

AD-A032 931

ARMY ENGINEER WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION VICKSBURG MISS  
CONDITION SURVEY, PLATTSBURGH AIR FORCE BASE, NEW YORK.(U)  
JUN 73 R D JACKSON, J C HART, G D GILMAN

F/G 1/5

UNCLASSIFIED

WES-MP-S-73-46


NL

1 of 1  
ADA032931



END

DATE  
FILMED  
1 -77



ADA 032931



*Handwritten initials: C, NW*


MISCELLANEOUS PAPER S-73-46

# CONDITION SURVEY, PLATTSBURGH AIR FORCE BASE, NEW YORK

by  
R. D. Jackson

COPY AVAILABLE TO DDC DOES NOT  
PERMIT FULLY LEGIBLE PRODUCTION

DDC  
REGISTERED  
DEC 3 1976  
C  
*Handwritten initials: JTC*



June 1973

Sponsored by Office, Chief of Engineers, U. S. Army

Conducted by U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station  
Soils and Pavements Laboratory  
Vicksburg, Mississippi

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

**Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return  
it to the originator.**

**The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official  
Department of the Army position unless so designated  
by other authorized documents.**



9 MISCELLANEOUS PAPER, S-73-46 ✓

6 CONDITION SURVEY, PLATTSBURGH  
AIR FORCE BASE, NEW YORK.

by

10 R. D. Jackson,  
J. C. Hart  
G. D. Gilman



ACCESSION for	
NTIS	Write Service ✓
DDC	But Service
UNANTICIPATED	
JUSTIFICATION	
BY _____	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY	
DIST.	AVAIL. SERVICE
A	

14 WES-MP-S-73-46

11 Jun 1973

12 26p.

Sponsored by Office, Chief of Engineers, U. S. Army

Conducted by U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station ✓  
Soils and Pavements Laboratory  
Vicksburg, Mississippi

ARMY-MRC VICKSBURG, MISS

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

038 100  
bpg

### Foreword

The study reported herein was conducted under the general supervision of the Engineering Design Criteria Branch, Soils and Pavements Laboratory, of the U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES), Vicksburg, Mississippi. Personnel involved in the condition survey were Messrs. R. D. Jackson, P. S. McCaffrey, Jr., and W. J. McKay of the WES and Mr. J. C. Hart of the U. S. Army Engineer Division, New England (NED), Waltham, Massachusetts. The main portion of this report was prepared by Mr. Jackson under the general supervision of Messrs. J. P. Sale, R. G. Ahlvin, R. L. Hutchinson, and P. J. Vedros of the Soils and Pavements Laboratory. That portion of the study pertaining to frost action was carried out by the U. S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL), Hanover, New Hampshire, with the assistance of the Foundations and Materials Branch, NED. The section of this report concerning frost action was prepared by Mr. Hart and by Mr. G. D. Gilman of CRREL.

COL Ernest D. Peixotto, CE, was Director of the WES during the conduct of the study and preparation of the report. Mr. F. R. Brown was Technical Director.

Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Foreword . . . . .	iii
Conversion Factors, British to Metric Units of Measurement . . . .	vii
Authority . . . . .	1
Purpose and Scope . . . . .	1
Pertinent Background Data . . . . .	1
General description of airfield . . . . .	1
Previous reports . . . . .	2
History of Airfield Pavements . . . . .	2
Design and construction history . . . . .	2
Traffic history . . . . .	3
Conditions of Pavement Surfaces . . . . .	3
Pavement inspection procedure . . . . .	3
Runway . . . . .	4
Taxiways . . . . .	4
Aprons . . . . .	4
Frost Action . . . . .	5
Objectives of inspection . . . . .	5
Frost heave . . . . .	5
Freezing indices . . . . .	6
Groundwater . . . . .	7
Thaw weakening . . . . .	8
Maintenance . . . . .	9
Evaluation . . . . .	9
Conclusions . . . . .	10
Tables 1-4	
Photos 1-5	
Plate 1	

Conversion Factors, British to Metric Units of Measurement

British units of measurement used in this report can be converted to metric units as follows:

<u>Multiply</u>	<u>By</u>	<u>To Obtain</u>
inches	2.54	centimeters
feet	0.3048	meters
miles (U. S. statute)	1.609344	kilometers
square inches	6.4516	square centimeters
miles per hour	1.609344	kilometers per hour
pounds (mass)	0.45359237	kilograms
pounds (force) per square inch	0.6894757	newtons per square centimeter

CONDITION SURVEY, PLATTSBURGH AIR FORCE BASE, NEW YORK

Authority

1. Authority for conducting condition surveys at selected airfields is contained in amendment to FY 1972 RDTE Funding Authorization (MFS-MC-5, 16 February 1972), subject: "Air Force Airfield Pavement Research Program," from the Office, Chief of Engineers, U. S. Army, Directorate of Military Construction, dated 18 February 1972.

Purpose and Scope

2. The purpose of this report is to present the results of a condition survey performed at Plattsburgh Air Force Base (PAFB), New York, during 7-10 August 1972. The following three major areas of interest were considered in this condition survey:

- a. The structural condition of the primary airfield pavements.
- b. The condition of pavement repairs and the types of maintenance materials that have been used at this airfield.
- c. Any detrimental effects of frost action to the pavement facilities.

3. This report is limited to a presentation of visual observations of the pavement conditions, discussion of these observations, and pertinent remarks with regard to the performance of the pavements. No physical tests of the pavements, foundations, or patching materials were performed during this survey.

Pertinent Background Data

General description of airfield

4. PAFB is located in Clinton County, New York, approximately 3 miles\* south of the city of Plattsburgh, on Lake Champlain. The

---

\* A table of factors for converting British units of measurement to metric units is presented on page vii.

airfield is bounded on the west and north by State Route 22, on the east by U. S. 9 and Lake Champlain, and on the south by the Salmon River. A vicinity map is shown in plate 1.

5. In August 1972, the airfield facilities consisted of a NW-SE (17-35) runway, a parallel taxiway, a large parking apron, two warm-up aprons, connecting taxiways from the runway to the parallel taxiway, a calibration hardstand, and a series of hangar aprons and taxiways. The NW-SE runway was 11,760 ft long and 300 ft wide; the taxiways were 75 ft wide; the parking apron was 8,835 ft long and approximately 1,100 ft wide; the calibration hardstand was 275 ft in diameter; the warm-up aprons were irregular in shape; and the hangar aprons were of various dimensions. A layout of the airfield and a pavement plan indicating the type pavement on each facility are shown in plate 1.

#### Previous reports

6. Previous reports concerning the airfield pavements at PAFB are listed below. Pertinent data were extracted from them for use in this condition survey report.

##### a. Condition survey reports:

- (1) Ohio River Division Laboratories, CE, "Condition Survey Report, Plattsburgh AFB, Plattsburgh, New York," October 1957, Mariemont, Ohio.
- (2) \_\_\_\_\_, "Condition Survey Report, Plattsburgh AFB, Plattsburgh, New York," June 1960, Mariemont, Ohio.

##### b. Pavement evaluation reports:

- (1) Goodkind and O'Dea, Consulting Engineers, "Airfield Pavement Evaluation Report, Plattsburgh AFB, New York," March 1958, prepared for the U. S. Army Engineer District, New York, CE, New York, New York.
- (2) Civil Engineering Center, Wright-Patterson AFB, "Airfield Pavement Evaluation Report, Plattsburgh AFB, New York," January 1972, Dayton, Ohio.

### History of Airfield Pavements

#### Design and construction history

7. The runway, taxiways, and aprons were designed to carry a 100,000-lb loading on dual wheels spaced 37 in. center to center, with

a tire contact area of 267 sq in. The hangar access aprons were designed for an 80,000-lb loading on the same gear configuration. Details of the construction history are presented in table 1. Pavement thicknesses, descriptions, and other details are presented in table 2.

#### Traffic history

8. A detailed traffic record was not available for this study; however, based on the incomplete records, it is reasonable to assume that the airfield has sustained at least the following number of cycles\* of traffic per type of aircraft: B-47's, 42,000; B-52's, 3,400; KC-135's, 8,000; KC-97's, 17,000; C-141's, C-135's, C-124's, and C-133's, 1,900; and all other aircraft, approximately 34,000. In addition to these amounts of traffic, a considerable number of alert exercises have been performed, some of which have consisted of taxiing from the apron to the end of the runway and returning to the apron, while others have consisted of taxiing from the apron to the end of the runway, taxiing down the length of the runway, and returning to the apron. One hundred sixty-five such movements involving B-47's have been performed at 230,000-lb gross loadings. Records available indicate that 156 alert movements of B-52 aircraft have been made at gross loads of approximately 480,000 lb.

#### Conditions of Pavement Surfaces

##### Pavement inspection procedure

9. The following procedure was used in conducting the inspection of the rigid pavements. Representative features were selected for detailed inspection. The features were then inspected slab\*\* by slab, and the defects were recorded. The locations of the individual pavement features, the inspection starting points, and the directions in which the pavements were inspected (shown by arrows) are indicated in plate 1.

10. The results of the rigid pavement survey for those features that were inspected in detail are presented in table 3. This table

---

\* A cycle of operation is one landing and one takeoff.

\*\* A slab is the smallest unit, containing no joints, of a given pavement feature.

shows a quantitative breakdown of the various types of defects and a condition rating for each pavement feature inspected in detail. The procedures used for determining the condition rating of a pavement are given in Appendix III of Department of the Army Technical Manual TM 5-827-3, "Rigid Airfield Pavement Evaluation," dated September 1965.

#### Runway

11. The first 1000 ft of the SE (35) end of the runway (features R1A and R2B) was in excellent condition, with only two major defects noted. Features R5A and R4B, the first 1000 ft of the NW (17) end, were in very good condition. Twelve major defects were noted in these features, nine of which were diagonal cracks, with seven of these being in the two center lanes. The interior portion of the runway (feature R3C), which had a total of 56 major defects (47 of which were in the center 120 ft), was in excellent condition. Photo 1 shows diagonal cracks in four slabs in the center of the runway, approximately 6000 ft from the SE end.

#### Taxiways

12. The conditions of the taxiways ranged from good to excellent. Taxiway A (feature T2A) was in very good condition, with major defects being most prevalent in the center lane in the area just south of the apron. Apron taxiway A (feature T3A) was also in very good condition, with the major defects being about equally divided among the three lanes. Several slabs had been replaced in this area of the taxiway. The south connecting taxiway, taxiway B (feature T1A), was in very good condition. Five of the seven major defects in this feature were in the center lane. Taxiway E (feature T4A), the north connecting taxiway, contained only eight major defects, none of which were in the center 25 ft. This feature was in very good condition. Taxiways C and D were in excellent condition.

#### Aprons

13. The parking apron and extension (feature A1B) were in excellent condition based on the percentage of slabs containing no major defects less than 7 percent of the slabs contained defects. About 400 ft from the SE end of the apron, there was an area approximately

200 by 500 ft in which a large percentage of the slabs were discolored from the effects of water rising through the slab joints. Even though water was standing on the surface of some of this area, the survey revealed no abnormal number of defects for this area as compared with the number of defects in areas where there was no evidence of water. The north warm-up apron (feature A2B) contained 27 major defects, 11 of which were in the outer lane. This feature was in very good condition. The south warm-up apron (feature A3B) was in very good condition, with approximately 15 percent of the slabs containing either major or minor defects.

14. All of the pavement features not specifically mentioned in the preceding paragraphs were in conditions ranging from good to excellent.

#### Frost Action

##### Objectives of inspection

15. One member of the team inspected the pavement facilities for evidence of detrimental frost effects. The objectives of the inspection were to determine:

- a. Any adverse effects of frost heave to the pavements during the winter months.
- b. Any traffic-induced failures that might be related to thaw weakening of the subgrades or base courses.

##### Frost heave

16. The airfield pavements were inspected for surface irregularities indicative of differential frost heaving. The inspection, which was conducted on 8 and 9 August, did not coincide with the period of thawing of frozen base courses and subgrades and therefore the effects of any nonuniform heave probably would not be apparent except in severe cases.

17. Engineers in the Base Civil Engineering Office were queried regarding the development of undesirable surface unevenness during the winter. Pilot testimony regarding runway unevenness was not available, since the last B-52 squadron left this base in early 1971. The consensus

of the survey team, however, was that the runway did not exhibit roughness detectable in an automobile at speeds of up to 50 mph. The rigid pavement runway was considered to be in excellent condition, with no evidence of differential frost heaving.

18. The taxiways and aprons were smooth at the time of inspection and in very good to excellent condition. Engineers in the Base Civil Engineering Office reported no undesirable surface unevenness during the winter or spring. Some minor unevenness was noted at the east end of the south warm-up apron (feature A3B) due to settlement of two concrete slabs. Several of the adjacent slabs appeared to have been mudjacked some time in the past. There was no evidence, however, that this settlement resulted from frost heaving.

19. The runway overruns were as smooth as the adjacent runway rigid pavements, but the flexible shoulder pavements showed evidence of minor roughness. The light bases were flush with the adjacent flexible shoulder pavements, with the exception of a few locations where backfill settlement had caused depressions around the fixtures.

#### Freezing indices

20. A design freezing index of 1150 degree-days is cited in previous pavement evaluation reports (see paragraph 6b). This value, however, is representative of mean rather than design index severity in this area. Utilizing data from the Burlington, Vermont, Weather Bureau Station, up to and including the 1971-72 season, a design index of 1850 degree-days can be obtained based on the three coldest winters in the past 30. Average monthly temperatures for the months entirely within the freezing seasons and average daily temperatures for the transition months at both ends of the freezing seasons are considered in this determination. Available Air Weather Service mean monthly temperatures for PAFB indicate that the temperature conditions at Burlington and Plattsburgh are very similar, even though they are on opposite sides of Lake Champlain. Seasonal indices after 1957-58 are as follows:

<u>Freezing Season</u>	<u>Freezing Index degree-days</u>	<u>Freezing Season</u>	<u>Freezing Index degree-days</u>
1958-59	1685	1965-66	1064
1959-60	1135	1966-67	1293
1960-61	1644	1967-68	1617
1961-62	1368	1968-69	1734
1962-63	1641	1969-70	1812
1963-64	1362	1970-71	1821
1964-65	1292	1971-72	835

The indices tabulated above were determined solely on the basis of average monthly temperatures. Indices thus determined are generally somewhat lower than those determined with consideration given to average daily temperatures for the transition months at both ends of the freezing season. The tabulated indices, however, do indicate the relative severity of winters during and following the period that heavy-load aircraft have operated at PAFB. This period includes two winters of design freezing index severity (1969-70 and 1970-71) and several winters approaching design freezing index severity.

21. In view of the fact that experienced freezing indices have been of design magnitudes several times since the pavements have been constructed, the general absence of evidence of differential frost heaving of the pavements is significant. For the above design index, a combined rigid pavement and base thickness of about 95 in. is required for the prevention of subgrade freezing, and combined thicknesses of about 60 to 65 in. are required for limited subgrade frost penetration design. Since the pavements were constructed on the subgrade without base courses, substantial subgrade frost penetration would be expected during all winters. The absence of frost heaving in these pavements is due to the fact that the subgrade soil is a nonfrost-susceptible material. (The report referenced in paragraph 6b(1) includes the results of construction control soil testing.)

#### Groundwater

22. Data from the reports referenced in paragraph 6 indicate that groundwater has generally been located 5 ft or more below the pavement surface. There are indications, however, that in places

groundwater may be located at or near the pavement surface. Evidence of high groundwater was noted at the SE end of the parking apron where water was bleeding from some of the joints (photo 2) and in the shoulder of the south warm-up apron where water was bleeding from around a light base (photo 3).

#### Thaw weakening

23. The extent of thaw weakening of the subgrades and base courses could not be readily determined by inspection of the pavements. Pavement failures usually are repaired soon after they occur and usually are not easily examined during a condition survey. However, even where examination is possible, it is often impossible to establish by visual observations whether a failure is the result of thaw weakening or of deficiencies in the thickness of the pavement components with respect to the "normal" period subgrade and loading conditions. The depletion of the fatigue resistance of a pavement system is progressive under repeated loadings and in frost areas is related to thaw weakening in that the rate of depletion is greater during and directly following the frost-melting period. This rate of pavement weakening holds true whether the evidence of fatigue becomes apparent during the melting period or at some other time. The degree of thaw weakening and its effects, if any, on the condition of the pavements at PAFB consequently could not be appraised solely by this inspection. Some limited perception of the severity of thaw weakening effects can be gained, however, by comparing the performance of certain pavement features with what might be expected in the light of current frost design criteria.

24. The rigid pavements had very few load-induced defects despite a 1- to 4-in. deficiency in pavement thickness according to current, normal (nonfrost) heavy-load design criteria (265,000-lb gear loads). The primary pavements, which were designed for medium-load aircraft (100,000-lb gear loads), have not been overloaded by the principal aircraft using the base (B-47's and KC-97's). B-52 aircraft operations (see paragraph 8), however, have overloaded the 14-in. traffic area A and 13-in. traffic area B pavements (see plate 1). The good performance of these pavements can be attributed to the high strength and

nonfrost-susceptible nature of the subgrade soil. Thaw weakening of the subgrade is not considered to have been a factor in the development of the few load-induced defects observed during the survey, and no reduction in the evaluations (table 4) for frost-condition operations is believed warranted.

#### Maintenance

25. Maintenance of the airfield pavements at PAFB has consisted primarily of repairing spalls, resealing joints, and replacing a limited number of slabs. Maintenance costs since 1970 have been as follows:

<u>Year</u>	<u>Cost</u>
1970	\$ 95,000
1971	35,000
1972	170,404

During the time of this survey, a spall repair program was in progress. In addition, the runway was being grooved for a distance of 70 ft either side of the center line to create a more skid resistant surface and alleviate the danger of hydroplaning. The joints on the runway were to be sealed at the conclusion of the grooving operation. Photos 4 and 5 show views of the grooved pavements.

#### Evaluation

26. A summary of the pavement evaluation is presented in table 4. Previously published pavement evaluations were updated to eliminate aircraft that are no longer in the Air Force inventory and to include aircraft that have been added to the inventory since the last pavement evaluation. The evaluation is based on the pavement thickness, flexural strength (PCC), base and subbase thickness and strength, strength of subgrade (CBR or k value), and the structural condition of the pavement.

Conclusions

27. The following statements summarize the findings of this investigation: (1)

- a. The primary pavements were in very good to excellent condition; (2)
- b. The pavements have adequately supported the loadings to which they have been subjected, and (3)
- c. No evidence of detrimental effects of frost action was noted, since the subgrade soil is a nonfrost-susceptible material.

4

Table 1

Airfield Construction History

Pavement Facility	Dimensions		Pavement		Construction	
	Length ft	Width ft	Thickness in.	Type	Year(s)	Agency
NE-SW (17-35) runway	11,760	300	13 and 14	PCC	1954-55	CE*
South warm-up apron	600+	225	14	PCC	1954	CE
Taxiway A	2,859+	75	14	PCC	1955	CE
Taxiway B	1,100+	75	14	PCC	1955	CE
Taxiway C	1,000+	75	14	PCC	1955	CE
Taxiway D	875	75	14	PCC	1955	CE
Taxiway E	850	75	14	PCC	1955	CE
Apron taxiway A sta 74+75 to 155+50	8,075	75	14	PCC	1955	CE
Parking apron, sta 74+75 to 126+00	5,125	1075+	14	PCC	1955	CE
Parking apron sta 126+00 to 155+50	2,950	1075+	14	PCC	1955-56	CE
Docks 2741, 2766, 2793, 2785, 2803, 2818 and access taxiways	Varies	Varies	13	PCC	1955	CE
Access taxiway for docks 2837 and 2890	550	75	13	PCC	1955	CE
Hangar aprons (2) and access taxiways (2)	Varies	Varies	13	PCC	1955	CE
North warm-up apron	800+	225	14	PCC	1955	CE
Calibration hardstand (275-ft diam)			14	PCC	1955	CE
Apron taxiway A extension sta 155+50 to 163+10+	760+	75	14	PCC	1956	CE
Parking apron extension sta 155+50 to 163+10+	760+	1075	14	PCC	1956	CE
Docks 2837 and 2890	Varies	Varies	13	PCC	1956	CE
Washrack and 50-ft park- ing apron widening	Varies	Varies	13 and 14	PCC	1956	CE

\* CE denotes Corps of Engineers.

Table 2  
SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL PROPERTY DATA

FACILITY				OVERLAY PAVEMENT			PAVEMENT			BASE			SUBGRADE		GENERAL CONDITION OF AREA CONSIDERED
FACILITY NUMBER AND IDENTIFICATION	LENGTH FT	WIDTH FT	THICK IN	DESCRIPTION	FLEX. STR PSI	THICK IN	DESCRIPTION	FLEX. STR PSI	THICK IN	CLASSIFICATION	CBR OR K	CLASSIFICATION	CBR OR K		
31A 30-SE runway; lat 500 ft, SE end	500	300				14	Portland cement concrete	750				Sand (S1-20)	450	Excellent	
32B 30-SE runway; 2nd 500 ft, SE end	500	300				14	Portland cement concrete	750				Sand (S1-20)	450	Excellent	
33C 30-SE runway interior	970	300				13	Portland cement concrete	750				Sand (S1-20)	450	Excellent	
34B 30-SE runway; 2nd 500 ft, SE end	500	300				14	Portland cement concrete	750				Sand (S1-20)	450	Very good	
35A 30-SE runway; lat 500 ft, SE end	500	300				14	Portland cement concrete	750				Sand (S1-20)	450	Very good	
37A Taxiway B	1100	75				14	Portland cement concrete	750				Sand (S1-20)	450	Very good	
37A Taxiway A	2852	75				14	Portland cement concrete	750				Sand (S1-20)	450	Very good	
37A Apron taxiway A and extension	8832	75				14	Portland cement concrete	750				Sand (S1-20)	450	Very good	
37A Taxiway E	850	75				14	Portland cement concrete	750				Sand (S1-20)	450	Very good	
37C Taxiway C	1000	75				14	Portland cement concrete	750				Sand (S1-20)	450	Excellent	
37C Taxiway D	875	75				14	Portland cement concrete	750				Sand (S1-20)	450	Excellent	
37E Taxiways G, H, I, J, and K	Variable	Variable				13	Portland cement concrete	750				Sand (S1-20)	450	Very good	
41B Parking apron and extension	8832	1075				14	Portland cement concrete	750				Sand (S1-20)	450	Excellent	
42B North warm-up apron	800	285				14	Portland cement concrete	750				Sand (S1-20)	450	Very good	
43B South warm-up apron	600	285				14	Portland cement concrete	750				Sand (S1-20)	450	Very good	
44C Calibration burstand (275-ft diam) and taxiway	Irregular	Irregular				14	Portland cement concrete	750				Sand (S1-20)	450	Good	
45B Hangar and foot aprons	Irregular	Irregular				13	Portland cement concrete	750				Sand (S1-20)	450	Very good to excellent	
46B Hangar and taxiway F	Irregular	Irregular				13	Portland cement concrete	750				Sand (S1-20)	450	Excellent	

Table 3

SUMMARY OF DATA - RIGID PAVEMENT CONDITION SURVEY

AIRFIELD, Flanders AFB, Tallahassee, New York

DATE: August 1972

NO.	FEATURE DESIGNATION	SLAB SIZE FT	APPROX NO. OF SLABS	PAVE. THICK (IN.)	NO. OF SLABS CONTAINING INDICATED DEFECTS													% OF SLABS WITH DEFECTS	% OF SLABS WITH DEFECTS	CONDITION								
					I	-	\	Δ	*	K	w	S	J	J	↓	J	⊕				M	P	O	C	D			
R1A	NW-SE runway: 1st 1000 ft, SE end	20 by 20	560	14	2																			97.2	99.4	Excellent		
R2B	NW-SE runway: 1st 1000 ft, SE end	20 by 25	6532	13	24	13	16	3																	97.4	99.4	Excellent	
R3C	NW-SE runway interior	20 by 25	560	14	2		9	1																	94.1	97.8	Very Good	
R4B	NW-SE runway: 1st 1000 ft, NW end	20 by 25	272	14		3	2	2																	93.4	97.8	Very Good	
T2A	Taxiway A	20 by 25	1813	14	159	4	18	3																	61.3	90.2	Very Good	
T3A	Apron taxiway A and extension	25 by 20	157	14	7		1																		91.8	95.0	Very Good	
T4A	Taxiway E	25 by 20	230	14	1	2	1	1																	91.3	98.3	Excellent	
T5C	Taxiway C	25 by 20	200	14																						94.1	100	Excellent
T6C	Taxiway D	20 by 25	155	13	3		1	4																		84.0	94.9	Very Good
T7B	Taxiway H	25 by 20	138	13	16	3	3	3																		71.0	85.6	Good
T7B	Taxiway I	25 by 20	138	13	16	3	3	3																		71.0	85.6	Good

REMARKS:

LEGEND:

<p>I LONGITUDINAL CRACK</p> <p>- TRANSVERSE CRACK</p> <p>\ DIAGONAL CRACK</p> <p>Δ CORNER BREAK</p> <p>* SHATTERED SLAB</p> <p>K KEYED JOINT FAILURE</p>	<p>M MAP CRACKING</p> <p>P PUMPING JOINT</p> <p>O POP-OUT</p> <p>C UNCONTROLLED CONTRACTION CRACK</p> <p>D "D" CRACKING</p>
--	---

WES FORM NO. 2004  
JUN 1972

(1 of 2 sheets)

Table 3 (Continued)

DATE: August 1972		SUMMARY OF DATA - RIGID PAVEMENT CONDITION SURVEY														AIRFIELD, Flatteburgh AFB, Flatteburgh, New York													
NO.	FEATURE	SLAB SIZE FT	APPROX NO. OF SLABS	PAVE THICK. IN.	NO. OF SLABS CONTAINING INDICATED DEFECTS														% OF SLABS NO. MAJOR DEFECTS	% OF SLABS NO. MINOR DEFECTS	CONDITION								
					I	-	\	Δ	*	K	w	S	J	ψ	J	⊕	M	P				O	C	D					
17B	Taxiway J	25 by 20	140	13	2							1	1	1	3	6								90.6	98.5	Excel-lent			
17B	Taxiway K	25 by 20	98	13	12		4	2				1	1	1	3	11									80.6	83.7	Good		
A1B	Parking apron and extension	20 by 20	*	14	172	95	117	43				231	27	234	89	275							31	26		93.4	96.0	Excel-lent	
A2B	North warm-up apron	20 by 20	337	14	15	5	6	1				12	2			1										89.5	93.0	Very good	
A3B	South warm-up apron	20 by 20	450	14	10	3	11	9				20		5	8	15											85.3	93.4	Very good
A4C	Calibration hard-stand and taxiway	25 by 25	189	14	12	11	8		1			26	1	1	5	1											74.2	85.3	Good
A5B	Heavy apron (adjacent to taxiway J)	25 by 20	220	13			3	1				2	2	4	2	3											96.8	96.8	Excel-lent
A5B	Dock 2766	20 by 20	139	13	6	1	4	1				3			5	1											88.5	96.2	Very good
A5B	Dock 2741	20 by 20	123	13	4	1								2	1	2	1										91.2	96.2	Very good

REMARKS: \* Three hundred seventy-seven slabs were discolored; 3014 slabs were not counted because of interference of temporary buildings and parked aircraft.

- LEGEND:
- I LONGITUDINAL CRACK
  - TRANSVERSE CRACK
  - \ DIAGONAL CRACK
  - Δ CORNER BREAK
  - \* SHATTERED SLAB
  - K KEYED JOINT FAILURE
  - w SHRINKAGE CRACK
  - S SCALING
  - J SPALL ON TRANSVERSE JOINT
  - ψ SPALL ON LONGITUDINAL JOINT
  - J CORNER SPALL
  - ⊕ SETTLEMENT
  - M MAP CRACKING
  - P PUMPING JOINT
  - O POP-OUT
  - C UNCONTROLLED CONTRACTION CRACK
  - D "D" CRACKING

Table 4  
SUMMARY OF PAVEMENT EVALUATION

NAME OF AIRFIELD: Flatburgh AFB, New York DATE OF EVALUATION MONTH: August YR: 1972		LOAD-CARRYING CAPACITY IN LB OF GROSS PLANE LOAD FOR INDICATED LANDING GEAR TYPES AND CONFIGURATIONS										REMARKS	
NO.	FEATURE DESIGNATION	PAVEMENT OPERATIONAL USE	TRICYCLE ARRANGEMENT										
			1 SINGLE 100 PSI TIRE PRESSURE	2 SINGLE 100-SQ-IN. CONTACT AREA	3 SINGLE 241-SQ-IN. CONTACT AREA	4 TR 20IN.-C-C 226-SQ-IN. CONTACT AREA EACH TIRE	5 SINGLE TANDEM 60IN. SPACING 400-SQ-IN. CONTACT AREA EACH TIRE	6 TR 30IN.-C-C 287-SQ-IN. CONTACT AREA EACH TIRE	7 TR 44IN.-C-C 430-SQ-IN. CONTACT AREA EACH TIRE	8 TWIN TANDEM 39IN. x 6IN. 208-SQ-IN. CONTACT AREA EACH TIRE	9 C-1A GEAR CONFIGURATION		10 SINGLE TANDEM 377-SQ-IN. CONTACT AREA EACH TIRE
RLA	NW-SE runway; 1st 500 ft. SE end; 1st 500 ft. NW end; and taxi- way E	Capacity	155,000+	85,000+	155,000+	220,000+	200,000+	235,000	360,000	380,000+	800,000+	370,000	
R2E	NW-SE runway; 2nd 500 ft. SE end; 2nd 500 ft. NW end; parking apron and exten- sion; and north warm-up apron	Capacity	155,000+	85,000+	155,000+	220,000+	200,000+	280,000	330,000+	380,000+	800,000+	460,000	
R3C	NW-SE runway interior	Capacity	155,000+	85,000+	155,000+	220,000+	200,000+	330,000	330,000+	380,000+	800,000+	470,000	
T1A	Taxiway B	Capacity	155,000+	85,000+	155,000+	220,000+	200,000+	220,000	300,000	380,000+	800,000+	340,000	
T2A	Taxiway A	Capacity	150,000	85,000+	155,000+	215,000	200,000+	205,000	275,000	380,000+	800,000+	320,000	
T3A	Apron taxiway A and extension	Capacity	155,000+	85,000+	155,000+	220,000+	200,000+	225,000	300,000	380,000+	800,000+	350,000	
T5C	Taxiway C	Capacity	155,000+	85,000+	155,000+	220,000+	200,000+	330,000+	330,000+	380,000+	800,000+	500,000	
T6C	Taxiway D	Capacity	155,000+	85,000+	155,000+	220,000+	200,000+	330,000+	330,000+	380,000+	800,000+	440,000	
T7B	Taxiways F, G, H, I, J, and K; hangar and dock aprons; and warehouse	Capacity	150,000	85,000+	155,000+	220,000	200,000+	250,000	330,000+	380,000+	800,000+	350,000	
A3B	South warm-up apron	Capacity	155,000+	85,000+	155,000+	220,000+	200,000+	260,000	330,000+	380,000+	800,000+	370,000	
A1C	Calibration hardstand	Capacity	155,000+	85,000+	155,000+	220,000+	200,000+	330,000+	330,000+	380,000+	800,000+	490,000	

Note: + sign denotes allowable gross loading greater than maximum gross weight of any existing aircraft having indicated gear configuration. The pavements are adequately protected against frost action.




Photo 1. Diagonal cracks in four slabs in center of runway, approximately 6000 ft from SE end



Photo 2. Water bleeding from joints in SE end of parking apron




Photo 3. Water bleeding from around light base in shoulder of south warm-up apron




Photo 4. View of grooved pavement looking down runway





Photo 5. View of grooved pavement  
looking across runway



VICINITY MAP  
SCALE IN MILES  
0 1 2

LEGEND

- PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE
- BLAST PAVEMENT (AC - NONTRAFFIC)
- DOUBLE BITUMINOUS SURFACE TREATMENT
- 

TYPE OF FEATURE (SEE NOTE 1)


- R - RUNWAY
- T - TAXIWAY
- A - APRON

TYPE TRAFFIC AREA (SEE NOTE 2)



- A - A TYPE TRAFFIC
- B - B TYPE TRAFFIC
- C - C TYPE TRAFFIC
- X - NO TRAFFIC TYPE ASSIGNED

- AC - ASPHALTIC CONCRETE
- PCC - PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE
- DBST - DOUBLE BITUMINOUS SURFACE TREATMENT
- DIRECTION OF SURVEY

NOTES: 1. FEATURE DESIGNATION DENOTES TYPE OF FEATURE, NUMBER OF FEATURE FOR GIVEN TYPE, AND TYPE TRAFFIC AREA.  
2. TRAFFIC AREA DESIGNATIONS ARE BASED ON HEAVY-LOAD CRITERIA.







PLATTSBURG AFB  
 AIRFIELD LAYOUT AND PAVEMENT PLAN