

AD-AU44 439

ROCHESTER UNIV NY DEPT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE  
ON THE  $N(\log_2 N)$  ISOMORPHISM TECHNIQUE, (U)  
MAR 77 G L MILLER

F/G 12/1

UNCLASSIFIED

TR-17


N00014-75-C-1091

NL

| OF |  
ADA  
044439



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
10-77  
DDC



NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

AD A 044439

计算机科学

11  
B.S.

DDC  
RECEIVED  
SEP 22 1977  
A

443

Rochester

Department of Computer Science  
University of Rochester  
Rochester, New York 14627

AD No.

DDC FILE COPY

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A  
Approved for public release;  
Distribution Unlimited

6 On the  $n^{\log_2 n}$  Isomorphism Technique

10 Gary L. Miller  
Departments of Computer Science  
and Mathematics  
The University of Rochester

14 TR17

11 MARCH 1977

12 10 P.

DDC  
RECEIVED  
SEP 22 1977  
A

Tarjan has given an algorithm for deciding isomorphism of two groups of order  $n$  (given as multiplication tables) which runs in  $O(n^{\log_2 n + O(1)})$  steps where  $n$  is the order of the groups. Tarjan uses the fact that a group of  $n$  is generated by  $\log n$  elements. In this paper, we show that Tarjan technique generalizes to isomorphism of quasigroups, latin squares, and some graphs generated from latin squares.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A  
Approved for public release;  
Distribution Unlimited

\*This research was in part supported by the National Research Council, Grant #NRC-A5549; in part by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation under Grant #74-12-5; and in part by the Advanced Research Projects Agency of the Dept. of Defense, monitored by ONR under Contract #N00014-75-C-1091.

15 NRC-A5549 410 386 ML

A group throughout this paper is a Caley table. If  $G$  is a group of order  $n$  and we pick some linear ordering of  $G$  we can then view  $G$  as a binary function on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and the Caley table as a  $n \times n$  matrix consisting of integers between 1 and  $n$ . In fact this table is a latin square (every number between 1 and  $n$  appears exactly once in every row and in every column). On the other hand, latin squares can be viewed as binary functions; whereas functions whose multiplication tables are latin squares are called quasigroups.

Giving the definition once more we have: A group is a binary operation  $*$  satisfying 1) and 2).

- 1) a)  $\exists! x(a*b = x)$
- b)  $\exists! x(a*x = b)$
- c)  $\exists! x(x*a = b)$
- 2)  $(a*b)*c = a*(b*c)$

A quasigroup is a binary operation satisfying 1), and a quasigroup viewed as a table or a ternary relation is a latin square.

For groups or functions it is clear what we mean by isomorphism, namely,  $G$  is isomorphic to  $G'$  if there exists a 1-1 onto function  $g$  from  $G$  to  $G'$  such that  $g(x*y) = g(x)*'g(y)$ . If we view  $G$  and  $G'$  as ternary relations  $\langle , , \rangle$  and  $\langle , , \rangle'$  respectively, then we get  $\langle x, y, z \rangle \in G$  implies  $\langle g(x), g(y), g(z) \rangle' \in G'$ . Thus, viewing latin squares as quasigroups we say  $L$  and  $L'$  are isomorphic if there exists a permutation  $\sigma$  such that if we simultaneously interchange rows, columns, and values in  $L$  we get  $L'$ . But this definition is quite restrictive. We know that independently permuting rows, columns, and values preserves the latin

Section	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Section	<input type="checkbox"/>
Section	<input type="checkbox"/>
AVAILABILITY CODES <i>Hilton</i> AVAIL. AND/OR SPECIAL	
A	

square properties. Thus, we say two latin squares are isotopic if we can get from one to the other by independently permuting rows, columns, and values; see [ 1 ].

Definition: Two latin squares  $L$  and  $L'$  are said to be isotopic if there exists permutations  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  such that  $\langle x, y, z \rangle \in L$  implies  $\langle \alpha(x), \beta(y), \gamma(z) \rangle \in L'$ , which is denoted by  $L \equiv L'$ .

We say that two latin squares  $L$  and  $L'$  are conjugate if there exists a permutation  $\alpha \in S_3$  such that  $\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle \in L$  implies  $\langle x_{\alpha(1)}, x_{\alpha(2)}, x_{\alpha(3)} \rangle \in L'$ . Finally,  $L$  and  $L'$  are main class isotopic, denoted by  $L \equiv_M L'$ , if we can get from  $L$  to  $L'$  by a conjugation and an isotopic map.

Tarjan [ 2 ] observed that since groups of order  $n$  are generated by a set of elements of size at most  $\log_2 n$ , group isomorphism can be done in  $O(n^{\log_2 n + O(1)})$  steps. Lipton, Snyder, and Zalcstein [ 3 ], independently of Tarjan, showed a stronger result; namely, group isomorphism can be solved in  $O(\log^2 n)$  space. The  $O(\log^2 n)$  result seems to be dependent on the fact that groups are associative while the  $O(n^{\log_2 n + O(1)})$  result generalizes to quasigroups:

Theorem 1: Quasigroup isomorphism can be solved in  $O(n^{\log_2 n + O(1)})$  steps.

Proof: Property 1a) says the binary operation is a well-defined function. Now, 1b) and 1c) give two other well-defined functions associated with a quasigroup. We shall say that a set of elements generates the quasigroup if their closure under these three functions is the whole quasigroup.

Thus, using this definition, we prove a generalization of the observation about the size of the minimal generator set.

Lemma 1: A quasigroup is generated by a set containing at most  $\log_2 n$  elements.

Proof: To prove the lemma we need only prove that if  $H$  is a proper sub-quasigroup of  $G$  then  $|G| \geq 2|H|$ . Pick  $b \in G-H$ . Consider the elements  $H \cdot b$ . Now, all the products are distinct, for if  $h \cdot b = h' \cdot b$  where  $h, h' \in H$  then  $h = h'$  by property 1c). Secondly,  $H \cdot b$  is disjoint from  $H$  for if  $h = h' \cdot b$  when  $h, h' \in H$  then  $b \in H$  by property 1b). This contradicts the fact that  $b \notin H$ . Thus,  $H \cdot b \subseteq G-H$  and  $|H \cdot b| = |H|$  which proves the lemma.

To finish the proof of Theorem 1 we give a short description of the algorithm with two quasigroups,  $G$  and  $G'$ , as input:

- 1) Find a set of generators for  $G$ , containing at most  $\log_2 n$  elements, say  $a_1, \dots, a_m$ .
- 2) For each set of  $m$  elements in  $G'$ , say,  $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$  check to see if the map induced by  $a_i \rightarrow b_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$  is a well-defined isomorphism of  $G$  onto  $G'$ .
- 3) If a set of  $m$  elements of  $G'$  is found in 2) accept; otherwise reject.

Now consider isotopic latin squares. Using isotopic maps we can always put the latin square in a "normal" form; namely, the first row and first column are the sequence  $1, 2, \dots, n$ . This normal form is not unique. In fact, it is not unique up to isomorphism, but is almost

unique up to isomorphism. Suppose that  $L$  and  $L'$  are two isotopic latin squares in normal form and  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is the isotopic map from  $L$  to  $L'$ . Given a permutation  $\alpha$ , let  $\alpha^{(1)}$  be the transposition  $(1, \alpha^{-1}(1))$ . Now the decomposition of  $\alpha$  into  $(\alpha \alpha^{(1)}) (\alpha^{(1)})$  splits  $\alpha$  into  $\alpha^{(1)}$  which may move 1 while  $\alpha \alpha^{(1)}$  leaves 1 fixed. The following result simply says that up to choosing who gets to be the identity  $L$  and  $L'$  are isomorphic.

Lemma 2: Given two latin squares  $L$  and  $L'$  in normal form which are isotopic by the permutations  $\langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \rangle$  then  $\langle \alpha^{(1)}, \beta^{(1)}, \gamma^{(1)} \rangle (L)$  is isomorphic to  $L'$ .

Proof: Now,  $\langle \alpha^{(1)}, \beta^{(1)}, \gamma^{(1)} \rangle (L) = L''$  is still isotopic to  $L'$  by  $\langle \alpha' = \alpha \cdot \alpha^{(1)}, \beta' = \beta \cdot \beta^{(1)}, \gamma' = \gamma \cdot \gamma^{(1)} \rangle$ , and  $L''$  is in normal form. We shall show that in fact  $\alpha' = \beta' = \gamma'$ . Suppose that  $\gamma'(V) = W$ .  $\gamma'$  has changed the  $V$  in column  $V$  to a  $W$ . Thus,  $\beta'$  must move column  $V$  to column  $W$  to insure that the latin square is in normal form. Similarly,  $\alpha'$  must move row  $V$  to row  $W$ . Therefore  $\alpha' = \beta' = \gamma'$  and the lemma is proved.

Theorem 2: Isotopy of latin squares is decidable in  $O(n^{\log_2 n} + O(1))$  time.

Proof: The algorithm, on input  $L$  and  $L'$ , arbitrarily puts  $L'$  in normal form and then for each of the  $n^2$  possible candidates for the identity it puts  $L$  in normal form. Now the algorithm checks if any of these  $n^2$  normal forms of  $L$  are in fact isomorphic to  $L'$ .

Since there are only six ways to conjugate latin squares, we get that main class isotopy is in time  $O(n^{\log_2 n} + O(1))$ .

Corollary: Main class isotopy of latin squares is decidable in  $O(n^{\log_2 n + O(1)})$  time.

A natural graph associated with a latin square is called a latin square graph which is defined as follows:

Definition: Given a latin square, say  $L (\ell_{ij})$ , of size  $n$ , then the latin square graph associated with  $L$ , say  $G(L)$ , has  $n^2$  nodes  $g_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ; and the nodes  $g_{ij}$  and  $g_{k\ell}$  are connected if one of the following holds:

- 1)  $i = k$
- 2)  $j = \ell$
- 3)  $\ell_{ij} = \ell_{k\ell}$

Latin square graphs consist of  $3n$   $n$ -cliques ( $n$  row cliques,  $n$  column cliques, and  $n$  value cliques). Two  $n$ -cliques are disjoint iff they are either different row, different column, or different value cliques.

Thus we get the following result:

Lemma 3: If  $L$  and  $L'$  are latin squares, and  $G(L)$  and  $G(L')$  are latin square graphs, then  $L$  is main class isotopic to  $L'$  iff  $G(L)$  is isomorphic to  $G(L')$ .

If we now give an efficient method of retrieving the latin square from the latin square graph we will have a  $O(n^{\log_2 n + O(1)})$  algorithm for latin square graph isomorphism; namely, retrieve the two latin squares and check the two latin squares for main class isotopy.

Lemma 4: In  $O(n^3)$  steps we can retrieve the latin square from the latin square graph where  $n$  is the dimension of the latin square.

Proof: Let  $G$  be a latin square graph on  $n^2$  nodes. To construct a latin square we shall associate each node of  $G$  with an element in a  $n \times n$  matrix  $A(a_{ij})$  and also assign a value to the nodes or elements.

Algorithm:

- 1) Pick two connected nodes, say  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ .
- 2) Find the  $n$  nodes common to  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Now,  $n-2$  of the nodes are connected to each other, say  $x_3, \dots, x_n$ .  
Let  $y_2$  be one of the nodes that is not connected to  $x_3, \dots, x_n$ .
- 3) Associate  $a_{1j}$  with  $x_j$ , and set  $a_{1j} = j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .
- 4) Find the clique associated with  $x_1$  and  $y_2$ , say  $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ . There is a unique matching between the  $x_i$ 's and the  $y_i$ 's.
- 5) Order the  $y_i$ 's such that  $x_i$  is connected to  $y_i$ , for  $2 \leq i \leq n$ .
- 6) Associate  $a_{j1}$  with  $y_j$  and set  $a_{j1} = j$ ,  $2 \leq j \leq n$ .
- 7) For each of the remaining  $(n-1)^2$  nodes of  $G$ , do the following, where  $W$  is a remaining node:
  - a) If  $W$  is connected to  $x_i$  then  $W$  is connected to a unique  $y_j$  and a unique  $x_j$ ,  $2 \leq i, j \leq n$ .  
Set  $a_{ij}$  to 1.
  - b) If  $W$  is not connected to  $x_i$ , then there exist

unique integers  $k$ ,  $i$ , and  $j$  such that  $W$  is connected to  $y_k, x_k, y_i$ , and  $y_j$ . Set  $a_{ij}$  to  $k$ .

Using Lemma 4 we get the following theorem.

Theorem 3: Latin square graph isomorphism is decidable in  $O(n^{\log_2 n} + O(1))$  steps.

## References

- 1) Denes, J. and Keedwell, A.D., Latin Squares and their Applications, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- 2) Tarjan, R.E., private communication.
- 3) Lipton, R.J., Snyder, L., and Zalcstein, Y., "The Complexity of Word and Isomorphism Problems for Finite Groups."