cell is given by Eq. (A-12).

The incident arrival angle of plane waves in the simulator (the simulated scan angle) and the arrival angle of plane waves in the aperture dielectric region are, of course, different. The two angles are related by

$$\theta_O = \sin^{-1} \lambda / \lambda_c. \quad (\text{A-14})$$

$$\theta_\epsilon = \sin^{-1} \lambda_\epsilon / \lambda_c. \quad (\text{A-15})$$

Ref. 16. C. C. Montgomery, R. H. Dicke, and E. M. Purcell, Principles of Microwave Circuits, Vol. 8, MIT Radiation Laboratory Series, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1948, pp. 66-67.

resulting in

$$\sin \theta_{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_r}} \sin \theta_o, \quad (\text{A-16})$$

where

$$\lambda_{\epsilon} = \lambda / \sqrt{\epsilon_r}.$$

Thus, the H-plane scanning model of an array of strip radiators, which is identical to that of the simulated aperture, has absolute circuit values referenced to a half-unit cell as written below. The previously derived circuit values have been denormalized using Eqs. (A-12) and (A-13), referenced to the H-plane scan angle through Eq. (A-16), and rewritten for convenience in the following equations in terms of strip width.

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 = \frac{8d_H}{\lambda} \cdot \frac{\epsilon_r - \sin^2 \theta_o}{\kappa} & \left(\left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2b}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{d_E}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\pi}{2} \left(1 - \frac{w}{d_E} \right) - \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{w}{d_E} \right)^2 \right] \right. \\ & + \left. \left(\epsilon_r - \sin^2 \theta_o \right) \left\{ \left(\frac{b}{\lambda} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{d_E}{2\lambda} \right)^2 \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{w}{d_E} \right)^2 \right]^4 \right\} \right. \\ & + \frac{1 - \sin^2 \theta_o}{\epsilon_r - \sin^2 \theta_o} \left\{ - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\pi}{2} \left(1 - \frac{w}{d_E} \right) + \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{w}{d_E} \right)^2 \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \left(\frac{d_E}{2\lambda} \right)^2 \left(1 - \sin^2 \theta_o \right) \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{w}{d_E} \right)^2 \right]^4 \right\} \right) \quad (\text{A-17}) \end{aligned}$$

$$B_2 = \frac{d_H}{\lambda} \left(\frac{d_E}{\lambda} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{b} \right)^2 \frac{\epsilon_r - \sin^2 \theta_o}{\kappa} \left(\frac{\pi}{4} \right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{w}{d_E} \right)^2 \quad (\text{A-18})$$

$$X_3 = \frac{b}{\lambda} \times \left(\frac{\pi d_1}{d_H} \right)^2 \quad (A-19)$$

$$X_4 = \frac{b}{\lambda} \times \left[\ln \frac{d_H}{\pi d_o} + \left(14.5 - 13.0 \sqrt{1 - \frac{\sin^2 \theta_o}{\epsilon_r}} \right) \left(\sqrt{\epsilon_r} \frac{d_H}{\lambda} \right)^2 \right] \quad (A-20)$$

$$Z_{oe} = \frac{b}{d_H} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\epsilon_r - \sin^2 \theta_o}} \quad (A-21)$$

$$\beta_e = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \right) \sqrt{\epsilon_r - \sin^2 \theta_o} \quad (A-22)$$

$$Z_{fs} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d_E}{d_H} \frac{\pi}{\cos \theta_o} \quad (A-23)$$

where $d_o = d$, $d_1 = d$ for circular posts,

$d_o = d'$, $d_1 = 0$ for coplanar ribbon posts, and

$d_o = d'$, $d_1 = 2d''$ for ribbon posts perpendicular to grating plane.

EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION

A computer program was written to calculate the aperture admittance for an array of strip radiators with circular probes. The array as constructed and analyzed had a 1.0-inch-thick aperture dielectric with $\epsilon_r = 2.5$. E- and H-plane spacings were 3.75 inches. The strip width was 3.5 inches, and excitation probes were separated by 2.75 inches. Probes in this aperture were No. 3-48 threaded brass rod sections, 7/64 inch in diameter,

screwed into tapped solder cups of type N connectors. The probe diameter was taken as 0.100 inch for the calculations.

Admittance measurements were performed in a waveguide simulator with E- and H-dimensions of 7.5 and 15.0 inches, respectively. Eight array elements were included in the simulation. Each element probe was terminated in 50 ohms. Predicted and measured admittance points over the frequency band of 0.5 to 1.0 GHz are shown on the Smith chart in Fig. A-5. Measured and predicted values are seen to be very close where the measured values are slightly more capacitive than calculated.




Fig. A-5 Aperture Admittance versus Frequency for Array of Strip Radiators

Appendix B

EFFECTS OF PROBE LOCATION ON ACTIVE ELEMENT IMPEDANCE OF ARRAY OF STRIP RADIATORS

The effects of moving the probes inward from the strip edges are found using the circuit of Fig. B-1a which represents one-half of the strip radiator immediately under the strip. Z_1 is that part of the active element impedance that is seen looking out from the strip edge. The length $l/2$ is the distance each probe is moved inward from the edges, and $s/2$ is the distance from strip center to probe. Transforming impedance Z_1 through $l/2$, paralleling the short-circuit stub, and adding in series the probe inductance, X_4 , results in the broadside active element impedance per probe. For small values of $l/2$, the transforming line may be approximated by a series inductance. The short-circuit stub may be approximated by a stub of length $w/2$ with a negative series inductance (length $l/2$ removed), resulting in the circuit shown in Fig. B-1b. In Fig. B-1c the stub is replaced by its lumped equivalent. The active element impedance at each probe is given by

$$Z_e = - \frac{(X_1 + X)(X_2 - X) + j R_1(X_2 - X)}{R_1 + j(X_1 + X_2)} + j X_4, \quad (B-1)$$

from which the resistance is found to be

$$R_e = \frac{R_1(X_2 - X)^2}{R_1^2 + (X_1 + X_2)^2}. \quad (B-2)$$

Letting $R(s)$ equal the resistance when the probes are separated by s , and dividing by the resistance $R(w)$ when the probes are at the strip edges results in

$$\frac{R(s)}{R(w)} = \left(1 - \frac{X}{X_2}\right)^2. \quad (B-3)$$




Fig. B-1 Circuit for Effects of Moving Probes Inward from Strip Edges

or

$$\frac{R(s)}{R(w)} = \frac{\tan(\beta_{\epsilon} l/2)}{\tan(\beta_{\epsilon} w/2)} \quad (B-4)$$

Approximating for small $\beta_{\epsilon} l/2$ and letting $l/2 = (w-s)/2$ results in

$$\frac{R(s)}{R(w)} \approx \left(1 - \frac{(1-s/w) \beta_{\epsilon} w/2}{\tan(\beta_{\epsilon} w/2)} \right)^2 \quad (B-5)$$

Appendix C

COMPUTER PROGRAM LISTING (CPS LANGUAGE) FOR STRIP RADIATOR ANALYSIS

The computer program listing for strip radiator analysis, in Conversational Program System (CPS) language, is given in the following pages.

- 81 -

```

610.  hh=et1**2/a1*(log(2*sqrt(r*hl)/(nl*d))+(nl*d))/(t**1/a1**2);
620.  c1=log(2*hl/(nl*d))*(nl*d)/(2*hl)**2/f;
630.  c2=(1-.5*(nl*d)/(2*hl)**2)**2;
640.  ber=2*et1**2/a1*(c1**2+(ber1/a1)**2**2);
650.  hen=2*et2**2/a1*(c1**2+(ber2/a1)**2**2);
660.  hta=377*(2*hh-.5*ber+.5*hen);
670.  ham=a/377*(nl*d)/(2*hl)**2*et1**2/a1;
680.  xh=-2*377/a1*(nl*d)/(1.414*hl)**2;
690.  xc=2*377/a1*(log(a/(nl*d**2))+(14.5-11*et1/sqrt(er1))*(a/a1)**2);
700.  IF ans='yes' THEN xc=xc-nl*d*(2*nl*d*et1/a1);
710.  bs=a/(2*377)*et1*cos(2*nl*d*et1/a1);
720.  bs=bs/sin(2*nl*d*et1/a1);
730.  /* Element Values Calculated */
740.  /* Resin Receive Impedance */
750.  xl=xh-1/bs;
760.  gl=r/(r**2*xc**2);
770.  hl=-xc/(r**2*xc**2)-1/x1;
780.  rl=r1/(r1**2*bl**2);
790.  xl=hl/(r1**2*hl**2)*xh;
800.  beta=2*nl*d/a1;
810.  zo=2*377/(a*et1);
820.  tan=cin(beta*(b-dn))/(cos(beta*(h-dn)));
830.  rl=rl/zo;
840.  xl=x1/zo;
850.  ix=2;
860.  CALL comp2(ix,r1,x1+tan,1-x1*tan,rl*tan,r,y1);
870.  rl=rl*zo;
880.  xl=x1*zo;
890.  gl=rl/(r1**2*x1**2);
900.  bl=-x1/(r1**2*x1**2)*ba+bt;
910.  vfs=acc/(b*377);
920.  rfs=r1/vfs;
930.  hfs=hl/vfs;
940.  rfs=rfs/(rfs**2+hfs**2);
950.  xfs=-hfs/(rfs**2+hfs**2);
960.  /* End of Receive */
970.  /* Resin Transmit */
980.  gl=vfs;
990.  bl=ht+ba;
1000.  rl=rl/(r1**2*hl**2)/zo;
1010.  xl=-hl/(r1**2*hl**2)/zo;
1020.  CALL comp2(ix,r1,x1+tan,1-x1*tan,r1*tan,r1,y1);
1030.  rl=rl*zo;
1040.  xl=x1*zo*xh;
1050.  pl=rl/(r1**2*x1**2);
1060.  bl=-x1/(r1**2*x1**2)-1/(xh-1/hc);
1070.  rxm=pl/(r1**2*hl**2)/r;
1080.  xxm=(-hl/(r1**2*hl**2)*xc)/r;
1090.  pxm=rxm/(rxm**2+xxm**2);
1100.  bxm=-xxm/(rxm**2+xxm**2);

```

THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY
APPLIED PHYSICS LABORATORY
SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND

```

1110.      /* End of Transmit */
1120.      rhosqrt(((rfs-1)*2+xf*2)/((rfs+1)*2+yf*2));
1130.      vsqr=(1+rho)/(1-rho);
1140.      s1,s2,s3,s4=+1;
1150.      IF xxm<0 THEN s1=-1;
1160.      IF xxm<0 THEN s2=-1;
1170.      IF xfs<0 THEN s3=-1;
1180.      IF bfs<0 THEN s4=-1;
1190.      PUT IMAGE(amp1*57.3,rxm,s1,abs(xm),vcur,rfs,s3,abs(yfs),yfs,s4,abs(bfs))(11);
1200.      IMAGE;
1210.      IF ans2=1 vs1=abs(cph*theta/57.3 THEN ans1=theta/57.3; ELSE GO TO #3;
1220.      GO TO #2;
1230.      #3:      END #3;
1240.      GO TO #1;
1250.      comp2:  PROGNIDE (ind,cna,cnb,cnc,cnd,cne,cnf);
1260.      /* ind=1 - Mult, ind=2 - Div */
1270.      IF ind=2 THEN GO TO div;
1280.      cna=cna+cnc-cnb*cnd;
1290.      cna=cna+cnd+cnb*cnc;
1300.      RETURN;
1310.      div:    cna=(cna*cnc+cnb*cnd)/(cnc*cnd*cna);
1320.      cna=(cna*cnd+cnb*cnc)/(cnc*cnd*cna);
1330.      RETURN;
1340.      END comp2;

```

Appendix D

COMPUTER PRINT OUT - ARRAY OF STRIP RADIATORS UNDER H-PLANE SCAN CONDITIONS

Rectangular Spacing - Dimensions in inches
Probes: | | | | |
H-Plane Spacing=
a
3.75
E-Plane Spacing=
b
3.75
Strip Width=
w
3.1
Relative Dielectric Constant=
er
2.5
Dielectric Thickness=
t
1.5
Probe Separation=
dp
2.75
Probe Diameter or Width=
s
1.200
Is there a series capacitor- "yes" or "no"- use single quote around answer
ans
'yes'
Series Capacitance in pf=
cap
2.2
Are simulator angles to be included-"yes" or "no"-use single quote around answer
ans2
'yes'
Simulator Width=
SW
15.0
Theta
Deg
Freq=
f
15

	Z	Normalized Transmit	Y	VSUP	7	Normalized Receive	V
0.	1.45 -j	0.32	0.66 +j	0.15	1.58	1.11 -i	0.47
10.	1.42 -j	0.29	0.68 +j	0.14	1.53	1.13 -i	0.43
20.	1.30 -j	0.21	0.75 +j	0.12	1.39	1.16 -i	0.31
30.	1.12 -j	0.12	0.88 +j	0.10	1.19	1.14 -i	0.10
40.	0.89 -j	0.06	1.11 +j	0.08	1.16	1.01 +i	0.13
50.	0.66 -j	0.05	1.50 +j	0.11	1.52	0.76 +i	0.28
52.	0.62 -j	0.05	1.60 +j	0.13	1.62	0.71 +i	0.29
60.	0.45 -j	0.07	2.16 +j	0.33	2.22	0.50 +i	0.30

THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY
APPLIED PHYSICS LABORATORY
SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND

Freq=										
f										
Δ6										
0.	2.35	-j	0.72	0.39	+j	0.12	2.62	0.41	-i	0.26
10.	2.39	-j	0.64	0.39	+i	0.10	2.50	0.42	-i	0.26
20.	2.47	-j	0.37	0.40	+j	0.06	2.53	0.42	-i	0.23
30.	2.48	+j	0.10	0.40	-i	0.02	2.48	0.42	-i	0.19
40.	2.26	+j	0.69	0.41	-i	0.12	2.51	0.41	-i	0.14
41.	2.22	+j	0.74	0.41	-i	0.14	2.52	0.41	-i	0.14
50.	1.77	+j	1.16	0.40	-i	0.26	2.73	0.37	-i	0.08
60.	1.19	+j	1.38	0.36	-i	0.42	3.33	0.30	-i	0.03
Freq=										
f										
Δ7										
0.	1.63	-j	1.13	0.41	+j	0.29	2.66	0.39	-i	0.09
10.	1.70	-j	1.13	0.41	+j	0.27	2.67	0.38	-i	0.09
20.	1.93	-j	1.11	0.39	+j	0.22	2.71	0.37	-i	0.07
30.	2.37	-j	0.98	0.36	+j	0.15	2.84	0.35	-i	0.04
34.	2.62	-j	0.85	0.35	+j	0.11	2.94	0.34	-i	0.02
40.	3.07	-j	0.54	0.32	+j	0.06	3.13	0.32	-i	0.00
50.	3.62	+j	0.56	0.27	-j	0.04	3.71	0.27	+i	0.02
60.	3.36	+j	2.16	0.21	-j	0.14	4.84	0.21	+i	0.04
Freq=										
f										
Δ8										
0.	0.95	-j	0.64	0.72	+j	0.49	1.92	0.52	-i	0.04
10.	0.99	-j	0.67	0.69	+i	0.47	1.93	0.52	-i	0.02
20.	1.17	-j	0.74	0.62	+j	0.41	2.00	0.50	+i	0.03
29.	1.36	-j	0.85	0.53	+j	0.33	2.16	0.46	+i	0.06
30.	1.38	-j	0.86	0.52	+j	0.32	2.15	0.46	+i	0.06
40.	1.88	-j	1.01	0.41	+j	0.22	2.56	0.40	+i	0.11
50.	2.82	-j	1.00	0.31	+j	0.12	3.29	0.31	+i	0.13
60.	4.56	-j	0.59	0.22	+j	0.03	4.54	0.22	+i	0.12
Freq=										
f										
Δ9										
0.	0.62	-j	0.02	1.62	+j	0.04	1.62	0.71	-i	0.28
10.	0.64	-j	0.04	1.56	+i	0.09	1.57	0.72	-i	0.26
20.	0.71	-j	0.11	1.38	+j	0.21	1.45	0.74	-i	0.18
26.	0.78	-j	0.18	1.22	+j	0.28	1.38	0.74	-i	0.11
30.	0.84	-j	0.24	1.10	+j	0.31	1.36	0.74	-i	0.05
40.	1.09	-j	0.46	0.78	+j	0.33	1.56	0.66	+i	0.11
50.	1.53	-j	0.81	0.51	+j	0.27	2.15	0.49	+i	0.21
60.	2.39	-j	1.39	0.31	+j	0.18	3.31	0.32	+i	0.22
Freq=										
f										
Δ10										
0.	0.45	+j	0.58	0.84	-i	1.09	3.17	0.45	-i	0.58
10.	0.46	+j	0.56	0.88	-i	1.07	2.99	0.47	-i	0.58
20.	0.50	+j	0.50	1.00	-i	1.00	2.52	0.54	-i	0.58
23.	0.52	+j	0.47	1.06	-i	0.96	2.47	0.58	-i	0.57
30.	0.58	+j	0.39	1.19	-i	0.81	2.10	0.68	-i	0.54
40.	0.71	+j	0.21	1.30	-j	0.38	1.52	0.85	-i	0.36
50.	0.92	-j	0.10	1.07	+j	0.11	1.14	0.88	-i	0.01
60.	1.27	-j	0.61	0.64	+j	0.31	1.79	0.61	+i	0.25



Appendix E

SATURATED OUTPUT POWER AND EFFICIENCY OF RF TRANSISTORS OPERATING IN CLASS C

In this appendix we consider the transistor amplifier in Fig. E-1 where the transistor is assumed to have the idealized collector characteristics shown. Operation is in class B at the threshold of saturation, and the load is such that all frequencies other than the fundamental are optimally terminated in a short circuit (Ref. 17). Although class B operation is considered in this idealized circuit, a practical transistor would be just over the threshold into class C operation. The expressions developed here are assumed to be approximately valid for practical circuits.

For the idealized circuit the collector current under class B operation is given by


$$\left. \begin{aligned} i_c &= I \sin \omega_o t, \quad 2n\pi < \omega_o t < (2n+1)\pi \\ &= 0, \quad (2n+1)\pi < \omega_o t < (2n+2)\pi \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (\text{E-1})$$

A Fourier series expansion results in


$$i_c = I \left(\frac{1}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \sin \omega_o t - \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=2,4,6,\dots} \frac{1}{n^2 - 1} \cos n \omega_o t \right), \quad n = 2, 4, 6, \dots \quad (\text{E-2})$$

At the threshold of saturated class B operation the collector voltage swings to a minimum level of v_{sat} . Since all harmonic components of the voltage are terminated by a short circuit, the collector voltage has only DC and fundamental frequency components, as given by

Ref. 17. D. M. Snider, "A Theoretical Analysis and Experimental Confirmation of the Optimally Loaded and Overdriven RF Power Amplifier," IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, Vol. ED-14, December 1967, pp. 851-857.



(a) Grounded Base RF Amplifier



(b) Transistor Collector Characteristics
(grounded base)

Fig. E-1 Schematic of RF Amplifier and Transistor Collector Characteristics

$$v_c = V_{cc} + (V_{cc} - v_{sat}) \sin(\omega_o t + \varphi) . \quad (E-3)$$

The relation between the fundamental components of collector current ($I/2$) and collector voltage is given by

$$I/2 = (V_{cc} - v_{sat}) |Y_L| \quad (E-4)$$

where

$$Y_L = G_L + jB_L \text{ at the fundamental frequency.}$$

Transistor saturated output power is found from the collector voltage across the real part of the load (DC blocked):

$$P_{RF} = 1/2 (V_{cc} - v_{sat})^2 G_L \quad (E-5)$$

The DC power supplied by the power supply is the product of supply voltage and DC current:

$$P_{DC} = \frac{1}{\pi} I V_{cc} \quad (E-6)$$

Substituting from Eq. (E-4) yields

$$P_{DC} = \frac{2}{\pi} V_{cc} (V_{cc} - v_{sat}) |Y_L| \quad (E-7)$$

The collector efficiency in a transistor amplifier is given by

$$\eta_c = P_{RF}/P_{DC} . \quad (E-8)$$

Substituting from Eqs. (E-5) and (E-6) results in

$$\eta_c = \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{V_{cc} - v_{sat}}{V_{cc}} |G_L/Y_L| . \quad (E-9)$$

or

$$x_c = \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{V_{cc} - v_{sat}}{V_{cc}} \sqrt{1 + (B_L / G_L)^2} . \quad (E-10)$$

NOMENCLATURE

A	= waveguide simulator width
A_{eff}	= effective antenna aperture area
a	= spacing between gratings
b	= dielectric thickness, dielectric-filled guide height
b'	= height of coupled guide
B	= waveguide simulator height
B_1	= capacitive susceptance in aperture equivalent circuit
B_2	= inductive susceptance in aperture equivalent circuit
B_a	= inductive susceptance, partially filled L-junction
B_a'	= inductive susceptance, partially filled T-junction
B_{ae}	= inductive susceptance, dielectric-filled T-junction
B_b'	= capacitive susceptance, partially filled T-junction
B_{be}	= capacitive susceptance, dielectric-filled T-junction
B_c	= susceptance of symmetrical iris in air-filled guide
B_{ce}	= susceptance of symmetrical iris in dielectric-filled guide
B_g	= capacitive susceptance, partially filled L-junction
B_L	= transistor amplifier load susceptance

$B(\theta)$	= dielectric sheet susceptance
β_{ϵ}	= phase constant in dielectric
d	= circular probe diameter
d'	= rectangular probe width
d''	= rectangular probe thickness
d_E	= E-plane spacing
d_H	= H-plane spacing
E	= electric field
ϵ_o	= free-space permittivity
ϵ_r	= relative dielectric constant
η_c	= collector efficiency
θ	= plane wave incident angle relative to longitudinal direction
θ_c	= electrical length for balun cavity center to end
θ_{ϵ}	= plane wave incident angle relative to longitudinal direction in dielectric
θ_o	= simulated scan angle
θ_s	= electrical length of balun compensating line
φ	= phase between collector current and voltage
G_L	= transistor amplifier load conductance
g	= coupling slit width
h	= substrate thickness
I	= peak collector current
i_c	= instantaneous collector current
i_e	= emitter current
n	= 120π , intrinsic impedance of free space
λ	= free-space wavelength
λ_c	= waveguide cut-off wavelength

λ_e	= wavelength in dielectric
λ_g	= waveguide wavelength in air-filled guide
λ_{ge}	= waveguide wavelength in dielectric-filled guide
P_{DC}	= DC power
P_{RF}	= RF output power
R	= grating resistors
R_1	= resistive part of Z_1
R_e	= resistive part of active element impedance
R_p	= coaxial termination
r	= equivalent circuit resistance
$R(s)$	= resistive part of active element impedance at probe separation, s
$R(w)$	= resistive part of active element impedance at probe separation, w (strip edge)
s	= probe separation
t	= time
T, T'	= reference planes
v_c	= instantaneous collector voltage
V_{cc}	= supply voltage
v_{sat}	= saturation voltage
V_{SWR}	= voltage standing wave ratio
w	= strip width
X	= series reactance due to transformation length
X_{ae}	= grating inductive reactance in dielectric medium
X_1	= reactance of Z_1
X_2	= reactance of short circuit stub $w/2$ in length
X_3	= probe capacitive reactance

X_4	= probe inductive reactance
X_a	= grating inductive reactance in free space
X_b	= grating capacitive reactance in free space
X_{be}	= grating capacitive reactance in dielectric medium
Y_L	= transistor amplifier load admittance
Y_o'	= characteristic admittance, air-filled guide of height b'
Y_{oe}	= characteristic admittance, dielectric-filled guide of height b
Y_{oe}'	= characteristic admittance, guide of height b'
Z_1	= aperture impedance looking out from strip edge
Z_c	= balun cavity characteristic impedance
Z_e	= active element impedance at each probe
Z_{fs}	= free space impedance per unit half-cell at scanned angle
Z_{in}	= balun input impedance
Z_L	= impedance of each balun output line
Z_o'	= characteristic impedance, air-filled guide
Z_{oe}	= characteristic impedance in dielectric-filled region
Z_s	= impedance of balun compensating stub
ω	= frequency, rad/s

REFERENCES

1. J. L. Allen, "On Array Element Impedance Variation With Spacing," IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. AP-12, May 1964, pp. 371-372.
2. E. V. Byron, "A New Flush Mounted Antenna Element for Phased Array Application," Proceedings of the Phased-Array Antenna Symposium, 1970, pp. 187-192.
3. E. V. Byron, Antenna Aperture Design for Project Camel, APL/JHU TG 1101, February 1970.
4. G. J. Laughlin, E. V. Byron, and T. C. Cheston, "Very Wideband Phased-Array Antenna," IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. AP-20, November 1972, pp. 699-704.
5. P. W. Hannan and M. A. Balfour, "Simulation of a Phased-Array Antenna in Waveguide," IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. AP-13, May 1965, pp. 342-353.
6. N. Marchand, "Transmission-Line Conversion," Electronics, Vol. 17, December 1944, pp. 142-145.
7. W. K. Roberts, "A New Wideband Balun," Proceedings of the IRE, December 1957, pp. 1628-1632.
8. J. W. McLaughlin, D. A. Dunn, and R. W. Grow, "A Wideband Balun," IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Vol. MTT-6, July 1958, pp. 314-316.

9. R. Bawer and J. J. Wolfe, "A Printed Circuit Balun for Use With Spiral Antennas," IRE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Vol. MTT-8, May 1960, pp. 319-325.
10. G. Oltman, "The Compensated Balun," IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Vol. MTT-14, March 1966, pp. 112-119.
11. H. R. Phelan, "A Wideband Parallel-Connected Balun," IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Vol. MTT-18, May 1970, pp. 259-263.
12. G. J. Laughlin, Patent 3,827,001, July 1974.
13. E. G. Magill and H. A. Wheeler, "Wide-Angle Impedance Matching of a Phased Array Antenna by a Dielectric Sheet," IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. AP-14, January 1966, pp. 49-53.
14. W. H. Leighton, R. J. Chaffin, and J. G. Webb, "RF Amplifier Design With Large Signal S-Parameters," IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Vol. MTT-21, December 1973, pp. 809-814.
15. N. Marcuvitz, Waveguide Handbook, Vol. 10, MIT Radiation Laboratory Series, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1951.
16. C. G. Montgomery, R. H. Dicke, and E. M. Purcell, Principles of Microwave Circuits, Vol. 8, MIT Radiation Laboratory Series, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1948, pp. 66-67.
17. D. M. Snider, "A Theoretical Analysis and Experimental Confirmation of the Optimally Loaded and Overdriven RF Power Amplifier," IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, Vol. ED-14, December 1967, pp. 851-857.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION EXTERNAL TO THE APPLIED PHYSICS LABORATORY*

The work reported in TG 1278 was done under Navy Contract N00017-72-C-4401. This work is related to Task A63A, which is supported by NAVSEASYSKOM (SEA-0341).

ORGANIZATION	LOCATION	ATTENTION	No. of Copies
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE			
DDC	Alexandria, Va.		12
<u>Department of the Navy</u>			
NAVSEA	Washington, D. C.	SEA-0341 (S. A. Barham, T. Tasaka) SEA-652B (R. T. Hill) SEA-09G3	2 1 2
NRL	Washington, D. C.	L. Whicker	1
NELC	San Diego, Cal.	J. H. Provencher	1
NSEC	Washington, D. C.	R. D. Lending	1
NAVAIR	Washington, D. C.	AIR-50174	2
NAVPRO	Silver Spring, Md.		1
CONTRACTORS			
MIT Lincoln Laboratory	Lexington, Mass.	C. Blake	1
Hughes Aircraft Co.	Fullerton, Cal.	J. Ajlaka	1
RCA Missile & Surface Radar Division	Moorestown, N. J.	W. Patton	1
Raytheon	Santa Barbara, Cal.	D. Archer	1
Sperry Gyroscope Division	Great Neck, N. Y.	L. Schwartzman	1
Requests for copies of this report from DoD activities and contractors should be directed to DDC, Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia 22314 using DDC Form 1 and, if necessary, DDC Form 55.			

*Initial distribution of this document within the Applied Physics Laboratory has been made in accordance with a list on file in the APL Technical Publications Group.

Notices of availability of this report have been sent to the following addressees, who comprise the distribution list for Quarterly Report Research and Development Programs at The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, January-March 1975, APL/JHU C-RQR/75-1. They are not sent to offices listed in the initial distribution.

ORGANIZATION	LOCATION	ATTENTION
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DDR&E Dir., WSEG Tactical Technology Info. Analysis Ctr. DDC Information Analysis Ctr., CIA Defense Mapping Agency, Aerospace Ctr. <u>Department of the Navy</u> <u>Department Offices</u> CNO NAVSEASYSOM	Washington, D.C. Arlington, Va. Columbus, Ohio Alexandria, Va. Silver Spring, Md. St. Louis, Mo. Washington, D.C. Washington, D.C.	Capt. R. C. Avrit Nancy Hall PRA RDC OP-60 SF A-00 SF A-03 SF A-030 (J. Huth) SF A-032

ORGANIZATION	LOCATION	ATTENTION
<u>Department of the Army (cont'd)</u>		
<u>Centers</u>		
Redstone Scientific Info. Ctr.	Huntsville, Ala.	Chief, Doc. Section
<u>Laboratories</u>		
Army Ballistic Research Labs.	Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md.	AMXBR-XM-SE
<u>Arsenals</u>		
Hq., Picatinny Arsenal	Dover, N.J.	STINFO
U.S. GOVERNMENT AGENCIES		
<u>Security Agencies</u>		
Central Intelligence Agency National Security Agency	Washington, D.C. Ft. Meade, Md.	CSR/ADD/Sid. Dist. C3/TDL
<u>National Aero. and Space Admin.</u>		
Headquarters Hq., Office of Space Sciences	Washington, D.C. Washington, D.C.	RAP: N. Rekos (Code RLC) M. J. Aucremanne (Code SG)
Langley Res. Ctr.	Hampton, Va.	K. F. Rubert J. Henry E. Lezberg J. Nugent (Prop.) F. Pivle 228.7
Lewis Res. Ctr.	Cleveland, Ohio	
Flight Res. Ctr.	Edwards AFB, Cal.	
Ames Res. Ctr.	Moffett Field, Cal.	
NASA Scientific and Technical Information Facility	College Park, Md.	J. Waldo
Goddard Space Flight Ctr.	Greenbelt, Md.	Library - 252
CONTRACTORS		
Automation Industries/Vitro Labs. Div.	Silver Spring, Md.	Report Acquis. ISA-14

ORGANIZATION	LOCATION	ATTENTION
<u>Department of the Navy (cont'd)</u>		
NAVSEASYSOM (cont'd)	Washington, D.C.	SEA-032A SEA-033 SEA-0331A SEA-034 SEA-034B SEA-0462 SEA-060 SEA-0903 SEA-5513 SEA-6512 SEA-653 SEA-654 SEA-6541 SEA-6542 SEA-6543 SEA-65431 SEA-65432 PMS 304-20 PMS 403-40 PMS-404 PMS 404-032 PMS-405 NMAT-03 NMAT-031 NMAT-031.1 (Capt. Keach) NMAT-032
CNM	Washington, D.C.	AIR-00 AIR-03 AIR-03B AIR-03C AIR-330 AIR-5108 AIR-520A AIR-520C AIR-5203 AIR-535 AIR-50174
NAVAIRSYSOM	Washington, D.C.	SSP-20 SSP-24 SSP-27 SSP-272
Strategic Systems Project Office	Washington, D.C.	PME 106
NAVELEXSYSCOM, Navy Space Projects Office	Washington, D.C.	463
NAVPRO	Silver Spring, Md.	
Office of Naval Research	Washington, D.C.	
<u>Laboratories</u>		
Naval Surface Weapons Ctr.	White Oak, Md.	Library NOI-034
	Dahlgren, Va.	MIL DR:Colby
NRL	Washington, D.C.	2027 6500 (W. H. Sooy) 6506 (H. Gandy)

ORGANIZATION	LOCATION	ATTENTION
<u>Department of the Navy (cont'd)</u>		
<u>Facilities</u>		
NEODF Naval Ordnance Station NSWSES	Indian Head, Md. Indian Head, Md. Port Huenehue, Cal.	Tech. Library Tech. Library, Code 5100 Eng. Directorate, Code 4000 Talos Dept., Code 4200
<u>Schools</u>		
U. S. Naval Academy Naval Postgraduate School Naval Guided Missile School Pac. Flt. Missile Weap. Sys. Tng. Unit Mare Is. Nav. Schools Command	Annapolis, Md. Monterey, Cal. Dam Neck, Va. San Diego, Cal. Vallejo, Cal.	Weapons Dept. Library, Tech. Rpts. Sec. Library Library Guided Missile School
<u>Centers</u>		
Naval Intelligence Support Ctr. NSRDC NWC	Washington, D.C. Washington, D.C. China Lake, Cal.	Aerodyn. Lab. Libr. 408 45 Tech. Library, 753 Tech. Library NAPEC
NEIC NOSC, Naval Ammo. Depot	San Diego, Cal. Crane, Ind.	
<u>Department of the Air Force</u>		
<u>Department Offices</u>		
Hq. USAF	Washington, D.C.	AFRDD
<u>Laboratories</u>		
Aero. Propulsion Lab. Avionics Lab. Rocket & Propulsion Lab.	WPAFB, Ohio WPAFB, Ohio Edwards AFB, Cal.	F. D. Stull AVO-2 RPMMM MKCO
<u>Centers</u>		
Arnold Eng'g Devel. Ctr. (AFSC) Hq., AF Special Communications Ctr.	Arnold AFS, Tenn. San Antonio, Texas	DSC/Research, AER TDT
<u>Commands</u>		
Air Force Systems Command Air University	Andrews AFB, Md. Maxwell AFB, Ala.	SCTSP Tech. Libr./DPSL Tech. Library
<u>Department of the Army</u>		
<u>Commands</u>		
Missile Command	Redstone Arsenal, Ala.	AMCPM-MDEM