

AD-A032 878

ILLINOIS UNIV AT CHICAGO CIRCLE DEPT OF MATHEMATICS
ON THEORY AND APPLICATIONS OF BIB DESIGNS WITH REPEATED BLOCKS.(U)
AUG 76 A HEDAYAT, W FOODY

F/G 12/1

AF-AFOSR-3050-76

UNCLASSIFIED

UICC-MATH-FH-1

AFOSR-TR-76-1190

NL

| OF |
AD
A032878



END

DATE

FILMED

1-77

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER (8) AFOSR - TR - 76 - 1198	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) ON THEORY AND APPLICATIONS OF BIB DESIGNS WITH REPEATED BLOCKS.	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Interim rept.	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) A. Hedayat & W. Foody	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	9. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 61102F 976905
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS University of Illinois at Chicago Circle Department of Mathematics Box 4348, Chicago, Illinois 60680	10. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Office of Scientific Research/NM Bolling AFB, Washington, DC 20332	11. REPORT DATE August 1976
12. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) A. Hedayat Walter/Foody	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 22	14. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
17. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
18. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) BIB designs with repeated blocks, support of a BIB design, controlled sampling		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Consider BIB designs with parameters v, b, r, k and λ . Define the support of a BIB design to be the set of its distinct blocks and let the cardinality of the support be b^* . If $b^* < b$ then the design is said to be a BIB design with repeated blocks. Some potential applications of such designs to		

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

20 Abstract.

experimental design and controlled sampling are given. Some necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of these designs and some algorithms for their constructions are provided. Bounds on b^* have been obtained. A necessary and sufficient condition under which a set of blocks can be the support of a BIB design are found. A table of BIB designs with $24 \leq b^* \leq 56$ for $v = 8$ and $k = 3$ is included.

UNCLASSIFIED

By

Walter Foody and A. Hedayat
~~UICC-Mathematics Report-FH-I~~

University of Illinois at Chicago Circle
Department of Mathematics 7
Chicago, Illinois 60680 *

DEC 2 1978
D.D.C. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
REGISTERED

Research supported in part by AFOSR 76-3050
and NSF No. MCS76-07217

ACCESSION IS			
HTS	WIDE SPREAD	☒	
ODS	EST. S. 1000	☐	
ORIGINATOR		☐	
JUSTIFICATION			
BY			
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATE			
SERIAL	NO. 1	REC'D	DATE

19

ON THEORY AND APPLICATIONS OF
BIB DESIGNS WITH REPEATED BLOCKS

By Walter Foody and A. Hedayat
University of Illinois at Chicago

Abstract

Consider BIB designs with parameters v, b, r, k and λ . Define the support of a BIB design to be the set of its distinct blocks and let the cardinality of the support be b^* . If $b^* < b$ then the design is said to be a BIB design with repeated blocks. Some potential applications of ^{BIB} ~~such~~ designs with repeated blocks to experimental design and controlled sampling are given. Some necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of these designs and some algorithms for their constructions are provided. Bounds on b^* have been obtained. A necessary and sufficient condition under which a set of blocks can be the support of a BIB design ^{is} ~~are~~ found. A table of BIB designs with $24 \leq b^* \leq 56$ for $v=8$ and $k=3$ is included.

Research supported in part by AFOSR No. 76-3050 and NSF No. MCS76-07217.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 62K10, 62K05, 62L05, Secondary 05B05.

Key words and phrases. BIB designs with repeated blocks, support of a BIB design, controlled sampling.

ON THEORY AND APPLICATIONS OF
BIB DESIGNS WITH REPEATED BLOCKS

Walter Foody and A. Hedayat
University of Illinois at Chicago

1. Introduction and Summary.

From the point of view of application, there is no reason to exclude the possibility that a BIB design would contain repeated blocks. Indeed, the statistical optimality of BIB designs is unaffected by the presence of repeated blocks.

Following the standard notation we consider BIB designs with parameters v, b, r, k and λ . We define the support of a BIB design to be the set of distinct blocks and we denote the cardinality of the support by b^* . As van Lint (1973) has pointed out, many of the BIB designs constructed by Hanani (1961) have repeated blocks. The question of whether, for a given v, b and k , there exists a BIB design with repeated blocks has interested researchers in the area of experimental design. Parker (1963) and Seiden (1963) proved that there is no BIB design with repeated blocks with parameters $v = 2x + 2$, $b = 4x + 2$, $k = x + 1$. The case x even was settled by Parker and x odd by Seiden. Stanton and Sprott (1964) showed, among other results, that if s blocks of a BIB design are identical then $b \geq sv - (s-2)$. Mann (1969) sharpened this result and showed that $b \geq sv$. Note that the result of Parker and Seiden

follows immediately from either of the above inequalities. More recently van Lint and Ryser (1972) and van Lint (1973, 1974) systematically studied the problem of the construction of BIB designs with repeated blocks. Their basic interest was in constructing a BIB design with repeated blocks with parameters v, b, r, k, λ such that b, r , and λ are relatively prime.

Wynn (1975) constructed a BIB design with $v=8$, $b=56$, $k=3$ and $b^*=24$ and discussed an application of such designs in sampling. From the point of view of applications it is desirable to have techniques for producing BIB designs with various support sizes for a given v and k . We have studied the problem of BIB designs with repeated blocks from the later point of view. In Section 3 we outline some potential applications of such designs. In Section 4 we prove some necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of these designs and provide algorithms for their constructions. In particular, we show that the combinatorial problem of searching for BIB designs with repeated blocks is equivalent to the algebraic problem of finding solutions to a set of homogeneous linear equations. Using this equivalence we have produced a table of designs based on $v=8$ and $k=3$ with $24 \leq b^* \leq 56$. In Section 5 we give bounds for the size of the support of BIB designs. In Section 6 we present some conditions under which a set of blocks can be the support of a BIB design.

2. Definitions and Notation.

Let $V = \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ and let $v\mathbb{E}k$ be the set of all distinct subsets of size k based on V . Denote the cardinality of $v\mathbb{E}k$ by vCk . For convenience we shall order the elements of $v\mathbb{E}k$ lexicographically. A balanced incomplete block design, d , with parameters v, b, r, k and λ , written $\text{BIB}(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$, is a collection of b elements of $v\mathbb{E}k$, referred to as blocks, with the properties that:

- (i) each element of V occurs in exactly r blocks,
- and (ii) each pair of distinct elements of V appears together in exactly λ blocks.

We emphasize that this definition does not require that the blocks of a BIB design be distinct elements of $v\mathbb{E}k$. As discussed in Section 3, it may at times be to the experimenter's advantage to implement a $\text{BIB}(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$ with less than b distinct blocks. In this paper we investigate BIB designs from the point of view of reducing the number of distinct blocks. To formalize this concept, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.1. The support of a BIB design, d , is the collection of distinct blocks in d , denoted by d^* . The number of elements in d^* is denoted by b^* and called the support size of d .

We will denote a $\text{BIB}(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$ with support size b^* by $\text{BIB}(v, b, r, k, \lambda | b^*)$. Any incomplete block design may be specified by the number of times that each element of $v\mathbb{E}k$ is repeated in that design. We write f_1 for the frequency of

the i -th element of $v\mathcal{E}k$ in the design. Thus, we identify an incomplete block design, d , with $v\mathcal{E}k$ and the frequency vector $F_d = (f_{d1}, f_{d2}, \dots, f_{dvCk})$. It is clear that $b = f_{d1} + f_{d2} + \dots + f_{dvCk}$ and that b^* is the number of non-zero entries in the vector F_d . The BIB design, d , is said to be a uniform BIB design if the nonzero components of F_d are all identical. A BIB design with $b = b^* = vCk$ is denoted by $d(v, k)$ and referred to as the trivial BIB design based on v and k . A BIB design with $b < vCk$ is said to be a reduced BIB design.

3. Applications of BIB Designs With Repeated Blocks.

A. In Finite Population Sampling:

A sampling design with k observations on a population V of size v is a probability measure on $v\mathcal{E}k$. The support of a sampling design is the set of elements of $v\mathcal{E}k$ which have positive measure. A sampling design is said to be uniform if the probability measure is uniform on the support of the design. Denote by Π_i and Π_{ij} the sum of the probabilities associated with those elements of the support of the sampling design which contain $\{i\}$ and $\{i, j\}$, respectively. A simple random sample, $SRS(v, k)$, is a uniform sampling design whose support is $v\mathcal{E}k$. For the $SRS(v, k)$, $\Pi_i = n/N$ and $\Pi_{ij} = k(k-1)/v(v-1)$.

It is known that the best linear unbiased estimator of the mean of a characteristic of the population based on the

$SRS(v,k)$ is the sample mean, whose variance is $\sigma^2/k(1-k/v)$, where σ^2 is the variance of the population. A question of interest is whether it is possible to construct other sampling designs whose sample means are unbiased estimates of the population mean and also have variances $\sigma^2/k(1-k/v)$. In particular, we might require that these other designs

(1) be nonuniform ; or

(2) have supports whose cardinalities are smaller than vCk .

Such sampling designs have practical applications. For example, it may be that some samples (i.e; some elements of $v \times k$) may be more expensive or difficult to collect due to geographic dispersion or to some other factors. As another example, we may wish to guarantee that the selected sample contains at least a certain number of elements of some particular subsets of the population. In either example we would like to have low or possibly zero probability of selecting the less preferred samples, and to have higher probability of selecting the more preferred samples. For actual examples of applications of such sampling designs, see, for example, Goodman and Kish (1950) and Avadhani and Sukhatme (1973).

Chakrabarti (1963) discussed the use of reduced BIB designs to produce sampling designs. Wynn (1975) noticed that to find a sampling design with reduced support one can use non-reduced BIB designs with repeated blocks. If d is a BIB $(v,b,r,k,\lambda|b^*)$, then we can associate with d a sampling

design by assigning to the l -th element of $v \Sigma k$ probability measure f_l/b . It is easy to check that π_i and π_{ij} for this design will be the same as for the $SRS(v,k)$, and that the sample mean will be an unbiased estimator of the population mean and that the variance will be the same as in $SRS(v,k)$.

B. In Design of Experiments:

It is well known that BIB designs are optimal for a number of criteria under the usual homoscedastic linear additive model for observations. This optimality holds whether or not the BIB designs contain repeated blocks. But in some cases it may well be to the experimenter's advantage to implement a BIB design with repeated blocks. For a variety of reasons the experimenter may not wish to run certain treatment combinations. One situation in which certain combinations of three or more treatments must be avoided is when it is physically impossible to run those combinations in the same block. In some other cases certain combinations of three or more treatments may produce observations which no longer conform to the homoscedastic linear additive model. If we are only interested in estimating treatment effects, we should use a BIB design in which no block contains any combinations which give rise to observations which violate the model.

Suppose the experimenter wishes to implement a BIB design,

but he also wishes to avoid certain treatment combinations. He can search among the non-isomorphic uniform BIB designs to see if there are any which do not contain those combinations. If necessary, he can relabel his treatments. There are cases where one cannot avoid a set of combinations using uniform BIB designs, but can do so by using designs with repeated blocks. In fact, one must always search among the BIB designs with repeated blocks when there does not exist a reduced BIB design for the specified v and k .

4. Construction of BIB Designs with Reduced Support.

In this section we will provide algorithms for the construction of BIB designs based on v and k with support size less than vCk .

Label the elements of $v\Sigma^2$ from 1 to $vC2$. Let $p_{ij} = 1$ if the i -th element of $v\Sigma^2$ is contained in the j -th element of $v\Sigma^k$, and let $p_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. By the pair inclusion vector associated with the j -th element of $v\Sigma^k$, we mean $P_j = (p_{1j}, p_{2j}, \dots, p_{vC2,j})'$. Let

$$P = [P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{vCk}].$$

Lemma 4.1. The frequency vector F determines a BIB design if and only if

$$PF = \lambda \underline{1}$$

where λ is a positive integer.

The proof follows from the fact that $\sum_j f_{ij} p_{ij}$ is the number of times the i -th pair appears in the design.

The problem of constructing BIB designs based on v, k and λ is precisely the problem of finding all non-negative integer solutions, F , to the equation $PF = \lambda \underline{1}$. We use this fact in many of the results which follow.

Given a set of frequency vectors of BIB designs based on v and k , it is natural to inquire how these vectors might lead us to new BIB designs. We record here for reference some facts of this nature. In what follows, we say of the vectors $F_i' = (f_1^{(i)}, f_2^{(i)}, \dots, f_{vCk}^{(i)})$, $i = 1, 2$, that $F_1 < F_2$ if and only if $f_j^{(1)} \leq f_j^{(2)}$ for all j and $f_i^{(1)} < f_i^{(2)}$ for some i . Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be the set of frequency vectors of all BIB designs based on v and k .

Proposition 4.1. Suppose F, F_1 and F_2 are elements of $\mathfrak{F}$.

- (i) If c is a positive integer, then cF is in $\mathfrak{F}$.
- (ii) If g is a common divisor of the entries of F , then $g^{-1}F$ is in $\mathfrak{F}$.
- (iii) $F_1 + F_2$ is in $\mathfrak{F}$.
- (iv) If $F_1 < F_2$, then $F_2 - F_1$ is in $\mathfrak{F}$.

Proof (i) and (iii) are immediate from Lemma 4.1. (ii) and (iv) also follow from this Lemma after observing that $P(g^{-1}F)$ and $P(F_2 - F_1)$ are both vectors of non-negative integers.

Note that (ii) and (iv) can be used to construct from BIB designs new designs with smaller numbers of blocks, and that (iv) can be used to reduce support size. It follows from (ii) that if there is no BIB design with $b < vCk$, then there is no uniform design with $b^* < vCk$.

If we are given a BIB design d , it is natural to ask whether the support, d^* , of this design properly contains the support of another design, d_1 . Theorem 4.1 below shows that the combinatorial problem of searching for such a design is equivalent to the algebraic problem of finding solutions to a set of homogeneous linear equations. If d is a $\text{BIB}(v, b, r, k, \lambda | b^*)$, then we denote by P_{d^*} the matrix whose columns are the pair inclusion vectors associated with the blocks of d^* .

Theorem 4.1. Given d , a $\text{BIB}(v, b, r, k, \lambda | b^*)$, there exists a BIB design d_1 such that $d_1^* \subsetneq d^*$ if and only if there exists a nonzero vector h such that $P_{d^*}h = 0$.

Proof. Let F_{d^*} be the $b^* \times 1$ vector whose components consist of the nonzero entries of F_d . Thus

$$P_{d^*}F_{d^*} = PF_d = \lambda \underline{1}$$

If P_{d^*} is not of full column rank, then there exists a nonzero vector h , each of whose entries are rational, such that $P_{d^*}h = 0$. Let

$$m = \min \{-f_{d^*i}/h_i : h_i < 0\}$$

where f_{d*i} is the i -th component of F_{d*} . Let $g_i = f_{d*i} + mh_i$, $i=1,2,\dots,b^*$. Let t be the smallest positive integer such that $\bar{f}_{d*i} = tg_i$ is an integer for all $i = 1, \dots, b^*$. Define

$$F_{d_1*} = (\bar{f}_{d*1}, \dots, \bar{f}_{d*b*}) = t(F_{d*} - mh).$$

We claim that F_{d_1*} is the frequency vector of a new BIB design, d_1 , where $\bar{f}_{d*i}$ is the frequency in d_1 of the i -th block of the old design, d . To prove this claim, first notice that $\bar{f}_{d*i} \geq 0$, $i=1, \dots, b^*$. Further

$$P_{d*} F_{d_1*} = t P_{d*} F_{d*} - t m P_{d*} h = t \lambda \underline{1}.$$

Since P_{d*} and F_{d_1*} have only integer entries, $t\lambda$ is an integer; thus, by Lemma 4.1, F_{d_1*} is the frequency vector of a BIB design. Also, there is at least one i such that $m = -f_{d*i}/h_i$, and for this i , $\bar{f}_{d*i} = 0$. Therefore, $d_1 \subsetneq d^*$.

Suppose now there exists d_1 , a $\text{BIB}(v, b_1; r_1, k, \lambda_1 | b_1^*)$ such that $d_1 \subsetneq d^*$. Let $\bar{f}_{d*i}$ be the number of times that the i -th block in d^* occurs in d_1 . Let

$$h_i = f_{d*i} - \lambda_1 / (\lambda_2 f_{d*i}), \quad h = (h_1, \dots, h_{b*}).$$

Then $P_{d*} h = 0$.

In the proof of the above theorem, we have actually provided an algorithm for the construction of BIB designs. The designs listed in Table I were obtained by a computer program implementing this algorithm.

In the following three propositions we present some techniques for producing BIB designs whose support is contained within a given design. These propositions may be thought of as special cases of Theorem 4.1.

Proposition 4.2. Suppose d_1 is a $\text{BIB}(v', b_1, r_1, k, \lambda_1 | b_1^*)$, $i = 1, 2$, based on the same set of v' treatment, and suppose $d_1^* \cap d_2^* = \emptyset$. If $v > v'$, then there exists a $\text{BIB}(v, b, r, k, \lambda | b^*)$ with

$$b = e(vCk) \quad \text{and} \quad b^* = vCk - b_1^*,$$

where $e = \lambda_2 / \gcd(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$.

Proof. (by construction). Take e copies of $d(v, k)$. Add an additional $e_1 = \lambda_1 / \gcd(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ copies of d_2 and remove all e copies of d_1 . We have removed $e\lambda_1$ copies of each pair occurring in d_1 and increased the number of times each pair in d_2 occurs by $e_1\lambda_2$. But d_1 and d_2 contain precisely the same pairs, and

$$e_1\lambda_2 = \lambda_1\lambda_2 / \gcd(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = e\lambda_1.$$

That the values of b and b^* are as claimed is clear from the construction.

Example 4.1 Let $v = 8$ and $k = 3$ and $v' = 7$. Let

$d_1:$	124	561		356	723
	235	672		467	134
	346	713	and $d_2:$	571	245
	457			612	

In this case $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$ and $e = e_1 = 1$. Thus by replacing d_1 in $d(8,3)$ by an additional copy of d_2 , we obtain a $\text{BIB}(8,56,21,3,6|49)$.

Corollary 4.1. Suppose d_3 is a $\text{BIB}(v', b_3, r_3, k, \lambda_3 | b_3)$, $v' < v$. Then there exists a $\text{BIB}(v, b, r, k, \lambda | b^*)$ with $b^* = vCk - b_3$.

Proof. Let d_4 be all of the blocks of $d(v', k)$ which are not contained in d_3 . Then apply Proposition 4.2 to d_3 and d_4 .

Example 4.1. (continued). Let d_3 be the set of all blocks based on $v' = 7$ and $k = 3$ which are not contained in d_3 . We can then apply Proposition 4.2 to d_3 and d_4 .

A BIB is still obtained if, in Proposition 4.2, $d_1^* \cap d_2^* = \emptyset$; but the support size will not be reduced to the same extent, as the following proposition shows.

Proposition 4.3. Suppose d_1 is a $\text{BIB}(v', b_1, r_1, k, \lambda_1 | b_1^*)$, $1 = 5, 6$, based on the same set of $v' < v$ treatments. If $|d_5^* \cap d_6^*| = t$, then there exists a $\text{BIB}(v, b, r, k, \lambda | b^*)$ with $b^* = vCk - (b_5^* - t)$ and $b = e(vCk)$ where $e = \lambda_6 / \gcd(\lambda_5, \lambda_6)$.

The proof is analogous to that of Proposition 4.2

Example 4.1. (continued). Let $d_5 = d_1$ and let

	124	435	562
$d_6 =$	467	715	
	273	316	

Here $|d_5^* \cap d_6^*| = 1$ and thus by adding one additional copy

of d_6 to $d(8,3)$ and deleting one copy of d_5 we obtain a $\text{BIB}(8,56,21,3,6|50)$. Note that the block $\{1,2,4\}$ appears only once in the new design.

One may generalize the method of Prop. 4.3 by allowing the BIB designs, d_5 and d_6 , to be based on a smaller block size, $k' < k$, as long as $v - v' \geq k - k'$. Let V' be the set of v' treatments upon which d_5 and d_6 are based, and let $V'' = V - V'$. Fix some subset, A , of $k - k'$ treatments from V'' . Then augment each block of d_5 and d_6 by A . Let d'_5 and d'_6 be these two sets of augmented blocks. Then by a construction exactly like that in the proof of Proposition 4.1, we obtain a $\text{BIB}(v, b, r, k, \lambda | b^*)$ with $b^* = vCk - (b^* - t)$, where $t = |d_5^* \cap d_6^*|$. We could repeat this process for each distinct subset A of $k - k'$ treatments from V'' . Thus we have:

Proposition 4.4. Suppose d_1 is a $\text{BIB}(v', b_1, r_1, k', \lambda_1 | b_1^*)$ $i = 5, 6$, based on the same set of $v' < v$ treatments, with $|d_5^* \cap d_6^*| = t$. If $v - v' \geq k - k'$, then there exists a $\text{BIB}(v, b, r, k, \lambda | b_n^*)$, where $b_n^* = vCk - n(b_5^* - t)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, v - v', C_{k - k'}$, and $b = e(vCk)$, $e = \lambda_6 / \gcd(\lambda_5, \lambda_6)$.

Example 4.1 (continued). Let $v = 8$, $k = 4$. We can augment d_5 and d_6 to produce

	8124	8561		8124	8715
d'_5 :	8235	8672	d'_6 :	8467	8316
	8346	8713		8273	8562
	8457			8435	

Note that d'_5 and d'_6 are not BIB designs. Now by adding one additional copy of d'_6 to $d(8,4)$ and removing d'_5 , we obtain a $\text{BIB}(8,70,35,4,15|64)$.

In the above propositions, one set of blocks based on a BIB design was replaced by another. But, in fact, it is not necessary that these sets be based on BIB designs.

Example 4.1 (continued).

d_7 :	156	235	d_8 :	135	256
	134	246		146	234

Add one copy of d_7 to $d(8,3)$ and delete one copy of d_8 to produce a $\text{BIB}(8,56,21,3,6|52)$.

5. Bounds on the Support Size of a BIB Design.

The literature of BIB designs contains lower bounds on the total number of blocks in a $\text{BIB}(v,b,r,k,\lambda)$. One such result is the well known Fisher's inequality: $b \geq v$. In this section some bounds on the number of distinct blocks in a BIB design are given.

Let $b^*_{\min}$ be the smallest support size for BIB designs based on a given v and k . We bound $b^*_{\min}$ in the following proposition. Here for each real number x , let $\{x\}$ denote the smallest integer greater than or equal to x .

Proposition 5.1. For all BIB designs based on v and k ,

$$\left\{ \frac{v}{k} \left\{ \frac{v-1}{k-1} \right\} \right\} \leq b^*_{\min} \leq r(P) \leq vC_2,$$

where $r(P)$ is the rank of the matrix P defined in Section 4.

Proof. Suppose $r(P) > b^*_{\min}$; that is, suppose there exists d , a BIB design for v and k with $b^* = b^*_{\min} > r(P)$. Now since the number of columns of P_{d^*} is b^* and since $r(P_{d^*}) \leq r(P)$, it follows that P_{d^*} is not full rank. Thus, by Theorem 4.1, there exists d_1 , a BIB design for v and k such that $b^*_1 < b^* = b^*_{\min}$, a contradiction. Therefore $b^*_{\min} \leq r(P)$. But $r(P) \leq vC_2$, since P has vC_2 rows.

To establish the lower bound, note that in a BIB design each pair of elements of V appears at least once. Let n be the smallest number of blocks needed to cover the vC_2 pairs of V . Observe that in order to cover all pairs, each element of V must appear in at least $\{v-1/k-1\}$ distinct blocks. So, the average number of distinct blocks in which each element appears, nk/v , must be at least $\{v-1/k-1\}$. Thus $b^*_{\min} \geq \{(v/k)\{v-1/k-1\}\}$.

Remark. A result analogous to $b^*_{\min} \leq vC_2$ in the context of sampling was given by Wynn (1975). Also, the argument used to establish the lower bound has been used by those investigating the problems of minimal covering. [See, for example, Kalbfleisch and Stanton (1968)].

Corollary 5.1. $b^*_{\min} \geq b/\lambda$.

Proof. In a $BIB(v, b, r, k, \lambda)$, $(v/k) = (b/\lambda)(v-1/k-1)$. So $\{(v/k)\{v-1/k-1\}\} \geq b/\lambda$.

Proposition 5.2. Suppose a $BIB(v, b, r, k, \lambda | b^*)$ has frequency vector $(f_1, \dots, f_{vCk})$. Then

$$(i) \quad b \geq v f_1, \quad i = 1, \dots, vCk; \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \quad b^* \geq v,$$

Proof: (i) is a result of Mann (1968).

Let $f = \max \{f_i : i = 1, \dots, vCk\}$. Then

$$f \geq (\sum_{i=1}^k f_i) / b^* = b / b^*.$$

Thus (ii) follows from (i).

Whether Proposition 5.1 or Proposition 5.2 provides the sharper lower bound for b^* depends upon the values of v and k .

6. Characterization of the Support of a BIB Design

Given a set $\mathcal{S}$ of distinct blocks from $v\Sigma k$, it would be desirable to know whether there exists a BIB design with $\mathcal{S}$ as its support. This section contains several criteria for determining whether $\mathcal{S}$ is the support of a BIB design.

For each pair, α , in $v\Sigma 2$, let λ_α be the number of blocks containing α . To avoid trivialities we assume throughout this section that the $\lambda_\alpha \geq 1$ for all α in $v\Sigma 2$; that is, $\mathcal{S}$ is a covering of $v\Sigma 2$.

Lemma 6.1. Suppose S_1 is a block in $\mathcal{S}$ and α, β are two pairs contained in S_1 with $\lambda_\alpha = 1$. If $\mathcal{S}$ is the support of a BIB design, then $\lambda_\beta = 1$.

Proof. Let $F = (f_1, \dots, f_{vCk})$ be the frequency vector of a BIB design with support $\mathcal{S}$. Suppose $\lambda_\beta > 1$. Then there exists $S_j \neq S_1$ in $\mathcal{S}$ such that β is contained in S_j . Thus $f_j \neq 0$. Then the β -entry of the vector PF is at least as large as $f_1 + f_j > f_1$, but the α -entry is f_1 , contradicting Lemma 4.1.

Example 6.1. Let $\mathcal{S}$ consist of

123	145	167	178
246	257	258	347
356	348	168	

Although $\mathcal{S}$ covers all pairs for $v=8$ and $k=3$, it cannot be the support of a BIB design. Let $\alpha=(6,8)$ and $\beta=(1,6)$. Then $\lambda_\alpha=1$ and $\lambda_\beta=2$, but both are contained in 168.

Corollary 6.1. If $\mathcal{S}$ is the support of a BIB design, then the number of pairs that are contained exactly once in $\mathcal{S}$ is divisible by $kC2$.

Proof. Each block of $\mathcal{S}$ which contains a pair appearing only once in $\mathcal{S}$ contains exactly $kC2$ such pairs, by Lemma 6.1, and hence the result.

In attempting to construct a BIB design with minimum support, it would seem natural to start with a minimal covering of the pairs. The following proposition shows when this strategy will succeed.

Proposition 6.1. If $\mathcal{S}$ is a minimal covering of the pairs of elements of V , then $\mathcal{S}$ is the support of a BIB design if and only if $\mathcal{S}$ is itself a BIB design.

Proof. If $\mathcal{S}$ is a BIB design it is its own support. To show the converse, suppose $\mathcal{S}$ is not a BIB design. Then there exists a pair α such that $\lambda_\alpha > 1$. Now α is contained in some block S of $\mathcal{S}$. Now there is a pair β in S such that $\lambda_\beta = 1$; for, if not, we could remove the block S and $\mathcal{S} - \{S\}$ would still be a covering. So, by Lemma 6.1,

$\mathcal{S}$ is not the support of a BIB design.

Given a set of distinct blocks, $\mathcal{S}$, we associate with each block $S \in \mathcal{S}$ a vector.

$$T(S, \mathcal{S}) = (\lambda_{\alpha_1}, \lambda_{\alpha_2}, \dots, \lambda_{\alpha_{kC/2}})$$

where α_j is the j -th pair contained in S . We say that the block S is pair balanced in $\mathcal{S}$ if $T(S, \mathcal{S}) = (c, c, \dots, c)$.

Proposition 6.2. If every block in the pair covering $\mathcal{S}$ is pair balanced, then $\mathcal{S}$ is the support of a BIB design.

Proof. (by construction) Collect all blocks of $\mathcal{S}$ with the same T vector. This partitions $\mathcal{S}$ into classes

$\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2, \dots, \mathcal{S}_t$ so that every block in a given class, $\mathcal{S}_i$, has the same $T = (n_1, \dots, n_1)$, say. To produce a BIB design with $\mathcal{S}$ as its support, take λ/n_1 copies of each block in $\mathcal{S}_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$, where λ is the least common multiple of n_1 , $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$.

Notice that each pair of $v\Sigma^2$ appears in exactly one class, $\mathcal{S}_i$. Moreover, each pair which appears in $\mathcal{S}_i$ appears exactly n_1 times. Thus, the above procedure guarantees that every pair will appear exactly λ times in the design.

Example 6.2. Let $v = 7$ and $k = 3$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be:

124	346	672	435
156	235	647	572
137	457	263	

It can be checked that $\mathcal{S}$ is a covering and that each of its blocks is pair balanced in $\mathcal{S}$. Indeed, each block in the first

column has $T = (1,1,1)$ and each of the remaining blocks has $T = (2,2,2)$. Therefore, by taking 2 copies of the blocks in the first column and one copy of the remaining blocks, we obtain a $\text{BIB}(7,14,6,3,2|11)$.

7. BIB Designs with $v=8$ and $k=3$.

Using the relations $rv = bk$ and $\lambda(v-1) = r(k-1)$ which hold in any $\text{BIB}(v,b,r,k,\lambda)$, we can easily verify that there is no reduced BIB design based on $v=8$ and $k=3$. Indeed, $v=8$ and $k=3$ are the smallest v and k with $2 < k < v/2$ for which there is no reduced BIB. We were thus interested in finding the possible support sizes in this case.

In Section 4, we gave several examples of $\text{BIB}(8,56,21,3,6|b^*)$ with $b^* < 56$. In Table 1 of this section we list some of the designs produced by a computer program implementing the algorithm described in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

In Table 1 each column specifies a BIB design. The first two entries give the support size and the number of blocks in the design. The remaining entries in each column form the frequency vector of the design. Note that there is a design listed with each support size from 24 to 55. While we have found no design with $b^* < 24$, we do not have any theoretical reason to believe that $b_{\min}^* = 24$.

Table 1

BIB Designs With $v=8$ and $k=3$
Support Sizes 24 to 55

b*	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	
b	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	112	56	112	112	112	
123	-	3	1	-	-	2	-	-	3	-	-	-	2	-	3	1	3	-	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	
124	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	3	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	2	2	2	
125	1	1	-	-	-	-	4	-	1	3	-	1	-	1	1	2	-	3	2	1	1	-	2	-	-	1	1	1	2	1	-	1	2
126	-	-	4	2	2	3	-	2	-	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	3	-	2	1	1	1	2	1	3	3	2	2
127	2	-	1	2	2	1	-	1	-	-	3	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	1	3	2	2	2
128	3	2	-	-	-	-	2	-	2	1	3	4	1	4	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	-	1	-	-	1	1	2	1	2	2	3	3
134	-	2	-	-	-	1	1	-	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	3	3
135	-	1	3	4	4	2	-	3	1	-	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	3	1	3	3	3	2
136	5	-	2	1	1	1	2	1	-	2	1	3	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2
137	1	-	-	1	1	-	2	2	-	2	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	2
138	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	2	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	-	1
145	1	-	3	-	-	2	2	-	-	3	3	3	2	3	-	2	-	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	2	2	2	2	1
146	1	-	-	3	3	-	2	2	-	1	2	2	-	2	-	-	2	1	-	2	-	1	1	1	2	1	1	3	1	2	2	2	2
147	-	3	1	-	-	1	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	2	2	2
148	-	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	-	-	1	-	3	1	-	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	3	3	3	3
156	-	4	-	-	-	2	-	1	4	-	1	-	2	-	3	1	1	-	1	-	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	3	2	2	2
157	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	2	-	-	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	2	2	2	2
158	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	2	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	2	-	2	-	2	1	1	1	-	-	2	2	2	2
167	-	1	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	1	2	1	-	2	1	2	-	2	2	2	1	-	1	-	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	2
168	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	2	2	1	2	2	1	1	-	2	1	1	-	2	2	1	1	2	2
178	3	2	4	3	3	4	2	3	2	3	-	2	3	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	-	2	1	1	2	1	1	5	2	2	2	2	2
234	4	3	2	2	2	2	3	1	2	3	1	3	1	3	2	-	-	2	-	2	2	1	-	1	1	2	1	2	1	3	3	2	2
235	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	2	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	1	-	2	1	2	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	2	2
236	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	1	2	1	1	-	1	1	-	1	3	1	1	1	-	1	-	1	2	1	2	1	3	3	2	2
237	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	2	1	2	-	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	3	1	2	2	2	2	2
238	-	-	3	2	3	2	-	3	-	0	-	0	-	2	1	-	2	1	-	2	1	2	2	2	1	-	2	2	1	1	1	2	2
245	1	1	2	-	-	2	-	-	1	-	2	1	2	1	1	-	2	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	3	3
246	1	2	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	4	-	-	3	-	1	-	3	1	1	-	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	2
247	-	-	-	2	2	-	2	2	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	3	3
248	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	-	1	2	2	-	1	-	1	-	1	2	-	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	1
256	2	2	1	2	3	1	-	2	1	-	1	3	2	3	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	2	2	2	2
257	2	1	3	1	1	3	1	1	2	2	-	1	2	1	1	-	1	2	-	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	4	4	1	1
258	-	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	2	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	-	2	1	3	3	2	2
267	-	2	-	-	-	-	2	1	2	1	2	-	-	-	2	1	3	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	-	-	2	2
268	3	-	-	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	2
278	-	3	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	2
345	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	2	2	1	1
346	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	2	1	-	2	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	-	3	1	2	2	2
347	-	-	3	-	-	2	-	-	-	3	-	1	-	1	2	2	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	2	3	2	2	2	1	1
348	2	-	-	4	3	-	2	3	-	2	1	2	1	1	-	1	2	2	2	-	-	1	2	1	2	2	-	2	1	2	2	3	3
356	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	2
357	2	5	-	-	-	-	4	-	4	3	1	2	-	2	3	2	1	2	3	2	2	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	2
358	4	-	3	-	-	4	2	-	1	2	0	3	3	3	1	-	1	1	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	4	2	3	3	2	2
367	1	-	3	5	5	4	-	4	-	0	1	4	1	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	3	1	3	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	2
368	-	5	-	-	-	-	1	-	3	1	3	1	-	1	3	2	1	1	1	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	2
378	-	1	-	-	-	-	2	-	0	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	1	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	2	2	3	3
456	-	-	2	1	-	3	1	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	3	-	2	1	2	-	2	1	2	1	-	1	2	3	2	2
457	-	-	1	4	4	2	1	4	-	1	0	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	2	1	1	3	1	2	2	3	3
458	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	1	-	0	1	-	1	2	3	1	-	2	1	2	-	2	-	1	-	1	3	1	2	2	2
467	3	3	1	-	-	1	1	-	2	3	1	2	1	1	2	-	1	2	1	-	-	3	-	1	-	1	2	1	2	1	3	2	2
468	1	-	3	1	2	3	-	2	-	1	1	3	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	-	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	2
478	3	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	1	2	2	-	3	-	1	1	1	1	3	-	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	1
567	2	-	2	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	2	1	-	2	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	1	-	-	2	1	2	2	2	2
568	2	-	3	2	2	2	3	2																									

References

- Avadhani, M.S. and Sukhatme, B.V. (1973). Controlled sampling with equal probabilities and without replacement. Int. Statist. Rev. 41 175-182.
- Chackrabarti, M.C. (1963). On the use of incidence matrices in sampling from finite populations. J. Indian Statist. Soc. 1 78-85.
- Foody, W. and Hedayat, A. (1976). On theory and application of BIB designs with repeated blocks. Technical Report FH.1, Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Chicago.
- Goodman, R. and Kish, L. (1950). Controlled selection - A technique in probability sampling. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 45 350-372.
- Hanani, H. (1961). The existence and construction of balanced incomplete block designs. Ann. Math. Statist. 32 361-386.
- Kalbfleisch, J.G. and Stanton, R.G. (1968). Maximal and minimal coverings of $(k-1)$ -tuples by k -tuples. Pacific J. Math. 26 132-140.
- van Lint, J.H. (1973). Block designs with repeated blocks and $(b, r, \lambda) = 1$. J. Combinatorial Theory (A) 15 288-309.
- van Lint, J.H. (1974). Combinatorial Theory Seminar Eindhoven University of Technology. Lecture Notes in Mathematics #382. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- van Lint, J.H. and Ryser, H.J. (1972). Block designs with repeated blocks. Discrete Mathematics 3 381-396.

- Mann, H.B. (1969). A note on balanced incomplete block designs. Ann. Math. Statist. 40 679-680.
- Parker, E.T. (1963). Remarks on balanced incomplete block designs. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 729-730.
- Seiden, E. (1963). A supplement to Parker's "Remarks on balanced incomplete block designs". Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 731-732.
- Stanton, R.G. and Sprott, D.A. (1964). Block intersections in balanced incomplete block designs. Canad. Math. Bull. 7 539-548.
- Wynn, H.P. (1975). Convex sets of finite population plans. Ann. Statist. (to appear).