

AD-A060 034

CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIV CLEVELAND OHIO DEPT OF MA--ETC F/G 12/1  
A COUNTER-EXAMPLE AND CORRECTION TO A THEOREM OF VENTER.(U)  
SEP 78 K A RAO

N00014-75-C-0529

UNCLASSIFIED


TR-34

NL

| OF |  
AD  
A060034



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
12-78  
DDC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

# LEVEL

12

6

A COUNTER-EXAMPLE AND CORRECTION TO A THEOREM OF VENTER.

by

10

K. Aswath/Rao

14

TR-34

9

Technical rept.

Technical Report No. 34

11

21 Sept 1978

12

8p.

DDC  
OCT 17 1978  
RECEIVED  
F

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT

15

NR 00014-75-C-0529 PROJECT NR 042-276

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

Reproduction in Whole or in Part is Permitted for any Purpose for the United States Government

Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIVERSITY  
Cleveland, Ohio

78 09 28 04 6

403 901

*Lee*

DDC FILE COPY ADA060034

A Counter-example and Correction to a Theorem of Venter

Let  $H$  be a set and  $(T_n, n = 1, 2, \dots)$  a sequence of transformations of  $H$  into itself. Let  $X_1$  and  $(U_n)$  be random elements in  $H$  and generate the sequence  $(X_n)$  by

$$X_{n+1} = T_n(X_n) + U_n .$$

Theorems giving conditions under which  $(X_n)$  is "stochastically attracted" towards a given subset of  $H$  and will eventually be within or arbitrarily close to this set (in some sense) are called Dvoretzky stochastic approximation theorems.

In this note we point out that one such theorem due to Venter (1966) is erroneous by giving a counter-example. We also rectify this by strengthening one of the conditions required by Venter. For the sake of easy reference we quote the theorem under discussion (Theorem 3 in Venter (1966)).

Theorem:

Let  $H$  be a real separable Hilbert space with inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $H$  generated by the open sets. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, P)$  be a probability measure space; the elements of  $\Omega$  are generically denoted by  $\omega$ . Let  $S_n$  be a transformation of  $H \times \Omega$  into  $H$ . Let  $T_n$  be specified by

$$T_n(x_1, \dots, x_n, \omega) = x_n - S_n(x_n, \omega)$$

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Study Section <input type="checkbox"/> Copy Section <input type="checkbox"/>	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT	
DIST. AVAIL. AND/OR SPECIAL	
A	●

and suppose that  $S_n$  satisfies the following conditions. For each  $x \in H$  and  $\omega \in \Omega_0 \in \Omega$  where  $P(\Omega_0) = 1$ ,

$$\|S_n(x, \omega)\|^2 \leq \beta_n \|x - \theta\|^2 + \delta_n$$

for all  $n$ , where  $\beta_n, \delta_n$  are non-negative real sequences such that

$$\sum \beta_n < \infty, \quad \sum \delta_n < \infty.$$

Also for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , define

$$c_n(\epsilon, \omega) = \lim_{\epsilon \leq \|x - \theta\| \leq \epsilon^{-1}} 2(x - \theta, S_n(x, \omega))$$

and

(A) suppose that there is a finite integer valued random variable  $N_\epsilon$  such that for all  $n > N_\epsilon(\omega)$  and for all  $\omega \in \Omega_0$ ,

$$(1) \quad c_n(\epsilon, \omega) \geq \delta_n$$

while also

$$(2) \quad \sum c_n(\epsilon, \omega) = \infty$$

(the italics are ours).

Define  $(X_n)$  by

$$X_1 \text{ is arbitrary with } EX_1^2 < \infty,$$

$$X_{n+1} = T_n(X_1(\omega), \dots, X_n(\omega), \omega) + U_n(\omega)$$

where  $(U_n)$  is a sequence of random elements satisfying the conditions

$$(3) \quad \sum E \|U_n\|^2 < \infty$$

and

$$(4) \quad \sum \|E[U_n | \mathcal{B}_n]\| < \infty \text{ a.s.}$$

where  $(\mathcal{B}_n)$  is an increasing sequence of sub  $\sigma$ -fields of  $\mathcal{Q}$  having the properties that the random elements  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n, T(X_1), \dots, T_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)\}$  are measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{B}_n$  for  $n = 2, 3, \dots$  and that

$$[\omega \in \Omega : n > N_\epsilon(\omega)] \in \mathcal{B}_n.$$

then  $x_n \rightarrow \theta$  a.s. as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In addition if  $N_\epsilon$  is degenerate and  $(U_n)$  satisfies (3) and instead of (4)

$$\sum (E \|E[U_n | \mathcal{B}_n]\|^2)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

Then  $E \|x_n - \theta\|^2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

The theorem as stated is false as can be seen from the following example.

Example:

Let  $M$  be a mapping from  $R$  to  $R$  such that

$$M(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{for } |x| \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $x M(x) > 0$  for  $0 \neq |x| \leq 1$

and  $|M(x)| \geq C|x|$  for  $|x| \leq 1$  with  $0 < C \leq 1$

$X_1$  be a fixed real number and define  $X_n$  for  $n \geq 2$  recursively by

$$X_{n+1} = X_n - n^{-1}M(X_n).$$

Clearly if  $|X_1| > 1$  then  $X_n = X_1$  for all  $n$ . Thus for every  $0 < a < C$ ,  $n^a X_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $n^{2a} EX_n^2 = E(n^a X_n)^2 \rightarrow \infty$ .

On the other hand as we shall see the process  $Y_n = n^a X_n$  with

$$a = \min(1/2, C)$$

satisfies all the conditions of Venter's theorem.

Let

$$n^a X_n = Y_n.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{n+1} &= (n+1)^a X_n - (n+1)^a n^{-1} M(X_n) \\ &= (1+n^{-1})^a Y_n - (n+1)^a n^{-1} M(n^{-a} Y_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus using the notation of the theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} S_n(x, \omega) = S_n(x) &= (n+1)^a n^{-1} M(n^{-a} x) - [(1+n^{-1})^a - 1]x \\ &= (n+1)^a n^{-1} M(n^{-a} x) - [an^{-1} + o(n^{-2})]x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |S_n(x)| &\leq (n+1)^a n^{-1-a} |x| + [an^{-1} + o(n^{-2})]|x| \\ &\leq |x|[(1+a)n^{-1} + o(n^{-2})] \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n &= 2(1+a)^2 n^{-2} + o(n^{-3}) \quad \text{and} \\ \delta_n &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $\sum \beta_n < \infty$  and  $\sum \delta_n < \infty$ . Further

$$2x S_n(x) = 2(n+1)^a n^{-1} |x| |M(n^{-a}x)| - 2[an^{-1} + o(n^{-2})]x^2.$$

Let  $n$  be so large that  $\epsilon^{-1} n^{-a} < 1$ . Then for  $\epsilon \leq |x| \leq \epsilon^{-1}$ ,

$$|M(n^{-a}x)| \geq C|xn^{-a}|$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 2x S_n(x) &= 2(1+n^{-1})^a Cn^{-1} |x|^2 - 2[an^{-1} + o(n^{-2})]x^2 \\ &= 2|x|^2 n^{-1} [(1+n^{-1})^a C - a + o(n^{-2})] \\ &= 2|x|^2 n^{-1} [C - a + o(n^{-2})]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $C_n(\epsilon, \omega) = C_n(\epsilon) = 2\epsilon^2 n^{-1} [C - a + o(n^{-2})]$  and so

$$\sum C_n(\epsilon) = \infty$$

and

$$C_n(\epsilon) \geq \delta_n \quad \text{if } n > N_\epsilon \quad \text{where } N_\epsilon \text{ is given by } (\epsilon N_\epsilon)^{-1} < 1.$$

Thus all the conditions are satisfied but the conclusion is obviously wrong.

The error in Venter's proof is as follows: Venter uses a theorem, Theorem 1 in the same paper to prove Theorem 3 in his paper. Theorem 1 assumes (among other things) that

$$\|T_n(x_1, \dots, x_n, \omega) - \theta\|^2 \leq \max[\alpha, (1+\beta_n)] \|x_n - \theta\|^2 - \gamma_n \quad \text{where}$$

$$(5) \quad \gamma_n(x_1, \dots, x_n, \omega) \geq 0 \quad \text{if } n > N(\omega).$$

But in the proof of Theorem 3, Venter shows that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and all  $(x_n)$  such that  $\sup \|x_n\| < \epsilon^{-1}$ , (5) holds. But then  $N(\omega)$  depends on  $\epsilon$  and Theorem 1 is not applicable.

The theorem can be corrected if Condition A is changed to read: "there exists a finite integer valued random variable  $N$  (instead of  $N_\epsilon$ ) such that (1) and (2) hold".

Acknowledgements: I would like to express my thanks to Professor S. Zacks whose problem led to this discussion and Professor D. Anbar for his extraordinary help in writing this note.

Reference:

Venter, J. H. (1966). On Dvoretzky Stochastic Approximation Theorems. Ann. Math. Statist., 37, 1534-1544.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER #34	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) A COUNTER-EXAMPLE AND CORRECTION TO A THEOREM OF VENTER		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
7. AUTHOR(s) K. ASWATH RAO		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Mathematics and Statistics Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) NR 00014-75-C-0529 PROJECT NR 042-276
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22217		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE September 21, 1978
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  DISTRIBUTION OF DOCUMENT UNLIMITED		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Stochastic Approximation, Dvoretzky Theorem.		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) In this note, <del>we point out</del> <sup>is pointed out</sup> an error in a result due to Venter on Dvoretzky stochastic approximation theorems by giving a counter-example. <del>We also rectified</del> <sup>we also rectified</sup> this by strengthening one of the conditions required by Venter.		
* The error is		