

AD-A066 563

CALIFORNIA UNIV BERKELEY OPERATIONS RESEARCH CENTER
ON THE DURATION OF THE PROBLEM OF THE POINTS.(U)

F/G 12/1

NOV 78 S M ROSS, M SHAHSHAHANI, G WEISS

N00014-77-C-0299

UNCLASSIFIED

ORC-78-21

NL

OF

AD
A066563



END
DATE
FILMED
5-79
DOC

LEVEL

ORC 78-21
NOVEMBER 1978

(12)


ON THE DURATION OF THE PROBLEM OF THE POINTS

by
SHELDON M. ROSS, MEHRDAD SHAHSAHANI and GIDEON WEISS

AD A0 66563

DDC FILE COPY

DDC
RECEIVED
MAR 29 1979
ALBANY
C



**OPERATIONS
RESEARCH
CENTER**

This document has been approved
for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA • BERKELEY

79 03 27 114

12

ON THE DURATION OF THE PROBLEM OF THE POINTS

by


Sheldon M. Ross
Department of Industrial Engineering
and Operations Research
University of California, Berkeley

and

Mehrdad Shahshahani
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, California

and

Gideon Weiss
Department of Statistics
Tel-Aviv University
Tel-Aviv, Israel



This document has been approved
for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited.

NOVEMBER 1978

ORC 78-21

This research has been partially supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFSC), USAF, under Grant AFOSR-77-3213 and the Office of Naval Research under Contract N00014-77-C-0299 with the University of California. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

79 03 27 114

61102F

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER (14) ORC-78-21 ✓	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) (6) ON THE DURATION OF THE PROBLEM OF THE POINTS		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED (9) Research Report
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) (10) Sheldon M. Ross, Mehrdad Shahshahani and Gideon Weiss		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) AFOSR-77-3213 ✓
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Operations Research Center University of California Berkeley, California 94720		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS (10) 2304/AS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS United States Air Force Air Force Office of Scientific Research Bolling AFB, D.C. 20332		12. REPORT DATE (11) November 1978
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) (12) 14p.		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 13
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Also supported by the Office of Naval Research under Contract (15) N00014-77-C-0299, AFOSR-77-3213		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Problem of the Points Duration of Play Increasing Failure Rate Schur Convex		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) (SEE ABSTRACT)		

US

ACCESSION for	
NTIS	White Section ☒
DOC	Blue Section ☐
UNANNOUNCED	☐
JUSTIFICATION	
BY	
DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY TYPES	
NOV 1967	
A	

ABSTRACT

We consider an r -player version of the famous problem of the points which was the stimulus for the correspondence between Pascal and Fermat in the seventeenth century. At each play of a game, exactly one of the players wins a point - player i winning with probability p_i . The game ends the first time a player has accumulated his required number of points - this requirement being n_i for player i . Our main result is to show that N , the total number of plays, is an increasing failure rate random variable. In addition, we prove some Schur convexity results regarding $P(N \leq k)$ as a function of p (for $n_i \equiv n$) and as a function of $\frac{n}{p_i}$ (for $p_i \equiv 1/r$).

201

ON THE DURATION OF THE PROBLEM OF THE POINTS

by

Sheldon M. Ross, Mehrdad Shahshahani and Gideon Weiss

0. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

We are given an r -sided coin and numbers $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r$ along with instructions to continue to flip the coin until side i has appeared n_i times for at least one i . Each flip of the coin is assumed, independently of other flips, to land on side i with probability p_i . Let the random variable N denote the number of flips that are performed. We are interested in studying the properties of N .

In Section 1, we derive expressions for the mean and variance of N and in Section 2 we show that N has the increasing failure rate property--namely that $P\{N = k + 1 \mid N > k\}$ is monotone nondecreasing in k , $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. In Section 3, we show that $P\{N \leq k\}$ is a Schur convex function of $(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ when $p_i \equiv 1/r$ and is a Schur concave function of $(p_1, \dots, p_r)$ when $n_i \equiv n$.

1. MEAN AND VARIANCE OF N

Assume that the flips are not performed at fixed times but rather at times chosen in accordance with a Poisson process with rate $\lambda = 1$. In addition, let us imagine that this process of coin-flipping continues indefinitely (even after some side has appeared the required number of times). Letting T_i denote the time of the n_i th appearance of side i , then T , the length of time of the experiment, can be expressed as

$$(1) \quad T = \min_{i=1, \dots, r} T_i.$$

Now it follows from well-known facts about the Poisson process that the T_i are independent gamma random variables with respective parameters (n_i, p_i) , $i = 1, \dots, r$. Hence,

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} E[T] &= \int_0^{\infty} P(T > t) dt \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^r \int_t^{\infty} p_i e^{-p_i s} \frac{(p_i s)^{n_i-1}}{(n_i-1)!} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now the relationship between T and N , the number of flips required, is that

$$(3) \quad T = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$$

where the X_i are independent exponential random variables having rate 1 which are also independent of N . Thus, from (3), we have

$$E[T] = E[N]$$

which in conjunction with (2) yields an expression for $E[N]$.

The variance of N can also be obtained in a similar fashion. Namely from (3), upon conditioning, we obtain

$$\text{Var } [T] = E[N] \text{ Var } [X] + E^2[X] \text{ Var } [N]$$

implying that .

$$\text{Var } [N] = \text{Var } [T] - E[N]$$

and $\text{Var } [T]$ can be obtained from the representation (2).

2. N IS AN INCREASING FAILURE RATE (IFR) RANDOM VARIABLE

Theorem:

N is an increasing failure rate random variable in the sense that

$$P\{N = k + 1 \mid N > k\} \text{ is nondecreasing in } k, k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Proof:

The proof is by induction on r . For $r = 1$, $N = n_1$ is constant and hence is IFR. We now assume IFR for $r - 1$; in particular, we assume that N' , the number of required flips for an experiment with a coin having sides $2, \dots, r$, integers $n_2, \dots, n_r$, and probabilities $\frac{p_2}{1 - p_1}, \dots, \frac{p_r}{1 - p_1}$, is IFR.

Letting D_k denote the side obtained on the k th flip and $X_i(k)$ the number of occurrences of side i in the first k flips, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} P(N = k + 1 \mid N > k) &= \sum_{i=1}^r P(D_{k+1} = i, X_i(k) = n_i - 1 \mid N > k) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r p_i P(X_i(k) = n_i - 1 \mid N > k) \end{aligned}$$

since D_{k+1} is independent of $X_i(k)$ and of the event $N > k$.

Thus, it is enough to show that for all i , $P(X_i(k) = n_i - 1 \mid N > k)$ is nondecreasing in k . Obviously, it is enough to consider $i = 1$, and as $P(X_1(k) = n_1 - 1 \mid N > k) = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n_1 - 2$, we need only consider $k \geq n_1 - 1$.

We use the definition of N' to write

$$\begin{aligned}
P(X_1(k) = n_1 - 1 \mid N > k) &= \frac{P(X_1(k) = n_1 - 1, N > k)}{\sum_{j=0}^{n_1-1} P(X_1(k) = j, N > k)} \\
&= \frac{P(X_1(k) = n_1 - 1)P(N > k \mid X_1(k) = n_1 - 1)}{\sum_{j=0}^{n_1-1} P(X_1(k) = j)P(N > k \mid X_1(k) = j)} \\
&= \frac{P(X_1(k) = n_1 - 1)P(N' > k - n_1 + 1)}{\sum_{j=0}^{n_1-1} P(X_1(k) = j)P(N' > k - j)}.
\end{aligned}$$

To show that this expression is nondecreasing in k , it is enough to show that for $0 \leq j \leq n_1 - 1$,

$$\frac{P(X_1(k) = j)P(N' > k - j)}{P(X_1(k) = n_1 - 1)P(N' > k - n_1 + 1)}$$

is nonincreasing in k (where $k \geq n_1 - 1$).

The assumption that N' is IFR implies $P(N' > k - j)/P(N' > k - n_1 + 1)$ is nonincreasing in k . Finally, for $0 \leq j \leq n_1 - 1 \leq k$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{P(X_1(k) = j)}{P(X_1(k) = n_1 - 1)} &= \frac{\binom{k}{j} p_1^j (1 - p_1)^{k-j}}{\binom{k}{n_1-1} p_1^{n_1-1} (1 - p_1)^{k-n_1+1}} \\
&= \left(\frac{1 - p_1}{p_1} \right)^{n_1-1-j} \frac{(n_1 - 1)!(k - n_1 + 1)!}{j!(k - j)!}
\end{aligned}$$

is immediately seen to be nonincreasing in k . ||

3. SCHUR CONVEXITY OF $P\{N \leq k\}$

For an r vector $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$, we denote by $x_{(i)}$ the i^{th} largest component of $\underline{x}$. We say that the permutation invariant function f is a Schur convex function if $f(\underline{x}) \geq f(\underline{y})$ whenever $\underline{x}$ majorizes $\underline{y}$ (written $\underline{x} \succeq \underline{y}$) where $\underline{x} \succeq \underline{y}$ if $\sum_{i=1}^j x_{(i)} \geq \sum_{i=1}^j y_{(i)}$, $j = 1, \dots, r-1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^r x_{(i)} = \sum_{i=1}^r y_{(i)}$. If the inequality between $f(\underline{x})$ and $f(\underline{y})$ is reversed, we say that f is Schur concave.

Proposition 1:

If $n_i \equiv n$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, then $P\{N \leq k\}$ is a Schur convex function of $\underline{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_r)$ for each k .

Proof:

Consider first the case when r equals 2. Then

$$P\{N \leq k\} = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq k < n \\ \sum_{j=n}^k \binom{k}{j} [p^j (1-p)^{k-j} + (1-p)^j p^{k-j}] , & n \leq k < 2n-1 \\ 1, & 2n-1 \leq k. \end{cases}$$

Differentiating with respect to p , when $n \leq k < 2n-1$, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dp} P\{N \leq k\} = k \binom{k-1}{n-1} [P(1-p)]^{k-n} [p^{2n-1-k} - (1-p)^{2n-1-k}]$$

which is positive for $p > 1/2$ thus implying that $P\{N \leq k\}$ is Schur convex when $r = 2$.

For the case of general r , it is well known (see [1], p. 47) that it suffices to show that

$$P\{N(p_1, \dots, p_r) \leq k\} \geq P\{N(q_1, q_2, p_3, \dots, p_r) \leq k\}$$

when $p_1 \geq p_2$, $q_1 \geq q_2$, $p_1 > q_1$, $p_1 + p_2 = q_1 + q_2$. Now, let us suppose that the two experiments (the first in which the outcomes occur with probabilities $(p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_r)$ and the other in which they occur with probabilities $(q_1, q_2, p_3, \dots, p_r)$) are performed by first flipping a coin having $r - 1$ possible outcomes with probabilities $(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \dots, p_r)$. If the outcome having probability p_i , $i = 3, \dots, r$ occurs, then we say that outcome i was the result for both experiments. If the outcome having probability $p_1 + p_2$ occurs, then for experiment 1 we determine its outcome (either 1 or 2) by flipping a coin having respective probabilities $\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}$ and $\frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2}$; whereas in experiment 2 we flip a coin whose probabilities are $\frac{q_1}{q_1 + q_2}$ and $\frac{q_2}{q_1 + q_2}$. Now, by conditioning on the number of the first k flips that the coin (with $r - 1$ possible outcomes) results in outcome 1, we reduce the problem to the case $r = 2$, and so the proof is complete. ||

Let us assume that the p_i are constant, then there is also a Schur result when the n_i are allowed to be distinct.

Proposition 2:

If $p_i = 1/r$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, then $P\{N \leq k\}$ is a Schur convex function of $\underline{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_r)$ for each k .

Proof:

Again consider first the case when $r = 2$. As

$$P\{N \leq k\} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k \left[\sum_{j=n_1}^k \binom{k}{j} + \sum_{j=n_2}^k \binom{k}{j} \right], \quad k \leq n_1 + n_2 - 1$$

we must show that

$$\sum_{j=n_1}^k \binom{k}{j} + \sum_{j=n_2}^k \binom{k}{j} \geq \sum_{j=n_1-1}^k \binom{k}{j} + \sum_{j=n_2+1}^k \binom{k}{j}$$

where $k \leq n_1 + n_2 - 1$, $n_1 > n_2$. The above inequality reduces to

$$\binom{k}{n_2} \geq \binom{k}{n_1 - 1}$$

which is easily verified to hold under the above conditions. The general case follows exactly as in Proposition 1.||

4. FINAL COMMENTS

The model considered has applications in reliability theory. Namely, consider an r component system in which each component is subject to shocks. Every shock affects exactly one of the components--it affects component i with probability p_i . Component i can absorb at most $n_i - 1$ shocks before failing (one possibility being that with probability p_i a shock knocks out the component in position i for which there are a total of $n_i - 1$ spares). Assuming that the system structure is a series structure which means that the system is failed when at least one component is failed, it follows that N represents the number of shocks required to cause system failure.

Of course, the model is an r -player version of the famous problem of the points which was the stimulus for the interchange of letters between Pascal and Fermat in the seventeenth century. They were mainly concerned with the probability of each player winning when $n = 2$ (winning means that n_1 type 1 events occur before n_2 type 2 events). In the r -player version, the probability of player j winning can be expressed as

$$P\{j \text{ wins}\} = P\{T_j = \min (T_1, \dots, T_r)\}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{p_i^{n_i}}{(n_i - 1)!} \int_0^\infty \left[\prod_{i \neq j} \int_t^\infty e^{-p_i s} s^{n_i-1} ds \right] e^{-p_j t} t^{n_j-1} dt .$$

REFERENCE

- [1] Hardy, G. H., J. E. Littlewood and G. Polya, *INEQUALITIES*,
Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, MA, 1952.