


AD-A063 024

AIR FORCE OCCUPATIONAL MEASUREMENT CENTER RANDOLPH AFB TX F/G 5/9
DEFENSIVE AERIAL GUNNER CAREER LADDER AFSCS 11130, 11150, 11170--ETC(U)
OCT 78

UNCLASSIFIED

NL

1 OF 1
ADA
063024



END
DATE
FILMED
3 -79
DDC

LEVEL II
OCCUPATIONAL SURVEY REPORT

②
5

AD A063024

DDC FILE COPY



DDC
JAN 9 1979
F

⑥
DEFENSIVE AERIAL GUNNER CAREER LADDER
AFSCs 11130, 11150, 11170, AND 11190.

AFPT 90-111-300

⑪ OCTOBER 1978

⑫ 57p.

OCCUPATIONAL SURVEY BRANCH
USAF OCCUPATIONAL MEASUREMENT CENTER
RANDOLPH AFB TEXAS 78148

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

70 07 02 049
408 889

LB

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | PAGE NUMBER |
|---|----------------|
| PREFACE ----- | 3 |
| SUMMARY OF RESULTS ----- | 4 |
| INTRODUCTION ----- | 5 |
| INVENTORY DEVELOPMENT ----- | 5 |
| SURVEY ADMINISTRATION ----- | 6 |
| SURVEY SAMPLE ----- | 6 |
| CAREER LADDER STRUCTURE ----- | 8 |
| ANALYSIS OF DAFSC GROUPS ----- | 25 |
| ANALYSIS OF TAFMS GROUPS ----- | 32 |
| ANALYSIS OF TASK DIFFICULTY ----- | 34 |
| JOB DIFFICULTY INDEX (JDI) ----- | 38 |
| COMPARISON OF SURVEY DATA TO CAREER LADDER DOCUMENTS -- | 40 |
| DISCUSSION ----- | 43 |
| APPENDIX A ----- | 44 |

| | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| ACCESSION for | |
| NTIS | White Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| DDC | Buff Section <input type="checkbox"/> |
| UNANNOUNCED | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| CLASSIFICATION | |
| DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES | |
| | SPECIAL |
| A | |

PREFACE

This report presents the results of a detailed Air Force Occupational Survey of the Defensive Aerial Gunner career ladder (AFSCs 11130, 11150, 11170, and 11190). The project was directed by USAF Program Technical Training, Volume 2, dated February 1977. Authority for conducting occupational surveys is contained in AFR 35-2. The computer outputs from which this report was produced are available for use by operating and training officials.

The survey instrument was developed by Captain David S. Street, Inventory Development Specialist. Second Lieutenant Kenneth J. Kramer analyzed the survey data and wrote the final report. This report has been reviewed and approved by Lieutenant Colonel Jimmy L. Mitchell, Chief, Airman Career Ladders Analysis Section, Occupational Survey Branch, USAF Occupational Measurement Center, Randolph AFB, Texas 78148.

Computer programs for analyzing the occupational data were designed by Dr Raymond E. Christal, Occupational and Manpower Research Division, Air Force Human Resources Laboratory (AFHRL), and were written by the Project Analysis and Programming Branch, Computational Sciences Division, AFHRL.

Copies of this report are available to air staff sections, major commands, and other interested training and management personnel upon request to the USAF Occupational Measurement Center, attention of the Chief, Occupational Survey Branch (OMY), Randolph AFB, Texas 78148.

This report has been reviewed and is approved.

BILLY C. McMASTER, Col, USAF
Commander
USAF Occupational Measurement
Center

WALTER E. DRISKILL, Ph.D.
Chief, Occupational Survey Branch
USAF Occupational Measurement
Center

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

- 1. Survey Coverage:** Task inventory booklets were administered to Defensive Aerial Gunner career ladder personnel during the period February through June 1978. Survey results are based on responses from 443 respondents, or 76 percent of the 576 assigned 111X0 personnel.
- 2. Career Ladder Structure:** The major jobs identified within the career ladder were B-52D Gunners, B-52G Gunners, B-52H Gunners, B-52G&H Instructors, Upgrade Monitors, and Staff Managers. The three gunner groups composed the largest segment of the career field and are distinguished only by aircraft and fire control system differences. The other groups are composed of more experienced individuals who perform instructional, supervisory, or managerial tasks.
- 3. Career Progression:** An analysis of DAFSC groups and TAFMS groups indicates flying and alert activities form the primary job across all skill level and enlistment groups. Flying and alert activities take up a smaller proportion of time in the 7- and 9-skill levels and 145+ Mos enlistment groups as these groups acquire supervisory and managerial duties.
- 4. Career Field Documents:** An analysis of the AFR 39-1 Specialty Descriptions and the Specialty Training Standards (STS) indicates the career field documents give a good overview of the jobs in the career field but that both documents could be further refined in some areas.

OCCUPATIONAL SURVEY REPORT
DEFENSIVE AERIAL GUNNER CAREER LADDER
(AFSCs 11130, 11150, 11170, 11190)

INTRODUCTION

This is a report of an occupational survey of the Defensive Aerial Gunner career ladder (AFSCs 11130, 11150, 11170, and 11190) completed by the Occupational Survey Branch, USAF Occupational Measurement Center in October 1978.

The history of the career field dates back to the Turret System Gunners, 323X1s, of World War II vintage. In 1971, the Turret system gunner career field changed from 323X1 to 327X0, Defensive Fire Control System Operator. Then, under the enlisted aircrew reorganization, the career field received its present designation, 111X0, Defensive Aerial Gunner in May of 1975.

Personnel entering the Defensive Aerial Gunner career ladder are assigned to the Strategic Air Command (SAC) and are qualified as crew members on B-52 aircraft. Defensive aerial gunners spend a large amount of time in pre-flight, inflight, and post-flight gunner activity since they are responsible for the defensive fire control systems aboard the B-52 aircraft. In addition, gunners pull alert duties and take on numerous squadron additional duties. Defensive aerial gunners receive initial training from Combat Crew Training Squadrons (CCTs) at Carswell AFB and at Castle AFB.

The current project was programmed as the initial survey of the career ladder (authorized by AFR 35-2 and identified by the USAF PTT Part II). This survey report addresses three areas: (1) development and administration of the survey instrument; (2) the job structure found within the Defensive Aerial Gunner career ladder and how it relates to skill level and experience level groups; and (3) comparisons of the job structure with current career ladder documents such as the AFR 39-1 Specialty Descriptions and the Specialty Training Standard (STS).

INVENTORY DEVELOPMENT

The data collection instrument for this occupational survey was USAF Job Inventory AFPT 90-111-300. A thorough research of career ladder publications and directives provided the basis for the inventory. Personal interviews with 10 subject matter specialists at two bases (Carswell AFB and Castle AFB) plus field reviews mailed to 60 subject matter specialists at 13 bases led to the development of the final inventory consisting of 431 tasks grouped under 15 duty headings.

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

SURVEY ADMINISTRATION

During the period February through June 1978, consolidated base personnel offices in operational units worldwide administered the inventory booklets to job incumbents holding Defensive Aerial Gunner DAFSCs. These job incumbents were selected from a computer generated mailing list obtained from personnel data tapes maintained by the Air Force Human Resources Laboratory (AFHRL). Each individual who completed the inventory completed a background information section and then checked each task performed in their current job.

After checking all tasks performed, each incumbent then rated each of the checked tasks on a nine-point scale to show relative time spent on a task as compared to all other tasks checked. Ratings ranged from one (very small amount of time spent) through 5 (average amount of time spent) to 9 (very large amount of time spent). To compute relative time spent for each task checked by a respondent, all an incumbent's ratings are assumed to account for 100 percent of his or her time spent on the job and are summed. Each task rating is then divided by the total task responses and the quotient multiplied by 100 to obtain a percentage. This procedure provides a basis for comparing tasks in terms of both percent members performing and average percent time spent.

SURVEY SAMPLE

Personnel were selected to participate in this survey so as to insure proper representation across MAJCOM and DAFSC. Table 1 reflects the percentage distribution, by major command, of assigned personnel in the career ladder as of June 1978. Table 1 also reflects the distribution of incumbents by major command in the final sample. Tables 2 and 3 reflect the distribution of the survey sample in terms of DAFSC and Total Active Federal Military Service (TAFMS) groups. An average of 70 percent of each skill level was sampled and approximately 17 percent of the sample were in their first enlistment. The 443 respondents making up this final sample represents 76 percent of the total AFSC population of 576 members and were found to be an adequate and representative sampling of the career ladder.

TABLE 1

COMMAND REPRESENTATION OF SURVEY SAMPLE

| <u>COMMAND</u> | <u>PERCENT OF PERSONNEL ASSIGNED</u> | <u>PERCENT OF SAMPLE</u> |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| SAC | 99 | 99 |
| OTHER | 1 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 100 | 100 |
| TOTAL ASSIGNED - | 576 | |
| TOTAL SAMPLED - | 443 | |
| PERCENT SAMPLED - | 76% | |

TABLE 2

DAFSC DISTRIBUTION OF SURVEY SAMPLE

| <u>DAFSC</u> | <u>NUMBER ASSIGNED</u> | <u>NUMBER SAMPLED</u> | <u>PERCENT OF ASSIGNED SAMPLED</u> |
|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| 11130 | 53 | 24 | 45% |
| 11150 | 196 | 151 | 77% |
| 11170 | 196 | 154 | 79% |
| 11190 | 131 | 102 | 78% |

TABLE 3

TAFMS DISTRIBUTION OF SURVEY SAMPLE

| <u>MONTHS IN SERVICE</u> | <u>1-48</u> | <u>49-96</u> | <u>97+</u> |
|--------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------|
| NUMBER IN FINAL SAMPLE | 76 | 119 | 247 |
| PERCENT OF SAMPLE | 17% | 27% | 56% |

CAREER LADDER STRUCTURE


A key aspect of the USAF occupational analysis program is to examine the actual structure of career fields -- what people are doing in the field rather than how official career field documents say they are organized. This analysis is made possible by the Comprehensive Occupational Data Analysis Programs (CODAP). These 40 programs generate a number of statistical products used in the analysis of the career ladder. The primary product used to analyze the career ladder structure is a hierarchical clustering of all jobs based on the similarity of tasks performed and the time spent performing these tasks. This process permits the identification of the major types of work performed in the occupation (career ladder) and such major types of work are then analyzed in terms of job description and background data. This information is then used to examine the accuracy and completeness of present career field documents (AFR 39-1 Specialty Descriptions, Specialty Training Standards, etc.) and to formulate an understanding of current utilization patterns.

The basic identifying group used in the hierarchical job structure is the Job Type. A job type is a group of individuals who perform many of the same tasks and spend similar amounts of time performing these tasks. When there is a substantial degree of similarity between different job types, they are grouped together in a Cluster. Finally, there are often specialized jobs that are too dissimilar to be grouped into any cluster. These unique groups are labeled Independent Job Types.

Based on task and time similarities, the major job groups identified for the 111X0 career ladder are listed below and illustrated in Figure 1.

- I. B-52D Gunners (N=103)
 - A. B-52D Line Gunners (N=87)
 - B. B-52D Managers (N=11)
- II. B-52G Gunners (N=158)
 - A. B-52G Line Gunners (N=140)
 - B. B-52G Managers (N=15)
- III. B-52G&H Instructors (N=49)
- IV. Upgrade Monitors (N=13)
- V. B-52H Gunners (N=104)
 - A. B-52H Line Gunners (N=80)
 - B. B-52H Squadron Managers (N=10)
 - C. B-52H Wing Managers (N=10)
- VI. Staff Managers (N=6)

FIGURE 1
DEFENSIVE AERIAL GUNNER CAREER LADDER STRUCTURE
AFSC 111X0



Ninety-eight percent of the incumbents in the sample were found to perform jobs within the six groups listed above. The remaining two percent did not meaningfully group together. These two percent did not have any distinguishable characteristics which might have separated them from other survey respondents. The group included three instructors, one retrainee, one squadron gunner, and four line gunners.

Group Descriptions

The following paragraphs contain brief descriptions of the major groups constituting the Defensive Aerial Gunner career ladder. Tables 4 through 7 present job satisfaction information for the major groups and Tables 8 through 11 present selected background data. Representative tasks and duties for each of the major groups are presented in Appendix A.

I. B-52D Gunners (GRP019). The 103 members of this cluster, representing 23 percent of the survey sample, perform the full spectrum of defensive aerial gunner duties within the five B-52D squadrons in the Air Force. The respondents, representing all skill levels, perform the day-to-day flight and alert activities associated with B-52D defensive gunnery systems. Sixteen percent of the personnel were in their first enlistment. Job interest and felt utilization of talents are high but not as high as normative data for airborne personnel surveyed in 1977 (See Tables 4 and 5). Utilization of training however, was higher when compared with the normative data (See Table 4).

Within the cluster, two job types were identified. The B-52D Line Gunners (GRP046) perform the ordinary flying and alert duties associated with defensive aerial gunners. Typically, the line gunner pulls alert 6-15 days a month (See Table 13). The remainder of these line gunner's time is spent in various flight and ground activities. Flight activities include tasks ranging from performing takeoff checks and performing climb procedures to identifying malfunctions on the MD-9 fire control system, the B-52D's weapon system. Ground activities range from attending training sessions and coordinating aircrew transportation to performing stanboard evaluations and responding to alert exercises. B-52D instructors, stationed at Carswell AFB, fell into this line gunner job type as they are considered crew resources and instruct students in addition to their flight duties.

The B-52D Managers (GRP051) fill the administrative positions in the B-52D squadrons and wings. These members spend time in flying activities but spend a relatively large amount of their time scheduling or training line gunners and preparing records or reports. Common tasks of B-52D managers include preparing briefings, receiving flight publications, evaluating student progress as well as performing equipment checks and operating fire control systems. Although managers do spend time flying, they are not considered crew resources and spend

no time on alert (See Table 13). Members are senior level personnel averaging 22 years Total Active Federal Military Service (TAFMS).

II. B-52G Gunners (GRP025). This cluster of 158 gunners, representing 36 percent of all survey respondents, perform defensive aerial gunner duties in the B-52G's. Ten of the twenty B-52 squadrons are equipped with the "G" model. Twenty-three percent of the incumbents in the cluster are in their first enlistment. Job interest and utilization of talents is high but again not as high as 1977 norms for all airborne personnel (See Table 4 and 6). Perceived utilization of training was very high, but only slightly higher than the 1977 normative data (See Table 4).

Within this cluster, two job types were identified. The B-52G Line Gunners (GRP045) perform the majority of flying and alert duties associated with B-52G gunners. Eighty-eight percent of the job incumbents pull 6-15 days per month on alert (See Table 14). When not on alert, incumbents spend their time flying, attending training sessions and briefings, and performing additional duties. One major difference in the job of the B-52G line gunner as opposed to the D-model gunner (Cluster I), is the B-52G gunner isolates malfunctions on and operates the ASG-15 fire control system, a more advanced model of the D-model's MD-9 weapon system. Common tasks include performing station checks on B-52Gs, performing takeoff checks, and attending alert briefings.

The B-52G Managers (GRP043) fill the administrative positions for gunners in G-model wings and squadrons. These managers are all senior 9-skill level personnel and average over 20 years TAFMS. Organizing, training, and scheduling gunners in addition to other planning tasks take up the largest percentage of the G-model managers time. Common tasks of B-52G managers include performing training critiques, analyzing mission requirements, and advising gunners. Managers spend a majority of their time flying but are not flying as much nor are they spending as much time on alert as are line gunners. (See Table 14).

III. B-52G and H model Instructors (GRP029). This independent job type consists of 49 predominantly 7- and 9-skill level personnel who are assigned to the 4017th Combat Crew Training Squadron (CCTS) and 93rd Bomb Wing at Castle AFB. These personnel provide training for all gunners entering the 15 G- and H-model B-52 squadrons. The instructors provide training in the G-model fire control system (ASG-15), and the H-model system (ASG-21) as well as in the different aircraft systems of the G- and H-model B-52 aircraft. Common tasks include evaluating students, evaluating training devices, and conducting initial qualification training. The instructors are not considered crew resources and hence pull no alert duty (See Table 12), although they do fly for proficiency. The group averages over 16 years of TAFMS, with none being first enlistment personnel (See Table 8). Job interest and perceived utilization of talents and training are high and approximate or exceed the 1977 normative data for aircrew personnel in this area (See Table 4).

IV. Upgrade Monitors (GRP013). The thirteen members of this group (three percent of the survey respondents) fill an administrative position found in every B-52 wing. Upgrade monitors plan and chart the progress of all wing personnel (pilots, navigators, gunners, etc) as they progress to higher levels of skill proficiency. Common tasks include initiating ground training, scheduling ground training, and preparing training records. Upgrade monitors spend only 40 percent of their time flying, as training and planning tasks fill the remainder of their time. Upgrade monitors are not considered crew resources and pull few alert duties (see Table 12). Job interest and perceived utilization of talents and training are very high and they surpass the 1977 normative data in these areas for all enlisted aircrew personnel (See Table 4). No incumbents are in their first enlistment and the group averages over 21 years TAFMS (See Table 8).

V. B-52H Gunners (GRP012). This cluster of 104 gunners represents 23 percent of the survey respondents. As with the B-52D and B-52G gunners, these incumbents, represent all skill levels and handle all gunnery duties in B-52H squadrons. Twenty-two percent of the cluster are first enlistment personnel. Job interest and perceived utilization of training and talents are lower than the other gunner clusters and the 1977 normative data (See Tables 4 and 7).

Three job types are identified within this cluster. The B-52H Line Gunners (GRP061) like the two other groups of line gunners, perform the majority of flying activity and alert responsibility. Eighty-six percent of the line gunners perform alert functions for 6 to 15 days per month (See Table 15) with the remainder of their time filled by flying activities such as performing preflight and postflight aircraft procedures and by training sessions. The line gunner also operates and isolates malfunctions on the ASG-21 fire control system which is only found on B-52H model aircraft. Common tasks include performing interior inspections on B-52H's, performing after level off checks on B-52H's, and performing daily alert preflight procedures.

The B-52H Wing Managers (GRP020) fill administrative positions in B-52H wing-level staffs. Wing Managers spend relatively large amounts of time in organizing and planning tasks such as initiating ground programs, planning ground training and analyzing mission requirements. Wing managers still spend time flying but are not crew resources and perform no alert duties (See Table 15). These managers are 9-skill level personnel averaging over 23 years TAFMS.

The B-52H Squadron Managers (GRP070) fill the administrative positions found in each B-52H squadron. Squadron managers spend more time flying and less time organizing and planning than their counterparts at the wing level. Squadron managers do spend a relatively large amount of time in training functions like conducting training, advising gunners, or counseling students. Squadron managers perform some alert duties, with 30 percent indicating they spend 6-15 days on alert each month (See Table 15). Squadron managers are 7- or 9-skill level personnel averaging over 17 years TAFMS.

VI. Staff Managers (GRP006). This group of six incumbents represent one percent of the survey respondents and consist of high-level staff managers, such as the CINCSAC gunner, the 8th and 15th AF gunners and the MPC monitor for the career field. Organizing, implementing, planning, and other supervisory duties dominate the group's work. Specific examples of tasks are participating in staff meetings, writing staff studies, and acting as a training advisor on the staff level. The group spends 96 percent of its time in supervisory or administrative functions, does little flying, and spends no time on alert. The incumbents in the group are all 9-skill level personnel and average over 24 years TAFMS. Job satisfaction and felt utilization of talents and training for the group is lower than the normative data for aircrew personnel. (See Tables 4, 8 and 12).

Summary

From the groups identified in the career ladder structure, it is clear that the majority of all skill level personnel perform the full spectrum of defensive aerial gunner duties. While there are groups of instructors and managers performing distinct jobs apart from a line gunner, the majority of career field members perform line gunner tasks in both flying and alert activities. The major differences in the career field groups reflect equipment and aircraft differences.

TABLE 4

JOB SATISFACTION FOR MAJOR JOB GROUPS
(PERCENT MEMBERS RESPONDING)

| | B-52D GUNNERS (GRP019) | B-52G GUNNERS (GRP025) | B-52G&H INSTRUCTORS (GRP029) | UPGRADE MONITORS (GRP013) | B-52H GUNNERS (GRP012) | STAFF MANAGERS (GRP006) | 1977 NORMATIVE DATA* |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| JOB INTEREST | | | | | | | |
| DULL | 15 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 17 | 3 |
| SO-SO | 8 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 6 |
| INTERESTING | 76 | 76 | 88 | 92 | 74 | 83 | 91 |
| NO REPLY | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UTILIZATION OF TALENTS | | | | | | | |
| NOT AT ALL OR VERY LITTLE | 29 | 31 | 4 | 0 | 38 | 17 | 14 |
| FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER | 70 | 69 | 94 | 100 | 62 | 83 | 86 |
| NO REPLY | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UTILIZATION OF TRAINING | | | | | | | |
| NOT AT ALL OR VERY LITTLE | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 17 | 14 |
| FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER | 94 | 93 | 98 | 100 | 84 | 83 | 86 |
| NO REPLY | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| REENLISTMENT INTENTIONS | | | | | | | |
| NO OR PROBABLY NO | 33 | 36 | 29 | 15 | 35 | 33 | 44 |
| YES OR PROBABLY YES | 65 | 60 | 71 | 85 | 63 | 67 | 56 |
| NO REPLY | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

* FOR AIRBORNE SPECIALTIES SURVEYED IN 1977. THESE INCLUDE ALL 111XX PERSONNEL SURVEYED IN 1977.

TABLE 5

JOB SATISFACTION FOR B-52D GUNNER CLUSTER PERSONNEL
(PERCENT MEMBERS RESPONDING)

| | B-52D GUNNERS (GRP019) | B-52D MANAGERS (GRP051) | B-52D LINE GUNNERS (GRP046) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| JOB INTEREST | | | |
| DULL | 15 | 18 | 14 |
| SO-SO | 8 | 0 | 9 |
| INTERESTING | 76 | 82 | 76 |
| NO REPLY | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| UTILIZATION OF TALENTS | | | |
| NOT AT ALL OR VERY LITTLE | 29 | 27 | 30 |
| FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER | 70 | 73 | 69 |
| NO REPLY | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| UTILIZATION OF TRAINING | | | |
| NOT AT ALL OR VERY LITTLE | 5 | 0 | 6 |
| FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER | 94 | 100 | 93 |
| NO REPLY | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| REENLISTMENT INTENTIONS | | | |
| NO OR PROBABLY NO | 33 | 9 | 38 |
| YES OR PROBABLY YES | 65 | 91 | 60 |
| NO REPLY | 2 | 0 | 2 |

TABLE 6

JOB SATISFACTION FOR THE B-52G GUNNER CLUSTER
(PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING)

| | B-52G GUNNERS (GRP025) | B-52G MANAGERS (GRP043) | B-52G LINE GUNNERS (GRP045) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| JOB INTEREST | | | |
| DULL | 13 | 0 | 15 |
| SO-SO | 10 | 7 | 9 |
| INTERESTING | 76 | 86 | 75 |
| NO REPLY | 1 | 7 | 1 |
| UTILIZATION OF TALENTS | | | |
| NOT AT ALL OR VERY LITTLE | 31 | 20 | 33 |
| FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER | 69 | 80 | 67 |
| NO REPLY | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UTILIZATION OF TRAINING | | | |
| NOT AT ALL OR VERY LITTLE | 6 | 0 | 7 |
| FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER | 94 | 100 | 93 |
| NO REPLY | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| REENLISTMENT INTENTIONS | | | |
| NO OR PROBABLY NO | 41 | 53 | 39 |
| YES OR PROBABLY YES | 58 | 47 | 60 |
| NO REPLY | 1 | 0 | 1 |

TABLE 7

JOB SATISFACTION FOR THE B-52H GUNNER CLUSTER
(PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING)

| | B-52H GUNNERS (GRP012) | B-52H WING MANAGERS (GRP020) | B-52H LINE GUNNERS (GRP061) | B-52H SQUADRON MANAGERS (GRP070) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| JOB INTEREST | | | | |
| DULL | 10 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| SO-SO | 16 | 0 | 19 | 0 |
| INTERESTING | 74 | 100 | 70 | 100 |
| NO REPLY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UTILIZATION OF TALENTS | | | | |
| NOT AT ALL OR VERY LITTLE | 38 | 0 | 43 | 30 |
| FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER | 62 | 100 | 57 | 70 |
| NO REPLY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UTILIZATION OF TRAINING | | | | |
| NOT AT ALL OR VERY LITTLE | 15 | 0 | 15 | 20 |
| FAIRLY WELL OR BETTER | 84 | 100 | 84 | 80 |
| NO REPLY | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| REENLISTMENT INTENTIONS | | | | |
| NO OR PROBABLY NO | 35 | 40 | 35 | 30 |
| YES OR PROBABLY YES | 63 | 60 | 62 | 70 |
| NO REPLY | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 |

TABLE 8
BACKGROUND INFORMATION FOR MAJOR CAREER LADDER GROUPS

| | B-52D GUNNERS (N=103) | B-52G GUNNERS (N=158) | B-52GEH INSTRUCTORS (N=49) | UPGRADE MONITORS (N=13) | B-52H GUNNERS (N=104) | STAFF MANAGERS (N=6) |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| AVERAGE GRADE | 5.4 | 4.9 | 6.3 | 7.5 | 5.3 | 8.5 |
| AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD (MOS) | 79 | 66 | 121 | 186 | 74 | 242 |
| AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE (MOS) | 139 | 122 | 193 | 254 | 133 | 295 |
| PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT | 16 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 |
| AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED | 183 | 162 | 231 | 155 | 151 | 47 |
| DAFSC DISTRIBUTION (PERCENT) | | | | | | |
| 11130 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 11150 | 39 | 45 | 4 | 0 | 37 | 0 |
| 11170 | 32 | 33 | 67 | 8 | 31 | 0 |
| 11190 | 21 | 15 | 27 | 92 | 21 | 100 |
| NOT REPORTED | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 |

TABLE 9
BACKGROUND INFORMATION FOR THE B-52D CLUSTER

| | <u>B-52D GUNNERS (N=103)</u> | <u>B-52D MANAGERS (N=11)</u> | <u>B-52D LINE GUNNERS (N=87)</u> |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| AVERAGE GRADE | 5.4 | 7.5 | 5.1 |
| AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD (MOS) | 79 | 184 | 65 |
| AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE (MOS) | 139 | 264 | 124 |
| PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT | 16 | 0 | 18 |
| AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED | 183 | 228 | 180 |
| DAFSC DISTRIBUTION (PERCENT) | | | |
| 11130 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 11150 | 39 | 0 | 45 |
| 11170 | 32 | 9 | 33 |
| 11190 | 21 | 82 | 15 |
| NOT REPORTED | 3 | 9 | 2 |

TABLE 10
BACKGROUND INFORMATION FOR THE B-52G CLUSTER

| | B-52G GUNNERS (N=158) | B-52G MANAGERS (N=15) | B-52G LINE GUNNERS (N=140) |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| AVERAGE GRADE | 4.9 | 7.4 | 4.7 |
| AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD (MOS) | 66 | 170 | 54 |
| AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE (MOS) | 122 | 250 | 106 |
| PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT | 23 | 0 | 27 |
| AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED | 162 | 195 | 179 |
| DAFSC DISTRIBUTION (PERCENT) | | | |
| 11130 | 7 | 0 | 8 |
| 11150 | 45 | 0 | 50 |
| 11170 | 33 | 13 | 31 |
| 11190 | 15 | 87 | 9 |
| NOT REPORTED | 0 | 0 | 2 |

TABLE 11

BACKGROUND INFORMATION FOR THE B-52H CLUSTER

| | B-52H GUNNERS (N=104) | B-52H WING MANAGERS (N=10) | B-52H SQUADRON MANAGERS (N=10) | B-52H LINE GUNNERS (N=80) |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| AVERAGE GRADE | 5.3 | 7.9 | 6.6 | 4.8 |
| AVERAGE TIME IN CAREER FIELD (MOS) | 74 | 215 | 109 | 52 |
| AVERAGE TIME IN SERVICE (MOS) | 133 | 284 | 206 | 105 |
| PERCENT MEMBERS IN FIRST ENLISTMENT | 22 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| AVERAGE NUMBER OF TASKS PERFORMED | 151 | 202 | 204 | 137 |
| DAFSC DISTRIBUTION (PERCENT) | | | | |
| 11130 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 11150 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| 11170 | 31 | 0 | 30 | 35 |
| 11190 | 21 | 100 | 70 | 5 |
| NOT REPORTED | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

TABLE 12
ALERT DUTY PERFORMANCE FOR MAJOR CAREER LADDER GROUPS

| <u>DAYS PER MONTH</u> | <u>B-52D GUNNERS (GRP019)</u> | <u>B-52G GUNNERS (GRP025)</u> | <u>B-52G&H INSTRUCTORS (GRP029)</u> | <u>UPGRADE MONITORS (GRP013)</u> | <u>B-52H GUNNERS (GRP012)</u> | <u>STAFF MANAGERS (GRP006)</u> |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 0 | 25 | 10 | 100 | 92 | 17 | 83 |
| 1-5 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| 6-10 | 39 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 |
| 11-15 | 34 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| 16-20 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 21+ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NOT REPORTED | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 17 |

TABLE 13
ALERT DUTY PERFORMANCE FOR THE B-52D CLUSTER

| <u>DAYS PER MONTH</u> | <u>B-52D GUNNERS (GRP019)</u> | <u>B-52D MANAGERS (GRP051)</u> | <u>B-52D LINE GUNNERS (GRP046)</u> |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| 0 | 25 | 100 | 15 |
| 1-5 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 6-10 | 39 | 0 | 45 |
| 11-15 | 34 | 0 | 38 |
| 16-20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21+ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NOT REPORTED | 0 | 0 | 0 |

TABLE 14
ALERT DUTY PERFORMANCE FOR THE B-52G CLUSTER

| <u>DAYS PER MONTH</u> | <u>B-52G GUNNERS (GRP025)</u> | <u>B-52G MANAGERS (GRP043)</u> | <u>B-52G LINE GUNNERS (GRP045)</u> |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| 0 | 10 | 73 | 2 |
| 1-5 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| 6-10 | 47 | 20 | 50 |
| 11-15 | 34 | 7 | 38 |
| 16-20 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 21+ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NOT REPORTED | 1 | 0 | 2 |

TABLE 15
ALERT DUTY PERFORMANCE FOR THE B-52H CLUSTER

| <u>DAYS PER MONTH</u> | <u>B-52H GUNNERS (GRP012)</u> | <u>B-52H WING MANAGERS (GRP020)</u> | <u>B-52H SQUADRON MANAGERS (GRP070)</u> | <u>B-52H LINE GUNNERS (GRP061)</u> |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| 0 | 17 | 100 | 40 | 4 |
| 1-5 | 8 | 0 | 30 | 6 |
| 6-10 | 31 | 0 | 10 | 38 |
| 11-15 | 40 | 0 | 20 | 48 |
| 16-20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 21+ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NOT REPORTED | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

ANALYSIS OF DAFSC GROUPS

An analysis of DAFSC groups, in conjunction with the career ladder structure, forms a part of each occupational analysis. The DAFSC analysis allows for the identification of differences between skill levels. DAFSC data also aids in the analysis of career field documents such as the AFR 39-1 specialty descriptions and the Specialty Training Standard (STS).

The 111X0 career field is a small but very homogeneous career field. The majority of respondents perform the same aircrew-related tasks associated with being a defensive aerial gunner regardless of skill level. Table 16 shows the distribution of skill levels throughout the career ladder groups.

Table 17 shows the relative time spent by all skill level groups on the various duties in the job inventory. On aircrew related duties (Duties F through O), there is little difference in percent time spent between the 3-, 5-, 7-, and 9-skill levels. The small differences in duty performance indicate little decrease in flying activity in incumbents across skill levels. Table 18 further highlights this small difference by showing similarities in the percent members specific aircrew tasks across DAFSC's.

In supervisory and administrative duties (Duties A through E), percent time spent does rise at the 7- and 9-skill levels but the key point is many senior personnel still perform the technical tasks of qualified aircrew members and they are still considered crew resources. Once an incumbent is an aircrew member, for the most part, he remains an aircrew member throughout his career except when assigned to a special duty or staff position.

Skill Level Duties

The 5-skill level job incumbent is primarily a line gunner. The 5-skill level incumbent spends over 90 percent of his time in aircrew related duties (Duties F through O). Very few 5-skill level incumbents serve in any training or supervisory capacity. Representative tasks performed by 5-skill level respondents include attending daily alert functions, coordinating fire control system (FCS) activities, performing preflight inspections, and operating B-52 FCSs in the normal mode.

The 7-skill level continues to perform primarily as a line gunner but more 7-skill level incumbents take on jobs as instructors or as flight examiners. While 5-skill level respondents spend more time on alert, 7-skill levels spend more time on instructor-related tasks such as scoring tests, evaluating training techniques, and evaluating student progress. Apart from 7-skill level instructors, most 7-skill level personnel are very much like 5-skill level incumbents -- primarily line gunners serving in a few supervisory or administrative roles. Table 19 shows those tasks best differentiating between 5- and 7-skill level respondents.

The 9-skill level, while still spending over 60 percent of his time in aircrew-related duties, performs the majority of the supervisory or administrative tasks in this career field. Some 9-skill levels are still crew resources and perform supervisory tasks such as assigning duty positions and evaluating administrative forms as additional duties. Many 9-skill level gunners, however, are no longer crew resources and perform management tasks such as draft or revise job descriptions, analyze mission priorities, and conduct conferences or briefings as their primary function. For example, Wing Gunners and numbered Air Force gunners are no longer crew resources but serve primarily in a supervisory or managerial role. Table 20 delineates differences between 7- and 9-skill level respondents. As shown, more 9-skill level incumbents perform management and supervisory tasks while more 7-skill levels perform aircrew-related alert tasks.

TABLE 16

JOB GROUP DISTRIBUTION FOR DAFSC GROUPS
(PERCENT MEMBERS RESPONDING)

| JOB GROUPS | DAFSC | DAFSC | DAFSC |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 11150 (N=154) | 11170 (N=151) | 11190 (N=102) |
| I. B-52D GUNNERS (GRP019) | 26 | 22 | 21 |
| A. B-52D MANAGERS (GRP051) | 0 | * | 9 |
| B. B-52D LINE GUNNERS (GRP046) | 25 | 19 | 13 |
| II. B-52G GUNNERS (GRP025) | 46 | 35 | 23 |
| A. B-52G MANAGERS (GRP043) | 0 | 1 | 13 |
| B. B-52G LINE GUNNERS (GRP045) | 45 | 29 | 12 |
| III. B-52G & H MODEL INSTRUCTORS (GRP029) | 1 | 22 | 13 |
| IV. UPGRADE MONITORS (GRP013) | 0 | * | 12 |
| V. B-52H GUNNERS (GRP012) | 25 | 21 | 21 |
| A. B-52H WING MANAGERS (GRP020) | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| B. B-52H LINE GUNNERS (GRP061) | 24 | 19 | 4 |
| C. B-52H SQUADRON MANAGERS (GRP070) | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| VI. STAFF MANAGERS (GRP006) | 0 | 0 | 6 |

* INDICATES LESS THAN ONE PERCENT

TABLE 17

PERCENT TIME SPENT ON DUTIES BY DAFSC GROUPS

| DUTY | DAFSC (N=154) | | DAFSC (N=151) | | DAFSC (N=102) | |
|--|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| | 11150 | 11170 | 11170 | 11190 | 11190 | 11190 |
| A ORGANIZING AND PLANNING | * | | 2 | | 6 | |
| B DIRECTING AND IMPLEMENTING | * | | 2 | | 7 | |
| C INSPECTING AND EVALUATING | * | | 2 | | 5 | |
| D TRAINING | 2 | | 5 | | 11 | |
| E PREPARING AND MAINTAINING FORMS, RECORDS, AND REPORTS | 2 | | 4 | | 7 | |
| F MISSION PLANNING | 10 | | 8 | | 7 | |
| G PERFORMING PREFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 6 | | 5 | | 3 | |
| H PERFORMING PRETAKEOFF, TAKEOFF, AND CLIMB PROCEDURES | 8 | | 6 | | 5 | |
| I PERFORMING CRUISE OR LOW LEVEL PROCEDURES | 17 | | 17 | | 15 | |
| J IDENTIFYING MD-9 AND ASG-15 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM (FCS) MALFUNCTIONS | 18 | | 18 | | 12 | |
| K IDENTIFYING ASG-21 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM (FCS) MALFUNCTIONS | 5 | | 6 | | 3 | |
| L PERFORMING DESCENT, LANDING, AND POSTFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 10 | | 9 | | 7 | |
| M PERFORMING ALERT PROCEDURES | 11 | | 7 | | 2 | |
| N PERFORMING RECURRING GROUND SIMULATION PROCEDURES | 4 | | 4 | | 5 | |
| O PERFORMING ABNORMAL AND EMERGENCY PROCEDURES | 0 | | 5 | | 5 | |

* INDICATES LESS THAN ONE PERCENT

TABLE 18

**SIMILARITIES IN TASKS PERFORMED ACROSS DAFSC GROUPS
(PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING)**

| TASK | DAFSC | DAFSC | DAFSC |
|---|-------|-------|-------|
| | 11150 | 11170 | 11190 |
| F12 PREPARE PILOT HIGH ALTITUDE ROUTE MAPS | 98 | 92 | 73 |
| G11 PICK UP OR INSPECT FLIGHT LUNCHES | 97 | 97 | 87 |
| G9 PERFORM PREFLIGHT WALKAROUND INSPECTIONS | 96 | 97 | 93 |
| G10 PICK UP OR INSPECT AIRCRAFT LIFE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 92 | 91 | 85 |
| H19 PERFORM OR REPORT OXYGEN SYSTEM CHECKS | 94 | 89 | 85 |
| L3 PARTICIPATE IN CREW MAINTENANCE DEBRIEFINGS | 96 | 96 | 93 |
| F4 COORDINATE ORDERING OF AIRCREW FLIGHT LUNCHES WITH AIRCREW OR TRANSPORTATION AGENCIES | 97 | 97 | 87 |
| I62 PERFORM STATION CHECKS ON B-52H | 94 | 94 | 88 |
| L2 COLLECT OR TURN IN COFFEE JUGS, WATER JUGS, OR OVENS | 95 | 95 | 90 |
| M2 ATTEND DAILY ALERT BRIEFINGS | 97 | 69 | 28 |
| M1 ATTEND ASSUMPTION OF ALERT BRIEFINGS | 97 | 73 | 29 |
| M24 RESPOND TO ALERT OR SCRAMBLE EXERCISES | 95 | 74 | 26 |
| F10 PLAN OR COORDINATE FCS ACTIVITIES WITH OTHER AIRCREW MEMBERS | 97 | 98 | 92 |
| L1 ANNOTATE AIRCRAFT WRITE-UPS ON MAINTENANCE DISCREPANCY AND WORK DOCUMENT FORMS (AFTO FORM 781A) | 94 | 95 | 93 |
| F14 REVIEW FCS ACTIVITIES ON WEEKLY AIRCREW FLYING SCHEDULES | 79 | 87 | 85 |
| F11 PREPARE FCS PLANNING LOGS OR FORMS | 94 | 97 | 93 |
| M18 PERFORM OR PRACTICE GROUND CREW DUTIES | 94 | 74 | 25 |

TABLE 19

TASKS BEST DIFFERENTIATING BETWEEN 5- AND 7-SKILL LEVEL 111XO PERSONNEL
(PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING)

| TASKS | DAFSC | | DIFFERENCE |
|---|-------|-------|------------|
| | 11150 | 11170 | |
| M2 ATTEND DAILY ALERT BRIEFINGS | 97 | 69 | +28 |
| M17 PERFORM NO-LONE-ZONE SECURITY PROCEDURES | 97 | 72 | +25 |
| M1 ATTEND ASSUMPTION OF ALERT BRIEFINGS | 97 | 72 | +25 |
| M24 RESPOND TO ALERT OR SCRAMBLE EXERCISES | 95 | 73 | +22 |
| F13 REVIEW BOMB RUN TIMING OR OFFSET CHECKS WITH NAVIGATORS | 82 | 61 | +21 |
| N2 PARTICIPATE IN MONTHLY SAFETY MEETINGS | 26 | 76 | -50 |
| D1 ADMINISTER OR SCORE TESTS | 12 | 58 | -46 |
| D22 EVALUATE PROGRESS OF STUDENTS | 10 | 45 | -35 |
| D27 PERFORM TRAINING CRITIQUES | 15 | 50 | -35 |
| D31 WRITE TEST QUESTIONS | 23 | 55 | -32 |

TABLE 20

TASKS BEST DIFFERENTIATING BETWEEN 7- AND 9-SKILL LEVEL 111X0 PERSONNEL
(PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING)

| TASKS | DAFSC | | DIFFERENCE |
|-------|-------|-------|------------|
| | 11170 | 11190 | |
| M18 | 74 | 25 | +49 |
| M24 | 73 | 25 | +48 |
| M19 | 74 | 30 | +44 |
| M1 | 72 | 29 | +43 |
| M2 | 69 | 28 | +41 |
| | | | |
| A1 | 13 | 64 | -51 |
| B2 | 30 | 84 | -54 |
| B9 | 26 | 73 | -47 |
| B18 | 40 | 80 | -40 |
| D2 | 33 | 64 | -31 |

ANALYSIS OF TAFMS GROUPS

An analysis of groups of personnel by years of total active federal military service (TAFMS) occurs in this section. Table 21 lists the relative percent time spent on the various duties by TAFMS groups and reflects the same homogeneity found in the CAREER LADDER STRUCTURE and ANALYSIS OF DAFSC GROUPS sections of the report.

Incumbents in all enlistment groups are defensive aerial gunners, with only minor variations in the gunner job in any enlistment period. Very little change occurs in the line gunner's job across TAFMS groups except for the addition of supervisory and managerial tasks in higher TAFMS groups. First term respondents spend 97 percent of their time in flight activity (Duties F through O, See Table 21). The amount of time spent in flying duties decreases very slowly through AFMS groups, with sixth enlistment personnel still spending 67 percent of their time in flying activities. Training and supervisory duties increase in higher TAFMS groups but the primary job of personnel in these groups still centers around flying. Alert duty also decreases in the high TAFMS groups.

In summary, there are only small differences in the jobs performed by different TAFMS groups. The 145+ Mos TAFMS groups shed alert duties and take on managerial and supervisory duties to account for the small differences. Most incumbents are primarily flying gunners regardless of TAFMS groups.

TABLE 21

RELATIVE PERCENT TIME SPENT ON DUTIES BY AFMS GROUPS

| DUTY | 1-48 MONTHS (N=76) | 49-96 MONTHS (N=119) | 97-144 MONTHS (N=47) | 145-192 MONTHS (N=53) | 193-240 MONTHS (N=55) | 241+ MONTHS (N=92) |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| A ORGANIZING AND PLANNING | * | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| B DIRECTING AND IMPLEMENTING | * | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| C INSPECTING AND EVALUATING | * | * | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| D TRAINING | * | 3 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 10 |
| E PREPARING AND MAINTAINING FORMS, RECORDS, AND REPORTS | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| F MISSION PLANNING | 10 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 7 |
| G PERFORMING PREFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| H PERFORMING PRETAKEOFF, TAKEOFF, AND CLIMB PROCEDURES | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 |
| I PERFORMING CRUISE OR LOW LEVEL PROCEDURES | 18 | 17 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 12 |
| J IDENTIFYING MD-9 AND ASG-15 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM (FCS) MALFUNCTIONS | 16 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| K IDENTIFYING ASG-21 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM (FCS) MALFUNCTIONS | 6 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 3 |
| L PERFORMING DESCENT, LANDING, AND POSTFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 11 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 7 |
| M PERFORMING ALERT PROCEDURES | 11 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 2 |
| N PERFORMING RECURRING GROUND SIMULATION PROCEDURES | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| O PERFORMING ABNORMAL AND EMERGENCY PROCEDURES | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 |

* DENOTES LESS THAN ONE PERCENT

ANALYSIS OF TASK DIFFICULTY

From a listing of airmen identified for the 111X0 job survey, incumbents holding a 7- or 9-skill level were selected to rate task difficulty. Tasks were rated on a nine-point scale from extremely low to extremely high difficulty. Difficulty is defined as the length of time it takes an average airmen to learn to do the task. Interrater reliability (as assessed through components of variance of standardized group means) for the 43 raters was .93. Ratings were adjusted so tasks of average difficulty had ratings of 5.00.

Table 22 lists the 10 tasks rated most difficult. A majority of the ten most difficult tasks involve the planning or evaluation functions of gunner activities. Other tasks rated above average in difficulty are initiating ground and flight training programs, preparing standardization reports and counseling personnel.

Table 23 lists the 10 least difficult tasks as rated by incumbents. Attending briefings, picking up flight lunches, and turning in life support equipment are among the 10 least difficult tasks. Other below average difficulty tasks include performing station checks, preparing gunnery forms, and administering or scoring tests.

Table 24 lists representative tasks of average difficulty. Tasks of average difficulty includes such gunner tasks as performing fireout procedures, identifying fire control system malfunctions, and performing emergency procedures.

TABLE 22

THE 10 MOST DIFFICULT TASKS FOR 111X0 RESPONDENTS

| TASKS | TASK DIFFICULTY | PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING |
|---|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| A6 DEVELOP STANDARDIZATION, EVALUATION, OR INSPECTION PROCEDURES | 7.92 | 23 |
| C21 WRITE STAFF STUDIES, SURVEYS, OR SPECIAL REPORTS | 7.78 | 17 |
| A13 PLAN OR ORGANIZE COMBAT CREW TRAINING COURSES | 7.77 | 23 |
| D15 DEVELOP RESIDENT COURSE, CAREER DEVELOPMENT COURSE (CDC) CURRICULUM MATERIALS OR CONTINUATION TRAINING MATERIALS | 7.73 | 7 |
| A11 PLAN EMERGENCY WAR ORDER (EWO) EMPLOYMENT OF FIRE CONTROL SYSTEMS (FCS) | 7.67 | 15 |
| A8 DRAFT BUDGET OR FINANCIAL REQUIREMENTS | 7.50 | 6 |
| C7 EVALUATE INDIVIDUALS FOR PROMOTION, DEMOTION, OR RECLASSIFICATION | 7.38 | 14 |
| A9 ESTABLISH ORGANIZATIONAL POLICIES, OPERATING INSTRUCTIONS (OI), OR STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES (SOP) | 7.33 | 20 |
| C17 PERFORM STANDARDIZATION EVALUATIONS | 7.18 | 17 |
| A1 ACT AS TRAINING ADVISOR AT STAFF LEVEL | 7.12 | 21 |

TABLE 23
THE 10 LEAST DIFFICULT TASKS OF 111X0 RESPONDENTS

| TASKS | TASK DIFFICULTY | PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING |
|--|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| I62 PERFORM TERMINATE COUNTERMEASURES (TCM) PROCEDURES | 3.21 | 93 |
| M2 ATTEND DAILY ALERT BRIEFINGS | 3.20 | 72 |
| G1 ATTEND PREMISSION BRIEFINGS SUCH AS WEATHER BRIEFINGS, CELL FORMATION BRIEFINGS, OR MISSION BRIEFING REVIEWS | 3.12 | 89 |
| F8 PERFORM CREW INFORMATION FILE CHECKS | 2.87 | 76 |
| L25 TURN IN AIRCRAFT LIFE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 2.78 | 76 |
| F4 COORDINATE ORDERING OF AIRCREW FLIGHT LUNCHES WITH AIRCREW OR TRANSPORTATION AGENCIES | 2.76 | 94 |
| A3 ASSIGN SPONSORS FOR NEWLY ASSIGNED PERSONNEL | 2.69 | 7 |
| F5 COORDINATE AIR CREW TRANSPORTATION WITH AIRCREW OR TRANSPORTATION AGENCIES | 2.67 | 50 |
| L2 COLLECT OR TURN IN COFFEE JUGS, WATER JUGS, OR OVENS | 2.66 | 94 |
| G11 PICK UP OR INSPECT FLIGHT LUNCHES | 2.57 | 95 |

TABLE 24
 REPRESENTATIVE AVERAGE DIFFICULTY TASKS

| TASKS | TASK DIFFICULTY | PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING |
|---|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| I19 PERFORM STRANGE FIELD DISARMING PROCEDURES ON B-52G | 5.97 | 31 |
| N6 PERFORM B-52H FCS PROCEDURES ON T-1 SIMULATORS OR MOCKUPS | 5.90 | 34 |
| I47 PERFORM OR PRACTICE SCOPE AZIMUTH ADJUSTMENTS | 5.67 | 40 |
| I36 PERFORM FLIGHT TEST CRUISE PROCEDURES FOR PROCEDURE OR EQUIPMENT VALIDATIONS | 5.60 | 23 |
| K9 IDENTIFY FREQUENCY FAILURE MALFUNCTIONS ON B-52H | 5.56 | 34 |
| J28 IDENTIFY NO GRAVITY CORRECTION MALFUNCTIONS ON B-52G OR B-52D | 5.44 | 71 |
| E1 PREPARE ACCIDENT OR INCIDENT REPORTS | 5.35 | 11 |
| O22 PERFORM OR PRACTICE BAILOUT EJECTION SEAT PROCEDURES ON B-52G OR B-52H | 5.30 | 48 |
| J29 IDENTIFY NO LEAD CORRECTION MALFUNCTIONS ON B-52G OR B-52D | 5.26 | 73 |
| I46 PERFORM OR PRACTICE NORTH AMERICAN AIR DEFENSE PENETRATION EXERCISE CRUISE PROCEDURES | 5.15 | 40 |
| I32 PERFORM FIREOUT PROCEDURES ON B-52D OR B-52G | 5.07 | 63 |
| H10 PERFORM CELL JOIN-UP PROCEDURES ON B-52D OR B-52G | 4.86 | 71 |
| M4 PERFORM AIRCRAFT COCKING PROCEDURES ON B-52D | 4.79 | 18 |
| H22 PERFORM STATION KEEPING PROCEDURES ON B-52D OR B-52G | 4.66 | 45 |
| J47 IDENTIFY TOO MUCH NOISE ON B OR C SCOPE MALFUNCTIONS ON B-52G OR B-52D | 4.62 | 72 |
| I10 OPERATE ULTRA-HIGH FREQUENCY (UHF) RADIOS | 4.53 | 70 |
| K12 IDENTIFY INCORRECT ATA MINIMUM MALFUNCTIONS ON B-52H | 4.49 | 33 |
| I15 PERFORM AIR REFUELING PROCEDURES ON B-52G | 4.35 | 51 |
| H24 PERFORM TAKEOFF PROCEDURES ON B-52D | 4.22 | 25 |
| H14 PERFORM ENGINE START PROCEDURES ON B-52D | 4.03 | 23 |

JOB DIFFICULTY INDEX (JDI)

Having computed the task difficulty index for each item, it is now possible to compute the Job Difficulty Index (JDI) for the groups identified in the survey analysis. This index provides a relative measure of which jobs, when compared to all other jobs in the career field, are more or less difficult. An equation using the number of tasks performed and the average difficulty per unit spent as variables is the basis for the JDI index. The index ranges from 1 for very easy jobs to 25 for very difficult jobs. The indices are adjusted so the average job difficulty index is 13.00. The JDI was computed for the job types identified in the CAREER LADDER STRUCTURE.

Table 25 presents the JDIs for the career ladder groups. The JDIs for the B-52D, G and H gunner clusters are of primary interest here. The H-model cluster has the lowest JDI (11.4) of the three clusters, followed by the G-model cluster (12.3) and then the D-model cluster (13.9). Within each of the three cluster, JDIs for the manager job types are very similar. Thus the delineating differences among cluster JDIs comes primarily from the line gunner groups.

In examining tasks specific to each type of aircraft (i.e. B-52D, B-52G, B-52H), it was found that all three models had related tasks rated high in difficulty as well as tasks rated low in difficulty. Model-specific tasks from all B-52s were found to be of similar difficulty. Thus the differences in JDIs among line gunner job types and clusters is a reflection of the number of tasks the different gunner job types perform.

TABLE 25

JOB DIFFICULTY INDICES FOR FUNCTIONAL GROUPS

| <u>GROUP</u> | <u>JDI</u> |
|----------------------------------|------------|
| B-52D GUNNERS | 13.9 |
| B-52D WING MANAGERS | 19.1 |
| B-52D LINE GUNNERS | 13.4 |
| B-52G GUNNERS | 12.3 |
| B-52G WING MANAGERS | 17.2 |
| B-52G LINE GUNNERS | 11.7 |
| B-52 G & H MODEL INSTRUCTORS | 17.9 |
| UPGRADE MONITORS | 16.3 |
| B-52H GUNNERS | 11.4 |
| B-52H WING MANAGERS | 19.4 |
| B-52H LINE GUNNERS | 9.8 |
| B-52H SQUADRON GUNNERS | 17.0 |
| STAFF MANAGERS | 11.5 |

COMPARISON OF SURVEY DATA TO CAREER LADDER DOCUMENTS

AFR 39-1 Specialty Descriptions

Survey data were compared to the AFR 39-1 Specialty Descriptions, dated 1 June 1977. These descriptions are intended to give a broad overview of the duties and tasks various skill level personnel perform in the field.

Overall, the specialty job descriptions give a good, comprehensive overview of the jobs performed by career field members. Nevertheless, the 3- and 5-skill level description may warrant further consideration. Paragraph 2c of the 3- and 5-skill level description indicates that the 3-skill level incumbent "conducts on-the-job training in the operation of defensive aerial gunnery systems and allied components". However, as indicated in Table 26, almost no 3-skill level personnel have a major active part in training. Therefore, a revision or reorganization of the job specialty descriptions may provide a more precise delineation of 3- and 5-skill level jobs in this career field.

Specialty Training Standards

A review of the 111X0 STS was accomplished to compare task list items with the job standards for each DAFSC. In September 1978, personnel from the 4017th CCTS at Castle AFB and the 4018th CCTS at Carswell AFB cross-referenced the September, 1977 111X0 STS with the current inventory. Each of the STS sub-paragraphs containing task knowledge and performance requirements for the 3-skill levels were evaluated in terms of percent members performing related inventory tasks. STS paragraphs containing general information or having only subject matter knowledge were not evaluated. Overall, the STS provided a good overview of the jobs performed by 5-, 7- and 9-skill level personnel with no major discrepancies discovered.

However, the annotation of forms concerning mission accomplishment, simulator use, and standardization or evaluation may warrant inclusion in the STS as large percentages of the 5-, 7-, and 9-skill levels are working with such forms (See Table 27). Likewise, some ground simulation tasks and cruise and emergency procedure tasks may also warrant inclusion in the STS (See Table 27).

TABLE 26

PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING SELECTED TRAINING TASKS BY SKILL LEVELS

| TASKS | DAFSC | DAFSC | DAFSC | DAFSC |
|--|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 11130 (N=24) | 11150 (N=151) | 11170 (N=154) | 11196 (N=102) |
| D2 ADVISE UNIT GUNNERS OF LATEST EQUIPMENT MODIFICATIONS OR PROCEDURES | 0 | 11 | 33 | 64 |
| D5 CONDUCT CONTINUATION TRAINING | 0 | 16 | 28 | 58 |
| D6 CONDUCT INITIAL CONTINGENCY QUALIFICATION TRAINING | 0 | 5 | 14 | 18 |
| D7 CONDUCT INITIAL QUALIFICATION TRAINING | 0 | 5 | 29 | 31 |
| D8 CONDUCT INSTRUCTOR UPGRADE TRAINING | 0 | 5 | 19 | 41 |
| D12 COUNSEL STUDENTS ON TRAINING PROGRESS | 0 | 16 | 39 | 56 |
| D22 EVALUATE PROGRESS OF STUDENTS | 0 | 10 | 45 | 52 |
| D25 INITIATE OR DIRECT FLIGHT TRAINING PROGRAMS | 0 | 5 | 15 | 48 |
| D26 INITIATE OR DIRECT GROUND TRAINING PROGRAMS | 0 | 6 | 20 | 55 |
| D18 DEVELOP TESTS FOR EVALUATING AIRCREW TRAINING PROGRESS | 0 | 10 | 34 | 41 |
| D13 DEMONSTRATE HOW TO LOCATE TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS | 0 | 15 | 41 | 42 |

TABLE 27

TASKS PERFORMED BY DAFSC GROUPS BUT NOT INCLUDED IN THE STS
(PERCENT MEMBERS PERFORMING)

| TASKS | DAFSC | DAFSC | DAFSC |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| | 11150 | 11170 | 11190 |
| E27 UPDATE OR ANNOTATE MISSION ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT (MAR) FORMS | 61 | 72 | 74 |
| E29 UPDATE OR ANNOTATE SIMULATOR RECORD FORMS | 27 | 40 | 41 |
| E31 UPDATE OR ANNOTATE STUDENT EVALUATION FORMS | 10 | 40 | 38 |
| E32 UPDATE OR ANNOTATE TRAINING ACCOMPLISHMENT AND PROGRESS REPORT (TAPR) | 22 | 53 | 63 |
| I9 OPERATE HIGH FREQUENCY (HF) RADIOS | 63 | 61 | 66 |
| I10 OPERATE ULTRA-HIGH FREQUENCY (UHF) RADIOS | 71 | 75 | 69 |
| I65 PERFORM WPR CHECKLIST PROCEDURES FOR NUCLEAR WEAPONS ON B-52G OR B-52H | 54 | 56 | 43 |
| N3 PARTICIPATE IN MONTHLY SAFETY MEETINGS | 87 | 90 | 82 |
| N9 PERFORM NAVAL VESSEL RECOGNITION PRACTICE | 39 | 34 | 29 |
| N10 PERFORM OR PRACTICE LIFE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT OPERATING PROCEDURES | 85 | 81 | 84 |
| O25 PERFORM OR PRACTICE EMERGENCY BOMB RELEASE PROCEDURES | 47 | 42 | 40 |
| O28 PERFORM OR PRACTICE EMERGENCY MANUAL OPERATION OF AIR REFUELING VALVES | 34 | 37 | 42 |

DISCUSSION

↘ This analysis of the defensive aerial gunner is the first such survey of the career field to be completed. Generally, defensive aerial gunners spend a majority of their time in flying or alert related tasks and duties, with the only exception to the rule being gunners in management positions. Primarily, the gunners organize around the model B-52 they fly in and the fire control system they operate. As gunners advance to upper DAFSC groups and more senior TAFMS groups, the amount of time they spend flying decreases slowly as they pick up instructional, supervisory, and managerial duties. ↙

Career field documents, the AFR 39-1 Specialty Descriptions and the Specialty Training Standard (STS), are good supporting documents although both warrant additional attention. The documents are generally accurate in describing the tasks performed and the job groups identified.

Job satisfaction data for the career field, in terms of job interest, perceived utilization of talents and training, and reenlistment intentions approximate normative data for this area but varies widely among the functional job groups identified. Specifically, job satisfaction data for line gunner groups in all the B-52 gunner clusters are lower than the remainder of the career field groups and the normative data for this area.

The career field is a very stable one and need not be resurveyed in the near future.

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE GROUP ID NUMBER 8-250 WORKERS

PERCENT OF SAMPLE 100

NUMBER IN GROUP 100

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS

TASKS

- 101 THROUGH INTERIOR INSPECTION OF 8-250 GROUP COMPONENTS
- 102 THROUGH ENGINE START PROCEDURE ON 8-250
- 103 THROUGH BOWS SEAL PROCEEDURE ON 8-250 OR 8-252
- 104 THROUGH WHEELSET WEAR/INSPECTION ON 8-250
- 105 THROUGH STREET VEHICLE DRIVING PROCEDURE ON 8-250

TIME SPENT ON DUTY

AVERAGE TIME SPENT
BY ALL MEMBERS

DUTY

| DUTY | AVERAGE TIME SPENT BY ALL MEMBERS |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1. IDENTIFYING NO. 4 AND NO. 15 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM (FCS) MANUFUNCTION | 15 |
| 2. PERFORMING CHECKS ON TV LEVEL PROBLEMS | 15 |
| 3. PERFORMING EMERGENCY LANDING AND EJECTMENT PROCEDURES | 5 |
| 4. MISSING PLANNING | 5 |
| 5. PERFORMING SWAY/ROLL, TOWERS, AND OTHER PROCEDURES | 5 |
| 6. PERFORMING ASSET TRENCHING | 5 |
| 7. PERFORMING ABNORMAL AND EMERGENCY PROCEDURES | 5 |
| 8. PERFORMING EJECTMENT PROCEDURES | 5 |
| 9. PERFORMING RETURNING GROUP SQUADATION PROCEDURES | 5 |

APPENDIX A

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP019, B-52D GUNNERS

NUMBER IN GROUP: 103

PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 23%

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

- G6 PERFORM INTERIOR INSPECTION ON B-52D GUNNER COMPARTMENTS
- H4 PERFORM BEFORE ENGINE START PROCEDURES ON B-52D
- I19 PERFORM BONUS DEAL PROCEDURES ON B-52D OR B-52G
- L13 PERFORM POSTFLIGHT WALKAROUND INSPECTIONS ON B-52D
- L18 PERFORM STRANGE FIELD DISARMING PROCEDURES ON B-52D

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

DUTY

AVERAGE TIME SPENT
BY ALL MEMBERS

| | | |
|---|---|----|
| J | IDENTIFYING MD-9 AND ASG-15 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM (FCS) MALFUNCTIONS | 23 |
| I | PERFORMING CRUISE OR LOW LEVEL PROCEDURES | 15 |
| L | PERFORMING DESCENT, LANDING, AND POSTFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 9 |
| F | MISSION PLANNING | 8 |
| H | PERFORMING PRETAKEOFF, TAKEOFF, AND CLIMB PROCEDURES | 8 |
| M | PERFORMING ALERT PROCEDURES | 7 |
| O | PERFORMING ABNORMAL AND EMERGENCY PROCEDURES | 7 |
| G | PERFORMING PREFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 5 |
| N | PERFORMING RECURRING GROUND SIMULATION PROCEDURES | 4 |

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP051, B-52D MANAGERS

NUMBER IN GROUP: 11

PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 2%

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

- A9 ESTABLISH ORGANIZATIONAL POLICIES, OPERATING INSTRUCTIONS (OI), OR STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES (SOP)
- B2 CONDUCT OR PARTICIPATE IN STAFF MEETINGS
- B9 DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE
- D2 ADVISE UNIT GUNNERS OF LATEST EQUIPMENT MODIFICATIONS OR PROCEDURES
- E16 PREPARE RECOMMENDATION FOR CHANGE OF PUBLICATION (FLIGHT PUBLICATIONS) FORMS (AF FORM 847)
- L7 PERFORM AFTER LANDING PROCEDURES ON B-52D

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

DUTY

AVERAGE TIME SPENT
BY ALL MEMBERS

| | | |
|---|--|----|
| J | IDENTIFYING MD-9 AND ASG-15 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM (FCS) MALFUNCTIONS | 16 |
| I | PERFORMING CRUISE OR LOW LEVEL PROCEDURES | 12 |
| D | TRAINING | 12 |
| E | PREPARING AND MAINTAINING FORMS, RECORDS, AND REPORTS | 8 |
| O | PERFORMING ABNORMAL AND EMERGENCY PROCEDURES | 7 |
| F | MISSION PLANNING | 7 |
| L | PERFORMING DESCENT, LANDING, AND POSTFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 7 |
| B | DIRECTING AND IMPLEMENTING | 7 |
| H | PERFORMING PRETAKEOFF, TAKEOFF, AND CLIMB PROCEDURES | 6 |
| A | ORGANIZING AND PLANNING | 5 |

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP046, B-52D LINE GUNNERS

NUMBER IN GROUP: 87

PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 20%

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

- G4 PERFORM AMMUNITION ACCESS BAY OR DOOR CHECKS ON B-52D
- H1 PERFORM AFTER ENGINE START/BEFORE TAKEOFF PROCEDURES ON B-52D
- H18 PERFORM OR REPORT CABIN PRESSURIZATION CHECKS ON B-52D
- I9 OPERATE B-52D FCS IN NORMAL MODES
- L21 PERFORM VISUAL CLEARING OR RADAR MONITORING PROCEDURES OF AIRCRAFT DURING TAXIING OR TOUCH AND GO LANDINGS ON B-52D

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

DUTY

AVERAGE TIME SPENT
BY ALL MEMBERS

| | | |
|---|--|----|
| J | IDENTIFYING MD-9 AND ASG-15 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM (FCS) MALFUNCTIONS | 25 |
| I | PERFORMING CRUISE OR LOW LEVEL PROCEDURES | 15 |
| L | PERFORMING DESCENT, LANDING, AND POSTFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 9 |
| M | PERFORMING ALERT PROCEDURES | 9 |
| F | MISSION PLANNING | 8 |
| H | PERFORMING PRETAKEOFF, TAKEOFF, AND CLIMB PROCEDURES | 8 |
| O | PERFORMING ABNORMAL AND EMERGENCY PROCEDURES | 7 |
| G | PERFORMING PREFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 5 |
| N | PERFORMING RECURRING GROUND SIMULATION PROCEDURES | 4 |

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP025, B-52G GUNNERS

NUMBER IN GROUP: 158

PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 36%

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

- G7 PERFORM INTERIOR INSPECTION ON B-52G
- H2 PERFORM AFTER ENGINE START/BEFORE TAKEOFF PROCEDURES ON B-52G
- H13 PERFORM CLIMB PROCEDURES ON B-52G OR B-52H
- I4 OPERATE B-52G FCS IN ALTERNATE MODES
- L8 PARTICIPATE IN CREW OPERATIONS DEBRIEFINGS

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

DUTY

AVERAGE TIME SPENT
BY ALL MEMBERS

| | | |
|---|--|----|
| J | IDENTIFYING MD-9 AND ASG-15 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM (FCS) MALFUNCTIONS | 24 |
| I | PERFORMING CRUISE OR LOW LEVEL PROCEDURES | 15 |
| L | PERFORMING DESCENT, LANDING, AND POSTFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 10 |
| M | PERFORMING ALERT PROCEDURES | 9 |
| F | MISSION PLANNING | 9 |
| H | PERFORMING PRETAKEOFF, TAKEOFF, AND CLIMB PROCEDURES | 6 |
| G | PERFORMING PREFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 5 |
| O | PERFORMING ABNORMAL AND EMERGENCY PROCEDURES | 5 |
| N | PERFORMING RECURRING GROUND SIMULATION PROCEDURES | 4 |

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP043, B-52G MANAGERS

NUMBER IN GROUP: 15

PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 3%

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

B2 CONDUCT OR PARTICIPATE IN STAFF MEETINGS
B12 IMPLEMENT COST REDUCTION PROGRAMS
C1 ANALYZE MISSION REQUIREMENTS
D10 CONDUCT CONFERENCES OR BRIEFINGS
D27 PERFORM TRAINING CRITIQUES
L11 PERFORM FCS POSTFLIGHT PROCEDURES ON B-52G

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

DUTY

AVERAGE TIME SPENT
BY ALL MEMBERS

| | | |
|---|--|----|
| J | IDENTIFYING MD-9 AND ASG-15 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM (FCS) MALFUNCTIONS | 24 |
| I | PERFORMING CRUISE OR LOW LEVEL PROCEDURES | 10 |
| D | TRAINING | 10 |
| L | PERFORMING DESCENT, LANDING, AND POSTFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 8 |
| F | MISSION PLANNING | 7 |
| O | PERFORMING ABNORMAL AND EMERGENCY PROCEDURES | 7 |
| B | DIRECTING AND IMPLEMENTING | 6 |
| E | PREPARING AND MAINTAINING FORMS, RECORDS, AND REPORTS | 6 |
| A | ORGANIZING AND PLANNING | 5 |

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP045, B-52G LINE GUNNERS

NUMBER IN GROUP: 140

PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 32%

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

- H5 PERFORM BEFORE ENGINE START PROCEDURES ON B-52G
- H16 PERFORM EQUIPMENT INTERFERENCE CHECKS ON B-52G
- I6 OPERATE B-52G FCS IN NORMAL MODES
- I12 PERFORM AFTER LEVEL OFF OR ON WATCH PROCEDURES ON B-52G
- M5 PERFORM AIRCRAFT COCKING PROCEDURES ON B-52G

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

DUTY

AVERAGE TIME SPENT
BY ALL MEMBERS

| | | |
|---|---|----|
| J | IDENTIFYING MD-9 AND ASG-15 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM (FCS) MALFUNCTIONS | 24 |
| I | PERFORMING CRUISE OR LOW LEVEL PROCEDURES | 15 |
| M | PERFORMING ALERT PROCEDURES | 10 |
| L | PERFORMING DESCENT, LANDING, AND POSTFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 10 |
| F | MISSION PLANNING | 10 |
| H | PERFORMING PRETAKEOFF, TAKEOFF, AND CLIMB PROCEDURES | 9 |
| G | PERFORMING PREFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 7 |
| O | PERFORMING ABNORMAL AND EMERGENCY PROCEDURES | 6 |
| N | PERFORMING RECURRING GROUND SIMULATION PROCEDURES | 5 |

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP029, B-52G&H INSTRUCTORS

NUMBER IN GROUP: 49

PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 11%

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

- D1 ADMINISTER OR SCORE TESTS
- D20 EVALUATE LESSON PLANS FOR COMBAT CREW TRAINING COURSES
- D27 PERFORM TRAINING CRITIQUES
- I13 PERFORM AFTER LEVEL OFF OR ON WATCH PROCEDURES ON B-52H
- I17 PERFORM AIR REFUELING PROCEDURES ON UNMODIFIED B-52H

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

DUTY

AVERAGE TIME SPENT
BY ALL MEMBERS

| | | |
|---|--|----|
| J | IDENTIFYING MD-9 AND ASG-15 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM (FCS) MALFUNCTIONS | 20 |
| I | PERFORMING CRUISE OR LOW LEVEL PROCEDURES | 18 |
| K | IDENTIFYING ASG-21 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM (FCS) MALFUNCTIONS | 11 |
| L | PERFORMING DESCENT, LANDING, AND POSTFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 8 |
| D | TRAINING | 8 |
| H | PERFORMING PRETAKEOFF, TAKEOFF, AND CLIMB PROCEDURES | 7 |
| F | MISSION PLANNING | 5 |
| E | PREPARING AND MAINTAINING FORMS, RECORDS, AND REPORTS | 4 |
| G | PERFORMING PREFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 4 |

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP013, UPGRADE MONITORS

NUMBER IN GROUP: 13

PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 3%

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

- B2 CONDUCT OR PARTICIPATE IN STAFF MEETINGS
- B21 PREPARE OR INDORSE AIRMAN PERFORMANCE REPORTS (APR)
- B9 DRAFT CORRESPONDENCE
- E13 PREPARE TRAINING RECORDS, FORMS, OR REPORTS
- E24 PREPARE WORK ORDERS OR REPORTS

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

DUTY

AVERAGE TIME SPENT
BY ALL MEMBERS

| | | |
|---|---|----|
| D | TRAINING | 17 |
| J | IDENTIFYING MD-9 AND ASG-15 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM (FCS) MALFUNCTIONS | 14 |
| A | ORGANIZING AND PLANNING | 12 |
| E | PREPARING AND MAINTAINING FORMS, RECORDS, AND REPORTS | 11 |
| B | DIRECTING AND IMPLEMENTING | 10 |
| I | PERFORMING CRUISE OR LOW LEVEL PROCEDURES | 7 |
| F | MISSION PLANNING | 8 |
| C | INSPECTING AND EVALUATING | 5 |
| L | PERFORMING DESCENT, LANDING, AND POSTFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 4 |
| N | PERFORMING RECURRING GROUND SIMULATION PROCEDURES | 4 |

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP012, B-52H GUNNERS

NUMBER IN GROUP: 104

PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 23%

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

- I39 PERFORM HHCL PROCEDURES ON B-52H
- I58 PERFORM SIMULATED CONTINGENCY COMBAT ENVIRONMENT EXERCISE CRUISE PROCEDURES ON B-52H
- I68 SELECT OPTIMUM MODE FOR B-52H FCS OPERATION
- K13 IDENTIFY LOCK-ON OCCURS TO BOTTOM OR TOP OF SCOPE MALFUNCTIONS ON B-52H
- K21 IDENTIFY ONE RANGE SWEEP NOT VISIBLE OR VIDEO LOSS MALFUNCTIONS ON B-52H

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

| <u>DUTY</u> | <u>AVERAGE TIME SPENT BY ALL MEMBERS</u> |
|---|--|
| I PERFORMING CRUISE OR LOW LEVEL PROCEDURES | 20 |
| K IDENTIFYING ASG-21 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM (FCS) MALFUNCTIONS | 17 |
| L PERFORMING DESCENT, LANDING, AND POSTFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 9 |
| M PERFORMING ALERT PROCEDURES | 9 |
| F MISSION PLANNING | 9 |
| H PERFORMING PRETAKEOFF, TAKEOFF, AND CLIMB PROCEDURES | 6 |
| G PERFORMING PREFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 5 |
| O PERFORMING ABNORMAL AND EMERGENCY PROCEDURES | 5 |
| D TRAINING | 4 |

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP020, B-52H WING MANAGERS

NUMBER IN GROUP: 10

PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 2%

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

- A1 ACT AS TRAINING ADVISOR AT STAFF LEVEL
- A5 DETERMINE MISSION PRIORITIES
- D22 EVALUATE PROGRESS OF STUDENTS
- F7 PARTICIPATE IN GENERAL OR SPECIALIZED MISSION BRIEFINGS
- L12 PERFORM FCS POSTFLIGHT PROCEDURES ON B-52H

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

DUTY

AVERAGE TIME SPENT
BY ALL MEMBERS

| | | |
|---|---|----|
| D | TRAINING | 18 |
| I | PERFORMING CRUISE OR LOW LEVEL PROCEDURES | 11 |
| A | ORGANIZING AND PLANNING | 10 |
| B | DIRECTING AND IMPLEMENTING | 9 |
| E | PREPARING AND MAINTAINING FORMS, RECORDS, AND REPORTS | 8 |
| F | MISSION PLANNING | 8 |
| K | IDENTIFYING ASG-21 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM (FCS) MALFUNCTIONS | 7 |
| C | INSPECTING AND EVALUATING | 6 |
| L | PERFORMING DESCENT, LANDING, AND POSTFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 5 |

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP061, B-52H LINE GUNNERS

NUMBER IN GROUP: 80

PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 18%

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

- I33 PERFORM FIREOUT PROCEDURES ON B-52H
- I61 PERFORM STATION CHECKS ON B-52H
- K1 IDENTIFY ANTENNA FAILURE TO MOVE TO DETECT TARGET MALFUNCTIONS ON B-52H
- K8 IDENTIFY EXCESSIVE NOISE PRESENTATION MALFUNCTIONS ON B-52H
- K15 IDENTIFY LOW PRESSURE MALFUNCTIONS ON B-52H

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

DUTY

AVERAGE TIME SPENT
BY ALL MEMBERS

| | | |
|---|---|----|
| I | PERFORMING CRUISE OR LOW LEVEL PROCEDURES | 21 |
| K | IDENTIFYING ASG-21 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM (FCS) MALFUNCTIONS | 18 |
| M | PERFORMING ALERT PROCEDURES | 11 |
| L | PERFORMING DESCENT, LANDING, AND POSTFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 10 |
| F | MISSION PLANNING | 10 |
| H | PERFORMING PRETAKEOFF, TAKEOFF, AND CLIMB PROCEDURES | 7 |
| G | PERFORMING PREFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 6 |
| O | PERFORMING ABNORMAL AND EMERGENCY PROCEDURES | 5 |
| N | PERFORMING RECURRING GROUND SIMULATION PROCEDURES | 4 |

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP070, SQUADRON MANAGERS

NUMBER IN GROUP: 10

PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 2%

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

- A14 PLAN OR PREPARE BRIEFINGS
- E26 UPDATE OR ANNOTATE AIRCRAFT FORMS
- F13 REVIEW BOMB RUN TIMING OR OFFSET CHECKS WITH NAVIGATORS
- K10 IDENTIFY FAILURE OF FUTURE RANGE YARDS METER MALFUNCTIONS ON B-52H
- K17 IDENTIFY MANUAL GATE WIDTH MALFUNCTIONS ON B-52H

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

| <u>DUTY</u> | <u>AVERAGE TIME SPENT BY ALL MEMBERS</u> |
|---|--|
| I PERFORMING CRUISE OR LOW LEVEL PROCEDURES | 17 |
| K IDENTIFYING ASG-21 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM (FCS) MALFUNCTIONS | 13 |
| D TRAINING | 10 |
| F MISSION PLANNING | 8 |
| L PERFORMING DESCENT, LANDING, AND POSTFLIGHT PROCEDURES | 8 |
| E PREPARING AND MAINTAINING FORMS, RECORDS, AND REPORTS | 7 |
| O PERFORMING ABNORMAL AND EMERGENCY PROCEDURES | 7 |
| A ORGANIZING AND PLANNING | 5 |
| H PERFORMING PRETAKEOFF, TAKEOFF, AND CLIMB PROCEDURES | 5 |
| B DIRECTING AND IMPLEMENTING | 5 |

GROUP ID NUMBER AND TITLE: GRP006, STAFF MANAGERS

NUMBER IN GROUP: 6

PERCENT OF SAMPLE: 1%

GROUP DIFFERENTIATING TASKS:

TASKS

- B5 DEVELOP OR IMPROVE OPERATIONAL METHODS OR PROCEDURES
- B10 DRAFT OR REVISE JOB DESCRIPTIONS
- B13 IMPLEMENT RESOURCES PROTECTION PROGRAMS
- C3 EVALUATE ADMINISTRATIVE FORMS, FILES, OR PROCEDURES
- C21 WRITE STAFF STUDIES, SURVEYS, OR SPECIAL REPORTS

TIME SPENT ON DUTIES:

DUTY

AVERAGE TIME SPENT
BY ALL MEMBERS

| | | |
|---|---|----|
| B | DIRECTING AND IMPLEMENTING | 27 |
| A | ORGANIZING AND PLANNING | 22 |
| C | INSPECTING AND EVALUATING | 20 |
| D | TRAINING | 19 |
| E | PREPARING AND MAINTAINING FORMS, RECORDS, AND REPORTS | 9 |