

STORAGE THEORY AND THE SUPREMA OF CERTAIN  
INFINITELY DIVISIBLE PROCESSES

by

J. MICHAEL HARRISON

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 63

MAY 1976

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT

N00014-76-C-0418 (NR-047-061)

FOR THE OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Frederick S. Hillier, Project Director

Reproduction in Whole or in Part is Permitted  
for any Purpose of the United States Government

This document has been approved for public release  
and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

This research was supported in part by  
NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION GRANT ENG 75-14748  
(formerly NSF GK-35491)

DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA

AD-A030648

ACCESSION for	
NTIS	White Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC	Buff Section <input type="checkbox"/>
UNCLASSIFIED	
RESTRICTION	
BY _____	
DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY CODE	
Dist.	Avail. and. of Sp. Data
A	

STORAGE THEORY AND THE SUPREMA OF CERTAIN  
INFINITELY DIVISIBLE PROCESSES

by

J. MICHAEL HARRISON

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 63

MAY 1976

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT

N00014-76-C-0418 (NR-047-061)

FOR THE OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Frederick S. Hillier, Project Director

Reproduction in Whole or in Part is Permitted  
for any Purpose of the United States Government

This document has been approved for public release  
and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

This research was supported in part by  
NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION GRANT ENG 75-14748  
(formerly NSF GK-35491)

DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA

ACCESSION for	
NTIS	White Section
DTIC	Blue Section
UNCLASSIFIED	
RESTRICTED	
BY	
DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY CODE	
1	2
3	4
A	

STORAGE THEORY AND THE SUPREMA OF CERTAIN  
INFINITELY DIVISIBLE PROCESSES

J. Michael Harrison  
Graduate School of Business  
Stanford University

1. Introduction

Let  $X = \{X(t), t \geq 0\}$  be a process with stationary, independent increments (an infinitely divisible process) and

$$\begin{aligned} -E[X(t)] &= \mu t, & \text{where } 0 < \mu < \infty, \\ \text{Var}[X(t)] &= \sigma^2 t, & \text{where } 0 < \sigma^2 < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \geq 0$ . We assume that  $X$  is continuous in probability with paths that are right continuous and have left limits. We further assume that  $X$  has no negative jumps, so the Laplace transform of  $X(t)$  exists and has the form

$$(1) \quad E[e^{-\alpha X(t)}] = e^{\Phi(\alpha)t} \quad \text{for } \alpha > 0 \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

The exponent function  $\Phi(\alpha)$  can be uniquely represented in the form

$$(2) \quad \Phi(\alpha) = \mu\alpha + \sigma^2 \int_{[0, \infty)} x^{-2} (e^{-\alpha x} - 1 + \alpha x) G(dx),$$

where  $G(\cdot)$  is a probability distribution on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying

$$(3) \quad \int_{(0, \infty)} x^{-1} G(dx) < \infty ,$$

cf. Gnedenko (1968), pp. 323-328. From (2) and (3) it follows easily that  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is convex and strictly increasing with

$$(4) \quad \Phi(0) = 0, \quad \Phi'(0) = \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi''(0) = \sigma^2 .$$

Thus for each  $\alpha > 0$  there exists a unique  $\omega(\alpha) > 0$  such that

$$(5) \quad \Phi(\omega(\alpha)) = \alpha \quad (\alpha > 0) .$$

We define

$$M(t) = \sup\{X(s): 0 \leq s \leq t\}, \quad m(t) = - \inf\{X(s): 0 \leq s \leq t\}$$

for  $t \geq 0$ . It is known that  $-X(t)/t \rightarrow \mu$  almost surely as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , so  $M = \lim M(t)$  is almost surely finite. Let  $H(\cdot)$  be the distribution function (d.f.) of  $M$ . We define the first passage times (recall that  $X$  has no negative jumps)

$$\theta(x) = \inf\{t \geq 0: X(t) + x = 0\} , \quad x \geq 0$$

The following proposition was proved by Zolotarev (1964) using analytical methods. An alternate proof, using combinatorial methods, is given by Takacs (1967), p. 88. Yet another (and I think simpler) proof is easily constructed from the well known fact that

$$Z(t) = e^{-\omega(\alpha)X(t) - \alpha t} , \quad t \geq 0 ,$$

is a martingale.

Proposition 1:  $E[e^{-\alpha\theta(x)}] = e^{-\omega(\alpha)x}$  for  $x \geq 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$ .

The central purpose of this paper is to prove by elementary means that the Laplace transform of  $M$  is given by  $\mu\alpha/\Phi(\alpha)$ . This beautiful result, which greatly generalizes the famous Pollaczek-Khinchine formula of queuing theory, is also due to Zolotarev (1964), and again an alternate combinatorial proof has been given by Takacs (1967), p. 86. Our approach relies heavily on the close relationship between the maximum process  $\{M(t), t \geq 0\}$  and the storage process  $W = \{W(t), t \geq 0\}$  defined by

$$(6) \quad W(t) = \sup\{X(t) - X(s) : 0 \leq s \leq t\} = X(t) + m(t), \quad t \geq 0$$

Speaking loosely, we say that  $W$  is obtained from  $X$  by the imposition of a reflecting barrier at zero. The following observation has been made by Gani and Prabhu (1960) and by others. It follows immediately from the fact that, for each fixed  $t > 0$ ,  $\{X(t) - X(t-s), 0 \leq s \leq t\}$  has the same distribution as  $\{X(s), 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ . (Each has stationary, independent increments, and the one-dimensional distributions are the same.)

Proposition 2. For each  $t \geq 0$ ,  $W(t)$  and  $M(t)$  have the same distribution.

From this we see that  $W(t) \Rightarrow M$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , meaning that  $H(\cdot)$  is the limit distribution of  $W$ . In section 2 we study the Markov process  $W$ , obtaining a simple formula for the Laplace transform of  $E[W(t)]$ . Zolotarev's result is then easily gotten from the fact that  $H(\cdot)$  is the unique stationary distribution of  $W$ . Our analysis requires the assumption that  $X(t)$  has finite variance, a restriction not imposed in Zolotarev's

original treatment. In section 3 we show how the general result can be gotten from that for the case of finite variance.

## 2. The Storage Process

Generalizing (6), we define the storage process  $W$  with initial state  $x \geq 0$  by

$$(7) \quad W(t) = x + X(t) + [m(t) - x]^+, \quad t \geq 0.$$

For each  $t \geq 0$ , let

$$X_t(s) = X(t + s) - X(t) \quad s \geq 0,$$

$$m_t(s) = - \inf\{X_t(u) : 0 \leq u \leq s\}, \quad s \geq 0.$$

With  $W(\cdot)$  defined by (7), the reader may verify that

$$W(t + s) = W(t) + X_t(s) + [m_t(s) - W(t)]^+$$

for  $t \geq 0$  and  $s \geq 0$ . Comparing this with (7), we see that  $W$  is a Markov process with stationary transition probabilities and state space  $S = [0, \infty)$ . In the usual way, we denote by  $P_x(\cdot)$  the probability distribution on the path space of  $W$  corresponding to an initial state of  $x$ . The corresponding expectation operator is denoted by  $E_x(\cdot)$ . We assume that the underlying process  $X$  is defined on some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Thus the distribution  $P_x$  is induced from  $P$  by the mapping (7).

We denote by  $E(\cdot)$  the expectation operator corresponding to  $P$ .

Let  $F_x(t) = P\{\theta(x) \leq t\}$  and observe that  $P\{m(t) \geq x\} = F_x(t)$  for  $t \geq 0$  and  $x \geq 0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} E\{[m(t) - x]^+\} &= \int_0^\infty P\{m(t) - x \geq y\} dy \\ &= \int_x^\infty P\{m(t) \geq y\} dy = \int_x^\infty F_y(t) dy. \end{aligned}$$

From this and Fubini's Theorem we then obtain

$$(8) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} E\{[m(t) - x]^+\} dt = \int_x^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} F_y(t) dt dy.$$

Now, using Fubini's Theorem and Proposition 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (9) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} F_y(t) dt &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} \int_0^t F_y(du) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_u^\infty e^{-\alpha t} dt F_y(du) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha u} F_y(du) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha} F[e^{-\alpha\theta}(y)] = \frac{1}{\alpha} e^{-\omega(\alpha)y}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (8) and (9) gives

$$(10) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} E\{[m(t) - x]^+\} dt = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_x^\infty e^{-\omega(\alpha)y} dy = e^{-\omega(\alpha)x} / \omega(\alpha).$$

Now for  $x \geq 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  we define

$$\varphi_x(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} E_x[W(t)] dt.$$

From (7) and (10) it follows immediately that

$$(11) \quad \varphi_x(\alpha) = x/\alpha - \mu/\alpha^2 + e^{-\omega(\alpha)x}/\alpha\omega(\alpha) .$$

If  $X(t) = Y(t) - ct$ , where  $Y$  is an additive process and  $c$  is a positive constant, then  $W(t)$  can be interpreted as the content of a dam at time  $t$ . The starting state  $x$  represents the initial content,  $Y$  represents the input process to the dam, and  $c$  represents the (constant) release rate. (See Gani and Prabhu (1960) or Takacs (1967), Chapter 6.) Thus formula (11) is of considerable interest in itself, giving us the Laplace transform of the expected content of the dam as a function of the initial content. It has other uses as well, however. From Proposition 2 we know that  $E_0[W(t)] = E[M(t)]$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , and thus

$$f_0(\alpha) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\alpha t} E[M(t)] dt \quad \text{for } \alpha > 0 .$$

Since  $E[M(t)] \nearrow E(M)$  as  $t \nearrow \infty$ , it follows immediately that  $\alpha f_0(\alpha) \nearrow E(M)$  as  $\alpha \searrow 0$ . Differentiating (5) twice and using (4), we obtain

$$(12) \quad \omega(0) = 0, \quad \omega'(0) = 1/\mu \quad \text{and} \quad -\omega''(0) = \sigma^2/\mu^3 .$$

Setting  $x = 0$  in (11), letting  $\alpha \searrow 0$  and using L'Hospital's rule, we find that  $\alpha f_0(\alpha) \rightarrow \sigma^2/2\mu$  as  $\alpha \searrow 0$ . Thus we have the following

Proposition 3.  $E(M) = \int_S x H(dx) = \sigma^2/2\mu .$

Observe that  $W(\theta(x)) = 0$  if  $W(0) = x$ . Also,  $X$  has the strong Markov property, cf. Hunt (1956). Since  $\theta(x)$  is a Markov time for  $X$ , we then have from (7) and Proposition 2

$$P_x\{W(\theta(x) + t) \leq y\} = P_0\{W(t) \leq y\} = P\{M(t) \leq y\}$$

for  $t \geq 0$ ,  $x \geq 0$  and  $y \geq 0$ . Since  $\theta(x)$  is almost surely finite and  $P\{M(t) \leq y\} \rightarrow H(y)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows easily from this that

$$(13) \quad P_x\{W(t) \leq y\} \rightarrow H(y) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty \text{ for all } x \geq 0.$$

When a Markov process  $W$  has a limit distribution  $H(\cdot)$ , independent of the initial state, it is well known that  $H(\cdot)$  is also a stationary distribution for  $W$ , meaning in our case that

$$(14) \quad \int_S P_x\{W(t) \leq y\} H(dx) = H(y) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } y \geq 0.$$

The proof of this general proposition for Markov processes is virtually identical to that of the corresponding result for Markov chains, cf. Breiman (1968), pp. 134-135. (Furthermore,  $H(\cdot)$  is the unique stationary distribution, but we do not actually need this fact.) From (14) and Proposition 3 it follows that

$$\int_S E_x[W(t)] H(dx) = \sigma^2/2\mu \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Using this, the definition of  $\varphi_x(\alpha)$ , and Fubini's Theorem, we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (15) \quad \int_S \phi_x(\alpha) H(dx) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} \int_S E_x[W(t)] H(dx) dt \\
 &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha t} (\sigma^2/2\mu) dt = \sigma^2/2\mu\alpha .
 \end{aligned}$$

But, directly from (11) and Proposition 3,

$$(16) \quad \int_S \phi_x(\alpha) H(dx) = \sigma^2/2\mu\alpha - \mu/\alpha^2 + [\int_S e^{-\omega(\alpha)x} H(dx)]/\alpha\omega(\alpha) .$$

Combining (15) and (16), we have

$$\int_S e^{-\omega(\alpha)x} H(dx) = \mu\omega(\alpha)/\alpha .$$

Replacing  $\alpha$  by  $\Phi(\alpha)$ , and hence  $\omega(\alpha)$  by  $\alpha$ , gives the central result.

Proposition 4.  $E(e^{-\alpha M}) = \int_S e^{-\alpha x} H(dx) = \mu\alpha/\Phi(\alpha)$  for  $\alpha > 0$ .

### 3. The Case of Infinite Variance

Suppose that  $X$  is as described in section 1 except that  $\text{Var}[X(t)] = \infty$ . The Laplace transform of  $X(t)$  still exists and has the form (1), but the exponent function  $\phi(\alpha)$  cannot be represented in the form (2). For each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  let  $X_k = \{X_k(t), t \geq 0\}$  be obtained from  $X$  by truncating all jumps of size greater than  $k$  (replacing each by a jump of size  $k$ ). Then  $\text{Var}[X_k(t)] < \infty$ . We denote by  $\mu_k$ ,  $\phi_k(\alpha)$  and  $M_k$  the negative of the mean, the exponent function, and the supremum respectively of  $X_k$ . For each  $t \geq 0$ ,  $X_k(t) \nearrow X(t)$  a.s.

as  $k \nearrow \infty$ . Thus  $\mu_k \searrow \mu$ ,  $\phi_k(\alpha) \searrow \phi(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ , and  $M_k \nearrow M$  a.s. as  $k \nearrow \infty$ . Combining these facts with Proposition 4 and monotone convergence, we have

$$E(e^{-\alpha M_k}) = \mu_k \alpha / \phi_k(\alpha) \rightarrow \mu \alpha / \phi(\alpha) = E(e^{-\alpha M})$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . This same truncation argument can be used to show that formula (11) also remains valid when  $\text{Var}[X(t)] = \infty$ .

#### References

1. Breiman, L. (1968), Probability, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass.
2. Gani, J. and N. U. Prabhu (1960). The Content of a Dam as the Supremum of an Infinitely Divisible Process, J. of Math. and Mech., Vol. 9, pp. 639-651.
3. Gnedenko, B. V. (1962), Theory of Probability (Fourth Edition), Chelsea, New York.
4. Hunt, G. A. (1956), Some Theorems Concerning Brownian Motion, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 81, pp. 294-319.
5. Takacs, L. (1967), Combinatorial Methods in the Theory of Stochastic Processes, Wiley, New York.
6. Zolotarev, V. M. (1964), The First Passage Time of a Level and the Behavior at Infinity for a Class of Processes with Independent Increments, Th. of Prob. and Appl., Vol. 9, pp. 653-662.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 14 TR-63	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) 6 STORAGE THEORY AND THE SUPREMA OF CERTAIN INFINITELY DIVISIBLE PROCESSES.		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 4 Technical Report
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) J. Michael Harrison	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 15 N00014-76-C-0418, ✓ N00014-75-14847	9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Operations Research Stanford University Stanford, California 94305
10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 16 (NR-047-061)	11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Operations Research Program Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217	12. REPORT DATE 11 May 76
13. NUMBER OF PAGES 9	14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) (E) 13 pr	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release and sale; distribution is unlimited		17. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This report was also supported by National Science Foundation Grant ENG 75-14847 (formerly NSF GK-35491)		18a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Stationary, Independent Increments; Storage Processes; Suprema; Markov Processes; Reflecting Barriers.		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) SEE REVERSE SIDE		

DD FORM 1473  
1 JAN 73

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE  
S/N 0102-014-6601

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

4/27/76

707 =

Unclassified  
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

Abstract

Let  $X = \{X(t), t \geq 0\}$  be a process with stationary, independent increments and no negative jumps. Let  $W = \{W(t), t \geq 0\}$  be this same process modified by a reflecting barrier at zero (a storage process). Assuming that  $-E[X(t)] = \mu t > 0$ , let  $M = \sup\{X(t): t \geq 0\}$ , and denote by  $\phi(\alpha)$  the exponent function of  $X$ . A simple formula is derived for the Laplace transform of  $E[W(t)], t \geq 0$ , as a function of  $W(0)$ . Using the fact that the distribution of  $M$  is the unique stationary distribution of the Markov process  $W$ , this yields an elementary proof that the Laplace transform of  $M$  is  $\mu\alpha/\psi(\alpha)$ . If  $\text{Var}[X(t)] = \sigma^2 t < \infty$ , it follows that  $E(M) = \sigma^2/\mu$ . These surprisingly simple formulas were originally obtained by Zolotarev using analytical methods.

Pr. (Algebra)

md