

ADA 027 179

12

IMPROVED PROCEDURES FOR DETECTING
SEISMIC SOURCE DEPTHS FROM
DEPTH PHASE INFORMATION

QUARTERLY REPORT

Edward A. Page

February 1976

Sponsored by:

Advanced Research Project Agency
ARPA Order No. 2251

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE.
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

DDC
RECEIVED
JUL 22 1976
A

16 April 1973

F234.7

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FORMS

F-200.1473 DD Form 1473: Report Documentation Page

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. AGENCY'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. DATE OF REPORT OR PERIOD COVERED
Improved Procedures for Determining Seismic Source Depths from Depth Phase Information		Quarterly Report, 1 Dec 75-29 Feb 76
6. AUTHOR(s)		7. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
Edward/Page		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK, AND MONITORING NUMBER
ENSCO, Inc., 8001 Forbes Pl., Springfield, Va. 22151		11. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
12. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
DCASD Baltimore, 300 E. Joppa Road, Rm. 200, Towson, Md. 21204		14. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
15. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		16. DECLASSIFICATION/UPGRADING SCHEDULE
VELA Seismological Center 312 Montgomery St., Alexandria, Va. 22314		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.		
18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
19. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
20. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
Seismic source depth, depth phase, echo detection		
21. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
Seismic source depth determination techniques, developed under two previous contracts, were formulated into an automated analysis procedure. Differential travel time information, for several propagation modes relevant to the analysis, have been made accessible through 3-D curve fitting.		

DD FORM 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

F-200.1473

ARMED SERVICES PROCUREMENT REGULATION

426 167 LB

AFTAC Project No.	VELA T/6710
ARPA Order No.	2551
ARPA Program Code No.	6F10
Name of Contractor	ENSCO, INC.
Contract No.	F08606-76-C-0003
Effective Date of Contract	September 1, 1975
Reporting Period	December 1, 1975 February 29, 1976
Amount of Contract	\$59,998
Contract Expiration Date	June 30, 1976
Project Scientist	Edward Page 703/321-9000

A

Introduction and Summary

During the second quarter of this contract the automated seismic source depth analysis procedure was further developed and applied to seismic data. This analysis was focused on determining whether the use of the later seismic phases significantly contributed to the ability to obtain depth determinations. It was demonstrated that this analysis procedure does make constructive use of the depth phase information contained throughout the coda. This ability to utilize this additional depth phase information will enable source depth determination for events having poorer signal/noise ratios and/or recorded at fewer stations, than is previously possible.

The Illinois Event of November 9, 1968 was analyzed to produce depth estimates using different portions of the coda and using depth phase information arriving from individual seismic phases (P, PP, PPP or PcP). The success of these results as well as correct depth estimates obtained using individual stations for which previous cepstrum analysis was ineffective, indicates the potential of the depth determination analysis procedure. We now discuss these results in more detail.

Major Accomplishments

The seismic source depth determination procedure flow chart in Figure 1 has been further applied to the Illinois Event for the purpose of determining whether the depth phase information contained in the later seismic phase is significantly enhancing ones ability to extract seismic source depths. In Figure 2 is a portion of the






Figure 1




FB-AK
42°

← 10 sec. →




NP-NT
40°




WHZYK
36°



PGZBC
27°



MN-NV
24°




KN-NT
19°

Figure 2

data analyzed. Even though it is possible to visually detect the depth phase for this event, the results of the analysis will clearly demonstrate the enhanced ability of this procedure to extract depth phase information and to determine source depths from data in which conventional analysis would fail.

In Figure 3 are the cepstrums computed from consecutive 12.8 second data samples along the coda of an individual station recording of the Illinois Event. This shows complex and changing cepstrum patterns, each containing depth phase information which the analysis procedure constructively utilizes. We will show that by using the data contained in only the later portion of the seismic coda that depth estimates can be extracted. If more depth phase information is utilized, depth estimates will be obtained for events having poorer signal/noise ratios and/or for events recorded at fewer stations. We next discuss the source depth estimate obtained using various portions of the seismic data for the Illinois Event and demonstrate that the automated analysis procedure is constructively utilizing depth phase information associated with later seismic arrivals.

In Figure 4 is plotted the cumulative CMF output versus depth computed by the automated analysis procedure for two and one half minutes of data recorded at 6 stations for the Illinois Event. The correct event depth is clearly indicated by the dominant peak at ~25 km. In Figure 5 is the same analysis except for the omission of the first minute of data. The correct depth is again indicated by a dominant peak originating from the PP, PPP and PcP seismic phases. Thus, it is possible to extract depth estimates from only data far into the coda.



Cepstra Calculated for Consecutive
12.8 Second Data Samples Along Coda
(50% Sample Overlap) for Illinois
Event Station 4H2YK.

Figure 3

CMF OUTPUT VERSUS DEPTH USING 2 MINUTES OF DATA FOR ALL
SIX STATIONS FOR ALL MODES COMBINED (ILLINOIS EVENT)




Figure 4

CMF OUTPUT VERSUS DEPTH USING THE 2ND MINUTE OF DATA
FROM ALL SIX STATIONS (ILLINOIS EVENT)




Figure 5

In Figure 6a through 6d are cumulative CMF outputs versus depth in km obtained from differential travel times associated with the individual seismic phases P, PP, PPP and PcP. In each of these cases the correct depth of ~25 km is obtained and further demonstrates the ability of this automated depth analysis procedure to extract useful depth phase information from the later seismic phases. The consistency of the stored differential travel times is indicated by the degree to which these depth estimates agree.

The ability to utilize the additional depth phase information available in the coda also enhances ones ability to obtain accurate depth estimates from fewer stations or even individual stations. This is partially due to the fact that the normal station moveout of the depth phase required to identify the depth phase occurs along the coda with the different seismic phases for a single seismogram. In Figure 7 is the cumulative CMF output versus depth for the station PGZBC which, as can be seen in Figure 2, is one station for which the depth phase is not usually apparent. A reasonable detection of the correct depth is obtained even in this case by this analysis procedure. A more typical result for the analysis of an individual station for the Illinois Event is shown in Figure 8 where a very clear detection of the event depth is obtained. The results shown in both Figures 7 and 8 cannot be obtained using a cepstrum analysis without incorporating the later phase travel times. The results discussed in this report verify the geophysical assumptions and analysis techniques which we have incorporated into this automated depth extraction procedure and there is every reason to believe that this approach will significantly increase the percentage of events for which accurate source depth estimates can be obtained.

CMF OUTPUT VERSUS DEPTH USING 2 MINUTES OF DATA FOR ALL
SIX STATIONS FOR P MODE ONLY (ILLINOIS EVENT)




Figure 6a

CMF OUTPUT VERSUS DEPTH USING 2 MINUTES OF DATA FOR ALL
SIX STATIONS FOR PP MODE ONLY (ILLINOIS EVENT)




Figure 6b

CMF OUTPUT VERSUS DEPTH USING 2 MINUTES OF DATA FOR ALL
SIX STATIONS FOR PPP MODE ONLY (ILLINOIS EVENT)




Figure 6c

CMF OUTPUT VERSUS DEPTH USING 2 MINUTES OF DATA FOR ALL
SIX STATIONS FOR PCP MODE ONLY (ILLINOIS EVENT)




Figure 6d

CMF OUTPUT USING 1 MINUTE OF DATA FOR STATION (PGZBC)




Figure 1

CMF OUTPUT USING 1 MINUTE OF DATA FOR STATION (FB-AK)




Figure 8