

AD-A042 594

ARMY ELECTRONICS COMMAND FORT MONMOUTH N J
NASTRAN DATA GENERATING PROGRAMS AND ANALYTICAL MODELS FOR ANAL--ETC(U)
JUL 77 J W JETTER

F/G 9/5

UNCLASSIFIED


ECOM-4508


NL

1 of 1
ADA042594



END
DATE
FILMED
8-77
DDC







12

Research and Development Technical Report
ECOM-4508

AD A 042594

NASTRAN DATA GENERATING PROGRAMS AND ANALITICAL
MODELS FOR ANALYSIS OF ANTENNA MAST AND TOWER
STRUCTURES

James W. Jeter, Jr.

Research & Development Technical Support Activity

July 1977

DDC
AUG 8 1977
C

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT
Approved for public release;
distribution unlimited.

AD No. —

DDC FILE COPY

ECOM

US ARMY ELECTRONICS COMMAND FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY 07703

NOTICES

Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The citation of trade names and names of manufacturers in this report is not to be construed as official Government indorsement or approval of commercial products or services referenced herein.

Disposition

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER ECOM-4508	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) NASTRAN DATA GENERATING PROGRAMS AND ANALYTICAL MODELS FOR ANALYSIS OF ANTENNA MAST AND TOWER STRUCTURES		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED FINAL rept.
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) DR. JAMES W. JETER, JR.	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) TASK ORDER NR: 72-720 PRON NR: 73-90043	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS US ARMY ELECTRONICS COMMAND DRSEL-GG-ES FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY 07703	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 903000.00.00.600.00.00	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE 10 July 1977	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 12 29p.
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) COMPUTER-AIDED-ANALYSIS, COMPUTER ANALYSIS, COMPUTER-PROGRAMS, NASTRAN, TOWERS, MASTS		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report is concerned with the development of data generating programs and analytical models to facilitate the structural analysis of antenna mast and tower structures subjected to static and dynamic loadings. The programs generate the data cards necessary to define the structure geometry and material properties of typical masts and towers for the NASA Structural Analysis Program (NASTRAN). The automatic data generating program for masts can be used to model any mast of constant cross section and can handle any number of cable tie points and anchor points; deform cards to duplicate cable pretension are also generated.		

D D C
 RECORDED
 AUG 8 1977
 C

037 620

CONTINUED

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

Item 20 Con'd

as well as the force cards needed for representing a uniform wind type pressure. The automatic data generator for towers generates a series of tower stages and considers four (4) variations of tower geometry, three (3) possible base conditions and any number of sides greater than two (2). This program was designed for towers with regular generation properties and equal side lengths, but a separate program enables some variations in side length to be considered. In order to provide an economical but efficient NASTRAN model for analyzing antenna towers, three models, with varying degrees of exactness were investigated using several NASTRAN rigid formats for analysis. The capabilities and limitations of these models, with respect to the rigid formats considered, was studied.

ACCESSION for

Write Section

B-11 Section

NTIS

DDC

UNANNOUNCED

JUSTIFICATION

BY

DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY NOTES

Dis

VA

A

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

CONTENTS	PAGE NR.
A. DATA GENERATING PRE-PROCESSING PROGRAMS FOR NASTRAN ANALYSIS OF ANTENNA MASTS AND TOWERS	4
INTRODUCTION	4
DISCUSSION	4
CONCLUSIONS	6
B. ANALYTICAL MODELS OF ECOM TOWER STRUCTURES	7
INTRODUCTION	7
DISCUSSION	7
CONCLUSIONS	8
APPENDIX A Description of Data Input for Mast Generation Program	9
APPENDIX B Description of Data Input for Tower Generation Program	11
APPENDIX C Use Options for NASTRAN Data Generation Programs	13
APPENDIX D Input and Generated Output for Typical Guyed Antenna Mast	14
APPENDIX E Input and Generated Output for Typical Tower Section	17
ILLUSTRATIONS	
Figure 1: Flow Chart of Mast Generation Program	22
Figure 2: Typical Guyed Antenna Mast	23
Figure 3: Flow Chart of Tower Generation Program	24
Figure 4: Typical Tower Section (Unfolded)	25
Figure 5: Diagonal Options for Tower Sections (Unfolded)	26
Figure 6: Base Options for Tower Sections	27
Figure 7: Analytical Models of Antenna Towers	28
DISTRIBUTION LIST	29

A. DATA GENERATING PRE-PROCESSING PROGRAMS FOR NASTRAN ANALYSIS OF ANTENNA MASTS AND TOWERS

INTRODUCTION

Computer programs were developed to generate the NASTRAN (NASA Structural Analysis) data cards necessary to define the structure geometry and material properties of typical masts and towers. These programs require a minimum of input to describe the structure and produce detailed card output which model the structure in the rigid terms that the NASTRAN computer program will accept. Automatic data generators reduce the time spent by the engineer in modeling the structure, eliminate the waiting period required to have cards hand punched, reduce the possibility of human error in the data generation process, and insure uniformity in the modeling. The data generation programs can be accessed by either the remote or the batch mode.

DISCUSSION

The automatic NASTRAN data generating program for antenna masts is capable of producing GRID cards, CBAR cards, CONROD cards (for cables), DEFORM cards (for cable pretensioning), PBAR cards and MATI cards, as well as the FORCE cards corresponding to a static representation of a wind load. The generated data cards can be applied, with some exceptions, to both static and dynamic situations. An abbreviated flow chart displaying the logic of the mast generation program is shown in Figure 1.

A typical guyed antenna mast is shown in Figure 2, and the input and generated output for the NASTRAN data deck is shown in Appendix IV. The antenna mast is modeled by the data generating program as follows:

The launcher is considered to be one CBAR element with its particular cross-sectional properties. The tube is of constant cross section and is initially divided into an inputted number of CBAR elements of equal length. Cable attachment points and any additional points are defined separately. Tie bars and cable tie points are defined to duplicate the offsetting effect of the tube thickness on attachment points. These tie points can also be used to define by input, and must be the same for each row. The distance from the base to each set of anchor points is inputted. Cables are defined as CONRODS.

It is assumed that all of the cables at a given attachment point have the same area and that there is a cable at each attachment point for each anchor row, attached equidistant from the base. Based on inputted pretensioning in pounds, DEFORM cards are developed indicating the element and

the amount of deformation corresponding to the pretensioning. If a non-zero wind velocity in miles per hour and wind direction with respect to the x-axis are inputted, FORCE cards are developed with this wind converted to an equivalent static force using empirical formulas. Numbering of the grid points and elements follows inputted values of the last grid number and element number used. A description of the inputted information is given in Appendix A.

The automatic NASTRAN data generating program for antenna towers is restricted to GRID cards, CBAR cards, PBAR cards, and MAT1 cards, since these comprise the bulk of the necessary data cards. These cards can be used for either static or dynamic situations. The program defines the tower as a series of selections stacked either on each other or on one of two base options. An abbreviated flow chart of the programming logic is shown in Figure 3. A large number of options make the data generation program adaptable to a wide variety of tower geometries.

A typical tower section is shown in Figure 4, with the corresponding input and output for the data generator given in Appendix E. The program is capable of generating any number of stages starting at a given height with grid point numbers and CBAR numbers continuing from inputted values of the last numbers used. The tower section may have three or more sides of equal length with any of the four diagonal configurations shown in Figure 5. No base or either of two base options may be used, as shown in Figure 6. The number of diagonals on a side for a stage may be inputted and grid points may be generated to space the diagonals from the top or bottom of the stage. Horizontal members may be added by an option and the basic stage geometry can be varied. Stiffening members at the top of each stage are represented by x-bracing. Separate PBAR cards are generated for verticals, horizontals, diagonals, and x-bracing. The x-bracing cross sectional properties should be made to correspond to that of whatever lateral bracing is actually present. It is assumed that all members have the same material properties. Three output options are built into the program to allow data manipulation before card punching. One special program has been written which will account for rectangular or isosceles triangular sections; other manipulative programs could be easily written. A description of the inputted information for the generation of tower data is given Appendix B; a description of the operation of the data manipulation program is given in Appendix C.

The two data generating programs can be used to describe a variety of structures or portions of structures. A number of useful combinations and approaches for modeling are described in Appendix C. Versions of all programs have been made available for both remote and batch processing. Remote accessed program names are: NASTWR, for tower data generation; MASTRN, for mast data generation; and GDMIX, for data manipulation, the corresponding batch accessed program names are: OMASTY, OMSTGN and OGDMXC. Runs producing over one hundred data cards should be done using the batch mode unless modifications are made in the remote programs.

CONCLUSIONS

Automatic data generator programs for NASTRAN have been developed which will greatly relieve the burden of structural modeling of masts and towers from the engineer and produce a more efficient NASTRAN analysis. Some hand generation is still necessary; this is particularly evident in the tower and combination models. A larger program, accounting for all likely situations (such as A-frames, general cable systems, stacking of unequal sections), is recommended for future work. The existing generators can be used as sub-programs for the large program. The capability of renumbering grid points for efficient NASTRAN runs will become necessary for the larger program; this could be effected by introducing the BANDIT renumbering program to the NASTRAN run cycle. As a final pre-processing check on the NASTRAN data deck, a capability of graphically reproducing the structure from the NASTRAN grid and element cards is needed. For optimum utilization of results, visual depiction of deflections and selective formatting of output parameters are necessary. This type of post-processing is dependent on the capabilities of the communication lines with the NASTRAN facility in use-storage of output on tape, rather than paper would be a necessity.

B. ANALYTICAL MODELS OF ECOM TOWER STRUCTURES

INTRODUCTION

The NASTRAN structural analysis program is capable of handling structures composed of a large number of elements, but only at the cost of extensive running times. A great deal of computer time can be saved with no significant reduction in accuracy if the less critical portions of a structure can be represented by a simpler model. In this study, a detailed model of a portion of an AB-585 tower and two simplified models were devised so that the effectiveness and efficiency of the simpler models could be investigated. Results obtained apply specifically only to the tower configuration considered, but can be interpreted as an indication of the behavior of other tower configurations.

DISCUSSION

The detailed AB-585 tower model and the two simplified versions, labeled models "A", "B", and "C" are shown in Figure 7. The simplification of the detailed model involved representing a portion of the tower as a mast. Model "C" retains details of the area near the antenna mounts and the base, since these areas may be critical; model "B" is detailed only at the base

Several factors affect the accuracy involved in replacing what is essentially a truss with a beam-type element. The equivalent beam cross-sectional area was set equal to the sum of the leg areas; the effect of the diagonals was ignored. The beam moments of inertia was equated to the moments of inertia of the verticals alone. Individual bar bending stiffness was not considered. The torsional stiffness was calculated from an analysis of a tower section with only a torque applied. Although the x-bracing of the detailed tower was assumed to have the same stiffness as the tower verticals, the x-bracing of both models was made very rigid to reduce the localized effect of the attachment of the mast section. The lack of symmetry in the sides of the tower cause a torque to develop when a lateral load is applied. This effect cannot be duplicated by a series of CBARS. Lateral and axial loads were applied directly to the equivalent mast for the simpler models, but were applied to the legs of the detailed models. The models were analyzed using the differential stiffness rigid format.

Numerical results for the three approaches were obtained too late for meaningful discussion in this report, but some crude comparisons can be made. Computer expense can be measured roughly by the sum of the central processing time and the peripheral processing time. The totals were: Model "A" - 2500 seconds; Model "B" - 657 seconds; Model "C" - 1097 seconds. Comparisons of the maximum deflection of the simplified models with the maximum deflection of the detailed model provides a measure of the accuracy of the simpler models. The percent difference for the simpler models was about 7%; deflection values were lower for both models.

There is some indication that the difference may be attributable in part to the weak portion of the tower section where no diagonal is present - this localized behavior is not modeled into the simpler approaches.

CONCLUSIONS

Two simple models for tower analysis have been introduced. Numerical results have been generated for the differential stiffness rigid format so that the simple models may be evaluated through comparison with a detailed model. Preliminary comparisons indicate that the simpler models will provide an economical means of analysis for some tower problems. Use of the simpler models for significantly different types of behavior may require separate studies. The effectiveness of the simple models may suggest that a "D" model consisting of a linear combination of elements (a mast) should be investigated for deflection and vibrations studies. The complexity of even simple tower geometries emphasizes the need for additional work on pre-and post-processing programs.

APPENDIX A

DESCRIPTION OF DATA INPUT FOR MAST GENERATION PROGRAM

All units must be compatible unless otherwise indicated

First Line of Input:

- (1) Total height
- (2) Launcher height
- (3) Number of elements defining tube (do not include attachment points)
- (4) Number of rows of anchors
- (5) Number of anchor points in each row
- (6) Number of attachment points and extra points to be generated on the mast
- (7) Radius of tube
- (8) Wind velocity (MPH)
- (9) Angle of wind direction (clockwise from X - axis in degrees)
- (10) Largest grid point number previously used in structure
- (11) Largest element number previously used
- (12) Angle between X axis and anchor row (clockwise in degrees)

Second Line of Input:

- (1) Modulus of elasticity for mast
- (2) Poisson's ratio for mast
- (3) Mass density for mast

Third Line of Input - same as second line, except information is for cable

Fourth Line of Input:

- (1) Area of launcher
- (2) I1 for launcher

APPENDIX A (Con'd)

(3) I2 for launcher

(4) J for launcher

Fifth Line of Input - same as fourth line, except information is for tube

Sixth Line of Input:

(1) Radial distance to first set of anchor points

(2) Radial distance to second set of anchor points

(Continue until all anchor points are accounted for)

Seventh Line of Input:

(1) Height of lowest attachment or extra point

(2) Area of cable for lowest attachment point (0 if extra point)

(3) Corresponding set of anchor points (0 if extra point)

(4) Corresponding cable pretension force (0 if extra point)

Eighth Line of Input - same as seventh but for next lowest attachment/extra point

Ninth Line of Input - same as eighth but for next lowest attachment/extra point

(Continue until all attachment/extra points are accounted for)

APPENDIX B

DESCRIPTION OF DATA INPUT FOR TOWER GENERATION PROGRAM

All Units Must be Computable Unless Otherwise Indicated

First Line of Input:

- (1) File option (1 for punching; 2, 3 are disk files)

Second Line of Input:

- (1) Base option (0, 1, 2 as shown in Figure 6)
- (2) Side width of tower
- (3) Distance between bottom of stage and lowest diagonal
- (4) Distance between bottom of stage and lowest horizontal brace
- (5) Distance between top of stage and highest diagonal
- (6) Slope of diagonal (degrees)
- (7) Number of sides (3 or more)
- (8) Number of diagonals on a side (1 or more)
- (9) Number of stages (1 or more - stacked and numbered consecutively)
- (10) Tower option (1, 2, 3, 4 as shown in Figure 5)
- (11) Horizontal option (0 = no bars generated; 1 = all bars generated)

Third Line of Input:

- (1) Modulus of elasticity of material
- (2) Poisson's ratio for material
- (3) Mass density for material

Fourth Line of Input:

- (1) Area of verticals
- (2) I_l for verticals

APPENDIX B (Con'd)

(3) I2 for verticals

(4) J for verticals

Fifth Line of Input - same as fourth line, except information if for diagonals

Sixth Line of Input - same as fourth line, except information is for horizontals

Seventh Line of Input - same as fourth, except information is for x-bracing

Eighth Line of Input:

If base 1 was indicated:

- (1) Horizontal distance from leg to base diagonal
- (2) Vertical distance from base horizontal to base diagonal
- (3) Depth of base (plane of origin is at the top of the base)

If base 0 or 2 was indicated:

- (1) Largest grid point number previously used (assumed to be on the center-line of the section to be generated)
- (2) Largest element number previously used
- (3) Height at bottom of stage

APPENDIX C

USE OPTIONS FOR NASTRAN DATA GENERATING PROGRAMS

The generality and flexibility built into the NASTRAN data generating programs make it possible to represent a variety of structures without significant hand punching. The more common options are described here.

- A. TOWER ON BASE - Choose base option 1 or 2; provide base dimensions for option; indicate last grid point number used, last element number used, and bottom height as zero for base 2.
- B. TOWER ON GROUND - Same as A with base option (2); however, pull cross bracing element cards. The central grid point at the base must remain and be restrained.
- C. TOWER ON TOWER - Choose base option zero; indicate last grid point number used, last element number used, and the height at the bottom of the new stage; the last grid points used should have been at the top level of the existing tower; if not, adjustments must be made on the first few CBAR cards generated; the towers must have the same number of sides.
- D. MAST ON GROUND - Straightforward application with last element and grid numbers used indicated as zero.
- E. MAST ON TOWER (or mast) - Indicate last element and grid numbers used; indicate height of launcher as tower height; pull element defining the launcher and the grid card defining the base; correct the location of mast anchor points if they are not on the same level as the bottom of the mast; insure that cable connections are correct as generated; adjust x-bracing properties at the top of the tower.
- F. TOWER ON MAST - Indicate option 2; enter last element and grid numbers used and base height; mast should end slightly below the level of the tower; they are to be connected by a CBAR element generated by hand.
- G. TOWER WITH UNEQUAL DIMENSIONS - RECTANGLE - Generate tower with larger side width and corresponding diagonal angle indicated (2) as file option; regenerate with shorter side width indicating (3) as file option; load the GDMIX (OGDMIXC) file, entering as data the number of grid points and the number of elements; output will be for rectangle.
- H. TOWER WITH UNEQUAL DIMENSIONS - ISOSCELES TRIANGLE - The same approach as given in G can be used; the first run should be the one which generates the ends of the unequal leg; care should be taken in determining side length for the second run which will produce the desired side length in the isosceles triangle.

APPENDIX D

INPUT AND GENERATED OUTPUT FOR TYPICAL GUYED ANTENNA MAST

The following information pertains to the mast shown in Figure 2:

INPUT TOTAL HEIGHT, LAUNCHER HEIGHT, NO. TUBE ELEMENTS, NO. ANCHOR ROWS
 NO. PTS. IN ROW, NO. ATTACH PT., TUBE RADIUS, WIND VELOCITY (MPH)
 ANGLE FROM X-AXIS (DEGREES), LARGEST GRID NO., CONNECTION NO.

PREVIOUSLY USED, X-AXIS TO ROW ANGLE

7600,40,1,3,2,4,3,90,20,0,0,40

INPUT E,NU,RHO FOR MAST

?1E7,.33,2.588E-4

INPUT E,NU,RHO FOR CABLE

?3E7,.33,1E-3

INPUT LAUNCHER AREA, I1,I2,J

?5,20,20,40

INPUT TUBE AREA, I1,I2,J

?2.25,10,10,20

INPUT RADIAL DISTANCES TO ANCHORS

?100,200

INPUT HEIGHT, CABLE AREA, ANCHOR PT NO., AND PRETENSION FORCE

FOR ATTACH. PT. 1

?40,.5,1,40

FOR ATTACH. PT. 2

?200,.5,1,40

FOR ATTACH. PT. 3

?400,0,0,0

FOR ATTACH. PT. 4

?600,1,2,40

GRID	1		0.00	0.00	0.00	
GRID	2		0.00	0.00	40.00	
GRID	3		0.00	0.00	600.00	
GRID	4		0.00	0.00	200.00	
GRID	5		0.00	0.00	400.00	
GRID	6		2.30	1.93	40.00	
GRID	7		-2.82	1.03	40.00	
GRID	8		0.52	-2.95	40.00	
GRID	9		2.30	1.93	200.00	
GRID	10		-2.82	1.03	200.00	
GRID	11		0.52	-2.95	200.00	
GRID	12		2.30	1.93	600.00	
GRID	13		-2.82	1.03	600.00	
GRID	14		0.52	-2.95	600.00	
GRID	15		76.60	64.28	0.00	
GRID	16		-93.97	34.20	0.00	
GRID	17		17.36	-98.48	0.00	
GRID	18		153.21	128.56	0.00	
GRID	19		-187.94	68.40	0.00	
GRID	20		34.73	-196.96	0.00	
CBAR	1	1	1	2	15	2
CBAR	2	2	2	4	15	2
CBAR	3	2	4	5	15	2

CBAR	4	2	5	3	15	2
CBAR	5	3	2	6	1	2
CBAR	6	3	2	7	1	2
CBAR	7	3	2	8	1	2
CBAR	8	3	4	9	1	2
CBAR	9	3	4	10	1	2
CBAR	10	3	4	11	1	2
CBAR	11	3	3	12	1	2
CBAR	12	3	3	13	1	2
CBAR	13	3	3	14	1	2
CONROD	14	6	15	2	0.5000	
CONROD	15	7	16	2	0.5000	
CONROD	16	8	17	2	0.5000	
CONROD	17	9	15	2	0.5000	
CONROD	18	10	16	2	0.5000	
CONROD	19	11	17	2	0.5000	
CONROD	20	12	18	2	1.0000	
CONROD	21	13	19	2	1.0000	
CONROD	22	14	20	2	1.0000	
PBAR	1	1	5.0000	20.00	20.00	40.00
PBAR	2	1	2.2500	10.00	10.00	20.00
PBAR	3	1	22.5000	100.00	100.00	200.00
MAT1	1	.100E 08		0.33000	0.00026	
MAT1	2	.300E 08		0.33000	0.00100	
DEFORM	30	14	-0.0003			
DEFORM	30	15	-0.0003			
DEFORM	30	16	-0.0003			
DEFORM	30	17	-0.0006			
DEFORM	30	18	-0.0006			
DEFORM	30	19	-0.0006			
DEFORM	30	20	-0.0008			
DEFORM	30	21	-0.0008			
DEFORM	30	22	-0.0008			
FORCE	1		1	16.88	0.93969	0.34202
FORCE	1		2	253.13	0.93969	0.34202
FORCE	1		3	236.25	0.93969	0.34202

APPENDIX E

INPUT AND GENERATED OUTPUT FOR TYPICAL TOWER SECTION

The following information pertains to the tower section shown in Figure 4:

INPUT FILE OPTION (1-CARD OUTPUT; 2-DISK FILE HERB; 3-DISK FILE JOHN)

?2

INPUT BASE OPTION, SIDE WIDTH, DISTANCE FROM BASE TO- (A) 1ST DIAGONAL
, (B) LOWEST HORIZONTAL, DISTANCE FROM TOP TO LAST DIAG., DIAG. ANGLE,
NO. OF SIDES, NO. OF DIAGS., NO. OF STAGES, TOWER OPTION, HORIZONTAL OPTION

?1,49'8,6,3,8,26.08,4,4,1,1,0

INPUT E, NU, RHO

?1E7, .33, 2.588E-4

INPUT A, I1, I2, J FOR- (A) VERTICALS, (B) DIAGONALS

(C) HORIZONTALS, (D) X-BRACING

? .638, .212, .212, .424

? .314, .081, .081, .162

? .638, .212, .212, .424

? .638, .212, .212, .424

INPUT BASE DIMENSIONS- HORIZ DIST FROM VERT TO DIAG, VERT DIST
FROM HORIZ TO DIAG, BASE HEIGHT

?15,15,25

GRID	1	24.00	24.00	-25.00
GRID	2	-24.00	24.00	-25.00
GRID	3	-24.00	-24.00	-25.00
GRID	4	24.00	-24.00	-25.00
GRID	5	24.00	24.00	-15.00
GRID	6	-24.00	24.00	-15.00
GRID	7	-24.00	-24.00	-15.00
GRID	8	24.00	-24.00	-15.00
GRID	9	24.00	24.00	0.00
GRID	10	-24.00	24.00	0.00
GRID	11	-24.00	-24.00	0.00
GRID	12	24.00	-24.00	0.00
GRID	13	9.00	24.00	0.00
GRID	14	-9.00	24.00	0.00
GRID	15	-24.00	9.00	0.00
GRID	16	-24.00	-9.00	0.00
GRID	17	-9.00	-24.00	0.00
GRID	18	9.00	-24.00	0.00
GRID	19	24.00	-9.00	0.00
GRID	20	24.00	9.00	0.00
GRID	21	0.00	0.00	0.00
GRID	22	24.00	24.00	3.00
GRID	23	-24.00	24.00	3.00
GRID	24	-24.00	-24.00	3.00
GRID	25	24.00	-24.00	3.00
GRID	26	24.00	24.00	6.00
GRID	27	-24.00	24.00	6.00
GRID	28	-24.00	-24.00	6.00
GRID	29	24.00	-24.00	6.00
GRID	30	24.00	24.00	29.49
GRID	31	-24.00	24.00	29.49

GRID	32		-24.00	-24.00	29.49	
GRID	33		24.00	24.00	29.49	
GRID	34		24.00	24.00	52.99	
GRID	35		-24.00	24.00	52.99	
GRID	36		-24.00	-24.00	52.99	
GRID	37		24.00	-24.00	52.99	
GRID	38		24.00	24.00	76.48	
GRID	39		-24.00	24.00	76.48	
GRID	40		-24.00	-24.00	76.48	
GRID	41		24.00	-24.00	76.48	
GRID	42		24.00	24.00	99.98	
GRID	43		-24.00	24.00	99.98	
GRID	44		-24.00	-24.00	99.98	
GRID	45		24.00	-24.00	99.98	
GRID	46		24.00	24.00	107.98	
GRID	47		-24.00	24.00	107.98	
GRID	48		-24.00	-24.00	107.98	
GRID	49		24.00	-24.00	107.98	
GRID	50		0.00	0.00	107.98	
CBAR	1	10	1	5	21	2
CBAR	2	10	2	6	21	2
CBAR	3	10	3	7	21	2
CBAR	4	10	4	8	21	2
CBAR	5	10	5	9	21	2
CBAR	6	10	6	10	21	2
CBAR	7	10	7	11	21	2
CBAR	8	10	8	12	21	2
CBAR	9	20	5	13	21	2
CBAR	10	20	6	15	21	2
CBAR	11	20	7	17	21	2
CBAR	12	20	8	19	21	2
CBAR	13	20	6	14	21	2
CBAR	14	20	7	16	21	2
CBAR	15	20	8	18	21	2
CBAR	16	20	5	20	21	2
CBAR	17	30	9	13	21	2
CBAR	18	30	10	15	21	2
CBAR	19	30	11	17	21	2
CBAR	20	30	12	19	21	2
CBAR	21	30	10	15	21	2
CBAR	22	30	11	16	21	2
CBAR	23	30	12	18	21	2
CBAR	24	30	9	20	21	2
CBAR	25	30	13	14	21	2
CBAR	26	30	15	16	21	2
CBAR	27	30	17	18	21	2
CBAR	28	30	19	20	21	2
CBAR	29	40	9	21	1	2

CBAR	30	40	10	21	1	2
CBAR	31	40	11	21	1	2
CBAR	32	40	12	21	1	2
CBAR	33	10	9	22	21	2
CBAR	34	10	10	23	21	2
CBAR	35	10	11	24	21	2
CBAR	36	10	12	25	21	2
CBAR	37	10	22	26	21	2
CBAR	38	10	23	27	21	2
CBAR	39	10	24	28	21	2
CBAR	40	10	25	29	21	2
CBAR	41	10	26	30	21	2
CBAR	42	10	27	31	21	2
CBAR	43	10	28	32	21	2
CBAR	44	10	29	33	21	2
CBAR	45	10	30	34	21	2
CBAR	46	10	31	35	21	2
CBAR	47	10	32	36	21	2
CBAR	48	10	33	37	21	2
CBAR	49	10	34	38	21	2
CBAR	50	10	35	39	21	2
CBAR	51	10	36	40	21	2
CBAR	52	10	37	41	21	2
CBAR	53	10	38	42	21	2
CBAR	54	10	39	43	21	2
CBAR	55	10	40	44	21	2
CBAR	56	10	41	45	21	2
CBAR	57	10	42	46	21	2
CBAR	58	10	43	47	21	2
CBAR	59	10	44	48	21	2
CBAR	60	10	45	49	21	2
CBAR	61	20	26	31	21	2
CBAR	62	20	27	32	21	2
CBAR	63	20	28	33	21	2
CBAR	64	20	29	30	21	2
CBAR	65	20	31	34	21	2
CBAR	66	20	32	35	21	2
CBAR	67	20	33	36	21	2
CBAR	68	20	30	37	21	2
CBAR	69	20	34	39	21	2
CBAR	70	20	35	40	21	2
CBAR	71	20	36	41	21	2
CBAR	72	20	37	38	21	2
CBAR	73	20	39	42	21	2
CBAR	74	20	41	44	21	2
CBAR	75	20	41	44	21	2
CBAR	76	20	38	45	21	2
CBAR	77	30	46	47	21	2

CBAR	78	3Ø	47	48	21		2
CBAR	79	3Ø	48	49	21		2
CBAR	8Ø	3Ø	49	46	21		2
CBAR	81	4Ø	46	5Ø	21		2
CBAR	82	4Ø	48	5Ø	21		2
CBAR	83	4Ø	48	5Ø	21		2
CBAR	84	4Ø	49	5Ø	21		2
PBAR	1Ø	5Ø	Ø.638Ø	Ø.21	Ø.21	Ø.42	
PBAR	2Ø	5Ø	Ø.314Ø	Ø.Ø8	Ø.Ø8	Ø.16	
PBAR	3Ø	5Ø	Ø.638Ø	Ø.21	Ø.21	Ø.42	
PBAR	4Ø	5Ø	Ø.638Ø	Ø.21	Ø.21	Ø.42	
MAT1	5Ø	.1ØØE Ø8		Ø.33ØØØ	Ø.ØØØ26		




FIGURE 1 FLOW CHART OF MAST GENERATION PROGRAM




FIGURE 2: TYPICAL GUYED ANTENNA MAST




FIGURE 3 FLOW CHART OF TOWER GENERATION PROGRAM




FIGURE 4 TYPICAL TOWER SECTION (UNFOLDED)







FIGURE 5 DIAGONAL OPTIONS FOR TOWER SECTIONS (UNFOLDED)



OPTION (2)



OPTION (1)



NO BASE (0)

FIGURE 6 BASE OPTIONS FOR TOWER SECTIONS




FIGURE 7 ANALYTICAL MODELS OF ANTENNA TOWERS

