

AD-A060 848

ARMY MOBILITY EQUIPMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMM--ETC F/G 15/5
EVALUATION OF COMMERCIAL ANTIFREEZES.(U)
MAY 78 J H CONLEY, R G JAMISON

UNCLASSIFIED

MERADCOM-2248


NL


1 OF 1
AD A
060848



END
DATE
FILMED

1 -79
DDC





NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS
MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART


LEVEL II

12
b.s.

AD

ADA060848

DDC FILE COPY



Report 2248

EVALUATION OF COMMERCIAL ANTIFREEZES

by
James H. Conley
Robert G. Jamison

May 1978

DDC
RECEIVED
NOV 6 1978
AQB

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

**U.S. ARMY MOBILITY EQUIPMENT
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND ✓
FORT BELVOIR, VIRGINIA**

78 10 30 082

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

The citation in this report of trade names of commercially available products does not constitute official endorsement or approval of the use of such products.

14

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

MERADCOM REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM

1. REPORT NUMBER 2248	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) EVALUATION OF COMMERCIAL ANTIFREEZES	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final Report	
6. AUTHOR(s) James H. Conley Robert G. Jamison	7. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Fuels and Lubricants Division, DRDME-GL Energy and Water Resources Laboratory US Army MERADCOM, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060	9. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	
10. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Fuels and Lubricants Division, DRDME-GL Energy and Water Resources Laboratory US Army MERADCOM, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060	11. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 1L762733AH20	
12. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) (12) 12p.	13. REPORT DATE May 78	
	14. NUMBER OF PAGES 15	
	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified	
15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) OEM Antifreeze Corrosion Inhibition Commercial Antifreeze Antifreeze Compatibility MIL-A-46153 Antifreeze		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The object of this study was to investigate the potential of corrosion problems when commercial antifreezes are mixed between themselves or with the military antifreeze MIL-A-46153. Results show that even though some commercial antifreezes give adequate corrosion protection by themselves, mixtures are less than adequate. In most instances the mixtures corrode one or more of the common cooling system metals.		

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

403168 10 30 082

CONTENTS

Section	Title	Page
I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	DETAILS OF TEST	1
III	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	2
IV	CONCLUSIONS	6

TABLES

Table	Title	Page
1	Weight Loss Comparison of OEM/Commercial Antifreezes and MIL-A-46153 Antifreeze with Maximum Weight Loss Limits	3
2	Weight Loss Comparison of Binary OEM/Commercial Antifreeze Mixtures with Maximum Weight Loss Limits	4
3	Weight Loss Comparison of Binary Mixtures of Various OEM/Commercial Antifreezes and MIL-A-46153 with Maximum Weight Loss Limits	5
4	Summary of Results	6

ADMISSION BY	
GWS	White Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDP	Self Section <input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED <input type="checkbox"/>	
JUSTIFICATION	
BY	
DISPOSITION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
Dist.	AVAIL. CODE/SPECIAL
A	

EVALUATION OF COMMERCIAL ANTIFREEZES

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the trend has been for the Army to purchase commercial vehicles. These vehicles are covered by the manufacturer's warranties which require the use of specified expendable materials. One such material is antifreeze.

Each vehicle manufacturer recommends the use of a particular product, usually covered by his own specification. Equipment failure resulting from the use of a product not recommended by the manufacturer will negate the warranty. In every case, the judgment is made by the vehicle manufacturer.

Most antifreeze compounds are compatible with each other from the standpoint of solubility; e.g., there is no precipitation of inhibitors when two different antifreezes are mixed. Occasionally there are materials that visibly interact and form precipitates, but this is only one aspect of antifreeze compatibility. Blends which show no precipitation during or after mixing may yet react with one another and form soluble compounds that are corrosive to the cooling system metals.

True compatibility can be verified only by a corrosion test such as the ASTM-D-1384, Glassware Corrosion Test for Engine Coolants. This test also correlates with the simulated service test ASTM-D-2570. Obviously, the number of possible concentration ratios of two or more antifreeze fluids is infinite and actual tests have to be limited to a reasonable number of mixtures.

Several commonly supplied Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) antifreezes and commercial antifreezes were selected to determine compatibility with each other and with the Army's MIL-A-46153 in terms of corrosiveness, changes in reserve alkalinity (RA), and changes in acidity (pH) as these are the more important property aspects of antifreeze mixtures.

II. DETAILS OF TEST

All tests were conducted according to ASTM Method D-1384, Corrosion Test for Engine Coolants in Glassware. This method describes a simple breaker test for evaluating the corrosion effects of engine coolants on metal specimens. Metal specimens, typical of those present in automotive cooling systems, are totally immersed in the test antifreeze solution with aeration for 336 hours at 88° C (190° F). The corrosion inhibitive properties of the test solution are evaluated on the basis of the weight changes incurred by the specimens. Each test is run in triplicate and the average weight change is determined for each metal.

Tests on mixed antifreezes utilized two components in equal parts by volume diluted to 33-1/3 percent by volume with ASTM corrosive water containing 100 p/m each of chloride, sulfate, and bicarbonate.

Values for reserve alkalinity (RA) and acidity (pH) were measured both before and after the corrosion tests. Reserve alkalinity of new antifreeze is used in production quality control and in specifications to indicate the amount of alkaline (basic) inhibitors present in the product. Similarly, the reserve alkalinity of used solutions is a measurement that indicates the amount of remaining alkaline inhibitors in coolant performance testing. The pH of a solution is commonly considered to be the negative logarithm (to the base 10) of the hydrogen ion concentration and is not a dependable indication of either existing effectiveness or remaining life of a solution. Both reserve alkalinity and pH measurements are effective in determining the presence of a buffer. A buffer is any substance or combination of substances which when dissolved in water produces a solution which resists a change in its hydrogen ion concentration upon the addition of acid or alkali. A considerable number of antifreezes including MIL-A-46153 rely upon a buffer-type inhibitor for corrosion protection.

III. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Table 1 shows the comparison of corrosion test results of three OEM (factory fill) antifreezes (codes A, B, and C) and six commercial antifreezes manufactured by chemical companies (codes D through J) with MIL-A-46153. Only one commercial antifreeze (code E) meets the corrosion requirements. None of the nine commercial materials tested "good" with the Army's reserve alkalinity test strip. Reserve alkalinity values from 8 to 10 indicate "good," 6 to 8 indicate "borderline," and 4 to 6 indicate "poor; change coolant." Eight of the commercial antifreezes show "borderline" and one shows "poor; change coolant," all of which fail the Military specification requirement for reserve alkalinity.

Table 2 shows the corrosion test results of 12 binary mixtures of commercial antifreezes. Eight of the mixtures, including mixtures of the three OEM materials, fail the requirements for weight loss on one or more of the six cooling system metals. All 12 of the blends test as "borderline" with the reserve alkalinity test strip and do not pass the Military specification requirements.

Table 3 displays the corrosion test data of nine binary blends of commercial antifreezes with MIL-A-46153. Five out of the nine mixtures fail the corrosion weight loss limits. All of the mixtures test as "borderline" with the reserve alkalinity test strip and again fail the MIL-A-46153 specification requirements.

Table 4 summarizes the antifreezes and mixtures that meet the weight loss limits of MIL-A-46153.

Table 1. Weight Loss Comparison of OEM/Commercial Antifreezes and MIL-A-46153 Antifreeze with Maximum Weight Loss Limits

Test No.	Antifreeze	Weight Loss per Specimen (mg)										Init pH	Final pH	Init RA	Final RA
		Copper	Solder	Brass	Steel	Cast Iron	Cast Al	Cast Iron	Cast Al	Cast Iron	Cast Al				
1	A ¹	12.05 ³	22.85	10.9 ³	1.8	1.4	0.4	10.25	9.8	7.1	6.6				
2	B ¹	10.6 ³	19.0	15.6 ³	2.8	1.6	4.8	8.9	8.8	6.6	5.9				
3	C ¹	13.2 ³	21.8	17.4 ³	2.2	1.1	9.6	9.2	9.15	7.5	6.7				
4	D ²	8.9	31.3 ³	10.8 ³	2.4	2.0	7.8	9.8	8.75	3.7	3.55				
5	E ²	9.9	20.7	8.8	2.9	0.5	0.6	10.65	9.5	7.4	6.3				
6	F ²	10.9 ³	16.6	6.3	0.5	0.13	27.4	9.55	9.3	7.6	7.4				
7	G ²	11.2 ³	15.3	8.9	2.4	1.3	10.0	9.9	8.8	6.5	6.0				
8	H ²	8.6	31.3 ³	9.7	2.2	0.4	2.3	8.45	8.23	6.9	6.6				
9	J ²	10.2 ³	11.55	9.1	0.2	+0.65	3.9	- ⁴	9.6	- ⁴	7.6				
10	MIL-A-46153	7.3	2.5	8.6	3.2	0.6	17.2	8.0	7.6	8.9	8.9				
	Max weight loss limit	10.0	30.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	30.0								

¹ Original Equipment Material (OEM) supplied by vehicle manufacturer.

² Commercial antifreeze produced by chemical company.

³ Exceeds weight loss limits.

⁴ No values.

Table 2. Weight Loss Comparison of Binary OEM/Commercial Antifreeze Mixtures with Maximum Weight Loss Limits

Test No.	Mixtures 50% by Vol	Weight Loss per Specimen (mg)									
		Copper	Solder	Brass	Steel	Iron	Cast Al	Init pH	Final pH	Init RA	Final RA
1	A/B	12.4*	24.4	13.3*	0.9	0.9	1.7	9.5	9.25	7.0	6.5
2	A/C	12.6*	28.1	12.2*	0.7	0.0	0.1	9.9	9.4	7.2	6.5
3	B/C	12.4*	22.6	15.6*	0.7	1.3	5.7	8.9	8.85	6.7	6.0
4	D/H	4.9	23.1	6.7	1.6	1.3	19.2	8.6	8.55	5.9	5.8
5	D/F	10.1*	19.5	11.3*	2.4	2.1	12.5	9.2	8.65	6.2	6.2
6	E/F	9.8	29.3	12.3*	2.6	0.7	0.0	10.0	9.3	7.4	6.5
7	E/G	8.1	2.6	9.2	2.0	0.5	1.0	10.3	9.3	6.8	4.6
8	E/H	9.2	28.8	9.9	6.8	0.6	2.8	8.8	8.62	7.2	5.9
9	F/H	11.1*	27.1	11.2*	1.9	0.7	14.4	8.75	8.57	7.2	6.7
10	G/H	10.5*	39.1*	11.5*	2.7	1.3	2.2	8.7	8.48	6.9	6.3
11	F/G	10.2*	31.7*	12.2*	7.2	0.95	5.6	9.75	9.2	6.9	6.1
12	F/J	3.9	16.9	8.1	0.6	+1.3	5.4	-	9.3	-	6.2
Max weight loss limit		10.0	30.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	30.0				

* Exceeds weight loss limit.

Table 3. Weight Loss Comparison of Binary Mixtures of Various OEM/Commercial Antifreezes and MIL-A-46153 with Maximum Weight Loss Limits

Test No.	Mixtures 50% by Vol	Weight Loss per Specimen (mg)										Init pH	Final pH	Init RA	Final RA
		Copper	Solder	Brass	Steel	Iron	Cast Al	Cast Al	Cast Al	Cast Al	Cast Al				
1	A/46153	16.3*	24.6	12.8*	3.3	1.0	4.3	8.2	7.75	7.1	6.8				
2	B/46153	13.8*	20.9	14.3*	2.1	1.7	5.8	8.0	7.6	7.2	5.9				
3	C/46153	10.1*	25.7	13.2*	2.3	1.7	2.4	8.0	7.55	7.4	7.3				
4	D/46153	10.1*	18.5	8.6	2.4	1.2	24.4	7.9	7.9	6.9	6.9				
5	E/46153	8.6	26.2	9.2	1.9	0.8	1.8	8.4	8.25	7.4	6.1				
6	F/46153	7.2	22.4	8.5	1.1	1.5	9.5	8.4	8.3	7.5	7.1				
7	G/46153	6.4	23.7	7.9	1.3	+1.1	10.8	8.4	7.9	6.5	6.5				
8	H/46153	10.8*	27.8	9.8	6.7	0.2	3.1	8.25	8.05	6.9	6.3				
9	J/46153	7.9	17.3	8.4	0.45	+1.8	5.9	-	8.2	-	7.8				
Max weight loss limit		10.0	30.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	30.0								

* Exceeds weight loss limits.

Table 4. Summary of Results

Antifreezes and Mixtures Meeting Weight Loss Limits		
OEM/Commercial	OEM/Commercial Binary Mixtures	OEM/Commercial and MIL-A-46153
<u>Code E</u>	D/H	E/46153
(1 of 9)	E/G	F/46153
	E/H	G/46153
	<u>F/J</u>	<u>J/46153</u>
	(4 of 12)	(4 of 9)

IV. CONCLUSIONS

From the results listed in this study, it is evident that serious problems are likely to evolve if commercial antifreezes or OEM antifreezes are mixed with each other, or with MIL-A-46153. It is also clear that use of these mixtures will render the reserve alkalinity field test kit unusable, leaving the troops in the field with no method of adequately maintaining their vehicle cooling system.

The use of OEM or commercial antifreeze in Military vehicles is not recommended. In the instances where a vehicle warranty includes the use of an antifreeze other than MIL-A-46153, only the manufacturers' recommended antifreeze should be used during the warranty period. Immediately after expiration of the warranty period, the system should be drained, flushed, and refilled with MIL-A-46153 antifreeze only.

DISTRIBUTION FOR MERADCOM REPORT 2248

No. Copies	Addressee	No. Copies	Addressee
	Department of Defense		
1	Director, Technical Information Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency 1400 Wilson Blvd Arlington, VA 22209	1	Technical Library Chemical Systems Lab Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005
1	Director Defense Nuclear Agency ATTN: STTL Washington, DC 20305	1	Commander US Army Aberdeen Proving Ground ATTN: STEAP-MT-U (GE Branch) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005
12	Defense Documentation Ctr Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314	2	Director US Army Materiel Systems Analysis Agency ATTN: DRXSY-CM/DRXSY-MP Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005
	Department of the Army		
1	Commander, HQ TRADOC ATTN: ATEN-ME Fort Monroe, VA 23651	1	Director US Army Ballistic Research Lab ATTN: DRDAR-TSB-S (STINFO) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005
1	HQDA (DAMA-AOA-M) Washington, DC 20310	1	Director US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station ATTN: Chief, Library Branch Technical Info Ctr Vicksburg, MS 39180
1	HQDA (DALO-TS-M-P) Washington, DC 20310		
1	HQDA (DAEN-RDL) Washington, DC 20314	1	Commander Picatinny Arsenal ATTN: SARPA-TS-S No. 59 Dover, NJ 07801
1	HQDA (DAEN-MCE-D) Washington, DC 20314		
1	Commander US Army Missile Research & Development Command ATTN: DRSMI-RR Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809	1	Commander US Army Troop Support & Aviation Materiel Readiness Command ATTN: DRSTS-KTE 4300 Goodfellow Blvd St Louis, MO 63120
1	Chief, Engineer Division DCSLOG ATTN: AFKC-LG-E HQ Sixth US Army Presidio of San Francisco, CA 94129	2	Director Petrol & Fld Svc Dept US Army Quartermaster School Fort Lee, VA 23801
1	Director Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center ATTN: DRXMR-STL, Tech Lib Watertown, MA 02172	1	Commander US Army Electronics Research & Development Command ATTN: DRSEL-GG-TD Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

No. Copies	Addressee	No. Copies	Addressee
1	President US Army Aviation Test Board ATTN: STEBG-PO Fort Rucker, AL 36360	1	Commander Headquarters, 39th Engineer Battalion (Cbt) Fort Devens, MA 01433
1	US Army Aviation School Library P.O. Drawer O Fort Rucker, AL 36360	1	President US Army Armor and Engineer Board ATTN: ATZK-AE-TD-E Fort Knox, KY 40121
1	HQ, 193D Infantry Brigade (CZ) Directorate of Facilities Engineering Fort Amador, Canal Zone	1	Commander and Director USAFESA ATTN: FESA-RTD Fort Belvoir, VA 22060
1	Commander Special Forces Detachment (Airborne), Europe APO New York 09050	1	Director US Army TRADOC Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: ATAA-Si. (Tech Lib) White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002
1	HQ, USAREUR & Seventh Army DCSENGR, ATTN: AEAEN-MO ATTN: Mil Ops Div APO New York 09403		MERADCOM
2	Engineer Representative US Army Standardization Group, UK Box 65, FPO New York 09510	1	Commander, DRDME-Z Technical Director, DRDME-ZT Assoc Tech Dir/R&D DRDME-ZN Assoc Tech Dir/Engg & Acq, DRDME-ZE Spec Asst/Matl Asmt, DRDME-ZG Spec Asst/Tech Asmt, DRDME-ZK CIRCULATE
1	Commander Rock Island Arsenal ATTN: SARRI-LPL Rock Island, IL 61201	1	Chief, Ctrmine Lab, DRDME-N Chief, Elec Pwr Lab, DRDME-E Chief, Cam & Topo Lab, DRDME-R Chief, Mar & Br Lab, DRDME-M Chief, Mech & Constr Eqpt Lab, DRDME-H Chief, Ctr Intrus Lab, DRDME-X Chief, Matl Tech Lab, DRDME-V Director, Product A&T Directorate, DRDME-T CIRCULATE
1	HQ, DA, ODCSLOG Directorate for Transportation and Services Army Energy Office Room 1D570 Washington, DC 20310	5	Engy & Wtr Res Lab, DRDME-G
1	Plastics Technical Evaluation Ctr Picatinny Arsenal, Bldg 176 ATTN: A. M. Anzalone SARPA-FR-M-D Dover, NJ 07801	30	Fuels & Lub Div, DRDME-GL
1	Learning Resources Center US Army Engineer School Bldg 270 Fort Belvoir, VA 22060	3	Tech Reports Ofc, DRDME-WP
1	President US Army Airborne, Communica- tions & Electronics ATTN: STEBF-ABTD Fort Bragg, NC 28307	3	Security Ofc (for liaison officers) DRDME-S
		2	Tech Library, DRDME-WC
		1	Plans, Programs & Ops Ofc, DRDME-U
		1	Pub Affairs Ofc, DRDME-I
		1	Ofc of Chief Counsel, DRDME-L

No. Copies	Addressee	No. Copies	Addressee
	Department of the Navy	1	AFAPL/SFL Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
1	Director, Physics Program (421) Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA 22217	1	Department of Transportation Library, FOB 10A, TAD-494.6 800 Independence Ave, SW Washington, DC 20591
1	Director Naval Research Laboratory ATTN: Code 2627 Washington, DC 20375		Others
1	Commander, Naval Facilities Engineering Command Department of the Navy ATTN: Code 032-A 200 Stovall Street Alexandria, VA 22332	1	Professor Raymond R. Fox School of Engineering and Applied Science The George Washington University Washington, DC 20052
1	US Naval Oceanographic Office Library (Code 1600) Washington, DC 20373	1	Reliability Analysis Center Rome Air Development Center ATTN: I. L. Krulac Griffiss AFB, NY 13441
1	Officer-in-Charge (Code L31) Civil Engineering Laboratory Naval Construction Battalion Ctr Port Hueneme, CA 93043		
1	Director Earth Physics Program Code 463 Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA 22217		
1	Naval Training Equipment Ctr ATTN: Technical Library Orlando, FL 32813		
	Department of the Air Force		
1	HQ USAF/RDPS (Mr. Allan Eaffy) Washington, DC 20330		
1	Mr. William J. Engle Chief, Utilities Branch HQ USAF/PREEU Washington, DC 20332		
1	AFSC/INJ Andrews AFB, MD 20334		
1	AFCEC/XR/21 Tyndall AFB, FL 32401		
1	HQ USAF/PREES ATTN: Mr. Edwin B. Mixon Bolling AFB-Bldg 626 Washington, DC 20332		