

AD-A053 884

ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING GR--ETC F/G 6/6
TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT--ETC(U)
NOV 77 M H WEEKS, B J DESENA
USAEHA-51-0860-78


UNCLASSIFIED

NL

1 OF 1
AD
A053884



END
DATE
FILMED
6-78
DDC



TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT AI3-35966
CIS-3-HEXENYL ISOVALERATE
STUDY NO. 51-0860-78
MARCH 1976 - NOVEMBER 1977

12

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

AD A 053884



AD No. _____
DDC FILE COPY

DDC
MAY 15 1978
E

US ARMY
ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21010

9 Final rept. Mar 76 - Nov 77

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER 51-0860-78	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. 14-USA EHA-51-0860-78	3. REPORTS CATALOG NUMBER	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellent AI3-35966, Cis-3-Hexenyl Isovalerate, Study No. 51-0860-78 , March 1976 - November 1977.	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final Mar 76 - Nov 77	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 51-0860-78	
7. AUTHOR(s) MAURICE H. / WEEKS BRENDA J. / DeSENA	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 12 14 p.	9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 11 Nov 77
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Commander US Army Health Services Command Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234	12. REPORT DATE Mar 76 - Nov 77	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 7	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Cis-3-Hexenyl Isovalerate skin irritation AI3-35966 eye irritation Candidate Insect Repellent Topical Hazard Evaluation			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A hazard evaluation of candidate insect repellent AI3-35966 was performed by means of laboratory studies using rats, rabbits and guinea pigs. The technical grade compound caused mild skin irritation, but no eye irritation, no photo-chemical irritation in rabbits, no sensitization reactions in guinea pigs and did not demonstrate an acute ingestion hazard. It is recommended that AI3-35966, cis-3-hexenyl isovalerate be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent.			

038 150 GM



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
 U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY
 ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

Mr. Weeks/ag/584-3980

12 MAY 1978

HSE-LT-T/WP

SUBJECT: Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellent
 AI3-35966, Cis-3-Hexenyl Isovalerate, Study No. 51-0860-78, March
 1976 - November 1977

Executive Secretary
 Armed Forces Pest Control Board
 Forest Glen Section, WRAMC
 Washington, DC 20012

A summary of the pertinent findings and recommendations of the inclosed report follows:

A hazard evaluation of candidate insect repellent AI3-35966 was performed by means of laboratory studies using rats, rabbits, and guinea pigs. The technical grade compound caused mild skin irritation, but no eye irritation, no photochemical irritation in rabbits, no sensitization reactions in guinea pigs and did not demonstrate an acute ingestion hazard. It is recommended that AI3-35966, cis-3-hexenyl isovalerate be approved for further testings as a candidate insect repellent.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

BRENDAN E. JOYCE, Ph.D.
 LTC, MSC
 Director, Laboratory Services

1 Incl
 as (5 cy)

CF:
 HQDA (DASG-HCH)
 Cdr, HSC (HSPA-H)
 Supt, AHS (HSA-IHE)
 USDA (Dr. Terrence McGovern)
 Dir, Advisory Ctr on TOX, NRC

ACCESSION for	
NTIS	White Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC	Buff Section <input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED	<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTIFICATION.....	
BY.....	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
DTGL	AVAIL. and/or SPECIAL
A	



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

HSE-LT-T/WP

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT AI3-35966
CIS-3-HEXENYL ISOVALERATE
STUDY NO. 51-0860-78
MARCH 1976 - NOVEMBER 1977

1. AUTHORITY.

a. Letter, US Department of Agriculture - Agricultural Research Service, Southern Region, Insects Affecting Man - Research Laboratory, Gainesville, Florida, 11 March 1976.

b. Memorandum of Understanding between the Department of the Army, Office of The Surgeon General; the US Army Health Services Command; the US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency; the Armed Forces Pest Control Board; and the US Department of Agriculture; effective 1970 with Amendment No. 1, effective August 1974.

2. REFERENCE. Toxicology Division Procedural Guide, US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency (USAEHA), 1972, revised 1976.

3. PURPOSE. The purpose of this program is to provide guidance for further entomological testing of the candidate insect repellent AI3-35966.

4. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS. A hazard evaluation of the candidate insect repellent AI3-35966 (cis-3-hexenyl isovalerate) was conducted by this Agency using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies, Hartley guinea pigs for a skin sensitization study and Sprague-Dawley rats for determination of oral toxicity. A tabular presentation of animal toxicity data developed in this Agency follows.*†

* In conducting the studies described in this report, the investigators adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," US Department of Health, Education and Welfare Publication No. (NIH) 74-23, revised 1972 - second printing 1974.

† The experiments reported herein were performed in animal facilities fully accredited by the American Association for Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Study No. 51-0860-78, Mar 76 - Nov 77

<u>Test</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Interpretation</u>
-------------	----------------	-----------------------

SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES

Rabbits

Single 24-hour application to intact and abraded of New Zealand White rabbits.

Compound AI3-35966 produced mild primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion.

USAEHA Category II (ref Appendix).

0.5 ml technical grade compound applied to each of six rabbits.

EYE IRRITATION STUDIES

Rabbits

Single 24-hour application of 0.1 ml technical grade compound to one eye of each of six New Zealand White rabbits.

Compound AI3-35966 did not produce any injury to the cornea and no injury to the conjunctiva in six out of six rabbits.

USAEHA Category A (ref Appendix).

APPROXIMATE LETHAL DOSE (ALD)

Oral

Rats (male) - no diluent

ALD >4900 mg/kg

Presents little lethal hazard from acute accidental ingestion.

Study No. 51-0860-78, Mar 76 - Nov 77

<u>Test</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Interpretation</u>
-------------	----------------	-----------------------

PHOTOCHEMICAL SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES

Rabbits

A single application (0.05 ml) of a 25 percent (w/v) solution of the compound (AI3-35966) and of a 10 percent (w/v) oil of Bergamot solution (positive control) in 95 percent ethyl alcohol, were applied to the intact skin of six rabbits. Five minutes after application, the rabbits were exposed to UV light (365 nm) for 30 minutes at a distance of 10-15 cm.

A 25 percent solution of AI3-35966 in ethanol did not cause a photochemical skin irritation reaction under test conditions. Ethanol solutions caused a very slight erythema reaction on both non-UV and UV skin sites.

Positive control application and irradiation caused greater irritant effects than in unirradiated skin areas.

Compound AI3-35966 did not cause a photochemical irritation reaction under test conditions and is not expected to cause a photochemical irritation in humans. Ethanol solutions of this compound may cause a slight skin reaction in sensitive individuals.

Control

Following UV exposures of the rabbits, 0.05 ml of test compound, positive control and diluent were applied to additional skin areas to serve as unirradiated control sites. Application areas were checked for skin irritation reactions at 24, 48 and 72 hours.

Study No. 51-0860-78, Mar 76 - Nov 77


Test	Results	Interpretation
<u>SENSITIZATION STUDIES</u>		
<u>Guinea Pigs (Male)</u>		
Intradermal injections of 0.1 ml of a 0.1 percent suspension (w/v) of A13-35966 or of dinitrochlorobenzene (DNCB)* in a mixture containing 1 volume of propylene glycol and 29 volumes of saline.	Challenge dose of test compound (last intradermal injection) did not produce a sensitization reaction.	Compound A13-35966 did not produce a sensitization reaction under these test conditions and is not expected to produce a sensitization reaction in man.
Ten test guinea pigs received and challenged with a 0.1 percent solution of A13-35966.	Positive Control (DNCB) produced a marked sensitization reaction in 10 out of 10 guinea pigs.	
Ten positive control guinea pigs received and challenged with 0.1 percent suspension of DNCB.		

* A known skin sensitizer.

Study No. 51-0860-78, Mar 76 - Nov 77

5. CONCLUSION. The candidate insect repellent AI3-35966, has a potential for causing some slight skin irritation, but presents no acute hazard from eye or photochemical or sensitization contact or from acute ingestion.

6. RECOMMENDATION. Under the provision of the Memorandum of Understanding (paragraph 1b), it is recommended that AI3-35966, cis-3-hexenyl isovalerate be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent. The compound should be used with caution around abrasions of the skin.




MAURICE H. WEEKS
Chief, Toxicity Evaluation Branch
Toxicology Division



BRENDA J. DeSENA
SP4
Veterinary Specialist
Toxicology Division

APPROVED:



ARTHUR H. McCREESH, Ph.D.
Chief, Toxicology Division

Study No. 51-0860-78, Mar 76 - Nov 77

APPENDIX

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
DEFINITIONS OF CATEGORIES OF COMPOUNDS BEING
CONSIDERED FOR ACUTE SKIN APPLICATION

CATEGORY I - Compounds producing no primary irritation of the intact skin or no greater than mild primary irritation of the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: No restriction for acute application to the human skin.)

CATEGORY II - Compounds producing mild primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should be used only on human skin found by examination to have no abrasions or may be used as a clothing impregnant.)

CATEGORY III - Compounds producing moderate primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should not be used directly on the skin without a prophetic patch test having been conducted on humans to determine irritation potential to human skin. May be used without patch testing, with extreme caution, as clothing impregnants. Compound should be resubmitted in the form and at the intended use concentration so that its irritation potential can be reexamined using other test techniques on animals.)

CATEGORY IV - Compounds producing moderate to severe primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion and, in addition, producing necrosis, vesiculation and/or eschars. (INTERPRETATION: Should be resubmitted for testing in the form and at the intended use concentration. Upon resubmission, its irritation potential will be reexamined using other test techniques on animals. prior to possible prophetic patch testing in humans, at concentrations which have been shown not to produce primary irritation in animals.)

CATEGORY V - Compounds impossible to classify because of staining of the skin or other masking effects owing to physical properties of the compound. (INTERPRETATION: Not suitable for use on humans.)

EYE CATEGORIES:

A. Compounds noninjurious to the eye. INTERPRETATION: Irritation of human eyes is not expected if the compound should accidentally get into the eyes, provided it is washed out as soon as possible.

B. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes.

C. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea, and in addition some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes and mucosa.

Study No. 51-0860-78, Mar 76 - Nov 77

D. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes.

E. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea, and in addition producing some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes and mucosa.

F. Compounds producing severe injury to the cornea and to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution. It is recommended that use be restricted to areas other than the face.