

AD-A058 510

CALIFORNIA UNIV BERKELEY OPERATIONS RESEARCH CENTER
GENERALIZED POISSON SHOCK MODELS.(U)

F/G 12/1

UNCLASSIFIED

APR 78 S M ROSS

ORC-78-6

N00014-77-C-0299

NL


[OF]
AD
A068510



END
DATE
FILMED

11-78

DDC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

ORC 78-6
APRIL 1978


GENERALIZED POISSON SHOCK MODELS

by
SHELDON M. ROSS

(12) **LEVEL II**

ADA058510

AD No. _____
DDC FILE COPY



**OPERATIONS
RESEARCH
CENTER**

DDC
RECEIVED
SEP 12 1978
B

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for public release
Distribution Unlimited

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA • BERKELEY

78 08 17 007

GENERALIZED POISSON SHOCK MODELS

by

Sheldon M. Ross
Department of Industrial Engineering
and Operations Research
University of California, Berkeley

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

APRIL 1978

ORC 78-6

This research has been partially supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFSC), USAF, under Grant AFOSR-77-3213 and the Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-77-C-0299 with the University of California. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

78 08 17 007

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER 14 ORC-78-6	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) 6 GENERALIZED POISSON SHOCK MODELS	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 9 Research Report	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
7. AUTHOR(s) 10 Sheldon M. Ross	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 15 N00014-77-C-0299 AFOSR-77-3213	9. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR 042 379	
10. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy Arlington, Virginia 22217	11. REPORT DATE 11 Apr 1978	12. NUMBER OF PAGES 9	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	13. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 12 10p		
15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Also supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFSC), USAF, under Grant AFOSR-77-3213.			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Nonhomogeneous Poisson Process Increasing Failure Rate on the Average General Damage Function			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) (SEE ABSTRACT) - next page			

270 750

Lee

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 0102-LF-014-6601

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

ABSTRACT

Suppose that shocks hit a device in accordance with a nonhomogeneous Poissonⁿ process with intensity function $\lambda(t)$. The i^{th} shock causes a damage X_i . The X_i are assumed to be independent and identically distributed positive random variables, and are also assumed independent of the counting process of shocks. Let $D(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ denote the total damage when n shocks having damages $x_1, \dots, x_n$ have occurred. It has previously been shown that the first time that $D(X)$ exceeds a critical threshold value is an increasing, failure rate average random variable whenever (i) $\lambda(t) = \lambda$ and (ii) $D(x) = \sum x_i$. We extend this result to the case where $\int_0^t \lambda(s) ds$ is nondecreasing in t and $D(x)$ is a symmetric, nondecreasing function. The extension is obtained by making use of a recent closure result for increasing failure rate average stochastic processes.

FORM 100-10	
DATE	DATE RECEIVED
BY	BY
RECEIVED	RECEIVED
DISTRIBUTION/INVENTORY CODES	
Dist.	AVAIL and/or SPECIAL
A	

GENERALIZED POISSON SHOCK MODELS

by

Sheldon M. Ross

1. MODEL AND RESULT

We consider a unit subject to shocks which occur in accordance with a nonhomogeneous Poisson process with intensity function $\lambda(t)$, $t \geq 0$. We suppose that the i^{th} shock has a random damage X_i associated with it. The X_i , $i \geq 1$, are assumed to be independent positive random variables each having distribution F . They are also assumed to be independent of the counting process of shocks. We suppose that there is a function D such that if n shocks having values $x_1, \dots, x_n$ have occurred by time t then

$$D(x_1, \dots, x_n, \underline{0}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the unit has failed by } t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Letting T denote the time the unit fails, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1:

If

- (i) $T < \infty$ with probability 1,
- (ii) $\int_0^t \lambda(s) ds / t$ is nondecreasing in t ,
- (iii) $D(x_1, \dots, x_n, \underline{0}) = D(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}, \underline{0})$ whenever $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ is a permutation of $1, 2, \dots, n$,
- (iv) $D(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots) \leq D(y_1, \dots, y_i, \dots)$ whenever $0 \leq x_i \leq y_i$, $i \geq 1$,

then T has an increasing failure rate average distribution.

Before proving the above theorem we need some preliminaries.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We start with some definitions.

Definitions:

- (i) The nonnegative continuous random variable X having failure rate function $r(t) = \frac{d}{dt} P\{X \leq t\}/P\{X > t\}$ is said to have an increasing failure rate average distribution if $\int_0^t r(s)ds/t$ is nondecreasing in t .
- (ii) The real valued stochastic process $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$ is said to be an increasing failure rate average stochastic process if T_a has an increasing failure rate average distribution for all a , where $T_a = \inf \{t : X(t) > a\}$.

For an example of an increasing failure rate average stochastic process let $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ be a nonhomogeneous Poisson process with intensity function $\lambda(t)$ where $\int_0^t \lambda(s)ds/t$ is assumed to be nondecreasing in t . Further suppose that there is a value X_i associated with the i^{th} event. The $X_i, i \geq 1$, are assumed to be independent random variables each having the same distribution H , and they are also assumed to be independent of $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$. Define $X(t)$ by

$$X(t) = \begin{cases} \max (X_1, \dots, X_{N(t)}) & \text{if } N(t) \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } N(t) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to see that the failure rate function for $T_a = \inf \{t : X(t) > a\}$ is given by

$$r(t) = \lambda(t)(1 - H(a))$$

and so $X(t)$ is an increasing failure rate average process. We call it a "record process with value distribution H and intensity function $\lambda(t)$, $t \geq 0$."

The following theorem was proven by Ross in [2].

Theorem 2:

If $\{X_i(t), t \geq 0\}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ are independent nondecreasing increasing failure rate average stochastic processes and if ϕ is a nondecreasing function then $\{\phi(X_1(t), \dots, X_m(t)), t \geq 0\}$ is also an increasing failure rate average process.

We are now ready for the

Proof of Theorem 1:

Let m be large and consider m independent record processes each having value distribution F and intensity function $\lambda(t)/m$ - call them $\{X_i(t)\}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Now the shock model under consideration can be generated from these record processes by saying that a shock occurs whenever an event (from any of the m record processes) occurs and by letting its damage be the value associated with the Poisson event. Let N denote the number of shocks it takes until the component fails. Now if we define ϕ by

$$\phi(x_1, \dots, x_m) = D(x_1, \dots, x_m, 0)$$

then it follows from Theorem 2 that the first time $D(x_1(t), \dots, x_m(t), 0)$ equals 1 has an increasing failure rate average distribution. But as long

as the first N shocks all come from different record processes this will be exactly the time the unit fails. Hence as the probability that all shocks until unit failure come from different record processes can be made arbitrarily close to 1 by letting m be large, the result follows by letting m go to infinity since the limit of increasing failure rate average random variables is also increasing failure rate average. ||

Remarks:

- (i) The special case where $\lambda(t) \equiv \lambda$ and

$$D(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i > c \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

was previously considered in [1].

- (ii) It is easy to construct counterexamples to Theorem 1 if the symmetry condition on 1) is dropped.

REFERENCES

- [1] Esary, J. D., A. W. Marshall and F. Proschan, "Shock Models and Wear Processes," Annals of Probability, Vol. 1, No. 4, pp. 627-650, (August 1973).
- [2] Ross, S. M., "Multivalued State Component Systems," Annals of Probability, (to appear).