

AD-A054 534

WISCONSIN UNIV-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER  
SOLUTION OF H-EQUATIONS BY ITERATION.(U)  
JAN 78 C T KELLEY

F/G 12/1

DAAG29-75-C-0024  
NL

UNCLASSIFIED

MRC-TSR-1815

| OF |  
AD  
A054534



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
6-78  
DDC

FOR FURTHER TRAN

13

AD A 054534

MRC Technical Summary Report #1815  
SOLUTION OF H-EQUATIONS BY ITERATION  
C. T. Kelley

See 1473

Mathematics Research Center  
University of Wisconsin-Madison  
610 Walnut Street  
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

January 1978

Received November 4, 1977

DDC  
RECEIVED  
JUN 2 1978  
D

DDC FILE COPY

Approved for public release  
Distribution unlimited

Sponsored by

U. S. Army Research Office  
P. O. Box 12211  
Research Triangle Park  
North Carolina 27709

and

National Science Foundation  
Washington, D.C. 20550

ACCESSION NO.	
DTIC	NTIS Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC	Duff Section <input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED	<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTIFICATION	
BY	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
Dist.	AVAIL. and/or SPECIAL
A	1

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MADISON  
 MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

SOLUTION OF H-EQUATIONS BY ITERATION

C. T. Kelley

Technical Summary Report # 1815  
 January 1978

ABSTRACT

A generalization of the Chandrasekhar H-equation is solved by iteration. Such equations are of interest in heat transfer.

SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION<sup>†</sup>

In certain mathematical models of physical phenomena, there may be several theoretical solutions, but only one of them may make sense in the physical context. When one encounters such a model which cannot be solved in closed form, the question naturally arises as to whether some numerical method will in fact produce the physically significant solution.

In certain heat transfer problems the following integral equation is encountered:

$$(*) \quad H(x, \omega) = 1 + H(x, \omega) \frac{\omega}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t}{x+t} J_1(t) H(t, \omega) dt, \quad x \geq 0.$$

In (\*),  $J_1(t)$  is the Bessel function of order 1,  $\omega$  is a parameter, and  $h$  is the function to be determined. Of the several possible solutions to (\*), only one is of physical interest.

In this paper, we show that (\*) may be solved by iteration and that the solution obtained in this way is the one of physical importance.

AMS(MOS) Subject Classification: 80.45, 45G99, 45L05

Key Words: H-equation, iteration

Work Unit No. 1 - Applied Analysis

<sup>†</sup>The responsibility for the wording and views expressed in this descriptive summary lies with MRC, and not with the author of this report.

SOLUTION OF H-EQUATIONS BY ITERATION

C. T. Kelley

I. Introduction.

Consider the integral equation

$$(1.1) \quad F(x, \omega) = 1 + \omega f(x, \omega) \int_0^\infty \frac{t}{x+t} \varphi(t) f(t, \omega) dt.$$

In (1.1),  $\omega$  is a complex parameter,  $f$  is the function to be found, and  $\varphi$  is a measurable function on  $(0, \infty)$ .

Under certain assumptions on  $\varphi$  it is known that (1.1) has a solution  $H(x, \omega)$  that is analytic in  $\omega$  for  $|\omega| < 1$ . This solution is of importance in many applications. We call  $H$  the physical solution to (1.1). The question considered in this paper is the following: When can  $H$  be found by solving (1.1) by iteration?

The question has been answered if  $\varphi \geq 0$ ,  $\varphi(x) = 0$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ , and  $\int_1^\infty t^2 \varphi(t) dt < \infty$ . In this case  $H$  is continuous for  $|\omega| \leq 1$ ,  $x \geq 1$ . These assumptions are natural in neutron transport theory. Bowden and Zweifel [1] have shown that if  $\int_1^\infty \varphi(t) dt = \frac{1}{2}$  then  $H$  may be found for  $|\omega| < 1$  by an iterative method. In a more general setting, Mullikin and the author [7] showed that  $H$  may be computed for  $|\omega| \leq 1$  in this way.

In many cases of physical importance, however,  $\varphi$  may become negative. The methods of Bowden and Zweifel [1] and Rall [9], then give the best known results. If, however,  $\varphi$  is not integrable on  $(0, \infty)$ , these methods fail as the integral in (1.1) need not even be defined in the Lebesgue sense.

This paper is motivated by work of Crosbie and Sawheny [5], [6]. They consider (1.1) for  $\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2} J_1(x)$ , where  $J_1$  is the Bessel function of order one. They obtained numerical results that indicated that  $H$  may be found by an iterative method for quite general  $\varphi$ .

We assume  $\varphi$  satisfies the following conditions:

$$(A1) \quad \varphi \in L_p(0, \infty) \text{ for some } p, 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

$$(A2) \quad \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \right| dt < \infty.$$

$$(A3) \quad \text{Let } k(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^N e^{-|x|t} t \varphi(t) dt; \text{ then } k \geq 0, \text{ and } k \in L_1(-\infty, \infty).$$

$$(A4) \quad \int_{-\infty}^\infty k(x) dx = 1.$$

$$(A5) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^N \varphi(t) dt = 1/2 .$$

(A6) If  $f$  is a measurable, nonnegative decreasing function on  $(0, \infty)$  and

$$0 < \sup_{0 < x \leq 1} |x f(x)| < \infty, \text{ then } \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^N \varphi(t) f(t) dt > 0.$$

The reader should note that (A4) is merely a normalization condition on  $\varphi$ . As will become clear, (A5) implies (A4). The purpose of the normalization is to make  $H$  analytic in the disc  $|\omega| < 1$ . Our assumptions imply that the integral in (1.1) is defined for non-negative decreasing  $f$  by

$$(1.2) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{t}{x+t} \varphi(t) f(t) dt = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^N \frac{t}{x+t} \varphi(t) f(t) dt .$$

For  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ , let  $C(\varepsilon)$  be the space of complex-valued functions continuous on  $[\varepsilon, \infty)$  and having finite limits at infinity.  $C(\varepsilon)$  is a Banach space under the sup norm. Moreover Dini's Theorem holds for  $C(\varepsilon)$  in the sense that if a sequence of real valued functions  $f_n$  in  $C(\varepsilon)$  converge monotonically upward to  $f$  in  $C(\varepsilon)$  pointwise, and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$  for all  $n$ , then  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  in the topology of  $C(\varepsilon)$ .

We will show in section II that  $H$  is an analytic  $C(0)$  valued function of  $\omega$  for  $|\omega| < 1$  and is a continuous  $C(\varepsilon)$  valued function of  $\omega$  for  $|\omega| \leq 1$ .

Under assumptions (A1)-(A6) we prove the following iteration results.

Theorem (2.1) For  $|\omega| \leq 1$ ,  $x \geq 0$  define

$$H_0(x, \omega) = 1$$

$$H_{n+1}(x, \omega) = 1 + \omega H_n(x, \omega) \int_0^\infty \frac{t}{x+t} H_n(t, \omega) \varphi(t) dt, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Then for  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$

- (i)  $H_n$  converges to  $H$  in  $C(0)$  uniformly in  $\omega$  for  $|\omega| \leq 1 - \varepsilon$ .
- (ii)  $H_n$  converges to  $H$  in  $C(\varepsilon)$  uniformly in  $\omega$  for  $|\omega| \leq 1$ .

Theorem (2.2) Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ,  $|\omega| \leq 1$ , define for  $x \geq 0$

$$K_0(x, \omega) = 1$$

$$K_{n+1}(x, \omega) = [1 - \omega \int_0^\infty \frac{t}{x+t} \varphi(t) K_n(t, \omega) dt]^{-1}.$$

Then

- (i)  $K_n$  converges to  $H$  in  $C(0)$  uniformly in  $\omega$  for  $|\omega| \leq 1 - \varepsilon$ .
- (ii)  $K_n$  converges to  $H$  in  $C(\varepsilon)$  uniformly in  $\omega$  for  $|\omega| \leq 1$ .

Implicit in the statements of these theorems is the fact that all integrals exist in the sense of (1.2). For convenience we write, for  $g$  measurable,

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^N g(t) dt = \int_* g(t) dt$$

when the limit on the left exists.

## II Iteration Results

Equation (1.1) is intimately connected to the theory of Wiener-Hopf equations [8]. This connection plays a vital role in the proofs of our results, and we give the relevant details here. For  $f \in L_1(-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $\hat{f}$  will denote the Fourier transform of  $f$ .

Let  $k(x)$  be as in (A3). Assumptions (A3) and (A4) imply that for  $|\omega| < 1$ , the equation,

$$(2.1) \quad \gamma(x, \omega) - \omega \int_0^\infty k(x-y) \gamma(y, \omega) dy = \omega k(x), \quad x > 0,$$

has a unique solution  $\gamma(x, \omega) \in L_1(0, \infty)$ . For  $0 \leq \omega < 1$ ,  $\gamma \geq 0$ , and  $\gamma$  is an analytic  $L_1(0, \infty)$ -valued function of  $\omega$  for  $|\omega| < 1$ . We write

$$(2.2) \quad \gamma(x, \omega) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega^n \gamma_n(x).$$

In (2.2),  $\gamma_n(x) \geq 0$  for  $x > 0$ ,  $\gamma_n \in L_1(0, \infty)$ . Then the unique solution to (1.1) which is analytic in  $\omega$  for  $|\omega| < 1$  and  $C[0, \infty]$  valued is

$$(2.3) \quad H(x, \omega) = 1 + \hat{\gamma}(ix, \omega).$$

For  $|\omega| < 1$ , we have the factorization, valid for real  $\lambda$ ,

$$(2.4) \quad (1 - \omega \hat{k}(\lambda)) (1 + \hat{\gamma}(\lambda, \omega)) (1 + \hat{\gamma}(-\lambda, \omega)) = 1.$$

From (2.3) and (2.4) we conclude

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} H(x, \omega) < 0 \quad \text{for } x > 0, \quad 0 \leq \omega < 1,$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} H(x, \omega) > 0 \quad \text{for } x \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq \omega < 1.$$

$$(2.7) \quad H(x, \omega) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega^n \hat{\gamma}_n(ix), \quad |\omega| < 1.$$

$$(2.8) \quad \hat{\gamma}_n(ix) > 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \quad x \geq 0.$$

$$(2.9) \quad \hat{\gamma}_n(i \cdot) \in C[0, \infty] \quad \text{for } n \geq 1$$

Let  $\Sigma$  denote the class of nonnegative decreasing continuous functions  $f$  on  $(0, \infty)$  which satisfy

$$(2.10) \quad \sup_{0 < x \leq 1} |xf(x)| < \infty.$$

For  $f \in \Sigma$  define

$$(2.11) \quad Lf(x) = \int_* \frac{t}{x+t} \varphi(t)f(t)dt$$

$$(2.12) \quad Mf(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{x}{x+t} \varphi(t)f(t)dt.$$

The integral defining  $M$  is a Lebesgue integral for each fixed  $x > 0$  by assumption (A1).

Assumptions (A1) and (A6) imply that  $Mf$  and  $Lf$  are in  $C(0)$  for every  $f \in \Sigma$ .

We note that for  $0 \leq \omega < 1$ ,  $H$  is in  $\Sigma$ . We may rewrite (1.1) as

$$(2.13) \quad H(\omega) = 1 + \omega L(H(\omega))H(\omega).$$

We now prove the main lemma.

Lemma (2.1). Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , then

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow 1^-} H(\omega) = H(1)$$

exists in  $C(\epsilon)$ . Moreover  $H(1) \in \Sigma$ , and for  $x > 0$ , we have

$$(2.14) \quad H(1) = 1 + L(H(1))H(1).$$

Proof. By (2.4) we have that

$$H(0, \omega) = (1 - \omega)^{-1/2} = 1 + \omega \int_* \varphi(t)H(t, \omega)dt H(0, \omega).$$

Hence

$$(2.15) \quad H^{-1}(\omega) = (1 - \omega)^{1/2} + \omega M(H(\omega)).$$

Consider the function  $F$  given for  $x \geq 0$  by,

$$(2.16) \quad F(x) = \int_* \frac{t}{x+t} \varphi(t)dt.$$

Assumptions (A2) and (A6) imply that  $F \in C(0)$  and  $F \geq 0$ . For  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $x \geq 0$ , we have

$$F(x) - F(x+\epsilon) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\epsilon}{(x+t)(x+\epsilon+t)} \varphi(t)dt > 0.$$

Therefore  $F$  is decreasing. By (2.13), we have

$$(2.17) \quad H^{-1}(x, \omega) \geq \omega x F(x) \geq \omega x F(0).$$

Hence, for  $x \geq \epsilon$ ,  $0 \leq \omega < 1$ ,  $H(x, \omega) \leq \frac{1}{\omega \epsilon} F^{-1}(0)$ . Hence, for  $x > 0$ ,  $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow 1^-} H(x, \omega) = H(x, 1)$  exists by (2.6). Moreover  $H(x, 1)$  is decreasing in  $x$  and  $\sup_{0 < x \leq 1} |x H(x, 1)|$

$\leq F^{-1}(0) < \infty$ . Hence  $MH(1) \in C(0)$  by assumption (A1). By the dominated convergence theorem

and assumption (A1) we have, for  $x > 0$ ,

$$(2.18) \quad MH(1)(x) = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 1^-} MH(\omega)(x) = H^{-1}(x, \omega).$$

Hence  $H(1) \in \Sigma$  and  $H(1)$  satisfies (2.14). Dini's theorem then implies that the limit in

(2.18) exists in  $C(\epsilon)$  for  $\epsilon > 0$ . This completes the proof.

Now for  $x > 0$ ,  $|H(x, \omega)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\omega|^{n-1} \hat{\gamma}_n(x) + 1 = H(x, |\omega|) \leq H(x, 1)$ . Hence for  $\epsilon \geq 0$ ,  $H$  is an analytic  $C(\epsilon)$ -valued function of  $\omega$  for  $|\omega| < 1$ . For  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $H$  is a continuous  $C(\epsilon)$  valued function of  $\omega$  for  $|\omega| \leq 1$ .

We now prove the main results.

**Theorem (2.1)** Assume  $\varphi$  satisfies (A1)-(A6). For  $|\omega| \leq 1$ ,  $x \geq 0$  define

$$H_0(\omega) = 1$$

$$H_{n+1}(\omega) = 1 + \omega H_n(\omega) L(H_n(\omega)) \quad n \geq 0.$$

Then, for  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ ,

- (i)  $H_n(\omega)$  converges to  $H(\omega)$  in  $C(0)$  uniformly in  $\omega$  for  $|\omega| \leq 1 - \epsilon$ .
- (ii)  $H_n(\omega)$  converges to  $H(\omega)$  in  $C(\epsilon)$  uniformly in  $\omega$  for  $|\omega| \leq 1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ . Observe that if  $g(x) = 1 + \int_0^{\infty} e^{-xt} G(t) dt$ , and  $G \in L_1$  is non-negative, then  $1 + Lg(x)$  has the same form as

$$(2.19) \quad Lg(x) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-xt} [k(t) + \int_0^{\infty} k(x+t)G(s)ds] dt.$$

The definition of  $H_n$  implies, therefore that for  $m \geq 1$  there are functions  $P_{n,m} \in L_1(0, \infty)$ ,  $P_{n,m} \geq 0$ , such that for  $x \geq 0$ ,  $|\omega| \leq 1$ ,

$$(2.20) \quad H_n(x, \omega) = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \omega^m \int_0^{\infty} e^{-xt} P_{n,m}(x) dx.$$

It is easy to see that

$$(2.21) \quad P_{n,m}(x) = \gamma_m(x), \quad 1 \leq m \leq n.$$

We claim that  $P_{n,m}(x) \leq \gamma_m(x)$  for all  $m$  and  $n$ . We prove this by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 0$  the result is clear. Assume (2.21) for  $n = N$ ; from the definition of  $H_n$  and (2.19) we have,

$$(2.22) \quad P_{N+1,m}(t) = \sum_{\substack{j+l=m-1 \\ j, l \geq 1}} \int_0^t P_{N,l}(t-s) \int_0^{\infty} k(s+r) P_{N,j}(r) dr ds$$

$$+ \int_0^t P_{N,m-1}(t-s) k(s) ds + \int_0^{\infty} k(t+s) P_{N,m-1}(s) ds$$

$$\leq \sum_{\substack{j+l=m-1 \\ j, l \geq 1}} \int_0^t \gamma_l(t-s) \int_0^{\infty} k(s+r) \gamma_j(r) dr ds$$

$$+ \int_0^t \gamma_{m-1}(t-s) k(s) ds + \int_0^{\infty} k(t+s) \gamma_{m-1}(s) ds$$

$$= \gamma_m(x).$$

The last equality is a consequence of the fact that

$$(2.23) \quad \hat{\gamma}_m(ix) = \sum_{\substack{j+l=m-1 \\ j, l \geq 1}} \hat{\gamma}_j(ix) L(\hat{\gamma}_l(i \cdot))(x) + \hat{\gamma}_{m-1}(ix) + L(\hat{\gamma}(i \cdot))(x),$$

which is an easy consequence of (1.1).

A similar argument shows that

$$(2.24) \quad P_{n,m} \leq P_{n+1,m}.$$

Hence we have, for  $n, k \geq 0$ ,  $x \geq 0$ ,  $|\omega| \leq 1$ ,

$$(2.25) \quad |H_{n+k}(x, \omega) - H_n(x, \omega)| \leq H(x, |\omega|) - H_n(x, |\omega|) \leq \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \hat{\gamma}_m(ix) |\omega|^m.$$

For  $x \geq \varepsilon$ ,  $|\omega| \leq 1$  we have

$$(2.26) \quad \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \hat{\gamma}_m(ix) |\omega|^m \leq H(x, 1) - \sum_{m=1}^n \hat{\gamma}_m(ix).$$

The right side of (2.26) goes to zero as  $n$  becomes large uniformly for  $x \geq \varepsilon$ ,  $|\omega| \leq 1$ .

This proves (ii).

For  $x \geq 0$ ,  $|\omega| \leq 1 - \varepsilon$  we have

$$(2.27) \quad \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \hat{\gamma}_m(ix) |\omega|^m \leq H(x, 1 - \varepsilon) - \sum_{m=1}^n \hat{\gamma}_m(ix) |1 - \varepsilon|^m.$$

The right side of (2.27) converges to zero as  $n$  becomes large uniformly for  $x \geq 0$ ,  $|\omega| \leq 1 - \varepsilon$ . This proves (i) and completes the proof.

**Theorem (2.2)** Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , define, for  $|\omega| \leq 1$ ,  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$K_0(x, \omega) = 1$$

$$K_{n+1}(x, \omega) = [1 - \omega L(K_n(\cdot, \omega))(x)]^{-1}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Then

(i)  $K_n$  converges to  $H$  in  $C(C)$  uniformly in  $\omega$  for  $|\omega| \leq 1 - \varepsilon$ .

(ii)  $K_n$  converges to  $H$  in  $C(\varepsilon)$  uniformly in  $\omega$  for  $|\omega| \leq 1$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $H_0(x, \omega) = K_0(x, \omega) \leq H(x, \omega)$ . An induction argument similar to that used in the proof of Theorem (2.1) will show that, for  $x > 0$ ,  $0 \leq \omega \leq 1$ .

$$(2.28) \quad K_n(x, \omega) \leq H(x, \omega),$$

and

$$(2.29) \quad K_n(x, \omega) [1 - \omega L(H_{n-1}(\cdot, \omega))(x)]^{-1} \geq H_n(x, \omega).$$

As in the proof of Theorem (2.1) we also have for  $|\omega| \leq 1$ ,  $x > 0$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ,  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$(2.30) \quad |K_{n+m}(x, \omega) - K_n(x, \omega)| \leq K_{n+m}(x, |\omega|) - K_n(x, |\omega|).$$

This completes the proof.

Systems of nonlinear equations similar to (1.1) are of interest in the kinetic theory of gases [2], [4]. It is possible that the methods of this paper will generalize to that setting.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. L. Bowden and P. F. Zweifel, A Banach Space Analysis of the Chandrasekhar H-equation, (to appear in Astrophysical J.).
2. E. E. Burniston and C. E. Siewert, Half-space analysis basic to the time dependent B G K model in the kinetic theory of gases, J. Math. Phys. 18 (1977), 376-380.
3. S. Chandrasekhar, "Radiative Transfer", Dover, New York, 1960.
4. T. S. Chang, J. T. Kriese, C. E. Siewert, Elementary solutions of coupled model equations in the kinetic theory of gases, Int. J. Engng. Sci. 12 (1974), 441-470.
5. A. L. Crosbie and T. R. Sawheny, Application of Ambarzumian's method to radiant interchange in a rectangular cavity, J. Heat Transfer C96 (1974), 191-196.
6. A. L. Crosbie and T. R. Sawheny, Radiant interchange in a nonisothermal rectangular cavity, AIAA Journal 13 (1975), 425-431.
7. C. T. Kelley and T. W. Mullikin, Solution by iteration of H-equations in multigroup neutron transport, (to appear in J. Math. Phys.)
8. M. G. Krien, Integral equations on a half-line with kernel depending upon the difference of the arguments, AMS Trans. (2) 22 (1962), 163-288.
9. L. B. Rall, Quadratic equations in Banach spaces, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 10 (1961), 314-332.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 1815	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER <b>9) Technical</b>
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) <b>6) SOLUTION OF H-EQUATIONS BY ITERATION.</b>		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Summary Report, no specific reporting period
7. AUTHOR(s) <b>10) C. T. Kelley</b>		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Mathematics Research Center, University of 610 Walnut Street Madison, Wisconsin 53706		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) <b>15) DAAG29-75-C-0024</b> <b>NSF-MCS75-17385 A 01</b>
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS See Item 18 below		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS #1-Applied Analysis
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) <b>14) MRC-TSR-1815</b>		12. REPORT DATE January 1978
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 7
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES U. S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27709 National Science Foundation Washington, D. C. 20550		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) H-equations, Iteration		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A generalization of the Chandrasekhar H-equation is solved by iteration. Such equations are of interest in heat transfer.		

221 200

4B