

AD-A032 700

DARCOM INTERN TRAINING CENTER TEXARKANA TEX  
INVESTIGATION OF AN AUTOMATED MATERIALS HANDLING SYSTEM USING A--ETC(U)  
NOV 76 R J ROSENTHAL

F/G 13/8

UNCLASSIFIED

DARCOM-ITC-02-08-76-225

NL


1 of 1  
ADA032700

REF ID: A68888



END

DATE  
FILMED  
2 - 77



Report DARCOM-ITC-02-08-76-225

INVESTIGATION OF AN AUTOMATED MATERIALS  
HANDLING SYSTEM USING A GPSS SIMULATION

Ronald J. Rosenthal  
Product/Production Graduate Engineering Program  
DARCOM Intern Training Center  
Red River Army Depot  
Texarkana, Texas 75501

November 1976

Final Report

ACCESSION for		
DTIC	White Section	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC	Buff Section	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED		<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTIFICATION .....		
BY .....		
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES		
Dist.	AVAIL. and/or	SPECIAL
A		

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

DDC  
RECEIVED  
NOV 29 1976  
RECEIVED  
B

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER 14 DARCOM-ITC-12-18-76-225	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 9	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) 6 Investigation of an Automated Materials Handling System Using a GPSS Simulation.		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final rept.,	
7. AUTHOR(s) 10 Ronald J. Rosenthal		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Product/Production Graduate Eng. Program DARCOM Intern Training Center Red River Army Depot, Texarkana, Tex. 75501		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Product/Production Graduate Eng. Program DARCOM Intern Training Center Red River Army Depot, Texarkana, Tex. 75501		11. REPORT DATE November 1976	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) 12 49 p.		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 45	
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)	
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)			
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: fit-content; margin: auto;"> <b>DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A</b>                      Approved for public release;                      Distribution Unlimited                 </div>			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)			
Approved for public release: Distribution unlimited			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)			
Computer Simulation Discrete Models Automated Materials Handling GPSS Simulation			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)			
This is a report of an investigation of the validity of a conceptual automated material handling system with tool, machine, and transfer mechanism failures. The development of a modular GPSS simulation model is used to study and analyze the system. The modular design makes it a good basis for construction of more complex models of larger similar physical concepts. The larger models are easily generated through the use of macros.			

392756

48

FOREWORD

This research discussed in this report was accomplished as part of the Product/Production Engineering Graduate Program conducted by DARCOM Intern Training Center. As such, the ideas, concepts and results herein presented are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect approval or acceptance by the Department of the Army.


This report has been reviewed and is approved for release. For further information on this project contact: Dr. Herchel E. Lynch, DRXMC-ITC-PPE, Red River Army Depot, Texarkana, Texas 75501.

Approved:



Herchel E. Lynch, Ph.D.  
A/Ch., Department of Product/Production Engineering

For the Commandant



James L. Arnett, Director  
DARCOM Intern Training Center

## ABSTRACT

This is a report of an investigation of the validity of a conceptual automated material handling system with tool, machine, and transfer mechanism failures. The development of a modular GPSS simulation model is used to study and analyze the system. The modular design makes it a good basis for construction of more complex models of larger similar physical concepts. The larger models are easily generated through the use of "macros".

Results of this study indicate the proposed material handling concept can operate effectively. Recommendations are given for further study of a more complex system.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Sincere appreciation is extended to Dr. S. Bart Childs for his valuable advice in the preparation of this report and for serving as committee chairman. A special thanks to Mr. Patrick Hollifield for the initiation and direction of this project. Gratitude is also extended to Dr. D. A. Bremmer and Dr. R. L. Street for serving on the author's committee.

A special word of thanks must be given to my wife, Kay, for her support during my studies and for the typing of this research paper.

## CONTENTS

Chapter		Page
I	INTRODUCTION.....	1
	The Production Line Sub-System.....	2
	The Modeling Approach.....	5
	Literature Review.....	7
II	THE MODEL.....	9
	Structure of the Model.....	9
	Model Logic.....	10
	Model Implementation.....	14
	Verification, Validity, or Merit?.....	15
III	PROGRAM OUTPUT.....	16
IV	RECOMMENDATIONS.....	25
	SELECTED REFERENCES.....	27
	APPENDIXES.....	28

## FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	TWO-TIERED CONVEYOR SYSTEM WITH MACHINES (SIDE VIEW).....	3
1.2	A SUB-SYSTEM WITH 6 MACHINES.....	4
1.3	SYSTEM TO BE SIMULATED.....	8
2.1	DIAGRAMMATIC REPRESENTATION OF FUNCTION "FOUT1".....	13

TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	INPUT DATA.....	6
3.1	FUTURE EVENTS CHAIN PRINTOUTS.....	17
3.2(a)	INTERRUPT CHAIN STATISTICS.....	19
3.2(b)	FACILITY STATISTICS.....	19
3.3	LISTING OF LOGIC SWITCHES.....	21
3.4	STORAGE STATISTICS.....	22
3.5(a)	SAVEVALUE STATISTICS.....	24
3.5(b)	QUEUE STATISTICS.....	24

## CHAPTER I

### INTRODUCTION

New production line concepts are needed in manufacturing facilities in order to reach optimum production and safety levels. The United States Army Materiel Development and Readiness Command (DARCOM) is currently sponsoring an ammunition modernization program to improve ammunition production facilities. A projectile manufacturing facility is to be installed at an ammunition plant with a new, fully automated material handling concept. Since the production facility is not operational, this is a test of a concept. Among these are the number of machines per sub-system, the number of cradles per conveyor, input and output rates, machine operation times, conveyor speeds, failure probability distributions (MTBF), mean repair times (MTTR), and all measurable distances.

Controlled laboratory simulations of industrial situations are now possible. The systems analyst can learn quickly and at low cost the answers that would rarely be obtainable from manipulating the actual system.

This is a report of the analysis of a system which lends itself to digital computer simulation. It provides information on the validity of the material handling system and how it reacts to tool, machine, and transfer mechanism failures. Although the discussion here is

restricted to answering specific questions of a design concept, valuable information is given for larger and more complex systems.

#### The Production Line Sub-System

The production line can be divided into several sub-systems. Each sub-system's task may be different; however, the material handling system is generally the same for each. A sub-system consists of a two-tiered elliptical conveyor with a specified number of identical machines located between the two conveyors. (See Figure 1.1) An item or unit comes into a sub-system by a straight-line conveyor and is transferred to the top elliptical conveyor. The unit continues moving on this conveyor until a machine can accept it. (See Figure 1.2) At this time, the unit leaves the conveyor and enters a machine's finite waiting space. The machine accepts the unit, operates, and transfers it to the lower elliptical conveyor. (See Figure 1.1) Finally, the unit travels on this conveyor until it can move onto another straight-line conveyor, called a transfer mechanism, which transfers the unit to the next sub-system.

An elliptical conveyor, henceforth to be called a carousel, has a specific number of equally spaced cradles. These cradles are continuously moving and must be in line with a transfer point to accept or reject a unit.

Finite waiting spaces or buffer zones are located at input and output positions of each machine. These buffer




Figure 1.1 Two-Tiered Conveyor System with Machines (Side View)




Figure 1.2 A Sub-System With 6 Machines

zones can be adjusted to hold from 1 to 5 units.

The transfer mechanism is a straight-line conveyor, which transfers a unit from one sub-system to the next sub-system at a constant speed. It has a discrete number of item spaces and is automatically controlled by switches. When the input queue to the next sub-system is filled, these switches enable the transfer mechanism to detour incoming units and stop moving.

All the necessary values and ideas were extracted from project reports and drawings in order to insure model validity. The mathematical relationships needed to simulate a proposed production line are given in Appendix A. The data used in the model is summarized in Table 1.1.

#### The Modeling Approach

Digital computer simulations have increased dramatically in recent years due to the decreasing cost and increasing speed of electronic computers, as well as, the improvements in problem-oriented simulation languages. Two of the major advantages are: (1) computer simulations allow study of the system without actual modification of that system and (2) the computer can manipulate elaborate descriptive models that consider complex interrelationships and simultaneously deal with a large number of individual units.

The GPSS programming language (IBM, 1970; Schriber, 1974) was used to develop this model. GPSS was chosen due

Table 1.1 Input Data

	Sub-System 1	Sub-System 2
<b>Factual:</b>		
Spacing Between Cradles on Carousel	8 inches	8 inches
Width of Carousel	12 feet	12 feet
<b>Assumed:</b>		
Number of Machines	6	10
Number of Cradles per Carousel	48	66
Input Rate	1 unit/sec	1 unit/sec
Machine Output Rate	600 parts/hr	360 parts/hr
Conveyor Speeds	1.125 ft/sec	1.0 ft/sec
Carousel Side's Length	96 inches	160 inches
Circumference of Carousel	54 feet	66 feet
Buffer Waiting Spaces	3	3
Distance Between Adjacent Machines	32 inches	32 inches
Transfer Mechanism's Maximum Queue Length = 10		
Number of Repairmen = 2		

to the problem's complexity, the language's local availability, and GPSS's unique features. Other widely used programming languages include SIMSCRIPT, FORTRAN, and GASP. However, using these languages for modeling complex systems require abnormally large programs. This usually results in a difficulty for an interested individual to understand the model logic.

The production line was simulated as two connecting sub-systems. (See Figure 1.3) This modular approach allows increasing or decreasing the size of the model and changing of any necessary input data. The output can be studied for the validity of the concept, system reactions to failures, and optimum maintenance scheduling procedures.

#### Literature Review

The increase in simulation and modeling is reflected in the literature of fields such as engineering, computer science, operations research, statistics, economics, and business administration. A search for information revealed no prior investigations concerning this engineering problem. GPSS programming language texts and manuals received the majority of attention. As mentioned earlier, two important references are the IBM User's Manual (IBM, 1970) and Schriber's "Simulation Using GPSS" (Schriber, 1974).




Figure 1.3 System, To Be Simulated

## CHAPTER II

### THE MODEL

The task of interpreting the problem in the context of GPSS was approached by a logical description of the material handling system. Since sections of the model may be confusing, an explanation of the rationale behind the particular approach used is necessary.

#### Structure of the Model

The model is composed of a major model segment, and three supporting segments. In the major segment, Model Segment 1, the production line is simulated. Model Segment 2 controls the failures of the transfer mechanism. Whether the transfer mechanism is currently working is communicated to the major segment through a LOGIC SWITCH. In another supporting segment, Model Segment 3, machine failures are simulated. A PREEMPT Block transmits a machine failure to the major segment. The final supporting segment controls the duration of the run.

Developing GPSS representations for the production line presented many logic problems. Since it consists of two similar sub-systems, sub-system 1 required the main programming effort. Once this was accomplished, the task was simply to repeat a list of program statements with the necessary data for sub-system 2.

Each transaction represents a unit as it moves through

Model Segment 1. Ten parameters are defined for each transaction in order for the units to move in a fixed order and record movement data.

#### Model Logic

A transaction asks a series of questions while it waits on the input conveyor for a cradle on carousel 1:

Which cradle is currently in front of  
the input conveyor?  
Is it vacant?  
Yes, move onto the cradle.  
No, wait for the next cradle.

To accomplish this in the model, a unit enters an ASSIGN Block where the cradle number currently in front of the input conveyor becomes the value of Parameter 1. The unit then arrives at a GATE Block controlled by a LOGIC SWITCH. If the cradle is vacant, the unit continues to the next block and puts the LOGIC SWITCH into the "Set" position, indicating the cradle is now occupied. If the cradle is occupied, the unit is sent through a counter, which increments the number waiting in the input queue and then leaves the model. This is made possible since the input rate is equal to the time it takes the next cradle to arrive at the input conveyor. The value of the Variable "SPAC1" is the cradle number currently in front of the input conveyor for each transaction:

$$SPAC1 = \left[ \frac{\text{the number of transactions entered} - 1}{48} \right] + 1$$

The brackets denote integral portion of a number. The input rate is equal to the time it takes the next cradle to

arrive at the input conveyor, thus, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the number of transactions which have entered the model and the needed cradle number.

Once a unit occupies a cradle, it travels on the carousel from one location to another. Transfer point positions on carousel 1 are at the input conveyor and the machines. To simulate the movement of the carousel, four GPSS Blocks are utilized. When a unit moves into the Block "ASSIGN 3, FN\$TOA1", the time needed for the unit to reach the next position is copied into the value of Parameter 3. It is then held at an ADVANCE Block until the unit arrives at the specified location. After arriving at this location, the position number becomes the value of Parameter 2. If the transaction can enter the machine's finite waiting space, it leaves the carousel; if it can not enter, it moves to the next machine.

The Function "TOA1" describes the time needed for the unit to reach the next location. The data used in this function is calculated with the following equation:

$$Y_i = \frac{\text{distance to the next position}}{\text{conveyor speed of carousel 1}}$$

where  $Y_i$  is the travel time to the next location from location  $i$ .

Once a unit has passed through a machine, it waits in the exiting buffer zone for a cradle on carousel 2 and again asks a series of questions:

Which cradle is currently in front of  
this exiting buffer zone?

Is it vacant?

Yes, move onto the cradle.

No, wait for the next cradle.

This is accomplished with the same block logic used while the unit waited on the input conveyor for a cradle on carousel 1. The value of the Variable "OUT1" is the cradle number currently in front of a machine's exiting buffer zone:


$$OUT1 = \left[ \frac{\text{the number of transactions entered} - 1 + FOUT1}{48} \right] + 49$$

Notice the similarity between the equations for the Variables "OUT1" and "SPAC1" and the brackets again denote integral portion of their argument. The Function "FOUT1" is a tabular value which describes the number of cradles between the input conveyor and each machine. (See Figure 2.1) The constant 49 is added since the cradle numbers on carousel 2 range from 49 through 96.

Once a unit occupies a cradle, it travels on the carousel to the transfer mechanism. The Function "TOA2" describes the time needed for the unit to reach the transfer mechanism. If  $Z_i$  is the travel time to the transfer mechanism from location  $i$ , then

$$Z_i = \frac{\text{distance to the transfer mechanism}}{\text{conveyor speed of carousel 2}}$$

The representation of the transfer mechanism is considerably simplified, since the operational characteristics are nonessential details. It is modeled as a finite



let:  $x_i$  = machine number

$y_i$  = number of cradles between the input conveyor  
and machine  $i$

Function "FOUT1"

$x_i$	$y_i$
1	34
2	30
3	26
4	10
5	6
6	2

Figure 2.1 Diagrammatic Representation of  
Function "FOUT1"

waiting area with a capacity of ten.

Finally, as units wait in an exiting buffer zone or the transfer mechanism for a cradle, only the unit in first place attempts to occupy a cradle. In order to stop all other units from trying to occupy a cradle, which would be unrealistic and a waste of computer time, LOGIC SWITCHES are used as holding gates.

#### Model Implementation

A small time increment of 0.1 second is used, due to the rapid movement of the units during the simulation. The selection of a larger time increment will cause considerable round-off errors, due to the nature of the available GPSS.

The model is run for 6 minutes, with no failures, to reach steady state conditions. The statistics are then reset to zero and a 12 minute run, with failures, is started. Inspection of the second START card shows that the card's Snap Interval Option and the signal for CHAIN Printouts have been used. The Snap Interval Option causes statistical printouts to occur after the 6th and 12th minutes of simulation. This makes it possible to trace the behavior of statistics. The CHAIN Printouts are not necessary; however, they give valuable information for debugging the program and tracing a unit's movement.

GPSS provides an efficient method of system simulation. The program was run on an IBM/360 and an AMDAHL/470. The

cost of each run ranged from \$7 to \$10. It also required an average of 196K of core for a simulation of twelve minutes real time.

#### Verification, Validity, or Merit?

The production line studied has not been constructed. While a rigorous verification of the model is impractical, the runs made indicate the model is sound.

CHAIN Printouts enable the analyst to understand the behavior of the model. Because events can occur simultaneously in this system, but are caused to occur sequentially in the GPSS model, the question of "what happens next" in GPSS is of the utmost importance. An explanation of "what happens next" is given in terms of chain concepts. Also, a study of the parameter values included in the CHAIN Printouts showed the movement of units through the system.

Four separate runs were made. The first run considered no failures. The second, third, and fourth runs considered tool, transfer mechanism, and machine failures, respectively. The similarity of the units behavior in the model to "real" systems for each run, implies the simulation has merit.

## CHAPTER III

### PROGRAM OUTPUT

Descriptions and interpretations of the program output are presented to assist in development of larger and more complex systems. A basic understanding of GPSS is assumed. Appendix C gives a listing of the various GPSS entities used in the model, with a brief explanation of their interpretation as elements in the material handling system.

A section of the FUTURE EVENTS CHAIN is shown in Table 3.1. Typical transaction parameter values appear in this printout. (An explanation of column labels in GPSS CHAIN printouts can be found in Appendix B.) For example, transaction 52 is residing in BLOCK number 55. (The Extended Program Listing in Appendix D indicates this is an ADVANCE Block in Model Segment 1.) This can be translated to say, transaction 52 is a unit on carousel 4 traveling to the transfer mechanism. The parameters P1, P2, ..., P10 are a record of the transaction's movement. Parameter 1(=89) indicates the unit captured cradle 89 on carousel 2. This raises the question of which cradle was used by this unit on carousel 1? Since the value of P1 is the cradle number a unit occupies on sub-system 1, the cradle number on carousel 1 is destructively replaced by the cradle number on carousel 2 as the unit travels through the

Table 3.1 FUTURE EVENTS CHAIN Printouts

FUTURE EVENTS CHAIN TRANS	43	801	8	PR	SF	NDA	SET	MARK-TIME	P1	P2	P3	P4	SI	TI	DI	CI	MC	PC	PF
23	10801	8	1	1	9	43	10620	30	0	0	192	0	0	0	0	4			
156	10801	37	1	38	156	9590	72	0	0	160	8	21	0	0	4				
68	10803	37	1	38	68	8630	72	0	0	5	0	21	0	0	4				
52	10805	55	1	56	52	7880	89	0	0	6	0	22	0	0	4				
59	10805	37	1	38	59	8330	90	0	0	5	0	21	0	0	4				
111	10805	55	1	56	111	9610	76	0	0	100	16	218	0	0	4				
120	10905	16	1	17	120	10130	25	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	4				
194	10805	8	1	9	194	10360	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4				
60	10805	8	1	9	60	10600	4	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	4				
165	10807	37	1	38	165	9530	66	0	0	5	0	21	0	0	4				
49	10808	37	1	38	49	9050	66	0	0	155	10	20	0	0	4				
185	10808	79	2	80	185	9700	95	0	0	127	13	28	0	0	4				
9	10809	8	1	9	9	10100	2	0	0	119	0	0	0	0	4				
							2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4				
							0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4				

sub-system. Parameter 2(=6) indicates transaction 52 entered machine 6's waiting space (from carousel 1). Parameter 3(=24) indicates the unit had traveled from its preceding position (machine 5) to machine 6 (on carousel 1) in 2.4 seconds (the time unit is 0.1 second). Parameter 4(=22) indicates the corresponding exiting buffer zone for machine 6 was number 22. Parameter 5(=0) indicates the unit did not need to use this exiting buffer zone's holding gate. When the unit reached sub-system 2, similar events were recorded in parameters P6,P7,...,P10. The unit captured cradle 224 on carousel 4. On carousel 3, it had traveled from its preceding position (machine 12) to machine 13 in 2.8 seconds. The corresponding exiting buffer zone for machine 13 was number 29. Finally, the unit did not need to use this exiting buffer zone's holding gate.

The INTERRUPT CHAIN is shown in Table 3.2(a). There is one transaction resident on the CHAIN. Transaction 71 is the unit (non-preemptive FACILITY user) which is as yet unfinished with the machine. It is known to be a non-preemptive user because its "Next Block Attempted" is Block 17 (the SAVEVALUE Block in Model Segment 1). The BDT ("Block Departure Time") column shows a value of 1. For each transaction on an INTERRUPT CHAIN, the BDT entry can be interpreted as its "remaining holding time" at the machine from which it has been displaced. Hence, a repairman (a preemptive machine user) has 1 time unit to go before he will

Table 3.2

(a) INTERRUPT CHAIN Statistics

INTERRUPT CHAIN TRANS	BDT	BLCK	PR	SF	NDA	SET	MARK-TIME	P1	P2	P3	P4	SI	TI	DI	CI	MC	PC	PF
71	1		1		17	71	7360	16	2	24	0	0	1	1	1			1
								0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0

(b) FACILITY Statistics

FACILITY	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	NUMBER ENTRIES	AVERAGE TIME/TRAN	SEIZING TRANS. NO.	PREEMPTING TRANS. NO.
1	1.000	90	80.000	13	
2	1.000	46	156.521	71	69
3	1.000	98	73.469	190	
4	1.000	95	75.789	85	
5	1.000	91	79.120	120	
6	1.000	91	79.120	108	
7	.909	60	109.149	57	
8	.863	57	109.122	97	
9	.860	58	106.879	152	
10	.847	56	108.028	24	
11	.848	55	111.072	19	
12	.829	55	108.545	136	
13	.816	54	108.868	65	
14	1.000	18	400.000	157	
15	.816	59	99.677	99	
16	.760	56	97.767	72	

be finished repairing the machine. Note that the various column labels for the INTERRUPT CHAIN are identical to those for the FUTURE EVENTS CHAIN. This makes it possible to examine the parameter values. Parameter 2(=2) indicates machine 2 is currently being repaired.

Statistics describing the performance of the machines are shown in Table 3.2(b). The output for machine 2 will be discussed in detail. It shows the machine was busy 100% of the time, but does not distinguish between that part of the time operating, and that part spent being repaired when a unit is still in the machine. Under NUMBER ENTRIES, it is seen that the machine was captured by 46 units. Note the values of 71 and 69 which appear in the statistics under the SEIZING TRANS. NO. and PREEMPTING TRANS. NO. columns, respectively. This shows that transaction 71 is a displaced user of the machine, and transaction 69 is currently simulating a repair of the machine.

The listing of all LOGIC SWITCHES, currently in use, is shown in Table 3.3. The LOGIC SWITCHES listed for cradles represent the "occupied" cradles. Since LOGIC SWITCH 400 is in the "on" position, the holding gate in the transfer mechanism is presently being used.

The STORAGE statistics are shown in Table 3.4. For each STORAGE, seven different pieces of information are printed. Consider the output for STORAGE 110 whose capacity is the number of repairmen. The CAPACITY represents



Table 3.4 STORAGE Statistics

STORAGE	CAPACITY	AVERAGE CONTENTS	AVERAGE UTILIZATION	ENTRIES	AVERAGE TIME/TRAN	CURRENT CONTENTS	MAXIMUM CONTENTS
1	3	2.930	.976	92	229.336	3	3
2	3	2.979	.993	47	456.510	3	3
3	3	2.909	.969	100	209.500	3	3
4	3	2.914	.971	97	216.371	3	3
5	3	2.815	.938	93	217.956	3	3
6	3	2.360	.786	93	182.752	3	3
7	3	2.456	.818	62	285.322	3	3
8	3	2.464	.821	59	300.745	3	3
9	3	2.462	.820	60	295.516	3	3
10	3	2.387	.795	58	296.396	3	3
11	3	2.380	.793	56	306.053	2	3
12	3	2.326	.775	57	293.842	3	3
13	3	2.213	.737	56	284.553	3	3
14	3	2.935	.978	19	1112.315	3	3
15	3	2.029	.676	61	239.540	3	3
16	3	1.668	.556	58	207.120	3	3
17	3	.384	.128	89	31.123	3	3
18	3	.529	.176	44	86.590	3	3
19	3	.368	.122	97	27.219	3	3
20	3	.491	.163	94	37.659	3	3
21	3	.516	.172	90	41.333	3	3
22	3	.533	.177	90	42.666	3	3
23	3	.048	.016	59	5.932	1	1
24	3	.029	.009	56	3.750	1	1
25	3	.031	.010	57	4.035	1	1
26	3	.087	.029	55	11.454	1	1
27	3	.000	.000	54	.000	1	1
28	3	.000	.000	54	.000	1	1
29	3	.000	.000	53	.000	1	1
30	3	.000	.000	16	.000	1	1
31	3	.000	.000	58	.000	1	1
32	3	.000	.000	55	.000	1	1
100	10	1.443	.144	510	20.378	1	9
110	2	1.364	.682	3	3274.000	1	2

the two repairmen assigned to the system during the simulation. These repairmen were busy only 68.2% of the time. Under ENTRIES, it is seen that a repairman was needed on three different occasions for an AVERAGE TIME of 327.4 seconds. Currently, one repairman is being utilized, however, both repairmen were needed simultaneously at least once during the simulation.

The SAVEVALUE information is shown in Table 3.5(a). Note the value of SAVEVALUE 100 is 201. This can be interpreted as the number of units which have been backed-up downstream due to the failures in the system being studied.

Table 3.5(b) is the statistics of the behavior of the QUEUE in the model called TRANS. Currently, no units are waiting for open cradles on carousel 3, however, there were as many as 7 units in the waiting line besides the unit trying to capture a cradle during the simulation.



## CHAPTER IV

### RECOMMENDATIONS

The model has been written to enable a person to increase the size of a sub-system, increase the number of sub-systems, and change any data necessary. If core limitations and cost restrict the size of the system, it appears that an adequate representation of the material handling system can still be obtained. First, increase the number of connecting sub-systems to only four or five. Second, run the simulation for an eight hour day. If these restrictions are not used, relatively expensive computer resources will be needed.

Three types of failures were incorporated into the model: tool, machine, and transfer mechanism. The addition of carousel failures will give a complete representation of all major failures. These failures were ignored in this investigation due to their infrequency and the extensive computer programming needed to simulate them. The model contained in this report will cost approximately \$500 to simulate an eight hour day. One carousel failure may occur in this time period and it would simply stop all output and fill all the buffers before the failure point.

In this model, Block sequences are used repetitively with different variables. A certain amount of keypunching

effort can be spared if the Block sequences are defined by macros, with dummy variables supplied in the various Operand positions. The Processor will then substitute these Blocks at various points in the model. A single MACRO card is used at each of these different points to indicate that the macro Block sequence is to be inserted and the dummy variables are to be replaced with the actual variables specified.

From this preliminary study, it can be said the proposed material handling system can operate effectively. However, further study is suggested with the acquisition of additional information for a more complex system. This should give a basis for definitive statements on the system's reactions to failures and an optimum maintenance scheduling policy. It is important to remember, a simulation does not yield a "solution" to the problem as a mathematical model yields an analytical solution; instead it permits a less abstract and relatively more faithful representation of a real system.

## SELECTED REFERENCES

- Gnugnoli, G. and Maisel, H. Simulation of Discrete Stochastic Systems, Science Research Associates, Inc., Chicago, Ill., 1972.
- Gordon, G. The Application of GPSS V to Discrete System Simulation, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1975.
- Greenberg, S. GPSS Primer, Wiley-Interscience, a Division of John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1972.
- Kleijnen, J. P. C. "Monte Carlo Simulation and Its Statistical Design and Analysis," Simuletter, Vol. 6, No. 1, October 1974.  
Discusses application of the Monte Carlo method to deterministic problems in mathematics, distribution sampling in mathematical statistics, and digital systems simulation in management science and economics.
- Shannon, E. "Simulation: A Survey with Research Suggestions," AIIE Transactions, Vol. 7, No. 3, September 1975.  
This paper attempts to survey selected aspects of simulation and point out areas needing additional research. Among the topics discussed are programming languages, starting conditions, and validation.
- Schriber, T. J. Simulation Using GPSS, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1974.  
This is a large volume that is very well done. Chapter 2 has an excellent discussion of GPSS CHAIN features.
- Teorey, T. J. "Validation Criteria for Computer System Simulations," Simuletter, Vol. 6, No. 4, July 1975.  
An excellent paper which addresses the question of what are the major characteristics of the empirical aspects of validation and how one might carry out such a validation process for complex computer system models.
- International Business Machines Corporation, General Purpose Simulation System/360 Introductory User's Manual, GH20-0326-4, 1970.

## APPENDIXES

Appendix A	Mathematical Relationships
Appendix B	Explanation of Column Labels in GPSS CHAIN Printouts
Appendix C	Table of Definitions
Appendix D	Extended Program Listing

Input Rate (I)	Sub-System 1			Sub-System 2		
	Conveyor Angular Velocity (V)	Conveyor Speed (S)	Max Machine Operation Time (MOT)	Conveyor Angular Velocity (V)	Conveyor Speed (S)	Max Machine Operation Time (MOT)
1.1 $\frac{\text{units}}{\text{sec}}$	.0231 $\frac{\text{rev}}{\text{sec}}$	1.250 $\frac{\text{ft}}{\text{sec}}$	5.4 $\frac{\text{sec}}{\text{item}}$	.0167 $\frac{\text{rev}}{\text{sec}}$	1.102 $\frac{\text{ft}}{\text{sec}}$	9.1 $\frac{\text{sec}}{\text{item}}$
1.0	.0208	1.125	6.0	.0152	1.000	10.0
.9	.0189	1.023	6.6	.0136	.900	11.1

(1) An Input Rate of 1.0 units/sec was used in the simulation

#### APPENDIX A

#### MATHEMATICAL RELATIONSHIPS

$$V = (I/N)(1 \text{ cradle/item})$$

$$S = (C)(V)$$

$$\text{MOT} = M/I$$

where:

C = circumference of carousel

N = number of cradles on carousel

M = number of machines

For Sub-System 1:

$$\begin{aligned} C &= 54 \text{ ft/rev} \\ N &= 48 \text{ cradles} \\ M &= 6 \end{aligned}$$

$$V = (I/48 \text{ cradles})(1 \text{ cradle/item})$$

$$S = (54 \text{ ft/rev})(V)$$

$$\text{MOT} = 6/I$$

For Sub-System 2:

$$\begin{aligned} C &= 66 \text{ ft/rev} \\ N &= 66 \text{ cradles} \\ M &= 10 \end{aligned}$$

$$V = (I/66 \text{ cradles})(1 \text{ cradle/item})$$

$$S = (66 \text{ ft/rev})(V)$$

$$\text{MOT} = 10/I$$

MATHEMATICAL RELATIONSHIPS (CONTINUED)

## APPENDIX B

## EXPLANATION OF COLUMN LABELS IN GPSS CHAIN PRINTOUTS

TRANS	Transaction number j.
BDT	Block Departure Time. This represents either the absolute clock time at which the transaction is scheduled to leave an ADVANCE Block (FUTURE EVENT CHAIN) or the time at which it last left an ADVANCE Block (any other CHAIN).
BLOCK	The number of the block at which the transaction is currently located.
PR	Priority Level of Transaction (0,1,...,127).
SF	Selection Factor. Indicates the type of next block trial to be made. (blank)=indicates only one next block to be tried. B=indicates that the current block (given in the BLOCK column) is a TRANSFER Block with a BOTH selection mode. A=indicates that the current block is a TRANSFER Block with an ALL selection mode. The NBA column lists the lowest of the n next blocks to be tried.
NBA	Next Block Attempted. The next block to be entered by the transaction.
SET	Assembly Set Linkage. When the transaction is created, the set number is equal to the transaction number itself. A linkage is formed whenever the transaction enters a SPLIT Block. This linkage is updated when any member of an assembly set enters a SPLIT or TERMINATE Block.
MARK TIME	Mark Time is the time at which a transaction moved out of its GENERATE Block into the model.
P1,...,P4	The first line of printout for each transaction lists the current value of Parameter 1 through 4. The second line lists the values of Parameters 5 through 8 where P5 is in the P1 column, P6 is in the P2 column, etc.
P5,...,P8	
...	

- SI Scan Status Indicator. A one indicates that a next block trial is to be suppressed until the condition causing the delay changes.
- TI Tracing Indicator. A one indicates that the transaction has entered a TRACE Block. It is reset to zero by an UNTRACE Block.
- DI Delay Indicator. A one indicates that the transaction has failed to move directly into some next block. It is used with the TRANSFER Block in the SIM selection mode which resets the delay indicator. The indicator is also reset when the transaction leaves an ADVANCE Block where a nonzero delay time is computed.
- CI Chain Indicator. Zero indicates transaction is in Matching Status or on a User's Chain. One indicates the transaction is in an Interrupt Status. Two indicates the transaction is in CURRENT EVENTS CHAIN. Four indicates the transaction is in FUTURE EVENTS CHAIN.
- MC Matching Condition. A four indicates that the transaction is available for MATCHing, or is at an ASSEMBLE or GATHER Block in the process of being assembled or gathered; otherwise the column is blank.
- PC Preempt Count. This field is incremented by one whenever the transaction is PREEMPTed at one of the facilities which it has SEIZED or PREEMPTed. It is decremented by one whenever the preempt condition is removed.
- PF Preempt Flag. A one indicates that the transaction is to be PREEMPTed when it enters the next ADVANCE Block that specifies a nonzero time. The transaction will also be PREEMPTed if it is delayed at a MATCH, GATHER, or ASSEMBLE Block. The indicator is reset to zero when the transaction is PREEMPTed.

(Taken From IBM's General Purpose Simulation  
System/360 Introductory User's Manual)

## APPENDIX C

## TABLE OF DEFINITIONS

Time Unit: 0.1 seconds

<u>GPSS Entity</u>	<u>Interpretation</u>
Transactions	
Model Segment 1	<p>The flow of items through the production line. P1,P2,...,P5 correspond to items on sub-system 1. P6,P7,...,P10 correspond to items on sub-system 2.</p> <p>P1: The cradle number item wants to (or does) occupy</p> <p>P2: The machine number where the item is located; P2 value of zero corresponds to the position of the input conveyor</p> <p>P3: The time needed for the item to reach the next position on carousel 1</p> <p>P4: The corresponding exiting buffer zone for each machine</p> <p>P5: The corresponding holding gate for each exiting buffer zone</p> <p>P6: Same as P1</p> <p>P7: The machine number where the item is located</p> <p>P8: The time needed for the item to reach the next position on carousel 3</p> <p>P9: Same as P4</p> <p>P10: Same as P5</p>
Model Segment 2	A potential transfer mechanism failure
Model Segment 3	<p>Potential machine failures</p> <p>P1: The number of the machine which has failed</p>
Model Segment 4	The timer

<u>GPSS Entity</u>	<u>Interpretation</u>
Functions	
FFAIL	A Function describing the tool failure probability distribution; a failure occurs after a varying number of tool operations
FOUT1	A Function describing the addition factor needed to calculate the cradle number a unit wants to occupy when the unit is leaving a machine and trying to capture a cradle on output carousel 2
FOUT2	Same as FOUT1, except unit is trying to capture a cradle on output carousel 4
MFAIL	A Function describing the machine failure probability distribution.
POS1	A Function describing the position (machine number) the unit is currently located (on carousel 1)
POS2	A Function describing the position (machine number) the unit is currently located (on carousel 3)
TOA1	A Function describing the time needed for the unit to reach the next position on carousel 1
TOA2	A Function describing the time needed for the unit to reach the transfer mechanism on carousel 2
TOA3	A Function describing the time needed for the unit to reach the next position on carousel 3
TOA4	A Function describing the time needed for the unit to reach the output transfer mechanism on carousel 4

<u>GPSS Entity</u>	<u>Interpretation</u>
Facilities	
1,2,...,6	The machines on sub-system 1
7,8,...,16	The machines on sub-system 2
Logic Switches	
1,2,...,48	The Logic Switches simulating the "occupied"- "vacant" condition of the cradles on carousel 1
49,50,...,96	The Logic Switches simulating the "occupied"- "vacant" condition of the cradles on carousel 2
97,98,...,162	The Logic Switches simulating the "occupied"- "vacant" condition of the cradles on carousel 3
163,164,...,228	The Logic Switches simulating the "occupied"- "vacant" condition of the cradles on carousel 4
301,302,...,316	Logic Switches 301,302,...,316 simulate the corresponding holding gates for machine 1,2,...,16's exiting buffer zones
399	The Logic Switch, when Set, indicates that the transfer mechanism is not operating
400	The Logic Switch simulating the holding gate in the transfer mechanism
Queues	
TRANS	The waiting line in the transfer mechanism in which units wait for open cradles on carousel 3

<u>GPSS Entity</u>	<u>Interpretation</u>
Savevalues	
1,2,...,16	Savevalues in which are stored the number of machine operations for machine 1,2,...,16, respectively
100	Savevalue in which is stored the number of units waiting downstream
200	Savevalue in which is stored the number of units that have entered the model
Storages	
1,2,...,16	Storages whose capacities are the finite waiting spaces in the entering buffer zones of machines 1,2,...,16, respectively
17,18,...,32	Storages whose capacities are the finite waiting spaces in the exiting buffer zones of machines 1,2,...,16, respectively
100	Storage whose capacity is the finite waiting spaces in the transfer mechanism
110	Storage whose capacity is the number of repairmen
Variables	
HOLD1	A variable whose value is the holding gate number used in exiting buffers on sub-system 1
HOLD2	A variable whose value is the holding gate number used in exiting buffers on sub-system 2
OUT1	A variable whose value is the cradle number a unit tries to occupy on carousel 2 when leaving a machine

<u>GPSS Entity</u>	<u>Interpretation</u>
OUT2	A variable whose value is the cradle number a unit tries to occupy on carousel 4 when leaving a machine
SPAC1	A variable whose value is the cradle number a unit tries to occupy on carousel 1 when entering the model
SPAC2	A variable whose value is the cradle number a unit tries to occupy on carousel 3 when leaving the transfer mechanism
XBUF1	A variable whose value is the exiting buffer zone a unit is occupying on sub-system 1
XBUF2	A variable whose value is the exiting buffer zone a unit is occupying on sub-system 2

## APPENDIX D

## EXTENDED PROGRAM LISTING

Required Job Control Language:

```
// JOB T 1001                      RON          TAMU 600 J
// XEQ BOOTS
//SIM105 JOB (S976,RRAD,001,002,RR), 'ROSENTHAL'
/*PASSWORD
/*JOBPARM REGION=256
// EXEC GPSS,REGION=256K,TIME=(,10)
//DINPUT1 DD *
```

BLOCK NUMBER	*LOC	OPERATION	A, B, C, D, E, F, G	COMMENTS
		SIMULATE		
	*	FUNCTION DEFINITIONS		
	*	FFAIL FUNCTION	RN1,C2	TOOL FAILURE FUNCTION
		0,72/1,86		
		FOU1 FUNCTION	P2,L6	ADDITION FACTOR FOR OUTPUT CAROUSEL 2
		1,34/2,30/3,26/4,10/5,6/6,2		
		FOU2 FUNCTION	P7,D10	ADDITION FACTOR FOR OUTPUT CAROUSEL 4
		7,51/8,47/9,43/10,39/11,35/12,18/13,14/14,10/15,6/16,2		
		MFAIL FUNCTION	RN1,C2	MACHINE FAILURE FUNCTION
		0,1/1,17		
		POS1 FUNCTION	P2,D7	POSITION OF ARRIVAL FOR CAROUSEL 1
		0,1/1,2/2,3/3,4/4,5/5,6/6,1		
		POS2 FUNCTION	P7,D11	POSITION OF ARRIVAL FOR CAROUSEL 3
		6,7/7,8/8,9/9,10/10,11/11,12/12,13/13,14/14,15/15,16/16,7		
		TOA1 FUNCTION	P2,D7	TOA TO NEXT POSITION ON CAROUSEL 1
		0,181/1,24/2,24/3,192/4,24/5,24/6,192		
		TOA2 FUNCTION	P2,L6	TOA TO OUTPUT CONVEYOR ON CAROUSEL 2
		1,59/2,36/3,12/4,299/5,276/6,252		
		TOA3 FUNCTION	P7,D11	TOA TO NEXT POSITION ON CAROUSEL 3
		6,204/7,28/8,28/9,28/10,28/11,218/12,28/13,28/14,28/15,28/16,218		
		TOA4 FUNCTION	P7,D10	TOA TO OUTPUT CONVEYOR ON CAROUSEL 4
		7,120/8,93/9,67/10,40/11,13/12,443/13,417/14,399/15,363/16,337		
	*	VARIABLE DEFINITIONS		
	*	OUT1 VARIABLE	((XH200-1)+FN\$FOU1) 48+49	
		OUT2 VARIABLE	((XH200-1)+FN\$FOU2) 66+163	
		SPAC1 VARIABLE	(XH200-1) 48+1	
		SPAC2 VARIABLE	(XH200-1) 66+97	
		XBUF1 VARIABLE	P2+16	EXITING BUFFER ZONES FOR CAROUSEL 2
		XBUF2 VARIABLE	P7+16	EXITING BUFFER ZONES FOR CAROUSEL 4
		HOLD1 VARIABLE	P2+300	HOLDING GATE BUFFERS FOR XBUF1
		HOLD2 VARIABLE	P7+300	HOLDING GATE BUFFERS FOR XBUF4
	*	SAVEVALUE INITIALIZATIONS FOR RANDOM TOOL FAILURES		
	*	INITIAL	XH1,10/XH2,13/XH3,7/XH4,10/XH5,1/XH6,3	
		INITIAL	XH7,14/XH8,4/XH9,1/XH10,13/XH11,7/XH12,11	
		INITIAL	XH13,13/XH14,3/XH15,1/XH16,10	
	*	STORAGE CAPACITY DEFINITIONS		
	*	STORAGE	S1-S32,3/S100,10/S110,2	
	*	MODEL SEGMENT 1 THE PRODUCTION LINE		
	*	GENERATE	10,,,3	UNITS COME INTO SYSTEM VIA INPUT CONVEYOR
1		SAVEVALUE	200+,1,H	INCREMENT NO. OF TRANSACTIONS ENTERED
2		PRIORITY	1	DECREASE PRIORITY
3		TRY1 ASSIGN	1,V\$SPAC1	P1= OF CRADLE ON CAROUSEL UNIT WANTS
4		GATE LR	P1,WAIT1	IS CRADLE AVAILABLE ON CAROUSEL
5		LOGIC S	P1	CAPTURE CRADLE ON CAROUSEL
6		NEXT1 ASSIGN	3,FN\$TOA1	P3=TOA TO NEXT POSITION
7				

8		ADVANCE	P3	MOVE TO NEXT POSITION
9		ASSIGN	2, FN\$POS1	P2=POSITION OF ARRIVAL
10		TRANSFER	BOTH, STOR1, NEXT1	SEE IF UNIT CAN ENTER BUFFER
11	STOR1	ENTER	P2	ENTER BUFFER ZONE OF MACHINE
12		LOGIC R	P1	EMPTY CRADLE ON CAROUSEL
13		SEIZE	P2	CAPTURE MACHINE
14		LEAVE	P2	LEAVE BUFFER
15		TEST LE	XH*2, FN\$FFAIL, TFAL1	HAS MACHINE TOOL FAILED
16	MACH1	ADVANCE	60	MACHINE OPERATES
17		SAVEVALUE	P2+, 1, H	COUNT MACHINE OPERATIONS
18		ASSIGN	4, V\$XBUF1	P4=CORRESPONDING EXITING BUFFER ZONE
19		ENTER	P4	ENTER EXITING BUFFER ZONE
20		RELEASE	P2	RELEASE MACHINE
21	TRY2	ASSIGN	1, V\$OUT1	P1= OF CRADLE ON CAROUSEL UNIT WANTS
22		GATE LR	P1, WAIT2	IS CRADLE AVAILABLE ON CAROUSEL 2
23		PRIORITY	1	DECREASE PRIORITY IF NEEDED
24		LOGIC S	P1	CAPTURE CRADLE ON CAROUSEL
25		LEAVE	P4	LEAVE EXITING BUFFER ZONE
26		ADVANCE	FN\$TOA2	MOVE TO TRANSFER MECHANISM
27	CAR2	GATE LR	399, NOG01	IS TRANSFER CONVEYOR WORKING
28		TRANSFER	BOTH, TRANS, NOG01	SEE IF UNIT CAN ENTER TRANSFER MECH
29	TRANS	ENTER	100	ENTER TRANSFER MECHANISM
30		LOGIC R	P1	RELEASE CRADLE ON CAROUSEL 2
31	TRY3	ASSIGN	6, V\$SPAC2	P6= OF CRADLE ON CAROUSEL UNIT WANTS
32		GATE LR	P6, WAIT3	IS CRADLE AVAILABLE ON CAROUSEL
33		PRIORITY	1	DECREASE PRIORITY IF NEEDED
34		LOGIC S	P6	CAPTURE CRADLE ON CAROUSEL
35		LEAVE	100	LEAVE TRANSFER MECHANISM
36	NEXT2	ASSIGN	8, FN\$TOA3	P8=TOA TO NEXT POSITION
37		ADVANCE	P8	MOVE TO NEXT POSITION
38		ASSIGN	7, FN\$POS2	P7=POSITION OF ARRIVAL
39		TRANSFER	BOTH, STOR2, NEXT2	SEE IF UNIT CAN ENTER BUFFER
40	STOR2	ENTER	P7	ENTER BUFFER ZONE OF MACHINE
41		LOGIC R	P6	EMPTY CRADLE ON CAROUSEL
42		SEIZE	P7	CAPTURE MACHINE
43		LEAVE	P7	LEAVE BUFFER
44		TEST LE	XH*7, FN\$FFAIL, TFAL2	HAS MACHINE TOOL FAILED
45	MACH2	ADVANCE	100	MACHINE OPERATES
46		SAVEVALUE	P7+, 1, H	COUNT MACHINE OPERATIONS
47		ASSIGN	9, V\$XBUF2	P9=CORRESPONDING EXITING BUFFER ZONE
48		ENTER	P9	ENTER EXITING BUFFER ZONE
49		RELEASE	P7	RELEASE MACHINE
50	TRY4	ASSIGN	6, V\$OUT2	P6= OF CRADLE ON CAROUSEL UNIT WANTS
51		GATE LR	P6, WAIT4	IS CRADLE AVAILABLE ON CAROUSEL 4
52		PRIORITY	1	DECREASE PRIORITY IF NEEDED
53		LOGIC S	P6	CAPTURE CRADLE ON CAROUSEL
54		LEAVE	P9	LEAVE EXITING BUFFER ZONE
55		ADVANCE	FN\$TOA4	MOVE TO TRANSFER MECHANISM
56		LOGIC R	P6	RELEASE CRADLE ON CAROUSEL 4
57	OUT	TERMINATE		
58	NOG01	ADVANCE	480	GO AROUND AGAIN
59		TRANSFER	, CAR2	TRY AGAIN
60	TFAL1	ADVANCE	600, 100	REPAIR TOOL
61		SAVEVALUE	P2, 0, H	RESET NO. OF MACHINE OPERATIONS TO 0
62		TRANSFER	, MACH1	GO BACK TO RUN
63	TFAL2	ADVANCE	600, 100	REPAIR TOOL
64		SAVEVALUE	P7, 0, H	RESET NO. OF MACHINE OPERATIONS TO 0

```

65          TRANSFER      ,MACH2      GO BACK TO RUN
66  WAIT1  SAVEVALUE     100+,1,H     INCREMENT NO. WAITING DOWNSTREAM
67          TRANSFER      ,OUT        LEAVE MODEL
68  WAIT2  ASSIGN        5,V$HOLD1     P5=HOLDING GATE FOR OTHER UNITS IN BUFFER
69          GATE LR       P5           IS UNIT FIRST ON LINE
70          LOGIC S       P5           CAPTURE FIRST PLACE
71          ADVANCE      10           WAIT TILL NEXT CRADLE
72          PRIORITY     2           INCREASE PRIORITY
73          LOGIC R       P5           OPEN FIRST PLACE POSITION
74          TRANSFER      ,TRY2        TRY AGAIN
75  WAIT3  QUEUE         TRANS        ENTER LINE
76          GATE LR       400          IS UNIT FIRST ON LINE
77          DEPART       TRANS        LEAVE LINE
78          LOGIC S       400          CAPTURE FIRST PLACE
79          ADVANCE      10           WAIT TILL NEXT CRADLE
80          PRIORITY     2           INCREASE PRIORITY
81          LOGIC R       400          OPEN FIRST PLACE POSITION
82          TRANSFER      ,TRY3        TRY AGAIN
83  WAIT4  ASSIGN        10,V$HOLD2     P10=HOLDING GATE FOR OTHER UNITS IN BUFFER
84          GATE LR       P10          IS UNIT FIRST ON LINE
85          LOGIC S       P10          CAPTURE FIRST PLACE
86          ADVANCE      10           WAIT TILL NEXT CRADLE
87          PRIORITY     2           INCREASE PRIORITY
88          LOGIC R       P10          OPEN FIRST PLACE POSITION
89          TRANSFER      ,TRY4        TRY AGAIN

*
*  MODEL SEGMENT 2  TRANSFER MECHANISM FAILURE
*
90  GENERATE 3900,,,1,3
91  LOGIC S  399          TRANSFER CONVEYOR FAILS
92  ENTER   110          CAPTURE A REPAIRMAN
93  ADVANCE 1200,100     REPAIR IT
94  LOGIC R  399          WORK AGAIN
95  LEAVE   110          FREE THE REPAIRMAN
96  TERMINATE

*
*  MODEL SEGMENT 3  MACHINE FAILURES
*
97  GENERATE 3900,,,3   MACHINE FAILS
98  ASSIGN   1,FNM$FAIL P1=NO. OF MACHINE WHICH FAILED
99  PREEMPT  P1         TAKE MACHINE OUT OF PRODUCTION
100 ENTER   110        CAPTURE A REPAIRMAN
101 ADVANCE 6000,600    MACHINE IS BEING REPAIRED
102 RETURN  P1         MACHINE GOES INTO PRODUCTION
103 LEAVE   110        FREE THE REPAIRMAN
104 TERMINATE

*
*  MODEL SEGMENT 4  THE TIMER
*
105 GENERATE 3600       TIMER ARRIVES AFTER 6 MINS
106 TERMINATE 1
      START   1,NP     START STEADY STATE RUN
      RESET
      START   2,,1,1   RUN FOR 12 MINS
      END     RETURN CONTROL

```