

AFGL-TR-76-0240

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PAPERS, NO. 579



# Nitrogen Dioxide Absorption Coefficients at High Temperatures

D. E. PAULSEN  
R. E. HUFFMAN

Property of U. S. Air Force  
AFGL LIBRARY  
F40600-75-C-0001

5 October 1976

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

This research was sponsored by the Defense Nuclear Agency under Subtask S99QAXHI002, Work Unit 30.

AERONOMY DIVISION      PROJECT CDNA

**AIR FORCE GEOPHYSICS LABORATORY**


HANSCOM AFB, MASSACHUSETTS 01731

**AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND, USAF**



This technical report has been reviewed and  
is approved for publication.

FOR THE COMMANDER

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Jim Heword". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above a horizontal line. A large, loopy flourish on the left side of the signature extends downwards and to the left, partially overlapping the line and the text below.

---

Chief Scientist

Qualified requestors may obtain additional copies from the  
Defense Documentation Center. All others should apply to the  
National Technical Information Service.

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER AFGL-TR-76-0240	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) NITROGEN DIOXIDE ABSORPTION COEF- FICIENTS AT HIGH TEMPERATURES		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Scientific
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER ERP No. 579
7. AUTHOR(s) D. E. Paulsen R. E. Huffman		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Geophysics Laboratory (LK) Hanscom AFB Massachusetts 01731		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 62704H CDNA0003
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Geophysics Laboratory (LK) Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts 01731		12. REPORT DATE 5 October 1976
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 28
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  This research was sponsored by the Defense Nuclear Agency under Subtask S99QAXHI002, Work Unit 30.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Nitrogen dioxide Absorption coefficients High temperature		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The absorption coefficient of nitrogen dioxide, NO <sub>2</sub> , is used in models of the fireball resulting from atmospheric nuclear detonations. This report gives values for the absorption coefficient obtained at wavelengths between 380 and 760 nm and at temperatures between 669 and 1313°K. The absorption coeffi- cient varies from a maximum of about 10 cm <sup>-1</sup> near 400 nm to about 0.1 cm <sup>-1</sup> at the longest wavelength observed. The results agree with previously pub- lished data, which were available for only a few wavelengths, and provide a		

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

20. (Cont)

comprehensive data set over the temperature and wavelength regions studied. Comparison of these results is made with NO<sub>2</sub> thermal emission intensities.

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

## Contents

1. INTRODUCTION	5
2. EXPERIMENTAL	6
3. RESULTS	8
4. DISCUSSION	21
REFERENCES	23

## Illustrations

1. Absorption Coefficients for NO <sub>2</sub> at Various Temperatures and Wavelengths	6
2. Schematic of High Temperature Absorption Apparatus	7
3. Apparent Absorption Coefficients at 600 Nanometers and 896°K	9
4. Variation of the Coefficient $\beta$ of Eq. (7) With Temperature	12
5. Scatter of Absorption Coefficient Data as a Function of System Pressure	13
6. Absorption Coefficient of NO <sub>2</sub> as a Function of Wavelength at 669°K	14
7. Absorption Coefficient of NO <sub>2</sub> as a Function of Wavelength at 776°K	15
8. Absorption Coefficient of NO <sub>2</sub> as a Function of Wavelength at 827°K	15

## Illustrations

9.	Absorption Coefficient of NO <sub>2</sub> as a Function of Wavelength at 899°K	16
10.	Absorption Coefficient of NO <sub>2</sub> as a Function of Wavelength at 972°K	16
11.	Absorption Coefficient of NO <sub>2</sub> as a Function of Wavelength at 1084°K	17
12.	Absorption Coefficient of NO <sub>2</sub> as a Function of Wavelength at 1211°K	17
13.	Absorption Coefficient of NO <sub>2</sub> as a Function of Wavelength at 1313°K	18

## Tables

1.	Coefficients of Eq. (7) for Various Temperatures and Wavelengths	11
2a.	Mean Absorption Coefficients, Standard Deviations and Number of Data Points as a Function of Temperature and Wavelength (T = 669 - 899°K)	19
2b.	Mean Absorption Coefficients, Standard Deviations and Number of Data Points as a Function of Temperature and Wavelength (T = 972 - 1313°K)	20

# Nitrogen Dioxide Absorption Coefficients at High Temperatures

## I. INTRODUCTION

In 1970, Paulsen, Sheridan, and Huffman published data on the spectral radiant intensity of thermally excited nitrogen dioxide,  $\text{NO}_2$ .<sup>1\*</sup> The measurements, which covered the wavelength region from 460 to 860 nm and the temperature region from 972 to 1335°K, were useful in problems concerned with radiative transport in hot air. Since the measurements are more detailed in wavelength and temperature than published high temperature absorption coefficients,<sup>2</sup> there is a natural tendency to use the emission intensities<sup>1,3</sup> to calculate absorption coefficients. When this is done, however, the calculated absorption coefficients show a marked deviation from the measured absorption coefficients<sup>2-11</sup> as shown in Figure 1. The higher temperature measurements are obtained in part from shock tube data at a few isolated wavelengths and are estimated to have experimental uncertainties on the order of 10 percent.

---

(Received for publication 4 October 1976)

\*Because of the large number of references mentioned in the above text, please refer to Reference Page No. 23 for References 1 through 11.




Figure 1. Absorption Coefficients for NO<sub>2</sub> at Various Temperatures and Wavelengths (From Reference 2, in part)

These absorption coefficients at elevated temperatures are of interest because of the importance of NO<sub>2</sub> as an emitter in high-temperature air.<sup>2</sup> One situation occurs in the lower temperature ranges of the fireball created by atmospheric nuclear detonations. The computer codes used to predict the air opacity and other properties of the highly perturbed atmospheric region resulting from the nuclear detonation require absorption coefficients, or cross-sections, for the absorption of photons by NO<sub>2</sub> and the other constituents of the fireball.

We have obtained NO<sub>2</sub> absorption coefficients in conjunction with our earlier study<sup>1</sup> of emission from hot NO<sub>2</sub>. Although the temperature range is not as high as desirable for some uses, the measurements have proved to be of interest to others in connection with fireball models. We are therefore making these absorption coefficients more readily available by the publication of this report.

## 2. EXPERIMENTAL

Much of the equipment used for these measurements is described in detail in the earlier paper.<sup>1</sup> The major change was the design of the cell. Figure 2 shows the experimental arrangement. The quartz absorption cell was heated with an




Figure 2. Schematic of High Temperature Absorption Apparatus. The collimators are of cylindrical geometry and are placed so that radiation from the side walls of the cell cannot enter the spectrometer. The entire system was covered to eliminate ambient radiation. Absorption tube was made of quartz

electric tube oven. The cell was designed so that both windows were well within the oven to minimize thermal gradients along the absorption path length. Gas pressures were measured outside the oven with a Pace KP-15 pressure transducer which was calibrated against a mercury manometer. Temperatures were measured with a chromel-alumel thermocouple in good thermal contact with the outside of the cell. A tungsten filament, powered by a current-regulated supply, was used for the light source. The source radiation, which was chopped at 400 Hz with an American Time Products tuning fork chopper, passed through the light trap, the absorption cell, and a series of collimating baffles into the entrance slit of a Spex 3/4 m Czerny-Turner spectrometer, Model 1600. Measurements were made with both slits at 200  $\mu\text{m}$ , which corresponds to a calculated bandwidth of 0.22 nanometers. An EMI 9558 photomultiplier tube was used as the sensor. The photocurrent was amplified by a PAR lock-in amplifier, Model HR-8, and recorded.

Nitrogen dioxide,  $\text{NO}_2$ , purified by repeated freezing and pumping until no trace of color (blue-green) could be observed in the white solid, was admitted to the heated cell until the desired pressure was reached. The  $\text{NO}_2$  supply was then shut off. Approximately 2 min were necessary to achieve a steady state, as evidenced by variation in the photocurrent due to absorption by the  $\text{NO}_2$ . After equilibration, a spectral scan of several tens of nanometers was recorded along with the pressure and the thermocouple readings. Each absorption scan was bracketed by similar scans with the cell evacuated to provide the reference photocurrents. In this manner absorption measurements were made at wavelengths from 380 to 760 nm and at temperatures from 669 to 1313 °K.


### 3. RESULTS

The first step in reducing the data is to determine the pressure of  $\text{NO}_2$  in the oven. Assuming that the gas in the oven was at thermodynamic equilibrium, the mole fraction of  $\text{NO}_2$  was calculated from the equilibrium constant for the dissociation of  $\text{NO}_2$  into  $\text{NO}$  and  $\text{O}_2$ , which is obtained from thermodynamic quantities listed in the JANAF Thermochemical Tables.<sup>12</sup> The  $2 \text{NO}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{N}_2\text{O}_4$  equilibrium is not significant at these temperatures. Absorption coefficients at 10-nm intervals are then calculated using the relation

$$k = \frac{760 T}{(273) P \ell} \ln \frac{I_o}{I} \quad (1)$$

where  $k$  is in units of  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ,  $T$  is in  $^\circ\text{K}$ ,  $P$  is the calculated  $\text{NO}_2$  pressure, and  $\ell$  is the cell length (20.0 cm).

Preliminary results indicated that  $k$  had a significant pressure dependence. Absorption coefficients at high system pressures, near 760 torr, were reasonably constant, but as the system pressure was lowered below about 300 torr an increase in the absorption coefficient was observed. The effect is attributed to the fact that the closed system is partly at oven temperature and partly at room temperature. The dissociation equilibrium



is shifted far to the right at oven temperatures and far to the left at room temperature, thus creating a situation where the  $\text{NO}_2$  outside of the oven tends to diffuse into the oven while the  $\text{NO}$  and  $\text{O}_2$  inside the oven tend to diffuse out.

To aid in understanding this effect, data were taken at selected wavelengths and temperatures over a large range in pressures. A typical set of data is shown in Figure 3, where  $\log k$ , as calculated by Eq. (1), is plotted against  $\log P_{\text{System}}$  with  $T = 896^\circ\text{K}$  and  $\lambda = 600 \text{ nm}$ . In making these measurements it was obvious that a steady state was attained within a few minutes. Therefore, we write the steady state approximation for the pressure of  $\text{NO}_2$  in the oven

$$\frac{d(P_{\text{NO}_2})}{dt} = 0 \approx -k_f (P_{\text{NO}_2})^2 + k_b (P_{\text{NO}})_o^2 (P_{\text{O}_2})_o + D (P_{\text{NO}_2})_a \quad (2)$$

12. JANAF Thermochemical Tables, (1965) Dow Chemical, Midland, Michigan.

where subscript o indicates oven and subscript a indicates ambient temperature, and the last term is the perturbation of equilibrium by diffusion of NO<sub>2</sub> into the oven. Because of their large partial pressures, diffusion of NO and O<sub>2</sub> out of the oven will be less significant.




Figure 3. Apparent Absorption Coefficients at 600 Nanometers and 896°K as a Function of System Pressure. The variation in absorption coefficient appears to be due to diffusion of cold NO<sub>2</sub> into the absorption cell as explained in the text. The curve represents a linear regression fit of Eq. (7), the coefficients are shown in Table 1

Equation (2) may be rearranged as

$$(P_{\text{NO}_2})_o = \left[ \frac{k_b (P_{\text{NO}})_o^2 (P_{\text{O}_2})_o}{k_f} \right]^{1/2} \left[ 1 + \frac{D(P_{\text{NO}_2})_a}{k_b (P_{\text{NO}})_o^2 (P_{\text{O}_2})_o} \right]^{1/2} \quad (3)$$

Although the small partial pressure of NO<sub>2</sub> in the oven may change drastically, the percentage effect on NO and O<sub>2</sub> is considerably less. Therefore, it is assumed that the second factor is a perturbation on the equilibrium and that Eq. (3) can be approximated by

$$\begin{aligned}
(P_{\text{NO}_2})_o &= (P'_{\text{NO}_2})_o \left\{ 1 + \frac{D(P_{\text{NO}_2})_a}{k_b (P_{\text{NO}})_o^2 (P_{\text{O}_2})_o} \right\}^{1/2} \\
&\cong (P'_{\text{NO}_2})_o \left\{ 1 + \frac{2D(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2})^3}{k_b \alpha^3 P_t^2} \right\}^{1/2} .
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Ignoring the relatively minor variation of the  $(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2})$  factor gives

$$(P_{\text{NO}_2})_o \cong (P'_{\text{NO}_2})_o \left\{ 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^3 P_t^2} \right\}^{1/2} \tag{5}$$

where  $(P'_{\text{NO}_2})_o$  is the calculated pressure in the absence of the diffusive perturbation, and  $\alpha^2$  is the fractional dissociation of  $\text{NO}_2$ . Equation (1) is rewritten

$$k_{\text{app}} = \frac{760}{273} \frac{T}{(P'_{\text{NO}_2})_o \ell} \ln \frac{I_o}{I}$$

where  $k_{\text{app}}$  is the apparent absorption coefficient based on  $(P'_{\text{NO}_2})_o$ .

It follows that

$$k_{\text{app}} (P'_{\text{NO}_2})_o = k (P_{\text{NO}_2})_o$$

and

$$k_{\text{app}} \sim k \left\{ 1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^3 P_t^2} \right\}^{1/2} \tag{6}$$

where  $k$  is the actual absorption coefficient. The data in Figure 3 were fitted to the relation

$$k_{\text{app}}^2 = k^2 + \frac{\beta k^2}{\alpha^3 P_t^2} \tag{7}$$

by linear regression. The quality of the fit is shown by the smooth line in Figure 3. Equation (7) was fitted to sets of data obtained at the temperatures and wavelengths shown in Table 1. The results are tabulated in Table 1 and shown in Figure 4.

For interpolation purposes  $\ln \beta$  values were fitted to a quartic in  $10^3/T$ , which is shown by the smooth curve in Figure 4 and given by

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \beta = & -16.168528 + 24.218754(10^3/T) \\ & -11.448829(10^3/T)^2 - 2.050242(10^3/T)^3 \\ & +1.281069(10^3/T)^4. \end{aligned}$$

This interpolation formula gives excellent results over the range of experimental data and appears to give reasonable values for minor extrapolations. The variation of  $\beta$  with  $T$  is not explained here. However, as derived above,  $\beta$  is proportional to a diffusion coefficient and inversely proportional to a reaction rate constant. It is possible that the perturbing effect of diffusion is overcome at higher temperatures by faster reactions.

Table 1. Coefficients of Eq. (7) for Various Temperatures and Wavelengths

T(°K)	$\lambda$ (nm)	$\beta$ (atm <sup>2</sup> )	k(cm <sup>-1</sup> )
669	640	$2.47 \times 10^{-3}$	0.967
763	600	$7.29 \times 10^{-3}$	1.95
828	600	$1.08 \times 10^{-2}$	2.23
893	600	$1.41 \times 10^{-2}$	2.44
980	420	$1.58 \times 10^{-2}$	11.41
1083	600	$1.39 \times 10^{-2}$	3.10
1206	500	$1.10 \times 10^{-2}$	7.54
1315	420	$7.82 \times 10^{-3}$	11.25

The true absorption coefficient,  $k$ , is obtained from

$$k = \frac{760 T}{273 (P'_{NO_2})^{1/2} (1 + \beta/\alpha^3 P_t^2)^{1/2}} \ln \frac{I_0}{I} \quad (8)$$




Figure 4. Variation of the Coefficient  $\beta$  of Eq. (1) With Temperature. The curve represents the equation in the text

To illustrate the effectiveness of this correction for diffusion, absorption coefficients were calculated by Eq. (8) for the data which is summarized in Table 1. In order to show the results in a single plot (Figure 5) the data is presented as the percent deviation of  $k$  calculated from Eq. (8) and the corresponding value of  $k$ , the square root of the intercept of Eq. (7). For the data in Table 1, 20 to 40 different pressures were utilized. The data points are clustered quite tightly at the higher pressures and show more scatter, as would be expected, at lower pressures. The standard deviation of the data shown in Figure 5 is 5.1 percent.

Equation (8) is then used to calculate the absorption coefficients from the larger block of data taken at 10-nm intervals from the absorption scans. The data were obtained at eight temperatures ranging from 669 to 1313°K and over wavelengths ranging from 380 to 760 nanometers. Absorption scans were made at two distinct pressures at each temperature. This resulted in two absorption coefficients at each temperature and selected wavelengths in the regions without overlap, and four absorption coefficients at each temperature and wavelength where there was overlap. In one case, 1317°K and 720 to 760 nm, there was only one absorption scan. To simplify presentation of the data, Tables 2a and 2b list, at each temperature and wavelength, the mean absorption coefficient, the standard deviation, and the number of data points. The data are also shown in Figures 6 through 13, where each measured absorption coefficient is plotted as a function of wavelength for a specific temperature. The smooth curves in the figures are given by the equation

$$k = k_{\max} \exp \left[ \frac{-1481.3(\Delta E)^2}{T} \right] \text{ cm}^{-1} \quad (9)$$




Figure 5. Scatter of Absorption Coefficient Data as a Function of System Pressure. Table 1 data only

where  $k_{\max}$  = absorption coefficient at  $\lambda_{\max}$

$$= \left[ \frac{14.84 + 214.3 \exp(-3267/T)}{Q_v} \right] \text{ cm}^{-1}$$

$$\Delta E = \left[ E_{\max} - E_{\lambda} \right] \text{ eV}$$

$$E_{\max} = E_{\lambda} \text{ at } \lambda_{\max}$$

$$= 3.04 \text{ eV}$$

$$E_{\lambda} = \left[ \frac{1239.85}{\lambda} \right] \text{ eV } (\lambda \text{ in nm})$$

and

$Q_v$  = vibrational partition function for  $\text{NO}_2$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^3 (1 - \exp(-h\nu_i/kT))^{-1} .$$

The fundamental mode frequencies listed in Reference 12 are used to evaluate  $Q_v$ .

Equation (9) was obtained by linear regression techniques in an effort to understand the thermal variation of the  $\text{NO}_2$  absorption coefficient. While the fit to the data is quite good (the linear correlation factor is -0.996 and the F ratio is 101000), this expression is not assumed to have particular theoretical significance. In particular, there is no reason to assume that Eq. (9) can be used for extrapolation to higher temperatures or to wavelengths outside the wavelength range of these measurements.




Figure 6. Absorption Coefficient of  $\text{NO}_2$  as a Function of Wavelength at  $669^\circ\text{K}$




Figure 7. Absorption Coefficient of  $\text{NO}_2$  as a Function of Wavelength at 776°K




Figure 8. Absorption Coefficient of  $\text{NO}_2$  as a Function of Wavelength at 827°K




Figure 9. Absorption Coefficient of  $\text{NO}_2$  as a Function of Wavelength at 899°K




Figure 10. Absorption Coefficient of  $\text{NO}_2$  as a Function of Wavelength at 972°K




Figure 11. Absorption Coefficient of  $\text{NO}_2$  as a Function of Wavelength at  $1084^\circ\text{K}$




Figure 12. Absorption Coefficient of  $\text{NO}_2$  as a Function of Wavelength at  $1211^\circ\text{K}$




Figure 13. Absorption Coefficient of  $\text{NO}_2$  as a Function of Wavelength at 1313°K

Table 2a. Mean Absorption Coefficients, Standard Deviations and Number of Data Points as a Function of Temperature and Wavelength ( $\bar{T} = 669 - 899^\circ\text{K}$ )

Wavelength (nm)	$\bar{T} = 669^\circ\text{K}$			$\bar{T} = 776^\circ\text{K}$			$\bar{T} = 827^\circ\text{K}$			$\bar{T} = 899^\circ\text{K}$		
	$\bar{k}$	$s_k$	n	$\bar{k}$	$s_k$	n	$\bar{k}$	$s_k$	n	$\bar{k}$	$s_k$	n
380	11.95	0.01	2	10.54	.12	2	10.87	.16	2	11.08	.49	2
390	11.97	0.33	2	11.90	1.19	4	11.50	.64	4	11.46	.44	4
400	12.26	0.45	2	12.90	1.64	4	12.15	.89	4	12.18	.46	4
410	12.57	1.89	4	12.77	1.19	4	12.96	.47	4	11.68	.29	4
420	11.82	2.18	2	12.91	.95	4	12.59	.43	4	11.20	.80	4
430	10.54	1.83	2	10.85	.83	4	12.04	.41	4	10.99	.61	4
440	10.19	1.12	2	10.96	1.04	4	11.59	.73	4	10.66	.33	4
450	10.04	1.77	2	9.43	.42	2	10.61	.59	2	9.99	.28	2
460	9.75	0.99	2	9.58	.82	4	10.00	.47	4	9.91	.36	4
470	7.91	0.76	2	8.50	.62	4	8.84	.48	4	8.83	.29	4
480	7.51	0.66	2	8.56	.62	2	8.33	.65	2	8.61	.01	2
490	6.17	0.65	4	7.77	.52	2	7.62	.53	2	7.87	.02	2
500	4.74	0.45	2	6.36	.30	4	6.26	.41	5	6.70	.12	4
510	5.09	0.57	2	6.62	.32	4	6.42	.40	5	6.84	.17	2
520	4.15	0.64	2	5.66	.33	2	5.48	.34	3	5.93	.15	2
530	3.67	0.52	2	5.11	.13	2	5.05	.38	3	5.53	.11	2
540	3.00	0.52	2	4.34	.19	2	4.35	.33	3	4.85	.14	2
550	2.89	.48	2	4.09	.17	2	4.10	.30	3	4.52	.06	2
560	2.16	.23	4	3.07	.24	2	3.17	.22	3	3.61	.09	2
570	2.45	.17	2	3.17	.12	2	3.29	.27	2	3.62	.07	2
580	2.03	.10	2	2.72	.27	2	2.86	.23	2	3.20	.09	2
590	1.97	.10	2	2.57	.14	4	2.68	.14	4	2.97	.09	4
600	1.65	.08	2	2.25	.08	4	2.32	.12	4	2.57	.08	4
610	1.28	.06	2	1.85	.05	4	1.92	.00	2	2.14	.00	2
620	1.30	.07	2	1.80	.02	2	1.87	.02	2	2.08	.00	2
630	1.00	.04	2	1.45	.03	2	1.54	.02	2	1.78	.05	2
640	.912	.064	4	1.25	.04	4	1.36	.03	4	1.58	.03	4
650	.885	.049	2	1.11	.01	2	1.21	.03	4	1.45	.03	4
660	.640	.030	2	.849	.015	2	.980	.034	2	1.22	.00	2
670	.664	.041	2	.852	.015	2	.970	.028	2	1.16	.02	2
680	.664	.037	2	.840	.017	2	.971	.024	2	1.14	.03	2
690	.462	.028	2	.634	.017	2	.759	.019	2	.923	.023	2
700	.401	.016	4	.573	.017	4	.667	.026	4	.830	.037	4
710	.395	.018	2	.544	.005	2	.612	.026	4	.741	.047	4
720	.288	.012	2	.427	.008	2	.511	.001	2	.570	.070	2
730	.258	.007	2	.396	.010	2	.469	.006	2	.554	.076	2
740	.248	.008	2	.363	.004	2	.423	.013	2	.541	.041	2
750	.222	.008	2	.332	.004	2	.388	.004	2	.511	.035	2
760	.165	.008	2	.260	.006	2	.303	.006	2	.411	.068	2

Table 2b. Mean Absorption Coefficients, Standard Deviations and Number of Data Points as a Function of Temperature and Wavelength ( $\bar{T}$  = 972 - 1313°K)

Wavelength (nm)	$\bar{T}$ = 972°K			$\bar{T}$ = 1084°K			$\bar{T}$ = 1211°K			$\bar{T}$ = 1313°K		
	$\bar{k}$	$s_k$	n	$\bar{k}$	$s_k$	n	$\bar{k}$	$s_k$	n	$\bar{k}$	$s_k$	n
380	10.94	.00	2	11.38	.00	2	11.80	.22	2	11.94	.90	2
390	12.12	.51	4	12.07	.32	4	11.99	.39	4	12.13	.87	4
400	12.58	.62	4	12.59	.57	4	12.72	.25	4	12.03	1.19	4
410	12.84	.91	4	13.26	.40	4	12.51	.56	4	11.38	.78	4
420	12.03	.49	4	12.70	.28	4	12.24	.67	4	11.55	.49	4
430	11.68	.37	4	12.15	.13	4	11.89	.84	4	10.91	.29	4
440	11.28	.42	4	11.83	.18	4	11.74	.28	4	11.03	.32	4
450	10.44	.04	2	10.91	.27	2	11.13	.21	2	10.29	.44	2
460	10.05	.14	4	10.82	.74	4	10.88	.38	4	10.02	.60	4
470	9.09	.12	4	10.07	.59	4	10.19	.54	4	9.20	.64	4
480	8.64	.20	2	10.05	1.25	2	10.15	.40	2	9.11	.02	2
490	8.13	.31	2	8.99	.67	2	9.29	.49	2	8.27	.07	2
500	6.93	.20	4	7.72	.39	4	8.29	.44	4	8.08	.46	4
510	6.88	.16	4	7.48	.28	4	7.96	.21	4	7.49	.43	4
520	6.25	.12	2	6.76	.21	2	7.04	.28	2	7.52	.40	2
530	5.69	.11	2	6.20	.20	2	6.65	.03	2	6.78	.17	2
540	5.07	.10	2	5.59	.24	2	6.15	.10	2	6.30	.09	2
550	4.81	.07	4	5.30	.11	5	5.64	.16	4	6.14	.38	4
560	3.92	.07	4	4.44	.10	5	4.84	.14	4	5.28	.28	4
570	3.90	.00	2	4.27	.13	3	4.76	.25	2	5.10	.25	2
580	3.47	.06	2	3.89	.11	3	4.32	.16	2	4.66	.25	2
590	3.29	.12	4	3.65	.11	5	4.03	.09	4	4.19	.29	4
600	2.87	.10	4	3.21	.10	5	3.63	.06	4	3.90	.08	4
610	2.52	.16	2	2.88	.01	2	3.29	.04	2	3.53	.05	2
620	2.44	.21	2	2.68	.08	2	2.99	.00	2	3.38	.04	2
630	2.14	.17	2	2.40	.04	2	2.81	.03	2	3.04	.04	2
640	1.89	.09	4	2.18	.08	4	2.55	.12	4	2.93	.05	4
650	1.73	.06	4	1.99	.04	4	2.23	.12	4	2.75	.30	4
660	1.47	.00	2	1.73	.03	2	1.93	.03	2	2.21	.12	2
670	1.44	.04	2	1.61	.13	2	1.73	.13	2	2.08	.04	2
680	1.40	.05	2	1.52	.11	2	1.71	.07	2	2.12	.01	2
690	1.15	.05	2	1.32	.10	2	1.47	.07	2	2.08	.03	2
700	1.03	.06	4	1.24	.15	4	1.32	.14	4	1.85	.13	3
710	.938	.033	4	1.15	.17	4	1.13	.12	4	1.59	.06	3
720	.834	.023	2	1.05	.11	2	1.15	.06	2	1.39	—	1
730	.773	.006	2	.995	.070	2	1.10	.10	2	1.41	—	1
740	.714	.003	2	.875	.016	2	.871	.004	2	1.17	—	1
750	.637	.011	2	.859	.054	2	.713	.036	2	1.05	—	1
760	.524	.023	2	.654	.021	2	.674	.040	2	1.04	—	1

#### 4. DISCUSSION

The precision of the measurements is about 5 percent, which is the typical standard deviation of the absorption coefficient at a given wavelength and temperature. It is difficult to assess the accuracy of these measurements. Total pressure is known to better than 2 percent. Photocurrents are typically measured to about 1 percent accuracy. The temperatures are measured to  $\pm 3^\circ\text{K}$ .

The determination of the pressure of  $\text{NO}_2$  in the absorption cell is the main source of inaccuracy. The thermochemical data<sup>12</sup> should be quite accurate. The calculated  $\text{NO}_2$  pressure, under isothermal conditions, would probably be accurate to  $\pm 2$  or 3 percent. However, part of the system is at low temperatures and this introduces the perturbation to equilibrium which is described in the previous section. We believe that the empirical approach to this problem has reduced the inaccuracy of the cross-sections to  $\pm 10$  percent. A comparison of our data to the previously published absorption coefficients (see Figure 1) shows that the present results lie close to or a little below the previously published data. The discrepancies lie within the experimental uncertainties of the measurements.

These results therefore support the previous data and provide more detailed information. They do not provide absorption coefficients for  $\text{NO}_2$  at temperatures beyond about  $1500^\circ\text{K}$ .

At higher temperatures, it is not recommended that emission intensities from shock tube<sup>3</sup> or other experiments<sup>1</sup> be converted into the corresponding absorption coefficients for  $\text{NO}_2$ . As shown in Figure 1, absorption coefficients which are calculated from shock tube emission intensities are considerably different from the measured absorption coefficients for  $\text{NO}_2$ . We have also calculated the absorption coefficients from the emission intensities obtained with the same equipment;<sup>1</sup> the results were similar to those shown by Gilmore.<sup>2</sup>

These results are considered to be only an apparent contradiction of Kirchoff's Law. It has been known for some time<sup>13, 14</sup> that the radiative transitions of  $\text{NO}_2$  exhibit "anomalous" behavior. For example, the fluorescent radiative lifetimes for  $\text{NO}_2$  are much longer than that which is calculated from the integrated absorption coefficient. The molecule is a relatively complex system, with a bent ground state and several linear and bent excited states 2 to 3 eV above the ground state. The exact configurations of these excited states are largely unknown. Due to the variety of possible interactions, perturbations, radiationless transitions, etc., that can take place it is not surprising that anomalous results are observed.

13. Neuberger, D. and Duncan, A.E.F. (1954) J. Chem. Phys. 22:1963.

14. Douglas, A.E. (1966) J. Chem. Phys. 45:1007.

The system is complicated enough so that the related emission and absorption processes cannot be isolated in the observed spectra, and therefore an application of Kirchoff's Law appears to be not readily possible. The separate measurement in the same laboratory of the thermal emission<sup>1</sup> and the absorption coefficient reported here should amply demonstrate this situation. Therefore, it is recommended that computer codes not convert emission data to absorption data and vice-versa for the NO<sub>2</sub> molecule, since the present results, coupled with earlier measurements, demonstrate errors in this technique. If absorption coefficients are required, only measured values should be used.

## References

1. Paulsen, D.E., Sheridan, W.F., and Huffman, R.E. (1970) J. Chem. Phys. 53:647.
2. Gilmore, F.R. (1965) J. Quant. Spectry. Radiative Transfer 5:125 and references therein.
3. Levitt, B.P. (1965) J. Chem. Phys. 42:1038.
4. Dixon, J.K. (1940) J. Chem. Phys. 8:157.
5. Hall, T.C. and Blacet, F.E. (1952) J. Chem. Phys. 20:1745.
6. Heath, D.F. and Dieke, G.H. (1957) Absorption of NO<sub>2</sub>, unpublished, included in Reference 2.
7. Schott, G. and Davidson, N. (1958) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 80:1841.
8. Huffman, R.E. and Davidson, N. (1959) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 81:2311.
9. Nakayama, T., Kitamura, M., and Watanabe, K. (1959) J. Chem. Phys. 30:1180.
10. Wurster, W.H. and Marrone, P.V. (1961) Study of Infrared Emission in Heated Air, QM-1373-A-4, Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Buffalo, New York.
11. Levitt, B.P. (1962) Trans. Faraday Soc. 58:1789.
12. JANAF Thermochemical Tables, (1965) Dow Chemical, Midland, Michigan.
13. Neuberger, D. and Duncan, A.E.F. (1954) J. Chem. Phys. 22:1963.
14. Douglas, A.E. (1966) J. Chem. Phys. 45:1007.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE  
 ATOMIC ENERGY  
 DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
 WASHINGTON DC 20301  
 01CY ATTN HONORABLE DONALD R COTTER

COMMANDER  
 FIELD COMMAND  
 DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY  
 KIRTLAND AFB, NM 87115  
 01CY ATTN FCPR

DIRECTOR  
 DEFENSE ADVANCED RSCH PRDJ AGENCY  
 ARCHITECT BUILDING  
 1400 WILSON BLVD.  
 ARLINGTON, VA 22209  
 01CY ATTN MAJOR GREGORY CANAVAN  
 01CY ATTN LTC W A WHITAKER  
 01CY ATTN ROBERT A MOORE  
 01CY ATTN STO CAPT J JUSTICE  
 01CY ATTN TIO FRED A KOETHER

CHIEF  
 LIVERMORE DIVISION FLD COMMAND DNA  
 LAWRENCE LIVERMORE LABORATORY  
 P.O. BOX 808  
 LIVERMORE, CA 94550  
 01CY ATTN FCPR

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER  
 CAMERON STATION  
 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314  
 (12 COPIES IF OPEN PUBLICATION)  
 12CY ATTN TC

COMMANDER/DIRECTOR  
 ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES LABORATORY  
 U S ARMY ELECTRONICS COMMAND  
 WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE, NM 88002  
 01CY ATTN E BUTTERFIELD DRSEL=BL=SY=R  
 01CY ATTN DRSEL=BL=SY=S F E NILES

DIRECTOR  
 DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY  
 WASHINGTON, DC 20305  
 01CY ATTN RAAE HAROLD C FITZ JR  
 03CY ATTN STL TECH LIBRARY  
 01CY ATTN STSI ARCHIVES  
 01CY ATTN ODST  
 01CY ATTN RAAE CHARLES A BLANK  
 01CY ATTN RAAE MAJ JOHN CLARK  
 01CY ATTN RAAE P FLEMING

DIRECTOR  
 BMD ADVANCED TECH CTR  
 HUNTSVILLE OFFICE  
 P.O. BOX 1500  
 HUNTSVILLE, AL 35807  
 01CY ATTN ATC/T MELVIN T CAPPS  
 01CY ATTN CRDABH=D W DAVIES

DIR OF DEFENSE RSCH & ENGINEERING  
 DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
 WASHINGTON DC 20301  
 01CY ATTN DDS&SS DANIEL BROCKWAY  
 01CY ATTN DDS&SS RICHARD S RUFFINE  
 01CY ATTN JAMES P WADE

PROGRAM MANAGER  
 BMD PROGRAM OFFICE  
 1300 WILSON BLVD  
 ARLINGTON VA 22209  
 01CY ATTN DACS=BMM

COMMANDER  
 HARRY DIAMOND LABORATORIES  
 2800 POWDER MILL ROAD  
 ADELPHI MD 20783

02CY ATTN DRXDD-NP  
 01CY ATTN DRXDD-TI TECH LIB

COMMANDER  
U S ARMY MATERIEL DEV & READINESS CMD  
5001 EISENHOWER AVENUE  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333  
01CY ATTN DRCLDC J A BENDER

COMMANDER  
U S ARMY NUCLEAR AGENCY  
FORT BLISS, TX 79916  
01CY ATTN ATCA-NAW J BERBERET

CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS  
NAVY DEPARTMENT  
WASHINGTON, DC 20350  
01CY ATTN OP 985

CHIEF OF NAVAL RESEARCH  
NAVY DEPARTMENT  
ARLINGTON, VA 22217  
01CY ATTN CODE 464 R GRACEN JOINER  
01CY ATTN CODE 464 THOMAS P QUINN

COMMANDER  
NAVAL ELECTRONICS LABORATORY CENTER  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92152  
01CY ATTN CODE 2200 ILAN ROTHMULLER

DIRECTOR  
NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY  
WASHINGTON, DC 20375  
01CY ATTN CODE 7127 CHARLES Y JOHNSON  
01CY ATTN CODE 7700 TIMOTHY P COFFEY  
01CY ATTN CODE 7701 JACK D BROWN  
01CY ATTN CODE 7750 WAHAB ALI  
01CY ATTN CODE 7750 S L OSSAKOW

COMMANDER  
NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER  
WHITE OAK, SILVER SPRING, MD 20910  
01CY ATTN CODE WA501 NAVY NUC PRGMS OF

AF GEOPHYSICS LABORATORY, AFSC  
HANSCOM AFB, MA 01731  
01CY ATTN OPR HAROLD GARDNER  
01CY ATTN LKB KENNETH S W CHAMPION  
01CY ATTN OPR JAMES C ULWICK  
01CY ATTN LKB JOHN PAULSON  
01CY ATTN OPR ALVA T STAIR  
01CY ATTN LKD ROCCO S NARCISI  
02CY ATTN LKD ROBERT E HUFFMAN  
01CY ATTN OP JOHN S GARING

HQ USAF/RD  
WASHINGTON, DC 20330  
01CY ATTN RDQPN  
01CY ATTN RDQ

SAMSO/AW  
P.O. BOX 92960  
WORLDWAY POSTAL CENTER  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90009  
03CY ATTN AWW

SAMSO/SZ  
POST OFFICE BOX 92960  
WORLDWAY POSTAL CENTER  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90009  
(SPACE DEFENSE SYSTEMS)  
01CY ATTN SZJ MAJOR LAWRENCE DOAN

USAFETAC/CB  
SCOTT AFB IL 62225  
01CY ATTN CBT MR CREASI

DIVISION OF MILITARY APPLICATION  
U S ENERGY RSCH & DEV ADMIN  
WASHINGTON, DC 20545  
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR MAJ D A HAYCOCK

LUS ALAMOS SCIENTIFIC LABORATORY  
P.O. BOX 1663  
LUS ALAMOS, NM 87545  
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR JOHN S MALIK  
01CY ATTN DOC CON FOR R A JEFFRIES

LOCKHEED MISSILES AND SPACE COMPANY  
3251 HANDVER STREET  
PALO ALTO, CA 94304  
01CY ATTN MARTIN WALT DEPT 52-10  
01CY ATTN BILLY M MCCORMAC DEPT 52-14  
01CY ATTN ROBERT D SEARS DEPT 52-14

AEROSPACE CORPORATION  
P.O. BOX 92957  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90009  
01CY ATTN T TAYLOR  
01CY ATTN HARRIS MAYER

M.I.T. LINCOLN LABORATORY  
P.O. BOX 73  
LEXINGTON, MA 02173  
01CY ATTN LIB A-082 FOR DAVID M TOWLE

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY  
SPACE DIVISION  
VALLEY FORGE SPACE CENTER  
GODDARD BLVD KING OF PRUSSIA  
P.O. BOX 8555  
PHILADELPHIA PA 19101  
01CY ATTN T BAUREG  
01CY ATTN M H BORTNER SPACE SCI LAB

MISSION RESEARCH CORPORATION  
735 STATE STREET  
SANTA BARBARA, CA 93101  
01CY ATTN P FISCHER  
01CY ATTN D SAPPENFIELD  
01CY ATTN M SCHEIBE  
01CY ATTN DAVE SDWLE  
01CY ATTN R HENDRICK

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY  
TEMPORARY CENTER FOR ADVANCED STUDIES  
816 STATE STREET (P.O. DRAWER 00)  
SANTA BARBARA, CA 93102  
01CY ATTN TIM STEPHENS  
01CY ATTN DASIAC  
01CY ATTN WARREN S KNAPP

PHOTOMETRICS, INC.  
442 MARRETT ROAD  
LEXINGTON, MA 02173  
03CY ATTN IRVING L KOFISKY

GENERAL RESEARCH CORPORATION  
P.O. BOX 3587  
SANTA BARBARA, CA 93105  
01CY ATTN JOHN TSE JR

PHYSICAL DYNAMICS INC.  
P.O. BOX 1069  
BERKELEY, CA 94701  
01CY ATTN A THOMPSON

INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES  
400 ARMY-NAVY DRIVE  
ARLINGTON, VA 22202  
01CY ATTN HANS WOLFHARD  
01CY ATTN ERNEST RAUER  
01CY ATTN JOEL BENGSTON

PHYSICAL SCIENCES, INC.  
30 COMMERCE WAY  
WOBURN, MA 01801  
01CY ATTN KURT WRAY

R & D ASSOCIATES  
P.O. BOX 9695  
MARINA DEL REY CA 90291  
01CY ATTN FORREST GILMORE  
01CY ATTN ROBERT F LELEVIER

STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
333 RAVENSWOOD AVENUE  
MENLO PARK, CA 94025  
01CY ATTN VINCENT L WICKWAR  
01CY ATTN RAY L LEADABRAND

R & D ASSOCIATES  
1815 N. FT. MYER DRIVE  
11TH FLOOR  
ARLINGTON, VA 22209  
01CY ATTN HERBERT J MITCHELL

STANFORD RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
1611 NORTH KENT STREET  
ARLINGTON, VA 22209  
01CY ATTN WARREN W BERNING

SCIENCE APPLICATIONS, INC.  
P.O. BOX 2351  
LA JOLLA, CA 92038  
01CY ATTN DANIEL A HAMLIN

VISIDYNE, INC.  
19 THIRD AVENUE  
NORTH WEST INDUSTRIAL PARK  
BURLINGTON, MA 01803  
01CY ATTN HENRY J SMITH  
01CY ATTN J W CARPENTER  
01CY ATTN WILLIAM REIDY