

AD-A031 973

WISCONSIN UNIV MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

F/G 12/1

A PRODUCT VERSION OF A VARIABLE METRIC METHOD AND ITS CONVERGEN--ETC(U)

SEP 76 K RITTER

DAAG29-75-C-0024

UNCLASSIFIED

MRC-TSR-1677

NL

1 OF 1
AD
A031973



END

DATE
FILMED

1-77



(11)

FG

AD A031973

MRC Technical Summary Report #1677

A PRODUCT VERSION OF A VARIABLE
METRIC METHOD AND ITS CONVERGENCE
PROPERTIES

Klaus Ritter

See 1473

**Mathematics Research Center
University of Wisconsin—Madison
610 Walnut Street
Madison, Wisconsin 53706**

September 1976

Received August 20, 1976

DDC
RECEIVED
NOV 12 1976
REGISTERED
C

Q

**Approved for public release
Distribution unlimited**

Sponsored by

U. S. Army Research Office
P. O. Box 12211
Research Triangle Park
North Carolina 27709

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MADISON
MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

A PRODUCT VERSION OF A VARIABLE METRIC METHOD
AND ITS CONVERGENCE PROPERTIES

Klaus Ritter

Technical Summary Report #1677
September 1976

ABSTRACT

An algorithm is described which uses a product representation of the matrix H_j approximating the inverse Hessian matrix of the function to be minimized. It is shown that the algorithm generates the same sequence of points as the Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno- method. Using a simple relation between the traces of the matrices H_j and H_{j+1} corresponding to two consecutive points x_j and x_{j+1} the superlinear convergence of the algorithm is established.

AMS(MOS) Classification No. 90C30

Key Words: Nonlinear programming, unconstrained minimization, variable metric methods, superlinear convergence.

Work Unit No. 5 - Mathematical Programming and Operations Research

ACQUISITION #	
RTS	Write Section
170	Full Section
UNCLASSIFIED	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONFIDENTIAL	<input type="checkbox"/>
SECRET	<input type="checkbox"/>
DATE	
BY	
CLASSIFICATION/ANALYST'S DESIG.	
NO. 1701 0014 01-74	

A

A PRODUCT VERSION OF A VARIABLE METRIC METHOD
AND ITS CONVERGENCE PROPERTIES

Klaus Ritter

1. Introduction.

Various kinds of variable metric methods have been used effectively in the unconstrained minimization of a function of several variables. Their main feature is the use of rank one or two corrections to the matrix H which represents an approximation to the inverse Hessian matrix of the function to be minimized. Recently Brodlie, Gourlay and Greenstadt [1] and Davidon [3] used a representation of H as a product CC' . They showed that a rank two correction to H reduces to a rank one correction to C .

In this paper an algorithm is described which uses a simple rank one update formula for the matrix C . It is shown that the algorithm gives a product representation of the matrix H used in the Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno-method [2], [4], [5], [6]. Under appropriate assumptions, the sequence generated by the algorithm converges superlinearly.

2. Formulation of the problem and notation.

Let $x \in E^n$ and let $F(x)$ be a real-valued function. We assume that $F(x)$ is twice continuously differentiable and denote the gradient and the Hessian matrix of $F(x)$ at a point x_i by $g_i = \nabla F(x_i)$ and $G_i = G(x_i)$, respectively. A prime is used for the transpose of a vector or a matrix.

We consider the problem of determining a z such that

$$(2.1) \quad F(z) < F(x) \quad \text{for all } x \neq z.$$

It is assumed that there are positive numbers μ , η and L such that

$$(2.2) \quad \mu \|x\|^2 \leq x'G(y)x \leq \eta \|x\|^2 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in E^n$$

and

$$\|G(x) - G(y)\| \leq L \|x-y\| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in E^n.$$

Assumption (2.2) implies that $F(x)$ is uniformly convex and that there is a unique z with property (2.1). It is determined by the condition $\nabla F(z) = 0$.

For later use we state two more well-known results which are immediate consequences of (2.2):

$$(2.3) \quad \|G(y)\| \leq \eta, \quad \|(G(y))^{-1}\| \leq \mu^{-1} \quad \text{for all } y \in E^n$$

$$(2.4) \quad \text{There are numbers } \gamma_1 > 0 \text{ and } \gamma_2 > 0 \text{ such that for all } x \in E^n \\ \gamma_1 \|\nabla F(x)\| \leq \|x-z\| \leq \gamma_2 \|\nabla F(x)\|.$$

3. The Algorithm.

In a variable metric method at a given point x_j , the search direction s_j is determined by multiplying the gradient $g_j = \nabla F(x_j)$ by an appropriate matrix H_j , i.e.,

$$s_j = H_j g_j,$$

where H_j is an approximation to the inverse Hessian matrix of $F(x)$ at x_j .

With a suitable step size σ_j a new point

$$x_{j+1} = x_j - \sigma_j s_j$$

is computed. If $g_{j+1} = \nabla F(x_{j+1}) \neq 0$, the matrix H_{j+1} is determined from H_j in such a way that

$$(3.1) \quad H_{j+1}(g_j - g_{j+1}) = \sigma_j s_j.$$

The various variable metric methods differ in the updating procedure which is used to compute H_{j+1} from H_j subject to (3.1). In many methods

H_j is a symmetric positive definite matrix and H_{j+1} is obtained by adding two symmetric matrices of rank one to H_j .

Any symmetric positive definite matrix H_j can be written in the form

$$(3.2) \quad H_j = C_j C_j',$$

where C_j is a nonsingular matrix. Instead of adding a rank two correction matrix to H_j we can add a rank one matrix uv' to C_j and represent H_{j+1} in the form

$$(3.3) \quad H_{j+1} = (I + uv')CC'(I + vu')$$

where the vectors u and v are determined in such a way that (3.1) is satisfied and H_{j+1} is nonsingular.

Brodie, Gourlay and Greenstadt [1] and more recently Davidon [3] have investigated representations of the form (3.2) and (3.3) of H_j and H_{j+1} , respectively, for some variable metric methods. In the following we shall describe an algorithm which uses a very simple update procedure of the form (3.3). It will be shown that this algorithm produces the same matrix H_{j+1} as the Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno-method; see [2], [4], [5], [6]. We describe a general cycle of the algorithm. At the beginning of the j th cycle the following data is available: $C_j = (c_{1j}, \dots, c_{nj})$, x_j , g_j and $\alpha_{ij} = c_{ij}' g_j$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. For the initial cycle any nonsingular $(n \times n)$ -matrix C_0 can be used.

Step 1: Computation of the direction of descent s_j .

Set

$$s_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ij} c_{ij}$$

Step 2: Computation of the step size σ_j .

Compute σ_j such that

$$F(x_j - \sigma_j s_j) = \min\{F(x_j - \sigma s_j) \mid \sigma \geq 0\}$$

Set

$$x_{j+1} = x_j - \sigma_j s_j$$

and compute g_{j+1} . If $g_{j+1} = 0$ stop, otherwise go to Step 3.

Step 3: Computation of C_{j+1} .

Compute

$$\beta_{ij} = c'_{ij} g_{j+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$\gamma_j = s'_j g_j, \quad \delta_j = s'_j g_{j+1},$$

$$\omega_{ij} = \frac{1}{\gamma_j - \delta_j} [(\sqrt{(1 - \delta_j \gamma_j^{-1}) \sigma_j} - 1) \alpha_{ij} + \beta_{ij}], \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Set

$$c_{i,j+1} = c_{ij} + \omega_{ij} s_j \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

$$\alpha_{i,j+1} = \beta_{ij} + \omega_{ij} \delta_j$$

Replace j with $j+1$ and go to Step 1.

Remark:

In Step 1, the search direction s_j is determined by

$$s_j = C_j C'_j g_j.$$

In Step 2, we assume that σ_j is the optimal step size. This assumption is made in order to avoid some technical difficulties in the convergence proofs.

The algorithm also works with an approximation to the optimal step size which after a finite number of steps can be set equal to 1. This will be shown in a much more general context in a subsequent paper. In Step 3, C_{j+1} is obtained by simply adding a multiple of s_j to each row of C_j . Obviously

$\delta_j = 0$, if σ_j is the optimal step size.

In the following theorem it will be shown that the search directions s_j generated by the above algorithm are identical with the search directions used in the Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno-method.

Theorem 1:

Let c_{1j}, \dots, c_{nj} , σ_j , s_j , g_j and g_{j+1} be defined by the algorithm.

Set

$$C_j = (c_{1j}, \dots, c_{nj}), \quad H_j = C_j C_j',$$

$$p_j = \frac{s_j}{\|s_j\|}, \quad d_j = \frac{g_j - g_{j+1}}{\|\sigma_j s_j\|}$$

and

$$H_{j+1} = H_j + \frac{d_j' p_j + d_j' H_j d_j}{(d_j' p_j)^2} p_j p_j' - \frac{p_j d_j' H_j + H_j d_j p_j'}{d_j' p_j}.$$

Then

$$s_{j+1} = C_{j+1} C_{j+1}' g_{j+1}$$

and

$$H_{j+1} = C_{j+1} C_{j+1}'.$$

Proof:

The first assertion follows immediately from Steps 1 and 3 of the algorithm since

$$c'_{i,j+1} g_{j+1} = (c_{ij} + \omega_{ij} s_j)' g_{j+1} = \beta_{ij} + \omega_{ij} \delta_j = \alpha_{i,j+1}.$$

In order to prove the second statement we observe that

$$c'_{i,j+1} - c'_{ij} = ((\sqrt{(1 - \delta_j \gamma_j^{-1})} \sigma_j - 1) \frac{c'_{ij} g_j}{s_j' (g_j - g_{j+1})} + \frac{c'_{ij} g_{j+1}}{s_j' (g_j - g_{j+1})}) s_j$$

$$= (\sqrt{(1 - \delta_j \gamma_j^{-1})} \sigma_j \frac{c'_{ij} g_j}{p_j' (g_j - g_{j+1})} - \frac{c'_{ij} (g_j - g_{j+1})}{p_j' (g_j - g_{j+1})}) p_j.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{(1 - \delta_j \gamma_j^{-1}) \sigma_j} \frac{1}{p_j'(g_j - g_{j+1})} &= \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_j p_j'(g_j - g_{j+1})}{p_j' g_j}} \frac{1}{p_j'(g_j - g_{j+1})} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_j}{p_j' g_j p_j'(g_j - g_{j+1})}} \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$C_{j+1}' - C_j' = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_j' g_j d_j' p_j'}} \frac{C_j' g_j}{\sqrt{\|s_j\|}} - \frac{1}{d_j' p_j} C_j' d_j \right) p_j' .$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} C_{j+1}' C_{j+1}' &= C_j' C_j' + (C_{j+1}' - C_j') C_j' + C_j' (C_{j+1}' - C_j') + (C_{j+1}' - C_j') (C_{j+1}' - C_j') \\ &= H_j + p_j \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_j' g_j d_j' p_j'}} \frac{s_j'}{\sqrt{\|s_j\|}} - \frac{d_j' H_j}{d_j' p_j} \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_j' g_j d_j' p_j'}} \frac{s_j}{\sqrt{\|s_j\|}} - \frac{H_j d_j}{d_j' p_j} \right) p_j' \\ &\quad + p_j \left(\frac{g_j' H_j g_j}{d_j' p_j g_j' p_j \|s_j\|} - \frac{2 d_j' H_j g_j}{d_j' p_j \sqrt{p_j' g_j d_j' p_j} \|s_j\|} + \frac{d_j' H_j d_j}{(d_j' p_j)^2} \right) p_j' \\ &= H_j + \frac{d_j' p_j + d_j' H_j d_j}{(d_j' p_j)^2} p_j p_j' - \frac{p_j d_j' H_j + H_j d_j p_j'}{d_j' p_j} . \end{aligned}$$

4. Convergence.

If the algorithm terminates with some x_j , then $\nabla F(x_j) = 0$ and the assumptions on $F(x)$ imply that x_j is the unique global minimizer of $F(x)$. For the remainder of the paper we shall assume that the algorithm generates an infinite sequence $\{x_j\}$. In order to prove that it converges to the global minimizer of $F(x)$ we shall use the equivalence with the BFGS-Method established in Theorem 1.

First we shall write H_j as a sum of n matrices of rank one. For this purpose let

$$\rho_j = \|H_j g_j\|^{-1}, \quad \lambda_j = \|H_j g_{j+1}\|^{-1}$$

and

$$H_j \rho_j g_j = p_j, \quad H_j \lambda_j g_{j+1} = q_j.$$

Then $\|p_j\| = \|q_j\| = 1$ and $\rho_j g_j$ and $\lambda_j g_{j+1}$ are conjugate with respect to H_j since

$$\rho_j g_j' H_j g_{j+1} = p_j' q_j = 0.$$

Assuming that H_j is positive definite we can find vectors d_{3j}, \dots, d_{nj} such that

$$H_j d_{ij} = p_{ij}, \quad \|p_{ij}\| = 1, \quad i = 3, \dots, n$$

and

$$\rho_j g_j, \lambda_j g_{j+1}, d_{3j}, \dots, d_{nj}$$

are conjugate with respect to H_j . Then

$$(4.1) \quad H_j = \frac{p_j p_j'}{\rho_j g_j' p_j} + \frac{q_j q_j'}{\lambda_j g_{j+1}' q_j} + \sum_{i=3}^n \frac{p_{ij} p_{ij}'}{d_{ij}' p_{ij}}$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad H_j^{-1} = \frac{\rho_j g_j g_j'}{g_j' p_j} + \frac{\lambda_j g_{j+1} g_{j+1}'}{g_{j+1}' q_j} + \sum_{i=3}^n \frac{d_{ij} d_{ij}'}{d_{ij}' p_{ij}}.$$

Using (4.1) we can easily derive a corresponding expression for H_{j+1} .

First we observe that

$$H_j d_j = \frac{H_j g_j - H_j g_{j+1}}{\|\sigma_j s_j\|} = \frac{1}{\sigma_j} p_j - q_j \frac{\|H_j g_{j+1}\|}{\|\sigma_j s_j\|}$$

implies that

$$H_{j+1} x = H_j x \quad \text{for } x \in T_j = \{x | p_j' x = q_j' x = 0\}.$$

$d_{1j}, \dots, d_{nj} \in T_j$ we have to change only the first two terms in (4.1)

in order to obtain a representation of H_{j+1} , i.e., we have to determine two

vectors in the span of g_j and g_{j+1} which are conjugate with respect to H_{j+1} .

We have

$$(4.3) \quad d_j \in \text{span} \{g_j, g_{j+1}\}, \quad H_{j+1} d_j = p_j, \quad \text{and} \quad d_j' H_{j+1} d_j = d_j' p_j > 0.$$

Furthermore,

$$(4.4) \quad g_{j+1}' H_{j+1} d_j = g_{j+1}' p_j = 0.$$

Thus g_{j+1} and d_j are conjugate with respect to H_{j+1} . By the definition of

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} H_{j+1} g_{j+1} &= H_j g_{j+1} - p_j \frac{d_j' H_j g_{j+1}}{d_j' p_j} \\ &= (g_j - \alpha_j p_j) \|H_j g_{j+1}\|, \quad \alpha_j = \frac{d_j' g_j}{d_j' p_j} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad g_{j+1}' H_{j+1} g_{j+1} = g_{j+1}' q_j \|H_j g_{j+1}\| > 0.$$

With

$$\rho_{j+1} = \|H_{j+1} g_{j+1}\|^{-1}, \quad H_{j+1} \rho_{j+1} g_{j+1} = p_{j+1}$$

it follows from (4.1)-(4.6), that H_{j+1} and H_{j+1}^{-1} are positive definite and

can be written in the form

$$(4.7) \quad H_{j+1} = \frac{\rho_{j+1} p_{j+1}'}{\rho_{j+1} g_{j+1}' p_{j+1}} + \frac{p_j p_j'}{d_j' p_j} + \sum_{i=3}^n \frac{p_{ij} p_{ij}'}{d_{ij}' p_{ij}}$$

and

$$(4.8) \quad H_{j+1}^{-1} = \frac{\rho_{j+1} g_{j+1} g_{j+1}'}{g_{j+1}' p_{j+1}} + \frac{d_j d_j'}{d_j' p_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d_{ij} d_{ij}'}{d_{ij}' p_j}.$$

By the definition of H_{j+2}

$$H_{j+2} x = H_{j+1} x \quad \text{for} \quad x \in T_{j+1} = \{x \mid H_{j+1} g_{j+1} = H_{j+1} g_{j+2} = 0\}.$$

Setting

$$q_{j+1} = \frac{H_{j+1} g_{j+2}}{\|H_{j+1} g_{j+2}\|}, \quad \lambda_{j+1} = \frac{1}{\|H_{j+1} g_{j+2}\|}$$

and observing that

$$\rho_{j+1} g_{j+1}' H_{j+1} g_{j+2} = p_{j+1}' g_{j+2} = 0$$

we can write H_{j+1} and H_{j+1}^{-1} as follows

$$(4.9) \quad H_{j+1} = \frac{p_{j+1} p'_{j+1}}{\rho_{j+1} g'_{j+1} p_{j+1}} + \frac{q_{j+1} q'_{j+1}}{\lambda_{j+1} g'_{j+2} q_{j+1}} + \sum_{i=3}^n \frac{p_{i,j+1} p'_{i,j+1}}{d'_{i,j+1} p_{i,j+1}}$$

and

$$(4.10) \quad H_{j+1}^{-1} = \frac{p_{j+1} g_{j+1} g_{j+1}}{g'_{j+1} p_{j+1}} + \frac{\lambda_{j+1} g_{j+2} g'_{j+2}}{g'_{j+2} q_{j+1}} + \sum_{i=3}^n \frac{d_{i,j+1} d'_{i,j+1}}{d'_{i,j+1} p_{i,j+1}}$$

where $d_{3,j+1}, \dots, d_{n,j+1}$ are vectors in T_{j+1} with

$$d'_{i,j+1} H_{j+1} d_{k,j+1} = 0, \quad i \neq k, \quad i, k = 3, \dots, n,$$

$$\|H_{j+1} d_{i,j+1}\| = 1, \quad H_{j+1} d_{i,j+1} = p_{i,j+1}, \quad i = 3, \dots, n.$$

This representation is completely analogous to (4.1) and (4.2) and can be used to derive a representation of H_{j+2} as a sum of n matrices of rank one.

In the following convergence proof we shall use a simple argument involving the trace of the matrices H_j and H_{j+1} . By definition, the trace of a square matrix M , denoted by $\text{tr}(M)$, is the sum of the diagonal elements of M .

From (4.1) and (4.2) we have

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{tr}(H_j) &= \text{tr}\left(\frac{p_j p'_j}{\rho_j g'_j p_j}\right) + \text{tr}\left(\frac{q_j q'_j}{\lambda_j g'_{j+1} q_j}\right) + \sum_{i=3}^n \text{tr}\left(\frac{p_{ij} p'_{ij}}{d'_{ij} p_{ij}}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho_j g'_j p_j} + \frac{1}{\lambda_j g'_{j+1} q_j} + \sum_{i=3}^n \frac{1}{d'_{ij} p_{ij}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.12) \quad \text{tr}(H_j^{-1}) = \frac{\rho_j \|g_j\|^2}{g'_j p_j} + \frac{\lambda_j \|g_{j+1}\|^2}{g'_{j+1} q_j} + \sum_{i=3}^n \frac{\|d_{ij}\|^2}{d'_{ij} p_{ij}}.$$

Setting $\tau_j = (1 + \lambda_j^2 \|g_{j+1}\|^2) / \lambda_j g'_{j+1} q_j$, $\omega_j = (1 + \|d_j\|^2) / d_j p_j$,

$$(4.13) \quad \varphi_j = \tau_j + \sum_{i=3}^n \frac{1 + \|d_{ij}\|^2}{d'_{ij} p_{ij}},$$

and

$$(4.15) \quad \varphi_{j+1} = \frac{1 + \lambda_{j+1}^2 \|g_{j+2}\|^2}{\lambda_{j+1} g'_{j+2} p_{j+1}} + \sum_{i=3}^n \frac{1 + \|d_{i,j+1}\|^2}{d'_{i,j+1} p_{i,j+1}}$$

we conclude from (4.7) - (4.12) that

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_{j+1} &= \text{tr}(H_{j+1}) - \frac{1}{\rho_{j+1} g'_{j+1} p_{j+1}} + \text{tr}(H_{j+1}^{-1}) - \frac{\rho_{j+1} \|g_{j+1}\|^2}{g'_{j+1} p_{j+1}} \\ &= \omega_j + \sum_{i=3}^n \frac{1 + \|d_{ij}\|^2}{d'_{ij} p_{ij}} \\ &= \varphi_j - \tau_j + \omega_j. \end{aligned}$$

The equality (4.16) will be used to prove that the sequence of gradients produced by the algorithm converges to zero. First we observe that $\varphi_{j+1} > 0$ since H_{j+1} is positive definite and, therefore, all terms on the right hand side of (4.15) are positive. Secondly we shall show (Lemma 1) that, because of the assumptions on $F(x)$, the sequence $\{\omega_j\}$ is bounded. This implies that there is a constant γ and an infinite set $J \subset \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ such that

$$(4.17) \quad \tau_j \leq \gamma \quad \text{for } j \in J.$$

Using the definition of τ_j and p_{j+1} it can easily be shown (Lemma 2) that there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$g'_{j+1} p_{j+1} \geq \varepsilon \|g_{j+1}\| \quad \text{for } j \in J.$$

By a routine argument it follows from this that

$$\|g_{j+1}\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty, \quad j \in J,$$

which by the uniform convexity of $F(x)$ implies

$$\|g_{j+1}\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty$$

Lemma 1.

$$\omega_j = \frac{1 + \|d_j\|^2}{d'_j p_j} \leq \frac{1 + \eta^2}{\mu} \quad \text{for all } j.$$

Proof:

By Taylor's theorem there are

$$\xi_j, \eta_j \in \{x \mid x = x_j - t(\sigma_j s_j), 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$$

such that

$$p_j' g_{j+1} = p_j' g_j - \sigma_j p_j' G(\xi_j) s_j$$

and

$$d_j' g_{j+1} = d_j' g_j - \sigma_j d_j' G(\eta_j) s_j.$$

Therefore,

$$(4.18) \quad d_j' p_j = \frac{(g_j - g_{j+1})' p_j}{\|\sigma_j s_j\|} = p_j' G(\xi_j) p_j \geq \mu$$

and

$$(4.19) \quad \|d_j\|^2 = \frac{d_j'(g_j - g_{j+1})}{\|\sigma_j s_j\|} = d_j' G(\eta_j) p_j \leq \|d_j\| \|G(\eta_j)\| \leq \eta \|d_j\|.$$

Lemma 2.

For every $\gamma > 0$ there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that, for all j ,

$$\tau_j = \frac{1 + \lambda_j^2 \|g_{j+1}\|^2}{\lambda_j g_{j+1}' q_j} \leq \gamma \text{ implies } g_{j+1}' p_{j+1} \geq \epsilon \|g_{j+1}\|.$$

Proof:

If $\tau_j \leq \gamma$, then

$$\gamma \geq \frac{\|g_{j+1}\|}{g_{j+1}' q_j} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j \|g_{j+1}\|} + \lambda_j \|g_{j+1}\| \right) \geq 2 \frac{\|g_{j+1}\|}{g_{j+1}' q_j}$$

and

$$\frac{g_{j+1}' q_j}{\|g_{j+1}\|} \geq \frac{2}{\gamma}.$$

By (4.5),

$$p_{j+1} = \left(q_j - \frac{d_j' q_j}{d_j' p_j} p_j \right) \|q_j - \frac{d_j' q_j}{d_j' p_j} p_j\|^{-1}.$$

Since, by (4.18) and (4.19),

$$\left\| q_j - \frac{d'_j q_j}{d'_j p_j} p \right\| \leq 1 + \frac{|d'_j q_j|}{d'_j p_j} \leq 1 + \frac{\|d_j\|}{d'_j p_j} \leq 1 + \frac{\eta}{\mu},$$

it follows that

$$\frac{p'_{j+1} g_{j+1}}{\|g_{j+1}\|} \geq \frac{\mu}{1+\eta} \frac{g'_{j+1} q_j}{\|g_{j+1}\|} \geq \frac{2\mu}{\gamma(1+\eta)}.$$

Theorem 2.

The sequence $\{x_j\}$ generated by the algorithm converges to a z such that

$$F(z) < F(x) \quad \text{for all } x \neq z$$

and

$$\nabla F(z) = 0.$$

Proof:

By (4.17) and Lemma 1 and 2 there is $\varepsilon > 0$ and an infinite set $J \subset \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ such that

$$g'_j p_j \geq \varepsilon \|g_j\| \quad \text{for } j \in J.$$

Furthermore, it follows from Taylor's theorem that, for some $\xi_j \in \{x \mid x = x_j - t(\sigma s_j), 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_j - \sigma s_j) - F(x_j) &= -\sigma g'_j s_j + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 s'_j G(\xi_j) s_j \\ &\leq -\sigma g'_j s_j + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \eta \|s\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus choosing

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \frac{g'_j s_j}{\eta \|s_j\|^2}$$

we obtain, for $j \in J$,

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x_{j+1}) - F(x_j) &\leq F(x_j - \tilde{\sigma} s_j) - F(x_j) \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{2\eta} \frac{(g_j' s_j)^2}{\|s_j\|^2} \\
&\leq -\frac{\epsilon}{2\eta} \|g_j\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $F(x)$ is bounded from below and $F(x_{j+1}) < F(x_j)$ for all j , it follows that

$$\|g_j\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty, j \in J.$$

Let z be a cluster point of the sequence $\{x_j, j \in J\}$. Then $\nabla F(z) = 0$. By the uniform convexity of $F(x)$, z is the unique global minimizer of $F(x)$.

Since $F(x_{j+1}) < F(x_j)$ for all j , the sequence $\{x_j\}$ has no cluster point except z . Thus $x_j \rightarrow z$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

5. Superlinear convergence.

In order to prove that

$$\frac{\|g_{j+1}\|}{\|g_j\|} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty$$

we shall again use the trace of the matrices H_j and H_{j+1} . The argument will be based on a modification of the formula (4.16).

By Theorem 2, $x_j \rightarrow z$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Let $G = G(z)$ and denote by $G^{\frac{1}{2}}$ the square root of G . By definition $G^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a symmetric positive definite matrix with the property

$$G = G^{\frac{1}{2}} G^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We set $G^{-\frac{1}{2}} = (G^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-1}$. Replacing the matrices H_j and H_j^{-1} by $G^{\frac{1}{2}} H_j G^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $(G^{\frac{1}{2}} H_j G^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-1} = G^{-\frac{1}{2}} H_j^{-1} G^{-\frac{1}{2}}$,

respectively, in the formulae (4.1), (4.2) and (4.7) - (4.16) we obtain

$$\omega_j = \frac{p_j' G p_j + d_j' G^{-1} d_j}{d_j' p_j}, \quad \tau_j = \frac{q_j' G q_j + \lambda_j^2 g_{j+1}' G^{-1} g_{j+1}}{\lambda_j g_{j+1}' q_j},$$

$$\varphi_j = \tau_j + \sum_{i=3}^n \frac{p_{ij}' G p_{ij} + d_{ij}' G^{-1} d_{ij}}{d_{ij}' p_{ij}}$$

and

$$(5.1) \quad \varphi_{j+1} = \zeta_j - \tau_j + \omega_j.$$

In order to prove that $\{\|g_j\|\}$ converges superlinearly to zero we observe that by Taylor's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \|d_j - G p_j\| &= \left\| \left(\int_0^1 G(x_j - t \sigma_j s_j) dt - G \right) p_j \right\| \\ &\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|G(x_j - t \sigma_j s_j) - G\| + \|G_j - G\| \\ &\leq L \|x_j - x_{j+1}\| + L \|x_j - z\| \\ &= O(\max\{\|g_j\|, \|g_{j+1}\|\}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus Lemma 3 below implies that $\omega_j \rightarrow 2$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\varphi_j > 0$ we shall conclude from this that $\tau_j \rightarrow 2$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Setting

$$\psi_j = \text{tr} \left(\frac{G^{\frac{1}{2}} p_j p_j' G^{\frac{1}{2}}}{p_j g_j' p_j} \right) + \text{tr} \left(\frac{p_j G^{-\frac{1}{2}} g_j g_j' G^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{g_j' p_j} \right)$$

we obtain from Lemma 4 that $\psi_j \rightarrow 2$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ which by Lemma 5 in turn implies that $\|g_{j+1}\| \|g_j\|^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 3.

Let $x, y \in E^n$ be such that $\|x\| = 1$ and $y'x > 0$. Set

$$\tau = \text{tr} \left(\frac{G^{\frac{1}{2}} x x' G^{\frac{1}{2}}}{y'x} \right) + \text{tr} \left(\frac{G^{-\frac{1}{2}} y y' G^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{y'x} \right).$$

Then

$$\tau \geq 2$$

and there is a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that $\tau - 2 \leq \gamma$ implies

$$y'x \geq \frac{1}{2}\mu, \quad \|Gx-y\|^2 \leq \eta(\eta+\mu)(\tau-2)$$

and $\|Gx - y\| \leq \gamma$ implies

$$\tau - 2 \leq \frac{2}{\mu} \|Gx - y\|^2.$$

Proof:

Set $v = y - Gx$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \frac{x'Gx + y'G^{-1}y}{y'x} = \frac{2x'Gx + 2v'x + v'G^{-1}v}{x'Gx + v'x} \\ &= 2 + \frac{v'G^{-1}v}{x'Gx + v'x} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\tau \geq 2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\eta} \|v\|^2 &\leq v'G^{-1}v = (\tau-2)(x'Gx + v'x) \\ &\leq (\tau-2)(\|G\| + \|v\|) \leq (\tau-2)(\eta + \|v\|) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|v\| \leq (\tau-2)\eta\left[\frac{\eta}{\|v\|} + 1\right]$$

which implies that for $\tau-2$ sufficiently small $\|v\| \leq 0.5\mu$. Thus, for γ sufficiently small,

$$\|v\|^2 \leq \eta(\eta+\mu)(\tau-2)$$

and

$$y'x = x'Gx + v'x \geq \mu - \|v\| \geq 0.5\mu.$$

Finally, for $\|Gx-y\| \leq 0.5\mu$,

$$\tau-2 \leq \frac{\|v\|^2 \|G^{-1}\|}{\mu - \|v\|} \leq \frac{2\|v\|^2}{\mu^2}.$$

Lemma 4.

There is a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that, for all j , $\tau_j - 2 \leq \gamma$ implies

$$|\psi_{j+1} - \tau_j| = O(\max\{\sqrt{\tau_j - 2}, \|g_j\|\}).$$

Proof:

By (4.5)

$$p_{j+1} = (q_j - \alpha_j p_j) \|q_j - \alpha_j p_j\|^{-1}.$$

Therefore, $\rho_{j+1} = \lambda_{j+1} \|q_j - \alpha_j p_j\|^{-1}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{j+1} g'_{j+1} p_{j+1} &= \rho_{j+1} g'_{j+1} q_j \|q_j - \alpha_j p_j\|^{-1} \\ &= \lambda_{j+1} g'_{j+1} q_j \|q_j - \alpha_j p_j\|^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$p'_{j+1} G p_{j+1} = \|q_j - \alpha_j p_j\|^{-2} (q_j - \alpha_j p_j)' G (q_j - \alpha_j p_j)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{j+1} &= \frac{p'_{j+1} G p_{j+1} + \rho_{j+1}^2 g'_{j+1} G^{-1} g_{j+1}}{\rho_{j+1} g'_{j+1} p_{j+1}} \\ &= \frac{(q_j - \alpha_j p_j)' G (q_j - \alpha_j p_j) + \rho_{j+1}^2 \|q_j - \alpha_j p_j\|^2 g'_{j+1} G^{-1} g_{j+1}}{\lambda_{j+1} g'_{j+1} q_j} \\ &= \tau_j + \frac{\alpha_j^2 p'_j G p_j - 2\alpha_j q'_j G p_j}{\lambda_{j+1} g'_{j+1} q_j}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition, $\alpha_j = d'_j q_j / d'_j p_j$ and

$$\begin{aligned} d'_j q_j &= p'_j G q_j + (d'_j - p'_j G) q_j \\ &= p'_j (\lambda_{j+1} g_{j+1}) - p'_j (\lambda_{j+1} g_{j+1} - G q_j) + (d'_j - p'_j G) q_j. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|d'_j q_j| \leq \|\lambda_{j+1} g_{j+1} - G q_j\| + \|d_j - G p_j\|.$$

Since, $\|d_j - G p_j\| = O(\max\{\|g_j\|, \|g_{j+1}\|\})$, $d'_j p_j \geq \mu$ and Lemma 3 implies that for γ sufficiently small

$$\|\lambda_{j+1} g_{j+1} - G q_j\| = O(\sqrt{\tau_j - 2})$$

and

$$\lambda_{j+1} g'_{j+1} q_j \geq 0.5\mu$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{j+1} - \tau_j| &\leq \frac{\alpha_j^2 \|G\| + 2\alpha_j \|G\|}{0.5\mu} \\ &= O(\max\{\sqrt{\tau_j - 2}, \|g_j\|, \|g_{j+1}\|\}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.

There is a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that, for all j , $\psi_j - 2 \leq \gamma$

implies

$$i) \quad |\sigma_j - 1| = O(\max\{\sqrt{\psi_j - 2}, \|g_j\|, \|g_{j+1}\|\})$$

$$ii) \quad \frac{\|g_{j+1}\|}{\|g_j\|} = O(\max\{\sqrt{\psi_j - 2}, \|g_j\|, \|g_{j+1}\|\}).$$

Proof:

By Taylor's theorem there is

$$\xi_j \in \{x \mid x = x_j - t(\sigma_j s_j), 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$$

such that

$$0 = s'_j g_{j+1} = s'_j g_j - \sigma_j s'_j G(\xi_j) s_j.$$

Thus

$$(5.2) \quad \sigma_j = \frac{g'_j s_j}{s'_j G(\xi_j) s_j} = 1 - \frac{g'_j s_j - s'_j G(\xi_j) s_j}{s'_j G(\xi_j) s_j}.$$

Since with $v_j = \rho_j g_j - G p_j$ we have

$$g'_j s_j = \left(\frac{p'_j}{\rho_j} G + \frac{v'_j}{\rho_j}\right) s_j = s'_j G s_j + v'_j s_j \|s_j\|,$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\sigma_j - 1| &= \frac{s_j' G s_j + v_j' s_j \|s_j\| - s_j' G(\xi_j) s_j}{s_j' G(\xi_j) s_j} \\
&\leq \frac{s_j' (G - G(\xi_j)) s_j + \|v_j\| \|s_j\|^2}{\mu \|s_j\|^2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\mu} (\|G - G(\xi_j)\| + \|v_j\|) \\
&= O(\max\{\sqrt{\psi_j - 2}, \|g_j\|, \|g_{j+1}\|\}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 3 and (2.4) since

$$\|G - G(\xi_j)\| \leq \|G - G_j\| + \|G_j - G(\xi_j)\| \leq L\|x_j - z\| + L\|x_{j+1} - x_j\|.$$

Setting

$$E_j = \int_0^1 G(x_j - t \sigma_j s_j) dt - G$$

we have again by Taylor's theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{j+1} &= g_j - \sigma_j G s_j - \sigma_j E_j s_j \\
&= g_j - G s_j + (1 - \sigma_j) G s_j - \sigma_j E_j s_j
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\|g_{j+1}\|}{\|g_j\|} &\leq \frac{\|g_j - G s_j\|}{\|g_j\|} + |1 - \sigma_j| \frac{\|G s_j\|}{\|g_j\|} + \|E_j\| \frac{\|\sigma_j s_j\|}{\|g_j\|} \\
&= \frac{\|\rho_j g_j - G p_j\|}{\rho_j \|g_j\|} + |1 - \sigma_j| \frac{\|G p_j\|}{\rho_j \|g_j\|} + \|E_j\| \frac{\|\sigma_j s_j\|}{\|g_j\|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|G p_j\| \geq \mu$ we obtain from Lemma 3, that for γ sufficiently small

$$\|\rho_j g_j - G p_j\| = O(\sqrt{\psi_j - 2}) \text{ and } \|\rho_j g_j\| \geq 0.5\mu.$$

Furthermore, by (5.2)

$$\frac{\|\sigma_j s_j\|}{\|g_j\|} \leq \frac{\|s_j\|}{\|g_j\|} \frac{\|g_j\| \|s_j\|}{\mu \|s_j\|^2} \leq \frac{1}{\mu}$$

and by (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|E_j\| &\leq \left\| \int_0^1 G(x_j - t\sigma_j s_j) dt - G_j \right\| + \|G_j - G\| \\
&\leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \{ \|G(x_j - t\sigma_j s_j) - G_j\| + \|G_j - G\| \} \\
&\leq L\|x_{j+1} - x_j\| + L\|x_j - z\| = O(\max\{\|g_j\|, \|g_{j+1}\|\}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\frac{\|g_{j+1}\|}{\|g_j\|} = O(\max\{\sqrt{\psi_j - 2}, \|g_j\|, \|g_{j+1}\|\}).$$

Theorem 3.

Let the sequences $\{\sigma_j\}$, $\{g_j\}$ and $\{x_j\}$ be generated by the algorithm. Then

- i) $|\sigma_j - 1| \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$
- ii) $\frac{\|g_{j+1}\|}{\|g_j\|} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$
- iii) $\frac{\|x_{j+1} - z\|}{\|x_j - z\|} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof:

By Theorem 2, $\|g_j\| \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. If $\tau_j \rightarrow 2$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from Lemma 4 that $\psi_j \rightarrow 2$, which by Lemma 5 and (2.4) implies the statements of the theorem. Thus it suffices to show that

$$\tau_j \rightarrow 2 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $\tau_j \geq 2$ this is equivalent with proving that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is $j(\epsilon)$ such that

$$\tau_j \leq 2 + \epsilon \text{ for } j \geq j(\epsilon).$$

Since $\|d_j - Gp_j\| \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from Lemma 3, that for j sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.3) \quad \omega_j &= 2 + o(\|d_j - G p_j\|) \\
 &= 2 + o(\max\{\|g_j\|, \|g_{j+1}\|\}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (5.1)

$$\varphi_{j+1} = \varphi_j - \tau_j + 2 + o(\max\{\|g_j\|, \|g_{j+1}\|\}).$$

Let

$$J = \{j \mid \tau_j > 2 + \varepsilon\}.$$

Since $\|g_j\| \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, there is j_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.4) \quad \varphi_{j+1} &\leq \varphi_j - (2 + \varepsilon) + 2 + o(\max\{\|g_j\|, \|g_{j+1}\|\}) \\
 &\leq \varphi_j - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for } j \geq j_1 \text{ and } j \in J.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $j \notin J$ and ε is sufficiently small it follows from Lemma 4 and 5 that there is j_2 such that

$$(5.5) \quad \|g_{j+2}\| \leq 0.5 \|g_{j+1}\| \quad \text{for } j \geq j_2 \text{ and } j \notin J.$$

Thus, if $j-1 \in J$, $j+i \notin J$, $i=0, \dots, k-1$, $j+k \in J$ it follows from (5.3) and (5.5) that for $j \geq j_2$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.6) \quad \varphi_{j+k} - \varphi_j &= (\varphi_{j+1} - \varphi_j) + (\varphi_{j+2} - \varphi_{j+1}) + \dots + (\varphi_{j+k} - \varphi_{j+k-1}) \\
 &= o(\|g_j\|) + o(\|g_{j+1}\|) + \dots + o(\|g_{j+k-1}\|) \\
 &= o(\|g_j\|) + o(2\|g_{j+1}\|) \\
 &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad \text{for } j \text{ sufficiently large.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi_j > 0$, (5.4) and (5.6) imply that J has at most finitely many elements.

As a further application of (5.1) we obtain

Theorem 4.

The sequences

$$\{\|H_j\|\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\|H_j^{-1}\|\}$$

are bounded.

Proof:

From (5.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_j &= \varphi_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (\varphi_i - \varphi_{i-1}) \\ &= \varphi_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (\omega_i - \tau_i) \\ &\leq \varphi_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (\omega_i - 2)\end{aligned}$$

because $2 - \tau_i \leq 0$ for all i . Since $\|d_j - G p_j\| \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ it

follows from Lemma 3 that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (\omega_i - 2) = 0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \|g_i\|^2 \right) = 0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|g_i\|^2 \right) < \infty.$$

Thus the sequence $\{\varphi_j\}$ is bounded. Moreover, in the proof of the previous

theorem it has been shown that $\tau_i \rightarrow 2$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Thus Lemma 4 implies

that $\psi_i \rightarrow 2$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore

$$0 < \text{tr}(G^{\frac{1}{2}} H_j G^{\frac{1}{2}}) \psi - \text{tr}(G^{-\frac{1}{2}} H_j^{-1} G^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = \varphi_j + \psi_j$$

is bounded. Since the trace of a matrix is equal to the sum of its eigenvalues,

this means that there is a uniform upper bound for the eigenvalues of the

matrices $G^{\frac{1}{2}} H_j G^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $G^{-\frac{1}{2}} H_j^{-1} G^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Thus there is a

uniform upper bound for the numbers $\|H_j\|$ and $\|H_j^{-1}\|$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

References

- [1] K. W. Brodlie, A. R. Gourlay and J. Greenstadt, "Rank one and two corrections to positive definite matrices expressed in product form", *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics and its Applications* 11 (1973) 73-82.
- [2] C. G. Broyden, "The convergence of a class of double-rank minimization algorithms", *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics and its Applications* 6 (1970) 76-90.
- [3] W. C. Davidon, "Optimally conditioned optimization algorithms without line searches", *Mathematical Programming* 3 (1975) 1-30.
- [4] R. Fletcher, "A new approach to variable metric algorithms", *The Computer Journal* 13 (1970) 23-26.
- [5] D. Goldfarb, "A family of variable metric methods derived by variational means", *Mathematics of Computation* 24 (1970) 23-26.
- [6] D. F. Shanno, "Conditioning of Quasi-Newton methods for function minimization", *Mathematics of Computation* 24 (1970) 647-656.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 1677	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) A PRODUCT VERSION OF A VARIABLE METRIC METHOD AND ITS CONVERGENCE PROPERTIES.		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Summary Report - no specific reporting period
7. AUTHOR(s) 10 Klaus/Ritter		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Mathematics Research Center, University of 610 Walnut Street Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 15 DAAG29-75-C-0024
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) 9 Technical summary rept.		12. REPORT DATE 11 September 1976
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. 14 MRC-TSR-1677		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 22
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Nonlinear programming, unconstrained minimization, variable metric methods, superlinear convergence		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A product version of a variable metric method is described. It is shown that it converges superlinearly.		