

AD-A038 450

ARMY INST OF DENTAL RESEARCH WASHINGTON D C
THE UTILIZATION OF DIAGNOSTIC TECHNIQUES: A SURVEY OF 714 DENTI--ETC(U)
MAR 77 T F PAYNE

F/G 6/5

UNCLASSIFIED

NL

| OF |


AD
A038450



END

DATE
FILMED

5 - 77



THE UTILIZATION OF DIAGNOSTIC TECHNIQUES
A SURVEY OF 714 DENTISTS

12
B.S.

See 1473
in back

ADA 038450

Thomas F. Payne* DDS, MSD

D D C
RECEIVED
APR 20 1977
A

Presented before the Mid-Annual meeting of the American Academy
of Oral Medicine 7 December 1975, Atlanta, Georgia

*LTC, D.C. United States Army Institute of Dental Research
Walter Reed Army Medical Center
Washington, D.C. 20012

The opinions and assertions contained herein are those of the
author and are not to be construed as official or as representing the
Department of Defense.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

ADJ NO. _____
DDC FILE COPY

ABSTRACT

The usage of selected diagnostic techniques by 714 dentists were surveyed. It was found that dentists seldom ordered laboratory tests or performed biopsies. The usage of these procedures correlated well with whether the dentist had actual experience with diagnostic techniques in dental school.

| | |
|------------|---|
| ADDITIONAL | LDN for |
| NTAS | White Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| TYPE | Buff Section <input type="checkbox"/> |
| EXAM | UNCED <input type="checkbox"/> |
| USURY | CAIGN |
| SITICA | |
| BY | |
| REPT | DUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES |
| DIS | AVAIL. and/or SPECIAL |
| DATE | |
| A | |

The Utilization of Diagnostic Techniques
A Survey of 714 Dentists

by Thomas F. Payne, DDS, MSD

Introduction

A basic goal of dentistry is to maintain and restore the oral health of our patients. This service includes the diagnosis of conditions and diseases manifesting in and about the oral cavity. Among the numerous diagnostic techniques utilized by the dentist the tissue biopsy, cytology and clinical laboratory test are particularly useful in the diagnosis of diseases and conditions which are not necessarily related to teeth. This survey was undertaken to develop data on the usage of these techniques by practicing dentists and whether practical experience gained during dental school affected the usage. Realizing that practitioners may prefer to refer patients for these tests, information on the number of referrals was also gathered.

Materials and Methods

A questionnaire was given to 1000 consecutive dentists participating in the health screening program at the 115th Annual Session of the American Dental Association in Chicago, Illinois. Seven-hundred and fourteen dentists returned usable questionnaires for a response rate of 71.4%. The questionnaire elicited information on the number of biopsies, laboratory tests, and referrals utilized by dentists over the previous two years. In addition the dentists were questioned as to whether they actually performed a biopsy or cytology during dental school.

Results

The results of the questionnaire are presented in Tables I and II. An analysis of the statistical relationship^{1,2} between those dentists who had performed a biopsy or cytology during dental school and the utilization

of diagnostic techniques in practice is presented in Table III. It can be observed that those dentists who performed procedures in dental school were more likely to perform them in their practices.

Discussion

As can be seen from Tables I and II, 60 percent of the responding dentists had not ordered a laboratory test or performed a biopsy in the last two years. Seventeen percent had not referred a patient for diagnosis of a lesion in the past two years. Chi square analysis^{1,2} of the data revealed that dentists who had performed a biopsy or cytology during dental school were more likely in the past two years to have:

1. performed at least one or more biopies. ($X^2=133.79$, $df=1$, $p<.001$)
2. ordered at least one laboratory test. ($X^2=32.07$, $df=1$, $p<.001$)
3. referred at least one patient for diagnosis of a lesion, excluding routine types or referrals. ($X^2=39.52$, $df=1$, $p<.001$)
4. referred six or more patients for diagnosis compared to those dentists referring 1-5 patients. ($X^2=100.95$, $df=1$, $p<.001$)

Although it was not possible to categorize the dentist by type of practice it seems unlikely that any specialty group such as oral surgery unduly influenced that data because of the lack of significance between the number of dentists who had performed 1 to 5 biopsies compared to those performing 6 or more biopsies. ($X^2=1.29$, $df=1$, $p<.30$)

Summary and Conclusion

Seven-hundred and fourteen dentists were surveyed to determine how often they utilized selected diagnostic procedures. It was found that 60 percent of dentists had neither performed a biopsy nor ordered a laboratory test in the last two years. By contrast only 17 percent of the dentists had not referred a patient for diagnosis of a lesion. A highly significant

relationship was noted between the utilization of the diagnostic techniques surveyed and whether a dentist had performed a biopsy or cytology during dental school. Those dentists who had performed a biopsy or cytology during dental school (37 percent) were more likely to have performed biopsies, ($\chi^2=133.79$, $df=1$, $p<.001$). Quarantelli³ demonstrated that students carried over into practice those things they learned in dental school. Unfortunately they tended not to perform activities they had no experience with in school. The findings of the present study confirm this relationship. It is suggested that the curriculum committees of the various dental schools as well as continuing education courses evaluate their teaching techniques to insure that practical experience is an integral part of the teaching of diagnostic techniques.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Statistical Analysis in Psychology and Education 2nd Edition,
George A Ferguson, McGraw-Hill, NY, 1966, p. 204
2. Ibid p. 407
3. Quarantelli, E. L.: The Dentists Student Image of the Dentist
Patient Relationship. Amer. J. Public Health. 51:1312-1319, 1961.

TABLE I

| Procedure | No. of procedures | No. of dentists | Percent |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Biopsy | 0 | 430 | 60.22 |
| | 1 to 5 | 204 | 28.57 |
| | 6 or more | 80 | 11.20 |
| Lab test | 0 | 431 | 60.36 |
| | 1 to 5 | 175 | 24.51 |
| | 6 or more | 108 | 15.13 |
| Referral | 0 | 124 | 17.36 |
| | 1 to 5 | 320 | 44.82 |
| | 6 or more | 270 | 37.82 |

Utilization of diagnostic procedures by 714 dentists over a 2
year period

TABLE II

Performed biopsy or cytology in dental school

| | |
|-------|------------|
| yes | 263 |
| no | <u>451</u> |
| Total | 714 |

| Procedure | No. of procedures | No. of dentists | Yes* | Percent |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------------|------|---------|
| Biopsy | 0 | 430 | 91 | 21.16 |
| | 1 to 5 | 204 | 123 | 60.29 |
| | 6 or more | 80 | 49 | 61.25 |
| Late test | 0 | 431 | 123 | 28.54 |
| | 1 to 5 | 175 | 82 | 46.85 |
| | 6 or more | 108 | 58 | 53.70 |
| Referral | 0 | 124 | 14 | 11.29 |
| | 1-5 | 320 | 75 | 23.43 |
| | 1 or more | 270 | 174 | 64.44 |

Percentage distribution of dentists who performed a biopsy or cytology in dental school

*Yes - refers to the number of dentists who performed a biopsy or cytology in dental school

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE | | READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM |
|---|-----------------------|--|
| 1. REPORT NUMBER | 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. | 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER |
| 4. TITLE (and Subtitle) 6 THE UTILIZATION OF DIAGNOSTIC TECHNIQUES, A SURVEY OF 714 DENTISTS. | | 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Manuscript for publication Sept 75 - Mar 77 |
| 7. AUTHOR(s) LTC THOMAS F. PAYNE | | 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER |
| 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Institute of Dental Research Walter Reed Army Medical Center Washington, D.C. 20012 | | 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) |
| 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Med. Research & Development Cmd HQDA (SGRD-RP Washington, D.C. 20314 | | 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS |
| 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) | | 12. REPORT DATE 29 March 1977 |
| | | 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 7 |
| | | 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this Report) Unclassified |
| | | 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE |
| 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited. | | |
| 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) | | |
| 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | | |
| 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) | | |
| 1. Survey 2. Diagnosis 3. Diagnostic Techniques 4. Biopsy 5. Cytology 6. Laboratory Tests 7. Referrals 8. Dental School | | |
| 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The usage of selected diagnostic techniques by 714 dentists were surveyed. It was found that dentists seldom ordered laboratory tests or performed biopsies. The usage of these procedures correlated well with whether the dentist had actual experience with diagnostic techniques in dental school. | | |

DD FORM 1473
1 JAN 73

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PREPARATION OF REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

RESPONSIBILITY. The controlling DoD office will be responsible for completion of the Report Documentation Page, DD Form 1473, in all technical reports prepared by or for DoD organizations.

CLASSIFICATION. Since this Report Documentation Page, DD Form 1473, is used in preparing announcements, bibliographies, and data banks, it should be unclassified if possible. If a classification is required, identify the classified items on the page by the appropriate symbol.

COMPLETION GUIDE

General. Make Blocks 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 13, 15, and 16 agree with the corresponding information on the report cover. Leave Blocks 2 and 3 blank.

Block 1. Report Number. Enter the unique alphanumeric report number shown on the cover.

Block 2. Government Accession No. Leave Blank. This space is for use by the Defense Documentation Center.

Block 3. Recipient's Catalog Number. Leave blank. This space is for the use of the report recipient to assist in future retrieval of the document.

Block 4. Title and Subtitle. Enter the title in all capital letters exactly as it appears on the publication. Titles should be unclassified whenever possible. Write out the English equivalent for Greek letters and mathematical symbols in the title (see "Abstracting Scientific and Technical Reports of Defense-sponsored RDT/E," AD-667 000). If the report has a subtitle, this subtitle should follow the main title, be separated by a comma or semicolon if appropriate, and be initially capitalized. If a publication has a title in a foreign language, translate the title into English and follow the English translation with the title in the original language. Make every effort to simplify the title before publication.

Block 5. Type of Report and Period Covered. Indicate here whether report is interim, final, etc., and, if applicable, inclusive dates of period covered, such as the life of a contract covered in a final contractor report.

Block 6. Performing Organization Report Number. Only numbers other than the official report number shown in Block 1, such as series numbers for in-house reports or a contractor/grantee number assigned by him, will be placed in this space. If no such numbers are used, leave this space blank.

Block 7. Author(s). Include corresponding information from the report cover. Give the name(s) of the author(s) in conventional order (for example, John R. Doe or, if author prefers, J. Robert Doe). In addition, list the affiliation of an author if it differs from that of the performing organization.

Block 8. Contract or Grant Number(s). For a contractor or grantee report, enter the complete contract or grant number(s) under which the work reported was accomplished. Leave blank in in-house reports.

Block 9. Performing Organization Name and Address. For in-house reports enter the name and address, including office symbol, of the performing activity. For contractor or grantee reports enter the name and address of the contractor or grantee who prepared the report and identify the appropriate corporate division, school, laboratory, etc., of the author. List city, state, and ZIP Code.

Block 10. Program Element, Project, Task Area, and Work Unit Numbers. Enter here the number code from the applicable Department of Defense form, such as the DD Form 1498, "Research and Technology Work Unit Summary" or the DD Form 1634, "Research and Development Planning Summary," which identifies the program element, project, task area, and work unit or equivalent under which the work was authorized.

Block 11. Controlling Office Name and Address. Enter the full, official name and address, including office symbol, of the controlling office. (Equates to funding/sponsoring agency. For definition see DoD Directive 5200.20, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents.")

Block 12. Report Date. Enter here the day, month, and year or month and year as shown on the cover.

Block 13. Number of Pages. Enter the total number of pages.

Block 14. Monitoring Agency Name and Address (if different from Controlling Office). For use when the controlling or funding office does not directly administer a project, contract, or grant, but delegates the administrative responsibility to another organization.

Blocks 15 & 15a. Security Classification of the Report: Declassification/Downgrading Schedule of the Report. Enter in 15 the highest classification of the report. If appropriate, enter in 15a the declassification/downgrading schedule of the report, using the abbreviations for declassification/downgrading schedules listed in paragraph 4-207 of DoD 5200.1-R.

Block 16. Distribution Statement of the Report. Insert here the applicable distribution statement of the report from DoD Directive 5200.20, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."

Block 17. Distribution Statement (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from the distribution statement of the report). Insert here the applicable distribution statement of the abstract from DoD Directive 5200.20, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."

Block 18. Supplementary Notes. Enter information not included elsewhere but useful, such as: Prepared in cooperation with . . . Translation of (or by) . . . Presented at conference of . . . To be published in . . .

Block 19. Key Words. Select terms or short phrases that identify the principal subjects covered in the report, and are sufficiently specific and precise to be used as index entries for cataloging, conforming to standard terminology. The DoD "Thesaurus of Engineering and Scientific Terms" (TEST), AD-672 000, can be helpful.

Block 20. Abstract. The abstract should be a brief (not to exceed 200 words) factual summary of the most significant information contained in the report. If possible, the abstract of a classified report should be unclassified and the abstract to an unclassified report should consist of publicly-releasable information. If the report contains a significant bibliography or literature survey, mention it here. For information on preparing abstracts see "Abstracting Scientific and Technical Reports of Defense-Sponsored RDT&E," AD-667 000.