

AD-AU47 644

RCA GOVERNMENT COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS CAMDEN NJ  
ADVANCED SIGNALING/SUPERVISION AND ROUTING STUDY. (U)  
OCT 77 P J BIRD, P P BOEHM, J J GUZY

F/8 17/2

UNCLASSIFIED

F30602-74-C-0189  
NL

RADC-TR-77-334

1 of 3  
AD  
A047644

The main body of the document is a grid of 84 small, dark rectangular panels arranged in 6 rows and 14 columns. Each panel contains faint, mostly illegible text or diagrams. Some panels in the second and third rows appear to contain flowcharts or network diagrams with nodes and connecting lines. The overall appearance is that of a technical report or study, possibly related to telecommunications or computer systems, as suggested by the title. The panels are separated by thin white lines, and the entire grid is set against a dark background.

ADVANCED SIGNALING/SUPERVISION AND ROUTING STUDY


AD A 0 4 7 6 4 4

RADC-TR-77-334  
Final Technical Report  
October 1977



ADVANCED SIGNALING/SUPERVISION AND ROUTING STUDY  
RCA, Government Communications Systems

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



AD No. \_\_\_\_\_  
DDC FILE COPY


ROME AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER  
Air Force Systems Command  
Griffiss Air Force Base, New York 13441

Some of the pages of this report are not of the highest printing quality but because of economical consideration, it was determined in the best interest of the government that they be used in this publication.

This report has been reviewed by the RADC Information Office (OI) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS it will be releasable to the general public, including foreign nations.


This report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

APPROVED:




DANIEL J. MCAULIFFE  
Project Engineer

APPROVED:



FRED I. DIAMOND  
Technical Director  
Communications and Control Division

FOR THE COMMANDER:



JOHN P. HUSS  
Acting Chief, Plans Office

If your address has changed or if you wish to be removed from the RADC mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization, please notify RADC (DCLT) Griffiss AFB NY 13441. This will assist us in maintaining a current mailing list.

Do not return this copy. Retain or destroy.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM

1. REPORT NUMBER RADC-TR-77-334	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. ADVANCED SIGNALING/SUPERVISION AND ROUTING STUDY		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final Technical Report Mar 74 - Feb 76
7. AUTHOR(S) P. J./Bird P. P./Boehm J. J./Guzy R. K./Paetzold		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER N/A
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS RCA Government Communications Systems Camden, NJ 08102 <i>New 410 488</i>		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(S) F30602-74-C-0189 <i>new</i>
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Rome Air Development Center (DCLT) Griffiss AFB NY 13441		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 62702F 45191901 <i>16 4519</i> <i>17 29</i>
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Same		12. REPORT DATE Oct 77 <i>11</i>
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 188 <i>12 203 p.</i>
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) Same		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES RADC Project Engineer: Daniel J. McAuliffe (DCLT)		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE N/A
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Communications Switching Discrete Event Simulation Network Models.		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The work which was accomplished under the ADSS (Advanced Signaling and Supervision) effort resulted in three major outputs, as well as some results based on off-line analysis.  a. A simulation model prepared in the GPSS language, was designed and tested. This model represents a multinode communications network where the nodes can be characterized to accommodate various switching services. In addition, the structure of the model allows modification of the traffic mix to reflect		

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

different distributions, as well as changes in internodal trunking facilities. This has been accomplished by organizing the program into four major modules, Traffic Generation, Network Simulator (describing connectivity), a Path Calculator, and Statistical Reporter.

The results of this model and its structure offer a flexible and useful tool for future switched network studies.

b. Three routing plans were tested with the use of the simulation. The two primary routing plans are Deterministic and Deterministic-Adaptive Routing Technique (DART); a modified version of the latter plan using a Calculated Path algorithm is also considered. Each of the above routing plans were tested in the context of a hierarchical and a non-hierarchical structure.

c. Digital signaling and supervision based on protocols developed within the study were developed and used for establishing call/message flow and control.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

## ADSS TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Page
Preface	1
1.0 Abstract	2
2.0 Introduction	5
2.1 Statement of the Problem	5
2.2 Approach	5
3.0 Definition of Operational Model	11
3.1 Network Selection and Sizing	11
3.1.1 Introduction	
3.1.2 Basic Network A	
3.1.3 Network B	
3.1.4 Network C	
3.1.5 Network D	
3.1.6 Network E	
3.2 Routing Plans	21
3.2.1 Introduction	
3.2.2 Deterministic	
3.2.2.1 Circuit Switched Hierarchical	
3.2.2.2 Circuit Switched Non-hierarchical	
3.2.2.3 PNR Hierarchical	
3.2.2.4 PNR Non-hierarchical	
3.2.3 DART	
3.2.3.1 Circuit Switched Hierarchical	
3.2.3.2 Circuit Switched Non-hierarchical	
3.2.3.3 PNR - Hierarchical	
3.2.3.4 PNR Non-hierarchical	
3.2.4 Calculated Path - Routing Algorithm	

SHOW OTHER

LIST OTHER

REMARKS

---

BY \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRIBUTION APPROVALITY ORDER

DATE \_\_\_\_\_

APPROVAL DATE BY SPECIAL

A

## ADSS TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D.)

Section	Page	
3.2.4.1	Basic Assumptions	
3.2.4.2	Calculated Path Decision Tables	
3.2.4.3	Testing the Path Calculator	
3.2.4.4	Modifying Connectivity	
3.3	State Diagram Description	41
3.3.1	Introduction	
3.3.2	Circuit Switch	
3.3.2.1	Routing Between Origin and Destination	
3.3.3	Packet Narrative Record (PNR) Protocol	
3.3.3.1	Routing from Origin to RDN	
3.3.3.2	Transfer between RON AND RDN	
3.3.3.3	Delivery from RON to RDN via Intermediate Nodes	
3.3.3.4	Delivery to Destination	
4.0	Construction of ADSS Model	57
4.1	Introduction	57
4.2	Module Description	60
4.2.1	Traffic Generator	
4.2.2	Path Calculator	
4.2.2.1	Connectivity Matrix	
4.2.2.2	Directory Matrix	
4.2.3	Network Simulator	
4.2.4	Statistics Reporter	

ADSS TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D.)

Section	Page
5.0 Results Obtained	79
5.1 Introduction	79
5.2 Input Traffic Distribution	79
5.3 Transit Time Distributions and Input Variables	
5.4 Blocking Frequency Distributions	82
5.5 Unique Time Distributions	85
5.6 Evaluation Criteria	86
5.6.1 Call-Handling Time	
5.6.2 Connect Time	
5.6.3 Signaling/Supervision Queue Times	
5.6.4 Message/Connected Statistics	
5.7 Result Discussion	90
5.7.1 Call-Handling and Connect Time	
5.7.2 Message/Connected Statistics	
6.0 Studies	105
6.1 Refinement of Routing Schemes	105
6.1.1 Introduction	
6.1.2 Descriptive Network	
6.1.3 Flow Chart Description	
6.1.4 Routing Tables and Trunk Hunting	
6.1.5 Routing Message Content	
6.1.6 Network Control	
6.2 Memory Requirements	121
6.2.1 Introduction	
6.2.2 Network Consideration	
6.2.3 Memory Size Considerations	
6.2.4 Call Processing Time Considerations	
6.2.5 Conclusions	
7.0 Discussion of Problems Encountered	155
7.1 Computer Utilization	155
7.2 Model Development	156
7.3 Model Utilization	157

ADSS TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D.)

Section	Page
8.0 Recommendations for Further Study	159
I Anomaly Statistics	I-1
II Decision Tables	II-1

## LIST OF FIGURES

<u>FIGURE NO.</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
3-1	Network A	13
3-2	Network B	14
3-3	Network C	16
3-4	Network D	17
3-5	Network E	19
3-6	Network F	20
3-7	Routing Rules - Deterministic - Circuit - Switched - Hierarchical	24
3-8	Routing Rules - Deterministic - Circuit Switched - Non-Hierarchical	25
3-9	Routing Rules - Deterministic - Message or Packet Switched - Hierarchical	27
3-10	Routing Rules - Deterministic - Message or Packet Switched - Non-Hierarchical	29
3-11	Routing Rules - Dart - Circuit Switched - Hierarchical	30
3-12	Routing Rules - Dart - Circuit Switched - Non-Hierarchical	32
3-13	Routing Rules - Dart - Message or Packet Switched - Hierarchical	33
3-14	Routing Rules - Dart - Message or Packet Switched - Non-Hierarchical	34
3-15	Traffic Destination Routine (TDR)	42
3-16(A)	Possible CS Paths	43
3-16(B)	Possible Path Request Paths	43
3-16(C)	Possible RPM Paths	44
3-17	Circuit Switched Protocol	47
3-18	Packet & Message Switch Protocol	52
3-19	Signal Flow between RON & LN	54
3-20	Liable Node to RDN	55
4-1	Structure of ADSS Model	59
4-2	Traffic Generator Message Specification Field	63
4-3	Connectivity Matrix - Networks	65
4-4	Directory Matrix - Network	67
4-5	Store-and-Forward Connection Phase of Message Delivery	69

LIST OF FIGURES (CONT'D)

<u>FIGURE NO.</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
5-1	Sample GPSS, Output Entities	80
5-2	Simplified Message Phase Diagram	86
5-3	S/S and PNR Queue Statistics	88
5-4	Study Results    Connect Time Circuit Switch	91
5-5	Study Results    Call Handling Time Circuit Switch	93
5-6	Study Results    Call Handling Time PNR	94
5-7	Study Results    Connect Time    PNR	95
5-8	Study Results    % Delivered Circuit Switch	97
5-9	Study Results    %Lost    Circuit Switch	98
5-10	Study Results    % Delivered    Data	100
5-11	Study Results    % Lost    Data	101
5-12	Study Results    % Delivered    PNR	102
5-13	Study Results    % Blocked    PNR	103
5-14	Study Results    % Lost    PNR	104
6-1	Network Subset	107
6-2	Routing Schemes	111
6-3	Routing Schemes	112
6-4	Routing Schemes	113
6-5	Routing Schemes	114
6-6	Routing Schemes	115
6-7	Routing Schemes	116
6-8	Routing Schemes	117
6-9	Program Size for a Regional Node	126
6-10	Deterministic Routing, 300 Lines	127
6-11	Deterministic Routing, 600 Lines	128
6-12	Deterministic Routing, 900 Lines	129
6-13	Deterministic Routing, 1200 Lines	130
6-14	Deterministic Routing, 1500 Lines	131
6-15	Deterministic Routing, 1800 Lines	132
6-16	Deterministic Routing, 2100 Lines	133
6-17	Deterministic Routing, 2400 Lines	134
6-18	DART, 300 Lines	135
6-19	DART, 600 Lines	136
6-20	DART, 900 Lines	137
6-21	DART, 1200 Lines	138
6-22	DART, 1500 Lines	139
6-23	DART, 1800 Lines	140
6-24	DART, 2100 Lines	141
6-25	DART, 2400 Lines	142

LIST OF FIGURES (CONT'D)


<u>FIGURE NO.</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
6-26	Total Memory Requirements Vs. Switch Capacity	143
6-27	Estimated Processing Times for Selected Call Processing Functions	145
6-28	DET (Non-Hierarchical Network)	146
6-29	DART (Non-Hierarchical Network)	148
6-30	DET (Hierarchical Network)	149
6-31	DART (Hierarchical Network)	150
6-32	Comparison of Call Processing Times for Candidate Routing Algorithms	152

LIST OF TABLES

<u>TABLE NO.</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE NO.</u>
3-1	Path Calculator Decision Table	37
4-1	Variable Network Parameters	58
4-2	Traffic Specification	61
5-1	Input Traffic Distribution	83
5-2	Anomaly Tables	84
5-3	Message/Connected Statistics - Savevalue Format	90
6-1	Message Content	119
Appendix I	Anomaly Statistics	I-2
Appendix II	Decision Tables	II-2

## EVALUATION

The effort described in this report resulted in the development of a complex discrete event simulation of a multi-node, integrated communications system. The model was used to test the differences between deterministic and adaptive routing schemes in both hierarchical and non-hierarchical networks. In both cases the deterministic scheme proved better if one can live with the non-adaptability of this type of scheme. The model contained in the report was demonstrated effective in this kind of analysis. As written, it can easily be expanded for other studies planned under TPO 3. Portions of this model were used to support the ADP Telecommunications Program and Project 2022, Automated Digital Switching Techniques.



DANIEL J. MCAULIFFE  
Project Engineer

## PREFACE

This study involved development of a communications network model, a series of algorithms and procedures for different message and call handling, design and test of a simulation program, and analysis of the results under various traffic loads. In addition, an analysis of projected processor call handling times and memory sizes was required for the various routing and signaling candidates and for the network architectures studied.

In addition to the authors, the following individuals assisted greatly in the effort: Kenneth Bodzioch, Thomas Russell, Irving Susskind, and Richard White, as well as short term assistance from other engineers and scientists at RCA.

The engineer at RADDC (who gave both technical as well as contract direction) was Daniel J. McAuliffe.

## 1.0 ABSTRACT

The work which was accomplished under the ADSS (Advanced Signaling and Supervision) effort resulted in three major outputs, as well as some results based on off-line analysis.

- a) A simulation model prepared in the GPSS language, was designed and tested. This model represents a multi-node communications network where the nodes can be characterized to accommodate various switching services. In addition, the structure of the model allows modification of the traffic mix to reflect different distributions, as well as changes in inter-nodal trunking facilities. This has been accomplished by organizing the program into four major modules, Traffic Generation, Network Simulator (describing connectivity), a Path Calculator, and Statistical Reporter.

The results of this model and its structure offer a flexible and useful tool for future switched network studies.

- b) Three routing plans were tested with the use of the simulation. The two primary routing plans are Deterministic and Deterministic-Adaptive Routing Technique (DART); a modified version of the latter plan using a Calculated Path algorithm is also considered. Each of the above routing plans were tested in the context of a hierarchical and a non-hierarchical structure.
- c) Digital signaling and supervision based on protocols developed within the study were developed and used for establishing call/message flow and control.

- d) A series of estimates were made for program and memory sizes, for various size circuit switches operating under the routing plans and signaling schemes. Quantitative sizing of each program varied for Deterministic and DART routing and whether in a hierarchical or non-hierarchical network structure. Call processing times were also investigated for the two primary routing plans under both hierarchical and non-hierarchical networks.

After an introduction in Section 2, a definition of the operational model in terms of the network selection and sizing, the routing plans and the state diagrams are discussed in Section 3. Section 3.1 describes how the hypothetical network was developed, and Section 3.2 describes from a set of rules, how each routing plan functions in the simulation to find paths through a hierarchical and non-hierarchical network. Section 3.3 describes the protocol of data transfer over a path once established.

In Section 4, a detailed description of each functional module of the simulation is presented.

Section 5 presents selected results obtained from the simulation pertaining to such parameters as number of calls completed and lost and delivery times, etc. Other pertinent results appear in Appendices I and II.

Section 6 presents two aspects of the simulated routing plans in a real world environment. Section 6.1 presents practical flow charts of the routing schemes and discusses the content of the various messages and Section 6.2 details memory requirements when the routing schemes are applied to practical switches.

In Section 7, some of the problems encountered in developing the simulation model are discussed as pointers to future users of the simulation technique for complex networks.

Finally, in Section 8 some suggestions are offered which should be considered for future study.

## 2.0 INTRODUCTION

### 2.1 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The report which follows addresses a simulation of a network which attempts to examine some routing doctrines and signaling and supervision in the context of those routing plans. Some special analysis of these routing/signaling plans, as tested by the simulation and also relating to "real world" considerations, has led to some recommendations on techniques for improving the routing and signaling/supervision.

The original concept, and the routing plans considered, derive from work published as RADG-TR-67-286, Advanced Digital Signaling and Supervision. During that program a network model concept was developed which included store-forward and circuit switched service. This was modified during this investigation to include a simplified packet concept using a subset of a store-forward algorithm.

### 2.2 APPROACH

In order to further address the ADSS effort, it is probably useful to state the objectives of a routing plan and associated signaling and supervision. A routing plan should be sufficiently robust that it demonstrates an ability to "find" available paths for a call or a message request based on preselected criteria. The criteria might be survivability, minimum connection time, cost objectives, etc. The signaling and supervision plan must exhibit at least three characteristics including: a) complete information to allow for completing the call/message attempt; b) relatively fast, and c) positive response or equivalent to guarantee accuracy of the signaling and supervising response information between an originating source and the destination, and the plan should also incorporate a robustness to accommodate error conditions.

A critical factor in the routing plan is in the method of determining the routes which might be attempted. This is independent of whether the plan is deterministic or an adaptive plan. The path selection or path calculating algorithm may be exercised a-priori and/or during the call attempt, but it must be integrated with the routing plan to support the routing plan criteria.

A modification of the model was prepared to accommodate a non-hierarchical network, while the original model which was also evaluated was based on a hierarchical structure. Briefly, the major differences include:

- |                         |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| <u>Hierarchical</u>     | - most subscribers terminate on an access or tributary node. All calls which are destined for remote points (i.e., two or more inter-node links/trunks) must request routes from a regional node, which is the entry into the backbone/high density net. |
| <u>Non-Hierarchical</u> | - all nodes have equal capability for tandem routing; thus call routing is established by each node.   |

The routing plans to be considered were Deterministic and DART. A third plan called Calculated Path was considered, but after considerable study, this was determined to be the route selection algorithm, rather than a routing plan.

As a result, Calculated Path algorithm is used to establish routes for Deterministic and DART. The signaling plan involved a technique requiring an out-of-band trunk channel, using a quasi-message digital format. The signaling plan divides into two segments: the actual signaling/routing message to attempt to establish the calling/message trunk

request, and (reverse leg) supervisory messages to denote the successful or unsuccessful allocation of the trunk.

The resulting supervisory response varies depending on whether the call is a voice call or a data (packet or narrative/record) message. Two responses exist for the voice call; route/trunk available or a "busy" (node or trunk)/outage response. Alternate paths are attempted in the latter condition. The outage (node/trunk down) condition is considered a long term condition and essentially reflects a network status message, which modifies the routing selection strategy. Data traffic is handled differently than voice calls. Where a busy/outage supervisory response is sensed by the originating node, data (packet or record message) is sent forward in the network to a responsible data node, which queues it up for future attempts at delivery.

Certain assumptions and simplifications were made to facilitate attaining useful runs. These include the assumptions made for the model, the actual conditions in the network, and the characteristics of the node and its associated processing functions.

In brief terms, the assumptions were:

1. Nodal processing times were quantified at a fixed level.
2. Internodal trunks were sized arbitrarily to develop trends from test runs. The trunk sizing was "tuned" as experience was developed on the network model and imposed traffic.

3. Network and model stability was determined on an empirical basis. This reflected a compromise between statistics which apparently showed stability after various test runs and excessively long runs or extensive model analysis.
4. Analysis of the impact of the routing and signaling plans on program size and call handling times were based on flow charts, and extrapolations, from the ICMS Program described in RADC-TR-72-27.

In order to expand on these, it is desirable to examine the considerations or environment which governed the assumptions.

The primary emphasis of the simulation was to investigate performance within the network; this meant that the nodes were to be made as "transparent" as possible. Since nodal delays in call message handling vary as the traffic load, queues, configurations of hardware and software, etc., the quantification of cross-office delay was fixed rather than develop a lengthy investigation of the probabilistic performance of a node. The model is sufficiently flexible so that a rigorous nodal delay model can be incorporated if desired.

The original sizing of the trunks created conditions where a large number of calls were blocked. Therefore, by examination of the test runs and the derived blocking performance, it became apparent that the trunk sizing was inadequate.

Recourse to standard telephone traffic analysis and careful review of the statistics gathered in these runs allowed fine tuning of the trunk sizing, so that:

- a) Apparent network stability was achieved without long CPU runs;
- b) call blocking statistics were at acceptable levels.

The question of network model performance under an imposed traffic load introduces the need to achieve a stable situation. From the pragmatic viewpoint, it was decided to run the model under well defined conditions to achieve a point where the model apparently has reached steady-state (or near) conditions. As can be seen, stability is a function which is related to many factors: (a) "real world" factors - trunk blocking, nodal call (message handling) delays, queue lengths, available routes; (b) simulation related factors - length of the run, correlation of the collected statistics, intensity and distributions of traffic introduced at various points in the run, and various model characteristics.

The analysis of the memory sizing and call handling functions was based on circuit switch call handling program flow developed under the ICMS Program. This also related timing to a specific controller. However, the routing and signaling supervision as considered in this study were introduced as additional functions. The estimates for these functions were for the Deterministic and the DART plans, for the hierarchical and non-hierarchical networks. It must be noted that the estimates of memory size do not necessarily require that all these functions be in working core; in particular, the adaptive routing path algorithms within DART could be overlaid from mass storage only when required.

Finally, the use of standardized simulation language and structure (originally Flowsim, and finally GPSS) was an important factor in allowing concentration on the model and

real world factors. However, it should be noted that there were discrepancies between the user manuals and the level of program issue on the machines used. The user should consider these factors when attempting to use the GPSS package in conjunction with the ADSS Program.

### 3.0 DEFINITION OF OPERATIONAL MODEL

#### 3.1 NETWORK SELECTION AND SIZING

##### 3.1.1 INTRODUCTION

The selection of the original network as presented in the proposal was arbitrary and selected as a point of departure.

As the program developed and trial runs of the simulation were made, adjustments in trunk capacities, node capacities, and connectivity were seen to be necessary in order to prove that the simulation was indeed functioning correctly with respect to the various functions of trunk busy, node busy, and pre-emption.

The final network was reached through a heuristic analysis of traffic since the original runs of the simulation showed the capacity to be inadequate. This analysis will be discussed later in this section.

The following sections describe how the network was developed, leading to the ultimate simulated network and the factors affecting the changes from one to the other.

The organization of the program requires a brief discussion at this point. The major program modules and their functions are:

- a) Traffic Generator (TRGEN) - Translates the input traffic statistics to a series of transactions reflecting voice and data (packet or message) to be handled by the network.
- b) Path Calculator (PCALC) - Depending upon the routing plan, a path is determined for the call on each transaction by the calculated path module, which then allows the Traffic Generator to release

the transaction to the simulated network.

- c) Network Simulator (NETSIM) - This processes and follows the actual transaction as it precedes through the network, i.e., it involves the signaling and supervision, as well as connect/disconnect, channel selection, and information movement.
- d) Statistical Reporter - This module collects and tabulates all the data representing the network performance, as represented by snapshots of the message (voice or data) status and history. Thus, delays, length in queue, message types, etc. are recorded and tabulated.

### 3.1.2 BASIC NETWORK A

The basic network is the one presented in the proposal and shown here in Figure 3-1.

No trunk capacities were assigned at this point but high density trunks designated as the super-net (back-bone) were assigned as the main route.

Packet and message switching nodes were arbitrarily assigned. The types of nodes, tributary, regional, etc. are recognizable from the key.

### 3.1.3 NETWORK B (MORE CONNECTIVITY THAN NETWORK A)

Network B, shown in Figure 3-2, assigns capacities to the trunks between nodes. These values were arbitrarily assigned, and as will be seen later, proved to be inadequate in simulation runs. The conclusion reached here shows the value of simulation of a complex network.

Since packet switched traffic was of considerable interest in the simulation, additional nodes were assigned a packet switching capability.





FIGURE 3-1 NETWORK A



PS - PACKET SWITCHES  
 MS - MESSAGE SWITCHED

FIGURE 3-2 NETWORK B

This network was the first network for which a connectivity matrix was prepared for the simulation program.

#### 3.1.4 NETWORK C (MODIFICATIONS OF MODEL)

Several alterations to Network B were made to facilitate the testing of the NETSIM program as shown in Figure 3-3, including more adequate trunk sizing.

It was determined at this point that the voice and data traffic flowing in the network represented independent areas of interest and it was, therefore, decided to create separate pseudo-channels for handling the two types. The number of data channels is the same as that shown in Network B, but the number of voice channels were assigned to reflect the longer call duration for voice calls, and it will be noticed that in most cases the number of voice channels exceeds the number of data channels.

In addition to the assignment of voice channels, separate signaling and supervision channels were assigned between nodes, one for voice calls and one for data. Finally, and in order to simplify the testing of the NETSIM program, the link between nodes 4 and 12 was eliminated.

In order to test that the network responded correctly to such functions as node busy, trunks busy and pre-empt, the link capacity between nodes 3 and 12 and between 2 and 12 were reduced to 1. This ensured that the trunk and node busy conditions would be encountered by the test message.

#### 3.1.5 NETWORK D (CHANGE IN NODE CAPACITY)

The basic difference between Network D shown in Figure 3-4 and Network C is noted in Node 9 where the nodal capacity was reduced to 1. This change was also made to facilitate testing of the NETSIM program and in particular, the




FIGURE 3-3 NETWORK C




FIGURE 3-4 NETWORK D

pre-empt feature of the simulation.

### 3.1.6 NETWORK E

Figure 3-5 shows the network as it was used in the early simulation runs. All links and node capacities were restored to their original values.

A number of runs were conducted; the statistical output of these runs appeared to indicate that the network was overloading to the applied traffic level.

### 3.1.7 NETWORK F

The number of trunks between nodes in Network F shown in Figure 3-6 reflects the heuristic changes, supported by an analysis, which was made in an attempt to more closely approximate actual traffic conditions.

For these simulation runs, the average call rates and hold times were as follows:

Data - 6 calls per second with a 10 second duration  
Voice - 3 calls per second with a 4 minute duration.

Total busy hour traffic generated is:

$$\text{Data} = \frac{3600 \times 6 \times 10}{3600} = 60 \text{ Erlangs}$$

$$\text{Voice} = \frac{3600 \times 3 \times 4}{60} = 720 \text{ Erlangs}$$

Averaging these total network traffic figures over 20 trunk groups in the network and allowing for the fact that data links can be treated as simplex and voice links full duplex, the average traffic per link is:

$$\text{Voice} \frac{720}{20} = 36 \text{ Erlangs, 20 duplex channels are required for voice.}$$




FIGURE 3-5 NETWORK E




FIGURE 3-6 NETWORK F

Data  $\frac{60}{40} = 1.5$  Erlangs, 40 (simplex) channels are required for data.

Applying Erlang "B" and a blocking probability  $P_B = .001$  the average number of trunks required is:

Data = 6 Channels  
Voice = 48 Channels

Applying these values to Network F gave the values as shown and provided the network on which the ultimate simulation runs were made.

## 3.2 ROUTING PLANS

### 3.2.1 INTRODUCTION

The hypothetical network defined in Section 3.1 was the basis for determining the mechanization of the routing schemes to be simulated. For the purpose of simulation, the network was assumed to be both hierarchical and non-hierarchical, these items defining the inter-relationships and responsibilities of the nodes. The following section describes how each of the routing schemes were defined for the purpose of the simulation. The definition consisted of: establishing the routing rules whereby a path is determined through the network, and in formulating the protocol by which information is transferred over the established path. Both of these functions are essential inputs to the simulation.

Two basic routing schemes were simulated as follows:

- a) Deterministic
- b) DART (Deterministic Adaptive Routing Technique).

Within the structure of the DART method is an algorithm which calculates a path through the network and is referred to in the simulation as PCALC.

Since the simulated network is universal, i.e. it provides for circuit switching, packet switching and message switching, the protocols involved within a given routing scheme must vary according to the type of information being handled. This variation comes about since only selected nodes have message and packet switching capability. When over-laid on a hierarchical and non-hierarchical network structure it is possible to define a total of eight combinations of routing rules which are summarized in Figures 3-7 through 3-14.

Each of these figures has a hypothetical network for descriptive purposes which is a subset of the full network previously described.

Abbreviations used throughout these figures are as follows:

- OT - Originating Tributary - a node at which a call originates.
- DT - Destination Tributary - a node at which a call terminates.
- RON - Responsible Originating Node - a node used only in packet or message switching being the nearest node to an originating data subscriber which has message switching capability.
- RDN - Responsible Destination Node - a node used only in packet or message switching being the nearest node to a terminating data subscriber which has message switching capability.
- PNR - Packet Narrative Record - a collective term for packet and message switching.

### 3.2.2 DETERMINISTIC

#### 3.2.2.1 Circuit Switched Hierarchical

The routing rules for the deterministic routing scheme for circuit switched messages in a hierarchical network are shown in Figure 3-7.

Actual routes in this method are stored in the Regional Node and are passed to the OT on request. The route passed to the OT consists of the primary and the alternate route.

Each succeeding node through which a call passes determines the availability of the specified route and failure of a route request either because of busy trunks or node block-age results in the message reverting to the OT for an alternate route.


The OT passes network status information derived from acknowledgment messages to the regional which has the responsibility.

In determining the optimum route, the regional node has the option of routing a call over the "backbone" network if it is determined that the ultimate route would require an excess of two trunks.

#### 3.2.2.2 Circuit Switched - Non-hierarchical

The routing rules for this combination are shown in Figure 3-8.


The basic difference between this method and that described above for the hierarchical network is in the disposition of the routing tables which now reside in the originating tributary. The primary and alternate routes are thus defined at the OT.



ROUTING RULES

- 1) FULL NETWORK CONNECTIVITY STORED AT REGIONALS - ONLY CURRENT ROUTES STORED IN OT.
- 2) ON SERVICE REQUEST FROM SUBSCRIBER A., OT SEEKS REGIONAL.
- 3) REGIONAL DETERMINES PRIMARY AND ALTERNATE ROUTE.
- 4) IF REGIONAL DETERMINES THAT DESTINATION DISTANCE EXCEEDS TWO LINKS, IT ROUTES CALL OVER BACKBONE NETWORK.
- 5) IF CALL IS NOT ROUTED OVER BACKBONE NETWORK - COMPLETE PRIMARY ROUTE WITH ALTERNATE RETURNED TO OT.
- 6) OT ATTEMPTS PRIMARY ROUTE AND IF THIS PATH CANNOT BE USED CALL REVERTS TO OT FOR ALTERNATE ROUTE HANDLING.
- 7) FROM (6), OT NOTES NODE AT WHICH PRIMARY PATH OBSTRUCTION IT FOUND. IF THIS OCCURS ON SUCCESSIVE CALLS, INFORMATION SENT TO REGIONAL AND LINK REMOVED FROM TABLES.
- 8) FAILURE OF ALTERNATE RECORDS OTHER FAILURES AND CALL IS ABANDONED. (DETERMINED AT OT)

FIGURE 3-7 - ROUTING RULES - DETERMINISTIC - CIRCUIT SWITCHED - HIERARCHICAL



ROUTING RULES

- 1) FULL NETWORK CONNECTIVITY STORED IN ALL NODES.
- 2) PRIMARY ROUTE AND ONE ALTERNATE SPECIFIED BY DT.
- 3) PRIMARY ROUTE ATTEMPTED AND LINKS RESERVED AT EACH SUCCESSIVE NODE.
- 4) IF CALL FAILS ON PRIMARY ROUTE, CALL REVERTS TO DT FOR ALTERNATE ROUTE HANDLING.
- 5) FROM (4) DT NOTES POINT OF FAILURE. IF THIS FAILURE OCCURS ON SUCCESSIVE CALLS DEFECTIVE LINK REMOVED FROM TABLE.
- 6) FAILURE OF ALTERNATE RECORDS OTHER LINK FAILURES AND ABANDONS CALL.

FIGURE 3-8 - ROUTING RULES - DETERMINISTIC - CIRCUIT SWITCHED - NON-HIERARCHICAL

Since the regional node is not required a backbone network no longer exists. However, the OT will still have the capability of defining the optimum path.

### 3.2.2.3 PNR - Hierarchical


The routing rules for packet or message information in a hierarchical network are shown in Figure 3-9.

The hypothetical network in this figure shows that nodes C & E are designated as having packet and message switching capability in addition to circuit switching.

Furthermore, these nodes are designated as "responsible" nodes to subscribers A and B, the originating and terminating subscribers respectively.

A responsible node, because of its capability to store messages, is used to route a message as far as possible through the network. For example, if a message from subscriber A is to be routed to subscriber B via nodes AC, E & G and if the trunk between C & E is out or node E is blocked, Node C as the responsible node will send a LOCKIN to Node A. The message will be transmitted to Node E which will now become the originating node as far as that particular message is concerned.

As with a voice call, the OT derives its routes from the connected regional and the route returned to the OT will consist of the primary and an alternate. Also the regional has power of decision on the routing of calls over the backbone network if alternate routes would require in excess of two links.



ROUTING RULES:

- 1) FULL NETWORK CONNECTIVITY STORED IN ALL NODES.
- 2) ON SERVICE REQUEST FROM SUB. A, OT SEEKS NEAREST REGIONAL.
- 3) REGIONAL DETERMINES CLOSEST RON TO OT, CLOSEST RDN TO DESTINATION AND COMPLETES PATH.
- 4) IF REGIONAL DETERMINES THAT DESTINATION DISTANCE EXCEEDS TWO LINKS, IT SPECIFIES ROUTE OVER BACKBONE NETWORK. (DEST. DISTANCE = OT + DN)
- 5) IF CALL IS NOT ROUTED OVER BACKBONE NETWORK - COMPLETE PRIMARY ROUTE WITH ALTERNATE RETURNED TO O.T.
- 6) OT ATTEMPTS PRIMARY ROUTE AND IF FAILURE IS ENCOUNTERED CALL REVERTS TO OT FOR ALTERNATE ROUTE HANDLING.
- 7) FROM (6) OT NOTES POINT OF FAILURE. IF THIS FAILURE OCCURS ON SUCCESSIVE CALLS, INFORMATION SENT TO REGIONAL AND LINK REMOVED FROM TABLES.
- 8) FAILURE OF ALTERNATE RESULTS IN FULL MESSAGE BEING SENT TO RON IF IT CAN BE REACHED. RON THEN TAKES OVER ROUTE DETERMINATION.
- 9) IF RON CANNOT BE REACHED IN SUCCESSIVE ROUTING ATTEMPTS, CALL IS ABANDONED AND SUB.A NOTIFIED.

FIGURE 3-9 - ROUTING RULES - DETERMINISTIC - MESSAGE OR PACKET SWITCHED - HIERARCHICAL

#### 3.2.2.4 PNR - Non-hierarchical

The deterministic routing of PNR traffic in a non-hierarchical network uses the rules as shown in Figure 3-10. This differs from the hierarchical network by the exclusion of designated regional nodes and the backbone network. In comparison to circuit switched non-hierarchical it differs in the provision of responsible nodes.

Route determination is made at the OT and failed calls revert to the OT for alternate routes. As with the hierarchical network, a PNR message is forwarded to a responsible node if the full route is not available.


#### 3.2.3 DART

##### 3.2.3.1 Circuit Switch - Hierarchical

Routing rules for circuit switched traffic in a hierarchical network using the DART technique are shown in Figure 3-11.

Routing tables are contained in the regional which determines the route on request from the originating tributary to which it passes the primary and alternate routes as requested.


If a route fails due to a busy link, this information is passed to the regional which removes this link from consideration only for the next trial in which it is needed. If a call fails due to link outage, the link is removed from further consideration until re-instated by external means (network control). With DART, a tertiary route is determined if required by use of the calculated path technique.



ROUTING RULES:

- 1) FULL NETWORK CONNECTIVITY STORED IN ALL NODES.
- 2) ON SERVICE REQUEST FROM SUB A, OT DETERMINES RON, RDN AND PRIMARY AND ALTERNATE ROUTE BETWEEN SUBSCRIBERS.
- 3) IF PRIMARY ROUTE FAILS, CALL RETURNS TO OT WITH INFORMATION ON POINT OF FAILURE. FAULTY LINK IS REMOVED FROM PATHS.
- 4) IF SECONDARY ROUTE FAILS BUT RON HAS BEEN REACHED, COMPLETE MESSAGE IS SENT TO RON WHICH TAKES OVER ROUTE DETERMINATION.
- 5) IF RON CANNOT BE REACHED ON SUCCESSIVE ROUTING ATTEMPTS CALL IS ABANDONED AND SUB IS NOTIFIED.

FIGURE 3-10 - ROUTING RULES - DETERMINISTIC - MESSAGE OR PACKET SWITCHED - NON-HIERARCHICAL.



ROUTING RULES:

- 1) FULL NETWORK CONNECTIVITY STORED AT REGIONALS.
- 2) ON SERVICE REQUEST FROM SUB A, OT SEEKS REGIONAL.
- 3) REGIONAL DETERMINES PRIMARY.
- 4) IF REGIONAL DETERMINES THAT DESTINATION DISTANCE (OT TO DT) EXCEEDS TWO LINKS IT ROUTES CALL OVER BACKBONE NETWORK.
- 5) WHETHER OR NOT, THE CALL IS ROUTED OVER BACKBONE NETWORK - COMPLETE PRIMARY ROUTE RETURNED TO OT.
- 6) OT ATTEMPTS PRIMARY ROUTE AND IF FAILURE IS ENCOUNTERED CALL REVERTS TO OT. OT REQUESTS ALTERNATE FROM REGIONAL (INDICATING LINKS) TO BE REMOVED.
- 7) FROM (6) REGIONAL NOTES POINT OF FAILURE. IF THIS FAILURE OCCURS ON SUCCESSIVE CALLS, LINK REMOVED FROM TABLES.
- 8) FAILURE OF ALTERNATES RECORDS OTHER FAILURES AND CALL IS ABANDONED.

FIGURE 3-11 - ROUTING RULES - DART - CIRCUIT SWITCHED - HIERARCHICAL.

#### 3.2.3.2 Circuit Switched - Non-hierarchical

Routing rules for circuit switched non-hierarchical using the DART technique are shown in Figure 3-12. These rules are similar to those for the hierarchical network except that responsibility for determining primary and alternate routes and for calculating the tertiary route is vested in the OT which maintains and updates routing tables otherwise performed by the regional node.

#### 3.2.3.3 PNR - Hierarchical

The routing rules for this technique are as shown in Figure 3-13.


As with deterministic routing certain nodes have circuit and message switching capability and are designated as responsible nodes.

Routes are determined from the regionals by the OT and failures are passed to the regional for table update.

Any given call tries a primary and alternate and then tertiary which is determined by the PCALC algorithm in the regional.

#### 3.2.3.4 PNR - Non-hierarchical


The PNR non-hierarchical routing scheme, rules of which are shown in Figure 3-14, is identical to the DART PNR non-hierarchical scheme except that the routing decisions are made in the tributary node.



ROUTING RULES:

- 1) FULL NETWORK CONNECTIVITY STORED IN ALL NODES.
- 2) PRIMARY ROUTE AND TWO ALTERNATES SPECIFIED BY OT.
- 3) PRIMARY ROUTE ATTEMPTED AND LINKS RESERVED AT EACH SUCCESSIVE NODE.
- 4) IF CALL FAILS ON PRIMARY ROUTE, CALL REVERTS TO OT FOR ALTERNATE ROUTE HANDLING.
- 5) FROM (4) OT NOTES POINT OF FAILURE. IF THIS FAILURE OCCURS ON SUCCESSIVE CALLS DEFECTIVE LINK REMOVED FROM TABLE.
- 6) FAILURE OF ALTERNATES RECORDS OTHER LINK FAILURES AND ABANDONS CALL.


FIGURE 3-12 - ROUTING RULES - DART - CIRCUIT SWITCHED - NON-HIERARCHICAL.



ROUTING RULES:

- 1) FULL NETWORK CONNECTIVITY STORED IN ALL NODES.
- 2) ON SERVICE REQUEST FROM SUB A, OT SEEKS NEAREST REGIONAL.
- 3) REGIONAL DETERMINES RON'S AVAILABLE TO OT AND RDN'S AVAILABLE TO DT AND SELECTS COMPLETE PATH, PRIMARY.
- 4) IF REGIONAL DETERMINES THAT DESTINATION DISTANCE EXCEEDS TWO LINES, CALL MUST BE ROUTED OVER BACKBONE.
- 5) WHETHER OR NOT CALL IS ROUTED OVER BACKBONE, COMPLETE PATH RETURNED TO OT.
- 6) OT ATTEMPTS PRIMARY ROUTE AND IF FAILURE IS ENCOUNTERED, CALL REVERTS TO OT FOR ALTERNATE ROUTE HANDLING.
- 7) FROM (6) OT NOTES POINT OF FAILURE. IF THIS FAILURE OCCURS ON SUCCESSIVE CALLS INFORMATION SENT TO REGIONAL AND LINK REMOVED FROM TABLES.
- 8) FAILURE OF ALTERNATE RESULTS IN FULL MESSAGE BEING SENT TO SELECTED OR ALTERNATE RON, IF THEY CAN BE REACHED. RON THEN TAKES OVER ROUTE DETERMINATION.
- 9) IF NO RON CAN BE REACHED IN SUCCESSIVE ROUTING ATTEMPTS, CALL IS ABANDONED AND SUB IS NOTIFIED.

FIGURE 3-13 - ROUTING RULES - DART - MESSAGE OR PACKET SWITCHED - HIERARCHICAL



ROUTING RULES:

- 1) FULL NETWORK CONNECTIVITY STORED IN ALL NODES.
- 2) ON SERVICE REQUEST FROM SUB A, OT DETERMINES RON'S AVAILABLE TO OT AND RDN'S TO DT AND SELECTS COMPLETE PATH (PRIMARY AND TWO ALTERNATES).
- 3) OT ATTEMPTS PRIMARY ROUTE and IF FAILURE IS ENCOUNTERED, CALL REVERTS TO OT FOR ALTERNATE ROUTE HANDLING.
- 4) FROM (3) OT NOTES POINT OF FAILURE. IF THIS FAILURE OCCURS ON SUCCESSIVE ROUTING ATTEMPTS, LINK IS REMOVED FROM TABLES.
- 5) FAILURE OF ALTERNATE RESULTS IN FULL MESSAGE BEING SENT TO SELECTED OR ALTERNATE RON IF THEY CAN BE REACHED. RON THEN TAKES OVER ROUTE DETERMINATION.
- 6) IF NO RON CAN BE REACHED ON SUCCESSIVE ROUTING ATTEMPTS, CALL IS ABANDONED AND SUB IS NOTIFIED.

FIGURE 3-14 ROUTING RULES - DART - MESSAGE OR PACKET SWITCHED - NON-HIERARCHICAL

### 3.2.4 CALCULATED PATH-ROUTING ALGORITHM

The following describes the routing algorithm used for calculating a path through the network. The algorithm is resident at Regional nodes only in the hierarchical network and in all nodes in the non-hierarchical network.

The principles of the algorithm are described in this section and detailed flow charts of the program are given in Appendix E (Program Documentation).

#### 3.2.4.1 Basic Assumptions

In order to define the calculated path algorithm, certain basic ground rules were established below:

1. A path is obtained using the routing algorithm from the originating node (ON) to the destination tributary (DT).
  - o Traffic originating and terminating in the same node is not handled in the routing algorithm.
  - o Traffic to adjacent nodes one link away is handled by the algorithm.
2. If a path is available, meeting certain minimum requirements (described later), this path is found.
3. If no path is available, the message is returned with this information in P75 (Path Connection).
4. Path-Request Paths are generated:
  - o ON-Responsible Regional (RR) for normal traffic.
  - o ON-RR-Gateway (GW) for traffic to mobile subscriber.

5. "Disconnected Nets", networks severed into two or more distinct subnetworks, are permitted.
6. Path type is determined by message type: CS, MS, PS. (Circuit Switch, Message Switch, Packet Switch)
7. One or some combination of the following path types are generated:
  - o Direct (least links).
  - o Supernet (high-density trunks).
  - o RPM (Responsible Packet-Message Switch Nodes) for MS or PS traffic.
8. Any node which is a PM (Packet-Message Switch) node is assigned as its own RPM.
9. Traffic may not terminate in a Regional node.

#### 3.2.4.2 Calculated Path Decision Table

Table 3-1 presents a decision table for message handling in the calculated path algorithm. This table is read in a vertical direction and the number(s) at the foot of each column indicates the next column to be read. Double numbers at the foot of each column indicate message to be sent to both columns.

The key to the lettering of the columns is as follows:

<u>Column</u>	<u>Mnemonic</u>	<u>Meaning</u>
1	MT	Message Type
2	RG	Regionals
3	CSP	Circuit Switched Path
4	RPMP	RPM Path
5	MBS	Mobile Subscriber



Key to lettering of columns (continued):

<u>Column</u>	<u>Mnemonic</u>	<u>Meaning</u>
6	PRP	Path Request Path
7	RET	Return
8	DP	Direct Path

Figure 3-16 presents the flow through the table of all possible path combinations. The lettering above each of these paths indicates the columns in Table 3-1.

The specific path to be computed for a given message is constrained by message type, nodal distance and node types.

The specific constraints are as follows:

A. CIRCUIT SWITCHED (CS) TRAFFIC:

1. ON must be originating tributary (OT).
2. If minimum nodal distance (OT-DT)  $\leq$  2 links, direct path is generated from OT to DT.
3. If minimum nodal distance (OT-DT)  $>$  2 links, then an attempt is made to find a supernet path (high density trunks between Regional nodes):
  - o Randomly select a Regional node as close as possible to the OT (Originating Regional: OR).
  - o Randomly select a Regional node as close as possible to the DT (Destination Regional: DR).
  - o Find direct path (OT-DT) if OR or DR not available (to meet Assumption 2).
  - o Direct Path: DT-DR.
  - o Supernet: DR-OR.

- o Find direct path (OT-DT) if no path between DR and OR is available (to meet Assumption 2).
- o Direct Path: OR-DT.

B. MESSAGE OR PACKET SWITCHED (MS-PS) TRAFFIC:

1. ON may be OT or a Liable Packet-Message Switched node (tributary or Regional).
2. The Originating Responsible PM node (ORPM) is found for the ON and the Destination Responsible PM node (DRPM) is found for the DT.
3. No path is found if the ORPM or the DRPM cannot be found, or if they cannot be incorporated in the path (because of lack of connectivity).
4. Direct Path: DT-DRPM.
5. If minimum nodal distance (ORPM-DRPM)  $\leq 2$  links, direct path is generated from DRPM to ORPM.
6. If minimum nodal distance (ORPM-DRPM)  $> 2$  links, an attempt is made to find a Supernet path:
  - o OR and DR picked as in CS traffic except with reference to ORPM and DRPM, respectively.
  - o If the ORPM is also a Regional node, it is always made the OR.
  - o If the DRPM is also a Regional node, it is always made the DR.
  - o Find direct path from DRPM to ORPM if OR and/or DR not available (to meet Assumption 2).
  - o Direct Path: DR to OR.

- o Supernet Path: DR to OR.
- o Find direct path from DRPM to ORPM if path from DR to OR unavailable (to meet Assumption 2).
- o Direct Path: OR to ORPM.
- o Direct Path: ORPM to ON.

#### 3.2.4.3 Testing the Path Calculator

The Path Calculator is a subroutine incorporated into the Traffic Generator, and requires four subroutines already available in the Traffic Generator: OBTNN, RNDRG, GNODE, GPATH. The Path Calculator, however, is not tested within the normal Test sequence of the Traffic Generator. Instead, a separate test deck is developed and maintained (concurrently with Traffic Generator revisions). This deck provides an iterative calling routine to generate a set of messages which forces the Path Calculator to calculate paths exercising all the columns in the Decision Table. The path formats necessary to do this are shown in Figure 3-16 a) through c). The paths to be followed for testing are:

- Figure (a): 1,3
- Figure (b): 1,4,6
- Figure (c): 1,17,21,23
- Figure (c): 26,27,29

Network input and CIDI matrices are also provided in this deck. Network A (used in Acceptance Tests) can be the basis for obtaining path formats 1-3, but a modified version of this net is necessary to provide the connectivity

required to produce format 4.

#### 3.2.4.4 Modifying Connectivity

PCALC finds a path based on the current connectivity provided by the CIDI matrices; therefore, a routine to modify CIDI and call PCALC is needed. The Network Simulator will call this routine, providing the link(s) which are to be removed from the connectivity. This routine is called 'TDR' and a functional block diagram is shown in Figure 3-15.

### 3.3 STATE DIAGRAM DESCRIPTION

#### 3.3.1 INTRODUCTION

The following presents a description of the protocol used in the simulation to define the passage of transactions through the simulation model. The state diagrams are shown in Figure 3-17 which includes protocols for the delivery of voice messages and packet messages with store and forward operation. Detailed decision tables are given in Appendix II.

#### 3.3.2 CIRCUIT SWITCH (CS)

##### 3.3.2.1 Routing Between Origin and Destination

Circuit switch protocol is shown in Figure 3-17 in which the originating node is shown on the left and the destination on the right. It should be noted that intermediate nodes may be located between the point of origin and the destination and that a connection request can encounter a blocked node or a busy trunk condition at any of these intermediate points. This situation will become apparent from the description.




FIGURE 3-15 TRAFFIC DESTINATION ROUTINE (TDR)





FIGURE 3-16 (a) - POSSIBLE CS PATHS


\*NOTE = NUMBERS ABOVE PATHS REFER TO PATH BY COLUMNS THROUGH THE DECISION TABLE 3-1.




BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FIGURE 3-16 (b) - POSSIBLE PATH REQUEST PATHS

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY




# BEST AVAILABLE COPY



1 = DT	4 = ON
2 = DR	5 = DRPM
3 = OR	6 = ORPM


FIGURE 3-16 (c) (CONT'D) POSSIBLE RPM PATHS

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY



1	=	DT	4	=	ON
2	=	DR	5	=	DRPM
3	=	OR	6	=	ORPM

FIGURE 3-16 (c) (CONT'D) POSSIBLE RPM PATHS



BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FIGURE 3-17 - CIRCUIT SWITCHED PROTOCOL

A call is originated at point A through a connection request (CR) to the destination node via any intermediate nodes. If the CR encounters a condition at an intermediate node which prevents the transaction from further progress, a Node Blocked (NB) or Trunks Busy (TB) signal is sent to the originating node. These signals are seen at points E and F on the diagram. Either of these signals causes the originating node to select an alternate path to the destination and to send a further CR (point I on the diagram). If this CR also encounters a TB or NB, the transaction is terminated.

If the first CR was successfully received at the destination (point R) or if the second CR was received at point U, a security check between calling and called subscribers is conducted. If a security mismatch is encountered, the transaction is handed to the nearest compatible subscriber. This function is seen in the simulation as a delay indicated in the diagram by the loop designated "Security Mismatch". When a successful security check has been conducted, a "LOCKIN" (L) is sent to the originating node from point T on the first request or point W on the second request.

It should be noted that the simulation is arranged such that although a "path" is reserved during the CR, the facilities are not taken into service until LOCKIN. This can result in the received facility being busied or pre-empted before the "call" can be completed. These conditions result in a Trunks Busy (TB) or Pre-empt (PE) appearing at points G & F on the first CR and will result in a new CR from point I. If the busy or pre-empt condition is encountered on the second CR, the TB or PE signals will appear at points M & N respectively and the call will

be terminated.

A successful LOCKIN received at points B or C will result in a completed call and information will flow as shown from point D to X.

If, during the connect time a call is pre-empted, the PE signal (Point O) will cause a termination of the call.

Normal release will result in a "DISCONNECT" (D) signal appearing at Point P. If the disconnect signal encounters a pre-empt before being operative, a Pre-empt (PE) signal appears at point Q and the call is terminated. This latter (pre-empt) condition would not occur in practice but was included in the simulation as a point for gathering statistics.

### 3.3.3 PACKET/NARRATIVE RECORD (PNR) PROTOCOL

#### 3.3.3.1 Routing from Origination to RDN

Figure 3-18 shows the signal flow for packet and message switching from an originating tributary node via a responsible originating node (RON), a responsible destination node (RDN) to a destination node. As with the circuit switch protocol, it is possible that intermediate nodes will be encountered between the RON and the RDN. An elaboration of the signal flow between these intermediate points is shown between the RON and a liable node (LN) in Figure 3-19 and between the liable node and the RDN in Figure 3-20.

Referring first to Figure 3-17 a connection request (CR) from the originating tributary node (Point AA) will seek a path to the RON. In order to arrive at the RON, intermediate nodes could be encountered. If either an

intermediate node encounters a blocked node condition or if an intermediate node encounters a blocked trunk condition, a NB or TB signal (points BA & BB respectively) will result in a second connection request (point BE) being transmitted over an alternate path. If this second CR encounters an TB or NB condition (points BF & BG) the call is terminated (point BN). If either of the CR's is successful in finding a path, a LOCKIN signal from the RON (Point CC) is received by the originating node at Point AB or AC.

Paths through intermediate nodes are reserved during the CR period but are not actually taken into service until LOCKIN is returned. If a reserved trunk is taken into service or pre-empted by another call before LOCKIN occurs, a Trunk Busy (TB) or pre-empt (PE) signal is received at the point of origination (Points BC and BD). If this occurs after the first CR a second attempt is made (Point BE). If it occurs on the second attempt (Points BI & BT) the calls are terminated.

A successful LOCKIN (Points AB or AC) results in the complete message being sent to the Responsible Originating Node (Point AD). If the facilities are pre-empted during transmission, a Pre-empt (PE) signal is received (Point BK) and transmission is discontinued.

On completion of transmission, a disconnect (D) signal is received by the originating node (Point BL).

If the disconnect signal encounters a pre-empt before being operative, a Pre-empt (PE) signal appears at the originating node (Point BM) and the call is terminated. This condition would not occur in practice but was included in the simulation as a statistical gathering point.

The complete message is now resident in the RON which is responsible for finding a path through the network to the destination node, packetizing the message if required, and re-transmitting the information.

#### 3.3.3.2 Transfer Between RON and RDN

In Figure 3-18 the signal flow is shown when the responsible originating node is directly connected to the responsible destination node (RDN).

Finding a path to the RDN is the same as the method described between the originating node, except that after two unsuccessful CP's the information is placed in a delayed queue for a later attempt. Up to 20 attempts at obtaining a path are made for any given message and if after 20 attempts the call is still unsuccessful the message is considered as "lost."

The information is transferred in the following manner. If the information is in packet form each successful package receives a packet acknowledge (PACK) (Point FK) which results in the transfer of the next packet. If the information is the last packet in the message or a complete message in itself, the successful transmission results in a message acknowledge (MACK) (Point FL) which also causes release of the trunks. The "RON/RDN Signaling Terminated" designation is not normally used in practice but is included as a data gathering point in the simulation.

If a message is pre-empted at any point in the transmission shown by the pre-empt (PE) signal in the diagram (Points EJ, EK, EL, EM) the message is stored for later attempts in the delay queue and up to 20 attempts at

BEST AVAILABLE COPY




FIGURE 3-18 - PACKET & MESSAGE SWITCH PROTOCOL

retransmission are made, after which the message is considered "lost".

Messages which fail parity and other checks at the RDN receive negative acknowledge (NACK) signals. Three attempts at retransmission are made by the RON, the first two unsuccessful attempts being responded to by NACK 1 and NACK 2 (Point DF). If the third attempt fails NACK 3 (Point EN) is received which releases the path and places the entire message in the 20 attempt queue for later attempts.

When the entire message is resident in the RDN, the RDN attempts to deliver the entire message to the destination used.

### 3.3.3.3 Delivery from RON to RDN Via Intermediate Nodes

Certain nodes between the RON and the RDN are designated "Liable Nodes." Although these nodes have the same storage capability as the RON and RDN, this capability is not used unless the "Liable Node" fails to find a path forward. This situation is illustrated in Figure 3-19.

A CR from the RON is repeated by the liable node to the next node in an attempt to find a path. If this attempt is unsuccessful, a TB or NB signal is received by the liable node. The liable node on seeing this signal generates a LOCKIN to the RON and the information is transferred from RON to liable node in identical manner as described above for transfer between RON and RDN.

The path determination and message transfer between liable node and RDN also follows the same procedure as between RON and RDN.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY




FIGURE 3-19 - SIGNAL FLOW BETWEEN RON & LN

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY




FIGURE 3-20 - LIABLE NODE TO RDN

#### 3.3.3.4 Delivery To Destination

Referring again to Figure 3-18, the right hand portion shows the sequence of events for delivery of a message to the destination. It should be noted that when a route to the destination is established, the whole message is transferred to the subscriber.

A connection request CR (Point GA) generated by the RDN will receive either a NB or TB response, a subscriber busy or a LOCKIN (Points IA, IC and IB respectively). If NB, TB or subscriber busy are received, a second CR is generated (Point HF). If any of the same conditions prevail as for the first CR, the message is placed in a queue for later attempts.

A successful CR results in a security check being made with the receiving subscriber terminal. If this security check fails, the message is passed to the nearest compatible subscriber and a LOCKIN is received from either the first or second attempt.

Completion of transmission is signified by a DISCONNECT (Point II) from the destination.

## 4.0 CONSTRUCTION OF THE ADSS MODEL

### 4.1 INTRODUCTION

The ADSS model constructed for the simulation is designed with the following objectives:

1. To provide a basis to evaluate routing techniques and network types,
2. To service all message and call types using common facilities.

With this in mind, the model can readily be changed to produce empirically derived data using various network features. The variable network attributes are listed in Table 4-1.

In order to provide flexibility in the construction of the model, the program is segmented into four major modules which are the Traffic Generator, the Path Calculator, the Network Simulator and the Statistics Reporter. The interrelation of the modules is shown in Figure 4-1. The detailed submodules are depicted in the flow charts (see Appendix C, program description in program documentation).

The Traffic Generator is that unit which creates all traffic from inputs which reflect the user specifications pertaining to incident traffic; the output is the complete traffic set ready to enter the network. The path is determined for each message in the Path Calculator unit; where based on the routing technique an appropriate path is determined and the signaling message returned to the Traffic Generator. The message next enters the Network Simulator where message delivery is attempted. Upon termination of a run, the message proceeds to the Statistics Reporter which records anomalies occurring during the simulation and message transmit times in a form specified by the user.

TABLE 4-1

VARIABLE NETWORK PARAMETERS

1. Input Connectivity (for all nodes)
2. Link Capacities
3. Link Plex Type (Plex = simplex, duplex, or half duplex capability)
4. Node types (RN, RDN, ON, etc.)
5. Node Capacities
6. Node Function (type of switch - C/S, M/S, P/S, etc.)
7. Traffic Interarrival Time
8. Traffic Types
9. Priority Distributions
10. Security Distributions
11. Message Lengths
12. Routing Techniques
13. Network Types




FIGURE 4-1 - STRUCTURE OF ADSS MODEL

## 4.2. MODULE DESCRIPTION

### 4.2.1 TRAFFIC GENERATOR

The function of this module is to create the incident traffic conforming to the user specifications. Table 4-2 represents a convenient specification form utilized whenever traffic is modified.

From Table 4-2, it should be noted that the numerical values in the parentheses represent the values established for the contract. Excluded from the chart is the type of distribution, such as Normal, Negative Exponential; presently a negative exponential distribution is used. This can be changed by the user as desired.

Fundamentally six traffic criteria must be defined: priority, destination distribution, message type distribution, message timing parameters, security distribution and the mobile subscribers.

Five priority classes are provided, with unique distributions for packet and non-packet data messages. The desired distributions should be recorded in the accompanying spaces. Continuing this procedure the remaining categories on the chart should be completed. Further instructions for the Traffic Generator modification can be found in the SNUG manual.<sup>(1)</sup>

Subsequent to generation, in the Traffic Generator portion of the model, the messages are then marked with specific data indicating message length, message type, originating and destination tributaries, priority, security, identification number and various parameters necessary to meet message formats. This information is stored in particular parameters locations within a transaction, as illustrated in Figure 4-2.

---

<sup>(1)</sup>Section G, CDRL-A004.

TABLE 4-2  
TRAFFIC SPECIFICATION

A. Priorities

	<u>Packets</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Non-Packets</u>	<u>%</u>
(High)	60	____(5)*	60	____(1)*
	50	____(0)	50	____(3)
	40	____(0)	40	____(15)
	30	____(0)	30	____(31)
(Low)	20	____(95)	20	____(50)
		-----		-----
Total		100	Total	100

B. Destinations

	<u>%</u>
Local	____(26)
Adjacent Node	____(16)
Intra-Net	____(37)
Inter-Net	____(11)
Mobile Nets	____(10)
	-----
Total	100


C. Message Types

	<u>%</u>
Record - Single - Address Circuit-Switched (RSACS)	____(27)
- Multiple - Address Message-Switched (RMAMS)	____(13)
- Single - Address Message-Switched (RSAMS)	____(13)
Voice - Two-Party Calls (VTPC)	____(32)
- Conference Calls (VCC)	____(1)
Packet Data - Multiple Packets (PDMP)	____(13)
- Single Packets (PDSP)	____(1)
	-----
Total	100

---

\* Parentheses reflect user specified quantity.





PARAMETER LOCATIONS

PARAMETER

- 1 Message length in Time Units
- 2 Message Type
- 3 Mobile Subscriber Flag
- 4 Message Identification Number
- 5 Responsible Regional Node
- 6 Origination Node
- 7 Beginning Node
- 8 Path Pointer
- 9 Destination Node
- 19 Starting in P9 (through P19) the path is stored (derived from Path Calculator)
- 63 Path Request Number
- 64 Multiple Message Identification
- 65 Originating Tributary Parameter Position in Path
- 70 RDN
- 71 RDN
- 115 Parameter Position of RON (Indirect Address)
- 116 Parameter Position of RDN (Indirect Address)
- 123 Time Message was Printed (Simulation Transaction generated)
- 130-255 NETSIM Path Storage

\* Work Space

TRAFFIC GENERATOR MESSAGE SPECIFICATION FIELD

FIGURE 4-2

Prior to a transaction existing from the Traffic Generator, the Path Calculator furnishes the path (parameters P9-P19), after which a complete call/message exists.

Due to the model modularity the generated traffic can be imposed upon the Network Simulator or saved on a magnetic tape. Storing the traffic on tape enables meaningful comparisons in subsequent simulation runs, since input variation is eliminated. Another advantage of utilizing tapes is the subsequent elimination of CPU time in creation of traffic in future simulation runs.

#### 4.2.2 PATH CALCULATOR

The Path Calculator is the unit required to determine paths for the Traffic Generator and the Network Simulator.

Since four routing techniques are available (two routing plans, each within a different network structure), four different Path Calculators are required. The information needed for each Path Calculator is structured in two matrix formats, the Directory matrix and the Connectivity matrix. The calculated path algorithm is used in conjunction with these each matrices to determine the route candidates. This algorithm is different for the hierarchical and the non-hierarchical models.

##### 4.2.2.1 Connectivity Matrix

The Connectivity Matrix shown in Figure 4-3, is a two dimensional array where the number of rows and columns in the array is equal to the number of nodes in the network. The first element numbers in a row corresponds to the node numbers. Within a row in the Connectivity Matrix, the connection is ordered in a specific way. First, all tributary nodes that are adjacent to this node (nodal distance

CONNECTIVITY MATRIX

Node #	620	621	622	623	624	625	626	627	628	629	630	631	632	633	634	635	636
1	*	*	12	2	3	13	14	15	6	17	15	4	8	9	11	10	99
2	*	*	5	6	12	1	3	9	13	14	17	15	4	8	11	10	99
17	892	893	894	895	896	897	898	899	900	901	902	903	904	905	906	907	908
	*	*	3	4	8	12	1	2	13	14	15	5	6	9	11	10	99

\* Each row is right-justified, thus giving blanks in some rows.

CONNECTIVITY MATRIX - NETWORK 1

FIGURE 4-3

equals one) are placed in the row, followed by all the Regionals adjacent to this node. Next, all tributary nodes at a nodal distance of two, followed by all Regionals at a nodal distance of two are inserted in the matrix. Following these nodes, all tributaries and Regionals at a nodal distance of three are found, etc. This process is continued until all nodes are contained in this matrix. To indicate the end of a row, a '99' is contained in the matrix. For example, Node 1 is connected to Node 12 at a distance of one (no intervening nodes); Node 1 is connected to Nodes 2, 3, 13 and 14 at a distance of two (via Node 12).

#### 4.2.2.2 Directory Matrix

The Directory Matrix shown in Figure 4-4, is utilized to obtain locations in the connectivity matrix. The directory stores the location of the first Regional at a given nodal distance, and last node at a given nodal distance. Additionally, the directory matrix stores information pertinent to the node type, responsibility and maximum nodal distance.

The unique features of the hierarchical deterministic path algorithm are:

1. The selection of responsible message switch and packet switch nodes.
2. Hierarchical routing criteria.

Since responsible regional nodes are assigned by the user, optimal paths are not necessarily generated. This results in the utilization of the "backbone" network, the criteria of which is a path exceeding two links.

The hierarchical routing criteria for the Deterministic and Adaptive Routing Technique (DART) is similar to that above. But the responsible nodes are selected by an algorithm

XH (1) LOCATION OF LAST NODE WITH NODAL DISTANCE:								XH LOCATION OF FIRST REGIONAL WITH NODAL DIST.:						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
382	383	384	385	386	387	388		389	390	391	392	393	394	395
622	626	630	634	635				622	625	630	634			
396	397	398	399	400	401	402		403	404	405	406	407	408	409
641	646	648	651	652				641	645	648	651			
606	607	608	609	610	611	612		613	614	615	616	617	618	619
895	897	902	906	907				-	897	900	906			

Context of Halfword Savevalue

Note (1) = Halfword Savevalue

Halfword Savevalue Location

DIRECTORY MATRIX - NETWORK

FIGURE 4-4

searching for the closest nodes possessing the required message function. A list of possible originating nodes is compared to the possible destination nodes in the selection of the responsible nodes. Finally, regional nodes are selected, if required, and the selected path is calculated.


For the non-hierarchical routing techniques, regional nodes are eliminated, and an optimal path is calculated. The selection of responsible nodes is similar to the procedures described above.

#### 4.2.3 NETWORK SIMULATOR


The Network Simulator (NETSIM) is the module responsible for traffic movement. Traffic enters the Network Simulator from the Traffic Generator module with its path and other information about itself. As the message moves through the network, it 'acts' as different types of messages; Control, Signaling and Supervision, and Information.

Delivering a message from an Originating Tributary to a Destination Tributary is accomplished by using four phases of message delivery; channel selection, lock-in, information transmission, disconnect. The same path is used in each phase. (See Figure 4-5.)

The Connection Request Signaling and Supervision message in Channel Selection reserves the channels that this particular message attempts to use. The signaling determines if the path and nodes are usable as the Signaling and Supervision message is transmitted from location to location. The Connection Request enters each node, the traffic level at that node is incremented. As the Connection Request attempts to leave a node over a signalling and supervision channel, it reserves an Information Channel to the next node on its path.



CIRCUIT SWITCH CONNECTION



STORE AND FORWARD CONNECTION

PHASE OF MESSAGE DELIVERY

FIGURE 4-5

Once Connection Request reaches the Destination Node, a Lock-in signal is transmitted back to the Originator Node. The Lock-in Phase acquires the channels that were reserved by Connection Request.

With the Origination and Destination connected, Information Transmission is possible. If the message is to be stored at the Destination Node, it will be put in mass store there.

After all information is transmitted, the Destination Node will initiate a Disconnect Signaling and Supervision message. This message is transmitted to the Originating Node over the same path that all Four Phases share. As the Disconnect message passes through a node in the path, it returns the related channel the Information Message used and decrements the traffic level. This is repeated until all channels that were used by this Information Message are returned to available status. This will complete delivery of the message and clear channels for another message.

A normal Network is defined by a non-over-loaded, not-busy, smooth running network. If an abnormality is detected in any of the Four Phases, Anomaly Signaling and Supervision messages are initiated. These Anomaly Messages are initiated by conditions of an overloaded network (Deterministic); or by defined probability densities (Probabilistic).

Deterministic anomalies include Node Busy, Trunks Busy, and Priority Bump. These all occur based on traffic levels in the network.

A Node Busy condition is sensed when the traffic level at a node is incremented beyond the defined Node Capacity. This Signaling and Supervision message can only occur in the Channel Selection Phase and is transmitted back to the

Origination Node. As the anomaly message moves through the network, reserved channels are freed and traffic levels are decremented.

Trunks Busy occur in the Channel Selection Phase when all information channels in the path are reserved and none of them are pre-emptable, i.e., used by a lower priority message than the one attempting connection. This anomaly message cancels reserved channels back to the Originating Node as it passes through the network.

Pre-emption can occur as the Lock-in message (which denotes the path is reserved for a call) acquires Information Channels that were reserved. By utilizing this reservation technique, a message cannot pre-empt a lower priority message until the higher priority message has reserved all needed channels from the Origination Node to the Destination Node.

Pre-emption can only occur in the Lock-In Phase, and the pre-empted message will initiate a Priority Bump Signaling and Supervision message. This anomaly message will return all channels that the pre-empted message used.

Probabilistic Anomalies include Subscriber Busy, Security Mismatch, and Nacks (Negative Message Acknowledgment). These are based on arbitrarily-specified random probability densities.

Subscriber Busy and Security Mismatch may happen in the Channel Selection Phase when Connection Request reaches the Destination Tributary. The probability of obtaining a Subscriber Busy is twice the Origination Traffic Density, which is presently .25 erlangs ( $P(\text{Subscriber Busy}) = 2 \times 0.25 = 0.5$ ). The probability of a Security Mismatch is based on the classification of each message; the higher classification the higher probability of an anomaly.

The NACK anomalies (NACK 1 thru 3) are based on a mathematical 'proneness' model. Given Nack 1, the probability of Nack 2 increases, and given Nack 2, the probability of Nack 3 increases.

The Nack 1 and Nack 2 Signaling and Supervision messages are transmitted to the Originating Node where the Information will be retransmitted. On Nack 3, the Information is not retransmitted but Signaling and Supervision is initiated to request an alternate path.

The three protocols, based on traffic types are Circuit Switch protocol, Narrative/Record Switch protocol, and Packet Switch protocol. Each message is handled under the guidelines of one of these protocols. Each protocol describes how a message is delivered, either Circuit Switched or under Store and Forward.

Circuit Switch protocol and Circuit Store and Forward protocol are two distinct ways in which a message is delivered to its destination.

The Circuit Store and Forward Traffic Handling Method is used in Narrative/Record Switching Protocol and Packet Switching protocol, while Circuit Switch protocol uses the Circuit Switch Traffic Handling Method.

Circuit Switch protocol attempts to circuit-connect the Origination Tributary (OT) to the Destination Tributary (DT). The Four Phases of Message Delivery are accomplished using a path, stored in the simulation transaction, representing the message. This path is used to simulate message movement from one node to the next.

In each message there is a pointer which indicates the location of the message in the network by pointing to

different storage compartments in the path string. These storage compartments contain the node numbers of the path. Therefore, by adjusting the pointer, a message moves in either direction along the stored path. Network travel is accomplished this way.

In the Information Transmission Phase of Circuit Switch Traffic Handling, the message is circuit switched from the Origination to the Destination without storing the message at any node along its path. By contrast, in Store and Forward protocol each message is normally stored at two points in the path string.

A message that must be stored in the network is transmitted from the Originating Tributary to its Responsible Originating Node. Only channels that are needed to get the message to the Responsible Originating Node are reserved and acquired. Once the Information reaches the Responsible Originating Node, the message is placed in mass storage. At the same time, it is queued for removal from the mass store, FIFO (First In, First Out) by priority.

After the message is removed from queue, a Connection Request attempts to connect the Responsible Originating Node to the Responsible Destination Node. If successful, the message is removed from the originating mass storage and transmitted to the destination mass storage. Note that transmission is circuit-switched through nodes connecting the two Responsible Nodes. At the Responsible Destination Node, when the message is placed in mass storage, it is queued for removal from the storage (FIFO by priority). If the Connection Request fails to connect the two Responsible Nodes, an attempt is made to transmit the message as far down its path as possible, to another node, (LN) Liable Node, capable of storing this message.

The message will be transmitted to a Liable Node (LN) in its path only if the Responsible Destination Node cannot be reached by a Connection Request and channels can be reserved to the Liable Node. In this way, the message gets as close as possible to its destination. The Liable Node stores the message and places it on queue to be transmitted to the Responsible Destination Node. Eventually, the message arrives at the Responsible Destination Node.

Removal from the queue at the Responsible Destination Node allows the message to complete the final segment in its journey to the Destination Tributary. A Connection Request reserves channels and the message is transmitted to the Destination Tributary where it is delivered to the subscriber.

#### 4.2.4 STATISTICS REPORTER

The Statistics Reporter is the unit responsible for tabulating all data. Basically, the statistics are divided into two categories, input and output. On the input side tabular distributions are provided for all message categories. This includes representations of message lengths, message types, priorities, origination tributaries, destination tributaries, security and several more. These distributions are provided wherever traffic is generated by using the Traffic Generator unit. If an input tape is used, the distributions are not available.

The statistics available at the output include counts of anomalies, distribution of message times, and records of message movement. In order to determine the frequency of anomalies during a particular path attempt two tables are required. One table records anomalies which caused the message to be blocked (the message requests another path). Another table is required to record anomalies which caused the message to become lost (the message cannot be delivered

and is terminated). Since multiple path attempts are allowed two tables are allocated for each request. More tables are required for a larger number of attempts. An additional two tables are required to accumulate this data in order to provide an overview of the system. A total count of messages delivered and lost is provided in separate table.

Many other tables are required to maintain distributions of message times. In order to evaluate circuit switched messages independently of packet/narrative records; distribution of message call handling and call connection times are provided separately. Call connection time is defined as the time required from initiation of a call to the time the connection is complete. The call handling time is defined as the total time from initiation to termination minus the time of actual information transmission (call holding time).

Another time frequently used in table tabulations is total transmit time. Total transmit time is the time from call initialization to final termination. This data may be tabularized in any of seven categories. The categories are:

1. message/call length
2. message type
3. origination tributary
4. destination tributary
5. security
6. path length
7. priority.

Any two sets of tables may be tabulated in one run.

The time packet or narrative/record messages spend in a node may also be tabularized. The times of interest could be the total time a message is in a node from the time a message is

queued at a node. A total of five nodes for each time may be designated prior to a run; this selection of five nodes can be changed prior to each run.

A record of messages entering any of five designated nodes is provided when desired. The information contained within a record is:

1. a message identification number
2. time of entry
3. time of exit
4. time message was queued.

This record is user-defined as part of the program input.

Of the output statistics available, four have been of primary interest as criteria in evaluating routing techniques. Both call handling time and connection time measure system performance since the message length is not included in these times. This yields information dependent upon the routing technique and basic connection type (circuit switched protocol or store and forward protocol) which can be compared in subsequent runs. Another set of data, signaling and supervision queue times is an indication of service times at nodes. This information is presented in a tabular distribution for all nodes. A final set of statistics is provided in savevalues. These savevalues contain the number of total number of messages initiated, blocked, lost, and delivered at any time in the simulation. With this data a history of the messages connected may be obtained. The number of messages connected provides an indication of message throughput for the system.

The program structure was designed to be modular. For example, the source file for the simulation has an index tag starting in column 73 of every card. The digit in columns 75 has particular meaning in categorizing the modules.

Digits in the range of zero to three indicate common INPUT coding. This is essentially the Traffic Generator and initialization of the program. When column 75 contains a 4, the module is the Path Calculator. A value in the range of 5 to 6 indicates common NETSIM coding. And digits from 7 to 9 indicate unique NETSIM coding and the Statistics Reporter. This technique allowed the designer to quickly identify the software module and whether it was in a common area or one of the other major design modules.

Since several modules of the program, such as Path Calculator, have versions for each type of routing, another identification field is required. This field is contained in columns 73 and 74. This particular field is allowed the seven values defined below:

- 00 coding common-to-all programs
- 10 coding unique to hierarchical, deterministic program
- 20 coding unique to hierarchical, DART Program
- 30 coding unique to non-hierarchical, deterministic Program
- 40 coding unique to non-hierarchical, DART Program
- 13 coding unique to Deterministic Programs
- 24 coding unique to DART Programs.

Finally, in order to provide a standard terminology when discussing the programs, the following scheme is used:

#### SIGNALING/SUPERVISION ROUTING TECHNIQUE

	Deterministic	Dart
Hierarchical Network	1	2
Non-hierarchical	3	4

A program referred to as '1' is a Deterministic, hierarchical run.



## 5.0 RESULTS OBTAINED

### 5.1 INTRODUCTION

As a result of the termination of a complete simulation run an immense collection of data has occurred. All the statistics specified in the Statement of Work are generated with the addition of particular statistical distributions used in the simulation analysis. The output is formatted in the standard GPSSV entities such as tables, queues and savevalues as shown in Figure 5-1 (a complete interpretation of the entities is provided in the GPSSV Users Guide).

The simulation statistics may basically be categorized into four types:

- a. input traffic distributions
- b. output traffic transit time distributions
- c. blocking frequencies
- d. unique time distributions.

A review of each category and the philosophy used in the tabulation follows in this section.

In the network analysis, the evaluation criteria has been divided into four parameters:

- a. call-handling time
- b. call connect time
- c. signaling and supervision queuing time
- d. statistics, relating to message traffic.

Since this data is of major significance the terminology is defined prior to the actual interpretation of the results, later in this section.

### 5.2 INPUT TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTIONS

Each incident message in the Traffic Generator is sorted into various traffic categories prior to entering the Network



Simulator in order to provide a statistical check on the input message distributions. The particular distribution name and an accompanying explanation is given in Table 5-1. Since this set of tables is created only when the Traffic Generator is fully utilized, the tables are not available if traffic is produced from the magnetic tape.

### 5.3 TRANSIT TIME DISTRIBUTIONS AND INPUT VARIABLES

Distributions of the total origin-to-destination delay (transit time) is provided at the completion of a simulation run as required in 4.4.1 of the Statement of Work. Due to the significant CPU time and storage required in table generation, a limitation has been imposed on the transit time table sets for any single run; at this point two is the limit.

The transit time distributions can be sorted into any two of the categories listed:

- a. transit time versus message/call delay
- b. transit time versus message type
- c. transit time versus origination tributary
- d. transit time versus destination tributary
- e. transit time versus security level
- f. transit time versus path length
- g. transit time versus priority level

Each category has twenty tables allocated to transit time distributions. This implies that a maximum of forty tables are devoted to transit time distributions. The procedure to change a table set and a review of the interpretation of results is found in the SNUG manual. (See Appendix G, program documentation.)

The 'table versus transit time output designation is defined in G-2. The tables will be collected for output of the program runs.

#### 5.4 BLOCKING FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTIONS

A means of comparing the occurrence of various anomalies during each path attempt and routing technique has been arranged by utilizing the table entity. These counts are formatted into a set of tables which sort the anomalies and categorize the results; blocked or lost message. The tables used are numbers 61 through 68 for the Deterministic routing techniques and numbers 85 through 94 for the DART routing techniques. A listing of the tables, their titles, and a brief description of the data gathered is found in Table 5-2.

As an example of a table, refer to the Table BLFQ1 at the top of Figure 5-1. Within this table, the entities of concern are the entries in the table, the upper limit column, and the observed frequency; the remaining headings are of little significant value. In this particular table, BFLQ1, (Blocking Frequency - First path attempt) the entries in table reveals the total number of messages finding a blockage on the first connection attempt. The two remaining columns give the reason and relative frequency for the blocking. Reading down the "upper limit" 1 is an "observed Frequency"

<u>Table #</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Description</u>
41	ORIGN	The distribution of messages vs the originating tributaries.
42	INTVS	The interarrival time distribution for all voice messages.
43	INTDT	The interarrival time distribution for all data messages.
44	MDIS1	The message (type) distribution prior to creation of multiaddress messages.
45	RSLIT	The data message (type) distribution.
46	LENVC	The voice message length distribution (in time units).
47	RNGEX	The narrative/record message (type) distribution.
48	PNGEX	The packet message (type) distribution.
49	LENDT	The data message length distribution (in time units).
50	CLASS	The security classification distribution/ or all messages.
51	PRITY	The priority level distribution for all messages exceeding packet messages.
52	PRITP	The priority level distribution for the packet messages.
53	DESTP	The distribution of messages to the various destination node types.
54	DESIN	The distribution of messages to the individual destination nodes.
55	MDIST	The distribution of total incident traffic to the message types.
56	MOBSB	The message (type) distribution whose destinations are mobile subscribers.

INPUT TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTIONS

TABLE 5-1

AD-AU47 644

RCA GOVERNMENT COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS CAMDEN NJ  
ADVANCED SIGNALING/SUPERVISION AND ROUTING STUDY. (U)  
OCT 77 P J BIRD, P P BOEHM, J J GUZY

F/G 17/2

F30602-74-C-0189

UNCLASSIFIED

RADC-TR-77-334

NL

2 OF 3

AD  
A047644



<u>TABLE TITLE</u>	<u>TABLE #</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION OF CONTENTS</u>
<u>Deterministic</u>		
DELST	(61)	Total number of lost and delivered messages.
LTCL1	(62)	Count of causes for lost calls on first path attempt.
LTCL2	(63)	Count of causes for lost calls on second path attempt.
LTCL3	(64)	Count of causes for lost calls on first and second path.
BLF01	(65)	Count of causes for blocked calls on first path attempt.
BLF02	(66)	Count of causes for blocked calls on second path attempt.
BLF03	(67)	Count of causes for blocked calls on first and second path.
OMRTM	(68)	Count of second path attempts requested, and count of messages returned for temporary storage due to blocking conditions.

DART

DELST	(85)	Total number of lost and delivered messages.
LOST1	(86)	Count of causes for lost call on first path attempt.
LOST2	(87)	Count of causes for lost call on second path attempt.
LOST3	(88)	Count of causes for lost call on third path attempt.
LOST4	(89)	Count of causes for lost call on first, second, and third path attempts.
BLKD1	(90)	Count of causes for blocked call on first path attempt.
BLKD2	(91)	Count of causes for blocked call on second path attempt.
BLKD3	(92)	Count of causes for blocked call on third path attempt.
BLKD4	(93)	Count of causes for blocked call on first, second and third path attempts.
STP23	(94)	Count of second, and third path attempts and messages returned for temporary storage due to blocking conditions.

ANOMALY TABLES

TABLE 5-2

of 84 meaning 84 messages were blocked due to the subscriber being busy. The "Observed Frequency" of 22, associated with "Upper Limit" 2 indicates 19 messages were blocked due to pre-emption, but they were re-transmitted. "Upper Limit" 3, "Observed Frequency" 6 means 6 messages had to obtain a different path due to the successive Negative Acknowledgments. Since the "Observed Frequency" was zero for "Upper Limit 4, no messages were blocked due to node capacity. Finally, "Upper Limit" 5, "Observed Frequency" 139 indicates 193 messages were blocked due to the unavailability of trunks.

This style of interpretation is repeated for the remaining tables; Appendix I contains a key to the interpretation of the tables and all the anomaly tables generated in the study runs.

The Table PRIO is maintained for each simulation run in order to gain an insight to the priority scheme, by counting the messages of each priority level being pre-empted.

#### 5.5 UNIQUE TIME DISTRIBUTIONS


Two sets of tables remain to be described, those generating statistics on the time messages are queued at nodes and the total time a message is at a node. In each case, the nodes of concern must be selected by the user with a limit of five nodes per case. The procedure to specify the particular nodes of interest is described in the SNUG manual.

The "Nodewatch" tables provide a distribution of the total time, this includes signaling/supervision queuing, PNR queuing and processor delay messages encountered at the nodes. Table 76 through 81 are used for this purpose with table 81 presenting the accumulation of the previous five tables. The remaining table set spans tables 71 through 75 while providing a distribution of the times PNR messages are queued at a responsible node.

## 5.6 EVALUATION CRITERIA

Due to the enormous amount of data available, a thorough weighting and analysis of all the output is highly difficult and beyond the scope of the project. With this in mind, consultation with RADC has produced a set of parameters to be used in comparing alternate routing schemes. The following is a discussion of the evaluation criteria and message philosophy involved.

### 5.6.1 CALL-HANDLING TIME



Simplified Message Phase Diagram

Figure 5-2

The first evaluation parameters, Call-Handling Time represents the total time required by a call independent of the actual information duration. This time is graphically illustrated in Figure 5-2 as the total time required to traverse Paths A, B and D. Call-Handling Time is of significant value since it represents the time devoted by the network in a message setup and tear-down. But of equal importance, this factor gives an indication of the trunk sizing since it includes signaling/supervision and PNR queuing times. This accounts of the significance time differences between circuit-switched and store/forward message types. It should be noted call-handling is encountered even for lost calls since a portion

of processor and network time is involved in attempting a connection.

#### 5.6.2 CONNECT TIME

The second measurement parameter, Connect Time represents the total network time expended in establishing a connection from end to end. In Figure 5-2, connect time represents the time required to traverse Paths A and B, while in the real world it corresponds to the time from the completion of a dial sequence until the actual ring tone occurs. Both connect time and call-handling time are tabulated distinctly for circuit-switched and store/forward messages in order to provide a meaningful basis of comparison.

A logical inference from the term connect time is that time exists only for messages actually receiving a lock-in signal. The major differences between connect time and call-handling time is the time required for a disconnect to occur and the time a PNR message is queued. Therefore, in the circuit-switched case, the difference between the times is due to disconnect signaling. This time can be used in obtaining an estimation of path lengths.

#### 5.6.3 SIGNALING/SUPERVISION QUEUE TIMES

All queuing encountered by messages occurs to either signaling/supervision messages or the PNR messages at responsible nodes. The queuing times are accumulated separately for S/S and PNR messages as shown in Figure 5-3; through these times an indication of the network loading can be obtained.

#### 5.6.4 MESSAGE/CONNECTED STATISTICS

In order to provide a relative simple comparison and a measure of network throughput several traffic related ratios



are calculated at constant time intervals and outputted. The format being used in the output is illustrated in Figure 5-1 - SAVEVALUES: the specific data format is listed in Table 5-3. (Note: All percentage savevalues are multiplied by ten, i.e., a context of 578 is interpreted as 57.8%).

A delivered message is considered to be a normally completed call; a blocked message is a call which could not be connected or completed and will be re-transmitted; and lost calls are those which are terminated prior to completion of the call. The algorithm used is the calculations, divides the total messages of each particular category by the appropriate network population. The network population is maintained by incrementing a particular savevalue whenever a message enters and decrementing the savevalue when a message terminates. The numerator is obtained by maintaining separate counts of the blocked, delivered and lost messages of the appropriate message category. Terminated and delivered counts are incremented only once for each message, but the blocked count is incremented each time a message encounters a blocking condition. It is for this reason a summation of the blocked, lost and delivered ratio must be avoided (the result is often greater than 100).

From this data, graphs can be prepared, Figures 5-4 through 5-14, represent the system status at various times. This information is beneficial when evaluating alternate routing schemes since similar curves can be drawn on a single graph allowing rapid visual comparisons.

TABLE 5-3

<u>Savevalue</u>	<u>Contents</u>
X95	Time calculation was performed (in simulated time units)
X96	% of voice messages delivered
X97	% of CS-Data messages delivered
X98	% of PNR messages delivered
X99	% of voice messages blocked
X100	% of CS-Data messages blocked
X101	% of PNR messages blocked
X102	% of voice messages lost
X103	% of CS-Data messages lost
X104	% of PNR messages lost

Message/Connected Statistics - Savevalue Format

## 5.7 RESULT DISCUSSION

### 5.7.1 CALL-HANDLING AND CONNECT TIMES

A representation of the connect time required by circuit switched messages is found in Figure 5-4. Due to unstable conditions the first eight simulated minutes of all graphs will be disregarded in discussions, after this time the curve appears to stabilize. At this point the results indicate relatively equal connection times for the hierarchical and non-hierarchical routing techniques. The time difference between these two routing categories is slight once it is recognized three seconds are required in the hierarchical techniques to travel to the regional, obtain the path and return to the originating tributary. Therefore, the path calculating algorithm yields equivalently optimal paths




FIGURE 5-4 STUDY RESULTS CONNECT TIME CIRCUIT SWITCH PROGRAMS 1-4

whether the circuit-switch routing is hierarchical or non-hierarchical.

The call-handling time curves for circuit switched messages (Figure 5-5) indicate the same data as Figure 5-4, the timing difference between a hierarchical and non-hierarchical routing technique appears to be approximately three seconds, the time required to go to the regional. One deduction can be made from Figures 5-4 and 5-5; it appears call-handling time is approximately one second greater for the hierarchical case, meaning the average path length is 2.5 links (1 second required for disconnect/.4 seconds to traverse a link).

The packet-narrative/record connect times (Figure 5-7) display pertinent data since an obvious difference occurs between each technique. However, the data represented by curves one and two can be eliminated since they are characterized by curves 3 and 4 if the three second regional travel time is included. A comparison of connect times for CS and PNR messages reveals a greater time is required for the later messages. This is attributed to larger paths since travel to responsible nodes is required and to the queuing occurring at the responsible nodes.

The call-handling statistics for PNR messages (Figure 5-6) indicate a major difference between all routing techniques. Upon comparing Figures 5-6 and 5-7, an orderly ranking of techniques reveals a switching in the relative positioning of techniques two and three. Technique two requires more processing time in order to establish a connection, but it requires a lower amount of total system time from initialization to completion. This difference must imply that in a DART technique the message queuing is significantly smaller or the probability of delivering the message in fewer path attempts is significantly higher than Deterministic. An






FIGURE 5-5 STUDY RESULTS CALL HANDLING TIME CIRCUIT SWITCH PROGRAMS 1-4



KEY PROGRAM  
 1 DETERMINISTIC HIERARCHICAL  
 2 DART HIERARCHICAL  
 3 DETERMINISTIC NON-HIERARCHICAL  
 4 DART NON-HIERARCHICAL

ELAPSED TIME, MINUTES

FIGURE 5-6 STUDY RESULTS CALL HANDLING TIME PNR PROGRAMS 1-4



ELAPSED TIME, MINUTES  
 FIGURE 5-7 STUDY RESULTS CONNECT TIME PNR PROGRAMS 1-4

overall conclusion reveals both Deterministic routing techniques require substantially more network time than DART routing techniques, with non-hierarchical DART being the most efficient of all. The reasons for lower times can be attributed to:

- a. the selection of responsible nodes results in an optimization of paths;
- b. The spontaneous selection of responsible nodes allow the re-routing of messages through different nodes. This is not possible in Deterministic routing.

#### 5.7.2 MESSAGE/CONNECTED STATISTICS

The savevalues defined in Section 5.6.4 are plotted versus the elapsed simulated time to provide rapid visual comparisons of the routing techniques.

Since circuit switched data and circuit switch voice calls use the same facilities and routing protocols, the discussion of Figures 5-4 and 5-5 should be sufficient to provide information to interpret Figures 5-8 and 5-9. After the initial transient has elapsed (elapsed time is greater than 8 minutes) the percentage of messages delivered remains constant but most importantly the percent of messages delivered appears equal between the routing techniques. This is not unexpected since other data indicated there was no blocking for C.S. messages. The indications are that the trunk sizing is sufficiently large to cause no blocking, all lost circuit switched messages are due to subscriber busy signals. Since the subscriber busy anomaly is a probabilistic function, Figures 5-8 and 5-9 illustrate a representation of the probability (or random number generator).

The plots of particular value (Figures 5-10 through 5-14) represent the status of PNR messages. Again the rate of





FIGURE 5--8 STUDY RESULTS % DELIVERED CIRCUIT SWITCH PROGRAMS 1-4




ELAPSED TIME, MINUTES  
 FIGURE 5-9 STUDY RESULTS % LOST CIRCUIT SWITCH PROGRAMS 1-4

delivered to current network messages seems to be relatively constant at approximately 85%. Due to the proximity of the four curves, an absolute judgment of the best routing technique is not possible from this data.

Figure 5-13 presents the relative number of blocked messages for each routing technique. Techniques 1 and 3 indicates a relative higher number of blocked messages as opposed to techniques 2 and 4; this is apparently due to responsible nodes. The Deterministic routing techniques use assigned responsible nodes at all times. Therefore if trunks are unavailable into a particular responsible node, the re-routing simply causes the message to reach that node through a different path, the node must still be utilized. However, the DART technique has the ability to select different responsible nodes if it is blocked, this should result in fewer blocked messages. This might only be a temporary state or due to the fact the responsible nodes are building queues causing more "live" messages in the system but a relative constant rate of messages being processed. Therefore, the system population (or the denominator) is increasing while the numerator is constant or increasing at a slow rate.

Figure 5-14 represents data supporting the earlier premises, since more messages are queued in deterministic techniques, fewer are receiving lost call signals. This results in a relative lower percentage of lost calls. But the throughput of the DART technique is higher causing lower queuing and more messages being terminated. Due to the fixed subscriber busy function and the relative high percentage of local traffic a substantial number of the lost messages are due to a busy on a local connection (approximately 50-60% of the 12% lost messages may be attributed to this).



KEY

1 DETERMINISTIC HIERARCHICAL

2 DART HIERARCHICAL

3 DETERMINISTIC NON-HIERARCHICAL

4 DART NON-HIERARCHICAL

FIGURE 5-10 STUDY RESULTS % DELIVERED DATA PROGRAMS 1-4




FIGURE 5-11 STUDY RESULTS % LOST DATA PROGRAMS 1-4






FIGURE 5-12 STUDY RESULTS % DELIVERED PNR PROGRAMS 1-4



ELAPSED TIME, MINUTES

FIGURE 5-13 STUDY RESULTS % BLOCKED PNR PROGRAMS 1-4



ELAPSED TIME MINUTES  
 FIGURE 5-14 STUDY RESULTS % LOST PNR PROGRAMS 1-4

## 6.0 STUDIES

### 6.1 REFINEMENT OF ROUTING SCHEMES

#### 6.1.1 INTRODUCTION

The following relates the simulated routing schemes to the more practical aspects of the real world.

A simulation model has the capability of moving only one transaction (message) at a time through the model and the actual output of the simulation is a series of time-related events which give an indication of the message handling capability of the network.

In designing the simulation model, one of the inputs is the protocol of the routing scheme, i.e. the philosophy behind the direction a message takes in passing through the network from point of origin to destination. These protocols are indeed related to the real world conceptually, however, certain characteristics not used in the simulation require specification before a routing scheme can be used in an operational network.

These characteristics are:

- a) The format and content of the messages which pass between nodes for moving a message.
- b) The type and amount of storage required in the routing tables at each node.
- c) The format and content of messages required to initially compose the routing tables and to update the tables to reflect changes in the network which may occur due to damage or to reconfiguration. (Network control messages)

It is to these three characteristics that the following section is addressed.

#### 6.1.2 DESCRIPTIVE NETWORK

Figure 6-1 shows the network which will be used for descriptive purposes in describing the routing technique characteristics.

This network is actually a subset of the network used in the simulation but so configured as to allow description of the many cases which might occur during the routing of circuit switched, message switched and packet switched traffic deterministically or by DART (Deterministic and Adaptive Routing Technique) when the network is either hierarchical or non-hierarchical.

In the descriptive network, all nodes have circuit switching capability but only nodes C and E have message switching and packet switching capability while at the same time being designated as "Responsible" nodes, a term which will be further explained in the elaboration of the routing plans.

The terms hierarchical and non-hierarchical as applied to the network define in general the inter-responsibilities of the nodes in traffic handling.

In the non-hierarchical sense all nodes have equal capability as far as traffic handling is concerned.

In the hierarchical network, the regional nodes feature as the most powerful in the network in that they store maximum routing information while at the same time providing access to and egress from the backbone network which under certain conditions of the routing plans becomes a preferred route




FIGURE 6-1 - NETWORK SUBSET

for messages.

### 6.1.3 FLOW CHART DESCRIPTION

The mechanization of the routing plans is described through a series of flow charts shown in Figures 6-2 through 6-8.

Because of the commonality in processing, it has been possible to represent the routing schemes on seven charts. Each routing scheme description is given in terms of the originating tributary OT, regional node (where applicable), and intermediate or terminating node.

The following table shows the flow charts applicable for each routing scheme.

	HIERARCHICAL		NON-HIERARCHICAL	
	PNR	VOICE	PNR	VOICE
DART	6-2,6-3,	6-2,6-3 6-4	6-7, 6-4	6-7,6-4
DETERMINISTIC	6-5,6-6,	6-5,6-6, 6-4	6-8, 6-4	6-8,6-4

The flow charts show the type of message and the message content for messages which flow between nodes. The following abbreviations are used throughout to identify the characters in the messages.

SOM - start of message.

IDENT - this is a unique message identifier attached to every message so that all transactions of the message at any node can be related.

- SECY - this is the security designation of the calling subscriber.
- PRI - is the priority of the current message entered by the calling subscriber.
- TYPE - this character indicates the type of message (voice, message switched, packet switched) which is to be handled.
- SUB A - this is the identifier of the calling subscriber.
- SUB B - this is the identity of the called subscriber.
- RTE - this is the identification of the determined route by node number.
- EOM - is the end of message designator.
- LI - this is the character unique to a LOCK-IN message.
- RR - this character is sent from tributary to regional on an initial request for a route.
- ARR - this character is sent from tributary to regional on a request for an alternate route.
- BUSY - this character is sent in a response to a routing message when an ATB is encountered at any point in the route.
- OUT - this character is sent in a response to a routing message when a trunk outage is encountered at any point

in the route. It is always accompanied by the node number which cannot be accessed.

CR - this character is an indicator that a connection request is being made.

#### 6.1.4 ROUTING TABLES AND TRUNK HUNTING

In the flow charts certain blocks are labelled "OT or REGIONAL DETERMINES ROUTE". The method of route determination is by selecting trunks assigned to the adjacent node in the table and the adjacent node is specified in the route determined at the point of origin. The originating node must therefore include listings of the full inter-node connectivity and since all nodes can be considered as originating nodes with respect to the subscribers local to that node, the full network connectivity must be resident at all nodes.

The routing tables in each node would thus consist of a listing of the nodes to which it is connected and a listing of the trunk or trunks giving access to these nodes. Thus, the routing plan would require a trunk hunting scheme in order to select the required trunk. Since the protocol includes a five level priority scheme trunk hunting will be arranged to select a trunk of lower priority than that specified in the message if pre-emption is necessary. This requires that in each trunk hunt the trunk with the lowest priority must be recorded in the initial hunt and selected if all trunks are busy.

#### 6.1.5 ROUTING MESSAGE CONTENT

The characters required in the various routing messages are given in Section 6.1.3 and the actual messages are given in




FIGURE 6-2

ROUTING SCHEME - DART  
 NETWORK TYPE - HIERARCHICAL  
 MESSAGE TYPE - PNR OR VOICE  
 NODE TYPE - ORIGINATING TRIB




FIGURE 6-3







FIGURE 6-5

ROUTING SCHEME - DETERMINISTIC  
 NETWORK TYPE - HIERARCHICAL  
 MESSAGE TYPE - PNR OR VOICE  
 NODE TYPE - ORIGINATING TRIBUTARY



ROUTING SCHEME - DETERMINISTIC  
 NETWORK TYPE - HIERARCHICAL  
 CALL TYPE - PUR OR VOICE  
 NODE TYPE - REGIONAL

NOTE: ROW/RDM DECISION NOT REQUIRED ON VOICE CALLS.

FIGURE 6-6




FIGURE 6-7

ROUTING SCHEME - DART  
 NETWORK TYPE - NON-HIERARCHICAL  
 MESSAGE TYPE - PNR OR VOICE  
 NODE TYPE - ORIGINATING TRIBUTARY




FIGURE 6-8

ROUTING SCHEME - DETERMINISTIC  
 NETWORK TYPE - NON-HIERARCHICAL  
 MESSAGE TYPE - PNR OR VOICE  
 NODE TYPE - ORIGINATING TRIBUTARY

the flow charts.

The message lengths by the number of characters required is summarized for each of the routing schemes in Table 6.1. The basic assumptions made in arriving at the message character count for characters with unique characteristics are as follows:

IDENT. 3 characters. This character is inserted by the node originating the message. There are several ways for specifying the identifier all of which are dependent upon the total number of nodes in the network. Typical of these methods are node number with date/time group, node number with daily sequence, unique serial number sequences etc.

Sub A - Sub B. The number of characters (10) for identifying the subscribers is based on the 10 digit numbering plan of 3 digit area code, 3 digit office code and 4 digit subscriber number.

Route (RTE). The route identity consists of a node # sequence. It has been assumed here that, in the routing message, nodes are identified by a number which is different to the office code for that node. This method of identification gives a far shorter message and less storage than office code identification.

The tributary to regional message in both DART and DETERMINISTIC shows six characters which are composed of 3 for



the primary and 3 for the alternate. This assumes that a maximum of 3 nodes are traversed by a given message. In the actual routing message only 3 characters are required.

BUSY and OUT. Two characters have been assumed in these positions, one for identifying the reporting node and one for the trunk group out or busy at that node.

#### 6.1.6 NETWORK CONTROL

As is readily apparent from Table 6-1, the difference between the number of message types between hierarchical and non-hierarchical is largely due to the additional messages required between tributary and regional nodes on the hierarchical network. The regional nodes at all times contain the information or the latest state of the network. This information is updated dynamically from trunk outage and trunk busy messages received in reply to routing messages. In the non-hierarchical network, all nodes have this information. In either case, the update of the network information as a dynamic basis takes place only when a routing message from a given point fails.

Thus, if a routing message does not transverse the point of failure, a given node may not be informed of the failure until such time as it generates a message across this point.

This form of graceful degradation can be seen as a definite advantage although under certain traffic conditions and without rearrangements to routing tables, serious network blockages might ensue.

Dynamic updating of network control information as an integral function of the routing scheme should be augmented by a quasi-dynamic scheme whereby network information can be accumulated at a central point and disseminated to nodes as required over a dedicated channel.

This is particularly true in a tactical network where changes to network configuration can be so drastic as to completely alter the inter-relationship of all nodes, thus requiring that routing tables at each node be changed, a function which is beyond the capability of the routing schemes.

## 6.2 MEMORY REQUIREMENTS

### 6.2.1 INTRODUCTION

This section evaluates the impact of candidate signaling/supervision and routing schemes on program and memory size for different capacity circuit switch modules. Both deterministic (DET) and deterministic/adaptive (DART) routing schemes are considered for circuit switch sizes ranging from 300 to 2400 lines in modular increments of 300 lines. Flowcharts representing the ICMS controller are used as a baseline for the development of estimates of single thread call processing times in both non-hierarchical and hierarchical network structures.

### 6.2.2 NETWORK CONSIDERATIONS

The analysis presented below is based upon a generalized network topology which is assumed to be composed of  $N$  nodes and some associated internodal connectivity. For purely illustrative purposes in determining quantitative memory storage requirements, assume that the network under consideration has seventeen nodes, that is,  $N = 17$ , as in

the simulation model. Detailed specification of the network connectivity is relatively unimportant for the purposes of this discussion; however, assume that the maximum number of nodes included in any network path between a calling and a called subscriber is limited to seven.

A valid deterministic routing algorithm can be defined as a table look-up of information which specifies the entire network path between any two nodes in terms of an ordered sequence of up to seven intermediate nodes. This path is obtained from a static routing table at the originating node in a non-hierarchical network or at a regional node in a hierarchical network. In either case, this routing table is presumed to contain primary and secondary<sup>(1)</sup> paths between every pair of nodes in the network subject to the seven node maximum limit mentioned above. These paths can be generated offline by a calculating path algorithm according to any desired path optimization criteria.

Operation of the deterministic routing algorithm is relatively simple. In a non-hierarchical network, the path information is obtained at the originating node; in a hierarchical network, the path must be obtained from a connected regional node. (In the case of a tributary requesting path information from a regional node in a hierarchical network, it is probably advantageous for the

---

(1) Obviously, for a specific application, the number of stored routes might be greater than two.

path response to include both primary and secondary path information.) In either type of network, a connection request is generated and sent out over the primary path by the originating node. If this attempt proves unsuccessful, the secondary path is obtained, a connection request is generated and sent out over the secondary path by the originating node. If this alternate path fails, the call attempt is abandoned.

An obvious extension of the deterministic routing algorithm results in the definition of an interesting hybrid: a deterministic/adaptive routing algorithm. DART involves a table look-up of information which specifies the entire network path, just as in the deterministic routing algorithm explained above. Both primary and secondary path information is obtained in this manner at the originating node in a non-hierarchical network, or at a regional node in a hierarchical network. In either case, the routing table utilized is identical to the table employed in the deterministic algorithm. The paths contained in this routing table can be generated offline by a path calculator algorithm according to any desired path optimization criteria.

The adaptive part of DART consists of the on-line calculation of a tertiary path between any two nodes based on the information deduced from the failures of the primary and secondary routes; this represents a complete departure from the deterministic routing algorithm.

Nonetheless, operation of DART is equivalent to the selection of primary and secondary paths by the previously defined deterministic algorithm with the dynamic calculation of a tertiary path based upon failure information

derived from two unsuccessful deterministic routing attempts (if required). If the tertiary route fails, the call is abandoned.

Within the context of these definitions, the deterministic routing algorithm is simply a subset of DART. It is easy to envision a situation in which the adaptive routing algorithm which characterizes DART is contained in an overlay on a mass storage device at a switching center<sup>(2)</sup>. This overlay could be read into core memory and executed to perform dynamic path calculation on an as-required basis. However, in order to assure that "apples are compared with apples", assume that all programs and tables are resident in core memory for the purpose of estimating program and memory sizes.

### 6.2.3 MEMORY SIZE CONSIDERATIONS

Requirements for core memory at the nodes of the generalized network can be divided into two distinct categories: program (instruction) storage and table storage. The characteristics of these two categories are very different. Program size depends primarily on the functions provided while table size depends primarily on the number of terminations. Nonetheless, some interdependency between program and tables does exist.

---

(2) Another implementation might be a dedicated processing function assigned to calculating route alternatives, if the load at a center warrents it.

For the purposes of illustrating memory requirements, consider a regional node in a hierarchical network. This choice permits the representation of a "typical" node in a generalized network as an upper bound for estimating program and memory sizes for different capacity circuit switches. The regional node is typical in the sense that every node in a non-hierarchical network is a regional node, and the size of tributary nodes in hierarchical networks is bounded, above, by the regional node.

Program size for regional nodes was estimated on the basis of work done on the ICMS controller, with appropriate modifications made for the deterministic and deterministic/adaptive routing algorithms under consideration. The results of this process are shown in Figure 6-9. The program size varies with the complexity of the routing scheme implemented, but remains essentially fixed for different capacity circuit switches for a particular routing algorithm.

Memory requirements for table storage are primarily dependent upon the number of circuit switch terminations and, to a lesser degree, upon the selected routing algorithm. Detailed estimates of the table storage required for different capacity circuit switches are given for regional nodes with deterministic routing algorithms in Figures 6-10 through 6-17 and with deterministic/adaptive routing algorithms in Figures 6-18 through 6-25. Total memory requirements (program plus tables) for each modular increment of 300 lines is plotted for DET and DART schemes in Figure 6-26.

Figure 6-9

PROGRAM SIZE FOR A REGIONAL NODE

	<u>DET</u>	<u>DART</u>
Operating System	13,000	13,500
Communications Channel Service	6,500	6,500
Circuit Switch Subsystem	12,500	14,000
Routing Table Look-Up	1,000	1,000
Adaptive Matrix Reconfiguration	-	3,000
Path Calculator	-	4,400
	<u>33,000 bytes</u>	<u>42,500 bytes</u>

Deterministic Routing, 300 Lines

Figure 6-10

TABLE SIZE

TERMINATION TABLE

a. <u>270</u> lines @ 16 bytes/line		4320
b. <u>30</u> trunks @ 16 bytes/trunk		480
RECEIVER/SENDER TABLE	<u>7</u> @ 16 bytes/RS	112
REMOTE AREA CODE TABLE	200 @ 3 bytes/code	600
REMOTE EXCHANGE TABLE	600 @ 3 bytes/code	1800
CONNECTIVITY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	-
DIRECTORY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	-
INFORMATION MATRIX	N entries @ 12 bytes/entry	-
STORED PATH ROUTING TABLE	2N (N-1) entries @ 7 bytes/entry	3808
TRUNK TABLE	<u>30</u> trunks @ 8 bytes/trunk	240
OOB CHANNEL TABLE	<u>3</u> OOB channels @ 32 bytes/channel	96
TRUNK GROUP TABLE	<u>3</u> groups @ 4 bytes/group	12
HUNT GROUP TABLE	<u>3</u> hunt groups @ 20 bytes/group	60
STATUS & SCAN TABLES	<u>1</u> units @ 136 bytes/unit	136
QUEUES	<u>1</u> modules @ 912 bytes/module	912
CALL ATTENDANT TABLE	<u>1</u> attendants @ 32 bytes/attendant	32
CONSTANTS & WORK AREA		1000
	TOTAL	13,608
		46,608

Deterministic Routing, 600 Lines

Figure 6-11

TABLE SIZE

TERMINATION TABLE

a. <u>540</u> lines @ 16 bytes/line		8640
b. <u>60</u> trunks @ 16 bytes/trunk		960
RECEIVER/SENDER TABLE	<u>12</u> @ 16 bytes/RS	192
REMOTE AREA CODE TABLE	200 @ 3 bytes/code	600
REMOTE EXCHANGE TABLE	600 @ 3 bytes/code	1800
CONNECTIVITY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	-
DIRECTORY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	-
INFORMATION MATRIX	N entries @ 12 bytes/entry	-
STORED PATH ROUTING TABLE	2N (N-1) entries @ 7 bytes/entry	3808
TRUNK TABLE	<u>60</u> trunks @ 8 bytes/trunk	480
OOB CHANNEL TABLE	<u>6</u> OOB channels @ 32 bytes/channel	192
TRUNK GROUP TABLE	<u>6</u> groups @ 4 bytes/group	24
HUNT GROUP TABLE	<u>6</u> hunt groups @ 20 bytes/group	120
STATUS & SCAN TABLES	<u>2</u> units @ 136 bytes/unit	272
QUEUES	<u>2</u> modules @ 912 bytes/module	1824
CALL ATTENDANT TABLE	<u>1</u> attendants @ 32 bytes/attendant	32
CONSTANTS & WORK AREA		<u>1000</u>
	TOTAL	19,944
		52,944

Deterministic Routing, 900 Lines

Figure 6-12

TABLE SIZE		
TERMINATION TABLE		
a.	<u>810</u> lines @ 16 bytes/line	12,960
b.	<u>90</u> trunks @ 16 bytes/trunk	1,440
RECEIVER/SENDER TABLE	<u>17</u> @ 16 bytes/RS	272
REMOTE AREA CODE TABLE	200 @ 3 bytes/code	600
REMOTE EXCHANGE TABLE	600 @ 3 bytes/code	1,800
CONNECTIVITY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	-
DIRECTORY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	-
INFORMATION MATRIX	N entries @ 12 bytes/entry	-
STORED PATH ROUTING TABLE	2N (N-1) entries @ 7 bytes/entry	3,808
TRUNK TABLE	<u>90</u> trunks @ 8 bytes/trunk	720
OOB CHANNEL TABLE	<u>9</u> OOB channels @ 32 bytes/channel	288
TRUNK GROUP TABLE	<u>9</u> groups @ 4 bytes/group	36
HUNT GROUP TABLE	<u>9</u> hunt groups @ 20 bytes/group	180
STATUS & SCAN TABLES	<u>3</u> units @ 136 bytes/unit	408
QUEUES	<u>3</u> modules @ 912 bytes/module	2,736
CALL ATTENDANT TABLE	<u>1</u> attendants @ 32 bytes/attendant	32
CONSTANTS & WORK AREA		1,000
	TOTAL	26,280
		59,280

Deterministic Routing, 1200 Lines

Figure 6-13

TABLE SIZE

TERMINATION TABLE

a. <u>1080</u> lines @ 16 bytes/line		17,280
b. <u>120</u> trunks @ 16 bytes/trunk		1,920
RECEIVER/SENDER TABLE	<u>22</u> @ 16 bytes/RS	352
REMOTE AREA CODE TABLE	200 @ 3 bytes/code	600
REMOTE EXCHANGE TABLE	600 @ 3 bytes/code	1,800
CONNECTIVITY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	-
DIRECTORY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	-
INFORMATION MATRIX	N entries @ 12 bytes/entry	-
STORED PATH ROUTING TABLE	2N (N-1) entries @ 7 bytes/entry	3,808
TRUNK TABLE	<u>120</u> trunks @ 8 bytes/trunk	960
OOB CHANNEL TABLE	<u>12</u> OOB channels @ 32 bytes/channel	384
TRUNK GROUP TABLE	<u>12</u> groups @ 4 bytes/group	48
HUNT GROUP TABLE	<u>12</u> hunt groups @ 20 bytes/group	240
STATUS & SCAN TABLES	<u>4</u> units @ 136 bytes/unit	544
QUEUES	<u>4</u> modules @ 912 bytes/module	3,648
CALL ATTENDANT TABLE	<u>1</u> attendants @ 32 bytes/attendant	32
CONSTANTS & WORK AREA		1,000
	TOTAL	32,616
		65,616

Deterministic Routing, 1500 Lines

Figure 6-14

TABLE SIZE

TERMINATION TABLE

a. <u>1350</u> lines @ 16 bytes/line		21,600
b. <u>150</u> trunks @ 16 bytes/trunk		2,400
RECEIVER/SENDER TABLE	<u>27</u> @ 16 bytes/RS	432
REMOTE AREA CODE TABLE	200 @ 3 bytes/code	600
REMOTE EXCHANGE TABLE	600 @ 3 bytes/code	1,800
CONNECTIVITY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	-
DIRECTORY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	-
INFORMATION MATRIX	N entries @ 12 bytes/entry	-
STORED PATH ROUTING TABLE	2N (N-1) entries @ 7 bytes/entry	3,808
TRUNK TABLE	<u>150</u> trunks @ 8 bytes/trunk	1,200
OOB CHANNEL TABLE	<u>15</u> OOB channels @ 32 bytes/channel	480
TRUNK GROUP TABLE	<u>15</u> groups @ 4 bytes/group	60
HUNT GROUP TABLE	<u>15</u> hunt groups @ 20 bytes/group	300
STATUS & SCAN TABLES	<u>5</u> units @ 136 bytes/unit	680
QUEUES	<u>5</u> modules @ 912 bytes/module	4,560
CALL ATTENDANT TABLE	<u>2</u> attendants @ 32 bytes/attendant	64
CONSTANTS & WORK AREA		<u>1,000</u>
	TOTAL	38,984
		71,984

Deterministic Routing, 1800 Lines

Figure 6-15

TABLE SIZE

TERMINATION TABLE

a. <u>1620</u> lines @ 16 bytes/line		25,920
b. <u>180</u> trunks @ 16 bytes/trunk		2,880
RECEIVER/SENDER TABLE	<u>31</u> @ 16 bytes/RS	496
REMOTE AREA CODE TABLE	200 @ 3 bytes/code	600
REMOTE EXCHANGE TABLE	600 @ 3 bytes/code	1,800
CONNECTIVITY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	-
DIRECTORY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	-
INFORMATION MATRIX	N entries @ 12 bytes/entry	-
STORED PATH ROUTING TABLE	2N (N-1) entries @ 7 bytes/entry	3,808
TRUNK TABLE	<u>180</u> trunks @ 8 bytes/trunk	1,440
OOB CHANNEL TABLE	<u>18</u> OOB channels @ 32 bytes/channel	576
TRUNK GROUP TABLE	<u>18</u> groups @ 4 bytes/group	72
HUNT GROUP TABLE	<u>18</u> hunt groups @ 20 bytes/group	360
STATUS & SCAN TABLES	<u>6</u> units @ 136 bytes/unit	816
QUEUES	<u>6</u> modules @ 912 bytes/module	5,472
CALL ATTENDANT TABLE	<u>2</u> attendants @ 32 bytes/attendant	64
CONSTANTS & WORK AREA		1,000
	TOTAL	45,304
		78,304

Deterministic Routing, 2100 Lines

Figure 6-16

TABLE SIZE

TERMINATION TABLE

a. <u>1890</u> lines @ 16 bytes/line		30,240
b. <u>210</u> trunks @ 16 bytes/trunk		3,360
RECEIVER/SENDER TABLE	<u>36</u> @ 16 bytes/RS	576
REMOTE AREA CODE TABLE	200 @ 3 bytes/code	600
REMOTE EXCHANGE TABLE	600 @ 3 bytes/code	1,800
CONNECTIVITY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	-
DIRECTORY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	-
INFORMATION MATRIX	N entries @ 12 bytes/entry	-
STORED PATH ROUTING TABLE	2N (N-1) entries @ 7 bytes/entry	3,707
TRUNK TABLE	<u>210</u> trunks @ 8 bytes/trunk	1,680
OOB CHANNEL TABLE	<u>21</u> OOB channels @ 32 bytes/channel	672
TRUNK GROUP TABLE	<u>21</u> groups @ 4 bytes/group	84
HUNT GROUP TABLE	<u>21</u> hunt groups @ 20 bytes/group	420
STATUS & SCAN TABLES	<u>7</u> units @ 136 bytes/unit	952
QUEUES	<u>7</u> modules @ 912 bytes/module	6,384
CALL ATTENDANT TABLE	<u>2</u> attendants @ 32 bytes/attendant	64
CONSTANTS & WORK AREA		<u>1,000</u>
	TOTAL	51,640
		84,640

Deterministic Routing, 2400 Lines

Figure 6-17

TABLE SIZE

TERMINATION TABLE

a. <u>2160</u> lines @ 16 bytes/line		34,560
b. <u>240</u> trunks @ 16 bytes/trunk		3,840
RECEIVER/SENDER TABLE	<u>40</u> @ 16 bytes/RS	640
REMOTE AREA CODE TABLE	200 @ 3 bytes/code	600
REMOTE EXCHANGE TABLE	600 @ 3 bytes/code	1,800
CONNECTIVITY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	-
DIRECTORY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	-
INFORMATION MATRIX	N entries @ 12 bytes/entry	-
STORED PATH ROUTING TABLE	2N (N-1) entries @ 7 bytes/entry	3,808
TRUNK TABLE	<u>240</u> trunks @ 8 bytes/trunk	1,920
OOB CHANNEL TABLE	<u>24</u> OOB channels @ 32 bytes/channel	768
TRUNK GROUP TABLE	<u>24</u> groups @ 4 bytes/group	96
HUNT GROUP TABLE	<u>24</u> hunt groups @ 20 bytes/group	480
STATUS & SCAN TABLES	<u>8</u> units @ 136 bytes/unit	1,088
QUEUES	<u>8</u> modules @ 912 bytes/module	7,296
CAL'. ATTENDANT TABLE	<u>2</u> attendants @ 32 bytes/attendant	64
CONSTANTS & WORK AREA		1,000
	TOTAL	57,960
		90,960

DART, 300 Lines

Figure 6-18

TABLE SIZE

TERMINATION TABLE

a. <u>270</u> lines @ 16 bytes/line		4,320
b. <u>30</u> trunks @ 16 bytes/trunk		480
RECEIVER/SENDER TABLE	<u>7</u> @ 16 bytes/RS	112
REMOTE AREA CODE TABLE	200 @ 3 bytes/code	600
REMOTE EXCHANGE TABLE	600 @ 3 bytes/code	1,800
CONNECTIVITY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	578
DIRECTORY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	578
INFORMATION MATRIX	N entries @ 12 bytes/entry	204
STORED PATH ROUTING TABLE	2N (N-1) entries @ 7 bytes/entry	3,808
TRUNK TABLE	<u>30</u> trunks @ 8 bytes/trunk	240
OOB CHANNEL TABLE	<u>3</u> OOB channels @ 32 bytes/channel	96
TRUNK GROUP TABLE	<u>3</u> groups @ 4 bytes/group	12
HUNT GROUP TABLE	<u>3</u> hunt groups @ 20 bytes/group	60
STATUS & SCAN TABLES	<u>1</u> units @ 136 bytes/unit	136
QUEUES	<u>1</u> modules @ 912 bytes/module	912
CALL ATTENDANT TABLE	<u>1</u> attendants @ 32 bytes/attendant	32
CONSTANTS & WORK AREA		<u>1,000</u>
	TOTAL	14,968
		57,368

DART, 600 Lines

Figure 6-19

TABLE SIZE

TERMINATION TABLE

a. <u>540</u> lines @ 16 bytes/line		8,640
b. <u>60</u> trunks @ 16 bytes/trunk		960
RECEIVER/SENDER TABLE	<u>12</u> @ 16 bytes/RS	192
REMOTE AREA CODE TABLE	200 @ 3 bytes/code	600
REMOTE EXCHANGE TABLE	600 @ 3 bytes/code	1,800
CONNECTIVITY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	578
DIRECTORY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	578
INFORMATION MATRIX	N entries @ 12 bytes/entry	204
STORED PATH ROUTING TABLE	2N (N-1) entries @ 7 bytes/entry	1,808
TRUNK TABLE	<u>60</u> trunks @ 8 bytes/trunk	480
OOB CHANNEL TABLE	<u>6</u> OOB channels @ 32 bytes/channel	192
TRUNK GROUP TABLE	<u>6</u> groups @ 4 bytes/group	24
HUNT GROUP TABLE	<u>6</u> hunt groups @ 20 bytes/group	120
STATUS & SCAN TABLES	<u>2</u> units @ 136 bytes/unit	272
QUEUES	<u>2</u> modules @ 912 bytes/module	1,824
CALL ATTENDANT TABLE	<u>1</u> attendants @ 32 bytes/attendant	32
CONSTANTS & WORK AREA		<u>1,000</u>
	TOTAL	21,304
		63,704

DART, 900 Lines

Figure 6-20

TABLE SIZE

TERMINATION TABLE

a. <u>810</u> lines @ 16 bytes/line		12,960
b. <u>90</u> trunks @ 16 bytes/trunk		1,440
RECEIVER/SENDER TABLE	<u>17</u> @ 16 bytes/RS	272
REMOTE AREA CODE TABLE	200 @ 3 bytes/code	600
REMOTE EXCHANGE TABLE	600 @ 3 bytes/code	1,800
CONNECTIVITY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	578
DIRECTORY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	578
INFORMATION MATRIX	N entries @ 12 bytes/entry	204
STORED PATH ROUTING TABLE	2N (N-1) entries @ 7 bytes/entry	3,808
TRUNK TABLE	<u>90</u> trunks @ 8 bytes/trunk	720
OOB CHANNEL TABLE	<u>9</u> OOB channels @ 32 bytes/channel	288
TRUNK GROUP TABLE	<u>9</u> groups @ 4 bytes/group	36
HUNT GROUP TABLE	<u>9</u> hunt groups @ 20 bytes/group	180
STATUS & SCAN TABLES	<u>3</u> units @ 136 bytes/unit	408
QUEUES	<u>3</u> modules @ 912 bytes/module	2,736
CALL ATTENDANT TABLE	<u>1</u> attendants @ 32 bytes/attendant	32
CONSTANTS & WORK AREA		1,000
	TOTAL	27,640
		70,040

DART, 1200 Lines

Figure 6-21

TABLE SIZE

TERMINATION TABLE

a.	<u>1080</u> lines @ 16 bytes/line	17,280
b.	<u>120</u> trunks @ 16 bytes/trunk	1,920
RECEIVER/SENDER TABLE	<u>22</u> @ 16 bytes/RS	352
REMOTE AREA CODE TABLE	200 @ 3 bytes/code	600
REMOTE EXCHANGE TABLE	600 @ 3 bytes/code	1,800
CONNECTIVITY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	578
DIRECTORY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	578
INFORMATION MATRIX	N entries @ 12 bytes/entry	204
STORED PATH ROUTING TABLE	2N (N-1) entries @ 7 bytes/entry	3,808
TRUNK TABLE	<u>120</u> trunks @ 8 bytes/trunk	960
OOB CHANNEL TABLE	<u>12</u> OOB channels @ 32 bytes/channel	384
TRUNK GROUP TABLE	<u>12</u> groups @ 4 bytes/group	48
HUNT GROUP TABLE	<u>12</u> hunt groups @ 20 bytes/group	240
STATUS & SCAN TABLES	<u>4</u> units @ 136 bytes/unit	544
QUEUES	<u>4</u> modules @ 912 bytes/module	3,648
CALL ATTENDANT TABLE	<u>1</u> attendants @ 32 bytes/attendant	32
CONSTANTS & WORK AREA		1,000
	TOTAL	33,976
		76,376

DART, 1500 Lines

Figure 6-22

TABLE SIZE

TERMINATION TABLE

a. <u>1350</u> lines @ 16 bytes/line		21,600
b. <u>150</u> trunks @ 16 bytes/trunk		2,400
RECEIVER/SENDER TABLE	<u>27</u> @ 16 bytes/RS	432
REMOTE AREA CODE TABLE	200 @ 3 bytes/code	600
REMOTE EXCHANGE TABLE	600 @ 3 bytes/code	1,800
CONNECTIVITY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	578
DIRECTORY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	578
INFORMATION MATRIX	N entries @ 12 bytes/entry	204
STORED PATH ROUTING TABLE	2N (N-1) entries @ 7 bytes/entry	3,808
TRUNK TABLE	<u>150</u> trunks @ 8 bytes/trunk	1,200
OOB CHANNEL TABLE	<u>15</u> OOB channels @ 32 bytes/channel	480
TRUNK GROUP TABLE	<u>15</u> groups @ 4 bytes/group	60
HUNT GROUP TABLE	<u>15</u> hunt groups @ 20 bytes/group	300
STATUS & SCAN TABLES	<u>5</u> units @ 136 bytes/unit	680
QUEUES	<u>5</u> modules @ 912 bytes/module	4,560
CALL ATTENDANT TABLE	<u>2</u> attendants @ 32 bytes/attendant	64
CONSTANTS & WORK AREA		<u>1,000</u>
	TOTAL	40,344
		82,744

DART, 1800 Lines

Figure 6-23

TABLE SIZE

TERMINATION TABLE

a. <u>1620</u> lines @ 16 bytes/line		25,920
b. <u>180</u> trunks @ 16 bytes/trunk		2,880
RECEIVER/SENDER TABLE	<u>31</u> @ 16 bytes/RS	496
REMOTE AREA CODE TABLE	200 @ 3 bytes/code	600
REMOTE EXCHANGE TABLE	600 @ 3 bytes/code	1,800
CONNECTIVITY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	578
DIRECTORY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	578
INFORMATION MATRIX	N entries @ 12 bytes/entry	204
STORED PATH ROUTING TABLE	2N (N-1) entries @ 7 bytes/entry	3,808
TRUNK TABLE	<u>180</u> trunks @ 8 bytes/trunk	1,440
OOB CHANNEL TABLE	<u>18</u> OOB channels @ 32 bytes/channel	576
TRUNK GROUP TABLE	<u>18</u> groups @ 4 bytes/group	72
HUNT GROUP TABLE	<u>18</u> hunt groups @ 20 bytes/group	360
STATUS & SCAN TABLES	<u>6</u> units @ 136 bytes/unit	816
QUEUES	<u>6</u> modules @ 912 bytes/module	5,472
CALL ATTENDANT TABLE	<u>2</u> attendants @ 32 bytes/attendant	64
CONSTANTS & WORK AREA		<u>1,000</u>
	TOTAL	46,664
		89,064

DART, 2100 Lines

Figure 6-24

TABLE SIZE

TERMINATION TABLE

a. <u>1890</u> lines @ 16 bytes/line		30,240
b. <u>210</u> trunks @ 16 bytes/trunk		3,360
RECEIVER/SENDER TABLE	<u>36</u> @ 16 bytes/RS	576
REMOTE AREA CODE TABLE	200 @ 3 bytes/code	600
REMOTE EXCHANGE TABLE	600 @ 3 bytes/code	1,800
CONNECTIVITY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	578
DIRECTORY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	578
INFORMATION MATRIX	N entries @ 12 bytes/entry	204
STORED PATH ROUTING TABLE	2N (N-1) entries @ 7 bytes/entry	3,808
TRUNK TABLE	<u>210</u> trunks @ 8 bytes/trunk	1,680
OOB CHANNEL TABLE	<u>21</u> OOB channels @ 32 bytes/channel	673
TRUNK GROUP TABLE	<u>21</u> groups @ 4 bytes/group	84
HUNT GROUP TABLE	<u>21</u> hunt groups @ 20 bytes/group	420
STATUS & SCAN TABLES	<u>7</u> units @ 136 bytes/unit	952
QUEUES	<u>7</u> modules @ 912 bytes/module	6,384
CALL ATTENDANT TABLE	<u>2</u> attendants @ 32 bytes/attendant	64
CONSTANTS & WORK AREA		<u>1,000</u>
	TOTAL	53,000
		95,400


DART, 2400 Lines

Figure 6-25

TABLE SIZE

TERMINATION TABLE

a.	<u>2160</u> lines @ 16 bytes/line	34,560
b.	<u>240</u> trunks @ 16 bytes/trunk	3,840
RECEIVER/SENDER TABLE	<u>40</u> @ 16 bytes/RS	640
REMOTE AREA CODE TABLE	200 @ 3 bytes/code	600
REMOTE EXCHANGE TABLE	600 @ 3 bytes/code	1,800
CONNECTIVITY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	578
DIRECTORY MATRIX	NXN entries @ 2 bytes/entry	578
INFORMATION MATRIX	N entries @ 12 bytes/entry	204
STORED PATH ROUTING TABLE	2N (N-1) entries @ 7 bytes/entry	3,808
TRUNK TABLE	<u>240</u> trunks @ 8 bytes/trunk	1,920
OOB CHANNEL TABLE	<u>24</u> OOB channels @ 32 bytes/channel	768
TRUNK GROUP TABLE	<u>24</u> groups @ 4 bytes/group	96
HUNT GROUP TABLE	<u>24</u> hunt groups @ 20 bytes/group	480
STATUS & SCAN TABLES	<u>8</u> units @ 136 bytes/unit	1,088
QUEUES	<u>8</u> modules @ 912 bytes/module	7,296
CALL ATTENDANT TABLE	<u>2</u> attendants @ 32 bytes/attendant	64
CONSTANTS & WORK AREA		<u>1,000</u>
	TOTAL	59,320
		<u>101,720</u>



NUMBER OF LINES  
Figure 6-26

#### 6.2.4 CALL PROCESSING TIME CONSIDERATIONS

An analysis of call processing times was performed using flow charts representing the ICMS controller (with necessary modifications) as a baseline. The sequence of events required to support the signaling/supervision concepts described in section 3 was partitioned into seventeen processor-related functions. The processing time required for each of these functions was estimated using the baseline flow charts. The results of this estimation process are given in Figure 6-27. These estimates are necessarily single-thread; no attempt was made to account for multiprocessing delays, traffic density, error conditions, or local call processing.

Since the cross-network delay varies with the number of nodes in the path, a basic 3 node path through the network was assumed for this discussion; each such path included an originating node, an intermediate node, and a destination node.


The time to complete a call was defined as the elapsed time from receipt of the last dialed digit at the originating node to the establishment of the switching connections at the originating node. Signaling/supervision messages were assumed to be 20 characters in length and transmitted over 4.8 KB/sec out-of-band signaling channels between nodes. The effects of propagation and queuing delays are neglected for the purposes of this single-thread analysis.

Figure 6-28 represents the sequence of events involved in setting up and tearing down a remote call in a non-hierarchical network using a deterministic routing scheme.

ESTIMATED PROCESSING TIMES FOR SELECTED  
CALL PROCESSING FUNCTIONS

FIGURE 6-27

1. Last dialed digit received processor & interrupted (maximum)	20 msec
2. Obtain deterministic path from table	1 msec
3. Evaluate failure data & regenerate 2 matrices	314 msec
4. Calculate new path	136 msec
5. Generate connection request OOB message	2 msec
6. Process received connection request OOB message	1 msec
7. Generate path request OOB message	2 msec
8. Process received path request OOB message	1 msec
9. Generate path response OOB message	2 msec
10. Process received path response OOB message	1 msec
11. Make & test 2 matrix connections	5 msec
12. Generate lockin OOB message	2 msec
13. Process received lockin OOB message	1 msec
14. Break & test 2 matrix connections	3 msec
15. Generate disconnect OOB message	2 msec
16. Process received disconnect OOB message	1 msec
17. Transmit OOB message (20 characters @ 4.8 KB/sec)	33 msec




DET (NON-HIERARCHICAL NETWORK)

Figure 6-28

The sequence of events begins at the originating node when the last dialed digit is received by the processor. This event is symbolized by the "1" under the originating node; the "1" refers to the processor related function listed in Figure 6-27. Similarly, the "2" represents the routing table look-up function listed in Figure 6-27. By following the flow depicted in Figure 6-28 and adding up the times estimated for each function performed, a total call set-up time can be assigned to the deterministic routing, non-hierarchical network situation. In this case, the total call set-up time is 176 milliseconds. This assumes that a connection is established on the first attempt.


Figure 6-29 represents the sequence of events involved in setting up and tearing down a remote call in a non-hierarchical network using a deterministic/adaptive routing scheme. The sequence of events begins at the originating node when the last dialed digit is received by the processor. This event is symbolized by the "1" under the originating node, as before. However, in an attempt to normalize the measure of call processing time for the deterministic/adaptive case, the time required to try the primary and secondary deterministic routes is ignored. This omission is symbolized by the dots under the originating node. The net effect is to restrict the analysis to calls completed in a single attempt - either over a deterministic path or over an adaptive path. This constraint does not obscure the results of the analysis; rather, it insures that apples are compared only with apples.

Figure 6-30 represents a hierarchical network with deterministic routing and Figure 6-31 a hierarchical network




DART (NON-HIERARCHICAL NETWORK)

Figure 6-29



DET (HIERARCHICAL NETWORK)

Figure 6-30



DART (HIERARCHICAL NETWORK)

Figure 6-31

with deterministic/adaptive routing. A comparison of the results obtained from the analysis of call processing times in these four situations is presented in Figure 6-32. Note that the call breakdown time is the same for each of the four cases.

#### 6.2.5 CONCLUSIONS

The implications of the analysis of memory requirements presented above are rather straightforward. Memory size is determined by two distinct factors. Storage required for tables is a nearly linear function of the number of lines terminated by the circuit switch. The table storage required to implement the DART routing scheme is only slightly greater (1350 bytes) than that required for the deterministic routing scheme.

Program storage requirements remain fixed with respect to a specified set of features regardless of circuit switch capacity, over the range of sizes considered in the analysis. The implementation of DART requires approximately 9500 bytes more memory storage than the deterministic algorithm. This is more significant than the differential table storage required for DART. Taken together, both program and tables account for a 10,850 byte additional memory requirement for DART when compared to the deterministic routing scheme.

In terms of call processing times, the implications of the analysis are seemingly unambiguous: in every situation, the deterministic routing algorithm is faster than DART. But this conclusion can be misleading. Neglecting the effects of queueing delays (which may be significant), both DET and DART take less than 1 second to establish a

COMPARISON OF CALL PROCESSING TIMES  
FOR CANDIDATE ROUTING ALGORITHMS

FIGURE 6-32

	NON-HIERARCHICAL	HIERARCHICAL
Connect	176 msec	248 msec
DET Disconnect	79 msec	79 msec
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total	255 msec	327 msec

Connect	625 msec	697 msec
DART Disconnect	79 msec	79 msec
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total	704 msec	776 msec

connection across 3 nodes in both non-hierarchical and hierarchical network situations.

A strong case for either DET or DART can be made depending on the criteria under which the evaluation is made. Within the context of this section, deterministic routing in a non-hierarchical network results in minimal call processing times while deterministic routing in a hierarchical network results in minimum memory size for each capacity circuit switch considered (tributary nodes are 10,850 bytes smaller than regional nodes in this case).

The reasons for this state of affairs can be traced to at least two primary causes. First, DART is the same as deterministic routing for the selection of primary and secondary routes. Thus, the adaptive (calculated) routing program and associated storage are resident in memory even when only the deterministic routing scheme is needed for a particular path selection. Thus, DART contains a significant built-in overhead which is unproductive a large percentage of the time.

Second, DART requires global information concerning network connectivity which is arranged in matrix form. Manipulation of matrices requires processing which is geometrically related to the size of the array. The analysis presented above assumed that the network contained 17 nodes. For larger networks, the amount of processing involved rapidly becomes intolerable.

The analysis presented here is predicated upon a particular processor architecture and a proven technology. The advent of economically practical associative memories, for example, may mitigate the situation by decreasing the

processing involved in large matrix manipulation. Modifications to the adaptive routing algorithm, such as restricting connectivity information to local requirements and distributing path construction responsibility among nodes along the path, can also provide some relief. The assignment of routing tasks to a special purpose microprocessor (similar to the Fast Fourier Transform function in signal processing systems) may prove to be effective.

Based on these considerations, it is difficult to predict the final outcome of the deterministic versus deterministic/adaptive trade-off. However, the scales seem to tip in favor of the deterministic routing algorithm at the present time. It remains to be seen if DART can ultimately be made to compete on the basis of program and memory size and call processing times.

## 7.0 PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED

During the design of the simulation model, several problems were encountered pertaining to model development, model implementation and computer utilization. In most cases, the resolution of the problems involved substantial time required by discussions with appropriate personnel and subsequent program debugging.

### 7.1 COMPUTER UTILIZATION

Originally the simulation was developed for operation on a Univac Series 70 processor using the FLOW SIMulator (FLOSIM) language. This computer selection created two significant problems; a local core limitation of 200K and the utilization of partially supported software package. Since several language errors were discovered in the preliminary stages, a debug cycle was deemed necessary.

These were reported to Univac in hopes of creating a completely useful FLOSIM language. In several extreme cases, solutions could not be easily found, and some verbs and entities had to be eliminated in the program development. The end result was that the memory limits became more critical. (Storage was a typical example of an unavailable entity; facilities had to be used instead.) In an attempt to optimally utilize core, some programming required modifications in order to overlay data regions. This technique optimization and the overlay ideas were justly incorporated in the design of connectivity, directory and information matrices.

A decision to convert to an IBM virtual memory system using GPSS was made. This decision resulted in a time and money cost required to acquaint personnel and modify the coding for the new computer system.

## 7.2 MODEL DEVELOPMENT

Since the simulation was intended to aid in developing an advanced routing protocol many discussions were required in establishing a viable model. One of the problems requiring resolution was "message responsibility" during the phases of message delivery; it was decided the origination node has responsibility at all times. Another problem dealt with pre-empted packet messages. All packets must terminate at the same responsible destination node before transmission to the tributary; however, the transmission path may vary so long as the responsible origination node was constant.

The most significant problem in model development dealt with the generation of output statistics. Since the program was to be modularized, statistics were to be generated in an off-line program. But due to the dynamic nature of the model this was not possible, and an integration of statistics and network simulator programming was necessary. Accompanying this problem was the definition and tabulation of required results; this necessitated coding to generate the results specified by the Statement of Work and later data gathering in order to provide analysis information.

### 7.3 MODEL UTILIZATION

The debug state of the Network Simulator caused many complex problems to develop which had never been recognized. The most tedious of the problems occurred with the pre-emption scheme; it had been believed pre-emption could only occur during information transmission. However, it was soon apparent pre-emption during signaling/supervision was possible. Therefore, the pre-emption had to be upgraded and modified to cope with connection request, lockin and disconnect signaling.

The next problem required solution to the queuing theme; should it be FIFO, LIFO, depending upon time of pre-emption, priority or both?

A FIFO scheme based on priority was finally selected for this model.

Another problem with the priority scheme caused a re-evaluation of the reservation-acquisition of trunks. After modifying the routing protocol all trunks were acquired at the same time, but reservations occurred separately. This method was finally eliminated in favor of present reservation and acquisition method.

A final problem dealt with the Network Simulator construction; when is a message entering the node, exiting the node or in transit between nodes? This conflict created some problems since the exact message location to node travel was required in determining the proper pre-emption signaling to be used.

In conclusion, the problems discussed summarize some of the problem areas encountered. They represent a significant portion of the major problems, and to the extent that they were resolved, it is not necessary to discuss here the details of the solution. In each case many hours were spent in discussion, defining and resolving the problem, but the specific modifications since these are incorporated in the program.

## 8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER STUDY

1. An area of concern which requires further analysis is that of determining whether the model is in a transient or a relatively steady state for any start-up traffic stimuli. The conditions were considered on an empirical basis for the program work described. Essentially, the model was allowed to run for some time to allow for start-up transients and traffic distributions over various routes. Although various other empirical solutions appear reasonable (e.g.,  $n+1^{\text{th}}$  run would start with "saved values" from  $n^{\text{th}}$  run), this is an area of some interest.
2. In order to consider network conditions primarily, node processing and service delays were set at fixed values. It would be desirable to introduce "real world" switch processing delays to develop more insight into expected delays in establishing calls and delivering messages/packets in a network. The APT Telecommunications and/or TRI-TAC Programs might be the source of such nodal data.
3. The effect of satellite/long delay links has not been considered. Since these will be part of future long-haul networks, the alternatives offered by such links as part of characterizing the efficiency of any single routing plan and interconnection plan are areas of interest. In particular, since present satellites offer large bandwidth of high quality performance, they appear as attractive alternatives to terrestrial trunking over tandem nodes. However, their present vulnerability to jamming or interdiction would mean that terrestrial aspects of a common satellite ground network would have to accept redistribution of traffic under emergency conditions.

4. The response of the model to imposed traffic does not necessarily lead to stable conditions until some time (both real and simulated) has elapsed. Since empirically derived stability can be expensive in terms of CPU time, and requires considerable analysis to determine whether a stable state has been reached, it is suggested that this area be studied to:
  - a. develop operating guidelines, or
  - b. develop either an analytic approach or a quantitative measure to determine stability.
5. The Calculated Path algorithm is useful for either simulation models or for use on an on-line basis at a node or a network management center. However, the present technique is matrix structured, and essentially is based on an NXM matrix. This is time and core consuming as the dimensions of the inter-nodal paths increase. It is suggested that other techniques be analyzed. For example, two candidates might be used of a "folded matrix" using a triangular array, or a directive search built around a table or list structure.
6. Investigation of the effect (and delay) of network management in supplying a calculated path in both hierarchical and non-hierarchical networks is an area of some interest to a network designer.
7. A comparison of TRI-TAC /DIN II and projected DCS signaling/supervision with that developed under ADSS might be useful using the developed model and program.

8. A technique for reducing total CPU run times on the model was suggested in meetings between RADC and RCA. This technique is attractive since it assumes that run "n" can start up with conditions which existed at some selected point (other than the start) in run "n-1". This would use a SAVETAPE, which collects and stores network conditions prior to completion of a run, and then calls up these conditions on the next run. The effect of savings in CPU/model run times should be considered along with the validity of using these "saved" conditions to reflect a "loaded" network in this latest run.

APPENDIX I  
ANOMALY STATISTICS

This appendix lists the tables used to accumulate the various statistics in the simulation.



TABLE 62 (LTCL1) P21 LOST CALLS 1ST PATH		
ENTRY	DESCRIPTION	
		13824200 6749
		13824300 6750
		13824400 6751
		13824500 6752
1	SUBSCRIBER BUSY (CS)	13824600 6753
2	PREEMPTION (CS,IPNR)	13824700 6754
3	NO CONNECTION POSSIBLE	13824800 6755
4	NODE BUSY AT OT	13824900 6756
		13825000 6757
		13825100 6758
		13825200 6759
		13825300 6760
		13825400 6761
		13825500 6762
1	SUBSCRIBER BUSY (CS)	13825600 6763
2	PREEMPTION (CS,IPNR)	13825700 6764
3	NO CONNECTION POSSIBLE	13825800 6765
4	NODE BUSY	13825900 6766
5	TRUNKS BUSY	13826000 6767
		13826100 6768
		13826200 6769
		13826300 6770
		13826400 6771
		13826500 6772
		13826600 6773
1	SUBSCRIBER BUSY (CS)	13826700 6774
2	PREEMPTION (CS,IPNR)	13826800 6775
3	NO CONNECTION POSSIBLE	13826900 6776
4	NODE BUSY	13827000 6777
5	TRUNKS BUSY	13827100 6778
		13827200 6779
		13827300 6780
		13827400 6781
		13827500 6782
		13827600 6783
		13827700 6784
1	SUBSCRIBER BUSY (NOT CS)	13827800 6785
2	PREEMPTION (RPNR,DPNR)	13827900 6786
3	NACKS	13828000 6787
4	NODE BUSY	13828100 6788
5	TRUNKS BUSY	13828200 6789
		13828300 6790
		13828400 6791
		13828500 6792
		13828600 6793
		13828700 6794
		13828800 6795
1	SUBSCRIBER BUSY (NOT CS)	13828900 6796
2	PREEMPTION (RPNR,DPNR)	13829000 6797
3	NACKS	13829100 6798
4	NODE BUSY	13829200 6799
5	TRUNKS BUSY	13829300 6800
		13829400 6801

TABLE 63 (LTCL2) P21 LOST CALLS 2ND PATH		
ENTRY	DESCRIPTION	
		13829500 6761
		13829600 6762
		13829700 6763
		13829800 6764
		13829900 6765
		13830000 6766
		13830100 6767
		13830200 6768
		13830300 6769
		13830400 6770
		13830500 6771
		13830600 6772
		13830700 6773
		13830800 6774
		13830900 6775
		13831000 6776
		13831100 6777
		13831200 6778
		13831300 6779
		13831400 6780
		13831500 6781
		13831600 6782
		13831700 6783
		13831800 6784
		13831900 6785
		13832000 6786
		13832100 6787
		13832200 6788
		13832300 6789
		13832400 6790
		13832500 6791
		13832600 6792
		13832700 6793
		13832800 6794
		13832900 6795
		13833000 6796
		13833100 6797
		13833200 6798
		13833300 6799
		13833400 6800
		13833500 6801

TABLE 64 (LTCL3) P22 LOST CALLS 1ST & SECOND PATHS		
ENTRY	DESCRIPTION	
		13833600 6771
		13833700 6772
		13833800 6773
		13833900 6774
		13834000 6775
		13834100 6776
		13834200 6777
		13834300 6778
		13834400 6779
		13834500 6780
		13834600 6781
		13834700 6782
		13834800 6783
		13834900 6784
		13835000 6785
		13835100 6786
		13835200 6787
		13835300 6788
		13835400 6789
		13835500 6790
		13835600 6791
		13835700 6792
		13835800 6793
		13835900 6794
		13836000 6795
		13836100 6796
		13836200 6797
		13836300 6798
		13836400 6799
		13836500 6800
		13836600 6801

TABLE 65 (BLFQ1) P20 BLOCKED CALLS 1ST PATH		
ENTRY	DESCRIPTION	
		13836700 6784
		13836800 6785
		13836900 6786
		13837000 6787
		13837100 6788
		13837200 6789
		13837300 6790
		13837400 6791
		13837500 6792
		13837600 6793
		13837700 6794
		13837800 6795
		13837900 6796
		13838000 6797
		13838100 6798
		13838200 6799
		13838300 6800
		13838400 6801

TABLE 66 (BLFQ2) P20 BLOCKED CALLS 2ND PATH		
ENTRY	DESCRIPTION	
		13838500 6794
		13838600 6795
		13838700 6796
		13838800 6797
		13838900 6798
		13839000 6799
		13839100 6800
		13839200 6801

DETM (CONT'D.)



THE SWITCH SUBROUTINE IS DESIGNED TO COLLECT STATISTICS ON THE	24819300	6953
NUMBER OF ANOMALIES THAT OCCUR DURING THE SIMULATION. THE ANOMALIES	24819400	6954
THAT STATISTICS WILL BE GATHER FOR ARE AS FOLLOWS:	24819500	6955
	24819600	6956
1.) SUBSCRIBER BUSY	24819700	6957
	24819800	6958
2.) PREEMPTION	24819900	6959
	24820000	6960
3.) NO CONNECTION POSSIBLE	24820100	6961
	24820200	6962
4.) 3 NACKS	24820300	6963
	24820400	6964
5.) NODE BUSY	24820500	6965
	24820600	6966
6.) TRUNKS BUSY	24820700	6967
	24820800	6968
	24820900	6969
THESE ANOMALIES WILL BE BROKEN DOWN TO SHOW HOW MANY OCCURRED ON	24821000	6970
THE FIRST PATH, HOW MANY ON THE SECOND PATH, AND HOW MANY ON THE	24821100	6971
FIRST & SECOND PATH. WHERE NEEDED THE ANOMALIES ARE ALSO BROKEN	24821200	6972
DOWN INTO MESSAGE TYPE GROUPS.	24821300	6973
	24821400	6974
	24821500	6975
P61 IS USED FOR THE SUBROUTINE RETURN	24821600	6976
	24821700	6977
	24821800	6978
***** NEEDED INPUT *****	24821900	6979
	24822000	6980
P2 MESSAGE TYPE	24822100	6981
	24822200	6982
P63 PATH REQUEST COUNTER	24822300	6983
	24822400	6984
P75 CONNECTION POSSIBLE	24822500	6985
	24822600	6986
	24822700	6987
***** OUTPUT *****	24822800	6988
	24822900	6989
	24823000	6990
THE OUTPUT OF THIS SUBROUTINE WILL BE EIGHT TABLES. THEY ARE	24823100	6991
TABLES 85 THRU 94. THE FOLLOWING IS A DESCRIPTION OF THE TABLES AND	24823200	6992
THEIR ENTRIES:	24823300	6993
	24823400	6994
	24823500	6995
TABLE 85 (DELST) P20 TOTAL LOST AND DELIVERED CALLS	24823600	6996
ENTRY DESCRIPTION	24823700	6997
	24823800	6998
	24823900	6999
1 TOTAL LOST CALLS	24824000	7000
2 TOTAL DELIVERED CALLS	24824100	7001
	24824200	7002
	24824300	7003
TABLE 86 (LOST) P21 LOST CALLS 1ST PATH	24824400	7004
ENTRY DESCRIPTION	24824500	7005
	24824600	7006
	24824700	7007
1 SUBSCRIBER BUSY (CS)	24824800	7008
2 PREEMPTION (CS,IPNR)	24824900	7009

DART (PROGRAMS 2&4)

TABLE DESCRIPTIONS

3	NO CONNECTION POSSIBLE	7010
4	MODE BUSY AT OT	7011
		7012
		7013
		7014
		7015
		7016
		7017
		7018
		7019
		7020
		7021
		7022
		7023
		7024
		7025
		7026
		7027
		7028
		7029
		7030
		7031
		7032
		7033
		7034
		7035
		7036
		7037
		7038
		7039
		7040
		7041
		7042
		7043
		7044
		7045
		7046
		7047
		7048
		7049
		7050
		7051
		7052
		7053
		7054
		7055
		7056
		7057
		7058
		7059
		7060
		7061
		7062
		7063
		7064
		7065
		7066
		7067
		7068
		7069
		7070
		7071
		7072
		7073
		7074
		7075
		7076
		7077
		7078
		7079
		7080
		7081
		7082
		7083
		7084
		7085
		7086
		7087
		7088
		7089
		7090
		7091
		7092
		7093
		7094
		7095
		7096
		7097
		7098
		7099
		7100
		7101
		7102
		7103
		7104
		7105
		7106
		7107
		7108
		7109
		7110
		7111
		7112
		7113
		7114
		7115
		7116
		7117
		7118
		7119
		7120
		7121
		7122
		7123
		7124
		7125
		7126
		7127
		7128
		7129
		7130
		7131
		7132
		7133
		7134
		7135
		7136
		7137
		7138
		7139
		7140
		7141
		7142
		7143
		7144
		7145
		7146
		7147
		7148
		7149
		7150
		7151
		7152
		7153
		7154
		7155
		7156
		7157
		7158
		7159
		7160
		7161
		7162
		7163
		7164
		7165
		7166
		7167
		7168
		7169
		7170
		7171
		7172
		7173
		7174
		7175
		7176
		7177
		7178
		7179
		7180
		7181
		7182
		7183
		7184
		7185
		7186
		7187
		7188
		7189
		7190
		7191
		7192
		7193
		7194
		7195
		7196
		7197
		7198
		7199
		7200
		7201
		7202
		7203
		7204
		7205
		7206
		7207
		7208
		7209
		7210
		7211
		7212
		7213
		7214
		7215
		7216
		7217
		7218
		7219
		7220
		7221
		7222
		7223
		7224
		7225
		7226
		7227
		7228
		7229
		7230
		7231
		7232
		7233
		7234
		7235
		7236
		7237
		7238
		7239
		7240
		7241
		7242
		7243
		7244
		7245
		7246
		7247
		7248
		7249
		7250
		7251
		7252
		7253
		7254
		7255
		7256
		7257
		7258
		7259
		7260
		7261
		7262
		7263
		7264
		7265
		7266
		7267
		7268
		7269
		7270
		7271
		7272
		7273
		7274
		7275
		7276
		7277
		7278
		7279
		7280
		7281
		7282
		7283
		7284
		7285
		7286
		7287
		7288
		7289
		7290
		7291
		7292
		7293
		7294
		7295
		7296
		7297
		7298
		7299
		7300
		7301
		7302
		7303
		7304
		7305
		7306
		7307
		7308
		7309
		7310
		7311
		7312
		7313
		7314
		7315
		7316
		7317
		7318
		7319
		7320
		7321
		7322
		7323
		7324
		7325
		7326
		7327
		7328
		7329
		7330
		7331
		7332
		7333
		7334
		7335
		7336
		7337
		7338
		7339
		7340
		7341
		7342
		7343
		7344
		7345
		7346
		7347
		7348
		7349
		7350
		7351
		7352
		7353
		7354
		7355
		7356
		7357
		7358
		7359
		7360
		7361
		7362
		7363
		7364
		7365
		7366
		7367
		7368
		7369
		7370
		7371
		7372
		7373
		7374
		7375
		7376
		7377
		7378
		7379
		7380
		7381
		7382
		7383
		7384
		7385
		7386
		7387
		7388
		7389
		7390
		7391
		7392
		7393
		7394
		7395
		7396
		7397
		7398
		7399
		7400
		7401
		7402
		7403
		7404
		7405
		7406
		7407
		7408
		7409
		7410
		7411
		7412
		7413
		7414
		7415
		7416
		7417
		7418
		7419
		7420
		7421
		7422
		7423
		7424
		7425
		7426
		7427
		7428
		7429
		7430
		7431
		7432
		7433
		7434
		7435
		7436
		7437
		7438
		7439
		7440
		7441
		7442
		7443
		7444
		7445
		7446
		7447
		7448
		7449
		7450
		7451
		7452
		7453
		7454
		7455
		7456
		7457
		7458
		7459
		7460
		7461
		7462
		7463
		7464
		7465
		7466
		7467
		7468
		7469
		7470
		7471
		7472
		7473
		7474
		7475
		7476
		7477
		7478
		7479
		7480
		7481
		7482
		7483
		7484
		7485
		7486
		7487
		7488
		7489
		7490
		7491
		7492
		7493
		7494
		7495
		7496
		7497
		7498
		7499
		7500
		7501
		7502
		7503
		7504
		7505
		7506
		7507
		7508
		7509
		7510
		7511
		7512
		7513
		7514
		7515
		7516
		7517
		7518
		7519
		7520
		7521
		7522
		7523
		7524
		7525
		7526
		7527
		7528
		7529
		7530
		7531
		7532
		7533
		7534
		7535
		7536
		7537
		7538
		7539
		7540
		7541
		7542
		7543
		7544
		7545
		7546
		7547
		7548
		7549
		7550
		7551
		7552
		7553
		7554
		7555
		7556
		7557
		7558
		7559
		7560
		7561
		7562
		7563
		7564
		7565
		7566
		7567
		7568
		7569

ENTRY	DESCRIPTION	24830600	7067
	TABLE 92 (BLKD3) P20 BLOCKED CALLS 3TH PATH	24830700	7068
		24830800	7069
		24830900	7070
1	SUBSCRIBER BUSY (NOT CS)	24831000	7071
2	PREEMPTION (RPNR,DPNR)	24831100	7072
3	3 NACKS	24831200	7073
4	NODE BUSY	24831201	7074
5	TRUNKS BUSY	24831202	7075
		24831300	7076
		24831400	7077
	TABLE 93 (BLKD4) P21 BLOCKED CALLS 1ST & 2ND & 3TH PATHS	24831500	7078
		24831600	7079
		24831700	7080
		24831800	7081
1	SUBSCRIBER BUSY (NOT CS)	24831900	7082
2	PREEMPTION (RPNR,DPNR)	24832000	7083
3	3 NACKS	24832100	7084
4	NODE BUSY	24832200	7085
5	TRUNKS BUSY	24832300	7086
		24832400	7087
		24832500	7088
	TABLE 94 (STR23) P23 MSGS RETURNED TO STORE AND 2ND & 3TH PATH RE	24832600	7089
		24832700	7090
		24832800	7091
		24832900	7092
1	PACKETS (PNR) RETURNED TO STORE	24833000	7093
2	MESSAGES (NR) RETURNED TO STORE	24833100	7094
3	REQUESTS FOR SECOND (2ND) PATH	24833200	7095
4	REQUESTS FOR THIRD (3TH) PATH	24833300	7096
		24833400	7097
		24833500	7098
		24833600	7099
		24833700	7100
		24833800	7101
	THE FOLLOWING PARAMETEPS ARE USED IN GLICH:	24833900	7102
	P20 TABULATE PAR. FOR TABLE DELST, BLKD1, & BLKD2 & BLKD3	24834000	7103
	P21 TABULATE PAR. FOR TABLE LOS1, LOS12, LOS13, & BLKD4	24834100	7104
		24834200	7105
	P22 TABULATE PAR. FOR TABLE LOS14	24834300	7106
		24834400	7107
	P23 TABULATE PAR FOR TABLE STR23	24834500	7108
		24834600	7109
	P61 SUBROUTINE RETURN	24834700	7110
		24834800	7111

DART (CONT'D.)

TABLE DELST  
ENTRIES IN TABLE 2000

MEAN ARGUMENT 1.904      STANDARD DEVIATION .293      SUM OF ARGUMENTS 3809.000      NON-WEIGHTED

UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	191	9.54	9.5	90.4	.525	-3.077
2	1809	90.44	100.0	.0	1.050	.324

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

TABLE LTCL1  
ENTRIES IN TABLE 140

MEAN ARGUMENT 1.399      STANDARD DEVIATION .520      SUM OF ARGUMENTS 196.000      NON-WEIGHTED

UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	86	61.42	61.4	38.5	.714	-.769
2	52	37.14	98.5	.14	1.428	1.153
3	2	1.42	100.0	.0	2.142	3.076

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

TABLE LTCL2  
ENTRIES IN TABLE 51

MEAN ARGUMENT 3.607      STANDARD DEVIATION 1.078      SUM OF ARGUMENTS 184.000      NON-WEIGHTED

UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	0	.00	.0	100.0	.277	-2.418
2	5	9.80	9.8	90.1	.554	-1.491
3	28	54.90	64.7	35.2	.831	-.563
4	0	.00	64.7	35.2	1.108	.363
5	18	35.29	100.0	.0	1.385	1.291

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

TABLE LTCL3  
ENTRIES IN TABLE 191

MEAN ARGUMENT 1.989      STANDARD DEVIATION 1.207      SUM OF ARGUMENTS 380.000      NON-WEIGHTED

UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	86	45.02	45.0	54.9	.502	-.819
2	57	29.84	74.8	.25.1	1.005	.008
3	30	15.70	90.5	9.4	1.507	.837
4	0	.00	90.5	9.4	2.010	1.665
5	18	9.42	100.0	.0	2.513	2.494

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

LOST CALL TABLES  
DETM - HIER (PROGRAM 1)

TABLE BLF01  
ENTRIES IN TABLE 251

MEAN ARGUMENT 3.350      STANDARD DEVIATION 1.878      SUM OF ARGUMENTS 841.000      NON-WEIGHTED

UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	84	33.46	33.4	66.5	.298	-1.251
2	22	8.76	42.2	57.7	.596	-.718
3	6	2.39	44.6	55.3	.895	-.186
4	0	.00	44.6	55.3	1.193	.345
5	139	55.37	100.0	.0	1.492	.877

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

TABLE BLF02  
ENTRIES IN TABLE 8

MEAN ARGUMENT 4.625      STANDARD DEVIATION 1.058      SUM OF ARGUMENTS 37.000      NON-WEIGHTED

UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	0	.00	.0	100.0	.216	-3.424
2	1	12.50	12.5	87.5	.432	-2.479
3	0	.00	12.5	87.5	.648	-1.535
4	0	.00	12.5	87.5	.864	-.590
5	7	87.50	100.0	.0	1.081	.354

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

TABLE BLF03  
ENTRIES IN TABLE 259

MEAN ARGUMENT 3.389      STANDARD DEVIATION 1.871      SUM OF ARGUMENTS 878.000      NON-WEIGHTED

UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	84	32.43	32.4	67.5	.294	-1.277
2	23	8.88	41.3	58.6	.589	-.742
3	6	2.31	43.6	56.3	.884	-.208
4	0	.00	43.6	56.3	1.179	.326
5	146	56.37	100.0	.0	1.474	.860

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

TABLE CMPT4  
ENTRIES IN TABLE 399

MEAN ARGUMENT 2.203      STANDARD DEVIATION .677      SUM OF ARGUMENTS 879.000      NON-WEIGHTED

UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	59	14.78	14.7	85.2	.453	-1.776
2	200	50.12	64.9	35.0	.907	-.299
3	140	35.08	100.0	.0	1.361	1.176

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

BLOCKED CALL TABLES  
DETM - HIER (PROGRAM 1)

TABLE DELST ENTRIES IN TABLE		MEAN ARGUMENT	STANDARD DEVIATION	SUM OF ARGUMENTS	NON-WEIGHTED	
		1.890	.312	5379.000		
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	311	10.93	10.9	89.0	.528	-2.854
2	2536	89.06	100.0	.0	1.057	.350

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

TABLE LOST1 ENTRIES IN TABLE		MEAN ARGUMENT	STANDARD DEVIATION	SUM OF ARGUMENTS	NON-WEIGHTED	
		1.899	.929	547.000		
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	140	48.61	48.6	51.3	.526	-.967
2	37	12.84	61.4	38.5	1.053	.108
3	111	38.54	100.0	.0	1.579	1.183

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

TABLE LOST2 ENTRIES IN TABLE		MEAN ARGUMENT	STANDARD DEVIATION	SUM OF ARGUMENTS	NON-WEIGHTED	
		2.944	.235	53.000		
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	0	.00	.0	100.0	.339	-8.253
2	1	5.55	5.5	94.4	.672	-4.008
3	17	94.44	100.0	.0	1.018	.235

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

TABLE LOST3 ENTRIES IN TABLE		MEAN ARGUMENT	STANDARD DEVIATION	SUM OF ARGUMENTS	NON-WEIGHTED	
		3.799	1.093	19.000		
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	0	.00	.0	100.0	.263	-2.559
2	0	.00	.0	100.0	.526	-1.645
3	3	59.99	59.9	40.0	.789	-.731
4	0	.00	59.9	40.0	1.052	.182
5	2	39.99	100.0	.0	1.315	1.097

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

TABLE LOST4 ENTRIES IN TABLE		MEAN ARGUMENT	STANDARD DEVIATION	SUM OF ARGUMENTS	NON-WEIGHTED	
		1.990	.965	619.000		
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	140	45.01	45.0	54.9	.502	-1.025
2	38	12.21	57.2	42.7	1.004	.009
3	131	42.12	99.3	.6	1.507	1.045
4	0	.00	99.3	.6	2.009	2.081
5	2	.64	100.0	.0	2.512	3.117

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

LOST CALL TABLES  
DART - HIER (PROGRAM 2)

TABLE RLK01		MEAN ARGUMENT	STANDARD DEVIATION	SUM OF ARGUMENTS	NON-WEIGHTED	
ENTRIES IN TABLE		2.802	1.882	583.000		
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	96	46.15	46.1	53.8	.356	-.957
2	19	9.13	55.2	44.7	.713	-.626
3	8	3.84	59.1	40.8	1.070	.104
4	0	.00	59.1	40.8	1.427	.635
5	85	40.86	100.0	.0	1.783	1.166

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

TABLE RLK02		MEAN ARGUMENT	STANDARD DEVIATION	SUM OF ARGUMENTS	NON-WEIGHTED	
ENTRIES IN TABLE		4.769	.832	62.000		
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	0	.00	.0	100.0	.209	-4.530
2	1	7.69	7.6	92.3	.419	-3.328
3	0	.00	7.6	92.3	.629	-2.126
4	0	.00	7.6	92.3	.838	-.924
5	12	92.30	100.0	.0	1.048	.277

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

TABLE RLK03		MEAN ARGUMENT	STANDARD DEVIATION	SUM OF ARGUMENTS	NON-WEIGHTED	
ENTRIES IN TABLE		5.000	.000	10.000		
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	0	.00	.0	100.0	.199	-.000
2	0	.00	.0	100.0	.399	-.000
3	0	.00	.0	100.0	.599	-.000
4	0	.00	.0	100.0	.799	-.000
5	2	100.00	100.0	.0	1.000	-.000

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

TABLE RLK04		MEAN ARGUMENT	STANDARD DEVIATION	SUM OF ARGUMENTS	NON-WEIGHTED	
ENTRIES IN TABLE		2.937	1.894	655.000		
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	96	43.04	43.0	56.9	.340	-1.022
2	20	8.96	52.0	47.9	.680	-.494
3	8	3.58	55.6	44.3	1.021	.033
4	0	.00	55.6	44.3	1.361	.560
5	99	44.39	100.0	.0	1.702	1.088

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

TABLE STR73		MEAN ARGUMENT	STANDARD DEVIATION	SUM OF ARGUMENTS	NON-WEIGHTED	
ENTRIES IN TABLE		2.174	.782	709.030		
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	61	18.71	18.7	81.2	.459	-1.501
2	162	49.69	68.4	31.5	.919	-.223
3	88	26.99	95.3	4.6	1.379	1.054
4	15	4.60	100.0	.0	1.839	2.332

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

TABLE CELST		MEAN ARGUMENT		STANDARD DEVIATION		SUM OF ARGUMENTS		NON-WEIGHTED	
ENTRIES IN TABLE		1.907		.290		4621.000			
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN			
1	225	9.28	9.2	90.7	.524	-3.125			
2	2198	90.71	100.0	.0	1.048	.319			

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

TABLE LTCL1		MEAN ARGUMENT		STANDARD DEVIATION		SUM OF ARGUMENTS		NON-WEIGHTED	
ENTRIES IN TABLE		1.352		.502		238.000			
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN			
1	116	65.90	65.9	34.0	.739	-1.701			
2	58	32.95	98.8	1.1	1.478	1.289			
3	2	1.13	100.0	.0	2.218	3.281			

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

TABLE LTCL2		MEAN ARGUMENT		STANDARD DEVIATION		SUM OF ARGUMENTS		NON-WEIGHTED	
ENTRIES IN TABLE		3.326		1.027		163.000			
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN			
1	0	.00	.0	100.0	.300	-2.264			
2	8	16.32	16.3	83.6	.601	-1.291			
3	29	59.18	75.5	24.4	.901	-.317			
4	0	.00	75.5	24.4	1.202	.655			
5	12	24.48	100.0	.0	1.503	1.628			

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

TABLE LTCL3		MEAN ARGUMENT		STANDARD DEVIATION		SUM OF ARGUMENTS		NON-WEIGHTED	
ENTRIES IN TABLE		1.762		1.042		401.000			
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN			
1	116	51.95	51.5	48.4	.561	-.749			
2	64	29.33	80.8	19.1	1.122	.208			
3	31	13.77	94.6	5.3	1.683	1.167			
4	0	.00	94.6	5.3	2.244	2.126			
5	12	5.33	100.0	.0	2.805	3.085			

REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO

LOST CALL TABLES  
DET - NON H (PROGRAM 3)

TABLE BLFQ1		MEAN ARGUMENT		STANDARD DEVIATION		SUM OF ARGUMENTS		NON-WEIGHTED	
ENTRIES IN TABLE		3.165		1.890		842.000			
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN			
1	98	36.84	36.8	63.1	.315	-1.145			
2	28	10.52	47.3	52.6	.631	-.616			
3	6	2.25	49.6	50.3	.947	-.087			
4	0	.00	49.6	50.3	1.263	-.441			
5	134	50.37	100.0	.0	1.579	.970			
REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO									

TABLE BLFQ2		MEAN ARGUMENT		STANDARD DEVIATION		SUM OF ARGUMENTS		NON-WEIGHTED	
ENTRIES IN TABLE		4.500		1.222		27.000			
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN			
1	0	.00	.0	100.0	.222	-2.862			
2	1	16.66	16.6	83.3	.444	-2.044			
3	0	.00	16.6	83.3	.666	-1.226			
4	0	.00	16.6	83.3	.888	-.408			
5	5	83.33	100.0	.0	1.111	.408			
REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO									

TABLE BLFQ3		MEAN ARGUMENT		STANDARD DEVIATION		SUM OF ARGUMENTS		NON-WEIGHTED	
ENTRIES IN TABLE		3.154		1.886		869.000			
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN			
1	98	36.02	36.0	63.9	.313	-1.163			
2	25	10.66	46.6	53.3	.626	-.633			
3	6	2.20	48.8	51.1	.939	-.103			
4	0	.00	48.8	51.1	1.252	-.426			
5	135	51.10	100.0	.0	1.565	.956			
REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO									

TABLE CPTM		MEAN ARGUMENT		STANDARD DEVIATION		SUM OF ARGUMENTS		NON-WEIGHTED	
ENTRIES IN TABLE		2.203		.659		508.000			
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN			
1	56	13.59	13.5	86.4	.453	-1.824			
2	216	52.42	66.0	33.5	.907	-.509			
3	140	33.98	100.0	.0	1.361	1.206			
REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO									

BLOCKED CALL TABLES  
DETM - NON H (PROGRAM 3)

TABLE DELST ENTRIES IN TABLE		MEAN ARGUMENT	STANDARD DEVIATION	SUM OF ARGUMENTS	NON-WEIGHTED	
2793		1.881	.323	5254.000		
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	332	11.88	11.8	88.1	.531	-2.723
2	2461	88.11	100.0	.0	1.063	.367
REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO						

TABLE LOST1 ENTRIES IN TABLE		MEAN ARGUMENT	STANDARD DEVIATION	SUM OF ARGUMENTS	NON-WEIGHTED	
300		1.856	.926	557.000		
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	153	50.99	50.9	49.0	.538	-.924
2	37	12.33	63.3	36.6	1.077	.154
3	110	36.66	100.0	.0	1.615	1.233
REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO						

TABLE LOST2 ENTRIES IN TABLE		MEAN ARGUMENT	STANDARD DEVIATION	SUM OF ARGUMENTS	NON-WEIGHTED	
21		2.857	.358	60.000		
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	0	.00	.0	100.0	.350	-5.181
2	3	14.28	14.2	85.7	.700	-2.391
3	18	85.71	100.0	.0	1.049	-.398
REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO						

TABLE LOST3 ENTRIES IN TABLE		MEAN ARGUMENT	STANDARD DEVIATION	SUM OF ARGUMENTS	NON-WEIGHTED	
11		3.000	.000	33.000		
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	0	.00	.0	100.0	.333	-.000
2	0	.00	.0	100.0	.666	-.000
3	11	100.00	100.0	.0	1.000	-.000
REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO						

TABLE LOST4 ENTRIES IN TABLE		MEAN ARGUMENT	STANDARD DEVIATION	SUM OF ARGUMENTS	NON-WEIGHTED	
332		1.957	.938	650.000		
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	153	46.08	46.0	53.9	.510	-1.020
2	40	12.04	58.1	41.8	1.021	.044
3	139	41.86	100.0	.0	1.532	1.110
REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO						

LOST CALL TABLES  
DART - NON H (PROGRAM 4)

TABLE BLKD1 ENTRIES IN TABLE		MEAN ARGUMENT	STANDARD DEVIATION	SUM OF ARGUMENTS	NON-WEIGHTED	
215		3.088	1.859	664.000		
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	78	36.27	36.2	63.7	.323	-1.123
2	27	12.55	48.8	51.1	.647	-.585
3	9	4.18	53.0	46.9	.971	-.047
4	0	.00	53.0	46.9	1.295	.490
5	101	46.97	100.0	.0	1.618	1.028
REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO						

TABLE BLKD2 ENTRIES IN TABLE		MEAN ARGUMENT	STANDARD DEVIATION	SUM OF ARGUMENTS	NON-WEIGHTED	
17		4.823	.727	82.000		
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	0	.00	.0	100.0	.207	-5.255
2	1	5.88	5.8	94.1	.414	-3.880
3	0	.00	5.8	94.1	.621	-2.506
4	0	.00	5.8	94.1	.829	-1.131
5	16	94.11	100.0	.0	1.036	.242
REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO						

TABLE BLKD4 ENTRIES IN TABLE		MEAN ARGUMENT	STANDARD DEVIATION	SUM OF ARGUMENTS	NON-WEIGHTED	
232		3.215	1.855	746.000		
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	78	33.62	33.6	66.3	.310	-1.194
2	28	12.06	45.6	54.3	.621	-.655
3	9	3.87	49.5	50.4	.932	-.116
4	0	.00	49.5	50.4	1.243	.422
5	117	50.43	100.0	.0	1.554	.961
REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO						

TABLE STR23 ENTRIES IN TABLE		MEAN ARGUMENT	STANDARD DEVIATION	SUM OF ARGUMENTS	NON-WEIGHTED	
358		2.256	.749	808.000		
UPPER LIMIT	OBSERVED FREQUENCY	PER CENT OF TOTAL	CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE	CUMULATIVE REMAINDER	MULTIPLE OF MEAN	DEVIATION FROM MEAN
1	50	13.96	13.9	86.0	.443	-1.678
2	182	50.83	64.8	35.1	.886	-.343
3	110	30.72	95.5	4.4	1.329	.991
4	16	4.46	100.0	.0	1.772	2.327
REMAINING FREQUENCIES ARE ALL ZERO						

BLOCKED CALL TABLES  
DART - NON H (PROGRAM 4)

APPENDIX II  
DECISION TABLES

The decision tables used to define each of the routing schemes are contained in this appendix.

The tables are read vertically starting at the top of the column corresponding to a connection request (CR) for the type of message to be handled. At the foot of each column is the number of the succeeding column for handling the message.

QUESTIONS	CR-CS COL. 2 ABA2(N)								CR-IPNR COL. 3 AAA3(N)						CR-RPNR COL. 4 AAA4(N)							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1. ORIGINATING NODE																						
2. ORIGINATING TRIBUTARY																						
3. DESTINATION TRIBUTARY	64	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y														
4. THE RON									32	N	N	N	Y	Y								
5. THE RDN																	16	N	N	N	Y	Y
6. THE LN																						
7. CS PROTOCOL																						
8. MS PROTOCOL																						
9. PS PROTOCOL																						
10. MULTIPLE DESTINATION																						
11. LAST IN ASSEMBLY SET																						
12. FIRST REQUEST																						
13. SECOND REQUEST																						
14. THIRD REQUEST																						
15. LN CAPABILITY																						
16. LAST PACKET																						
17. ON AND OT																						
18. OT AND RON																						
19. RON AND RDN																						
20. RDN AND DT																						
21. RON AND RDN AND DT																						
22. FIRST NACK																						
23. SECOND NACK																						
24. THIRD NACK																						
25. NODE BUSY	2	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	N		2	N	Y	N	N	Y		2	N	Y	N	N	Y
26. TRUNKS BUSY	4	N	N	Y						4	N	N	Y	-	-		4	N	N	Y	-	-
27. SUBSCRIBER BUSY	8				N	-	Y	N														
28. SECURITY MISMATCH	16				N	-	-	Y														
29. PREEMPTED																						
30. NEW PATH																						
31. CONNECTION POSSIBLE																						
32. VIRGIN MESSAGE																						
TARGET NO. (N)	1	2	3	4	7	5	6		1	2	3	4	7			1	2	3	4	7		
ACTIONS	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1. CALL ALTERNATE PATH																						
2. INCREMENT PATH REQUEST						X						X								X		
3. DELAY-SECURE SUBSCRIBER								X														
4. TRANSMIT NEXT PACKET																						
5. DIVIDE PACKETS																						
6. ASSEMBLE PACKETS																						
7. ASSEMBLE CONFERENCE CALLS																						
8. SPLIT TRANSACTION																						
XACT4: NEXT COLUMN																						
: STORE																						
XACT3: INCREMENT PATH																						
: NEXT COLUMN																						
9. TRANSMIT NEXT MESSAGE																						
10. MESSAGE THROUGH																						
11. MESSAGE NOT DELIVERED																						
12. TERMINATE MSG (COUNT)																						
13. REMOVE A LINK			X	X		X					X	X		X				X	X		X	
14. ASSIGN LN																						
15. INCREMENT PATH					X		X	X					X								X	
16. DECREMENT PATH		X									X							X				
17. DELAY - XI OR P																						
18. NEXT COLUMN	2	6	7	G	H	I	J		3	6	7	B	5			4	6	7	B	5		

DECISION  
TABLE  
-COMMON-  
PAGE 1  
JUG 11-3-75

CS-DINR  
COL. 5 AAA5(N)

NODE BUSY  
COL. 6 AAA6(N)

QUESTIONS	COL. 5 AAA5(N)									COL. 6 AAA6(N)									
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
1. ORIGINATING NODE										4	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y
2. ORIGINATING TRIBUTARY																			
3. DESTINATION TRIBUTARY	64	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y											
4. THE RON										32	N	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	-	Y
5. THE RDN										16	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y
6. THE LN																			
7. CS PROTOCOL										64	-	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N
8. MS PROTOCOL																			
9. PS PROTOCOL																			
10. MULTIPLE DESTINATION																			
11. LAST IN ASSEMBLY SET																			
12. FIRST REQUEST																			
13. SECOND REQUEST																			
14. THIRD REQUEST																			
15. LN CAPABILITY										2	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N
16. LAST PACKET																			
17. ON AND OT																			
18. OT AND RON																			
19. RON AND RDN																			
20. RDN AND DT																			
21. RON AND RDN AND DT																			
22. FIRST NACK																			
23. SECOND NACK																			
24. THIRD NACK																			
25. NODE BUSY	2	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	N											
26. TRUNKS BUSY	4	N	N	Y															
27. SUBSCRIBER BUSY	8				N	-	Y	N											
28. SECURITY MISMATCH	16				N	-	-	Y											
29. PREEMPTED																			
30. NEW PATH																			
31. CONNECTION POSSIBLE																			
32. VIRGIN MESSAGE																			
TARGET NO. (N)										1	3	3	2	3	4	1	1	3	
ACTIONS	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
1. CALL ALTERNATE PATH																			
2. INCREMENT PATH REQUEST					X						X	X		X	X				X
3. DELAY-SECURE SUBSCRIBER							X												
4. TRANSMIT NEXT PACKET																			
5. DIVIDE PACKETS																			
6. ASSEMBLE PACKETS																			
7. ASSEMBLE CONFERENCE CALLS																			
8. SPLIT TRANSACTION																			
XACTA: NEXT COLUMN																			
: STORE																			
XACTB: INCREMENT PATH																			
: NEXT COLUMN																			
9. TRANSMIT NEXT MESSAGE																			
10. MESSAGE THRUPUT																			
11. MESSAGE NOT DELIVERED																			
12. TERMINATE MSG (COUNT)																			
13. REMOVE A LINK			X	X		X													
14. ASSIGN LN														X					
15. INCREMENT PATH					X		X	X			X		X			X	X		
16. DECREMENT PATH	X																		
17. DELAY - XH OR P																			
18. NEXT COLUMN	5	6	7	8	6	1	1	8		6	1	1	8	1	1	6	6	1	

DECISION  
TABLE  
-COMMON-  
PAGE 2  
-  
JJG 11-3-75



AD-AU47 644

RCA GOVERNMENT COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS CAMDEN NJ  
ADVANCED SIGNALING/SUPERVISION AND ROUTING STUDY. (U)  
OCT 77 P J BIRD, P P BOEHM, J J GUZY

F/O 17/2


F30602-74-C-0189

UNCLASSIFIED

RADC-TR-77-334

NL

3 OF 3  
AD  
AO47644



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
1-78  
DDC

QUESTIONS	TRUNKS BUSY COL 7 AAA7(N)										LOCK-IN COL. 8					
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	A	B	C	D	E	
1. ORIGINATING NODE	4	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	N	Y		1	N	Y	-		
2. ORIGINATING TRIBUTARY																
3. DESTINATION TRIBUTARY																
4. THE RON	12	N	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	-	Y						
5. THE RDN	16	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y						
6. THE LN																
7. CS PROTOCOL	4	-	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N						
8. MS PROTOCOL																
9. PS PROTOCOL																
10. MULTIPLE DESTINATION																
11. LAST IN ASSEMBLY SET																
12. FIRST REQUEST																
13. SECOND REQUEST																
14. THIRD REQUEST																
15. LN CAPABILITY	2	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N						
16. LAST PACKET																
17. ON AND OT																
18. OT AND RON																
19. RON AND RDN																
20. RDN AND DT																
21. RON AND RDN AND DT																
22. FIRST NACK																
23. SECOND NACK																
24. THIRD NACK																
25. NODE BUSY																
26. TRUNKS BUSY												N	N	Y		
27. SUBSCRIBER BUSY																
28. SECURITY MISMATCH																
29. PREEMPTED																
30. NEW PATH																
31. CONNECTION POSSIBLE																
32. VIRGIN MESSAGE																
TARGET NO. (N)	1	3	3	2	3	4	1	1	3							
ACTIONS	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	A	B	C	D	E	
1. CALL ALTERNATE PATH																
2. INCREMENT PATH REQUEST		X	X		X	X				X						
3. DELAY-SECURE SUBSCRIBER																
4. TRANSMIT NEXT PACKET																
5. DIVIDE PACKETS																
6. ASSEMBLE PACKETS																
7. ASSEMBLE CONFERENCE CALLS																
8. SPLIT TRANSACTION																
XACTA: NEXT COLUMN																
: STORE														X	21	
XACTB: INCREMENT PATH																
: NEXT COLUMN															7	
9. TRANSMIT NEXT MESSAGE																
10. MESSAGE THRUPTUT																
11. MESSAGE NOT DELIVERED																
12. TERMINATE MSG (COUNT)																
13. REMOVE A LINK															B	
14. ASSIGN LN					X											
15. INCREMENT PATH	X			X			X	X			X					
16. DECREMENT PATH													X	A		
17. DELAY - XH OR P			44	44					44							
18. NEXT COLUMN	7	1	1	8	1	1	7	7	1					8	9	

DECISION  
TABLE  
-CORRDN-  
PAGE 3  
JJC 11-3-75



INFORMATION  
COL. 9 AAA9(N)

QUESTIONS	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	BB	CC	
1. ORIGINATING NODE																														
2. ORIGINATING TRIBUTARY																														
3. DESTINATION TRIBUTARY	64	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	-	N	-	N	-	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N									
4. THE RON	32	N	-	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N								
5. THE RDN	16	N	-	N	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y								
6. THE LN	2	N	-	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N								
7. CS PROTOCOL	4	-	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N								
8. MS PROTOCOL	56	-	N	Y	-	-	Y	Y	-																					
9. PS PROTOCOL	12	-	N	N	-	-	N	N	N	-																				
10. MULTIPLE DESTINATION	2	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N								
11. LAST IN ASSEMBLY SET	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
12. FIRST REQUEST																														
13. SECOND REQUEST																														
14. THIRD REQUEST																														
15. LN CAPABILITY																														
16. LAST PACKET	1																													
17. ON AND DT																														
18. OT AND RON																														
19. RON AND RDN																														
20. RDN AND DT																														
21. RON AND RDN AND DT																														
22. FIRST NACK	1	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	-	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N								
23. SECOND NACK	8	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	-	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N								
24. THIRD NACK	4	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	-	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N								
25. NODE BUSY																														
26. TRUNKS BUSY																														
27. SUBSCRIBER BUSY																														
28. SECURITY MISHATCH																														
29. PREEMPTED																														
30. NEW PATH																														
31. CONNECTION POSSIBLE																														
32. VIRGIN MESSAGE																														
TARGET NO. (H)	1	2	3	4	2	5	6	7	2	8	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9									
ACTIONS	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	BB	CC	
1. CALL ALTERNATE PATH																														
2. INCREMENT PATH REQUEST																														
3. DELAY-SECURE SUBSCRIBER																														
4. TRANSMIT NEXT PACKET																														
5. DIVIDE PACKETS																														
6. ASSEMBLE PACKETS																														
7. ASSEMBLE CONFERENCE CALLS																														
8. SPLIT TRANSACTION																														
XACTA: NEXT COLUMN																														
: STORE																														
XACTB: INCREMENT PATH																														
: NEXT COLUMN																														
9. TRANSMIT NEXT MESSAGE																														
10. MESSAGE THRUPT																														
11. MESSAGE NOT DELIVERED																														
12. TERMINATE MSG (COUNT)																														
13. REMOVE A LINK																														
14. ASSIGN LN																														
15. INCREMENT PATH																														
16. DECREMENT PATH																														
17. DELAY - XP OR P																														
18. NEXT COLUMN																														

DECISION  
TABLE  
-COMMON-  
PAGE 4  
JJC 11-3-75

QUESTIONS	PRIORITY BUMP COL 10 AaA (N)								SUB BUSY COL 11 AaB(N)					DISCONNECT COL 12 AaC(N)							PACK COL 13 AaD(N)								
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	A	B	C	D	E	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	A	B	C	D	E			
1. ORIGINATING NODE	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y							N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y		
2. ORIGINATING TRIBUTARY		Y	Y	-	N	-	-		N	N	Y	N				Y	Y	N	Y										
3. DESTINATION TRIBUTARY																													
4. THE RON																													
5. THE RDN																													
6. THE LN																													
7. CS PROTOCOL																													
8. MS PROTOCOL																													
9. PS PROTOCOL																													
10. MULTIPLE DESTINATION																													
11. LAST IN ASSEMBLY SET																													
12. FIRST REQUEST																													
13. SECOND REQUEST																													
14. THIRD REQUEST																													
15. LN CAPABILITY																													
16. LAST PACKET																													
17. DT AND OT																													
18. OT AND RON																													
19. RON AND RDN																													
20. RDN AND DT																													
21. RON AND RDN AND DT																													
22. FIRST NACK																													
23. SECOND NACK																													
24. THIRD NACK																													
25. NODE BUSY																													
26. TRUNKS BUSY																													
27. SUBSCRIBER BUSY																													
28. SECURITY MISMATCH																													
29. PREEPTED																													
30. NEW PATH																													
31. CONVECTION POSSIBLE																													
32. VIRGIN MESSAGE																													
TARGET NO. (N)	1	2	3	4	4				1	2	3				1	3	2	4	3						1	2	3		
ACTIONS	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	A	B	C	D	E	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	A	B	C	D	E			
1. CALL ALTERNATE PATH																													
2. INCREMENT PATH REQUEST																													
3. DELAY-SECURE SUBSCRIBER																													
4. TRANSMIT NEXT PACKET																													
5. DIVIDE PACKETS																													
6. ASSEMBLE PACKETS																													
7. ASSEMBLE CONFERENCE CALLS																													
8. SPLIT TRANSACTION																													
XACTA: NEXT COLUMN					4	4	5	5						5															
: STORE					X	X	X	X						X															
XACTB: INCREMENT PATH																													
: NEXT COLUMN																													
9. TRANSMIT NEXT MESSAGE																													
10. MESSAGE THRUPTUT																													
11. MESSAGE NOT DELIVERED					X	X								X															
12. TERMINATE MSG (COUNT)					1	1								1														0	1
13. REMOVE A LINK																													
14. ASSIGN LN																													
15. INCREMENT PATH					X									X														X	
16. DECREMENT PATH																													
17. DELAY - XH OR P																													
18. NEXT COLUMN					1	1								1														1	

DECISION  
TABLE  
-COMMON-  
PAGE 5  
JJG 11-3-75

QUESTIONS	PACK COL. 14 AAE(N)				PACK 1 COL. 15 AAF(N)				PACK 2 COL. 16 AAG(N)				PACK 3 COL. 17 AAH(N)				NO. MSG. COL. 18 AAI(N)			
	A	B	C	D	A	B	C	D	A	B	C	D	A	B	C	D	A	B	C	D
1. ORIGINATING NODE	4	N	Y		1	N	Y		4	N	Y		2	N	Y					
2. ORIGINATING TRIBUTARY																				
3. DESTINATION TRIBUTARY																				
4. THE RON																				
5. THE RDN																				
6. THE LN																				
7. CS PROTOCOL																				
8. MS PROTOCOL																				
9. PS PROTOCOL																				
10. MULTIPLE DESTINATION																				
11. LAST IN ASSEMBLY SET																				
12. FIRST REQUEST																				
13. SECOND REQUEST																				
14. THIRD REQUEST																				
15. LN CAPABILITY																				
16. LAST PACKET																				
17. ON AND OT																				
18. OT AND RON																				
19. RON AND RDN																				
20. RDN AND DT																				
21. RON AND RDN AND DT																				
22. FIRST NACK																				
23. SECOND NACK																				
24. THIRD NACK																				
25. NODE BUSY																				
26. TRUNKS BUSY																				
27. SUBSCRIBER BUSY																				
28. SECURITY MISMATCH																				
29. PREEMPTED																				
30. NEW PATH																				
31. CONNECTION POSSIBLE																				
32. VIRGIN MESSAGE																				
TARGET NO. (N)		2				2				2				2					2	
ACTIONS	A	B	C	D	A	B	C	D	A	B	C	D	A	B	C	D	A	B	C	D
1. CALL ALTERNATE PATH																				
2. INCREMENT PATH REQUEST																				
3. DELAY-SECURE SUBSCRIBER																				
4. TRANSMIT NEXT PACKET			X																	
5. DIVIDE PACKETS																				
6. ASSEMBLE PACKETS																				
7. ASSEMBLE CONFERENCE CALLS																				
8. SPLIT TRANSACTION																				
XACTA: NEXT COLUMN																				
: STORE																				
XACTB: INCREMENT PATH																				
: NEXT COLUMN																				
9. TRANSMIT NEXT MESSAGE																				
10. MESSAGE THRUPTUT																				
11. MESSAGE NOT DELIVERED																				X
12. TERMINATE MSG (COUNT)																				1
13. REMOVE A LINK																				
14. ASSIGN LN																				
15. INCREMENT PATH		X				X				X				X					X	
16. DECREMENT PATH			X				X				X									
17. DELAY - XH OR P																				44
18. NEXT COLUMN		14	9			15	9			16	9			17	1					18

DECISION  
TABLE  
-COMMON-  
PAGE 6  
JJG 11-3-79

QUESTIONS	RELEASE CHANNEL COL. 20 AAAO(N)											CHANNEL LOST COL. 21 AAK(N)											
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	
1. ORIGINATING NODE	4	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y													
2. ORIGINATING TRIBUTARY																							
3. DESTINATION TRIBUTARY	64	N	Y	Y	-	-	N	N	N	N		64	N	Y	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y		
4. THE RON	32	N	N	-	Y	-	N	N	N	Y		32	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y		
5. THE RDN	16	N	N	-	-	Y	N	N	Y	N		16	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y			
6. THE LN	2	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N		2	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N			
7. CS PROTOCOL																							
8. MS PROTOCOL																							
9. PS PROTOCOL																							
10. MULTIPLE DESTINATION																							
11. LAST IN ASSEMBLY SET																							
12. FIRST REQUEST																							
13. SECOND REQUEST																							
14. THIRD REQUEST																							
15. LN CAPABILITY																							
16. LAST PACKET																							
17. ON AND DT																							
18. DT AND RON																							
19. RON AND RDN																							
20. RON AND DT																							
21. RON AND RDN AND DT																							
22. FIRST NACK																							
23. SECOND NACK																							
24. THIRD NACK																							
25. NODE BUSY																							
26. TRUNKS BUSY																							
27. SUBSCRIBER BUSY																							
28. SECURITY MISMATCH																							
29. PREEMPTED																							
30. NEW PATH																							
31. CONNECTION POSSIBLE																							
32. VIRGIN MESSAGE																							
TARGET NO. (N)	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	1				1	1	2	2	2	2	2					
ACTIONS	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	
1. CALL ALTERNATE PATH																							
2. INCREMENT PATH REQUEST																							
3. DELAY-SECURE SUBSCRIBER																							
4. TRANSMIT NEXT PACKET																							
5. DIVIDE PACKETS																							
6. ASSEMBLE PACKETS																							
7. ASSEMBLE CONFERENCE CALLS																							
8. SPLIT TRANSACTION																							
XACTA: NEXT COLUMN																							
: STORE																							
XACTB: INCREMENT PATH																							
: NEXT COLUMN																							
9. TRANSMIT NEXT MESSAGE																							
10. MESSAGE THRUPT																							
11. MESSAGE NOT DELIVERED																							
12. TERMINATE MSG (COUNT)			0	0	0	0	0																
13. REMOVE A LINK																							
14. ASSIGN LN																							
15. INCREMENT PATH																							
16. DECREMENT PATH	X						X	X	X			X	X										
17. DELAY - XH OR P														X	X	X	X	X	X	X			
18. NEXT COLUMN	20						20	20	20			21	21	10	10	10	10	10	10				

DECISION  
TABLE  
-COMMON-  
PAGE 7  
JJC 11-3-75


COL 1 AAA(N)

QUESTIONS	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
1. ORIGINATING NODE																			
2. ORIGINATING TRIBUTARY	B	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	-			N	N	Y	Y			
3. DESTINATION TRIBUTARY	64	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y		
4. THE RON	32	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			N	N	N	N		
5. THE RDN	16	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N			
6. THE LN	2	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N		
7. CS PROTOCOL	4	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N			
8. MS PROTOCOL																			
9. PS PROTOCOL																			
10. MULTIPLE DESTINATION																			
11. LAST IN ASSEMBLY SET																			
12. FIRST REQUEST	728	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y			
13. SECOND REQUEST	256	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	
14. THIRD REQUEST	512	N	N	Y	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	
15. LN CAPABILITY																			
16. LAST PACKET																			
17. ON AND OT	1024	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y										
18. OT AND RON	2048	N	N	N			N	Y	Y	N	N			N	N				
19. RON AND RDN	1	N	N	N			N	Y			N	N							
20. RON AND OT																			
21. RON AND RDN AND DT																			
22. FIRST NACK																			
23. SECOND NACK																			
24. THIRD NACK																			
25. NODE BUSY																			
26. TRUNKS BUSY																			
27. SUBSCRIBER BUSY																			
28. SECURITY MISMATCH																			
29. PREEMPTED																			
30. NEW PATH																			
31. CONNECTION POSSIBLE																			
32. VIRGIN MESSAGE																			

TARGET NO. (N)	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	1	5	2	4	2	6	2	4	7	7		
ACTIONS	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
1. CALL ALTERNATE PATH	X				X					X	X		X						
2. INCREMENT PATH REQUEST																			
3. DELAY-SECURE SUBSCRIBER																			
4. TRANSMIT NEXT PACKET																			
5. DIVIDE PACKETS																			
6. ASSEMBLE PACKETS																			
7. ASSEMBLE CONFERENCE CALLS																			
8. SPLIT TRANSACTION																			
XACTA: NEXT COLUMN												4	5	4					
: STORE												X	X	X					
XACTB: INCREMENT PATH																			
: NEXT COLUMN																			
9. TRANSMIT NEXT MESSAGE										X									
10. MESSAGE THRUPTUT										X						X	X		
11. MESSAGE NOT DELIVERED			X			X													
12. TERMINATE MSG. (COUNT)			1			1			1							1	1		
13. REMOVE A LINK																			
14. ASSIGN LN																			
15. INCREMENT PATH																			
16. DECREMENT PATH																			
17. DELAY - XH OR P										P1	44	44				44P1	P1		
18. NEXT COLUMN	1919				1919					19		19	5	19					

DECISION TABLE  
- DETER. -  
PAGE 1

JJG 11-3-75







QUESTIONS
1. ORIGINATING NODE
2. ORIGINATING TRIUNTY
3. DESTINATION TRIUNTY
4. THE RDN
5. THE RDN
6. THE LN
7. CS PROTOCOL
8. IS PROTOCOL
9. PS PROTOCOL
10. MULTIPLE DESTINATION
11. LAST IN ASSEMBLY SET
12. FIRST REQUEST
13. THIRD REQUEST
14. FOURTH REQUEST
15. LN CAPABILITY
15. LAST PACKET
17. M AND QT
18. DT AND PAV
19. RDN AND RDN
20. RDN AND DT
21. RDN AND RDN AND DT
22. FIRST NACK
23. SECOND NACK
24. THIRD NACK
25. NODE BUSY
26. TRUNKS BUSY
27. SUBSCRIBER BUSY
28. SECURITY MISMATCH
29. PREEMPTED
30. NEW PATH
31. CORRECTION POSSIBLE
32. VIRGIN MESSAGE
33. SECOND OR THIRD REQUEST
34. FIRST OR SECOND REQUEST

PATH AVAILABILITY COL. 19 AAJ(N)																														
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	BB	CC
8	Y	Y	Y	N	-	N	-	-	N	N	N	N	-	N	-	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	-	N	
32	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	-	-	-	-	-	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	-	-	N	
76	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	-	-	-	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y	N	
2	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N
64	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N
1024	-	Y	-	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Y	N	-	N	N	N	
128	N	N	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	-	N	N	-	-	-	
256	N	-	N	N	N	N	N	-	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	-	N	
	N	N	Y	-	-	-	Y	N	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N	
1	Y	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N	
4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
512	-	-	-	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	

TARGET NO. (M)
1. CALL SDPTH PATH
2. INCREMENT PATH REQUEST
3. DELAY-SECURE SUBSCRIBER
4. TRANSMIT NEXT PACKET
5. DIVIDE PACKETS
6. ASSE 3.0 PACKETS
7. ASSEMBLE CONFERENCE CALLS
8. SPLIT TRANSACTION
FACTA: NEXT COLUMN
FACTB: STORE
FACTC: INCREMENT PATH
FACTD: NEXT COLUMN
9. TRANSMIT NEXT MESSAGE
10. MESSAGE THROUGH
11. MESSAGE NOT DELIVERED
12. TERMINATE MSG (COUNT)
13. REMOVE A LINK
14. ASSIGN LN
15. INCREMENT PATH
16. DECREMENT PATH
17. DELAY - XH OR P
18. NEXT COLUMN

		106	114	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132	133	134	135	136	137	138	139	140	141	142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
		A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	BB	CC	DD	EE	FF	GG	HH	II	JJ	KK	LL	MM	NN	OO	PP	QQ	RR	SS	TT	UU	VV	WW	XX	YY	ZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC	DDD	EEE	FFF	GGG	HHH	III	JJJ	KKK	LLL	MMM	NNN	OOO	PPP	QQQ	RRR	SSS	TTT	UUU	VVV	WWW	XXX	YYY	ZZZ	AAA	BBB	CCC