

AD-A049 046

AIR FORCE MATERIALS LAB WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OHIO
FREE EDGE STRESS FIELDS IN COMPOSITE LAMINATES.(U)
AUG 77 N J PAGANO

F/G 11/4

UNCLASSIFIED

AFML-TR-77-113

NL

191

ADA049 046



AD A049046

14

AFML-TR-77-113

12
5c

AD No. —
DC FILE COPY

FREE EDGE STRESS FIELDS IN COMPOSITE LAMINATES.

MECHANICS AND SURFACE INTERACTIONS BRANCH
NONMETALLIC MATERIALS DIVISION

11 AUG 1977

12 18 p.

10 N. J. / Pagano

TECHNICAL REPORT AFML-TR-77-113

9 Interim Report, 1 Apr 1976 - 1 Apr 1977

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

16 23 47

17 P1

DDC
RECEIVED
JAN 24 1978
D

AIR FORCE MATERIALS LABORATORY
AIR FORCE WRIGHT AERONAUTICAL LABORATORIES
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO 45433

012 320


mt

NOTICE

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purposes other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.


This report has been reviewed by the Information Office (OI) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.



N.J. Pagano
Project Engineer

FOR THE COMMANDER



Stephen W. Tsai, Chief
Mechanics and Surface Interactions Branch
Nonmetallic Materials Division

Copies of this report should not be returned unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice on a specific document.

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER AFML-TR-77-113 ✓	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Free Edge Stress Fields in Composite Laminates		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Interim 1 April 1976 - 1 April 1977
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER In-house
7. AUTHOR(s) N. J. Pagano		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Materials Laboratory (AFML/MBM) ✓ Air Force Wright Aeronautical Laboratories Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 2307P103
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Materials Laboratory (AFML/MBM) Air Force Wright Aeronautical Laboratories Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433		12. REPORT DATE August 1977
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 16
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release, distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) Approved for public release, distribution unlimited.		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Composite Laminate Free Edge Problems Stress Fields Delamination Interlaminar Stresses		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A new theory to predict stress fields within composite laminates is employed to solve the free edge class of boundary value problems. In this theory, the stress field determination reduces to the solution of a one-dimensional problem which is solved by classical methods of ordinary differential equations. No singularities are present in the new theory. ↗		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

FOREWORD

This report was submitted by the Mechanics and Surface Interactions Branch, Nonmetallic Materials Division, AFML, AFWAL, AFSC, WPAFB, Ohio. The work was performed under Project 2307, Job Order 2307P103. N. J. Pagano (AFML/MBM) was the laboratory project engineer. This report covers work conducted in-house during the period of 1 April 1976 - 1 April 1977.

ABSTRACT FOR	
NTIS	Write Section ☒
DDC	Diff Section ☐
UNANNOUNCED	☐
JUSTIFICATION	
BY	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
DIGL	AVAIL. AND/OR SPECIAL
A	

DDC
 RECEIVED
 JAN 24 1978
 RECEIVED
 D

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION		PAGE
I	Formulation and Solutions	1
	References	13

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK

SECTION I

FORMULATION AND SOLUTIONS

An approximate theory to define the stress field within a composite laminate has recently been developed [1]. It is the purpose of the present communication to derive the solution for a significant class of stress concentration boundary value problems in composite laminates, namely, the free edge problem [2, 3], based upon this new theory.

As discussed in Reference 1, we consider a symmetric laminate in which each layer is reinforced by a system of parallel fibers oriented at an angle θ with the x-axis (see Fig. 1 of [1]) where the origin of coordinates is located at the center of the laminate. The body is subjected to forces applied only on the ends such that a constant axial strain $\epsilon_x = e$ is imposed. Each layer is also under the influence of expansional strains e_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 6$) which we assume are constants. We also assume perfect bonding between adjacent layers. Hence, the stress field in this class of problems is a function of y and z alone. The laminate consists of N layers which are identified by the index k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, N$). As in [1], we shall drop the index k except when it is needed for clarity.

To begin, we define the deformation measures e_i , κ_β , and expansional deformations a_β as

$$\begin{aligned}
 e_1 &= \frac{h}{2} \bar{u}_{,x} \quad , \quad e_2 = \frac{h}{2} \bar{v}_{,y} \quad , \quad e_3 = 3w^* \quad , \quad e_6 = \frac{h}{2} (\bar{u}_{,y} + \bar{v}_{,x}) \\
 e_4 &= \frac{5h}{8} (\bar{w}_{,y} - \hat{w}_{,y}) + \frac{5v^*}{2} \quad , \quad e_5 = \frac{5h}{8} (\bar{w}_{,x} - \hat{w}_{,x}) + \frac{5u^*}{2} \\
 \kappa_1 &= \frac{h^2}{4} u_{,x}^* \quad , \quad \kappa_2 = \frac{h^2}{4} v_{,y}^* \quad , \quad \kappa_3 = \frac{5}{4} h (3\hat{w} - \bar{w}) \quad , \quad \kappa_6 = \frac{h^2}{4} (u_{,y}^* + v_{,x}^*) \\
 a_\beta &= h e_\beta
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where lower case Latin subscripts have the range 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and Greek subscripts assume the values 1, 2, 3, 6. Letting S_{ij} represent the (mono-clinic) compliance matrix as given by eq. (12) of [1], we make the further definitions

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij} &= [S_{ij} + \frac{1}{5} \delta_{3i} \delta_{3j} S_{33}]^{-1} \\ B_{ij} &= [S_{ij} + \frac{3}{7} \delta_{3i} \delta_{3j} S_{33}]^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta and the superscript -1 stands for matrix inverse. Throughout this work, summation over the range of repeated subscripts, but not superscripts, is implied. We may now invert the constitutive relations, (25) of [1], to get

$$\begin{aligned} N_a &= A_{a\beta} (\epsilon_\beta - \alpha_\beta) + \frac{A_{3a} S_{33}^h}{10} (p_1 + p_2) \\ M_a &= B_{a\beta} \kappa_\beta + \frac{B_{3a} S_{33}^{h^2}}{28} (p_2 - p_1) \\ V_y &= A_{44} \epsilon_4 + A_{45} \epsilon_5 + \frac{h}{12} (s_1 + s_2) \\ V_x &= A_{45} \epsilon_4 + A_{55} \epsilon_5 + \frac{h}{12} (t_1 + t_2) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where standard contracted notation has been employed in the first two expressions, following the subscript relations given in (8) and (9) of [1].

Since the stresses in the present class of boundary value problems only depend on y and z , it follows that the force and moment resultants and the interlaminar stresses will only depend on y . Hence, from (3), the deformation measures are functions of y alone and by use of eqs. (1), it can

be shown that the most general form of the weighted displacements is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{u} &= U(y) + c_1 xy + c_2 x \\
 \bar{v} &= V(y) - \frac{c_1 x^2}{2} + c_3 x \\
 h\bar{w} &= W(y) - 6c_5 xy - 3c_6 x^2 + 3c_4 x \\
 u^* &= \psi(y) + c_6 x \\
 v^* &= \Omega(y) + c_5 x \\
 w^* &= \phi(y) \\
 h\hat{w} &= \chi(y) - 2c_5 xy - c_6 x^2 + c_4 x
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where U --- χ are arbitrary functions of y and c_1 --- c_6 are constants. We should recall that eqs. (1) - (4) must be written for each layer.

We now substitute eqs. (4) into (1), then into (3), and finally into the equilibrium equations, (26) of [1], in which the x dependence is dropped to establish the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{A_{22}^h}{2} v'' + 3A_{23} \phi' + \frac{A_{26}^h}{2} u'' + \frac{A_{23} S_{33}^h}{10} (p_1' + p_2') + s_2 - s_1 &= -\frac{A_{12}^h}{2} c_1 \\
 \frac{A_{26}^h}{2} v'' + 3A_{36} \phi' + \frac{A_{66}^h}{2} u'' + \frac{A_{36} S_{33}^h}{10} (p_1' + p_2') + t_2 - t_1 &= -\frac{A_{16}^h}{2} c_1 \\
 \frac{B_{22}^h}{4} \Omega'' + \frac{5}{4} B_{23} (3\chi' - W') + \frac{B_{26}^h}{4} \psi'' - \frac{5}{2} A_{45} \psi - \frac{5}{8} A_{44} (W' - \chi' + 4\Omega) &= 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

$$+ \frac{B_{23} S_{33} h^2}{28} (p_2' - p_1') + \frac{5h}{12} (s_1 + s_2) = \frac{5}{4} A_{45} (c_4 - 2c_5 y)$$

$$\frac{B_{26} h^2}{4} \Omega'' + \frac{5B_{36}}{4} (3\chi' - W') + \frac{B_{66} h^2}{4} \psi'' - \frac{5A_{55}}{2} \psi - \frac{5}{8} A_{45} (W' - \chi' + 4\Omega)$$

$$+ \frac{B_{36} S_{33} h^2}{28} (p_2' - p_1') + \frac{5h}{12} (t_1 + t_2) = \frac{5}{4} A_{55} (c_4 - 2c_5 y)$$

$$\frac{A_{23} h}{2} v' + 3A_{33} \phi + \frac{A_{36} h}{2} u' + \frac{h}{10} (A_{33} S_{33} - 5) (p_1 + p_2) + \frac{h^2}{12} (s_1' - s_2') =$$

$$- \frac{A_{13} h}{2} (c_1 y + c_2) - \frac{A_{36} h}{2} c_3 + A_{3\beta} a_\beta \quad (5 \text{ cont'd})$$

$$\frac{5A_{45}}{2} \psi' + \frac{5}{8} A_{44} (W'' - \chi'' + 4\Omega') + p_2 - p_1 + \frac{h}{12} (s_1' + s_2') = \frac{5}{2} A_{45} c_5$$

$$\frac{5B_{23}}{2} \Omega' + \frac{25B_{33}}{2h^2} (3\chi - W) + \frac{5B_{36}}{2} \psi' + \frac{1}{14} (5B_{33} S_{33} - 14) (p_2 - p_1) - \frac{h}{12} (s_1' + s_2') =$$

$$- \frac{5B_{13} c_6}{2} - \frac{5B_{36} c_5}{2}$$

which are also valid within each layer. The remaining field equations, the interface continuity conditions, are given by substituting (4) into (27) of [1], which gives

$$\left\{ v - \frac{h}{2} \phi' - \frac{5}{2} \Omega + \frac{5}{8} \chi' - \frac{W'}{8} - \frac{h}{12} [S_{44}(3s_1 - s_2) + S_{45}(3t_1 - t_2)] \right\}^{(k+1)} - \left\{ v \right. \quad (6)$$

$$\left. - \frac{h}{2} \phi' + \frac{5}{2} \Omega - \frac{5}{8} \chi' + \frac{W'}{8} + \frac{h}{12} [S_{44}(3s_2 - s_1) + S_{45}(3t_2 - t_1)] \right\}^{(k)} = 3(c_5^{(k+1)} + c_5^{(k)})x$$

$$+ (c_3^{(k)} - c_3^{(k+1)})x + \frac{1}{2} (c_1^{(k+1)} - c_1^{(k)})x^2$$

$$\left\{ U - \frac{5}{2} \psi - \frac{h}{12} [S_{45}(3s_1 - s_2) + S_{55}(3t_1 - t_2)] \right\}^{(k+1)} - \left\{ U + \frac{5}{2} \psi + \frac{h}{12} [S_{45}(3s_2 - s_1) + S_{55}(3t_2 - t_1)] \right\}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2} (c_5^{(k+1)} + c_5^{(k)})y + (c_1^{(k)} - c_1^{(k+1)})xy + 3(c_6^{(k+1)} + c_6^{(k)})x + (c_2^{(k)} - c_2^{(k+1)})x - \frac{1}{4}(c_4^{(k+1)} + c_4^{(k)})$$

$$S_{33}^{(k+1)} \left\{ -\frac{7}{2} A_{23} hV' + 21 \left(\frac{5}{S_{33}} - A_{33} \right) \phi - \frac{7}{2} A_{36} hU' + \frac{15}{2} B_{23} h\Omega' + \frac{75}{2h} \left(3B_{33} - \frac{7}{S_{33}} \right) x + \frac{15}{2h} \left(\frac{7}{S_{33}} - 5B_{33} \right) W + \frac{15}{2} B_{36} h\psi' + hp_1 \left(6 - \frac{7A_{33}S_{33}}{10} - \frac{15B_{33}S_{33}}{14} \right) + hp_2 \left(1 - \frac{7A_{33}S_{33}}{10} + \frac{15B_{33}S_{33}}{14} \right) \right\}^{(k+1)} \quad (6 \text{ cont'd})$$

$$+ S_{33}^{(k)} \left\{ -\frac{7}{2} A_{23} hV' + 21 \left(\frac{5}{S_{33}} - A_{33} \right) \phi - \frac{7}{2} A_{36} hU' - \frac{15}{2} B_{23} h\Omega' + \frac{75}{2h} \left(\frac{7}{S_{33}} - 3B_{33} \right) x + \frac{15}{2h} \left(5B_{33} - \frac{7}{S_{33}} \right) W - \frac{15}{2} B_{36} h\psi' + hp_1 \left(1 - \frac{7A_{33}S_{33}}{10} + \frac{15B_{33}S_{33}}{14} \right) + hp_2 \cdot \left(6 - \frac{7A_{33}S_{33}}{10} - \frac{15B_{33}S_{33}}{14} \right) \right\}^{(k)} = 105 \left[2 \left(\frac{c_5^{(k)}}{h_k} - \frac{c_5^{(k+1)}}{h_{k+1}} \right) xy + \left(\frac{c_4^{(k+1)}}{h_{k+1}} - \frac{c_4^{(k)}}{h_k} \right) x \right.$$

$$\left. + \left(\frac{c_6^{(k)}}{h_k} - \frac{c_6^{(k+1)}}{h_{k+1}} \right) x^2 \right] + \frac{7y}{2} (S_{33}^{(k+1)} A_{13} h_{k+1} c_1^{(k+1)} + S_{33}^{(k)} A_{13} h_k c_1^{(k)})$$

$$+ S_{33}^{(k+1)} \left(\frac{7}{2} A_{13} hc_2 + \frac{7}{2} A_{36} hc_3 - \frac{15}{2} B_{13} hc_6 - \frac{15}{2} B_{36} hc_5 - 7A_{3\beta} a_\beta \right)^{(k+1)}$$

$$+ S_{33}^{(k)} \left(\frac{7}{2} A_{13} hc_2 + \frac{7}{2} A_{36} hc_3 + \frac{15}{2} B_{13} hc_6 + \frac{15}{2} B_{36} hc_5 - 7A_{3\beta} a_\beta \right)^{(k)}$$

$$\text{and } \begin{matrix} (k+1) & (k) \\ t_1 & = t_2 \end{matrix}, \quad \begin{matrix} (k+1) & (k) \\ s_1 & = s_2 \end{matrix}, \quad \begin{matrix} (k+1) & (k) \\ p_1 & = p_2 \end{matrix} \quad (7)$$

for $k = 1, 2 \dots N-1$.

Due to the symmetric lamination geometry, the interlaminar shear stress components and the z displacement component, w , all vanish on the central plane $z = 0$. We shall take advantage of these conditions by considering only the upper half of the laminate, i.e., $z \geq 0$. Incorporating the traction-free conditions on the upper surface, our boundary conditions on the upper and lower surfaces become

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad S_{33} \left\{ -\frac{7}{2} A_{23} h V' + 21 \left(\frac{5}{S_{33}} - A_{33} \right) \phi - \frac{7}{2} A_{36} h U' + \frac{15}{2} B_{23} h \Omega' + \frac{75}{2h} \left(3B_{33} - \frac{7}{S_{33}} \right) x \right. \\ \left. + \frac{15}{2h} \left(\frac{7}{S_{33}} - 5B_{33} \right) w + \frac{15}{2} B_{36} h \psi' + h p_1 \left(6 - \frac{7A_{33}S_{33}}{10} - \frac{15B_{33}S_{33}}{14} \right) \right. \\ \left. + h p_2 \left(1 - \frac{7A_{33}S_{33}}{10} + \frac{15B_{33}S_{33}}{14} \right) \right\}^{(1)} = \frac{105}{h_1} \left(-2c_5^{(1)}xy + c_4^{(1)}x - c_6^{(1)}x^2 \right) \\ (1) \quad + S_{33} \left(\frac{7}{2} A_{13} h c_1 y + \frac{7}{2} A_{13} h c_2 + \frac{7}{2} A_{36} h c_3 - \frac{15}{2} B_{13} h c_6 - \frac{15}{2} B_{36} h c_5 - 7A_{3\beta} a_\beta \right)^{(1)} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\text{and } \begin{matrix} (1) & (1) & (N) & (N) & (N) \\ t_1 & = s_1 & = t_2 & = s_2 & = p_2 \end{matrix} = 0 \quad (9)$$

Since eqs. (6) and (8) must be satisfied for all values of x , it follows that

$$\begin{matrix} (k) & (k) & (k) \\ c_4 & = c_5 & = c_6 \end{matrix} = 0, \quad k = 1, 2 \dots N \quad (10)$$

$$\text{and } \begin{matrix} (k+1) & (k) & (k+1) & (k) & (k+1) & (k) \\ c_1 & = c_1 & , & c_2 & = c_2 & , & c_3 & = c_3 \end{matrix}, \quad k = 1, 2 \dots N-1 \quad (11)$$

so that c_1 , c_2 and c_3 are the same for every layer.

We now turn our attention to the edge boundary conditions, which require consideration of N_y , N_{xy} , V_y , M_y , M_{xy} , s_1 and s_2 for each layer on $y = \pm b$, since, as discussed in [1], only edge tractions are imposed in the present class of boundary value problems. However, all these functions cannot be independently prescribed because of the consequences of interface continuity and global equilibrium of the entire laminate. That is, the interface continuity conditions given by the second of (7) prohibit arbitrarily prescribed values of $s_1^{(k)}$ and $s_2^{(k)}$. Furthermore, $s_1^{(1)}$ and $s_2^{(N)}$ have already been specified by (9) for all values of y . These relations, in conjunction with the second equilibrium equation, see (26) of [1], can be used to establish the result

$$\sum_{k=1}^N N_{y,y}^{(k)} = 0 \quad (12)$$

which requires that

$$\sum_{k=1}^N N_y^{(k)}(b) - \sum_{k=1}^N N_y^{(k)}(-b) = 0 \quad (13)$$

Therefore, only $2N-1$ values of $N_y^{(k)}$ can be arbitrarily prescribed on the edges $y = \pm b$. We can make the same statement regarding $N_{xy}^{(k)}$ since an equation of the form (13) can be derived in similar fashion for this function.

Hence, the edge boundary conditions may be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} N_y^{(k)}(b) &= N_{xy}^{(k)}(b) = V_y^{(k)}(\pm b) = M_y^{(k)}(\pm b) = M_{xy}^{(k)}(\pm b) = 0 & (k = 1, 2, \dots, N) \\ N_y^{(k)}(-b) &= N_{xy}^{(k)}(-b) = s_2^{(k)}(\pm b) = 0 & (k = 1, 2, \dots, N-1) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The present boundary value problem therefore, consists of differential equations (5) and (6) subject to the boundary conditions (8), (9), and (14).

The general solution for each dependent variable consists of the sum of two parts: i) a complementary solution defined by the homogeneous form of (5) - (9) and, ii) a particular solution. In the particular solution (denoted by subscript P), the only nonvanishing functions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_P^{(k)} &= a_1^{(k)} & \chi_P^{(k)} &= a_2^{(k)} & W_P^{(k)} &= 3a_2^{(k)} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $a_i^{(k)}$ ($i = 1, 2$) are constants given by substituting (15) into (5), (6) and (8) to get

$$a_1^{(k)} = \frac{1}{3A_{33}^{(k)}} (A_{3\beta}^{(k)} a_{\beta}^{(k)} - A_{13}^{(k)} h_k e) \quad , \quad k = 1, 2 \dots N$$

$$a_2^{(1)} = h_1 a_1^{(1)} \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{a_2^{(k+1)}}{h_{k+1}} = \frac{a_1^{(k+1)}}{a_1^{(k)}} + \frac{a_2^{(k)}}{h_k} \quad , \quad k = 1, 2 \dots N-1$$

where we have put

$$2c_2 = e \quad , \quad c_1 = c_3 = 0 \quad (17)$$

and e is the applied axial strain. The second condition, $c_1 = 0$, follows from the procedure leading to (15) and (16), while c_3 is set to zero since it has no effect on the stress field here.

Since the field equations are linear differential equations with constant coefficients, the complementary solution (subscript H) for each dependent variable consists of a series of terms of the form

$$f_H^{(k)} = F e^{\lambda y} \quad (18)$$

where $f^{(k)}$ stands for any of the dependent variables and $F^{(k)}$ are constants. Substituting (18) into the homogeneous form of eqs. (5) - (9) leads to a system of $13N$ linear algebraic equations. The values of λ are determined by setting the determinant of the coefficients equal to zero. Algebraic expressions for the expansion of the determinant were not written owing to the complexity of such expressions, even in the simplest cases. Rather, computer calculated values of the determinant for specific values of λ , as discussed later, were employed to continue the analysis. Hence, it is not possible to exhibit the mathematical details of the remaining steps in the solution. Therefore, this phase of the work will be presented in a descriptive fashion.

Although our determinant is too complex to evaluate in general terms, we can examine the nature of its polynomial (in λ) expansion in some detail for fairly small values of N and extend the results by induction*. We can also develop a procedure to search for terms in the determinant in which the highest and lowest powers of λ occur. Proceeding in this fashion for values of $N = 1$ (in which case the interface continuity conditions are dropped) 2, 3, and 4, we make the following observations: i) Only even powers of λ occur in the determinant, ii) The lowest power of λ is λ^4 , and iii) The highest power of λ is λ^{12N-2} . Although these results are not perfectly rigorous, no contradictions have been found. Furthermore, the number of λ roots is consistent with the number of edge boundary conditions, as discussed later.

An exception to the form (18) occurs with the appearance of repeated roots for λ . Since repeated zero roots always occur, it is necessary to treat the corresponding part of the solution separately. In this part of the solution, (18) is replaced by a third degree polynomial in y . Representing the functions corresponding to the repeated zero roots by subscripts H_0 , we

* Although we have not done it here, it is possible to develop a program to define the powers of λ occurring in each term of the determinant for arbitrary values of N by use of a computer.

find from the homogeneous form of (5)-(9) that the only functions are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}^{(k)}U_{Ho} &= G_1 y + C_o \\
 {}^{(k)}V_{Ho} &= A_1 y + A_o \\
 {}^{(k)}\phi_{Ho} &= {}^{(k)}B_o \\
 {}^{(k)}X_{Ho} &= {}^{(k)}E_o \\
 {}^{(k)}W_{Ho} &= 3E_o
 \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}^{(k)}B_o &= - \frac{({}^{(k)}A_{23}A_1 + {}^{(k)}A_{36}C_1)h_k}{6A_{33}^{(k)}}, \quad k = 1, 2 \dots N \\
 {}^{(1)}E_o &= h_1 {}^{(1)}B_o \\
 \frac{{}^{(k+1)}E_o}{h_{k+1}} &= {}^{(k)}B_o + \frac{{}^{(k)}E_o}{h_k} + {}^{(k+1)}B_o, \quad k = 1, 2 \dots N-1
 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The constants A_o and C_o define rigid body translation of the laminate as a unit. The remaining constants in (19) can all be expressed in terms of A_1 and C_1 . Hence, two constants which effect the stress distribution have been introduced in the repeated zero part of the homogeneous solution.

The remaining portion of the complementary solution consists of functions of the form (18) corresponding to the $12N-6$ nonzero values of λ (we are assuming that these roots are all distinct). These roots, which occur

in combinations of the form $\pm (a \pm ib)$, where b may vanish, were determined by computing the value of the determinant for $6N-2$ values of λ^2 and using these results to solve for the coefficients of the equivalent polynomial. Once the polynomial has been established, its roots can be determined through standard computer routines. In the usual manner, one equation in the homogeneous version of (5)-(9) may be dropped and the reduced system used to relate all but one of the arbitrary constants to the remaining constant for each value of λ . This procedure leads to $12N-6$ arbitrary constants. These constants, augmented by A_1 and C_1 , are evaluated via the $12N-4$ edge boundary conditions (14). Once these constants are defined, the complete solution for any of the $13N$ functions appearing in (5)-(9) is given by

$$\begin{matrix} (k) \\ f \end{matrix} = \sum_{m=1}^{12N-6} \begin{matrix} (k) \\ F_m \end{matrix} e^{\lambda_m} + \begin{matrix} (k) \\ f_{Ho} \end{matrix} + \begin{matrix} (k) \\ f_P \end{matrix} \quad (21)$$

where the last two terms are defined by (15), (16), (19) and (20). The force and moment resultants are now given by (3) upon using the results of (4) and (1).

A special case arises in the solution that requires separate treatment. This case is defined by the vanishing of compliance coefficients S_{16} , S_{26} , S_{36} and S_{45} , as well as expansional strain e_6 , in every layer. The situation arises when each layer is isotropic and/or oriented at an angle of 0° or 90° . This leads to the vanishing of $\begin{matrix} (k) \\ U \end{matrix}$, $\begin{matrix} (k) \\ \phi \end{matrix}$, $\begin{matrix} (k) \\ t_1 \end{matrix}$, $\begin{matrix} (k) \\ t_2 \end{matrix}$, $\begin{matrix} (k) \\ N_{xy} \end{matrix}$, and $\begin{matrix} (k) \\ M_{xy} \end{matrix}$. Consequently, dropping the appropriate field equations and boundary conditions we find that setting the determinant to zero leads to only two zero roots for λ and $8N-4$ nonzero roots. The number of boundary conditions (14) reduces to $8N-3$. Otherwise, the treatment presented here remains unchanged.

The occurrence of very large magnitudes of λ for large N in the present formulation leads to values of $e^{\pm \lambda b}$ which exceed computer limits. This in turn restricts the values of N which can be treated. For example, $N=6$ was

AFML-TR-77-113

the largest value permissible for the properties assumed in [1]. Resolution of this difficulty is now being considered.

Numerical results of the present solution are presented in [1].

REFERENCES

1. N. J. Pagano, Stress Fields in Composite Laminates, AFML-TR-77-114, August 1977.
2. A. H. Puppo and H. A. Evensen, Interlaminar Shear in Laminated Composites, J. Composite Materials, 4, 204 (1970).
3. R. B. Pipes and N. J. Pagano, Interlaminar Stresses in Composite Laminates Under Uniform Axial Extension, J. Composite Materials, 4, 538 (1970).