

AD-A035 196

GEORGIA INST OF TECH ATLANTA SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL EN--ETC F/G 12/2
ON AN OPERATOR THEORY OF LINEAR SYSTEMS WITH PURE AND DISTRIBUT--ETC(U)
1975 E W KAMEN

UNCLASSIFIED

ARO-11554.6-M

DA-ARO-D-31-124-73-G171
NL

1 OF 1
ADA035196



END

DATE
FILMED
3 - 77

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

19 REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER AR011554.6-M	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) ON AN OPERATOR THEORY OF LINEAR SYSTEMS WITH PURE AND DISTRIBUTED DELAYS		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Reprint
7. AUTHOR(s) E. W. Kamen		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) ✓ DA-AR0-D-31-124-73-G171
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, Georgia 30332		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Research Office Post Office Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709		12. REPORT DATE 11 1975
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 4
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) D D C REF ID: A66666 FEB 1 1977 REGISTERED		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Convolution Representation theory Linear systems Delay equations Control theory		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A representation theory based on convolution operations is developed for a large class of linear systems containing pure and distributed delays in state and control. In terms of this framework a necessary and sufficient condition and a sufficient condition are given for func- tional (null) controllability. The conditions involve the generation of modules defined over a convolution ring of functions.		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

Unclassified

408631

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

ADA035196

ON AN OPERATOR THEORY OF LINEAR SYSTEMS WITH PURE AND DISTRIBUTED DELAYS*

E. W. Kamen
School of Electrical Engineering
Georgia Institute of Technology
Atlanta, Georgia 30332

Abstract

A representation theory based on convolution operations is developed for a large class of linear systems containing pure and distributed delays in state and control. In terms of this framework a necessary and sufficient condition and a sufficient condition are given for functional (null) controllability. The conditions involve the generation of modules defined over a convolution ring of functions.

1. Introduction

In many control problems the systems under consideration contain pure and distributed time delays in state and control (examples are given by MANITIUS [1]). Such systems are usually referred to as hereditary systems since the rate of change of the present state depends on past values of the state and control or input.

In this paper we consider the class of linear systems given by a first-order functional differential equation of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) = & \int_{-c}^0 A(\theta)x(t+\theta)d\theta + F_0x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^r F_i x(t-a_i) \\ & + \int_{-d}^0 B(\theta)u(t+\theta)d\theta + G_0u(t) + \sum_{i=1}^s G_i u(t-b_i) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where c, d and the a_i, b_i are positive real numbers, the F_i (G_i) are $n \times n$ ($n \times m$) matrices over the reals R , $A(\theta)$ (resp. $B(\theta)$) is a $n \times n$ ($n \times m$) matrix of (Lebesgue) measurable and integrable functions on $[-c, 0]$ ($[-d, 0]$), $x(t) \in R^n$ is the "instantaneous state," and $u(t) \in R^m$ is the input.

Systems of the form (1) have been studied using mainly functional-analytical methods applied to a state space setting defined in terms of the product space $R^n \times L^p(-h, 0; R^n)$ where $h = \max\{c, a_i\}$.

In particular, numerous results on controllability and optimal feedback control can be found in the work of DELFOUR-MITTER [2,3], DELFOUR [4], and

DELFOUR-McCALLA-MITTER [5] (see also the survey by MANITIUS [6]).

In contrast to existing methods, our approach to the study of (1) is based on an algebraic setting defined in terms of convolution operators. More precisely, in the next section it is shown that (1) can be written in the form

$$\dot{x}(t) = (F * x)(t) + (G * u)(t) \quad (2)$$

where $*$ denotes convolution and F and G are matrices whose elements belong to a convolution ring of functions and impulses (Dirac distributions). The convolution representation (2) is a special case of the time-domain operator framework developed by KAMEN [7].

In the latter part of the paper the representation (2) is applied to the problem of driving initial functions to the zero function in finite time (functional null controllability). New algebraic criteria for controllability are given in terms of modules defined over the convolution rings.

2. Representation by Convolution Operators

Let L^{loc} denote the space of all real-valued Lebesgue measurable functions $f(t)$ that are locally integrable, i.e. $\int_K |f(t)|dt < \infty$ for any compact subset K of R . Let L_+^{loc} denote the subspace of L^{loc} consisting of all functions with support bounded on the left. It is easily verified that L_+^{loc} is a ring with pointwise addition and with convolution defined by

$$(g * f)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\theta)f(t-\theta)d\theta.$$

*This work was supported by the U.S. Army Research Office, Durham, N.C., under Grant DA-ARO-D-31-124-73-G171.

Note that if the support of g is contained in $[0, a]$, $a > 0$, then

$$(g * f)(t) = \int_0^a g(\theta) f(t - \theta) d\theta$$

and by a change of variables, we have

$$(g * f)(t) = \int_{-a}^0 \hat{g}(\theta) f(t + \theta) d\theta \quad (3)$$

where $\hat{g}(\theta) = g(-\theta)$.

Letting δ_a denote the unit impulse (Dirac distribution) concentrated at the point $\{a\}$, define

$$J = \left\{ f + \sum_{i=1}^q b_i \delta_{a_i} : f \in L_+^{loc}, a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}, \right. \\ \left. q = \text{positive integer} \right\}. \quad (4)$$

The addition in the definition of J can be taken in a formal sense or it can be viewed as addition in some space of distributions. The set J is an overring of L_+^{loc} with the convolution operation given by

$$\left(f + \sum b_i \delta_{a_i} \right) * \left(g + \sum c_i \delta_{d_i} \right) = \\ f * g + \sum (c_i f(t - d_i) + b_i g(t - a_i)) \\ + \sum_j \left(\sum_i b_i c_i \delta_{a_j + d_i} \right). \quad (5)$$

Note that δ_0 is the identity element of the ring J .

Finally, let L_+^1 denote the space of all real-valued measurable functions $f(t)$ defined a. e. on $\mathbb{R}$ with support bounded on the left, such that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| dt < \infty$. The space L_+^1 is a subring of L_+^{loc} .

Via the above constructions we can now characterize (1) in terms of convolution operations.

Theorem 1: With $u \in (L_+^1)^m$ and $x \in J^n$, the system equation (1) can be written in the form $\dot{x}(t) = (F * x)(t) + (G * u)(t)$ where F (G) is a $n \times n$ ($n \times m$) matrix over $J_c = \{\alpha \in J : \text{supp } \alpha \text{ is compact and contained in } [0, \infty)\}$.

Proof: Applying (3) and (5) to (1), we have that

$$\dot{x} = \left(\hat{A} + \sum_{i=0}^r F_i \delta_{a_i} \right) * x + \left(\hat{B} + \sum_{i=0}^s G_i \delta_{b_i} \right) * u$$

where $\hat{A}(t) = A(-t)$ and $\hat{B}(t) = B(-t)$. By definition of A, B and the F_i, G_i , the coefficient matrices of x and u are over J_c . ■

3. Initial Conditions

In this section we show how to "handle" nonzero initial conditions in solving the operator equation $\dot{x} = F * x + G * u$. This result will be utilized in the next section to study functional controllability.

Suppose that we are given

$$\dot{x}(t) = (F * x)(t) + (G * u)(t) \quad (6)$$

with F and G defined over J_c as in Theorem 1.

Now let $a = \max \{\tau \in \text{supp } F\}$ and $b = \max \{\tau \in \text{supp } G\}$. Then to solve (6) for $t > 0$ we need to specify $x(t)$ on the interval $[-a, 0]$ and $u(t)$ on the interval $[-b, 0]$. We assume the following initial conditions

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x(0) &= x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ x(t) &= \phi(t), t \in [-a, 0], \phi \in (L_{[-a, 0]}^1)^n \\ u(t) &= u_0(t), t \in [-b, 0], u_0 \in (L_{[-b, 0]}^1)^m \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (7)$$

where $L_{[c, d]}^1 = \{f \in L_+^1 : \text{supp } f \subseteq [c, d]\}$.

Given $f \in L_+^1$, define

$$f|_{[c, d]}(t) = \begin{cases} f(t), & t \in [c, d] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

By the results of DELFOUR [4], with initial data (7) and $u|_{(0, \infty)} \in (L_{(0, \infty)}^1)^m$, the convolution equation (6) has a unique solution $x(t)$ with $x|_{(0, \infty)} \in (L_{(0, \infty)}^{loc})^n$.

Theorem 2: Given $u|_{(0, \infty)} \in (L_{(0, \infty)}^1)^m$ with initial data (7), the solution of (6) for $t > 0$ is equal to the solution for $t > 0$ of

$$\dot{x}(t) = (F * x)(t) + (G * u)(t) + v(t)$$

with initial data equal to zero, where

$$v = x_0 \delta_0 + (F * \phi + G * u_0)|_{(0, \infty)} \in J_c^n$$

Proof: Clearly $x_0 \delta_0$ sets up the initial value $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since $x = \phi + x|_{(0, \infty)}$ and $u = u|_{(0, \infty)} + u_0$ with $x_0 = 0$, we have that

$$F * x + G * u = F * x|_{(0, \infty)} + G * u|_{(0, \infty)} + F * \phi + G * u_0$$

Hence

$$(F * x + G * u)|_{(0, \infty)} = F * x|_{(0, \infty)} + G * u|_{(0, \infty)} \\ + (F * \phi + G * u_0)|_{(0, \infty)}. \quad \blacksquare$$

As a consequence of Theorem 2, operational methods, such as those developed in [7], can be used to solve operational differential equations of the form (6) with nonzero initial conditions. We shall now apply this result to the study of controllability.

4. Controllability

Let L_C^1 denote the set of all $f \in L_+^1$ such that $\text{supp } f$ is compact and contained in $[0, \infty)$. With the induced operations L_C^1 is a convolution ring contained in the ring J_C .

Definition: The system given by (6) is said to be (null) controllable if for any initial condition (7), there exists a control $u \in (L_C^1)^m$ such that the solution of (6) is zero for all $t > h$, some $h > 0$.

In terms of the following constructions we derive a necessary and sufficient condition for controllability.

Let p^n denote the n^{th} derivative of δ_0 in the sense of distributions. The element p^n belongs to $\mathcal{D}'_+$, the convolution ring of Schwartz distributions on $\mathbb{R}$ with support bounded on the left (see [7]). Given $f \in L_+^{\text{loc}}$, the derivative of f in the sense of distributions is equal to $p * f$ (with the convolution $p * f$ carried out in $\mathcal{D}'_+$). Therefore (6) can be expressed completely in terms of convolution operations:

$$(pI - F) * x = G * u \quad (8)$$

where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

Now let $J_C[p]$ denote the set of all finite sums $\sum \alpha_i * p^i$ where $\alpha_i \in J_C$. With the standard operations, $J_C[p]$ is a convolution ring of distributions (contained in $\mathcal{D}'_+$). The rings J_C and $L_C^1 (\subset J_C)$ are subrings of $J_C[p]$.

Letting $J_C[p]^n$ denote the space of n -element column vectors over $J_C[p]$, we have that $J_C[p]^n$ is a free finite module over $J_C[p]$ with componentwise addition and with multiplication defined by

$$\pi(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n)^{\text{TR}} = (\pi * \beta_1, \pi * \beta_2, \dots, \pi * \beta_n)^{\text{TR}}$$

where $\pi, \beta_i \in J_C[p]$, and TR denotes the transpose.

Since L_C^1 is a subring of $J_C[p]$, by restricting the multiplication operation to L_C^1 we have that $L_C^1[p]^n$ is also a (nonfinite) L_C^1 -module. Given $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_q \in J_C[p]^n$, let $\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_q \rangle_{L_C^1}$

denote the L_C^1 -submodule of $J_C[p]^n$ generated over L_C^1 by $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_q$. That is, $\langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_q \rangle_{L_C^1}$ is the set of all sums $\sum \pi_i \gamma_i, \pi_i \in L_C^1$.

Theorem 3: The system given by (6) is controllable if and only if J_C^n is contained in the L_C^1 -module generated over L_C^1 by the columns of the $n \times n$ matrix $(pI - F)$ and the $n \times m$ matrix G ; that is,

$$J_C^n \subset \langle (pI - F), G \rangle_{L_C^1} \quad (9)$$

Proof: Suppose that (9) holds and the initial condition (7) is given. Then there exist $\alpha \in (L_C^1)^m$ and $\beta \in (L_C^1)^n$ such that

$$(pI - F) * \beta - G * \alpha = x_0 \delta_0 + (F * \phi + G * u_0)|_{(0, \infty)} \in J_C^n.$$

Therefore by (8) and Theorem 2, β is the solution of (6) with the given initial data and with input $u|_{(0, \infty)} = \alpha$. Hence the system is controllable.

Conversely, suppose that the system is controllable.

Define $e_i = (0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0)^{\text{TR}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.
 $\uparrow$
 i^{th} place

By Theorem 2, $e_i \delta_0$ sets up the initial value $x_0 = e_i$. Then since the system is controllable, by (8) and Theorem 2 there exist $u_i \in (L_C^1)^m$ and $x_i \in (L_C^1)^n$ such that

$$(pI - F) * x_i - G * u_i = e_i \delta_0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

But $e_1 \delta_0, e_2 \delta_0, \dots, e_n \delta_0$ is a basis of J_C^n as a J_C -module, so that given $\gamma \in J_C^n$, there exist $\gamma_i \in J_C$ such that $\gamma = \sum \gamma_i (e_i \delta_0)$. Hence

$$\gamma = (pI - F) * (\sum \gamma_i * x_i) - G * (\sum \gamma_i * u_i). \quad (10)$$

Then since $\alpha * f \in L_C^1$ for any $\alpha \in J_C, f \in L_C^1$, it follows from (10) that the columns of $(pI - F)$ and G generate J_C^n over L_C^1 . ■

Corollary: The system (6) is controllable if and only if

$$e_i \delta_0 \in \langle (pI - F), G \rangle_{L_C^1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

where $e_i = (0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
 $\uparrow$
 i^{th} place

In the finite-dimensional case where F and G are over $\mathbb{R} \delta_0$, it is well known (KALMAN [8]) that the system given by (6) is controllable if and only if the rank of the $n \times nm$ matrix $(G, FG, \dots, F^{n-1}G)$ is equal to n . If F and G are

viewed as matrices over R (rather than $R\delta_0$), this is equivalent to requiring that

$$\langle G, FG, \dots, F^{n-1}G \rangle_R = R^n. \quad (11)$$

Let N be a fixed subring of J_c with $\delta_0 \in N$. In view of (11) it is reasonable to ask if the condition

$$\langle G, FG, \dots, F^{n-1}G \rangle_N = N^n \quad (12)$$

is necessary and sufficient for controllability when F and G are over N . The answer is that (12) is sufficient but not necessary. The proof of sufficiency will be given in an expanded version of this paper. The simple example below shows that (12) is not necessary.

Example: Suppose that $\dot{x}(t) = x(t) + u(t-a)$, $a > 0$. In this case $F = \delta_0$ and $G = \delta_a$ are over the subring

$$R[\delta_a] = \{ \sum b_i \delta_{ia} : b_i \in R \} \subset J_c.$$

Since the inverse of δ_a is δ_{-a} , $\langle \delta_a \rangle_N \neq N$ for $N = R[\delta_a]$ or $N = J_c$.

However the system is controllable since there exist $\alpha, \beta \in L_c^1$ such that $(p - \delta_0) * \alpha + \delta_a * \beta = \delta_0$.

For instance we could take

$$\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} e^t, & 0 \leq t \leq a \\ \frac{e^a}{b-a}(t-b), & a \leq t \leq b \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\beta(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^a}{b-a}(t+a-b-1), & 0 \leq t \leq b-a \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where b is any fixed real number greater than a . The function β given above is a control for the initial condition $x(0) = 1$.

5. Further Applications

In addition to function controllability, there exist many problem areas that can be investigated using the convolution representation (6). For example, the results of SONTAG [9] can be carried over to this framework giving a necessary and sufficient algebraic criterion for Euclidean reachability.

A particularly interesting topic is the study of feedback control systems with $u = K * x$, $K = m \times n$ matrix over a subring N of J_c . Practical problems include the development of algebraic procedures for the design of K 's to achieve stabilization or pole allocation. Results on this are already available in the case that F and G are

over a subring N that is a principal ideal domain (see MORSE [10] and SONTAG [9]).

References

1. Manitius, A., "Mathematical Models of Hereditary Systems," Research Report CRM-462, Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal, 1974.
2. Delfour, M. and S. Mitter, "Controllability, Observability, and Optimal Feedback Control of Affine Hereditary Differential Systems," SIAM J. Control, Vol. 10, No. 2, 1972, pp. 298-328.
3. Delfour, M. and S. Mitter, "Hereditary Differential Systems with Constant Delays. I. General Case," J. Differential Equations, Vol. 12, 1972, pp. 213-235.
4. Delfour, M., "State Theory of Linear Hereditary Differential Systems," Research Report CRM-395, Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal, 1974.
5. Delfour, M., McCalla, C., and S. Mitter, "Stability and the Infinite-Time Quadratic Cost Problem for Linear Hereditary Differential Systems," SIAM J. Control, Vol. 13, No. 1, 1975, pp. 48-88.
6. Manitius, A., "Optimal Control of Hereditary Systems," Research Report CRM-472, Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal, 1974.
7. Kamen, E., "On an Algebraic Theory of Systems Defined by Convolution Operators," Math. Systems Theory, Vol. 9, No. 1, 1975, pp. 57-74.
8. Kalman, R., Falb, P., and M. Arbib, Topics in Mathematical System Theory, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1969.
9. Sontag, E., "Linear Systems over Commutative Rings: A Survey," to appear in Ricerche di Automatica, Italy.
10. Morse, A., "Ring Models for Delay-Differential Systems," Proc. IFAC Symp. on Multivariable Technological Systems, Manchester, U.K., 1974, pp. 56.1-56.7.

DISTRIBUTION	
NTIS	Write Section ☒
D C	Ref Section ☐
UNCLASSIFIED	
JUSTIFICATION.....	
BY.....	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
DTIC	AVAIL. AND/OR SPECIAL
A	