

AD-A042 498

ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GR--ETC F/G 6/6
TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT--ETC(U)
AUG 77 M H WEEKS, B J DESENA

UNCLASSIFIED

USAEHA-51-0852-77


NL

END

DATE
FILMED
8-77

| OF |
40
A042498





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

ADA 042498

12
B.S.

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT AI3-36029
S-UNDECALACTONE
STUDY NO. 51-0852-77
MARCH 1976 - JULY 1977

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



DDDC
AUG 8 1977

AD No. _____
DDC FILE COPY

US ARMY
ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21010

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

14 USAEHA REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER 51-0852-77	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 9 kept.	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellent AI3-36029 S-undecalactone		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final Mar 76 - Jul 77	
7. AUTHOR(s) MAURICE H. WEEKS BRENDA J. DeSENA		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 51-0852-77	
		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 11 3 Aug 77	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 12 1 pp.	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Commander US Army Health Services Command Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234		12. REPORT DATE Mar 76 - Jul 77	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 7	
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified	
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) AI3-36029 skin irritation S-undecalactone eye irritation Topical Hazard Evaluation Program sensitization candidate repellent oral toxicity Photochemical Skin Irritation			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A hazard evaluation of AI3-36029 was conducted using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies; Hartley guinea pigs for a sensitization study; and Sprague-Dawley, Wistar-derived rats for acute oral toxicity. The candidate insect repellent caused no skin or eye irritation, no photochemical irritation, no sensitization reaction and did not demonstrate an acute ingestion hazard. It is recommended that AI3-36029 be approved for further testing as a candidate topical insect repellent.			

DD DC
 READING
 AUG 8 1977
 ALGIVE

038150

10



HSE-LT/WP

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

3 AUG 1977

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT AI3-36029
S-UNDECALACTONE
STUDY NO. 51-0852-77
MARCH 1976 - JULY 1977

ABSTRACT

A hazard evaluation of AI3-36029 was conducted using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies; Hartley guinea pigs for a sensitization study; and Sprague-Dawley, Wistar-derived rats; for acute oral toxicity. The candidate insect repellent caused no skin or eye irritation, no photochemical irritation, no sensitization reaction and did not demonstrate an acute ingestion hazard. It is recommended that AI3-36029 be approved for further testing as a candidate topical insect repellent.

ACCESSION for	White Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Buff Section <input type="checkbox"/>
NTIS		
DDC		
ANNOUNCED		
RESTRICTION		
BY	DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
		SPECIAL
A		

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



HSE-LT/WP

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT AI3-36029
S-UNDECALACTONE
STUDY NO. 51-0852-77
MARCH 1976 - JULY 1977

1. AUTHORITY.

a. Letter, US Department of Agriculture - Agricultural Research Service, Southern Region, Insects Affecting Man Research Laboratory, Gainesville, Florida, 11 March 1976.

b. Memorandum of Understanding between the US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency; the US Army Health Services Command; the US Department of the Army, Office of the Surgeon General; the Armed Forces Pest Control Board; and the US Department of Agriculture, effective December 1970 with Amendment No. 1, effective August 1974.

2. REFERENCE. Toxicology Division Procedural Guide, USAEHA, 1972.

3. PURPOSE. The purpose of this program is to provide guidance for further entomological testing of the candidate insect repellent AI3-36029.

4. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS. A hazard evaluation of the candidate repellent AI3-36029 (S-undecalactone) was conducted by this Agency using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies, Hartley guinea pigs for a skin sensitization study and Sprague-Dawley, Wistar-derived rats for determination of oral toxicity. A tabular presentation of animal toxicity data developed in this Agency follows:*†

* In conducting the studies described in this report, the investigators adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," US Department of Health, Education and Welfare Publication No. (NIH) 74-23, revised 1972 - second printing 1974.

† The experiments reported herein were performed in animal facilities fully accredited by the American Association for Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Study No. 51-0852-77, Mar 76-Jul 77

<u>Test</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Interpretation</u>
-------------	----------------	-----------------------

SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES

Rabbits

Single 24-hour application to intact and abraded skin of New Zealand White rabbits.

0.5 ml technical grade compound applied to each of six rabbits.

Compound AI3-36029 produced no primary irritation of the intact skin or the skin surrounding an abrasion.

USAEHA Category I (ref Appendix).

EYE IRRITATION STUDIES

Rabbits

Single 24-hour application of 0.1 ml technical grade compound to one eye of each of six New Zealand White rabbits.

Compound AI3-36029 produced no irritation to the cornea or the conjunctiva of rabbits.

USAEHA Category A (ref Appendix).

APPROXIMATE LETHAL DOSE (ALD)

Oral

Rats (male) - no diluent.

ALD >4900 mg/kg

Presents little lethal hazard from acute accidental ingestion.

Study No. 51-0852-77, Mar 76-Jul 77

Test	Results	Interpretation
<u>PHOTOCHEMICAL SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES</u>		
<u>Rabbits</u>		
A single application (0.05 ml) of a 25 percent (w/v) solution of the compound (AI3-36029) and of a 10 percent (w/v) oil of Bergamot solution (positive control) in 95 percent ethyl alcohol, were applied to the intact skin of six rabbits. Five minutes after application, the rabbits were exposed to UV light (365 nm) for 30 minutes at a distance of cm.	A 25 percent solution of AI3-36029 in ethanol did not cause a photochemical irritation reaction under test conditions.	Compound AI3-36029 did not cause a photochemical irritation reaction under test conditions and is not expected to cause a photochemical irritation in humans.
<u>Control</u>		
Following UV exposure of the rabbits 0.05 ml of test compound, positive control and diluent were applied to additional skin areas to serve as unirradiated control sites. Application areas were checked for skin irritation reactions at 24, 48 and 72 hours.	Positive control application and irradiation caused greater irritant effects than in unirradiated skin areas.	

Study No. 51-0852-77, Mar 76-Jul 77

Test	Results	Interpretation
------	---------	----------------

SENSITIZATION STUDIES

Guinea Pigs (Male)

Intradermal injections of 0.1 ml of a 0.1 percent suspension (w/v) of AI3-36029 or of dinitrochlorobenzene (DNCB)* in a mixture containing 1 volume of propylene glycol and 29 volumes of saline.

Ten test guinea pigs received and challenged with a 0.1 percent solution of AI3-36029

Challenge dose of test compound (last intradermal injection) did not produce a sensitization reaction.

Compound AI3-36029 did not produce a sensitization reaction under these test conditions and is not expected to produce a sensitization reaction in man.

Ten positive control guinea pigs received and challenged with 0.1 percent suspension of DNCB.

Positive control (DNCB) produced a marked sensitization reaction in ten out of ten guinea pigs.

Ten cage control guinea pigs:

Cage control guinea pigs showed no greater reaction to test compound and DNCB than were seen in original test groups.

Five receiving challenge dose of test compound without prior sensitizing doses.


Five receiving challenge dose of DNCB without prior sensitizing doses.

* A known skin sensitizer

Study No. 51-0852-77, Mar 76-Jul 77

5. CONCLUSION. The candidate insect repellent AI3-36029 caused no skin or eye irritation in rabbits, no photochemical irritation in rabbits, no sensitization reaction in guinea pigs and did not demonstrate an acute ingestion hazard in rats.

6. RECOMMENDATION. Under the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding (reference paragraph 1b), it is recommended that AI3-36029, S-undecalactone, be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent.




MAURICE H. WEEKS
Chief, Toxicology Evaluation Branch
Toxicology Division




BRENDA J. DeSENA
SP4
Veterinary Specialist
Toxicology Division

APPROVED:



ARTHUR H. MCCREESH, Ph.D.
Chief, Toxicology Division



BRENDAN E. JOYCE, Ph.D.
LTC, MSC
Director, Laboratory Services

Study No. 51-0852-77, Mar 76-Jul 77

APENDIX

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
DEFINITIONS OF CATEGORIES OF COMPOUNDS BEING
CONSIDERED FOR ACUTE SKIN APPLICATION

CATEGORY I - Compounds producing no primary irritation of the intact skin or no greater than mild primary irritation of the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: No restriction for acute application to the human skin.)

CATEGORY II - Compounds producing mild primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should be used only on human skin found by examination to have no abrasions or may be used as a clothing impregnant.)

CATEGORY III - Compounds producing moderate primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should not be used directly on the skin without a prophetic patch test having been conducted on humans to determine irritation potential to human skin. May be used without patch testing, with extreme caution, as clothing impregnants. Compound should be resubmitted in the form and at the intended use concentration so that its irritation potential can be reexamined using other test techniques on animals.)

CATEGORY IV - Compounds producing moderate to severe primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion and, in addition, producing necrosis, vesiculation and/or eschars. (INTERPRETATION: Should be resubmitted for testing in the form and at the intended use concentration. Upon resubmission, its irritation potential will be reexamined using other test techniques on animals, prior to possible prophetic patch testing in humans, at concentrations which have been shown not to produce primary irritation in animals.)

CATEGORY V - Compounds impossible to classify because of staining of the skin or other masking effects owing to physical properties of the compound. (INTERPRETATION: Not suitable for use on humans.)

EYE CATEGORIES:

A. Compounds noninjurious to the eye. INTERPRETATION: Irritation of human eyes is not expected if the compound should accidentally get into the eyes, provided it is washed out as soon possible.

B. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes.

Study No. 51-0852-77, Mar 76-Jul 77

C. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea, and in addition some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes and mucosa.

D. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes.

E. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea, and in addition producing some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes and mucosa.

F. Compounds producing severe injury to the cornea and to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution. It is recommended that use be restricted to areas other than the face.