

AD-A041 859

ARMY ELECTRONICS COMMAND FORT MONMOUTH N J F/G 8/13  
ANALYSIS OF OBSERVED SOIL SKIN MOISTURE EFFECTS ON REFLECTANCE.(U)  
JUN 77 S E TAYLOR, J M DAVIS, J B MASON

ECOM-5822

NL

UNCLASSIFIED

1 OF 1  
AD  
A041 859



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
8-77



*12*  
*B.S.*

AD  
Reports Control Symbol  
OSD-1366

AD A 041 859

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT TECHNICAL REPORT  
ECOM-5822

# ANALYSIS OF OBSERVED SOIL SKIN MOISTURE EFFECTS ON REFLECTANCE

DDC  
JUL 21 1977  
C

By

S. E. Taylor  
J. M. Davis  
J. B. Mason

**Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory**  
US Army Electronics Command  
White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico 88002

June 1977

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

DDC FILE COPY

# ECOM

UNITED STATES ARMY ELECTRONICS COMMAND - FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY 07703

## NOTICES

### Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The citation of trade names and names of manufacturers in this report is not to be construed as official Government endorsement or approval of commercial products or services referenced herein.

### Disposition

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER 14 ECOM-5822	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 9 Research and development	3. REPORT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) 6 ANALYSIS OF OBSERVED SOIL SKIN MOISTURE EFFECTS ON REFLECTANCE		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED R&D Technical Report	
7. AUTHOR(s) 10 S. E. Taylor J. M. Davis J. B. Mason		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico 88002		9. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Electronics Command Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS DA Task 612111H71A21170	
12. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) 12 21p.		11. REPORT DATE June 1977	
		12. NUMBER OF PAGES 24	
		13. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	
		14. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Soil moisture Ground truth Satellite imagery Calibration			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A light reflectance device has been applied to various soil surfaces, and the results have been correlated to independent measurements of skin moisture content. Results show that for the configuration used moisture effects are masked by those of surface texture. Modifications are recommended.			

1/B



## CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	2
OBJECTIVE	2
INSTRUMENT DESCRIPTION	2
METHODS AND TEST PROCEDURES	3
Alkali Flats (Playas)	6
Alkali Dome Structure	8
TOP OF A GYPSUM DUNE	9
VALLEY BETWEEN DUNES	11
DISCUSSION	12
ACCEPTANCE TESTING	13
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	15
REFERENCES	16

ACQUISITION BY	
NTIS	NTIS Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC	DTIC Section <input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED	<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTIFICATION	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
Dist.	AVAIL. AND/OR SPECIAL
A	

## INTRODUCTION

Satellite measurements of cloud brightness and temperature are related to the meteorological phenomena within the cloud, including droplet formation, cloud thickness, and density. The brightness is a function of the interaction of the cloud with the incident radiation and the viewing angle of the satellite. Analysis of the cloud brightness properties must consider the angle of incident radiation and the observation angle, or the bidirectional reflectance. Such analysis is also frequently aided by observing the brightness of surface features adjacent to clouded regions in the imagery. For that reason, a number of reference sites with particularly useful optical properties are of interest to analysts. One such site is the gypsum deposit at White Sands Missile Range (WSMR) NM, where the Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory (ASL) maintains a permanent calibration station for measuring surface radiance, sky radiance, air temperature, and relative humidity.

Earth reference calibration of satellite sensors requires that the bidirectional properties of the calibration site be known. The WSMR calibration site was determined to have relatively uniform reflective properties when dry but to deviate considerably from a Lambert surface when moisture is high [1-3].

## OBJECTIVE

The purpose of the tests described here was to evaluate progress to date in the development of an instrument that can be used to determine the reflectance properties of the calibration surface as it is affected by moisture in the soil skin layer and to measure the surface texture and its structural properties.

The prototype soil skin reflectometer was evaluated under field conditions for convenience of operation and reliability in the determination of the surface reflectance. The instrument was tested on three surface soil types at several soil moisture levels and the bidirectional reflectance compared with measured soil moisture for each surface type.

## INSTRUMENT DESCRIPTION

The reflectometer consists of an optical assembly, power supplies, and strip chart recorder. The power requirement is nominally 20 W at 110 V 60 Hz. The optical assembly contains a 1.0 mW helium neon laser (Coherent Radiation Inc., Model 80), a photodiode detector, two mechanical choppers, and associated optics. These components are mounted in a rigid framework so arranged that the laser beam is incident on a horizontal sample plane flush with the base of the assembly, the angle of incidence being  $60^\circ$  from normal. The beam is chopped at a 90 Hz rate by the mechanical chopper in front of the laser and reflected down to the

sample plane by a mirror at the front of the optical assembly. A diverging lens expands the illuminated spot to approximately 2 by 3 cm in the sample plane.

The detector views this illuminated area at an angle of 60° from normal, its field of view being approximately four times the area of the laser spot. A second chopper in front of the detector blocks the entrance window for a 10-second period at 60-second intervals for reference purposes. During this period the laser beam is reflected from the rear of the chopper surface to the detector to give a measurement of its output power.

During the 50-second measurement interval, the signal produced by the chopped reflected light is detected by a phase-locking amplifier, rectified to a DC voltage (0-100 mV) and displayed on a strip chart record. The DC level on the record is then proportional to the amount of light diffusely reflected by the material at the sample plane.

#### METHODS AND TEST PROCEDURES

Tests were conducted on 29-30 July 1976 at WSMR. The tests were conducted by ASL personnel and contractor design and development personnel. The individuals directly involved included CPT S. E. Taylor, CPT J. M. Davis, and Mr. James Mason of ASL, and Drs. I. Dirmhirn and N. N. Youssef of Utah State University.

The prototype sensor assembly (Fig. 1) houses the laser radiation source and the scattering detector. The assembly is easily transportable and is intended for use on relatively flat surfaces. The assembly has skid supports designed to place a portion of the surface in the intersection volume of the optical system.

The prototype system (Fig. 2) is powered by 110 V, 60 Hz line supply or by mobile power supply. Both power modes were used in the test. The system includes the sensor assembly, electronics and interfacing components, and recorder output components. The configuration of the system will be altered somewhat by miniaturization of the electronics and interface package in the delivered unit.

The test conditions included:

1. Three soil surface types
2. Soil moisture content - field dry to ponded water
3. Angular effects (sloping test surface)




Figure 1. Sensor assembly for soil skin moisture determinator. The moisture at the soil surface affects the quantity of light from the laser source which is received by oblique scattering at the detector.




Figure 2. Sensor assembly and electronics package for soil skin moisture determinator. The sensor assembly and recorder will be little modified in operational system. The electronic amplifier is intended for development and will be replaced by a miniaturized component.

The three soil surface types tested were dunes of wind deposited gypsum sand, playas or flat areas resembling dry lake beds where the water table is shallow and the surface has been encrusted, and soil composed of siliceous sand. The reflectance measurements were made on 29-30 July 1976 during midday, and soil moisture was determined from surface sample collected at the sites and delivered to the laboratory.

In addition to the macroscale topographic variation mentioned above, each site exhibited a variety of microscale topographic features which ranged from a smooth fine sand surface to areas characterized by small pebbles (1-2 mm in diameter) and sand ripples. The instrument was particularly sensitive to the microscale topographic features.

Soil samples were taken from the surface layer to a depth of 1/2 cm; the material was then weighed, dried for 24 hours at 113°C, and reweighed. The moisture percentage of the soil was defined as the weight of water in the sample divided by the weight of the soil and water in the sample.

The reflectometer is most sensitive to moisture right at the surface, and considerable variation in soil reflectance measurement was anticipated because of the potentially large moisture difference between the top millimeter and the fifth millimeter of soil.

Field capacity is reached after a soil, which has been completely saturated, has drained under the force of gravity. A soil is said to be saturated when all the pore spaces in the soil are filled with water. Soils in this study were saturated by ponding water on the surface.

While the human eye judged the darker sand to be substantially wetter than the light color sand, gravimetric analysis of the soil samples indicated very little difference in the soil moisture content from one location to the next (see Table 1). The range of values was from 11.8% to 14.3%. These results indicated that light sand areas were actually relatively wet just below the first millimeter of surface sand crystals. In the following paragraphs, the results at each site will be discussed and analyzed.

#### Alkali Flats (Playas)

The soil moisture-reflectance dependence on the alkali flats was determined on the basis of two sample sites. Table 2 contains the alkali flat data. The soil moisture content at each site was found to be approximately 14%; however, the reflectance values (in percentage of scale) ranged from 16.7% to 63.5%. All samples were taken within a few inches of each other.

TABLE 1. GROUND TRUTH DATA SHEET IV

Soil Moisture Analysis

A.	B.	C.	D.	E.	F.	G.
Dish Weight (gm)	Wet Sample and Dish Weight (gm)	Wet Sample Weight (gm) (B-A)	Dry Sample and Dish Weight (gm)	Dry Sample (gm) (D-A)	Moisture Weight (gm) (C-E)	Moisture (%) (F-C)

Siliceous Sand Site

Dry sand	38.240	39.903	1.663	39.829	1.589	.074	4.4
Dry powder	33.922	39.365	5.443	39.192	5.270	.173	3.2
Wet sand	36.330	41.360	5.030	40.387	4.057	.973	19.3

Gypsum Sand Site

Flat	34.021	47.248	13.227	45.402	11.381	1.846	14.0
Flat	25.932	40.920	14.988	38.868	12.936	2.052	13.7
Dome	32.374	46.195	13.821	44.286	11.912	1.909	13.8
Dome	29.010	43.404	14.394	41.433	12.423	1.971	13.7
Dune top	38.157	53.936	15.779	51.806	13.649	2.130	13.5
Dune top	36.314	52.138	15.824	49.872	13.558	2.266	14.3
Dune valley	33.900	53.436	19.536	51.123	17.223	2.313	11.8

TABLE 2. ALKALI FLAT

Site 1		Site 2	
<u>Sample</u>	<u>Reflectance</u>	<u>Sample</u>	<u>Reflectance</u>
A	64.3	A	48.9
B	16.7	B	46.1
C	23.9	C	54.0
D	35.5	D	63.5
E	19.2	E	49.1
F	59.0		
G	29.4		

Soil moisture content at site 1: 13.9%

Soil moisture content at site 2: 13.8%

The soil moisture value given for site 1 is an average of soil moisture percentages obtained from samples B and E (14.0%) and F (13.7%). On the basis of visible observation, B and E were assumed to be wet, while F was assumed to be dry. The gravimetric analysis shows that this visual observation was incorrect. It is important to note that the reflectance values at B and E averaged near 18% while at F the value was 59%. Evidently, some factor other than soil moisture was having a major effect on the reflectance. This factor was the microscale topographic feature of the area. The reflectance values at site 2 were more uniform than at site 1, and the soil moisture was nearly the same. Areas which were rough tended to have a lower reflectance.

#### Alkali Dome Structure

The dome structure has no appreciable relief on the alkali flats. The structure may be below other features which originate from deposits of blown sand. The dome is an area of deposition from subsurface upwelling of water and solutes. The dome areas are often crusted, normally moist, and darker in color, and often contain a black or greenish appearing layer.

The soil moisture content on the dome structure was 13.5%. Reflectance values ranged from a low of 18.5% to a high of 51.0%. The data are contained in Table 3.

TABLE 3. ALKALI DOME

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Reflectance</u>
A	36.0
B	42.0
C	18.5
D	32.5
E*	45.5
F*	51.0
G*	42.4
H*	50.7

Soil moisture content: 13.5%

\*Laser beam incident direction changed by 90°


After an initial series of readings on the dome structure, the laser housing was rotated so that the new beam direction was perpendicular to the old beam direction. No significant differences in the reflectance readings were noted.

#### TOP OF A GYPSUM DUNE

The sand dune provided a unique setting in which to study the microscale topographic features. The top of the dune was covered by small waves or ripples in the sand which were about 10 cm in wavelength and 1 to 2 cm in height above the sand surface. The laser was used to obtain reflectance values both parallel and perpendicular to the ripples (Fig. 3). The data are contained in Table 4.


The soil moisture content of the dune top was 14.3%. The reflectance measurements taken parallel to the ripple pattern exhibited the highest values (53 to 55) at the crest of the ripple. Lesser values (26.0 to 33.2) were obtained on the slopes. These lesser values were most likely the result of the geometry of interaction between the slope and the beam.

When the laser beam was directed perpendicular to the ripple pattern, reflectance values on the ripple crest and on the windward slope were approximately equal. However, on the steep or lee slope the reflectance values were somewhat lower (43.7). Again topography was probably the critical item.



Laser beam was directed into the page  
of the diagram down toward the sand ripple.

(a) Cross section view



Laser beam is directed into the page.

(b) Plan view

Figure 3. Illustration of ripple structure.

TABLE 4. SAND DUNE TOP

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Reflectance</u>
A*	54.3
B	26.5
C	32.9
D	54.3
E**	54.5
F**	58.8
G**	43.7
H**	58.1

Soil moisture content: 14.3%

\*Laser beam parallel to the ripple

\*\*Laser beam perpendicular to the ripple

VALLEY BETWEEN DUNES

In the area between the dunes, the soil moisture content was 11.8% (Table 5). Reflectance values ranged from 26.3% to 28.7% in the first test sequence. When the direction from which the beam was coming was changed by 90°, the reflectance values increased (43.2% to 54.2%). The change in reflectance values would again seem to be the result of the soil crystal deposition pattern. The initial readings were taken with the general slope of the area and the final set with the contour; hence, a deposition pattern difference was likely although not apparent to casual inspection.

TABLE 5. VALLEY BETWEEN DUNES

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Reflectance</u>
A	28.7
B	28.7
C	28.7
D	26.4
E*	43.4
F*	53.9
G*	53.9

Soil moisture content: 11.8%

\*Laser beam incident direction changed by 90°

#### DISCUSSION

Reflectance values range from a value of 16.7% to 63.5%, while soil moisture values range only from 11.8% to 14.3%. This difference in range would seem to indicate that reflectance characteristics cannot be determined from soil moisture status alone. Here the major influence on the reflectance is the microscale topography of the site. Therefore, it is clear that some alternate method should be used to test the laser's ability to characterize the bidirectional reflectance from a surface.

The effect of ponded water on the laser beam was also investigated. Enough water was poured on a sandy surface to cause ponding followed by the rapid infiltration of the water into the sand. The ponded water surface caused the specular reflectance of the laser beam to give an off-the-scale reflectance reading. After infiltration had taken place, the surface became a diffuse reflecting surface and the reflectance values were quite low. As the surface dried, the reflectance values slowly increased. Thus, under saturated conditions, for example, immediately following rain while relative humidity is still high, reflectance is expected to be near 0. Values would then rise as the surface dried.

An additional test was performed on a siliceous soil under dry (soil moisture content 4.4% and 3.2%) and wet (soil moisture content 19.3%) conditions. Three sites were observed. The sites were chosen so that the microscale topographic features of all three were as identical as

possible. The laser showed a marked response to the change in soil moisture status (Table 6). Thus when surface topographic variations can be eliminated, the laser has the ability to characterize changes in the bidirectional reflectance that result from changes in soil moisture content.

TABLE 6. WET AND DRY SILT SURFACE

<u>Sample</u>	<u>Percent Soil Moisture</u>	<u>Reflectance</u>
Dry dirt	4.4	90
Dry powder dirt	3.2	42.6
Wet dirt	19.3	12

#### ACCEPTANCE TESTING

If the bidirectional reflectance depended primarily on the soil water content, the above approach might have greater utility; however, the results of the present tests indicate that surface morphology is the controlling factor. The characteristics of surface morphology are not easily described and make solution of the problem much more difficult.

An alternate method of evaluating the suitability of the instrument according to the government requirement for bidirectional reflectance measurements should be incorporated in the final acceptance testing. A possible method would be to make real-time comparison of the reflectometer with a satellite-type narrow view radiometer. The test should include measurements at several solar incidence angles and appropriate radiometer view angles.

A satellite-type radiometer should be placed approximately 15 to 20 feet above the ground, making an angle with the local vertical which would mimic the satellite viewing angle (Fig. 4). This fixed angle radiometer would be used to record solar spectral reflectance as the sun changed elevation angle. The laser should be checked coincidentally against the data obtained using the radiometer. The return beam optic could be adjusted in such a way to also mimic the satellite view angle. To model the changing sun angle the laser source could be designed to move along a rod horizontal to the ground. A comparison of the radiometer data and laser signal output data could be utilized to assess the ability of the portable laser system to model the bidirectional reflectance of a surface. It is felt that such a testing procedure performed on the white sand would be a relatively conclusive means of evaluation. This testing procedure would require that the reflectometer be configured to allow adjustable scattering detection angles or that a mathematical method be provided




Figure 4. Experimental arrangement to test the laser system against a satellite-type radiometer.

which would accurately describe the scattered energy at angles other than the fixed reflectometer detection angle. Additionally this testing would require that a narrow view, satellite type radiometer be available for the evaluation acceptance testing. It is also necessary in the final testing procedure to determine how many readings must be made with the laser to characterize the bidirectional reflectance of a surface.

#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This test showed that the reflectometer can measure the bidirectional reflectance if the surface is suitably chosen or the method of performing the measurement is so designed as to integrate effects of microscale structure in determining surface measurements.

The following findings were made:

1. The instrument is satisfactory for measurement of soil moisture in the skin layer if the surface is prepared by a flattening technique and calibration has been accomplished for the particular soil.
2. The instrument is sensitive to surface morphology and moisture content.
3. The bidirectional reflectance values should be tested against an established bidirectional measurement technique rather than compared only with gravimetric soil moisture content.
4. The sensor assembly probably should be fitted with an indicating device to show operators the precise area sampled. A ring positioned near the soil surface is suggested.
5. The delivered product should have a single electronic package/ interface and the components should be connected by single cables.

## REFERENCES

1. Bartman, F. L. "The Reflectance and Scattering of Solar Radiation by the Earth." Univ. of Mich. Res. Report, URS Proj. No. 05863, 1967.
2. Coulson, L. L. and H. Jacobowitz. "Proposed Calibration Target for the Visible Channel of a Satellite Radiometer." NOAA Technical Report NESS-62, 1972.
3. Walraven, R. L. and K. L. Coulson. "Measurements of the Light-Reflecting Properties of White Gypsum Sand." Contributions in Atmospheric Science No. 7, Univ. of Calif., Davis, 1972.

## ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES RESEARCH PAPERS

1. Lindberg, J.D., "An Improvement to a Method for Measuring the Absorption Coefficient of Atmospheric Dust and other Strongly Absorbing Powders," ECOM-5565, July 1975.
2. Avara, Elton P., "Mesoscale Wind Shears Derived from Thermal Winds," ECOM-5566, July 1975.
3. Gomez, Richard B. and Joseph H. Pierluissi, "Incomplete Gamma Function Approximation for King's Strong-Line Transmittance Model," ECOM-5567, July 1975.
4. Blanco, A.J. and B.F. Engebos, "Ballistic Wind Weighting Functions for Tank Projectiles," ECOM-5568, August 1975.
5. Taylor, Fredrick J., Jack Smith, and Thomas H. Pries, "Crosswind Measurements through Pattern Recognition Techniques," ECOM-5569, July 1975.
6. Walters, D.L., "Crosswind Weighting Functions for Direct-Fire Projectiles," ECOM-5570, August 1975.
7. Duncan, Louis D., "An Improved Algorithm for the Iterated Minimal Information Solution for Remote Sounding of Temperature," ECOM-5571, August 1975.
8. Robbiani, Raymond L., "Tactical Field Demonstration of Mobile Weather Radar Set AN/TPS-41 at Fort Rucker, Alabama," ECOM-5572, August 1975.
9. Miers, B., G. Blackman, D. Langer, and N. Lorimier, "Analysis of SMS/GOES Film Data," ECOM-5573, September 1975.
10. Manquero, Carlos, Louis Duncan, and Rufus Bruce, "An Indication from Satellite Measurements of Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> Variability," ECOM-5574, September 1975.
11. Petracca, Carmine and James D. Lindberg, "Installation and Operation of an Atmospheric Particulate Collector," ECOM-5575, September 1975.
12. Avara, Elton P. and George Alexander, "Empirical Investigation of Three Iterative Methods for Inverting the Radiative Transfer Equation," ECOM-5576, October 1975.
13. Alexander, George D., "A Digital Data Acquisition Interface for the SMS Direct Readout Ground Station—Concept and Preliminary Design," ECOM-5577, October 1975.
14. Cantor, Israel, "Enhancement of Point Source Thermal Radiation Under Clouds in a Nonattenuating Medium," ECOM-5578, October 1975.
15. Norton, Colburn and Glenn Hoidale, "The Diurnal Variation of Mixing Height by Month over White Sands Missile Range, NM," ECOM-5579, November 1975.
16. Avara, Elton P., "On the Spectrum Analysis of Binary Data," ECOM-5580, November 1975.
17. Taylor, Fredrick J., Thomas H. Pries, and Chao-Huan Huang, "Optimal Wind Velocity Estimation," ECOM-5581, December 1975.
18. Avara, Elton P., "Some Effects of Autocorrelated and Cross-Correlated Noise on the Analysis of Variance," ECOM-5582, December 1975.
19. Gillespie, Patti S., R.L. Armstrong, and Kenneth O. White, "The Spectral Characteristics and Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> Absorption of the Ho<sup>+</sup>:YLF Laser at 2.05 $\mu$ m," ECOM-5583, December 1975.
20. Novlan, David J., "An Empirical Method of Forecasting Thunderstorms for the White Sands Missile Range," ECOM-5584, February 1976.
21. Avara, Elton P., "Randomization Effects in Hypothesis Testing with Autocorrelated Noise," ECOM-5585, February 1976.
22. Watkins, Wendell R., "Improvements in Long Path Absorption Cell Measurement," ECOM-5586, March 1976.

23. Thomas, Joe, George D. Alexander, and Marvin Dubbin, "SATTEL — An Army Dedicated Meteorological Telemetry System," ECOM-5587, March 1976.
24. Kennedy, Bruce W. and Delbert Bynum, "Army User Test Program for the RDT&E-XM-75 Meteorological Rocket," ECOM-5588, April 1976.
25. Barnett, Kenneth M., "A Description of the Artillery Meteorological Comparisons at White Sands Missile Range, October 1974 — December 1974 ('PASS' — Prototype Artillery [Meteorological] Subsystem)," ECOM-5589, April 1976.
26. Miller, Walter B., "Preliminary Analysis of Fall-of-Shot From Project 'PASS'," ECOM-5590, April 1976.
27. Avara, Elton P., "Error Analysis of Minimum Information and Smith's Direct Methods for Inverting the Radiative Transfer Equation," ECOM-5591, April 1976.
28. Yee, Young P., James D. Horn, and George Alexander, "Synoptic Thermal Wind Calculations from Radiosonde Observations Over the Southwestern United States," ECOM-5592, May 1976.
29. Duncan, Louis D. and Mary Ann Seagraves, "Applications of Empirical Corrections to NOAA-4 VTPR Observations," ECOM-5593, May 1976.
30. Miers, Bruce T. and Steve Weaver, "Applications of Meteorological Satellite Data to Weather Sensitive Army Operations," ECOM-5594, May 1976.
31. Sharenow, Moses, "Redesign and Improvement of Balloon ML-566," ECOM-5595, June 1976.
32. Hansen, Frank V., "The Depth of the Surface Boundary Layer," ECOM-5596, June 1976.
33. Pinnick, R.G. and E.B. Stenmark, "Response Calculations for a Commercial Light-Scattering Aerosol Counter," ECOM-5597, July 1976.
34. Mason, J. and G.B. Hoidale, "Visibility as an Estimator of Infrared Transmittance," ECOM-5598, July 1976.
35. Bruce, Rufus E., Louis D. Duncan, and Joseph H. Pierluissi, "Experimental Study of the Relationship Between Radiosonde Temperatures and Radiometric-Area Temperatures," ECOM-5599, August 1976.
36. Duncan, Louis D., "Stratospheric Wind Shear Computed from Satellite Thermal Sounder Measurements," ECOM-5800, September 1976.
37. Taylor, F., P. Mohan, P. Joseph and T. Pries, "An All Digital Automated Wind Measurement System," ECOM-5801, September 1976.
38. Bruce, Charles, "Development of Spectrophones for CW and Pulsed Radiation Sources," ECOM-5802, September 1976.
39. Duncan, Louis D. and Mary Ann Seagraves, "Another Method for Estimating Clear Column Radiances," ECOM-5803, October 1976.
40. Blanco, Abel J. and Larry E. Traylor, "Artillery Meteorological Analysis of Project Pass," ECOM-5804, October 1976.
41. Miller, Walter and Bernard Engebos, "A Mathematical Structure for Refinement of Sound Ranging Estimates," ECOM-5805, November, 1976.
42. Gillespie, James B. and James D. Lindberg, "A Method to Obtain Diffuse Reflectance Measurements from 1.0 to 3.0  $\mu\text{m}$  Using a Cary 17I Spectrophotometer," ECOM-5806, November 1976.
43. Rubio, Roberto and Robert O. Olsen, "A Study of the Effects of Temperature Variations on Radio Wave Absorption," ECOM-5807, November 1976.
44. Ballard, Harold N., "Temperature Measurements in the Stratosphere from Balloon-Borne Instrument Platforms, 1968-1975," ECOM-5808, December, 1976.
45. Monahan, H.H., "An Approach to the Short-Range Prediction of Early Morning Radiation Fog," ECOM-5809, January 1977.
46. Engebos, Bernard Francis, "Introduction to Multiple State Multiple Action Decision Theory and Its Relation to Mixing Structures," ECOM-5810, January 1977.
47. Low, Richard D.H., "Effects of Cloud Particles on Remote Sensing from Space in the 10-Micrometer Infrared Region," ECOM-5811, January 1977.

48. Bonner, Robert S. and R. Newton, "Application of the AN/GVS-5 Laser Rangefinder to Cloud Base Height Measurements," ECOM-5812, February 1977.
49. Rubio, Roberto, "Lidar Detection of Subvisible Reentry Vehicle Erosive Atmospheric Material," ECOM-5813, March 1977.
50. Low, Richard D. H. and J. D. Horn, "Mesoscale Determination of Cloud-Top Height: Problems and Solutions," ECOM-5814, March 1977.
51. Duncan, Louis D. and Mary Ann Seagraves, "Evaluation of the NOAA-4 VTPR Thermal Winds for Nuclear Fallout Predictions," ECOM-5815, March 1977.
52. Randhawa, Jagir S., M. Izquierdo, Carlos McDonald and Zvi Salpeter, "Stratospheric Ozone Density as Measured by a Chemiluminescent Sensor During the Stratcom VI-A Flight," ECOM-5816, April 1977.
53. Rubio, Roberto and Mike Izquierdo, "Measurements of Net Atmospheric Irradiance in the 0.7- to 2.8-Micrometer Infrared Region," ECOM-5817, May 1977.
54. Ballard, Harold N., Jose M. Serna, and Frank P. Hudson Consultant for Chemical Kinetics, "Calculation of Selected Atmospheric Composition Parameters for the Mid-Latitude, September Stratosphere," ECOM-5818, May 1977.
55. Mitchell, J. D., R. S. Sagar, and R. O. Olsen, "Positive Ions in the Middle Atmosphere During Sunrise Conditions," ECOM-5819, May 1977.
56. White, Kenneth O., Wendell R. Watkins, Stuart A. Schleusener, and Ronald L. Johnson, "Solid-State Laser Wavelength Identification Using a Reference Absorber," ECOM-5820, June 1977.
57. Watkins, Wendell R. and Richard G. Dixon, "Automation of Long-Path Absorption Cell Measurements," ECOM-5821, June 1977.
58. Taylor, S. E., J. M. Davis, and J. B. Mason, "Analysis of Observed Soil Skin Moisture Effects on Reflectance," ECOM-5822, June 1977.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Director  
US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory  
ATTN: DRDAR-BLB, Dr. G. E. Keller  
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Air Force Weapons Laboratory  
ATTN: Technical Library (SUL)  
Kirtland AFB, NM 87117

Commander  
Headquarters, Fort Huachuca  
ATTN: Tech Ref Div  
Fort Huachuca, AZ 85613

6585 TG/WE  
Holloman AFB, NM 88330

Commandant  
US Army Field Artillery School  
ATTN: Morris Swett Tech Library  
Fort Sill, OK 73503

Commandant  
USAFAS  
ATTN: ATSF-CD-MT (Mr. Farmer)  
Fort Sill, OK 73503

Director  
US Army Engr Waterways Exper Sta  
ATTN: Library Branch  
Vicksburg, MS 39180

Commander  
US Army Electronics Command  
ATTN: DRSEL-CT-S (Dr. Swingle)  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703  
03

CPT Hugh Albers, Exec Sec  
Interdept Committee on Atmos Sci  
Fed Council for Sci & Tech  
National Sci Foundation  
Washington, DC 20550

Inge Dirmhirn, Professor  
Utah State University, UMC 48  
Logan, UT 84322

HQDA (DAEN-RDM/Dr. De Percin)  
Forrestal Bldg  
Washington, DC 20314

Commander  
US Army Aviation Center  
ATTN: ATZQ-D-MA  
Fort Rucker, AL 36362

CO, USA Foreign Sci & Tech Center  
ATTN: DRXST-ISI  
220 7th Street, NE  
Charlottesville, VA 22901

Director  
USAE Waterways Experiment Station  
ATTN: Library  
PO Box 631  
Vicksburg, MS 39180

US Army Research Office  
ATTN: DRXRO-IP  
PO Box 12211  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Mr. William A. Main  
USDA Forest Service  
1407 S. Harrison Road  
East Lansing, MI 48823

Library-R-51-Tech Reports  
Environmental Research Labs  
NOAA  
Boulder, CO 80302

Commander  
US Army Dugway Proving Ground  
ATTN: MT-S  
Dugway, UT 84022

HQ, ESD/DRI/S-22  
Hanscom AFB  
MA 01731

Head, Atmospheric Rsch Section  
National Science Foundation  
1800 G. Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20550

Office, Asst Sec Army (R&D)  
ATTN: Dep for Science & Tech  
HQ, Department of the Army  
Washington, DC 20310

Commander  
US Army Satellite Comm Agc  
ATTN: DRCPM-SC-3  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Sylvania Elec Sys Western Div  
ATTN: Technical Reports Library  
PO Box 205  
Mountain View, CA 94040

William Peterson  
Research Association  
Utah State University, UNC 48  
Logan, UT 84322

Defense Communications Agency  
Technical Library Center  
Code 205  
Washington, DC 20305

Dr. A. D. Belmont  
Research Division  
PO Box 1249  
Control Data Corp  
Minneapolis, MN 55440

Commander  
US Army Electronics Command  
ATTN: DRSEL-WL-D1  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Commander  
ATTN: DRSEL-VL-D  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Meteorologist in Charge  
Kwajalein Missile Range  
PO Box 67  
APO  
San Francisco, CA 96555

The Library of Congress  
ATTN: Exchange & Gift Div  
Washington, DC 20540  
2

US Army Liaison Office  
MIT-Lincoln Lab, Library A-082  
PO Box 73  
Lexington, MA 02173

Dir National Security Agency  
ATTN: TDL (C513)  
Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755

Director, Systems R&D Service  
Federal Aviation Administration  
ATTN: ARD-54  
2100 Second Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20590

Commander  
US Army Missile Command  
ATTN: DRSMI-RRA, Bldg 7770  
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809

Dir of Dev & Engr  
Defense Systems Div  
ATTN: SAREA-DE-DDR  
H. Tannenbaum  
Edgewood Arsenal, APG, MD 21010

Naval Surface Weapons Center  
Technical Library & Information  
Services Division  
White Oak, Silver Spring, MD  
20910

Dr. Frank D. Eaton  
PO Box 3038  
Universtiy Station  
Laramie, Wyoming 82071

Rome Air Development Center  
ATTN: Documents Library  
TILD (Bette Smith)  
Griffiss Air Force Base, NY 13441

National Weather Service  
National Meteorological Center  
World Weather Bldg - 5200 Auth Rd  
ATTN: Mr. Quiroz  
Washington, DC 20233

USAFETAC/CB (Stop 825)  
Scott AFB  
IL 62225

Director  
Defense Nuclear Agency  
ATTN: Tech Library  
Washington, DC 20305

Director  
Development Center MCDEC  
ATTN: Firepower Division  
Quantico, VA 22134

Environmental Protection Agency  
Meteorology Laboratory  
Research Triangle Park, NC  
27711

Commander  
US Army Electronics Command  
ATTN: DRSEL-GG-TD  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Commander  
US Army Ballistic Rsch Labs  
ATTN: DRXBR-IB  
APG, MD 21005

Dir, US Naval Research Lab  
Code 5530  
Washington, DC 20375

Mil Assistant for  
Environmental Sciences  
DAD (E & LS), 3D129  
The Pentagon  
Washington, DC 20301

The Environmental Rsch  
Institute of MI  
ATTN: IRIA Library  
PO Box 618  
Ann Arbor, MI 48107

Armament Dev & Test Center  
ADTC (DLOSL)  
Eglin AFB, Florida 32542

Range Commanders Council  
ATTN: Mr. Hixon  
PMTC Code 3252  
Pacific Missile Test Center  
Point Mugu, CA 93042

Commander  
Eustis Directorate  
US Army Air Mobility R&D Lab  
ATTN: Technical Library  
Fort Eustis, VA 23604

Commander  
Frankford Arsenal  
ATTN: SARFA-FCD-0, Bldg 201-2  
Bridge & Tarcony Sts  
Philadelphia, PA 19137

Director, Naval Oceanography and  
Meteorology  
National Space Technology Laboratories  
Bay St Louis, MS 39529

Commander  
US Army Electronics Command  
ATTN: DRSEL-CT-S  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Commander  
USA Cold Regions Test Center  
ATTN: STECR-OP-PM  
APO Seattle 98733

Redstone Scientific Information Center  
ATTN: DRDMI-TBD  
US Army Missile Res & Dev Command  
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809

Commander  
AFWL/WE  
Kirtland AFB, NM 87117

Naval Surface Weapons Center  
Code DT-22 (Ms. Greeley)  
Dahlgren, VA 22448

Commander  
Naval Ocean Systems Center  
ATTN: Research Library  
San Diego, CA 92152

Commander  
US Army INSCOM  
ATTN: IARDA-OS  
Arlington Hall Station  
Arlington, VA 22212

Commandant  
US Army Field Artillery School  
ATTN: ATSF-CF-R  
Fort Sill, OK 73503

Commander and Director  
US Army Engineer Topographic Labs  
ETL-GS-AC  
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

Technical Processes Br-D823  
NOAA, Lib & Info Serv Div  
6009 Executive Blvd  
Rockville, MD 20852

Commander  
US Army Missile Research  
and Development Command  
ATTN: DRDMI-CGA, B. W. Fowler  
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809

Commanding Officer  
US Army Armament Rsch & Dev Com  
ATTN: DRDAR-TSS #59  
Dover, NJ 07801

Air Force Cambridge Rsch Labs  
ATTN: LCB (A. S. Carten, Jr.)  
Hanscom AFB  
Bedford, MA 01731

National Center for Atmos Res  
NCAR Library  
PO Box 3000  
Boulder, CO 80307

Air Force Geophysics Laboratory  
ATTN: LYD  
Hanscom AFB  
Bedford, MA 01731

Chief, Atmospheric Sciences Division  
Code ES-81  
NASA  
Marshall Space Flight Center, AL 35812

Department of the Air Force  
OL-C, 5WW  
Fort Monroe, VA 23651

Commander  
US Army Missile Rsch & Dev Com  
ATTN: DRDMI-TR  
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809

Meteorology Laboratory  
AFGL/LY  
Hanscom AFB, MA 01731

Director CFD  
US Army Field Artillery School  
ATTN: Met Division  
Fort Sill, OK 73503

Naval Weapons Center (Code 3173)  
ATTN: Dr. A. Shlanta  
China Lake, CA 93555

Director  
Atmospheric Physics & Chem Lab  
Code R31, NOAA  
Department of Commerce  
Boulder, CO 80302

Department of the Air Force  
5 WW/DN  
Langley AFB, VA 23665

Commander  
US Army Intelligence Center and School  
ATTN: ATSI-CD-MD  
Fort Huachuca, AZ 85613

Dr. John L. Walsh  
Code 4109  
Navy Research Lab  
Washington, DC 20375

Director  
US Army Armament Rsch & Dev Com  
Chemical Systems Laboratory  
ATTN: DRDAR-CLJ-I  
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

R. B. Girardo  
Bureau of Reclamation  
E&R Center, Code 1220  
Denver Federal Center, Bldg 67  
Denver, CO 80225

Commander  
US Army Missile Command  
ATTN: DRDMI-TEM  
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809

Commander  
US Army Tropic Test Center  
ATTN: STETC-MO (Tech Library)  
APO New York 09827

Commanding Officer  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Code 2627  
Washington, DC 20375

Defense Documentation Center  
ATTN: DDC-TCA  
Cameron Station (Bldg 5)  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314  
12

Commander  
US Army Test and Evaluation Command  
ATTN: Technical Library  
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

US Army Nuclear Agency  
ATTN: MONA-WE  
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

Commander  
US Army Proving Ground  
ATTN: Technical Library  
Bldg 2100  
Yuma, AZ 85364

Office, Asst Sec Army (R&D)  
ATTN: Dep for Science & Tech  
HQ, Department of the Army  
Washington, DC 20310