

UNCLASSIFIED

MINNESOTA SYSTEMS RESEARCH INC MINNEAPOLIS F/G 5/10
DEVELOPMENT OF MEASURES OF ATTITUDINAL AND STRUCTURAL SIMILARITY--ETC(U)
FEB 77 R E SYKES N00014-75-C-0075

F/G 5/10

N00014-75-C-0075

NL

1 of 1
ADA037509

 LRC
 Logo



END

DATE
FILMED

4-77


Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM												
1. REPORT NUMBER TR #4	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER												
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) DEVELOPMENT OF MEASURES OF ATTITUDINAL AND STRUCTURAL SIMILARITY.		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report												
7. AUTHOR(s) Richard E. Sykes		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 14-77K-4												
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Minnesota Systems Research, Inc. 2412 University Avenue S. E. Minneapolis, MN 55414		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-75-0075												
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Organizational Effectiveness Research Program Office of Naval Research (Code 452) Arlington, VA 22217		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR 170-790 11/18 Feb 77												
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE February 18, 1977												
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 53												
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified												
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE												
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited														
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) MAR 31 1977														
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 390 596														
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) <table border="0"> <tr> <td>measurement</td> <td>satisfaction</td> <td>basic training</td> </tr> <tr> <td>attitude similarity</td> <td>cohesion</td> <td>apprenticeship training</td> </tr> <tr> <td>structural similarity</td> <td>value measures</td> <td>attraction to similars scale</td> </tr> <tr> <td>similarity</td> <td>race relations</td> <td>informal groups</td> </tr> </table>			measurement	satisfaction	basic training	attitude similarity	cohesion	apprenticeship training	structural similarity	value measures	attraction to similars scale	similarity	race relations	informal groups
measurement	satisfaction	basic training												
attitude similarity	cohesion	apprenticeship training												
structural similarity	value measures	attraction to similars scale												
similarity	race relations	informal groups												
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) As part of a study testing effects of proximity, attitudinal and structural similarity on attraction and interaction, questionnaires were developed containing a variety of scales relevant to the Navy experience. These were administered to over 1,000 recruits and 230 apprentices. The data were then factor analyzed and reliability coefficients were also calculated for the scales. This report includes the results of scale testing and development as well as simple descriptive statistics on the responses.														

AD A037509

DDC FILE COPY



bservations

TITLE DEVELOPMENT OF MEASURES OF ATTITUDINAL
AND STRUCTURAL SIMILARITY

AUTHOR Richard E. Sykes, Ph.D.

SERIES NUMBER Technical Report #4

OBSERVATIONAL RESEARCH

MINNESOTA SYSTEMS RESEARCH, INC.
2412 UNIVERSITY AVE. S.E./MINNEAPOLIS, MINN. 55414

Technical Report #4

DEVELOPMENT OF MEASURES OF ATTITUDINAL AND
STRUCTURAL SIMILARITY

by Richard E. Sykes

Prepared as part of the project Informal Social Network Formation in Navy Training Units sponsored by the Organizational Effectiveness Research Program, Office of Naval Research, under Contract No. N00014-75-0075, NR 170-790.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Published by Minnesota Systems Research, Inc., 2412 University Avenue, S. E., Minneapolis, MN 55414

Introduction

A previous study (Sykes, Larntz, and Fox, 1976) focused on the association between similarity of demographic characteristics and frequency of interaction. In the present study this concern was expanded to include similarity of attitudes. The attitudes which were measured were those a) which were likely to be salient among new enlistees; b) which had been explored in previous studies of the Navy, and for which there might be norms; and c) which had been the focus of research by social psychologists and sociologists of organizations because of their intrinsic theoretical interest.

The primary innovative methodological focus was on the sampling of interaction in large informal groups, not on attitude measurement. Scales were chosen which already existed. Because it was necessary to choose measures which could be administered to large groups of men in a short time five point, Likert-type scales were used. The only scale which was entirely new was one designed to measure an individual's general preference for associating during work and leisure with others similar to himself, an attitude of obvious importance to the study of similarity and interaction. A general satisfaction scale was also developed, but the individual items were not new.

Once the data were collected the scales were examined in two ways:

a) RTC and ATB questionnaires were factor analyzed separately to test whether the scales appeared as separate factors; and b) groups of items

which were included because they had emerged as scales in previous studies were tested a priori for scale reliability as measured by Cronbach's Alpha.

A general rationale informed the original selection of scales. Recruits entering an entirely new social situation are attracted to others at different levels of attitude similarity. These levels are a) a deep, underlying similarity of values which influences responses to a wide range of phenomena; b) a level of similarity in which a shared attitude leads to cooperation in seeking out certain goals; c) a level of similarity of attitudes toward the immediate situation. These levels are not independent of each other. For instance, there should be some relation between a measure of basic acceptance or rejection of authority and a measure of liking or disliking of actual supervisors.

When a recruit enters basic training he leaves his familiar social world behind. This is also true, though to a lesser extent, when he reports to the Apprenticeship Training Battalion. Festinger's theory of social comparison suggests that in such an unusual situation recruits are especially likely to seek out peers with whom to interact, structure the situation and develop shared interpretations.

Three frameworks are important in this process: the background each brings with him; the objectives he wishes to achieve; the pressures he feels in the immediate situation. Basic underlying values are related to background; attitudes towards goals to objectives; attitudes toward the immediate situation to the pressures of that situation.

While the experience of basic training is new, the background of the

recruit is important in his adjustment to this experience. He seeks out those who share similar backgrounds because he finds that association with them is rewarding. It is rewarding either a) because the familiar provides a feeling of security in a strange situation, b) because agreement on underlying values in a new, highly pluralistic situation away from home helps him maintain and corroborate his original views.

Similarity of background is not always simple or self-evident. Social life has many dimensions. Clues lead one to associate with another in the hope he is alike in background and basic values. For example, accents provide a clue to geographical origin. A New York, Southern, or Middle Western accent may attract another from the same region. At the same time, social class differences within a region mean that a middle class recruit from a Chicago suburb may believe himself to have more in common with a middle class recruit from an Atlanta suburb than with a lower class recruit from The Chicago Ghetto.

A process of search for the familiar amid the strange goes on. It proceeds in stages. First, relatively overt cues are used. Then, as information is exchanged, underlying attitudes and values are revealed and further differentiation occurs. Newcomb describes this in The Acquaintance Process.


Overt cues include certain demographic characteristics. But also of great importance are those attitudes which are publicly displayed in response to the immediate situation. All the problems experienced in the new RTC and ATB environments lead to the tentative public expression of attitudes.

In this new situation there are goals which can only be achieved, or can better be achieved in cooperation with someone who wants the same goal. Many leisure time activities such as game playing and drinking are essentially social activities. It is not much fun to play basketball or cards or drink by oneself. Instrumental attitudes bring men together and lead to shared goal achievement.

This process is not unidirectional. As each recruit experiences this novel situation he seeks out others who are close by, and who by overt verbal or non-verbal signs display similarity. Information is exchanged. Cooperation leads to mutually beneficial outcomes. More is learned about the other and deeper values are tapped. Since these deeper values influenced the individual's public response to the original situation, there is a sense in which they had an effect initially, but one which was mediated through the public response to the immediate situation. This process is outlined in Figure 4.1.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Figure 4.1.
The Process of Information
Search and Attitude Discovery



Initial Scales and Items¹ -- Underlying Values

Underlying values were measured which were relevant to the situation of a neophyte in the military. Withey's "Dimensions of Values" scales (1965) were used. There are four dimensions: acceptance of authority, need-determined expression, equalitarianism, and individualism. These dimensions were hypothetically important because they were relevant to adjustment to life in a situation which was highly differentiated in regard to authority, in which impulse had to be controlled and discipline accepted, and in which individuals were subordinated to the interests of the group. The items were:

Acceptance of Authority

1. Young people sometimes get rebellious ideas, but as they grow up they ought to get over them.*
2. You have to respect authority and when you stop respecting authority, your situation isn't worth much.*
3. Obedience and respect for authority are the most important things in character that children should learn.*

Need-determined Expression

1. The solution to almost any human problem should be based on the situation at the time, not on some general idea of right or wrong.*
2. Do what you want to do that's fun and worry about the future later.*
3. Since no values last forever, the only real values are those that fit the needs of right now.*

Equalitarianism

1. A group of people that are nearly equal will work a lot better than one where people have bosses and ranks over one another.*

¹* items were administered only in RTC.
** items were administered only in ATB.

Equalitarianism (con't.)

2. Everyone should have an equal chance and an equal say in most things.*
3. Everyone should have what he needs, the important things we have belong to all of us.*

Individualism

1. We should all admire a man who starts out bravely on his own.
2. In life a person should for the most part "go it alone", working on his own and trying to make his own life.
3. One should not depend on other persons or things, the center of life should be found inside oneself.

In light of the many changes which have occurred in the military in recent years, as well as the stereotype which is common of military conservatism, a scale measuring attitudes toward change, a five item conservatism scale (McClosky, 1958, in Robinson, Rusk, and Head, 1972) was included.

Conservatism

1. If you start trying to change things very much, you usually make them worse.*
2. If something grows up after a long time, there will always be much wisdom to it.*
3. It's better to stick by what you have than to be trying new things you don't really know about.*
4. We must respect the work of our forefathers and not think that we know better than they did.*
5. A man doesn't really have much wisdom until he is well along in years.*

Several items were created specifically to tap a general preference to work and play with persons perceived as similar.

Similarity

1. It's easy to get in with a group of friends here who have the same interests I do.
2. I prefer to work with people who are similar.
3. I prefer to spend my liberty with people who have the same interests as I do.

Initial Scales and Items -- Reactions to the Immediate Situation

Most items dealt with immediate responses to the Navy, or Navy-related issues.

Two scales were adapted from the Job Satisfaction Inventory (Twery, et al, 1958 in Robinson, Athansiou, and Head, 1969).

Supervisor in a Social Role

1. My company commander (unit counselor) doesn't understand his men at all.
2. My company commander (unit counselor) gets excellent cooperation from his men.
3. My company commander (unit counselor) should mix with his men a lot more.

Co-workers

1. Compared with other men that I have worked with, I think that my present co-workers are excellent.
2. I like very much the men I work with.
3. When I need help I can always count on my fellow workers.

Race Relations

One focus of our research, as well as an important concern of the Navy, has been race and ethnic group relations. In 1973 the Center for Naval Analyses published a report "Development of the Navy Human Relations Questionnaire." The following items were used:

1. There should be more association between black and white Navy men.*
2. Since joining the Navy my attitude toward other races and groups has not changed.*
3. Race relations in my training unit are good.*
4. Blacks understand whites better than whites understand blacks.*
5. There is less racial prejudice in the Navy than in civilian life.*
6. The Navy provides a good career opportunity for members of minority groups.*
[This is basically an instrumental belief.]
7. Non-whites should stay with their own groups.*

Several items were chosen related to satisfaction with the Navy experience, though not necessarily because they formed a scale. They indicate satisfaction with the original decision to enlist, with progress in training, and early thoughts about reenlistment.

1. I am disappointed that I ever enlisted.
2. The Navy provides a good way for men who couldn't afford it as civilians to get an education and get ahead in life. [This is basically an instrumental belief]
3. All in all, I am glad I joined the Navy.
4. I consider my training here rather unpleasant.
5. I am making it through basic training without any serious problems.
6. Most days I am enthusiastic about my Navy training.
7. When my first enlistment is over I intend to reenlist.

Hypothetically there may be a difference in attitude towards the Navy in general and towards RTC in particular. Items adapted from Thornton, Hamilton and Nealey (1973) related to basic training include:

Basic Training

1. I see basic training as a very important part of military training.*
2. I expect to make it through basic training without any serious problems.*
3. Basic training is mostly just an initiation you have to go through to be 'shaped up.'*

Other items pertained to a) Navy rules and regulations; b) the importance of primary groups to the respondent; c) particular aspects of the apprenticeship experience; d) conflict in training units and perception of cliques.

4. I agree with the rules the Navy has about length of hair and sideburns. (source uncertain)
5. I feel that what I am doing here gives me a chance to make friends.
6. Most of the trouble in my unit is caused by a small group of trouble makers who hang out together.*

Basic Training (con't.)

7. People who don't like each other can't do a good job together.
(Thornton, Hamilton and Nealey, 1973)
8. I like going on liberty with a large group of other recruits.
9. It is important to me that I develop close friendships with the people I work with.
10. I like to spend my free time with a small number of close personal friends.
11. There are some small groups of men in my unit who are looking for a fight.
12. When I go on liberty I prefer to go with people who have the same rating that I have.*
13. I know almost all the apprentices in my unit by name.**
14. The ATB has bad recreational facilities.**
15. There are a lot of good places around this base to relax and have fun.**
16. There is nothing to do around here when I am on liberty.**
17. There should be more chance for athletics during apprenticeship training.**
18. I would like more chances to be alone than I have had since I joined the Navy.**
19. The rooms at this training base are really crowded.**
20. I got to know only a few of my fellow apprentices.**
21. Apprenticeship training should have fewer lectures and more experience with real equipment.**
22. I like working with equipment.**
23. I am not looking forward to standing my first watch at sea.**
24. When I am in the fleet I expect most of my friends will be other seamen (firemen, airmen).**
25. I think seamen (firemen, airmen) get a bad deal compared with other ratings.**
26. I think the training I received in ATF_{nn} (ATS_{nn}, ATA_{nn}) will help a lot when I get to the fleet.
27. I will remember the friends I made in ATF_{nn} (ATS_{nn}, ATA_{nn}) all my life.**
28. Living conditions in ATB are a lot worse than in the fleet.**

Factor Analysis

Table 4.1 displays the first ten factors which emerged from the factor analysis of the RTC questionnaire (a key to the question numbers may be consulted in Appendix 4.2). A factor loading threshold of .40 was used as the criterion of inclusion, somewhat higher than that recommended by Nunnally (1967, p. 357). The analysis is based on data from 231 respondents who were observed in ATB but tested in both RTC and ATB.

Factor 1

This is Twery's "Co-workers" scale, except for questions 37 and 38. Thirty-seven is very consistent with the co-workers scale, but 38 reflects an equalitarian attitude. The "liking" reflected in 37 may have been transformed to "sharing" in 38. This factor accounted for 24.6 % of the variance.

Factor 2

This factor loads high on three of the satisfaction questions. They reflect satisfaction with the original decision to join, present status, and future enlistment intentions. This factor accounted for 15.8% of the variance.

Factor 3

Except for one item (which failed to meet the loading threshold) this is the conservatism scale. It accounted for 8.3% of the variance.

Factor 4

Only one high loading appeared on this factor, one originally included both because of its hypothetical relation to satisfaction and to original

goals in enlisting. A respondent who agrees with the item believes the Navy provides a good opportunity for free education. Just below the threshold is item 27 (.38997) which deals with the Navy as an opportunity for mobility for minorities. The factor reflects the role of the Navy in the American opportunity structure.

Factor 5

The only item with a high loading is from Withey's Equalitarianism scale. Both other items from that scale (29, 38) have very low loadings.

Factor 6

This is Withey's Individualism scale (6, 19) less item 2.

Factor 7

Items 24 and 31 are from the a priori Similarity scale. Item 4 has a loading just below the threshold (.38150). The original scale drops out of the data very nicely.

Factor 8

This factor reflects preference for recreation with small as opposed to large groups. These items were originally introduced in order to measure the import of primary groups to the respondent.

Factor 9

This is Twery's original Supervisor in Social Role Scale. Item 23 is somewhat below the threshold (.35258).

Factor 10

Items 13 and 48 both pertain to basic training. This factor reflects the respondent's belief (or lack thereof) in the validity of basic training.

TABLE 4.1

VARIMAX ROTATED FACTOR MATRIX

AFTER ROTATION WITH KAISER NORMALIZATION

	FACTOR 1	FACTOR 2	FACTOR 3	FACTOR 4	FACTOR 5
001	.18324	.16033	.01320	.19893	.12565
002	.14498	.07983	.10255	.16068	.14892
003	.02144	-.08124	.05597	-.05336	.04282
004	.26548	.08431	.09506	.24906	-.02186
005	.04911	-.00220	.11582	.06235	.03446
006	.13219	-.06522	.00529	.02147	.03887
007	.24930	.00964	.03376	.29044	.14621
008	-.11292	-.04875	.03931	-.03230	-.01430
009	.26034	.20287	-.12827	.11502	-.11214
010	.03477	.09632	.14084	.01672	-.04980
011	-.17634	.04429	.13526	.08759	-.07508
012	.06344	.00754	.52632	.03500	-.04462
013	-.04311	-.09293	.18154	-.08998	.07285
014	-.36653	-.13725	-.02690	-.08418	.04321
015	.07555	.01567	.03500	-.05611	-.04264
016	.13565	.10799	.15975	-.03211	.02841
017	.00355	.14690	.05386	.07701	.59724
018	.10820	.79000	-.01621	.07901	.06908
019	-.03663	-.02638	.03970	.05516	.08787
020	.00467	.12663	.29440	.02713	.18369
021	.05575	-.00344	.52743	-.01365	.05345
022	.30412	.02635	.19245	.02856	.15226
023	.02957	-.01549	.33365	.10939	.08296
024	.00273	-.07731	.18341	-.09260	.03857
025	.52142	.06687	-.01193	.04787	.01889
026	.17056	-.11079	-.00944	-.00458	.47345
027	.17980	.01240	-.05620	.38997	.10524
028	.25651	-.12314	.16439	.04058	.12663
029	-.24165	.07108	-.26330	.04563	.07375
030	-.12172	.13590	-.00041	.07436	.05072
031	-.10186	-.02475	.09389	.10108	-.01622
032	.15603	.02881	.31743	.30051	.00747
033	.28119	.11332	.27093	.21965	.26567
034	.16910	.02086	.20061	.59496	-.00578
035	.09554	.06959	.60851	.08068	.02282
036	-.11747	-.14519	.03566	-.01927	.03450
037	.63761	.13625	.04716	.23227	-.08320
038	.56029	.06961	.14406	.02717	.06620
039	.19600	.81903	.00593	.08543	.15302
040	.13191	.20563	.03418	.05746	-.12895
041	.37685	.03460	.00659	.02102	.19133
042	.72643	.15448	.05155	.15808	.03645
043	.70732	.10071	.06573	.05754	.14870
044	.14938	.08572	-.06521	-.05708	.01518
045	.30367	.26773	.13823	.03935	-.09412

	FACTOR 1	FACTOR 2	FACTOR 3	FACTOR 4	FACTOR 5
046	-.11224	-.01395	.05837	-.18573	-.00348
047	.09252	-.09372	.21227	-.21549	.21227
048	.09254	.22838	.05571	.14543	.13953
049	.00422	-.01312	.11904	-.23353	-.09478
050	.19540	<u>.58361</u>	.18288	-.14134	-.13405
051	.01433	<u>.01331</u>	.40576	-.34876	.20747
052	.04961	.12558	.16255	.03315	.36637
053	.01715	.11737	-.22866	.23099	.15655

FACTOR 6	FACTOR 7	FACTOR 8	FACTOR 9	FACTOR 10
.03002	.12682	-.09322	-.05668	.03476
.12478	.12831	-.13674	-.00348	.01071
-.05070	.03677	-.00023	.02000	-.08090
.11563	.38150	.18193	.00632	.00218
.03817	.02198	.08341	-.01176	.03856
.53586	.09949	.02818	-.09904	.07496
-.00877	.22170	.15381	-.14608	-.05835
-.00206	.02249	-.05959	.67477	-.07429
-.07230	.04603	.05166	-.07396	-.12084
.00693	-.05928	-.03541	-.03138	.15701
-.04299	.06655	-.12279	.05761	-.08800
-.10169	.00736	-.03397	-.00298	-.07425
.05817	.09624	-.16456	.49570	-.53998
-.10261	.07984	.21289	.00083	-.02311
.11480	.11351	-.04781	-.18743	-.09685
-.00234	-.04981	.01864	.09949	.05877
.12061	-.01558	.02774	-.20860	-.02544
-.08661	-.03704	.13729	.06711	.07930
.74315	-.05407	-.09832	.05910	-.12329
.02809	.14453	.01024	.15142	-.09035
.14692	.10895	-.00318	.12105	-.01979
.06678	.05232	.42397	.35258	.09272
-.02258	.08912	-.00513	.12232	-.03753
.06931	.41132	-.09550	-.04869	.06523
-.01748	.10273	.07431	-.05101	.06249
.02212	-.02004	.03205	-.02474	.03676
.04320	.13241	.06414	-.02236	-.13774
.08526	.11006	-.02182	-.01631	.19676
-.29678	-.00642	-.05677	-.25146	.35809
-.09681	.09379	.30637	.01158	-.12626
-.02154	.68395	-.11919	-.20250	.02406
-.10816	.04004	.05217	-.02228	-.00822
.05112	.12274	.10577	-.00144	.16582
.04169	-.00940	-.07009	-.13002	.06589
.03201	.04652	.09677	.02436	-.01830
.08009	.24281	-.58437	-.09220	.16129
.04068	.11797	.10577	-.10834	-.05130
.12053	-.06316	.01255	-.04364	.08583
-.05577	-.07033	.04278	-.02181	.06465
.08404	.03414	.00626	.12009	.10305
.04714	-.01588	-.14948	-.09894	-.01426
.00775	-.10792	-.04526	-.06636	-.05296
.00089	-.04754	.05962	-.04685	.14915
.02175	.12497	.10497	.09180	.11104
.04246	-.02625	.19427	.05559	.00651
.21463	.12054	.03106	-.00683	-.04717
.16883	.14848	.00424	-.07160	.62273
.03866	-.07950	-.14113	.14260	-.06643
.03525	.17087	.01421	.09088	.19938
	.09245			

FACTOR 6	FACTOR 7	FACTOR 8	FACTOR 9	FACTOR 10
.00522	.09245	-.02868	.09088	.19938
.11416	.20869	-.17222	- .11198	-.02904
.01847	.18451	-.13414	- .18031	.19252
-.06438	.01556	.00924	- .10061	.23503

Scale Reliability

An alternate approach is to determine the reliability coefficients of those groups of items which were considered (a priori) to be scales. Table 4.2 displays the Alpha coefficients and Standardized Item Alpha coefficients for these scales.

Some of these scales were also included in the ATB questionnaire. In Table 4.3 the reliability coefficients are displayed for that data (N=231).

Table 4.2
Reliability of RTC Scales

<u>Scale Name</u>	Alpha	Standardized Alpha
Acceptance of Authority (Withey)	.46150	.46517
Need Determined Expression (Withey)	.25846	.25017
Equalitarianism (Withey)	.25295	.24359
Individualism (Withey)	.45647	.44071
Conservatism (Matthew and Provo)	.61950	.62653
Similarity	.49834	.49611
Supervisor in Social Role (Twery)	.54936	.54864
Co-workers (Cohesion) (Twery)	.77875	.78149
Race Relations	.44844	.46046
Satisfaction	.73872	.74053

Table 4.3
Reliability of ATB Scales

<u>Scale Name</u>	Alpha	Standardized Alpha
Individualism	.32786	.32197
Similarity	.59848	.59402
Supervisor in Social Role	.47638	.47696
Co-workers	.78033	.78627
Satisfaction	.76542	.76641

Nunnally (1967, p. 226) writes that "In the early stages of research on predictor tests or hypothesized measures of a construct, one saves time and energy by working with instruments that have only modest reliability, for which purpose reliabilities of .60 or .50 will suffice." These scales were considered first in terms of factor analysis and then in terms of their reliability both in the context of RTC and ATB. For the purpose of this research it would appear that Twery's Co-worker (cohesion) and Supervisor in Social Role scales, and Matthew and Provo's Conservatism scales are sufficiently reliable. Withey's Acceptance of Authority and Individualism, and the Race Relations scales have serious problems, but may be used with that defect in mind. Neither Withey's Need-Determined Expression scale nor his Equalitarianism scale are useful, except perhaps as specific as opposed to general factors.

Initial Scales and Items -- Instrumental Beliefs

Instrumental beliefs are beliefs about the relation between work and leisure activities and personal goals. Factor analysis disclosed one work-oriented instrumental scale.

The Navy provides a good career opportunity for members of minority groups.

The Navy provides a good way for men who couldn't afford it as civilians to get an education and get ahead in life.

Because these questions might be answered from either a personal or

merely descriptive standpoint, an explicitly personal question was asked:

What was the single most important reason why you initially joined the Navy?

1. Job opportunities looked better than in civilian life.....
2. For travel, adventure, new experience.....
3. To learn or develop leadership skills.....
4. Opportunity for advanced education or technical training.....
5. Wanted to serve my country.....
6. To continue a family tradition of military service.....
7. Interest in the sea and/or shipboard life.....
8. Interest in flying or astronautics.....
9. For a secure job with promotions and favorable retirement.....
10. Other reason (Please specify)

These nine reasons were collapsed into three for purposes of analysis: employment opportunity (1,3,4,9); curiousness and adventure (2,7,8); and patriotism (5,6).

In addition subjects were asked to rank order their preferences for leisure activities.

On the following list please place a number 1 by the activity you like to do most when you have liberty, a number 2 by the thing you like to do next most and so on. The thing you like to do least should have a number 12 next to it.

Reading a good book.....
Watching T. V.
Going out to dinner.....
Going to a movie.....
Playing cards.....
Listening to music.....
Visiting a museum.....
Playing sports.....
Going out to local bar.....
Working on a hobby.....
Just relaxing.....
Meeting a girl.....

These questions reflected specific leisure preferences. Other questions on the ATB questionnaire measured evaluation of base recreation facilities and preferences for social or solitary leisure (see previous section).

Similarity of Reference Group Orientation

Much of the content of "who we think we are" is an expression of our sense of group "reference." Many answers to questions of personal identity are in terms of group orientation: Methodist, Chicano, female, sailor. Groups of reference may or may not be membership groups. Some may be groups in which one aspires to be a member, or with which one merely identifies psychologically.

Group membership may be important per se, or may be predictive of other

personal characteristics. I hypothesize that similarity of groups of reference is associated with attraction, not only in itself, but also because similarity of group reference is predictive of similarity of values, beliefs, attitudes, life style and common fate.

Measures of Reference Group Orientation

Demographic characteristics were hypothesized to be indices of group orientation. Groups considered salient were classes, ethnic groups (religion and/or color), and political groups.

Social Class

According to Matras (1975, 90) a social class is a "population whose members are characterized by similarities of income, wealth, and economic position, by comparable levels and styles of living, by educational and cultural similarities, and by at least some similar patterns of social interactions. . . ." It is noteworthy that the concept of class itself is so closely bound up in the notion of similarity.

In this study I utilized the most widely used measure of class -- classification by occupation and education. Specifically, the form of the questions was taken from the Questionnaire for Occupational Change in a Generation as displayed in Appendix B of Blau and Duncan (1967). For actual coding Featherman, Sobel and Dickens "A Manual for Coding Occupations and Industries into Detailed 1970 Categories and a Listing of 1970--Basis Duncan Socioeconomic and NORC Prestige Scores" (1975) was used. The actual questions were:

Now we would like to find out what kind of job the HEAD of your family did when you were 16 years old?

- a. What kind of job was the HEAD of your family doing?

(For example, paint sprayer, elementary school teacher, repaired radio sets, grocery checker, farm hand)

- b. What was the most important activity the HEAD of your family did in that job?

- c. What kind of business or industry was this?

(For example, auto assembly plant, county junior high school, radio service, retail supermarket, farm)

- d. Was he--

(Check one)

an employee of a PRIVATE company, business, or individual for wages, salary, or commissions?.....

☐

A GOVERNMENT employee (Federal, State, County or
Local Government?).....

4

self-employed in his OWN business, professional
practice or farm?.....

☐

working WITHOUT PAY in his family's business or
farm?.....

7

What is the highest grade of school the HEAD of your family (or person checked in Question 6a) completed? (Check one box. If you are not sure, please make a guess)

Never attended school.....

□

Grades 1 to 12.....

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

9 10 11 12

College (Academic years)

☐ 1
 ☐ 2
 ☐ 3
 ☐ 4
 ☐ 5 or more

If you lived with both parents did both of your parents have jobs most of the time when you were growing up?

☐ Yes

☐ No
(Skip to Question 10)

a. What kind of work did your other parent do?

What is the highest grade in school your other parent completed?
(Check one box. If you are not sure, please make a guess)

Never attended school..... ☐

Grades 1 to 12..... ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7

☐ 8 ☐ 9 ☐ 10 ☐ 11 ☐ 12

College (Academic years)

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 or more

Ethnicity

Ethnicity is a multi-dimensional concept. It implies a) association with a socially defined group which b) occupies a particular range on the stratification hierarchy of a particular society relative to such indices as income, wealth, power and prestige. In American civilization important ethnic distinctions have related to national origin, color and religion. Primary attention was given to religion and color in this study because of the small number of subjects of foreign origin.

Religion

Studies by many sociologists of religion (Herberg, 1955; Lenski, 1961;

Glock and Stark, 1965) have demonstrated that religious identification is an important factor in informal group formation. Not only do Protestants lead their communal life with other Protestants; Catholics with other Catholics; Jews with other Jews; but within these groups there are also important divisions, especially among the denominations and sects of Protestantism. Hypothetically, religion is an important factor in friendship formation. Since many who identify themselves as, for instance, Protestant, are inactive in religious institutions, frequency of attendance is also an important variable. Finally, since those who associate with a religiously homogeneous or heterogeneous group of friends are not necessarily consciously aware of this, some measure of personal perception is necessary.

The specific questions asked were:

What is your religion?

About how often, if ever, have you attended religious services in the last year? (Check one)

Once a week or more

☐

Two or three times a month

☐

Once a month

☐

A few times a year or less

☐

Never

☐

What proportion of your closest friends in the Navy have the same religious preference as you do?

(Check One)

All of them

☐ 1

Nearly all of them

☐ 2

More than half of them

☐ 3

Less than half of them

☐ 4

None of them

☐ 5

I don't know

☐ 6

The first and second of these questions was adapted from Lenski (1963).

Color

Differences in informal group formation related to differences in color were an important component of the original proposal for this project. Local authorities insisted that this focus be deemphasized apparently on the principle that if distinctions based on color were not mentioned they would not exist. While I recognize that some changes have occurred in ethnic stratification in American life, and in the Navy over the last two decades (much less than is commonly thought), it is unrealistic to assume that ethnic distinctions are still not of social import. This is especially true in the communal life of groups of different color. As with religious differences, differences in color influence the emergence of informal friendship groups both directly by personal choice and social custom and indirectly through such mechanisms as de facto segregation in housing and education. I use the term "color" deliberately rather than race, since it appears to be the visible fact of color which has social and cultural import rather than any technical or serious consideration of race in the genetic or physical anthropological sense.

Measures

Two measures were used:

The observers were asked to identify subjects according to color and National origin (in the latter case, judged primarily by language use).

Identification by this means probably approximates the average social classification of the subject by others. In addition the subject was asked to the following questions taken from the Navy Human Relations Questionnaire:

QUESTIONNAIRE:

IF YOU ARE A MEMBER OF A MINORITY GROUP, (for instance, Black, Chicano Indian American or Puerto Rican) please answer the following questions. If you are white, please skip to the questions below.

Because I'm from a minority group, most of the whites in my section like me less than they like other whites.

☐ 1 Yes ☐ 2 No ☐ 3 Don't Know ☐ 4 Doesn't Apply to me

Because I'm from a non-black minority group, the blacks in my section like me less than they like the other whites.

☐ 1 Yes ☐ 2 No ☐ 3 Don't Know ☐ 4 Doesn't Apply to me

How do you feel about whites?

☐ 1 I like them ☐ 2 I don't like them ☐ 3 It depends on the individual

How do you feel about members of other minority groups?

☐ 1 I like them ☐ 2 I don't like them ☐ 3 It depends on the individual

IF YOU ARE WHITE, please answer the following questions.

Because I'm white, most of the blacks in my section like me less than they like other blacks.

☐ 1 Yes ☐ 2 No ☐ 3 Don't Know ☐ 4 Doesn't Apply to me

Because I'm white, men from other minority groups in my section like me less than they like men from their own groups.

☐ 1 Yes ☐ 2 No ☐ 3 Don't Know ☐ 4 Doesn't Apply to me

How do you feel about blacks?

☐ 1 I like them ☐ 2 I don't like them ☐ 3 It depends on the individual

How do you feel about members of other minority groups such as Puerto Ricans, Mexican Americans, etc.?

☐ 1 I like them ☐ 2 I don't like them ☐ 3 It depends on the individual

Political Preference

While political preference may reflect mainly social class or regional differences, it was included primarily as a measure of traditional identification, and of the communal import of such identification. The assumption was that for respondents in this age group preference would reflect family identification and preference rather than any particular ideology. The specific questions were:

What is your political preference?

(Check One)

- | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Republican | ☐ | 1 |
| Democrat | ☐ | 2 |
| Independent | ☐ | 3 |
| Other (Please specify) _____ | ☐ | 4 |
| None | ☐ | 5 |

What proportion of your closest friends in the Navy have the same political preference as you do?

(Check One)

- | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| All of them | ☐ | 1 |
| Nearly all of them | ☐ | 2 |
| More than half of them | ☐ | 3 |
| Less than half of them | ☐ | 4 |
| None of them | ☐ | 5 |
| I don't know | ☐ | 6 |

Other Information

Subjects were asked to provide other information of hypothetical import:

Rural or Urban Origin

Many studies consider rural or urban origin an important indirect index to attitudes as well as to expectations in interpersonal relations (the alleged friendlier relations of the small town). In addition Newcomb (1961), for instance, documented differences in the acquaintance process related to this variable.

Subjects were asked:

HOME TOWN (or nearest town)

STATE

ZIP CODE

Where were you living when you were 16 years old?

(Check One)

- in a large city
(100,000 population or more)?..... ☐ 1
- in a suburb near a large city?..... ☐ 2
- in a middle-sized city or small town
(under 100,000 populations) but not
in a suburb of a large city?..... ☐ 3
- open country (but not on a farm)?..... ☐ 4
- on a farm?..... ☐ 5

In addition the subjects were asked about the composition of their family of reference.

Were you living with both your parents most of the time up to age 16?

_____ ☐ NO

☐ 1 YES

(Go to Question 7)

a. If "No" above, who was the HEAD of your FAMILY?

(Check one)

- Father..... ☐ 2
- Mother..... ☐ 3
- Other Male..... ☐ 4
- Other Female..... ☐ 5

Family composition has a demonstrated statistical relationship to diverse factors ranging from social class to criminality. The rapid increase in households headed by a female, together with the low skill levels of most such females and discrimination in employment against females suggests a somewhat different fate for children of such households than for others. Questions on place of origin and family were taken from Blau and Duncan (1967).

Certain questions were included because it was hypothesized that the factors to which they referred effected interaction.

Smoking

Subjects were asked if they smoked. Because certain small spaces were reserved for smoke breaks it was hypothesized that smokers in a unit would be more likely to meet and interact with other smokers on a daily basis than with non-smokers.

Official Company Position

Many recruits and apprentices served as petty officers in their training units. Occupancy of such a position meant position-related interaction of a formal rather than informal nature. The Educational Petty Officer, for instance, was required to meet regularly with others for purposes of study.

Enlistment Program and Assignment

Persons enlist in a variety of programs and in many cases are promised specific assignments. Strongly relevant to the study of interaction was the Buddy Program in which subjects enlisted together and were trained in the same RTC company. It was also hypothesized that those who enlisted with a particular program in mind (electronics, for instance) might seek out others with similar interests, especially after service school assignments in RTC and base or ship assignments were publicly posted. The specific questions were:

What program did you enlist in the Navy under?

(Check One)

USN

☐

USNR

☐

USN Buddy

☐

USNR Buddy

☐

USN PSI

☐

USNR PSI

☐

USN DPEP

☐

USNR DPEP

☐

OTHER (Please Specify) _____

☐

What is your next assignment after recruit (apprenticeship) training?

Marital Status

A question on marital status was added after the study started. A few members of each unit were married and observers noted that married subjects tended to seek each other out.

Observers also collected information informally on age, education and previous career of subjects. The great majority were recent high school graduates, not much above minimum age of enlistment, with little work experience. A few were older, and had college background or previous military experience.

References

- Blau, Peter M. and O. D. Duncan.
The American Occupational Structure, John Wiley, N.Y., 1967
- Fetherman, David L., M. Sobel, and D. Dickens.
A Manual for Coding Occupations and Industries into Detailed 1970 Categories and a Listing of 1970-Basis Duncan Socioeconomic and NORC Prestige Scores, Center for Demography and Ecology, Madison, n.d.
- Festinger Leon.
"Theory of Social Comparison Processes", Human Relations, 7, 1954, 117-140.
- Glock, Charles Y. and Rodney Stark.
Religion and Society in Tension, Chicago, Rand McNally, 1965
- Herberg, Will.
Protestant, Catholic, Jew, Garden City, Doubleday, 1955
- Lenski, Gerhard.
The Religious Factor, Garden City, Doubleday, 1961
- Matras, Judah.
Social Inequality, Stratification, and Mobility, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1975
- McClosky, Herbert.
"Conservatism and Personality", American Political Science Review, 1958, 52, 27-45
- Newcomb, Theodore.
The Acquaintance Process, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, N.Y., 1961
- Nunnally, Jum C.
Psychometric Theory, McGraw Hill, N.Y., 1967
- Robinson, John P., R. A. Thanasiou and K. Head.
Measures of Occupational Attitudes and Occupational Characteristics, Institute for Social Research, Ann Arbor, 1969
- Robinson, John P., J. G. Rusk and K. B. Head.
Measures of Political Attitudes, Institute for Social Research, Ann Arbor, 1972
- Robinson, John P. and P. R. Shaver.
Measures of Social Psychological Attitudes, Institute for Social Research, Ann Arbor, 1969
- Stoloff, Peter H., et.al.
Development of the Navy Human Relations Questionnaire, Institute of Naval Studies, Arlington, 1973

Sykes, Richard, K. Larntz and James Fox.

"Proximity and Similarity Effects on Frequency of Interaction in a Class of Naval Recruits", Sociometry, 39, 3, September 1976

Thornton, George C., J. Hamilton and Stanley Nealey.

Differences in Attitudes Toward Leadership Between 'Draft-Induced' and 'True' Volunteers, Battelle, Seattle, 1973

Twery, R., J. Schmid and C. Wrigley.

"Some Factors in Job Satisfaction", Educational and Psychological Measurement, 1958, 18, 189-202

Withey, S.

"The U.S. and the U.S.S.R.: A Report of the Public's Perspective on the United States - Russian Relations in Late 1961" in D. Bobrow, ed., Components of Defense Policy, Chicago, Rand McNally, 1965, 164-174

APPENDIX 4.1

COMBINED ATB AND RTC QUESTIONS
WITH DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS
(attitude items)

ATB Questions

SECTION II. OPINION INFORMATION

FOR THE FOLLOWING ITEMS PLEASE INDICATE YOUR ANSWER BY CHECKING THE APPROPRIATE NUMBER

- 1 means you strongly agree
 2 means you agree
 3 means you neither agree nor disagree
 4 means you disagree
 5 means you strongly disagree

X	σ	X	σ	X	σ	
Previous		R T C		A T B		
1.9	.7	1.99	.92	1.85	.81	1. We should all admire a man who starts out bravely on his own.
		2.09	1.01	2.07	.86	2. It's easy to get in with a group of friends here who have the same interests I do.
		n.d.*		2.35	1.12	3. I know almost all the apprentices in my unit by name.
2.4	1.0	2.02	1.23	1.91	1.08	4. One should not depend on other persons or things, the center of life should be found inside oneself
		n.d.		2.39	1.27	5. The ATB has bad recreational facilities.
		2.03	.91	2.16	.96	6. I feel that what I am doing here gives me a chance to make friends.
		n.d.		3.49	1.32	7. There are a lot of good places around this base to relax and have fun.
		n.d.		3.16	1.27	8. There is nothing to do around here when I am on liberty.
n.d. Twery		4.14	1.05	3.71	1.02	9. My unit counselor doesn't understand his men at all.
		3.30	1.25	3.40	1.33	10. I agree with the rules the Navy has about length of hair and sideburns.

*n.d. = no data

FOR THE FOLLOWING ITEMS PLEASE INDICATE YOUR
ANSWER BY CHECKING THE APPROPRIATE NUMBER

- 1 means you strongly agree
2 means you agree
3 means you neither agree nor disagree
4 means you disagree
5 means you strongly disagree

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

X_{Previous} σ	$X_{\text{R T C}}$ σ	$X_{\text{A T B}}$ σ	
n.d. Twery	n.d.	2.01 .36	11. My classroom instructor in apprenticeship training gets excellent cooperation from his men.
		3.79 1.10	12. I am disappointed that I ever enlisted.
	n.d.	2.12 1.09	13. There should be more chance for athletics during apprenticeship training.
2.9	2.94 1.27	2.82 1.23	14. In life a person should for the most part "go it alone," working on his own and trying to make his own life.
	2.41 1.29	2.34 1.31	15. People who don't like each other can't do a good job together.
	n.d.	2.83 1.13	16. I would like more chances to be alone than I have had since I joined the Navy.
	3.25 1.14	3.34 1.28	17. I like going on liberty with a large group of other trainees.
	n.d.	3.20 1.12	18. The rooms at this training base are really crowded.
n.d. Twery	2.74 1.06	2.82 1.05	19. My unit counselor should mix with his men a lot more.
	2.53 .98	2.52 .93	20. I prefer to work with people who are similar to me.

FOR THE FOLLOWING ITEMS PLEASE INDICATE YOUR
ANSWER BY CHECKING THE APPROPRIATE NUMBER

- 1 means you strongly agree
- 2 means you agree
- 3 means you neither agree nor disagree
- 4 means you disagree
- 5 means you strongly disagree

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

X σ X σ X σ

Previous R T C A T B

n.d. Twery	n.d.	3.14	1.18	21. I got to know only a few of my fellow apprentices.
	2.42 .96	2.52	1.03	22. When I need help I can always count on my fellow trainees.
	2.18 .96	2.21	.95	23. I prefer to spend my liberty with people who have the same interests as I do.
	2.25	2.21	.94	24. It is important to me that I develop close friendships with the people I work with.
	n.d.	1.83	.94	25. Apprenticeship training should have fewer lecture and more experience with real equipment.
	n.d.	2.13	1.07	26. I like working with machinery.
	n.d.	2.90	1.25	27. I am not looking forward to standing my first watch at sea.
	2.13 .93	2.04	.89	28. I like to spend my free time with a small number of close personal friends.
	n.d.	2.65	.99	29. When I am in the fleet I expect most of my friends will be other seamen.
	2.08 .81	2.14	.88	30. I like most of the members of my training unit.

FOR THE FOLLOWING ITEMS PLEASE INDICATE YOUR
ANSWER BY CHECKING THE APPROPRIATE NUMBER

- 1 means you strongly agree
2 means you agree
3 means you neither agree nor disagree
4 means you disagree
5 means you strongly disagree

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

X σ X σ X σ
Previous R T C A T B

		2.17		2.19	1.03	31. All in all, I am glad I joined the Navy.
		3.12		3.16	1.06	32. I consider my training here rather unpleasant.
		2.14	.85	2.25	.86	33. There are several real close groups of friends in my training unit.
		n.d.		3.45	1.01	34. I think seamen get a bad deal compared with other ratings.
n.d. Twery		2.42	.81	2.42	.89	35. I like very much the men I work and train with.
n.d. Twery		2.76	.96	2.85	.99	36. Compared with other men that I have worked with, I think that my present fellow trainees are excellent.
1.92	1.02	1.92	.89	1.81	.73	37. I am making it through apprenticeship training without any serious problems.
		2.67		2.69	1.05	38. Most days I am enthusiastic about my Navy training.
		n.d.		2.18	.85	39. Most of the time I talk to a few close friends among my fellow apprentices.
		n.d.		1.72	.85	40. I think the training I received in ATS 41 help a lot when I get to the fleet.

FOR THE FOLLOWING ITEMS PLEASE INDICATE YOUR
ANSWER BY CHECKING THE APPROPRIATE NUMBER

- 1 means you strongly agree
2 means you agree
3 means you neither agree nor disagree
4 means you disagree
5 means you strongly disagree

X	σ	X	σ	X	σ	
Previous		R T C		A T B		
		2.52	1.12	2.70	1.19	41. There are some small groups of men in my unit who are looking for a fight.
		n.d.		2.60	.98	42. I will remember the friends I made in ATS 41 all of my life.
		n.d.		2.94	.75	43. Living conditions in ATB are a lot worse than in the fleet.
			.98	3.20	1.12	44. When my first enlistment is over I intend to reenlist.
		n.d.		4.14	1.00	45. Being in a unit in apprenticeship training which was being researched really bothered me.
n.d. Twery		2.53	1.02	2.48	.94	46. My unit counselor in apprenticeship training gets excellent cooperation from his men.

APPENDIX 4.2

THE RTC QUESTIONNAIRE

FOR THE FOLLOWING ITEMS PLEASE INDICATE YOUR
ANSWER BY CHECKING THE APPROPRIATE NUMBER

- 1 means you strongly agree
2 means you agree
3 means you neither agree nor disagree
4 means you disagree
5 means you strongly disagree

X Previous σ^* X R T C σ

n.d.	HRI	2.16	.85	1. There should be more association between black and white Navy men.
Q135	HRI	2.61	1.29	2. We should all admire a man who starts out bravely on his own.
1.9	.7	2.46	1.16	3. Since joining the Navy my attitude toward other races and groups has not changed.
				4. It's easy to get in with a group of friends here who have the same interests I do.
				5. Young people sometimes get rebellious ideas, but as they grow up they ought to get over them.
				6. One should not depend on other persons or things, the center of life should be found inside oneself.
				7. I feel that what I am doing here gives me a chance to make friends.
Q136	HRI	2.10	.93	8. My company commander doesn't understand his men at all.
				9. Race relations in my training unit are good.
				10. I agree with the rules the Navy has about length of hair and sideburns.
		2.82	1.26	11. Most of the trouble in my unit is caused by a small group of trouble-makers who hang out together.

FOR THE FOLLOWING ITEMS PLEASE INDICATE YOUR
ANSWER BY CHECKING THE APPROPRIATE NUMBER

- 1 means you strongly agree
2 means you agree
3 means you neither agree nor disagree
4 means you disagree
5 means you strongly disagree

X Previous	σ	X R T C	σ	
2.55		3.65	1.13	12. A man doesn't really have much wisdom until he is well along in years.
3.2	1.35	3.41	1.25	13. Basic training is mostly a lot of unnecessary things you have to go through to be "initiated".
				14. My company commander gets excellent cooperation from his men.
n.d.	HRI	3.47	.87	15. Blacks understand whites better than whites understand blacks.
2.61	1.12	2.64	1.13	16. There is less racial prejudice in the Navy than in civilian life.
2.1	1.1	1.55	.74	17. Everyone should have an equal chance and an equal say in most things.
				18. I am disappointed that I ever enlisted.
				19. In life a person should for the most part "go it alone," working on his own and trying to make his own life.
				20. People who don't like each other can't do a good job together.
2.11		2.93	.98	21. If something grows up after a long time, there will always be much wisdom to it.
				22. I like going on liberty with a large group of other recruits.

FOR THE FOLLOWING ITEMS PLEASE INDICATE YOUR
ANSWER BY CHECKING THE APPROPRIATE NUMBER

- 1 means you strongly agree
2 means you agree
3 means you neither agree nor disagree
4 means you disagree
5 means you strongly disagree

$\bar{X}$ Previous	σ	$\bar{X}$ R T C	σ
2.6	1.0	2.12	.93
2.31	1.02	2.09	.83
3.0	1.1	2.82	1.21
2.9	1.1	3.07	1.27
3.7	1.0	4.10	1.01
1.8	.8	2.27	1.03

23. My company commander should mix with his men a lot more.
24. I prefer to work with people who are similar to me.
25. When I need help I can always count on my fellow trainees.
26. The solution to almost any human problem should be based on the situation at the time, not on some general idea of right or wrong.
27. The Navy provides a good career opportunity for members of minority groups.
28. Since no values last forever, the only real values are those that fit the needs of right now.
29. A group of people that are nearly equal will work a lot better than one where people have bosses and ranks over one another.
30. Do what you want to do that's fun and worry about the future later.
31. I prefer to spend my liberty with people who have the same interests as I do.
32. Obedience and respect for authority are the most important things in character that children should learn.

FOR THE FOLLOWING ITEMS PLEASE INDICATE YOUR
ANSWER BY CHECKING THE APPROPRIATE NUMBER

- 1 means you strongly agree
- 2 means you agree
- 3 means you neither agree nor disagree
- 4 means you disagree
- 5 means you strongly disagree

X σ
Previous

X σ
R T C

				33. It is important to me that I develop close friendships with the people I work with.
				34. The Navy provides a good way for men who couldn't afford it as civilians to get an education and get ahead in life.
2.49		2.70	1.11	35. We must respect the work of our forefathers and not think that we know better than they did.
				36. I like to spend my free time with a small number of close personal friends.
				37. I like most of the members of my training unit.
2.5	1.0	2.45	.93	38. Everyone should have what he needs, the important things we have belong to all of us.
				39. All in all, I am glad I joined the Navy.
				40. I consider my training here rather unpleasant.
				41. There are several real close groups of friends in my training unit.
				42. I like very much the men I work and train with.
				43. Compared with other men that I have worked with, I think that my present fellow trainees are excellent.

FOR THE FOLLOWING ITEMS PLEASE INDICATE YOUR
ANSWER BY CHECKING THE APPROPRIATE NUMBER

- 1 means you strongly agree
 - 2 means you agree
 - 3 means you neither agree nor disagree
 - 4 means you disagree
 - 5 means you strongly disagree
-

X Previous σ X R T C σ

				44. I am making it through basic training without any serious problems.
				45. Most days I am enthusiastic about my Navy training.
				46. There are some small groups of men in my unit who are looking for a fight.
2.37		3.44	1.17	47. It's better to stick by what you have than to be trying new things you don't really know about.
1.0	.86	2.07	.97	48. I believe basic training is a very important part of my military training.
		3.60	.89	49. When I go on liberty I prefer to go with people who have the same rating that I have.
				50. When my first enlistment is over I intend to reenlist.
2.52		2.97	1.05	51. If you start trying to change things very much, you usually make them worse.
1.8	.7	2.07	.93	52. You have to respect authority and when you stop respecting authority, your situation isn't worth much.
3.67	1.0	4.27	.90	53. Non-whites should stay with their own groups.

SECTION 1: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

1. NAME (Please print; last name first)
2. SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER
3. RECRUIT COMPANY NUMBER
4. HOME TOWN (or nearest town) STATE ZIP CODE

17-19

20-21

22-26

5. Where were you living when you were 16 years old?

(Check One)

- in a large city
(100,000 population or more)? ☐ 1
- in a suburb near a large city? ☐ 2
- in a middle-sized city or small town
(under 100,000 population) but not
in a suburb of a large city? ☐ 3
- open country (but not on a farm)? ☐ 4
- on a farm? ☐ 5

27

6. Were you living with both your parents most of the time up to age 16?

28

☐ NO ☐ YES

(Go to Question 7)

- a. If "No" above, who was the HEAD of your FAMILY?

(Check One)

- Father ☐ 2
- Mother ☐ 3
- Other Male ☐ 4
- Other Female ☐ 5

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

7. Now we would like to find out what kind of job the HEAD of your family did when you were 16 years old?

a. What kind of job was the HEAD of your family doing?

(For example, paint sprayer, elementary school teacher, repaired radio sets, grocery checker, farm hand)

b. What was the most important activity the HEAD of your family did in that job?

c. What kind of business or industry was this?

(For example, auto assembly plant, county junior high school, radio service, retail supermarket, farm)

d. Was he --

(Check One)

an employee of a PRIVATE company, business, or individual for wages, salary, or commissions?

☐ 1

a GOVERNMENT employee (Federal, State, County or Local Government?)

☐ 2

self-employed in his OWN business, professional practice or farm?

☐ 3

working WITHOUT PAY in his family's business or farm?

☐ 4

8. What is the highest grade of school the HEAD of your family (or person checked in Question 6a) completed? (Check one box. If you are not sure, please make a guess)

Never attended school ☐

Grades 1 to 12

1	2	3	4	5	6
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

7	8	9	10	11	12
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

College (how many years)

1	2	3	4	5 or more
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

29-31

32-34

35

36-37

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

9. If you lived with both parents did both of your parents have jobs most of the time when you were growing up?

☐ 1 Yes ☐ 2 No
(Skip to Question 10)

a. What kind of work did your other parent do?

10. What is the highest grade in school your other parent completed? (Check one box. If you are not sure, please make a guess).

Never attended school ☐

Grades 1 to 12

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

	8	9	10	11	12
	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

College (Academic years)

	1	2	3	4	5 or more
	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

11. What program did you enlist in the Navy under?

(Check One)

USN	☐	1
USNR	☐	2
USN Buddy	☐	3
USNR Buddy	☐	4
USN PSI	☐	5
USNR PSI	☐	6
USN DREP	☐	7
USNR DREP	☐	8
OTHER (Please Specify) _____	☐	9

38

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

39-40

CARD 2

DUP 2-7
8

12. Do you smoke?

☐ 1 Yes ☐ 2 No

9

13. Did you serve as a Petty Officer in your training unit (For instance, RPOC, Clerk, squad leader)?

☐ 1 Yes ☐ 2 No

10

(Answer Question 13a) (Skip to Question 14)

a. If yes, what position?

11-12

14. What is your next assignment after recruit training?

13-14

Seaman	☐	11
Fireman	☐	12
Airman	☐	13
OS	☐	21
PE	☐	23
Other "A" School	☐	20
Other	☐	99

15. What is your religion?

15-16

16. About how often, if ever, have you attended religious services in the last year?

17

(Check One)

Once a week or more	☐	1
Two or three times a month	☐	2
Once a month	☐	3
A few times a year or less	☐	4
Never	☐	5

17. What proportion of your closest friends in the Navy have the same religious preference as you do?

(Check One)

- | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| All of them | ☐ | 1 |
| Nearly all of them | ☐ | 2 |
| More than half of them | ☐ | 3 |
| Less than half of them | ☐ | 4 |
| None of them | ☐ | 5 |
| I don't know | ☐ | 6 |

18

18. What is your political preference?

(Check One)

- | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Republican | ☐ | 1 |
| Democrat | ☐ | 2 |
| Independent | ☐ | 3 |
| Other (Please specify) _____ | ☐ | 4 |
| None | ☐ | 5 |

19

19. What proportion of your closest friends in the Navy have the same political preference as you do?

(Check One)

- | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| All of them | ☐ | 1 |
| Nearly all of them | ☐ | 2 |
| More than half of them | ☐ | 3 |
| Less than half of them | ☐ | 4 |
| None of them | ☐ | 5 |
| I don't know | ☐ | 6 |

20

20. What was the single most important reason why you initially joined the Navy? (Check only the most important one)

(Check One)

21-22

- | | | |
|--|--------------------------|----|
| Job opportunities looked better than in civilian life | ☐ | 1 |
| For travel, adventure, new experience | ☐ | 2 |
| To learn or develop leadership skills | ☐ | 3 |
| Opportunity for advanced education or technical training.... | ☐ | 4 |
| Wanted to serve my country | ☐ | 5 |
| To continue a family tradition of military service | ☐ | 6 |
| Interest in the sea and/or shipboard life | ☐ | 7 |
| Interest in flying or astronautics | ☐ | 8 |
| For a secure job with promotions and favorable retirements.. | ☐ | 9 |
| Other reason (Please specify) | ☐ | 10 |

21. On the following list please place a number 1 by the activity you like to do most when you have liberty, a number 2 by the thing you like to do next most and so on. The thing you like to do least should have a number 12 next to it.

- | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| Reading a good book | ☐ | 23-24 |
| Watching T.V. | ☐ | 25-26 |
| Going out to dinner | ☐ | 27-28 |
| Going to a movie | ☐ | 29-30 |
| Playing cards | ☐ | 31-32 |
| Listening to music | ☐ | 33-34 |
| Visiting a museum | ☐ | 35-36 |
| Playing sports | ☐ | 37-38 |
| Going out to local bar | ☐ | 39-40 |
| Working on a hobby | ☐ | 41-42 |
| Just relaxing | ☐ | 43-44 |
| Meeting a girl | ☐ | 45-46 |

SECTION II. OPINION INFORMATION

FOR THE FOLLOWING ITEMS PLEASE INDICATE YOUR ANSWER BY CIRCLING THE APPROPRIATE NUMBER

- 1 means you strongly agree
 2 means you agree
 3 means you neither agree nor disagree
 4 means you disagree
 5 means you strongly disagree

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	
1. There should be more association between black and white Navy men.	1	2	3	4	5	8
2. We should all admire a man who starts out bravely on his own.	1	2	3	4	5	9
3. Since joining the Navy my attitude toward other races and groups has not changed.	1	2	3	4	5	10
4. It's easy to get in with a group of friends here who have the same interests I do.	1	2	3	4	5	11
5. Young people sometimes get rebellious ideas, but as they grow up they ought to get over them.	1	2	3	4	5	12
6. One should not depend on other persons or things, the center of life should be found inside oneself.	1	2	3	4	5	13
7. I feel that what I am doing here gives me a chance to make friends.	1	2	3	4	5	14
8. My company commander doesn't understand his men at all.	1	2	3	4	5	15
9. Race relations in my training unit are good.	1	2	3	4	5	16

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	
10. I agree with the rules the Navy has about length of hair and sideburns.	1	2	3	4	5	17
11. Most of the trouble in my unit is caused by a small group of trouble-makers who hang out together.	1	2	3	4	5	18
12. A man doesn't really have much wisdom until he is well along in years.	1	2	3	4	5	19
13. Basic training is mostly a lot of unnecessary things you have to go through to be "initiated".	1	2	3	4	5	20
14. My company commander gets excellent cooperation from his men.	1	2	3	4	5	21
15. Blacks understand whites better than whites understand blacks.	1	2	3	4	5	22
16. There is less racial prejudice in the Navy than in civilian life.	1	2	3	4	5	23
17. Everyone should have an equal chance and an equal say in most things.	1	2	3	4	5	24
18. I am disappointed that I ever enlisted.	1	2	3	4	5	25
19. In life a person should for the most part "go it alone," working on his own and trying to make his own life.	1	2	3	4	5	26
20. People who don't like each other can't do a good job together.	1	2	3	4	5	27
21. If something grows up after a long time, there will always be much wisdom to it.	1	2	3	4	5	28
22. I like going on liberty with a large group of other recruits.	1	2	3	4	5	29
23. My company commander should mix with his men a lot more.	1	2	3	4	5	30
24. I prefer to work with people who are similar to me.	1	2	3	4	5	31

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	
25. When I need help I can always count on my fellow trainees.	1	2	3	4	5	32
26. The solution to almost any human problem should be based on the situation at the time, not on some general idea of right or wrong.	1	2	3	4	5	33
27. The Navy provides a good career opportunity for members of minority groups.	1	2	3	4	5	34
28. Since no values last forever, the only real values are those that fit the needs of right now.	1	2	3	4	5	35
29. A group of people that are nearly equal will work a lot better than one where people have bosses and ranks over one another.	1	2	3	4	5	36
30. Do what you want to do that's fun and worry about the future later.	1	2	3	4	5	37
31. I prefer to spend my liberty with people who have the same interests as I do.	1	2	3	4	5	38
32. Obedience and respect for authority are the most important things in character that children should learn.	1	2	3	4	5	39
33. It is important to me that I develop close friendships with the people I work with.	1	2	3	4	5	40
34. The Navy provides a good way for men who couldn't afford it as civilians to get an education and get ahead in life.	1	2	3	4	5	41
35. We must respect the work of our forefathers and not think that we know better than they did.	1	2	3	4	5	42
36. I like to spend my free time with a small number of close personal friends.	1	2	3	4	5	43

	strongly agree	agree	neither agree nor disagree	disagree	strongly disagree	
37. I like most of the members of my training unit.	1	2	3	4	5	44
38. Everyone should have what he needs, the important things we have belong to all of us.	1	2	3	4	5	45
39. All in all, I am glad I joined the Navy.	1	2	3	4	5	46
40. I consider my training here rather unpleasant.	1	2	3	4	5	47
41. There are several real close groups of friends in my training unit.	1	2	3	4	5	48
42. I like very much the men I work and train with.	1	2	3	4	5	49
43. Compared with other men that I have worked with, I think that my present fellow trainees are excellent.	1	2	3	4	5	50
44. I am making it through basic training without any serious problems.	1	2	3	4	5	51
45. Most days I am enthusiastic about my Navy training.	1	2	3	4	5	52
46. There are some small groups of men in my unit who are looking for a fight.	1	2	3	4	5	53
47. It's better to stick by what you have than to be trying new things you don't really know about.	1	2	3	4	5	54
48. I believe basic training is a very important part of my military training.	1	2	3	4	5	55
49. When I go on liberty I prefer to go with people who have the same rating that I have.	1	2	3	4	5	56
50. When my first enlistment is over I intend to reenlist.	1	2	3	4	5	57
51. If you start trying to change things very much, you usually make them worse.	1	2	3	4	5	58

DISTRIBUTION LIST

LIST 1

MANDATORY

Office of Naval Research
(Code 452)
800 N. Quincy Street
Arlington, Virginia 22217

Director
U.S. Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D.C. 20390
ATTN: Technical Information
Center

Defense Documentation Center
Building 5
Cameron Station
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Library, Code 2029
U.S. Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D.C. 20390

Science & Technology Division
Library of Congress
Washington, D.C. 20540

Psychologist
ONR Branch Office
495 Summer Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02210

LIST 2

Psychologist
ONR Branch Office
1030 E. Greet Street
Pasadena, California 91106

Research Psychologist
ONR Branch Office
536 S. Clark Street
Chicago, Illinois 60605

LIST 3

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS

Dr. Earl A. Alluisi
Old Dominion University
Res. Foundation
Norfolk, Virginia 23508

Dr. Judith Daly
Decisions & Designs, Inc.
Suite 100
8400 Westpark Drive
McLean, Virginia 22101

Dr. James A. Bayton
Department of Psychology
Howard University
Washington, D.C. 20001

Dr. H. Russell Bernard
Department of Sociology &
Anthropology
West Virginia University
Morgantown, West Virginia 26506

Dr. Arthur Blaiwes
Naval Training Equipment Center
Orlando, Florida 32813

Dr. Milton R. Blood
School of Business
Georgia Institute of Technology
Atlanta, Georgia 30332

Dr. David G. Bowers
Institute for Social Research
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

Dr. John J. Collins
Vice President
Essex Corporation
6305 Caminito Estrellado
San Diego, California 92120

Dr. Harry R. Day
University City Science Center
Center for Social Development
3624 Science Center
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

Dr. C. Brooklyn Derr
Associate Professor, Code 55
Naval Post Graduate School
Monterey, California 93940

Dr. George T. Duncan
Carnegie-Mellon University
5000 Forbes Avenue
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

Dr. Fred E. Fiedler
Department of Psychology
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

Dr. Samuel L. Gaertner
Department of Psychology
University of Delaware
2200 Wolf Hall
Newark, Delaware 19711

Dr. William E. Gaymon
Suite 200
1055 Thomas Jefferson St., NW
Washington, D.C. 20007

Dr. Paul S. Goodman
Graduate School of Industrial Admin.
Carnegie-Mellon University
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

Dr. Gloria L. Grace
System Development Corp.
2500 Colorado Avenue
Santa Monica, California 90406

Dr. J. Richard Hackman
Administrative Sciences
Yale University
56 Hillhouse Avenue
New Haven, Connecticut 06520

Dr. Leo A. Hazlewood
CACI, Inc.
1815 Fort Myer Dr.
Arlington, Virginia 22209

Dr. Edwin Hollander
Department of Psychology
State University of New York
at Buffalo
4230 Ridge Lea Rd.
Buffalo, New York 14226

Dr. Daniel F. Huck
General Research Corp.
Westgate Research Park
McLean, Virginia 22101

Dr. Charles L. Hulin
Department of Psychology
University of Illinois
Champaign, Illinois 61820

Dr. Rudi Klauss
Syracuse University
Public Administration Dept.
Maxwell School
Syracuse, New York 13210

Dr. Edward E. Lawler
Battelle Human Affairs Research
Center
4000 N.E. 41st Street
P.O. Box 5395
Seattle, Washington 98105

Dr. Arie Y. Lewin
Duke University
Duke Station
Durham, North Carolina 27706

Dr. Morgan W. McCall, Jr.
Center for Creative Leadership
5000 Laurinda Dr.
P.O. Box P-1
Greensboro, North Carolina 27402

Dr. Terence R. Mitchell
School of Business Administration
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98195

Dr. William H. Mobley
College of Business Administration
University of South Carolina
Columbia, South Carolina 29208

Dr. Thomas D. Morris
The Brookings Institution
1775 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20036

Dr. James P. Murphy
National Analysts
A Division of Booz-Allen &
Hamilton, Inc.
400 Market Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106

Dr. Peter G. Nordlie
Human Sciences Research, Inc.
7710 Old Springhouse Rd.
McLean, Virginia 22101

Dr. Herbert R. Northrup
Industrial Research Unit
University of Pennsylvania
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19174

Dr. A. F. K. Organski
3063 Institute for Social Research
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104

Dr. Paul Pedersen
Society for Intercultural Education,
Training and Research
107 MIB, University of Pittsburgh
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260

Dr. Chester M. Pierce
Harvard University
Nichols House
Appian Way
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138

Dr. Manuel Ramirez
Systems and Evaluations
232 Swanton Blvd.
Santa Cruz, California 95060

Dr. Irwin Sarason
Department of Psychology
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98195

Dr. John Ruhe
University of North Carolina
Dept. of Business Admin.
Charlotte, North Carolina 28223

Dr. Irwin Sarason
Department of Psychology
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98195

Dr. S. B. Sells
Texas Christian University
Fort Worth, Texas 76129

Dr. H. Wallace Sinaiko
Program Director
Manpower Research & Advisory Services
Smithsonian Institution
801 N. Pitt St. - Suite 120
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Mrs. Alice I. Snyder
Mental Health Clinic
Naval Regional Medical Center
Pearl Harbor
FPO San Francisco 96610

Dr. Paul Spector
American Institutes for Research
Foxhall Square
3301 New Mexico Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20016

Dr. Richard Steers
Graduate School of Management &
Business
University of Oregon
Eugene, Oregon 97403

Dr. Lorand B. Szalay
American Institutes for Research
Foxhall Square
3301 New Mexico Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20016

Dr. Victor H. Vroom
School of Organizational Management
Yale University
56 Hillhouse Avenue
New Haven, Connecticut 06520

Dr. Paul Wall
Division of Behavioral Science
Tuskegee Institute
Tuskegee, Alabama 36088

Dr. Abraham R. Wagner
Analytical Assessments Corp.
357 South Robertson Blvd.
Beverly Hills, California 90211

Dr. J. Wilkenfeld
Department of Government &
Politics
College Park, Maryland 20742

Dr. Davis B. Bobrow
University of Maryland
Department of Government and Politics
College Park, Maryland 20742

MISCELLANEOUS

LIST 4

Military Assistant for
Human Resources
OAD (E & LS) ODDR&E
Pentagon 3D129
Washington, D.C. 20301

Air University Library/
LSE-8110
Maxwell AFB, Alabama 36112

Dr. A. L. Slafkosky
Scientific Advisor
Commandant of the Marine
Corps (Code RD-1)
Washington, D.C. 20380

LCdr. C. A. Patin, U.S.N.
Director, Human Goals Dept.
Code 70, Naval Training Ctr.
Orlando, Florida 32813

Navy Personnel R & D Center
Code 10
San Diego, California 92152

Human Resources Management
Center Attachment
Naval Support Activity
c/o FPO New York, NY 09521
ATTN: TDC Nelson

Journal Supplement Abstract
Service
APA
1200 - 17th Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

HQ, USAF
AFMPC/DPMYAR
Randolph AFB, Texas 78148

Army Research Institute
Commonwealth Bldg.
1300 Wilson Blvd.
Rosslyn, Virginia 22209

Chief of Naval Personnel
Assistant for Research
Liason (Pers-Or)
Washington, D.C. 20370

Training Officer
Human Resource Management Ctr.
NTC, San Diego, California 92133

Office in Charge (Code L5)
Naval Aerospace Medical
Research Lab.
Naval Aerospace Medical Center
Pensacola, Florida 32512

HumRRO (ATTN: library)
300 N. Washington Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Division Director for Social
Science
National Science Foundation
1800 G Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20550

AFOSR (NL)
1400 Wilson Blvd.
Arlington, Virginia 22209

Chief, Psychological
Research Branch
U.S. Coast Guard (C-P-1/62)
400 - 7th Street S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20590

Bureau of Naval Personnel
(Pers-6)
Assistant Chief of Naval
Personnel for Human Goals
Washington, D.C. 20370

Scientific Director
Naval Health Research Center
San Diego, California 92152

Capt. Bruce G. Stone, USN
(Code N-33)
Director, Educ. & Training
Research & Prog. Development
CNET Staff
Naval Air Station
Pensacola, Florida 32508

Director of Research
HumRRO Division #4 (Infantry)
P.O. Box 2086
Fort Benning, Georgia 31905

Mr. Luigi Petrullo
2431 N. Edgewood Street
Arlington, Virginia 22207

Navy Materiel Command
Employee Development Office
Code SA-65
Room 150 Jefferson Plaza
Bldg. #2, 1429 Jeff Davis Highway
Arlington, Virginia 20360

Personnel Research and Development
Center
United States Civil Service
Commission
Bureau of Policies and Standards
Washington, D.C. 20415

Department of the Air Force
Air Force Institute of Technology (AU)
AFIT/SLGR (LT Col Umstot)
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base,
Ohio 45433