

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)

Texas A&M University

2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

Unclassified

2b. GROUP

Unclassified

3. REPORT TITLE

PROJECT SCHEDULING WITH DISCONTINUOUS PIECEWISE CONVEX ACTIVITY COST FUNCTIONS

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)

Technical Report

5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)

Christian C. Robieux and Robert L. Sielken Jr.

6. REPORT DATE

September 1978

7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES

24

7b. NO. OF REFS

3

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.

N00014-78-C-0426

b. PROJECT NO.

NR047-179

c.

d.

9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)

Number 61

9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)

10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY

Office of Naval Research

13. ABSTRACT

When an activity can be performed with different techniques, the activity cost function may be a discontinuous piecewise linear or piecewise convex function of the activity's duration. This makes the determination of the minimum cost schedule satisfying a specified project deadline a nonconvex problem. However, if an activity may be performed using a combination of the different techniques, the concept of a convex hull can be used to transform the activity's cost function. The resulting convex problem can be solved by the existing PERT procedures. Therefore, this paper extends the applicability of existing PERT procedures to problems with discontinuous piecewise linear or piecewise convex activity cost functions.

ATTACHMENT III

14.

KEY WORDS

LINK A

LINK B

LINK C

ROLE

WT

ROLE

WT

ROLE


WT

Project Scheduling
PERT
Discontinuous Activity Cost Functions
Piecewise Convex Activity Cost Functions
Minimum Cost Project Schedule
Convex Hull

ATTACHMENT III (continued)

AD A060500

ADA 060500



TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY
COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

T H E M I S

78 10 26 068

TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY
PROJECT THEMIS

Technical Report #61

PROJECT SCHEDULING WITH DISCONTINUOUS
PIECEWISE CONVEX ACTIVITY COST FUNCTIONS

by

Christian C. Robieux and Robert L. Sielken Jr.

Texas A&M University
Office of Naval Research
Contract N00014-78-C-0426
Project NR047-179

Reproduction in whole or in part
is permitted for any purpose of
the United States Government

This document has been approved
for public release and sale;
its distribution is unlimited.

78 10 26 068

ATTACHMENT I

PROJECT SCHEDULING WITH DISCONTINUOUS
PIECEWISE CONVEX ACTIVITY COST FUNCTIONS

by

Christian C. Robieux and Robert L. Sielken Jr.

THEMIS OPTIMIZATION RESEARCH PROGRAM
Technical Report No. 61
September, 1978

INSTITUTE OF STATISTICS
Texas A&M University

Research conducted through the
Texas A&M Research Foundation
and sponsored by the
Office of Naval Research
Contract N00014-78-C-0426
Project NR047-179

Reproduction in whole or in part
is permitted for any purpose of
the United States Government.

This document has been approved
for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited

ATTACHMENT II

ABSTRACT

When an activity can be performed with different techniques, the activity cost function may be a discontinuous piecewise linear or piecewise convex function of the activity's duration. This makes the determination of the minimum cost schedule satisfying a specified project deadline a nonconvex problem. However, if an activity may be performed using a combination of the different techniques, the concept of a convex hull can be used to transform the activity's cost function. The resulting convex problem can be solved by the existing PERT procedures. Therefore, this paper extends the applicability of existing PERT procedures to problems with discontinuous piecewise linear or piecewise convex activity cost functions.

PROJECT SCHEDULING WITH DISCONTINUOUS PIECEWISE
CONVEX ACTIVITY COST FUNCTIONS

1. Introduction

The project scheduling procedure known as deterministic PERT solves the following problem. Let d_j be the deterministic duration for the j -th activity in a project network, and let $g_j(d_j)$ be the cost of completing activity j in d_j units of time. Let $D_P = \sum_{j \in P} d_j$ be the sum of the completion times on a path P linking the source with the sink. Then the minimum cost scheduling problem can be formulated as

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize } \sum_j g_j(d_j) , \\ & \text{subject to } \max_P D_P \leq D \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where D is the specified completion time for the project. It is well-known that problem (1) can be formulated as a convex programming problem provided the $g_j(d_j)$ are convex functions. In case the $g_j(d_j)$ are linear or continuous piecewise linear the minimum cost project schedule can be efficiently determined using the algorithm described by Dunn and Sielken [1] which is based upon the earlier work of Fulkerson [2] and Lamberson and Hocking [3].

There are many activities where a convex cost function represents an oversimplification. In particular, we shall initially consider a discontinuous nonincreasing piecewise linear cost function. Such cost functions arise for instance if it is possible to complete an activity by a number of (say three) completely different techniques (Figure 1).




Figure 1

The Activity's Cost as a Function of its Duration

It is clear that the cost function plotted in Figure 1 is not a convex function and would lead to either nonconvex or integer programming, if we insist that the optimization should select one particular technique for the completion of the activity. However, there are many problems where it is much more reasonable to assume that a combination of techniques can be used to complete the activities. If a combination of techniques is used, it is quite possible that for the attainment of a particular duration t with say

$$L_2 \leq t \leq L_3$$

a combination of the three techniques may result in a cost that is lower than the ordinates given by the technique 2 cost line. This idea will be the basis of our approach.

For any particular activity, its duration, t , can be represented as

$$t = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i t_i$$

where there are $i = 1, \dots, n$ techniques ($T_1, \dots, T_n$) with t_i representing the rate at which T_i is performed and p_i the fraction of the activity performed using T_i . The optimal mixture of techniques to perform an activity in a given duration t can be found by solving the following problem:

$$\text{minimize } \sum_{i=1}^n p_i (\alpha_i + \beta_i t_i) , \quad (2)$$

$$\text{subject to } \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1 , \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i t_i = t , \quad (4)$$

$$L_i \leq t_i \leq U_i , \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (5)$$

$$0 \leq p_i \leq 1 , \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (6)$$

where the cost function for T_i has been written as $\alpha_i + \beta_i t_i$.

In Section 2 this nonlinear programming problem is transformed into an equivalent linear programming problem. Then, in Section 3, we shall show that in the linear programming problem the optimal objective function value (which equals the optimal activity cost) is a convex function of t . Hence any of the solution procedures that can be used to solve (1) when the activity costs, $g_j(t)$, are convex functions can also be used to solve (1) when the originally specified form of $g_j(t)$ is a discontinuous nonincreasing piecewise linear function. The establishment of this result is the primary purpose of this paper. An auxiliary result noted in Section 3 is that the optimal mixture of techniques to perform the activity need not involve more than two techniques. Finally, in Section 4 the results for piecewise linear cost functions are extended to piecewise convex functions.

2. Linearizing the Nonlinear Problem

Theorem 1. The problem, P1, stated in (2) - (6), is equivalent to the problem, P2, given in (7) - (10):

$$\text{minimize} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n q_i (\alpha_i + \beta_i L_i) + q_{n+1} (\alpha_n + \beta_n U_n) , \quad (7)$$

$$\text{subject to} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} q_i = 1 , \quad (8)$$

$$q_i \geq 0 , \quad (9)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n q_i L_i + q_{n+1} U_n = t . \quad (10)$$

Proof: If $(p_1, \dots, p_n; t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a feasible solution of P1, it can be shown that $(q_1, \dots, q_{n+1}; L_1, \dots, L_n, U_n)$ is a solution of P2 when

$$q_1 = p_1 r_1 ,$$

$$q_i = p_i r_i + (1 - r_{i-1}) p_{i-1} , \quad i = 2, \dots, n ,$$

$$q_{n+1} = p_n (1 - r_n) ,$$

where

$$r_i = \frac{L_{i+1} - t_i}{L_{i+1} - L_i} , \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

and

$$L_{n+1} = U_n .$$

If C_1 and C_2 are the objective function values for these feasible solutions to P1 and P2 respectively, then using a little algebra

$$C_1 - C_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i (1 - r_i) [\alpha_i + \beta_i L_{i+1} - (\alpha_{i+1} + \beta_{i+1} L_{i+1})] .$$

But the singularities of the activity's cost function are such that the jumps are positive when moving to shorter durations; i.e.

$$\alpha_i + \beta_i L_{i+1} > \alpha_{i+1} + \beta_{i+1} L_{i+1} , \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, n \quad (11)$$

Therefore, since $p_i \geq 0$ and $1 - r_i \geq 0$ for all i , (11) implies

$$C_1 - C_2 \geq 0 .$$

Thus, for any feasible solution of P1, there is a feasible solution of P2 with no greater cost. On the other hand, if $(q_1, \dots, q_{n+1}; L_1, \dots, L_n, U_n)$ is a feasible solution to P2, then

$$p_i = q_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1$$

$$t_i = L_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1$$

$$p_n = 1 - q_1 - \dots - q_{n-1},$$

$$t_n = \frac{q_n L_n + q_{n+1} L_{n+1}}{q_n + q_{n+1}},$$

is a feasible solution to P1 with the same objective function value.

Hence P1 and P2 are equivalent.

The importance of this result is that it is sufficient to study the problem P2 which is restricted to the convex hull, S, of the extremities of the segments on the activity's cost function graph.

3. Optimization on the Convex Hull

For a given activity duration, t , the lowest cost is attained by a point on the lower boundary of S as is evident in Figure 2. Since the lower boundary of a convex set is a convex function, the minimum activity cost is a convex function of t . Thus, if in the project scheduling problem in (1), $g_j(t)$ is given as a discontinuous piecewise linear nonincreasing function, it can be replaced by the convex function corresponding to the lower boundary of S (see for example Figure 3). This allows for (1) being solved by the existing deterministic project scheduling algorithms.




Figure 2

The Convex Hull of the Cost Function Graph

Extremities $L_1, \dots, L_n, U_n$




Figure 3

Lower Boundary of the Convex Hull

4. Generalization to a Discontinuous Nonincreasing Piecewise Convex Cost Function

For a given technique, it is more general to assume that the cost function is convex, see for example Figure 4.

The convex cost function of the piece corresponding to the technique T_i can be approximated by the continuous piecewise linear function that joins the points $(t_k, \text{cost}(t_k))$ for $k = 0, \dots, m$ where

$$t_k = L_i + \frac{k}{m}(L_{i+1} - L_i) .$$

If we do this approximation for each technique T_i , we have as the whole range of time a discontinuous, nonincreasing, piecewise linear function. Then we are able to apply the theory of Sections 2 and 3. Since the convex hull of the graph of the approximate function tends for $m \rightarrow \infty$ to the convex hull of the graph of the initial piecewise convex cost function, the optimal strategy is to use the point on the lower boundary of the convex hull of the piecewise convex graph.

A point on this lower boundary is in one of two forms illustrated by M_1 and M_2 in Figure 5. The point M_1 is on the common tangent between two pieces of the cost function. The corresponding optimal mixture of techniques is to use the technique corresponding to A at the rate t_A to do $100p_A\%$ of the activity and the technique corresponding to B at the rate t_B to do the remaining $100(0 - p_A)\%$ of the activity where

$$M_1 = p_A A + (1 - p_A) B .$$

The savings realized by allowing a mixture of techniques is, in this case,




Figure 4

A Piecewise Convex Cost Function




Figure 5

Combination of Techniques for a Piecewise Convex Cost Function

δ. On the other hand, the point M_2 is actually on the cost function for technique T_2 , so the optimal strategy is simply to perform this activity using just T_2 at the rate t_{M_2} .

Once again, since the minimum cost for doing an activity corresponds to the lower boundary of a convex hull, the minimum activity cost is a convex cost function of t . Hence a specified discontinuous activity cost function $g_j(t)$ in (1) can be replaced by a convex function.

5. Conclusion

When an activity can be performed with different techniques, the activity cost function has a discontinuous piecewise nature. This makes project scheduling a nonconvex problem. However, if an activity may be performed using a combination of the different techniques, the concept of convex hull can be used to transform the activity's cost function. The resulting convex problem can be solved by the existing PERT procedures. Therefore, this paper extends the applicability of existing PERT procedures to problems with discontinuous piecewise linear or piecewise convex activity cost functions.

REFERENCES

1. Dunn, Cynthia S. and Sielken, R. L. Jr., "Statistical PERT: An Improved Project Scheduling Algorithm", THEMIS Project Technical Report No. 55, Institute of Statistics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, (February, 1977).
2. Fulkerson, D. R., "A Network Flow Computation for Project Cost Curves," Management Science, Vol. 7, No. 2 (January 1961), pp. 167-178.
3. Lamberson, L. R. and Hocking, R. R., "Optimum time compression in project scheduling," THEMIS Project Technical Report No. 2, Institute of Statistics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, (1968).

BASIC DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR
UNCLASSIFIED TECHNICAL REPORTS

	Copies		Copies
Operations Research Office of Naval Research (Code 434) Arlington, Virginia 22217	3	Director Office of Naval Research Branch Office 495 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210 Attn: Dr. A.L. Powell	1
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Attn: Library, Code 2029 (ONRL) Washington, D.C. 20390	2	Prof. Emanuel Parzen Institute of Statistics Texas A&M University College Station, TX 77843	
Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12		1
Defense Logistics Studies Information Exchange Army Logistics Management Center Fort Lee, Virginia 23801	1	Office of Naval Research San Francisco Area Office 1076 Mission Street San Francisco, California 94103	1
Technical Information Center Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20390	6	Technical Library Naval Ordnance Station Indian Head, Maryland 20640	1
Office of Naval Research New York Area Office 207 West 24th Street New York, New York 10011 Attn: Dr. J. Laderman	1	Bureau of Naval Personnel Navy Department Technical Library Washington, D.C. 20370 STOP 82	2
Director, Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91101 Attn: Dr. A.R. Laufer	1	Library, Code 0212 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
Yale University Department of Statistics Box 2179 - Yale Station New Haven, CT 06520 Attn: Prof. I.R. Savage	1	Naval Ordnance Station Louisville, Kentucky 40214	1
Mr. William Dejka Code 360 Naval Electronics Laboratory Center San Diego, California 92132	1	Library Naval Electronics Laboratory Center San Diego, California 92152	1
Professor Lucien A. Schmit, Jr. Room 6731, Boelter Hall School of Engrng. & Applied Sci. University of California Los Angeles, California 90024	1	Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia Division Technical Library Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1
		Dr. A.L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant Marine Corps (Code AX) Washington, D.C. 20380	1

	Copies		Copies
Purdue University Graduate School of Ind. Admin. Lafayette, Indiana 47907 Attn: Prof. Andrew Whinston	1	Captain Allen D. Dayton AFOSR (SRMA) 1400 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, Virginia 22209	1
Princeton University Department of Economics and Sociology Princeton, New Jersey 08540 Attn: Prof. O. Morgenstern	1	Dr. Paul Murrill Project Themis Department of Chemical Engineering Louisiana State University Baton Rouge, Louisiana	1
Decision Studies Group 845 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304 Attn: Dr. Stuart Eassler	1	Director Army Materials & Mechanics Research Center Attn: Mr. J. Bluhm Watertown, Massachusetts 02172	1
University of California Department of Engineering Los Angeles, California 90024 Attn: Prof. R.R. O'Neill	1	Commanding Officer U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratories Attn: Dr. John H. Giese Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005	1
Maritime Transportation Research Board National Academy of Sciences 2101 Constitution Avenue Washington, D.C. 20418 Attn: RADM J.B. Oren USCG (RET)	1	University of Iowa Department of Mechanics and Hydraulics Iowa City, Iowa 52240 Attn: Prof. W.F. Ames	1
Stanford University Department of Operations Research Stanford, California 94305 Attn: Prof. A.F. Veinott, Jr.	1	Office of Naval Research Resident Representative 1105 Guadalupe Lowich Building Austin, Texas 78701 Attn: Mr. Francis M. Lucas	1
Texas A&M Foundation College Station, Texas 77843 Attn: Prof. H.O. Hartley	1	Dr. Jerome Bracken Institute of Defense Analyses 400 Army-Navy Drive Arlington, Virginia 22202	1
Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106 Attn: Prof. B.V. Dean	1	Professor Richard L. Fox School of Engineering Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106	1
University of California Center for Research in Management Science Berkeley, California 94720 Attn: Prof. W.I. Zangwill	1		
U.S. Naval Postgraduate School Department of Operations Research and Economics Monterey, California 93940 Attn: Prof. C.R. Jones			1

	Copies		Copies
		Harvard University Department of Statistics Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 Attn: Prof. W.G. Cochran	1
	1	Columbia University Department of Industrial Engineering New York, New York 10027 Attn: Prof. C. Derman	1
University of Chicago Statistical Research Center Chicago, Illinois 60637 Attn: Prof. W. Kruskal	1	New York University Institute of Mathematical Sciences New York, New York 10453 Attn: Prof. W.M. Hirsch	1
Stanford University Department of Statistics Stanford, California 94305 Attn: Prof. G.J. Lieberman	1	University of North Carolina Statistics Department Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27515 Attn: Prof. W.L. Smith and Prof. M.R. Leadbetter	1
	1	Purdue University Division of Mathematical Sciences Lafayette, Indiana 47079 Attn: Prof. H. Rubin	1
Princeton University Department of Mathematics Princeton, New Jersey 08540 Attn: Prof. J.W. Tukey	1	University of California, San Diego Department of Mathematics P.O. Box 109 La Jolla, California 92038 Attn: Prof. M. Rosenblatt	1
Stanford University Department of Statistics Stanford, California 94305 Attn: Prof. T.W. Anderson	1	Florida State University Department of Statistics Tallahassee, Florida 32306 Attn: Prof. R.A. Bradley	1
University of California Department of Statistics Berkeley, California 94720 Attn: Prof. P.J. Bickel	1	New York University Department of Industrial Engineering & Operations Research Bronx, New York 10453 Attn: Prof. J.H.K. Kao	1
University of Washington Department of Mathematics Seattle, Washington 98105 Attn: Prof. Z.W. Birnbaum	1	University of Wisconsin Department of Statistics Madison, Wisconsin 53706 Attn: Prof. G.F.P. Box	1
Cornell University Department of Mathematics White Hall Ithaca, New York 14850 Attn: Prof. J. Kiefer	1		

	Copies		Copies
Logistics Research Project The George Washington University 707 - 22nd Street, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20037 Attn: Dr. W. H. Marlow	1	The University of Michigan Department of Mathematics, W.E. Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104 Attn: Prof. R.M. Thrall	1
International Business Machines Corporation P.O. Box 218, Lamb Estate Yorktown Heights, New York 10598 Attn: Dr. Alan Hoffman	1	Princeton University Department of Mathematics Princeton, New Jersey 08540 Attn: Prof. A.W. Tucker	1
University of California Management Sciences Research Project Los Angeles, California 90024 Attn: Dr. J.R. Jackson	1	Case Western Reserve University Systems Research Center Cleveland, Ohio 44106 Attn: Prof. M. Mesarovic	1
Harvard University Department of Economics Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138 Attn: Prof. K.J. Arrow	1	University of Texas Department of Mathematics Austin, Texas 78712 Attn: Dr. A. Charnes	1
Cowles Commission for Research in Economics Yale University New Haven, Connecticut 06520 Attn: Prof. Martin Shubik	1	Stanford University Department of Operations Research Stanford, California 94305 Attn: Dr. D.L. Iglehart	1
Carnegie-Mellon University Graduate School of Industrial Administration Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213 Attn: Prof. G. Thompson	1	University of Delaware Department of Mathematics Newark, Delaware 19711 Attn: Prof. R. Remage, Jr.	1
University of California Department of Economics Berkeley, California 94720 Attn: Prof. R. Radner	1	Stanford University Department of Operations Research Stanford, California 94305 Attn: Prof. F.S. Hillier	1
University of California Operations Research Center Institute of Engineering Research Berkeley, California 94720 Attn: Prof. D. Gale	1	Dr. Claude-Alain Burdet Asst. Prof. Industrial Admin. Carnegie-Mellon University Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213	1
University of California Graduate School of Business Administration Los Angeles, California 90024 Attn: Prof. J. Marschak	1	Stanford University Department of Operations Research Stanford, California 94305 Attn: Prof. G. B. Dantzig	1
		Chief of Naval Research (Code 436) Department of the Navy Arlington, Va. 22217	1
		Science Librarian Kresge Library Oakland University Rochester, Michigan 48063	1

	Copies		Copies
University of Connecticut Department of Statistics Storrs, Connecticut 06268 Attn: Prof. H.O. Posten	1	Professor Geoffrey S. Watson, Chairman Department of Statistics Princeton University Fine Hall Princeton, N. J. 08540	1
		Stanford University Department of Mathematics Stanford, California 94305 Attn: Prof. S. Karlin	1
ARCON Corporation Lakeside Office Park North Avenue at Route 128 Wakefield, Massachusetts 01880 Attn: Dr. A. Albert	1	University of Sheffield Department of Probability and Statistics Sheffield 10, ENGLAND Attn: Prof. J. Gani	1
Stanford University Department of Statistics Stanford, California 94305 Attn: Prof. H. Chernoff	1	University of California Operations Research Center Institute of Engineering Research Berkeley, California 94720 Attn: Prof. R.E. Barlow	1
Yale University Department of Statistics New Haven, Connecticut 06520	1	Stanford University Department of Statistics Stanford, California 94305 Attn: Prof. H. Solomon	1
Rutgers-The State University Statistics Center New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903 Attn: Prof. H.F. Dodge Dr. Arthur Cohen	1	Applied Mathematics Laboratory Naval Ships Research Development Center Washington, D.C. 20007	1
Yale University Department of Statistics New Haven, Connecticut 06520 Attn: Prof. F. J. Anscombe	1	Systems Analysis Division Room BE760, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350 Attn: Mr. A.S. Rhodes, Op-964	1
Purdue University Division of Mathematical Sciences Lafayette, Indiana 47907 Attn: Prof. J.S. Gupta	1	Department of Statistics University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27515 Attn: Prof. M.R. Leadbetter	1
Cornell University Department of Industrial Engineering Ithaca, New York 14850 Attn: Prof. R.E. Bechhofer	1	Southern Methodist University Department of Statistics Dallas, Texas 75222 Attn: Prof. D.B. Owen	1
Dr. Jay Lewallen E-D-13 Theory and Analysis Division NASA Manned Spacecraft Center Houston, Texas 77058	1	Israel Institute of Technology Technion Haifa, ISRAEL Attn: Prof. P. Naor	