

AD-A044 756

BOSTON COLL CHESTNUT HILL MASS

F/6 12/1

A SIMULATION STUDY OF A TWELVE DEGREE OF FREEDOM SYSTEM.(U)

MAR 77 E B HARTNETT

F19628-76-C-0007

UNCLASSIFIED

SCIENTIFIC-1

AFGL-TR-77-0061

NL

| OF |

AD
A044756



END
DATE
FILMED

10-77

DDC

AD A044756

A SIMULATION STUDY OF A TWELVE DEGREE OF FREEDOM SYSTEM

Eugene B. Hartnett
Boston College
Chestnut Hill, MA 02167

March 1977
Scientific Report No. 1

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

AD No. _____
DDC FILE COPY

AIR FORCE GEOPHYSICS LABORATORY
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
HANSKOM AFB, MASS. 01731



Qualified requestors may obtain additional copies from the Defense Documentation Center. All others should apply to the National Technical Information Service.

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

19 REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER AFGL-TR-77-0061	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 9
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) A Simulation Study of a Twelve Degree of Freedom System.		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Scientific, Interim <i>note</i> , Scientific Report No. 1
7. AUTHOR(s) Eugene B. Hartnett		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Boston College Chestnut Hill, Mass. 02167		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) F19628-76-C-0007
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Geophysics Laboratory Hanscom Air Force Base, Mass. 01731 Contract Monitor: Henry A. Ossing/LWH		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 7628-09-01 62101F
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) 12 14p.		12. REPORT DATE March 1977
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 12
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approach for public release; distribution unlimited 14 Scientific-1		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES TECH, OTHER		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ground motion, missile suspension, mathematical simulation, differential equations		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A twelve degree of freedom model for a missile suspension system was simulated mathematically. The derived equations of motion were solved for missile accelerations and displacements at various driving forces, frequencies, and damping coefficients. The simulation results were validated using measured field data.		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE


Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

061 150

LB

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)



SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

A SIMULATION STUDY OF A TWELVE DEGREE OF FREEDOM SYSTEM

I. Introduction

Boston College, in its investigation of the effects of ground motion on emplaced missile systems, is analyzing various theoretical models of missile suspensions and their response to motions received. The work reported herein deals with a mathematical simulation study of a twelve degree of freedom system which might be of concern.

II. Mathematical Treatment

The Boeing twelve degree of freedom model¹ for a missile suspension system is a set of coupled ordinary differential equations of the second order relating missile displacement to ground acceleration. By using certain linear transformations it is possible to express these equations in terms of generalized coordinates for which the equations of motion are uncoupled. It was desired to simulate this model by numerically solving the uncoupled equations for applied accelerations and computing missile displacements.

The uncoupled equations of motion are:

$$\{\ddot{q}\} + \left[\frac{2}{\sigma}\right]\{\dot{q}\} + [\omega^2]\{q\} = -[r]\{\ddot{x}_{\text{gnd}}\}$$

The $\{\ddot{x}_{\text{gnd}}\}$ matrix represents the ground accelerations and the matrix is a column of displacements in generalized coordinates. The other matrices are functions of the eigenvector matrix and of the springs and dashpots in the suspension system. The $\left[\frac{2}{\sigma}\right]$ matrix is diagonal with each term equal to two times the reciprocal of the decay time constant for the mode. The $[\omega^2]$ matrix is also diagonal and contains the eigenfrequencies of the system in the form of the square of the natural frequencies of each mode. The $[r]$ matrix is the modal participation matrix which transforms the three orthogonal components of ground acceleration into twelve components in the generalized coordinate system.

When this system has been solved for $\{q\}$, multiplication by the eigenvector matrix yields the relative displacement from the ground. Ground displacement may be added to it for absolute displacement.

It is believed that the springs and dashpots do not remain constant and it was assumed for purposes of this simulation that the time varying factors would affect only the $\left[\frac{z}{\sigma}\right]$ and $[\omega^*]$ matrices which would remain diagonal but have time varying elements.

The equation for the i^{th} node is:

$$\ddot{g}_i + \frac{z}{\sigma} \dot{g}_i + \omega_i^2(t) g_i = g_i(t) \quad \text{where } g_i(t) \text{ represents the}$$

term in the matrix which is the product $-[r] \{ \ddot{x}_{ind} \}$

Letting $y(t) = g_i(t)$; $f(t) = g_i(t)$; $a(t) = \frac{z}{\sigma}(t)$ and $b(t) = \omega_i^2(t)$ we can rewrite the equation:

$$\ddot{y} + a(t) \dot{y} + b(t) y = f(t)$$

Integrating once we get:

$$\dot{y} - \dot{y}(0) + \int_0^t a(\tau) \dot{y}(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^t b(\tau) y(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau$$

assuming $f(0) = 0$ and integrating a second time we get:

$$y - y(0) - a(0)y(0)t - \dot{y}(0) + \int_0^t a(\tau) y(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^t \int_0^\tau a(\alpha) y(\alpha) d\alpha d\tau + \int_0^t \int_0^\tau b(\alpha) y(\alpha) d\alpha d\tau = \int_0^t \int_0^\tau f(\alpha) d\alpha d\tau$$

letting $v(t) = \dot{a}(t)$ we have:

$$y + \int_0^t a(\tau) y(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^t \int_0^\tau v(\alpha) y(\alpha) d\alpha d\tau + \int_0^t \int_0^\tau b(\alpha) y(\alpha) d\alpha d\tau = y(0)[1 + a(0)t] + \dot{y}(0)t + \int_0^t \int_0^\tau f(\alpha) d\alpha d\tau$$

To implement this in the discrete time case we used the sequence notation

of Cuenod and Durling²:

$$A = [a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots] = [a(0), a(h), a(2h), a(3h), \dots]$$

$$AB = [a_0 b_0, a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2, \dots]$$

$$A * B = [a_0 b_0, a_0 b_1 + a_1 b_0, a_0 b_2 + a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_0, a_0 b_3 + a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1 + a_3 b_0, \dots]$$

to obtain an approximate integral overtime using Trapezoidal rule:

$$\int_0^t a(\tau) d\tau = h A * [5, 1, 1, 1, \dots] - h a_0 * [5, .5, .5, \dots]$$

to approximate the second integral by the same method:

$$\int_0^t \int_0^\tau a(\alpha) d\alpha d\tau = h^2 A * [25, 1, 2, 3, \dots] - h^2 a_0 * [25, .75, 1.25, 1.75, \dots]$$

by applying this notation to the integrated modal equation we get:

$$Y + h A Y * [5, 1, 1, 1, \dots] - h a_0 Y * [5, .5, .5, \dots] - h^2 V Y * [25, 1, 2, 3, \dots] + h^2 v_0 Y * [25, .75, 1.25, \dots] +$$

$$+ h^2 B Y * [25, 1, 2, 3, \dots] - h^2 b_0 Y * [25, .75, 1.25, \dots] = C_m$$

where $C_n = y_0 [1 + a_0 n h] + y_0 n h + h^2 F^* [25, 1, 2, 3, \dots]$

evaluating term by term we have: $y_0 = C_0$

$$y_1 + h \left(\frac{a_0 y_0}{2} + \frac{a_1 y_1}{2} \right) - h^2 \left(\frac{v_0 y_1}{4} + \frac{v_1 y_0}{4} \right) + h^2 \left(\frac{b_0 y_1}{4} + \frac{b_1 y_0}{4} \right) = C_1$$

$$\therefore y_1 = \frac{C_1 - y_0 \left[\frac{h a_0}{2} - \frac{h^2 v_0}{4} + \frac{h^2 b_0}{4} \right]}{1 + \frac{h a_1}{2} - \frac{h^2 v_1}{4} + \frac{h^2 b_1}{4}}$$

and

$$y_n = \frac{C_n - h \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k y_k - h^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (v_k - b_k) y_k + y_0 \left[\frac{h a_0}{2} + \frac{h^2 (2 n v_1)}{4} (b_0 - v_0) \right]}{1 + \frac{h a_n}{2} + \frac{h^2 (b_n - v_n)}{4}}$$


The recursive nature of this equation enables us to perform running calculations of the twelve modes as acceleration and spring and dashpot values change.

III. Validation

The driving force and the damping coefficient ($a(t)$) for each mode was made equal to zero and an initial displacement was given to all the modes. The time increment (h) was made equal to .2 seconds for observing the first six modes and .01 seconds for the higher six modes. As shown in Figure 1A and Figure 1B the modes oscillated at the expected frequencies without decaying. Then the $a(t)$'s were made equal to the Boeing-determined constants and the oscillations were observed to be damped appropriately for each mode as shown in Figures 2A and 2B.

It was desired to run this simulation using data for which no vertical component of acceleration was available. In order to check the behavior of the system when subjected to vertical acceleration a triangular pulse of vertical acceleration was input and missile motion plotted in Figure 3. As seen in this figure the vertical acceleration affects only the vertical component of motion and so it was assumed that the rest of the motion could be simulated using only the x and y components of acceleration. Also this data had been obtained by sampling at 5 samples per second, a rate too slow to sample the highest six modes. For this reason it was decided to use only the first six modes. The modal participation of the other modes is so small as to be negligible anyway.

Tiltmeter data, scaled to represent acceleration north and west, was input to the model. This data was collected during a nuclear explosion of magnitude



6.3, 1,080 km away. The response of the model is shown in Figure 4 which shows missile motion with respect to the silo. It shows that for this event in which acceleration reached a maximum of 30 micro g's peak to peak, the pitch term reached a maximum of 23 arc seconds peak to peak and does not reach a undesirable maximum which would occur about 70 arc seconds peak to peak.

References

1. Wing V. Upgrade Silo Program Missile/Missile Suspension System Small Motion Test (IM-06) Evaluation, Boeing Co. Publication #D2-26416-1, 1975.
2. Cuenod and Durling, A Discrete-Time Approach for System Analysis, Academic Press, New York and London, 1969.




Figure 1A




Figure 1B




Figure 2A




Figure 2B






Figure 3

Figure 4



No. 400

RECORDING CHARTS GRAPHIC CONTROLS CORPORATION BUFFALO, NEW YORK




REPROD. FROM 100M

MADE IN U.S.A.

No. 400

2



No. 400

RECORDING CHARTS GRAPHIC CONTROLS CORPORATION BUFFALO, NEW YORK

MADE IN U.S.A.

3