

AD-A048 997

FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIV WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OHIO
MEAN POWER, UNIFORM (CHEBYSHEVSKIY) AND QUASI-UNIFORM APPROXIMA--ETC(U)
JUL 77 Y Y RMEZ

F/G 12/1

UNCLASSIFIED


FTD-ID(RS)T-1262-77

NL

| OF |
AD
A048 997



END
DATE
FILMED
2-78
DDC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION




AD-A048997



MEAN POWER, UNIFORM (CHEBYSHEVSKIY) AND QUASI-UNIFORM APPROXIMATIONS

by

Ye. Ya. Remez



Approved for public release;
distribution unlimited.



EDITED TRANSLATION

FTD-ID(RS)T-1262-77

29 July 1977

MICROFICHE NR:

*FD-77-C-000922*MEAN POWER, UNIFORM (CHEBYSHEVSKIY) AND QUASI-
UNIFORM APPROXIMATIONS

By: Ye. Ya. Remez

English pages: 7

Source: Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR, Vol 60, No. 2,
14 February 1948, PP. 199-202

Country of origin: USSR

Translated by: Bernard L. Tauber

Requester: AFFDL/FBRD

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

THIS TRANSLATION IS A RENDITION OF THE ORIGINAL FOREIGN TEXT WITHOUT ANY ANALYTICAL OR EDITORIAL COMMENT. STATEMENTS OR THEORIES ADVOCATED OR IMPLIED ARE THOSE OF THE SOURCE AND DO NOT NECESSARILY REFLECT THE POSITION OR OPINION OF THE FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION.

PREPARED BY:

TRANSLATION DIVISION
FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION
WP-AFB, OHIO.

FTD

ID(RS)T-1262-77Date 29 July 19 77

U. S. BOARD ON GEOGRAPHIC NAMES TRANSLITERATION SYSTEM

Block	Italic	Transliteration	Block	Italic	Transliteration
А а	А а	A, a	Р р	Р р	R, r
Б б	Б б	B, b	С с	С с	S, s
В в	В в	V, v	Т т	Т т	T, t
Г г	Г г	G, g	У у	У у	U, u
Д д	Д д	D, d	Ф ф	Ф ф	F, f
Е е	Е е	Ye, ye; E, e*	Х х	Х х	Kh, kh
Ж ж	Ж ж	Zh, zh	Ц ц	Ц ц	Ts, ts
З э	З э	Z, z	Ч ч	Ч ч	Ch, ch
И и	И и	I, i	Ш ш	Ш ш	Sh, sh
Й й	Й й	Y, y	Щ щ	Щ щ	Shch, shch
К к	К к	K, k	Ъ ъ	Ъ ъ	"
Л л	Л л	L, l	Ы ы	Ы ы	Y, y
М м	М м	M, m	Ь ь	Ь ь	'
Н н	Н н	N, n	Э э	Э э	E, e
О о	О о	O, o	Ю ю	Ю ю	Yu, yu
П п	П п	P, p	Я я	Я я	Ya, ya

*ye initially, after vowels, and after ъ, ь; e elsewhere.
 When written as ë in Russian, transliterate as yë or ë.
 The use of diacritical marks is preferred, but such marks may be omitted when expediency dictates.

GREEK ALPHABET

Alpha	Α α	α	Nu	Ν ν
Beta	Β β	β	Xi	Ξ ξ
Gamma	Γ γ	γ	Omicron	Ο ο
Delta	Δ δ	δ	Pi	Π π
Epsilon	Ε ε	ε	Rho	Ρ ρ ϱ
Zeta	Ζ ζ	ζ	Sigma	Σ σ ς
Eta	Η η	η	Tau	Τ τ
Theta	Θ θ	θ	Upsilon	Υ υ
Iota	Ι ι	ι	Phi	Φ φ ϕ
Kappa	Κ κ	κ	Chi	Χ χ
Lambda	Λ λ	λ	Psi	Ψ ψ
Mu	Μ μ	μ	Omega	Ω ω

RUSSIAN AND ENGLISH TRIGONOMETRIC FUNCTIONS

Russian	English
---------	---------

sin	sin
-----	-----

cos	cos
-----	-----

tg	tan
----	-----

ctg	cot
-----	-----

sec	sec
-----	-----

cosec	csc
-------	-----

sh	sinh
----	------

ch	cosh
----	------

th	tanh
----	------

cth	coth
-----	------

sch	sech
-----	------

csch	csch
------	------

arc sin	sin ⁻¹
---------	-------------------

arc cos	cos ⁻¹
---------	-------------------

arc tg	tan ⁻¹
--------	-------------------

arc ctg	cot ⁻¹
---------	-------------------

arc sec	sec ⁻¹
---------	-------------------

arc cosec	csc ⁻¹
-----------	-------------------

arc sh	sinh ⁻¹
--------	--------------------

arc ch	cosh ⁻¹
--------	--------------------

arc th	tanh ⁻¹
--------	--------------------

arc cth	coth ⁻¹
---------	--------------------

arc sch	sech ⁻¹
---------	--------------------

arc csch	csch ⁻¹
----------	--------------------

rot	curl
-----	------

lg	log
----	-----

GRAPHICS DISCLAIMER

All figures, graphics, tables, equations, etc.
merged into this translation were extracted
from the best quality copy available.

MEAN POWER, UNIFORM (CHEBYSHEVSKIY) AND QUASI-UNIFORM APPROXIMATIONS*

Ye. Ya. Remez

(Presented by Academician M. A. Lavrent'yev 14 February 1948)

1. General conditions and designations. Let E signify a given measurable point set of measures $\mu E (0 < \mu E < \infty)$ in some abstract space (in the Fréchet meaning [8, 9]) in which a completely additive non-negative measure μe has been determined for some additive system of sets $\{e\}$. We will designate various elements (points) of set E by the letter x . Further, assume

$$v_0(x), v_1(x), \dots, v_n(x) \quad (1)$$

will be $n + 1$ assigned numerical functions **, determined and

*Some of the preceding works by the author [1, 2] proposed a method of successive quadratic approximations for the actual construction of generalized polynomials of the least mean power deviation from zero. This method, which agrees under extremely general conditions which correspond to the nature of the very problem of a mean power approximation, naturally put forth the question of the further applications for problems of the Chebyshevskiy type whose tie with problems of a mean power approximation was, however, only established for cases of continuous polynomials [3-7]. This report examines the relationships of this tie with that power of generality which corresponds to the generality of the very problem of the mean power approximation and the method which has been mentioned, in which regard, naturally (compare with [6]), here it is necessary to be satisfied with the establishment of the very convergence of the Polia-Jackson process without entering into a consideration of the speed of the convergence.

**They may be real or complex just as the coefficients c_1 introduced below. In the latter case, the condition of measurability $v_1(x)$ is reduced to the measurability of the actual and imaginary parts separately.

measurable (μ) on set E , limited or unlimited but finite everywhere. Finally, we will assume these $n + 1$ functions metrically linearly independent in the following meaning: subset $E_1 \subseteq E$ of those points in which some generalized polynomial $\Omega(x) =$

$$\sum_{i=0}^n c_i v_i(x) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n |c_i| > 0 \right), \quad \text{becomes zero always satisfies the condition}$$

$$\mu E_1 < \mu E. \quad (2)$$

2. Two lemmas on functions which are metrically linearly independent.

Lemma 1. Under the condition of a metric linear independence in equality (2) can always be replaced by an inequality of the type

$$\mu E_1 \leq g < \mu E, \quad (3)$$

where the constant g does not depend on the selection of coefficient c_1 of polynomial Ω .

Proof. Let us introduce for further designation

$$\max\{|c_0|; |c_1|, \dots, |c_n|\} = L[\Omega] = L(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_n). \quad (4)$$

Proving the lemma from the opposite, let us assume that the required number g does not exist. Then, for any natural number ν the polynomial $\Omega_\nu(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_{i\nu} v_i(x)$, $L[\Omega_\nu] = 1$, which becomes zero on set E_ν , $\mu E_\nu > \mu E - 1/\nu$ would be found. Isolating the sequence of subscripts ν_m under the condition of the simultaneous existence of $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} c_{i\nu_m} = c_{i0}$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$) we obtain the polynomial $\Omega_0(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_{i0} v_i(x)$, $L[\Omega_0] = 1$, becomes zero in all points of set $E_0 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} E_{\nu_m}$, the measure of which (compare with [9], Chapter I) exceeds any of the numbers $\mu E - 1/\nu_m$ and, consequently, is equal to μE which contradicts the condition for linear independence of (2).

Lemma 2. Having some system of metrically linear independent functions (1), for which, in accordance with what has been proven, the inequality (3) is satisfied with some fixed value of the number g , let us examine the corresponding polynomials $\Omega(x)$ for which $L[\Omega] = 1$. Then, any ϵ taken within the limits of $0 < \epsilon < \mu E - g$, can be opposed by such a $\lambda = \lambda_\epsilon > 0$ so that the inequality $|\Omega(x)| \leq \eta$ could not be satisfied on any set $E_1 \subset E$ of the measure $\mu E_1 \geq g + \epsilon$, if $\eta < \lambda$.

The proof (from the opposite) is extremely similar to the proof of the preceding lemma [10, 2].

Corollary. With an arbitrary value of $L = L[\Omega]$ the confirmation of the lemma retains its force if we replace $\lambda = \lambda_\epsilon$ by $L\lambda$.

3. Formulation of the three approximation problems. Isolating as allowable polynomials those for which $c_0 = 1$:

$$\Phi(x) = v_0(x) + P(x) = v_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i(x), \quad (5)$$

we consider the next three problems.

a) The problem of the mean power approximation in accordance with the given subscript $m > 1$:

$$\left[\frac{1}{\mu E} \int_E |\Phi(x)|^m d\mu \right]^{1/m} = \delta_m[\Phi] = \delta_m(c_1, \dots, c_n) = \min; \quad (6)$$

the finiteness of the integrals [8, 9] $\int_E |v_i(x)|^m d\mu$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$) is assumed.

b) The problem of the quasi-uniform approximation:

$$\text{vrai max}_{x \in E} |\Phi(x)| = \delta_0^*[\Phi] = \delta_0^*(c_1, \dots, c_n) = \min. \quad (7)$$

Here function (1) is assumed to be limited almost everywhere on set E .

c) The problem of a uniform approximation

$$\sup_{x \in E} |\Phi(x)| = \delta_0[\Phi] = \delta_0(c_1, \dots, c_n) = \min, \quad (8)$$

where function (1) is assumed to be limited in the general meaning on set E.

4. On mean power approximations. Let us place in conformance with each $\Phi(x)$ a "point" (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) in Cartesian space (Menger-Fréchet terminology) of the corresponding number of measurements. From lemma 1 and the corollary of lemma 2 it is easy to see (compare the proof of theorem 1 below) that $\delta_m[\Phi] \rightarrow \infty$ with $L[\Phi] \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we come to the problem of finding the minimum of the continuous (on the strength of the Minkovskiy inequality) function $\delta_m(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ in a limited and closed region of the mentioned Cartesian space and the existence of at least one solution $\Phi_m = v_0 + c_{1m}v_1 + \dots + c_{nm}v_n$ proves to be guaranteed in accordance with the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem. The uniqueness of the solution of $\Phi(x)$ is easily established further by the corresponding extension of the method of proof employed by Jackson [4].

Theorem 1. If at least one of the admissible polynomials $\bar{\Phi} = v_0 + \bar{c}_1v_1 + \dots + \bar{c}_nv_n$ is limited almost everywhere on set E, in which regard we assume the integrals $\int_E |v_i(x)|^m d\mu$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$) here finite for all $m > 1$, then the coefficients of the polynomial-solution of $\Phi_m(x)$ is uniformly limited for all $m > 1$.

The proof is obtained from the inequality $\delta_m[\Phi_m] \leq \delta_m[\bar{\Phi}]$ on the basis of the corollary of lemma 2: taking the fixed $\epsilon > 0$ ($\epsilon < \mu E - g$) and assuming $L[\Phi_m] = L_m$, $\text{vrai max} |\bar{\Phi}(x)| = M$, we have $(L_m \lambda_\epsilon)^m (\mu E - g - \epsilon) < M^m \cdot \mu E$, whence $L_m < \frac{M \cdot \mu E}{\lambda_\epsilon (\mu E - g - \epsilon)}$ for all $m > 1$.

5. Quasi-uniform approximations and their connection with the mean power approximations: the first generalization of the Polia-Jackson theorem.

Considering the continuity of the function $\delta_0^*(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ which can be established without difficulty and, as in the preceding heading, relying on the corollary of lemma 2, we are convinced similarly of the existence of at least one solution $\Phi_0 = v_0 + c_{10}v_1 + \dots + c_{n0}v_n$ to problem (7). Here, the solution can be single-valued or infinite-multiply-valued: together with $\Phi_{0I}, \Phi_{0II}, \Phi_{0I}(x)\cos^2\varphi + \Phi_{0II}(x)\sin^2\varphi$ ($0 \leq \varphi = \text{const} \leq \pi/2$) will also be a solution.

Theorem 2. Under conditions of problem (7) which is being considered the following limiting relationship always occurs

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \delta_0^*(\Phi_m) = \delta_0^*(\Phi_0). \quad (9)$$

Proof. On the basis of theorem 1 and considering, in addition, the continuous dependence of the value $\delta_0^*(\Phi)$ on the coefficients of the polynomial $\Phi(x)$, it obviously will be sufficient to be convinced that any polynomial $\tilde{\Phi} = v_0 + \tilde{c}_1v_1 + \dots + \tilde{c}_nv_n$ is "limiting" (in the sense of $c_{im} \rightarrow \tilde{c}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) for any sequence Φ_{m_ν} , where $m_\nu \rightarrow \infty$ with $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, is one of the polynomials $\Phi_0^*(x)$. But if, assuming the opposite, we assume that $\delta_0^*(\tilde{\Phi})$ exceeds the value $\delta_0^*(\Phi_0) = \rho$ by more than $3\varepsilon > 0$, then $|\tilde{\Phi}(x)|$ will exceed $\rho^* + 2\varepsilon$ on some set $E_1 \subseteq E$ of the measure $\mu E_1 = \sigma > 0$; almost everywhere on the same set we will have $|\Phi_{m_\nu}(x)| > \rho^* + \varepsilon$ with $\nu > \nu_\varepsilon$; this, as can be easily seen, leads to the explicit contradiction with the equality $\delta_{m_\nu}(\Phi_{m_\nu}) \leq \delta_{m_\nu}(\Phi_0)$ with sufficiently large m_ν .

6. Uniform approximations and the corresponding second generalization of the Polia-Jackson theorem.

In the case of problem (8) the proof of the existence of at least one solution $\Phi_0 = v_0 + c_{10}v_1 + \dots + c_{n0}v_n$ is accomplished in an absolutely similar manner to the case of the preceding problem (7), in this case, too, the solution proving to be either single-

valued or infinite-multiple-valued.* As regards the generalization of the Polia-Jackson relationship, here it proves possible, in general, only in the form of the next assumption which is a direct corollary of theorem 2 which we have proved.

Theorem 2'. The maximum relationship

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \delta_0[\Phi_m] = \delta_0[\Phi_0] \quad (10)$$

occurs everytime that functions (1) and set E which are subordinate to the general requirements of headings 1 and 3 (c) are determined in such a way that for polynomials $\Phi(x)$ the following condition is satisfied

$$\sup_{x \in E} |\Phi(x)| = \text{vral} \max_{x \in E} |\Phi(x)|. \quad (11)$$

On the strength of this theorem the limiting polynomial of any converging sequence of polynomials $\Phi_{m_v}(x)$ ($m_v \rightarrow \infty$) proves to be one of the Chebyshovskiy (generalized) polynomials $\Phi_0(x)$ with the satisfaction of condition (11).

If, for example, we realize the abstract set E in the form of some Euclid region R_n , then for the satisfaction of condition (11) it will be sufficient to require that the polynomials $\Phi(x)$ possess at each point $x \in E$, so to say, a partial continuity (attenuated form of an asymptotic continuity), namely - a continuity relative to some subset which has the measure > 0 in any point in the vicinity. In particular, if, for example, $E \equiv (a, b)$ (open

*Since the matter concerns the problem (8) itself outside its tie with the two other problems (6) and (7), neither set E nor the determination of measures μ_E , as it is not difficult to understand, play any substantial role in it: only the set of systems of values of functions (1) with $x \in E$ actually plays a role. Exclusion of the "parameter" x leads to the "problem of minimum approximation," which pertains to the solution of the system (usually innumerably infinite) of incompatible linear $(n+1)$ -term equations which was a subject of several of the author's studies [11].

interval in R_1), then it proves to be more than sufficient to assume the one-sided (let us say, right-sided) continuity of all $n + 1$ functions $v_1(x)$ at each point.

Received
5 February 1948

BIBLIOGRAPHY

¹ Е. Я. Ремез, ДАН, 28, № 5 (1940). ² Е. Я. Ремез, Матем. сб., 9(51):2 (1941).
Ювіл. Збірник АН УРСР, 1 (1944). ³ G. Pólya, C. R., 157 840 (1913). ⁴ D. Jackson,
Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 22, 117, 158, 320 (1921). ⁵ G. Julia, C. R., 182, 556, 1201,
1155 (1926). ⁶ Е. Я. Ремез, ДАН, 58, № 7 (1947). ⁷ Е. Я. Ремез, ДАН, 58,
№№ 8, 9 (1947). ⁸ M. Fréchet, Bull. Soc. Math. de France, 43, 248 (1915).
⁹ S. Saks, The Theory of the Integral, Warszawa, 1937. ¹⁰ Е. Я. Ремез, Доповіді
АН УРСР, № 11 (1940). ¹¹ Е. Я. Ремез, Про методи найкращого, в розумінні
Чебишева, наближ. предст. функцій, Виз. УАН, 1935; Збірн. праць Ін-т. матем.
АН УРСР, 1 (1938).

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER FTD-ID(RS)T-1262-77	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) MEAN POWER, UNIFORM (CHEBYSHEVSKIY) AND QUASI-UNIFORM APPROXIMATIONS		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Translation
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Ye. Ya. Remez		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Foreign Technology Division Air Force Systems Command U. S. Air Force		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE 14 February 1948
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 7
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 20		

ACCESSION for	
NTIS	White Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC	Buff Section <input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED	<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTIFICATION	_____
BY _____	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
Dist.	AVAIL. and/or SP. CIP
A	

UNCLASSIFIED

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		REPORT NUMBER
FPD-ID(RS)-T-1262-77		
TITLE (and Subtitle)		
QUASI-UNIFORM APPROXIMATIONS MEAN POWER, UNIFORM (CHEBYSHEVSKY) AND		
AUTHOR(s)		Ye. Ya. Remez
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		U. S. Air Force Air Force Systems Command Foreign Technology Division
CONTROLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		
12. REPORT DATE		14 February 1978
13. NUMBER OF PAGES		7
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		
15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)		UNCLASSIFIED
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		Approved for public release; distribution unlimited
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
19. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		

DISTRIBUTION LIST

DISTRIBUTION DIRECT TO RECIPIENT

ORGANIZATION	MICROFICHE	ORGANIZATION	MICROFICHE
A205 DMATC	1	E053 AF/INAKA	1
A210 DMAAC	2	E017 AF/RDXTR-W	1
B344 DIA/RDS-3C	8	E404 AEDC	1
C043 USAMIIA	1	E408 AFWL	1
C509 BALLISTIC RES LABS	1	E410 ADTC	1
C510 AIR MOBILITY R&D	1	E413 ESD	2
LAB/FIO		FTD	
C513 PICATINNY ARSENAL	1	CCN	1
C535 AVIATION SYS COMD	1	ETID	3
C557 USAIIC	1	NIA/PHS	1
C591 FSTC	5	NICD	5
C619 MIA REDSTONE	1		
D008 NISC	1		
H300 USAICE (USAREUR)	1		
P005 ERDA	1		
P055 CIA/CRS/ADD/SD	1		
NAVORDSTA (50L)	1		
NAVWPNSGEN (Code 121)	1		
NASA/KSI	1		
AFIT/LD	1		