

AD-A049 679

NORTH CAROLINA UNIV AT CHAPEL HILL WILLIAM R KENAN JR--ETC F/G 7/4  
CHEMICAL MODIFICATION OF CARBON ELECTRODES. X. ESCA AND AC VOLT--ETC(U).  
JAN 78 R W MURRAY  
N00014-76-C-0817

UNCLASSIFIED

TR-3

NL

| OF |

AD  
A049 679



END

DATE

FILMED

3 - 78

DDC

AD A 049679

AD No. FILE COPY

12

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-76C-0817

Task No. NR 359-623

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 3

CHEMICALLY MODIFICATION OF CARBON ELECTRODES

by

Royce W. Murray

University of North Carolina  
Kenan Laboratories of Chemistry  
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514

January 1978

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

\*Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited.

DDC  
RECEIVED  
FEB 8 1978  
B

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER Technical Report No. 3 ✓	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) ⑥ Chemical Modification of Carbon Electrodes • X. ESCA and AC Voltammetry of Glassy Carbon-Bound Tetra (aminophenyl) porphyrins,		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED ⑨ Interim rept.
7. AUTHOR ⑩ Royce W./Murray	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) ⑮ N00014-76C-0817 ✓	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Chemistry University of North Carolina ✓ Chapel Hill, NC 27514		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR 359-623
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy Arlington, Virginia 22217 ⑪ Jan 78		12. REPORT DATE
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Office of Naval Research ONR Branch Office 536 South Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605 ⑫ 25p.		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release, Distribution Unlimited ⑭ TIR-3		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Prepared for publication in Journal of the American Chemical Society		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) carbon electrode, ESCA, porphyrin, surface structure, cyclic voltammetry		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) ESCA data show that tetra(aminophenyl)porphyrin is bound to glassy carbon electrode surfaces by an average of two amide bonds. The bound porphyrin, its Co-metallated analog, and a nitroaromatic bound to the porphyrin via the dangling amine sites, exhibit electrochemical surface waves detected by cyclic, differential pulse and AC voltammetry. Axial coordination of immobilized porphyrin is demonstrated by sensitivity of the electrochemistry to added bases.		

408 860

LB

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. Copies</u>	<u>No. Copie</u>
Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Code 472, 2	Defense Documentation Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314 12
Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Code 102IP 6	U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709 Attn: CRD-AA-IP
ONR Branch Office 536 S. Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605 Attn: Dr. George Sandoz 1	Commander Naval Undersea Research & Development Center San Diego, California 92132 Attn: Technical Library, Code 133 1
ONR Branch Office 715 Broadway New York, New York 10003 Attn: Scientific Dept. 1	Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555 Attn: Head, Chemistry Division 1
ONR Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106 Attn: Dr. R. J. Marcus 1	Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Port Hueneme, California 93041 Attn: Mr. W. S. Haynes 1
ONR Branch Office 760 Market Street, Rm. 447 San Francisco, California 94102 Attn: Dr. P. A. Miller 1	Professor O. Heinz Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940
ONR Branch Office 495 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210 Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles 1	Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1) Washington, D.C. 20380 1
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20390 Attn: Library, Code 2029 (ONRL) 6 Technical Info. Div. 1 Code 6100, 6170 1	Advanced Research Projects Agency Materials Sciences Office 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22209 Attn: Dr. Stan Ruby 1
The Asst. Secretary of the Navy (R&D) Department of the Navy Room 4E736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350 1	
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360 Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser) 1	

ACCESSION for	
NTIS	White Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC	Buff Section <input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED	<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTIFICATION _____	
BY _____	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
Dist.	AVAIL and/or SPECIAL
A	

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
Dr. Paul Delahay New York University Department of Chemistry New York, New York 10003	1	Dr. R. A. Huggins Stanford University Department of Materials Science & Engineering Stanford, California 94305	1
Dr. R. A. Osteryoung Colorado State University Department of Chemistry Fort Collins, Colorado 80521	1	Dr. Joseph Singer, Code 302-1 NASA-Lewis 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135	1
Dr. E. Yeager Case Western Reserve University Department of Chemistry Cleveland, Ohio 41106	1	Dr. B. Brummer EIC Incorporated Five Lee Street Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1
Dr. D. N. Bennion University of California Energy Kinetics Department Los Angeles, California 90024	1	Library P. R. Mallory and Company, Inc. P. O. Box 706 Indianapolis, Indiana 46206	1
Dr. J. W. Kauffman Northwestern University Department of Materials Science Evanston, Illinois 60201	1	Dr. P. J. Hendra University of Southampton Department of Chemistry Southampton SO9 5NH United Kingdom	
Dr. R. A. Marcus University of Illinois Department of Chemistry Urbana, Illinois 61801	1	Dr. Sam Perone Purdue University Department of Chemistry West Lafayette, Indiana 47907	1
Dr. M. Eisenberg Electrochimica Corporation 2485 Charleston Road Mountain View, California 94040	1	<del>Dr. Royce W. Murray University of North Carolina Department of Chemistry Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514</del>	1
Dr. J. J. Auburn GTE Laboratories, Inc. 40 Sylvan Road Waltham, Massachusetts 02154	1	Dr. J. Proud GTE Laboratories Inc. Waltham Research Center 40 Sylvan Road Waltham, Massachusetts 02154	1
Dr. Adam Heller Bell Telephone Laboratories Murray Hill, New Jersey	1	Mr. J. F. McCartney Naval Undersea Center Sensor and Information Technology Dept. San Diego, California 92132	1
Dr. T. Katan Lockheed Missiles & Space Co., Inc. P.O. Box 504 Sunnyvale, California 94088	1		

PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT  
FOR REVIEW PURPOSES ONLY

orig

RECEIVED

72729

NOV 17 1977

JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN  
CHEMICAL SOCIETY

Chemically Modified Electrodes X. ESCA and AC Voltammetry  
of Glassy Carbon-Bound Tetra(aminophenyl)porphyrins


John C. Lennox<sup>1</sup> and Royce W. Murray<sup>\*</sup>  
Kenan Laboratories of Chemistry  
University of North Carolina  
Chapel Hill, N.C. 27514

RECEIVED NOV 17 1977

Abstract

ESCA data show that tetra(aminophenyl)porphyrin is bound to glassy carbon electrode surfaces by an average of two amide bonds. The bound porphyrin, its Co-metallated analog, and a nitroaromatic bound to the porphyrin via the dangling amine sites, exhibit electrochemical surface waves detected by cyclic, differential pulse and AC voltammetry. Axial coordination of immobilized porphyrin is demonstrated by sensitivity of the electrochemistry to added bases.

We recently applied the carbon amidization reaction sequence



described for chiral electrodes by Watkins, et. al.<sup>2</sup> to the covalent binding of tetra(aminophenyl)porphyrin to glassy carbon electrode surfaces<sup>3</sup>. The thus immobilized porphyrin, designated C-T(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP, is electrochemically reactive, exhibiting reversible cyclic voltammetric waves in solutions devoid of dissolved porphyrin, at potentials close to those of cyclic voltammograms of dissolved T(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP in conventional solutions. C-T(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP electrode surfaces can furthermore be metallated with Co(II), after which electrochemical reduction of CoT(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP is observed. Electrochemical and ESCA control experiments demonstrated<sup>3</sup> that the observed porphyrin immobilization, under the circumstances of our experiments, depends on amide bonding as in Reaction 1, as distinct from adsorption of porphyrin from aqueous solutions onto carbon reported by Anson, et. al.<sup>4,5</sup>

Results of further experiments on glassy carbon-immobilized T(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP and CoT(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP are presented in this paper. The number of amide bonds formed between the tetra(aminophenyl)porphyrin and the carbon surface has been determined by ESCA derivatization-analysis, and electrochemical effects of axial coordination of immobilized CoT(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP are observed. The excellent sensitivity of phase selective AC voltammetry for surface redox processes proved important in experiments on surfaces bearing both T(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP and CoT(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Preparation and binding of tetra(m-aminophenyl)porphyrin, T(m-NH<sub>2</sub>)PP, and tetra (p-aminophenyl)porphyrin, T(p-NH<sub>2</sub>)PP, to glassy carbon was performed as detailed previously. Metallation was by warming with CoCl<sub>2</sub> in DMF or CH<sub>3</sub>CN. The para- and ortho- isomers of mono-amino-tetraphenylporphyrin, M(NH<sub>2</sub>)TPP, were generous gifts from C. M. Elliott (Stanford University). For investigation of amide binding, electrodes to which T(m-NH<sub>2</sub>)PP had been bound were reacted with 3,5-dinitrobenzoyl chloride in toluene under gentle reflux for one hour. Relative areas of N 1s ESCA peaks observed on these electrodes were ascertained using a gaussian/lorentzian peak-fitting program designed specifically for ESCA applications<sup>6</sup>.  $I_{\text{amide}}/I_{\text{nitro}} = 0.80$  on a standard material, 3,5-dinitrobenzanilide, and this correction factor was applied to the electrode results. ESCA spectra were obtained using a DuPont 650B spectrometer modified with an in-house designed microcomputer control and acquisition system.


All electrochemical experiments (cyclic voltammetry, differential pulse voltammetry, AC voltammetry) employed a conventional non-aqueous cell, with Luggin (NaSCE) reference electrode probe, and a PAR Model 174 as a potentiostat. A simple modification to this instrument allowed setting the drop life (pulse repetition rate) at 0.1 - 0.5 seconds for differential pulse voltammetry. For AC voltammetry, signals were obtained from the output of the current transducer amplifier in order to bypass output buffers and filters which are present. AC measurements utilized a PAR HR-8 lock-in amplifier

for reference signal source and phase sensitive detection. Glassy carbon electrodes were grade V10-50 from Atomergic Chemetals Corp. (Plainview, N.Y.). cut as 2.7-3mm diameter, 4-5mm length cylinders. All electrochemical and ESCA experiments are carried out on the cylinder ends, which are resurfaced mirror-smooth with 1 micron diamond polishing compound after each use. Solvents for electrochemistry were stored over molecular sieves (DMSO, CH<sub>3</sub>CN) or distilled freshly (DMF), and uniformly contained 0.1 M Et<sub>4</sub>N<sup>+</sup>ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> electrolyte.


#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Number of amide bonds. Previous experiments<sup>3</sup> showed that the binding of T(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP to glassy carbon is associated with the presence of the amine groups on the porphyrin moiety. Formation of four amide bonds was thought unlikely on steric grounds. Analysis of the number of bonds which do form is an important part of a stereochemical picture of the modified surface.

Representing the amidization reaction as



the residual amine sites can be determined by derivatization with a second acid chloride to form



The N 1s ESCA peaks of the amide and porphyrin nitrogens (400 e.v.) are unresolved from one another but are well resolved from nitro nitrogen

Fig. 1 (407 e.v.) (Figure 1). The relative areas of the two N 1s peaks provide an estimate of the percentage free amine remaining after Reaction 2. In two determinations using four electrode specimens each, the percentage free amine for immobilized T(m-NH<sub>2</sub>)PP was 45±7% and 50±13% (2σ). No

correction was applied to the 400 e.v. peak for a small nitrogen blank present on freshly polished electrodes. Unmodified control electrodes reacted with 3,5-dinitrobenzoyl chloride exhibit no significant 407 e.v. (nitro) band; stable esters do not form under the conditions employed.

The free amine result indicates that  $\bar{x} = 2$  in Reaction 2, e.g., on the average, two of the four amines of  $T(\underline{m}\text{-NH}_2)\text{PP}$  become bound to the carbon electrode surface by an amide linkage. The distribution of mono-, bis-, and tris-bonded  $T(\underline{m}\text{-NH}_2)\text{PP}$  which forms this average is unknown, and judging from the data scatter may vary somewhat from electrode to electrode. A predominance of bis-bonded  $T(\text{NH}_2)\text{PP}$  is, however, readily rationalized if it is assumed that the surface acid chloride site density does not greatly exceed the amine site "density" of the  $T(\underline{m}\text{-NH}_2)\text{PP}$ . Thus the three amine groups on a freshly mono-bonded, freely rotating  $T(\underline{m}\text{-NH}_2)\text{PP}$  have a much higher probability of encounter and reaction with an appropriately located second acid chloride site than will the two amine groups remaining on the ensuing, motionally restricted,  $\alpha,\beta$  or  $\alpha,\gamma$  bis-bonded species. That is, the probability of good steric register of two amine-acid chloride pairs is greater than that of three.

Further insight into the porphyrin surface bonding awaits results of experiments on the average surface acid chloride spacing and with appropriate diaminotetraphenyl-porphyrin isomers.

Electrochemical observation of surface waves. Cyclic voltammetry is readily applied to detection of chemisorbed<sup>4,5,7,8</sup> or synthetically linked<sup>11-16</sup> surface redox couples at high coverage or low background, and presently is the method of choice for

systems of brief lifetime. For accurate measurements of formal potentials and  $\Delta E_{\text{peak}}$  values of surface waves, especially at low sweep rates, and for characterization of surfaces at low coverages or which exhibit multiple redox processes, however, the quality of information from cyclic voltammetry



Fig. 2 can be poor (Figure 2, Curve A). An alternative experiment for surface studies, differential pulse voltammetry, was introduced by Anson, et. al.<sup>4,5</sup> via a clever manipulation of uncompensated cell resistance<sup>9</sup>. Figure 2, Curve B illustrates the efficacy of this experiment on an electrode bearing a mixed array of T(m-NH<sub>2</sub>)PP and CoT(m-NH<sub>2</sub>)PP sites.

Fig. 3 Phase selective AC voltammetry also promotes high sensitivity to surface redox events, (Figure 3). Residual, unmetallated T(m-NH<sub>2</sub>)PP is undetectable in the Curve A cyclic voltammogram, but is discerned in the AC experiment (Curve B) at a coverage estimated at  $\leq 2 \times 10^{-12}$  mole/cm.<sup>2</sup>. Both differential pulse and AC voltammetries detect the electrode surface capacitance dispersion which occurs at potentials for electron transfer reactions of immobilized redox couples<sup>10</sup>. Both methods require modest potential sweep rates (1-10 mv./sec.) to avoid  $E_{\text{peak}}$  shifts and demand stable (or replenished<sup>5</sup>) surface redox systems for prolonged observations.

C-TTPP Electrodes. In DMSO, four reduction waves can be observed at

Table 1 C-T(m-NH<sub>2</sub>)PP electrodes (Table 1, entry 1), two (Waves I) with potentials (-1.08, -1.50) closely matching those at which dissolved TPP is reduced to radical anion and dianion, and two (Waves II) with low and variable amplitude observable only in differential pulse and AC voltammetry (Figure 3) responses.

We interpret Waves I in DMSO and Waves II in CH<sub>3</sub>CN as



The potentials for reactions 4 and 5 are shifted by -0.24 and -0.33 volts in CH<sub>3</sub>CN as compared to DMSO, a large solvent effect. Acetonitrile is a poor solvating medium for porphyrins, and the large shift in potential can be attributed to solvation factors, or, more plausibly, to a reactant-stabilizing interaction of the bound porphyrin system with the carbon surface which is promoted by the poor solvating efficacy of the CH<sub>3</sub>CN medium. In view of the fact that the potential shift occurs for both T(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP and M(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP, and potentials for bound CoT(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP in contrast exhibit little dependency on solvent (Table I, entries 4,10,12), it is further likely that the surface-porphyrin interaction involves the central ring of the bound porphyrin.

In DMSO solvent, reversal of the direction of potential scan yields pseudocapacitance peaks for Waves I and II which are similar in amplitude and E<sub>peak</sub> on cathodic and anodic-going scans. The same result is obtained in CH<sub>3</sub>CN if scan reversal occurs (immediately or with a 60 sec constant potential pause) after the radical anion-producing -1.3v wave



Fig. 4 (Figure 4, Curve B). Extension of the potential cycle to include the second, dianion wave, however, produces an attenuated radical anion reoxidation peak and a new wave at -0.40 (Figure 4, Curve A). No effect is seen on the pseudocapacitance peak during which the dianion is oxidized, and the overall process is reversible, e.g., a subsequent cyclic AC

voltammogram quantitatively repeats this pattern (Curve C). Clearly production of the dianion provokes a chemical step of some kind; from its overall reversibility it is unlikely that fragmentation or protonation of the perimeter porphyrin structure has occurred. Again involvement of the central ring is most plausible. It is possible that a portion of the porphyrins are bound in such a way that the central nitrogen base sites fall in register with a surface carboxylic acid or hydroxylic site, and in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  a stabilizing, reversible proton transfer from this site to the ring nitrogen occurs (e.g.  $\text{HT}(\text{NH}_2)\text{PP}^-$ ).

Interpretation of the small population of species reacting in Waves II in DMSO and in Waves I in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  is unclear. These waves decay more rapidly than the main Reaction 4,5 waves in both solvents (compare Curves A, C, Figure 4). It is possible that Waves II in DMSO represent sites for which a surface-porphyrin interaction occurs akin to that proposed to occur for the majority of bound porphyrin in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$ . Experiments in which  $\text{C}-\text{T}(\text{NH}_2)\text{PP}$  electrodes are transferred between cells containing DMSO and  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  solvent show moderately slow (~30 minutes) equilibration in the new solvent through slow changes in positions and relative amplitudes of Waves I and II.

Mixed  $\text{C}-\text{CoT}(\text{NH}_2)\text{PP}$ ,  $\text{T}(\text{NH}_2)\text{PP}$  electrodes. Metallation reactions carried out in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  leave a residue of bound but unmetallated  $\text{T}(\text{NH}_2)\text{PP}$  whose electrochemistry serves as a convenient reference for solvent and axial ligand studies on the bound  $\text{CoT}(\text{NH}_2)\text{PP}$ . Figures 2 and 3 illustrate such mixed electrodes. Potentials of the two surface waves which appear upon cobalt metallation agree quite closely with those observed by Truxillo and Davis<sup>17</sup> for dissolved  $\text{CoTPP}$  complexes, and the +0.1 and -0.8 v. surface waves

can be identified respectively with the reactions



Potentials for Reactions 4,5 and 6,7 are little if any affected by neighbor metallated and unmetallated sites, respectively. The potential for Reaction 7 is relatively insensitive to solvent, but both it and (more strongly) Reaction 6 are shifted by added bases such as pyridine or methyl imidazole (Table I, entries 4-6, 10-11, 12-14). The difference in Reaction 6 and 7 potentials is  $0.73 \pm 0.01$  v for added pyridine and  $0.51 \pm 0.02$  for methyl imidazole, independent of solvent. The Reaction 6-7 potential difference with pyridine agrees with that reported by Davis<sup>17</sup> for solutions of Co(TPP) containing added pyridine.

The shifts in Co porphyrin redox potentials with added ligand are clearly associated with axial coordination of the metal center, and their mere existence shows that at least one and possibly both axial sites on immobilized  $C \text{---} CoT(NH_2)PP$  are available for coordination. The magnitude of the shifts likewise suggests that  $(a-b)$  and  $(b-c)$  in Reactions 6 and 7 are not altered from that in solution, although, thorough potential-ligand concentration data are needed to be quantitative on this point.

Cyclic AC voltammetry is again revealing. In  $CH_3CN$  solvent with added methyl imidazole (Figure 5, Curve B), and in DMSO and DMF solvents with or without added ligand, cyclical, slow potential sweep AC voltammetry of  $C \text{---} CoT(NH_2)PP$  is approximately the same on negative and positive-going sweeps. (Some scatter using wide potential sweep ranges is attributed to hysteresis in the background signals.) In  $CH_3CN$ , in the absence

of deliberately added ligand, on the other hand, the pseudocapacitance peak for the  $\text{Co(I)} \rightarrow \text{Co(II)}$  reaction in the positive potential sweep is 2-3 x larger in amplitude, and narrower in peak width, than that for the  $\text{Co(II)} \rightarrow \text{Co(I)}$  reaction (Figure 5, Curve A). This effect is more pronounced at faster sweep rates (compare Curves C and D).  $\Delta E_{\text{peak}}$  is larger in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  than usual in DMSO. In conventional cyclic voltammetry on electrodes with sufficiently high coverage, a sharper (thus seemingly larger) anodic wave can be seen (Curve E). The amplitude effect in the cyclic AC voltammetry primarily reflects the cathodic-anodic peakwidth difference; the method is more sensitive to surface wave peakwidth than cyclic voltammetry, as is differential pulse voltammetry<sup>5</sup>.

The peakwidth and scan rate results in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  suggest a rather slow change in cobalt axial coordination following Reaction 7 in this solvent. Adventitious water, chloride, or an appropriate, underlying carbon surface functionality constitute possible ligands; whichever is involved is recoverable following reoxidation of  $\text{C}-\text{Co(I)T(NH}_2\text{)PP}$  since the cyclic AC voltammograms are repeatable. Such analogous coordination changes as may occur in the presence of ligand or in DMSO solvent evidently are much more rapid, and peakwidth hysteresis is not seen.

The underlying basis of differing peakwidths for the  $\text{Co(II)} \rightarrow \text{Co(I)}$  and  $\text{Co(I)} \rightarrow \text{Co(II)}$  reactions, as a consequence of the supposed coordination change, is of some interest. If interpreted in terms of non-ideality interaction parameters;<sup>5,8,18</sup> it follows from these results that such parameters can be quite structure-sensitive. An alternate explanation

invokes actual structural non-uniformity (and thus a band-broadening spectrum of surface couple formal potentials  $E^{\circ'}$ ) throughout the surface ensemble. The extent of this chemical diversity could well depend on oxidation state. If the structural readjustments following electron transfer are slow, both structural heterogeneity and non-ideality parameter views anticipate a peakwidth difference between the cathodic and anodic reaction. As a formalism, of course, structural non-uniformity can be accommodated within the framework of non-ideality parameters, as involvement of neighbor-neighbor site interactions is not required for non-ideality. We have observed cathodic-anodic peakwidth differences on other occasions, for instance the metal oxide electrode immobilized<sup>13,19</sup>  $\text{---CO}\phi(\text{NO}_2)_2^{\frac{+}{2}}$   $\rightleftharpoons$   $\text{---CO}\phi(\text{NO}_2)_2^{2-}$  and  $\text{---tetrathiafulvaline}^{\frac{+}{2}}$   $\rightleftharpoons$   $\text{---tetrathiafulvaline}^{2+}$  reactions<sup>20</sup>. In these cases sweep rate and structural change effects have not been identified.

A comparison of the waves for Reactions 6 and 7 as seen in Figures 2, and 3 (Curves C, D) shows that irrespective of solvent, presence of added ligand, or method employed, the  $\text{Co(III)}^{\frac{+}{2}}$   $\rightleftharpoons$   $\text{Co(II)}$  porphyrin wave is broader and of substantially lower amplitude than the  $\text{Co(II)}^{\frac{+}{2}}$   $\rightleftharpoons$   $\text{Co(I)}$  process. The amplitude difference is doubtless in part due to the peakwidth sensitivity of differential pulse and AC voltammetry; interaction and/or chemical heterogeneity effects could well differ in the two reactions. In the absence of a quantitative treatment of the amplitude-peakwidth relation, however, we should not exclude a possible difference in charge transfer kinetics, since charge transfer rates for dissolved CoTPP complexes are fairly slow, and moreso for the  $\text{Co(III)}^{\frac{+}{2}}$   $\rightleftharpoons$  step<sup>17</sup>.

Finally, we previously<sup>3</sup> noted that electrochemical waves on C-T(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP and C-CoT(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP electrodes persist for many cycles. Further experience has shown that stability of the surface redox couples varies somewhat from electrode to electrode, but in general C-CoT(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP electrochemistry is very stable, and that of C-T(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP moderately so. Half-lives of 300-1200 seconds (reduced state) have been observed for C-T(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP electrodes. One C-CoT(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP electrode was reduced (Co(I) state) in DMSO for 10<sup>4</sup> seconds, then cycled 500 times in Reaction 7. The re-examined Reaction 7 AC voltammetric wave amplitude was (within  $\pm 10\%$ ) unchanged from its original value. Such stability of a  $\leq$  monomolecular layer is remarkable. C-CoT(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP electrodes have on several occasions been rinsed following an afternoon's experimentation, stored air-dry or under solvent, and successfully re-used on the next day. Potential scans into the cathodic carbon background rapidly degrade the redox ensembles.

C-T(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP-CO $\emptyset$ (NO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> electrodes. Several specimens prepared during the amide linkage analysis of Figure 1 were examined as electrodes in DMF. Reduction waves at -0.7, -0.9, -1.2, and -1.6 were observed using AC voltammetry; the first two waves decayed rapidly in comparison to the second two. The first and third potentials are approximately those of otherwise immobilized<sup>12,13</sup> -CO $\emptyset$ (NO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>; the other two approximate those seen on C-T(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP electrodes. It appears that the electroactive -CO $\emptyset$ (NO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> group has been immobilized on carbon electrodes using in effect the T(NH<sub>2</sub>)PP as a bridging reagent. This is a provocative observation the possibilities of which for chemically modifying carbon are being further explored.

## DISCUSSION

These results continue to support the hypothesis that to a first approximation electrochemistry of an electrode-immobilized chemical can be anticipated from that of its dissolved counterpart. Exceptions arise, and in the present case they are provoked by use of a poorly solvating medium. It is of interest to note in this connection that solubility of a redox couple, once immobilized on the chemically modified electrode surface, is no bar to experimentation, but observed electrochemical properties may then reflect specific surface effects.

Two aspects of detailed structure of carbon-bonded tetra(aminophenyl)porphyrin were revealed in that two amide bonds form, on the average, with the carbon, and that axial sites in bonded  $\text{CoT}(\text{NH}_2)\text{PP}$  are open for coordinative attack. These two features are consistent with the hypothesis that stable chemically modified surfaces are amenable to predictive electrocatalysis<sup>21</sup>.

### Acknowledgement

This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research. Gift of a porphyrin sample from C. M. Elliott and support of a N.S.F. Postdoctoral Fellowship (J.C.L.) are also acknowledged.

## REFERENCES

- (1.) Present address University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Ark.
- (2.) B. F. Watkins, J. R. Behling, E. Kariv, and L. L. Miller, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 97, 3549 (1975).
- (3.) J. C. Lennox and R. W. Murray, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 78, 395 (1977).
- (4.) A. P. Brown, C. Koval, and F. C. Anson, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 72, 379 (1976).
- (5.) A. P. Brown and F. C. Anson, *Anal. Chem.*, (1977).
- (6.) David N. Smith, University of North Carolina, unpublished results, 1976.
- (7.) R. F. Lane and A. T. Hubbard, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 77, 1401, 1444 (1973).
- (8.) E. Laviron, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 47, 2260 (1975).
- (9.) We have confirmed the uncompensated cell resistance dependency described by Anson<sup>5</sup>, and also observe additional sensitivity enhancement at shortened pulses (e.g. 0.1 sec/pulse) and via the peak current-pulse amplitude (5-100 mv.) proportionality.
- (10.) Representing the faradaic pseudocapacitance as  $C_f$ , and using a fast charge transfer equivalent circuit model<sup>5</sup>, the AC response to  $\Delta E \sin(\omega t)$  excitation is

$$i_{ac} = \frac{\Delta E \omega (C_{dl} + C_f)}{[1 + \omega^2 R_u^2 (C_{dl} + C_f)^2]^{1/2}} \sin(\omega t + \phi)$$

where  $\tan \phi = [\omega R_u (C_{dl} + C_f)]^{-1}$ . When  $C_f \gg C_{dl}$ ,  $\omega R_u C_f < 1$ , and  $C_f$  invariant over  $\Delta E$ , (so  $\phi = 90^\circ$ );  $i_{ac} = \Delta E \omega C_f$ , and  $(i_{ac})_{max}$  occurs at  $(C_f)_{max}$  which is at  $E^\circ$ .

- (11.) P. R. Moses and R. W. Murray, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 98, 7435 (1976).
- (12.) P. R. Moses and R. W. Murray, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 77, 393 (1977).
- (13.) J. R. Lenhard and R. W. Murray, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 78, 195 (1977).

- (14.) A. Diaz, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 99, 5838 (1977).
- (15.) J. F. Evans and T. Kuwana, Anal. Chem., 49, 1632 (1977).
- (16.) M. S. Wrighton, private communication, 1977.
- (17.) L. A. Truxillo and D. G. Davis, Anal. Chem., 37, 2260 (1975).
- (18.) B. E. Conway and E. Gileadi, Trans. Far. Soc., 58, 2493 (1962).
- (19.) J. R. Lenhard, unpublished results, 1977.
- (20.) P. R. Moses, unpublished results, 1977.
- (21.) J. F. Evans, T. Kuwana, M. T. Henne, G. P. Royer, J. Electroanal. Chem., 80, 409 (1977).

### Figure Legends

Figure 1. N 1s ESCA spectrum of glassy carbon electrode modified to bear structure shown in Reaction 3.

Figure 2. Cyclic voltammetry (Curve A, 0.1v./sec.) and differential pulse voltammetry (Curve B, scan rate 2 mV/sec., pulse rate 125 msec./pulse, 10 mV. pulse amplitude) for a carbon- $\text{T}(\text{p-NH}_2)\text{PP}$  electrode partially Co-metallated, in DMF solvent with added pyridine.

Figure 3. Cyclic voltammetry of a low coverage carbon- $\text{CoT}(\text{m-NH}_2)\text{PP}$  electrode at 0.1 v./sec. (Curve A). AC voltammetry of assorted electrodes at 10 mV/sec potential sweep rate, 5 mV amplitude 40 Hz sinusoidal excitation, 90° phase detection; Curve B: same electrode as Curve A; Curve C: mixed carbon- $\text{CoT}(\text{m-NH}_2)\text{PP}, \text{T}(\text{m-NH}_2)\text{PP}$  electrode; Curve D: same electrode as Curve C with methyl imidazole added to solvent; Curves E and F: carbon- $\text{T}(\text{m-NH}_2)\text{PP}$  electrodes; Curve G: carbon- $\text{M}(\text{p-NH}_2)\text{TPP}$  electrode; Curve H: carbon- $\text{M}(\text{o-NH}_2)\text{TPP}$  electrode. All in DMSO solvent. Curves offset for clarity.

Figure 4. Cyclic AC voltammetry of carbon- $\text{T}(\text{m-NH}_2)\text{PP}$  in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  solvent.

5 mV/sec potential sweep rate, 5 mV. amplitude 40 Hz sinusoidal excitation, 90° phase detection. Curve A: Initial cyclic scan, immediate scan reversal; Curve B: pause at -1.50 volt 60 seconds before reversal; Curve C: repeat of Curve A after extensive cycling.

Figure 5. Cyclic AC voltammetry of mixed carbon-CoT(p-NH<sub>2</sub>)PP,T(p-NH<sub>2</sub>)PP electrode in CH<sub>3</sub>CN solvent; 5 mV. amplitude 40 Hz sinusoidal excitation; 90° phase detection. Curve A: 5 mV/sec potential sweep rate; Curve B: 5 mV/sec potential sweep rate and added methyl imidazole; Curve C: 2 mV/sec potential sweep rate; Curve D: 20 mV/sec potential sweep rate; Curve E: cyclic voltammetry of carbon-CoT(p-NH<sub>2</sub>)PP electrode at 0.10 v/sec., voltage axis not shown.

Table I Reduction Potentials for Tetraphenylporphyrins Bound to Glassy Carbon

Entry	Solvent <sup>a</sup>	Electrode <sup>b</sup>	E <sub>peak</sub> <sup>c</sup> vs NaSCE			
			Co(3/2)TPP	Co(2/1)TPP	TPP(0/-1)	TPP(-1/-2)
1	DMSO	T( $\overline{m}$ -NH <sub>2</sub> )PP	-	-	-1.08 (-1.14)	-1.50 (-1.70)
2	DMSO	M( $\overline{p}$ -NH <sub>2</sub> )TPP	-	-	-1.06 (-1.14)	-1.45 (-1.63)
3	DMSO	M( $\overline{o}$ -NH <sub>2</sub> )TPP	-	-	-	-1.15 (-1.45)
4	DMSO	T( $\overline{m}$ -NH <sub>2</sub> )PP+Co	+0.10	-0.86	-1.10	-1.51
5	DMSO+Py	T( $\overline{m}$ -NH <sub>2</sub> )PP+Co	-0.18	-0.91	-1.10	-1.49
6	DMSO+MeIm	T( $\overline{m}$ -NH <sub>2</sub> )PP+Co	-0.39	-0.92	-1.10	-1.49
7	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	T( $\overline{m}$ -NH <sub>2</sub> )PP	-	-	-	-1.32 (-1.60)
8	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	M( $\overline{p}$ -NH <sub>2</sub> )TPP	-	-	(-1.16)	-1.31 (-1.52)
9	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	M( $\overline{o}$ -NH <sub>2</sub> )TPP	-	-	-	-1.36 (-1.76)
10	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	T( $\overline{p}$ -NH <sub>2</sub> )PP+Co	n.obs.	-0.89	-	-1.37 (-1.84)
11	CH <sub>3</sub> CN+MeIm	T( $\overline{p}$ -NH <sub>2</sub> )PP+Co	-0.54	-1.03	-	-1.36 (-1.56)
12	DMF	T( $\overline{p}$ -NH <sub>2</sub> )PP+Co	+0.06	-0.83	-1.14	-1.54
13	DMF+Py	T( $\overline{p}$ -NH <sub>2</sub> )PP+Co	-0.18	-0.90	-1.14	-1.54
14	DMF+MeIm	T( $\overline{p}$ -NH <sub>2</sub> )PP+Co	-0.41	-0.95	-1.14	-1.54

(a.) Py= pyridine, MeIm= methyl imidazole. (b.) T( $\overline{m}$ -NH<sub>2</sub>)PP+Co signifies an incompletely metallated electrode which exhibits electrochemistry of both T( $\overline{m}$ -NH<sub>2</sub>)PP and CoT( $\overline{m}$ -NH<sub>2</sub>)PP. (c.) Data primarily from AC voltammetry cathodic-going scan, at potential sweep rate  $\leq 10$  mV/sec. Data in ( ) represent low intensity waves observed as shoulders or small peaks.

