

AD-A056 916 TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITAET VIENNA (AUSTRIA) INST FUER I--ETC F/G 9/3
INJECTION LIMITED GUNN DEVICES. (U)
MAY 78 K LUEBKE, H THIM, U TRAXLMAYR

DA-ERO-78-G-049
NL

UNCLASSIFIED

1 of 1
AD
A056 916



END
DATE
FILMED
9-78
DDC

AD A 056916

AD No. ~~1~~
DDC FILE COPY

~~LEVEL II~~

INJECTION LIMITED GUNN DEVICES

11
R

Final Technical Report

by

K. Lübke
H. Thim
U. Traxlmayr
H. Wittmann

May 1978

European Research Office

United States Army

London England

DDIC
RECEIVED
AUG 2 1978
F

GRANT NUMBER DA-ERO-78-G-049

Prof. H. Thim

Technische Universität Wien

Institut für Industrielle Elektronik

Gußhausstraße 27-29

Wien, Austria

Approved for Public Release; distribution unlimited

78 07 27 055

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) ⑥ Injection Limited Gunn Devices •		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED ⑨ Final Technical Report, Dec 1977 - May 1978
7. AUTHOR(s) ⑩ K./Lubke; H./Thim; U./Traxlmayr H./Wittmann		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Institute for Industrial Electronics Technical University, Vienna, Austria		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) ⑮ DAERO-78-G-049 ^{new}
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army R&S Gp (Eur) Box 65 FPO New York 09510		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 6.11.02A-AT161102BH57-03-00 -722
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) ⑫ 8 p.		13. REPORT DATE ⑪ May 1978
		14. NUMBER OF PAGES 6
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Gunn devices; gallium arsenide; epitaxy; ohmic contacts; vapor phase epitaxy; conversion layers; semi-insulating GaAs; Gunn domains.		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Thin, highly pure epitaxial layers of gallium arsenide were grown without conversion layer on semi-insulating substrates. Ohmic contacts to these epitaxial layers were studied and optimized. Both approaches were found prerequisite for reliable operation of injection limited Gunn devices.		

Handwritten initials or mark in the bottom right corner.

Abstract

Thin, highly pure epitaxial layers of gallium arsenide were grown without conversion layer on semi-insulating substrates. Ohmic contacts to these epitaxial layers were studied and optimized. Both approaches were found prerequisite for reliable operation of injection limited Gunn devices.

ACCESSION for	
NTIS	White Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC	Buff Section <input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED	<input type="checkbox"/>
JUSTIFICATION	
BY	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
	SPECIAL
A	

72 07 27 055

Introduction

In the proposal to ERO a device was to be studied which derives from the Gunn effect yet promises to yield higher frequencies by application of an additional terminal and a properly adjusted n.l product. The realization of this device requires excellent knowledge of thickness and electrical transport parameters of the active layer as well as close control of the ohmic contacts used. Commercially obtainable material is mostly not suitable for this work due to wide tolerances in specifications and the fact that the available material is too strongly compensated thus mobilities are lower than expected at a given concentration. It was to be expected from the outset that the work discussed in the proposal cannot be completed in the available six months. For this reason, two areas of research were initiated which are considered essential for a successful operation of the anticipated device. First, the vapor phase epitaxial growth system which has been in operation at the Institute of Industrial Electronics is being utilized to grow thin active GaAs layers according to close specification. Second, an understudy was initiated to understand, control, and eventually lower the contact resistance of electrodes and terminals on epitaxial GaAs. Progress on those two topics is discussed below. It is expected that the device configuration as discussed in the proposal will be available for further studies within the existing contract period.

Epitaxial Growth

Vapor phase epitaxy according to Effer ⁽¹⁾ with AsCl_3 and six nines Ga ⁽²⁾ is employed. Epitaxial layers of 1 to 10 micron thickness with carrier concentrations ranging from $\leq 10^{14}$ to $2 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ were grown on Cr doped substrates. Unfortunately, problems were encountered with "conversion layers", i.e. presumably p-layers of relatively high conductivity between the substrate and the epi-layer. This

conversion layer manifests itself in optical as well as in electrical tests and has been seen in many US laboratories working on GaAs devices. During a recent visit to the US one of the participants of this contract ⁽³⁾ found that no sure approach is known to avoid conversion layer buildup in vapor phase epitaxy. A possible solution of this problem was successfully attempted in this laboratory by in situ etching of the substrate immediately prior to growth. ⁽⁴⁾. Thermodynamic control of the gas flow and vapor pressure parameters necessary for specular etching was difficult to obtain but has been accomplished. The following parameters are being used for etching:

H ₂ - flow	267 ml /min.	
T (Ga source)	830°C	T (substrate) 730°C
AsCl ₃ - flow over Ga source		0.93 ml/ min
AsCl ₃ - flow bypassing Ga source		1.85 ml/ min

The parameters for subsequent growth are:

H ₂ - flow	267 ml/min.	
T (Ga source)	830°C	T (substrate) 730°C
AsCl ₃ - flow over Ga source	2.77 ml/ min	

With this method we find optically excellent interfaces. Reliable electrical measurements were not yet obtained due to the high resistivity of the samples. The reason for this resistivity is thought to be either Cr back - doping during epi - growth or extremely low carrier concentration of the epi - layer. The latter is being investigated at LN₂ temperature in an experimental rig which was put together under this contract. In addition, we etch down the epitaxial layer using a specially designed ohmic contact geometry and measure resistivity of the layer in dependence of depth. This method allows easy recognition of any conversion layer existing.

Formation of Ohmic contacts on N - type GaAs

Preparatory research for an injection limited GaAs amplifier was carried out in the sphere of Ohmic contacts on epi-

axially grown n - type GaAs layers the carrier concentration of which was $3 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $2 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. In both cases a Cr - doped semi - insulating substrate was used. The literature of recent years offers numerous methods of forming Ohmic contacts^(5,6,7) but mostly for applications in field-effect transistor technology with doping concentrations in the range of 10^{16} to $5 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The question was which of these methods were applicable to low carrier concentrations. Furthermore, we aimed at a reproducible single - mask thin film process. The usual test - configuration of three differently spaced bars was evaporated onto the GaAs - surface for the evaluation of contact resistance using lift - off - photoresist techniques. Immediately after sputter etching of the substrate surface the following contact materials were evaporated (evaporation rates in $\text{nm} \cdot \text{sec}^{-1}$): Au(1), Cr(.5), Ni(.3) and a eutectic Au-Ge alloy (5). The contacts were then alloyed at various temperatures in the range between 375°C and 500°C in nitrogen atmosphere.

The essential component of all the contacts investigated was Ge, which substitutes preferably into Ga sites during the alloying process and thus forms a highly doped n^+ interlayer between n-GaAs and the metal-contact. In order to reduce the melting point, it is convenient to use a eutectic alloy of AuGe (27 at. % Ge, $T_s = 356^{\circ}\text{C}$). Additional metals were evaporated as an interlayer between GaAs and AuGe in order to enable wetting and improve adhesion of AuGe, and to prevent balling up. Moreover, in some cases Au was evaporated finally in order to obtain a high surface-conductivity.

Following sequences of materials were investigated:

a) (GaAs-) AuGe (124 nm) - Ni (40 nm) - Au (100 nm)

The Ni - layer was thought to act as a diffusion barrier for the gold - plating which should prevent the AuGe - melt from balling up. However, it turned out that Au diffuses through Ni even at relatively low temperatures (above 400°C).

Alloying at 380°C yielded a smooth surface but unacceptably high contact-resistance of $13.2 \text{ m}\Omega$ to the $3 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ GaAs.

Alloying at 400°C and more increased successively the number and size of metal balls on the surface. The contact resistance remains almost unchanged at 2 to 4 Ω .mm within the range of 400°C to 500°C.

b) (GaAs-) AuGe (125 nm) - Cr (40 nm) - Au (100 nm)

As the evaporation of Cr is much easier than Ni we used the same arrangement as before, just substituting Ni by Cr. The results were optically the same. The contact-resistance had qualitatively the same dependance on alloying temperature but the fourfold value.

c) (GaAs-) Cr(2 nm) - AuGe (107 nm) - Ni (33 nm) - Au (45 nm)

Here a thin, highly adhesive Cr - interlayer aims at reducing surface tension of the AuGe - melt. After alloying for 1 min at temperatures between 375°C and 460°C the surface was smoother than in case a) but the contact - resistance was worse by a factor of 2. Due to the thinner gold - plate, the surface - resistance increased to about 5 Ω per square.

d) (GaAs-) Ni (30 nm) - AuGe (110 nm) - Ni (30 nm)

As Au tends to diffuse rapidly through any Cr - or Ni - interlayer, an experiment was made without the gold - plating, but with a thick Ni - layer between GaAs and AuGe. When alloying, no tendency of the material to ball up could be observed over the temperature range applied. At 430°C the contact - resistance of 3 Ω .mm was a minimum but increased up to the fourfold after ageing at room temperature for one week. Thus this method can be recommended if very smooth surfaces (sharp bounds) are desired but requires an extra photo-process to cover the alloyed contact with a well-conducting protective layer (e.g. Cr-Au).

Although evaporation of Ni is very difficult, additional experiments will be made with Ni-AuGe-Ni-Au and Cr-AuGe-Cr-Au structures. In conclusion, AuGe-GaAs contacts with Ni-interlayers and/or a Ni surface plating generally offer a lower contact-resistance and a smoother surface than those made with Cr.

The Device

We believe that the physical chemistry to grow the active layer is under control expect for narrow control of doping. Preliminary studies of the device characteristics and geometry were conducted along the lines of the proposal. Our plans are to use material with approximately $2 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ carrier concentration, with as high a mobility as obtainable in our VPE growth. According to the generally accepted rules for domain generation, $NL \approx 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (8) and $Nd \approx 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (9), we will employ samples with $L \approx 50$ microns and $d \approx 5$ microns. The geometry for the gate contact has already been investigated but mainly for domain triggering. Domain suppression will be investigated next.

References

- 1) J.R.Knight, D.Effer, P.R.Evans
Solid State Electronics 8, 178 (1965)
- 2) Ga was supplied by MCP, England
- 3) Trip by H.R.Wittmann Jan. 1978; visit at HP, RTI, NRL, USC etc.
- 4) HP and Renselaer also etch in situ, but not in an isothermal process where $T(\text{etch}) = T(\text{growth})$
- 5) G.Y.Robinson, Solid State Electronics 18, 331 (1975)
- 6) K.Heime, U.König, E.Kohnand, A.Wortmann
Solid State Electronics 17, 835 (1974)
- 7) Visit of H.Thim at T.I., Nov 1977
- 8) Heime et al. Electronics Letters 8, 203 (1972)
- 9) Schlachetzki et al. Electronics Letters 8, 640 (1972)