AD-A067 760 MASSACHUSETTS INST OF TECH CAMBRIDGE DEPT OF CHEMISTRY F/G 7/3
THE PREPARATION OF SUBSTITUTED ALLYLLITHIUM REAGENTS FROM ALLYL--ETC(U)
APR 79 D SEYFERTH, R E MAMMARELLA N00014-76-C-0837
UNCLASSIFIED TR-3 NL

| OF |
AD
AD67760

END
DATE
FILMED
6-79
DDC


1.0
1.1
1.25
1.4
1.6
1.8
2.0
2.2
2.5
2.8
3.2
3.6
4.0
4.5
5.0
5.6
MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

(12) LEVEL II

SC

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

(15) CONTRACT N00014-76-C-0837

Task No. NR 053-618

(14) TR-3

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 3

(6) THE PREPARATION OF SUBSTITUTED ALLYLLITHIUM REAGENTS FROM ALLYLTIN COMPOUNDS BY TRANSMETALATION.

(9) Interim Rept.,

by

(10) Dietmar Seyferth and Robert E. Mammarella

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Department of Chemistry, 18-411
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Prepared for Publication

in the

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry

(11) 10 April 1979

(12) 28 p.

220 907

THE PREPARATION OF SUBSTITUTED ALLYLLITHIUM REAGENTS FROM ALLYLTIN COMPOUNDS BY TRANSMETALATION[#]

Dietmar Seyferth[*] and Robert E. Mammarella

Department of Chemistry
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 (USA)

Dedicated to Professor Henri Normant
on the occasion of his 72nd birthday,
June 25, 1979

[#] Preliminary communication: ref. 1.

SUMMARY

The reaction of substituted allyltrimethyltin compounds with methyllithium in tetrahydrofuran gave substituted allyllithium reagents. Reactions of the latter with trimethylchlorosilane, iodomethane (or benzyl bromide) and carbonyl compounds were examined.

INTRODUCTION

Allylic lithium reagents may be prepared by direct lithiation of the appropriate olefin, by lithium metal cleavage of allyl aryl ethers or by the action of an alkyl- or aryllithium reagent on an allylic derivative of a heavy metal such as tin, lead or mercury.[2,3] In previous studies we have used the latter route (transmetalation) to prepare allyllithium itself[4] and crotyllithium[5] from the respective allylic tin compound and gem-dichloroallyllithium[6] and gem-chloro(trimethylsilyl)allyllithium[7] from the respective allylic lead compounds. The transmetalation procedure has some advantages: (1) It does not require the basic additives (N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine, hexamethyl phosphoric triamide, potassium tert-butoxide, etc.) which must be used in olefin lithiation procedures. (2) It does not produce a nucleophilic co-product such as the lithium aryloxide formed in the allyl aryl ether cleavage method. (3) It can be used to prepare the pure, solid allylic lithium compound, as in our isolation[4] and proton NMR investigation[8] of allyllithium.

Our recent research has made available a number of allylic tin compounds by a Wittig route (Scheme 1),[1] and we have used these products to prepare the derived allylic lithium reagents. We report here the full details of this work.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The allylic tin derivatives which were available for this study were the following compounds:

$(CH_3)_3SnCH_2CH{=}CHC_6H_{13}$-n (30/70 cis/trans isomer ratio), I

$(CH_3)_3SnCH_2CH{=}CHC_6H_5$ (trans isomer), II

Scheme 1


Ph3P-CH2 + Me3SnCH2I
[Ph3PCH2CH2SnMe3] I
R2NLi
Ph3P-CHCH2SnMe3
CH3I
R
C=O
R'
[Ph3PCH(CH3)CH2SnMe3] I
Ph3PO + Me3SnCH2CH=C
R
R'
R2NLi
Ph3P-CCH2SnMe3
CH3
R
C=O
R'
Ph3PO + Me3SnCH2
C=C
CH3
R
R'



, III



(50/50 E/Z isomer ratio), IV

The four allylic tin compounds I-IV undergo ready conversion to the respective allylic lithium reagents, as shown for IV in eq. 1.

$$Me_3SnCH_2C(CH_3)=CHC_2H_5 + MeLi \xrightarrow{THF} Li(CH_2C(CH_3)CHC_2H_5) + Me_4Sn \quad (1)$$

In a typical reaction, this tin compound, in tetrahydrofuran (THF) solution under nitrogen at 0°C, was treated with 1.1 molar equivalents of methyllithium in diethyl ether. The resulting yellow solution was stirred for 30 min. at 0°C and then an excess of acetone was added. After 30 min., hydrolytic work-up was followed by examination of the organic phase by gas chromatography (GLC). It was determined that the carbonyl addition product, $(CH_3)_2C(OH)$-$CH(C_2H_5)C(CH_3)=CH_2$, had been produced in 88% yield.

Each allylic lithium compound generated from compounds I-IV was allowed to react with three carbonyl compounds, iodomethane and trimethylchlorosilane. The results of these experiments are given in Tables 1-4 and are summarized and compared in Table 5. Excellent product yields were obtained in all reactions and the results compare reasonably well with those reported in the literature for similar reactions of comparable reagents. For example, Barbot, Chan and P. Miginiac[9] conducted a series of reactions of $Li(CH_2CHCMeEt)$ with carbonyl compounds, among them $(CH_3)_2CO$,

TABLE 1. Reactions of $Li(CH_2CHCHC_6H_{13})$

Substrate	Product (% yield)	α/γ ratio
Me_3SiCl	$Me_3SiCH_2CH=CHC_6H_{13}$ (98)	0/100
CH_3I	$CH_3CH(C_6H_{13})CH=CH_2$ (40)	47/53
	$CH_3CH_2CH=CHC_6H_{13}$ (45)	
Me_2CO	$Me_2C(OH)CH(C_6H_{13})CH=CH_2$ (80)	86/14
	$Me_2C(OH)CH_2CH=CHC_6H_{13}$ (13)	
Me_2CHCHO	$Me_2CHCH(OH)CH(C_6H_{13})CH=CH_2$ (63)	69/31
	$Me_2CHCH(OH)CH_2CH=CHC_6H_{13}$ (28)	
$(CF_3)_2CO$	$(CF_3)_2C(OH)CH(C_6H_{13})CH=CH_2$ (30)	32/68
	$(CF_3)_2C(OH)CH_2CH=CHC_6H_{13}$ (63)	

TABLE 2. Reactions of $Li(CH_2CHCHPh)$

Substrate	Product (% yield)		α/γ ratio
Me_3SiCl	$Me_3SiCH_2CH=CHPh$	(96)	0/100
CH_3I	$CH_3CHPhCH=CH_2$	(76)	84/16
	$CH_3CH_2CH=CHPh$	(14)	
Me_2CO	$Me_2C(OH)CHPhCH=CH_2$	(70)	79/21
	$Me_2C(OH)CH_2CH=CHPh$	(19)	
Me_2CHCHO	$Me_2CH(OH)CHPhCH=CH_2$	(54)	56/44
	$Me_2CH(OH)CH_2CH=CHPh$	(43)	
$(CF_3)_2CO$	$(CF_3)_2C(OH)CHPhCH=CH_2$	(20)	24/76
	$(CF_3)_2C(OH)CH_2CH=CHPh$	(67)	

TABLE 3. Reactions of Li()

Substrate	Product (% yield)	α/γ ratio
Me_3SiCl	 (92)	0/100
CH_3I	 (23)	29/71
	 (54)	
Me_2CO	 (89)	100/0
Me_2CHCHO	 (67)	79/21
	 (18)	
$(CF_3)_2CO$	 (82)	0/100

TABLE 4. Reactions of $Li(CH_2CMeCHEt)$

Substrate	Product (% yield)		α/γ ratio
Me_3SiCl	$MeSiCH_2CMe=CHEt$	(91)	0/100
$PhCH_2Br$	$PhCH_2CHEtCMe=CH_2$	(30)	46/54
	$PhCH_2CH_2CMe=CHEt$	(34)	
	$PhCH_2CH_2Ph$	(42)	
Me_2CO	$Me_2C(OH)CHEtCMe=CH_2$	(88)	100/0
Me_2CHCHO	$Me_2CHCH(OH)CHEtCMe=CH_2$	(65)	75/25
	$Me_2CHCH(OH)CH_2CMe=CHEt$	(22)	
$(CF_3)_2CO$	$(CF_3)_2C(OH)CHEtCMe=CH_2$	(33)	35/65
	$(CF_3)_2C(OH)CH_2CMe=CHEt$	(60)	

TABLE 5. Branched/Linear (α/γ) Addition Product Ratios

Substrate	Li(CH_2CH–⬡)	Li($CH_2CMeCHEt$)	Li($CH_2CHCHC_6H_{13}$)	Li($CH_2CHCHPh$)
Me_3SiCl	0/100	0/100	0/100	0/100
CH_3I	29/71	46/54[a]	47/53	84/16
$CH_3C(O)CH_3$	100/0	100/0	86/14	79/21
Me_2CHCHO	79/21	75/25	69/31	56/44
$(CF_3)_2CO$	0/100	35/65	32/68	24/76

a $PhCH_2Br$ was used as the alkylating agent since the products of the Ch_3I reaction were too low boiling for proper analysis.

CH_3CHO and $CH_3C(O)CF_3$. They obtained branched/linear (or α/γ)*

* When the new C-C bond is formed at the CH_2 terminus of the allylic lithium reagent, the product is defined as the γ product; when it is formed at the substituted terminus, it is defined as the α product:



product ratios of, respectively, 100/0, 70/30 and 0/100. These results obtained with a gem-dialkylallyllithium reagent may be compared with those seen with the reagent derived from III, $Li(CH_2CHC(CH_2)_5\text{-cyclo})$, which on reaction with $(CH_3)_2CO$, $(CH_3)_2CH$-CHO and $(CF_3)_2CO$ showed similar α/γ ratios: 100/0, 79/21 and 0/100, respectively.

Reactions of $Li(CH_2CHCHC_6H_5)$ had been investigated previously by Gerard and P. Miginiac.[10] In reactions of this reagent with $(C_2H_5)_2CO$ and $(CH_3)_2CHCHO$ the α/γ product ratios were found to be 63/37 and 62/38, respectively. In the present study, this reagent, derived from II rather than from the allylic ether as in the French study[10], reacted with $(CH_3)_2CO$ and $(CH_3)_2CHCHO$, giving α/γ product ratios of 79/21 and 56/44, respectively. Finally, P. Miginiac reported reactions between the monoalkylallyllithium reagent $Li(CH_2CHCHC_2H_5)$ and a number of ketones.[11] In the case of its reaction with $n\text{-}C_3H_7C(O)CH_3$ an α/γ ratio of 86/14 was observed. The same ratio was obtained in the reaction of the reagent derived from I, $Li(CH_2CHCHC_6H_{13}\text{-}n)$, in its reaction with acetone, and an α/γ ratio of 100/0 was obtained in the reaction

of the IV-derived reagent, Li($CH_2CMeCHEt$), with acetone. Also, Rautenstrauch has studied the reactions of Li(CH_2CHCMe_2) and Li($CH_2CHCHCH_3$) with a variety of aldehydes and ketones and observed predominant formation of the new carbon-carbon bond at the substituted terminus of each reagent (α-attack) unless the carbonyl function was highly hindered.[12] A comparison with the results obtained in our previous study of the chemistry of *gem*-dichloroallyllithium also is of interest: here also a reversal in the α/γ product ratio was observed on changing the carbonyl reactant from acetone to hexafluoroacetone.[6]

The reactions of all of the four allylic lithium reagents which we have studied with trimethylchlorosilane showed exclusive Si-C bond formation at the unsubstituted terminus, an observation made previously with crotyllithium[5], *gem*-dichloroallyllithium,[6] trimethylsilylallyllithium[13] and *gem*-chloro(trimethylsilyl)allyllithium.[7] This very likely is the result of a steric factor. With the unhindered iodomethane, the α/γ product ratios were very reagent-dependent, varying from 86/14 for Li($CH_2CHCHC_6H_5$) to 29/71 for Li($CH_2CHC(CH_2)_5$-cyclo). Generally, the addition of alkyl halides to alkylallyllithium reagents has been seen to proceed to a greater extent at the less substituted carbon of the allyl group.[3]

Various mechanisms and explanations for the varied regioselectivity of substituted allylic lithium reagents in their reactions with alkylating agents and carbonyl compounds have been discussed in the literature,[3,6,7,9,14] but without apparent consensus. The rationalization which serves best to account for the results of the present and previous studies involves the hard/soft/acid/base (HSAB) concept of Pearson[15]. This approach was applied

in our previous discussion of the reactions of gem-dichloroallyllithium[6] and used by Barbot, Chan and Miginiac[9] in their more extensive studies of the α/γ regioselectivity of substituted allyllithium reagents.* Discussion of these results in these

* The discussion of this application of the HSAB approach in ref. 6 and 9 is adequate. Further discussion as applied to the present cases is given in the Ph.D. Thesis of R.E.M. (M.I.T., 1977).

terms would be meaningful only if we are dealing with products of kinetic control in the reactions of the allylic lithium reagents derived from I-IV with carbonyl compounds. P. Miginiac and his coworkers have shown that alkyl-substituted allyllithiums react irreversibly with carbonyl compounds.[9,10,11] Phenylallyllithium can add reversibly to C=O compounds, but the reaction conditions used for the $Li(CH_2CHCHC_6H_5)$ reactions in the present study were those reported by Gerard and Miginiac to give principally kinetic products.[10]

Noteworthy is the close agreement in the regioselectivity observed in the reactions of $Li(CH_2CHCHC_6H_{13}\text{-}n)$ and $Li(CH_2C(CH_3)\text{-}CHEt)$. The methyl group in the 2-position apparently exerts no significant influence on the course of the reaction. If any trend is apparent, it is that the former reagent reacts slightly less at the substituted terminus, which is probably due to the larger bulk of the n-hexyl substituent.

It is of interest to consider the stereochemistry of the linear (γ) addition products of these reactions, but before doing so we note that allylic lithium reagents equilibrate in solution[5], so that the stereochemistry of the products should be

independent of that of the starting tin compounds.

The phenylallyllithium reactions with carbonyl compounds gave linear products of predominantly (>90%) trans configuration, in agreement with the observations of Gerard and Miginiac[10]. The reaction with trimethylchlorosilane produced a known linear olefin, $Me_3SiCH_2CH{=}CH_2C_6H_5$, the refractive index and NMR spectrum of which matched those for the trans isomer as reported by Roberts and Kaissi.[16] The linear alcohol derived from the reaction of this reagent with isobutyraldehyde also was of a trans configuration, with an NMR spectrum matching that reported for this isomer by Gerard and Miginiac.[10] The two linear hexafluoroactone-derived alcohols were separable by GLC and identified by means of the coupling constants of the olefinic protons ($J_{AB(cis)}$ = 11 Hz; $J_{AB(trans)}$ = 16 Hz). Again, the trans isomer predominated. The major isomers obtained in the other C=O addition reactions also had the trans configuration.

The n-hexylallyllithium addition products proved more difficult to analyze since, as with the parent tin compound, I, the isomers were not easily separable by GLC. The linear silane derived from the reaction with trimethylchlorosilane was almost exclusively trans. NMR analysis showed only one Si-Me resonance and its IR spectrum displayed a prominent absorption at 965 cm^{-1}. When this compound was prepared by the Wittig reaction[1], both isomers were obtained, each with a slightly different Si-Me resonance. One Si-Me peak, therefore, indicates the presence of only one isomer. The 3-decene produced in the iodomethane reaction also was assigned the trans configuration on the basis of a prominent IR absorption at 965 cm^{-1}.

The linear alcohols derived from reactions between the carbonyl compounds and n-hexylallyllithium proved to be mixtures of cis and trans isomers. According to the IR spectrum of the mixture, the cis isomer predominated (absence of prominent absoptions in the 965-960 cm^{-1} region). The reactions of $Li(CH_2CHCHEt)$ with carbonyl compounds also gave a mixture of isomers.[11]

The configuration of the trisubstituted olefins resulting from the reactions of $Li(CH_2C(CH_3)CHC_2H_5)$ with carbonyl compounds and with alkylating agents were assigned on the basis of their IR and NMR spectra. Clark[17] has examined a series of trialkylethylenes of type $RCH=C(CH_3)R'$ ($R'= CH_3$) and noted that an IR absorption band at 2725 cm^{-1} was characteristic of the Z configuration and was absent in the spectra of the E isomers. Two groups[18,19], in examining the NMR spectra of the same type of trialkylethylenes (where one alkyl group is methyl), noted that the field position of the methyl substituents on the double bond varied with the geometry of the molecules. The δ-values were seen to be approximately 0.07-0.15 ppm to higher field for the E isomer than for the Z isomer.



E isomers



Z isomers

The NMR spectrum of the silane product of the $Li(CH_2C(CH_3)CHC_2H_5)/Me_3SiCl$ reaction showed only one $Si-CH_3$ resonance and only one resonance due to a methyl group attached to an olefinic carbon atom. Its IR spectrum showed a band at 2730 cm^{-1}, indica-

tive of the Z isomer (Q = Me_3Si). A mixture of both Z and E isomers of this allylic silane was available from a Wittig synthesis (Scheme 2).[1] The NMR spectrum of this isomer mixture

Scheme 2.



(2)

showed two Si-CH_3 resonances, as well as two =C-CH_3 methyl resonances which were separated by 0.12 ppm. The single CH_3 resonance of the $Me_3SiCH_2C(CH_3)$=CHEt isomer from the organolithium synthesis corresponded to the lower field signal of the pair seen in the spectrum of the isomer mixture, thus confirming its identity as the Z isomer. All the other compounds of this series displayed only one methyl resonance in their NMR spectra and an IR absorption in the 2730-2720 cm^{-1} region. On this basis, all were assigned the Z configuration.

In the light of the above discussion, it is interesting to note that the preparation of allylic tin and silicon compounds by means of the Wittig reaction, as in Schemes 1 and 2, produces allylsilanes and -stannanes of varying degrees of isomeric purity.[1] On the other hand, the preparation of allylic silanes by the allyllithium route results in the formation of only one isomer.

The general route illustrated in Scheme 1 for the synthesis of allylic tin compounds should be broadly applicable to the syn-

thesis of allyltins with varied substitution on the α, β and γ carbon atoms of the allyl substituent. Each allyltin compound thus prepared will provide the preparative entry to the corresponding allyllithium reagent.

EXPERIMENTAL

General Comments

All reactions were carried out in flame-dried glassware under an atmosphere of prepurified nitrogen using rigorously dried solvents. Collection of samples for analysis, spectroscopic measurements and refractive index determination and for yield determinations was accomplished using gas chromatography (GLC). Yields were determined using internal standards and empirically determined response factors.

Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were recorded using a Varian Associates T60 or an Hitachi-Perkin Elmer R20B spectrometer. Proton chemical shifts are reported in δ units ppm from internal tetramethylsilane. Infrared spectra were obtained using a Perkin Elmer Model 457A grating infrared spectrophotometer.

Methyllithium was purchased from Alfa Division, Ventron Corp. The carbonyl compounds were commercial products (Eastman or Aldrich) and were used as received or purified if necessary. The allylic tin compounds were prepared as described in Ref. 1.

The new compounds prepared during the course of this study, together with their physical properties, spectroscopic characterizing data and their analyses, are listed in Table 6. Known compounds were identified by comparing their refractive indices and NMR and IR spectra with literature data.

TABLE 6. New Compounds.

Compound	$n^{25}D$	Analysis, Found(Calcd),% Carbon	Hydrogen	^1H NMR (in CCl_4) δ (ppm)
$Me_3SiCH_2CH=CHC_6H_{13}$-n (trans isomer)	1.4395	72.45 (72.64)	13.25 (13.21)	0.18 (s, 9H, Me_3Si), 0.85-1.68 (m, 13H), 1.85-2.65 (m, 2H), 5.18-5.48(m, 2H)
$CH_3CH_2CH=CH(CH_2)_5CH_3$ (trans isomer)	1.4233	85.70 (85.63) (mixture with 3-methyl-1-nonene)	14.37 (14.37)	0.77-1.50 (m, 14H), 1.77-2.23 (m, 4H), 5.17-5.45 (m, 2H)
$CH_2=CHCH(CH_3)(CH_2)_5CH_3$	1.4174			0.77-1.38 (m, 16H), 1.70-2.40 (m, 1H), 4.67-6.00 (m, 3H)
$CH_3(CH_2)_5CH(C(OH)(CH_3)_2)-CH=CH_2$	1.4472	78.30 (78.20) (mixture with 1-methyl-4-undecen-2-ol)	13.00 (13.12)	0.70-1.53 (m, 20H), 1.67-2.00 (m, 1H), 4.82-5.61 (m, 3H)
$CH_3(CH_2)_5CH=CHCH_2C(OH)(CH_3)_2$ (cis/trans mixture)	1.4482			0.78-1.57 (m, 18H), 1.92-2.25(m, 4H), 5.33-5.58 (m, 2H)
$CH_3(CH_2)_5CH=CHCH_2CH(OH)CH(CH_3)_2$ (cis/trans mixture)	1.4512	78.72 (78.73) (mixture with 2-methyl-4-vinyldecan-3-ol)	13.21 (13.19)	0.58-1.52 (m, 18H), 1.52-2.25 (m, 5H), 2.98-3.42 (m, 1H) 5.22-5.58 (m, 2H)
$CH_3(CH_2)_5CH(CH(OH)CH(CH_3)_2)-CH=CH_2$	1.4476			0.52-2.33 (m, 22H), 3.03-3.23 (m, 1H), 4.67-5.97 (m, 3H)

$CH_3(CH_2)_5CH=CHCH_2C(OH)(CF_3)_2$ (<u>cis</u>/<u>trans</u> mixture)	1.3863	49.48 (49.32) (mixture with 1,1-bis(trifluoromethyl)-2-vinyloctan-1-ol)	6.19 (6.21)	0.67-1.55 (m, 17H), 1.88-2.28 (m, 2H), 2.55-2.82 (m, 3H), 5.18-5.98 (m, 2H)
$CH_3(CH_2)_5CH(C(OH)(CF_3)_2)-CH=CH_2$	1.3882			0.67-1.50 (m, 13H), 1.65-2.00 (m, 1H), 2.78 (s, 1H, OH), 5.33-5.60 (m, 3H)
$Me_3SiCH_2CH=$ 	1.4676	72.56 (72.44)	12.27 (12.16)	0.03 (s, 9H), 1.38-1.68 (m, 6H; includes d at 1.40, J 9Hz, $SiC\underline{H}_2CH$), 1.93-2.25 (m, 4H), 5.05 (t, J 9Hz, 1H)
$(CH_3)_2C(OH)$  $CH_2=CH$	1.4862	78.24 (78.51)	12.01 (11.98)	1.08 (s, 6H), 1.23 (s, 1H, OH), 1.08-1.92 (m, 10H), 4.78-5.87 (m, 3H)
$(CH_3)_2CHCH(OH)CH_2CH=$ 	1.4718	79.22 (79.06) (mixture with 1-(1-vinylcyclohexyl)-2-methylpropanol)	11.87 (12.16)	0.77-2.25 (m, 20H), 2.98-3.25 (m, 1H), 5.03 (t, J 7Hz, 1H)
$(CH_3)_2CHCH(OH)$  $CH_2=CH$	1.4773			0.58-2.05 (m, 18H), 3.02-3.15 (m, 1H), 4.78-5.95 (m, 3H)

$(CF_3)_2C(OH)CH_2CH=$ 	1.4069	47.73 (47.83) 5.36 (5.11)	1.38-1.77 (m, 6H), 2.02-2.37 (m, 4H), 2.67 (d, J8Hz, 2H), 2.73 (s, 1H, OH), 5.12 (t, J 8Hz, 1H)
$C_6H_5CH=CHCH_2C(OH)(CH_3)_2$ (<u>trans</u> isomer)	solid, mp 40-41°C	81.93 (81.77) 9.17 (9.15) (mixture with 2-methyl-3-phenyl-4-penten-2-ol)	1.20 (s, 7H, Me and OH), 2.27 (d, J 6Hz, 2H), 6.10-6.48 (m, 2H), 7.15 (s, 5H)
$CH_2=CHCH(C_6H_5)C(OH)(CH_3)_2$	1.5225		1.08 and 1.12 (s, 3H each), 1.18 (s, 1H, OH), 3.13 (d, J 9Hz, 1H), 4.82-6.40 (m, 3H)
$CH_2=CHCH(C_6H_5)C(OH)(CF_3)_2$	1.4380	50.60 (50.72) 3.87 (3.55) (mixture with 1,1-bis(trifluoromethyl)-2-phenyl-3-butenol isomers)	2.87 (s, 1H, OH), 3.90 (d, J 10Hz, 1H), 5.00-5.40 (m, 2H), 5.85-6.72 (m, 1H), 7.28 (s, 5H)
$C_6H_5CH=CHCH_2C(OH)(CF_3)_2$ (<u>trans</u> isomer)	1.4601		2.83 (s, 1H, OH), 2.85 (d, J 7Hz, 2H), olefinic AB: 6.12 (d of t, 1H) and 6.58 (d, J 7Hz), J(AB) 16Hz; 7.32 (s, 5H)
$C_6H_5CH=CHCH_2C(OH)(CF_3)_2$ (<u>cis</u> isomer)			2.78-3.11 (broad s, 1H, OH), 2.94 (d, J 8Hz, 2H), olefinic AB: 5.44 (d of t, 1H) and 6.51 (d, J 8Hz, 1H), J(AB) 11Hz; 7.27 (s, 5H)

$Me_3SiCH_2\underset{\underset{CH_3}{|}}{C}=CHCH_2CH_3$ (Z isomer)	1.4352	69.27 (69.14) 13.01 (12.89)	0.08 (s, 9H), 0.97 (t, J 7Hz, 3H), 1.42-2.22 (m, 2H), 1.50 (s, 2H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 4.97 (t, J 7Hz, 1H)
$CH_3CH_3CH=C(CH_3)CH_2CH_2C_6H_5$ (Z isomer)	1.5041	89.12 (89.59) 10.60 (10.41) (mixture with 2-methyl-3-ethyl-4-phenyl-1-butene)	0.83 (t, J 7Hz, 3H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.78-4.48 (m, 6H), 5.03 (t, J 7Hz, 1H), 7.08 (s, 5H)
$CH_2=C(CH_3)CH(C_2H_5)CH_2C_6H_5$	1.5061		0.77 (t, J 7Hz, 3H), 1.05-1.55 (m, 2H), 1.60 (s, 3H), 1.85-2.32 (m, 1H), 2.47-2.68, (m, 2H), 4.48-4.72 (m, 2H), 7.05 (s, 5H)
$CH_3CH_2CH=C(CH_3)CH_2CH(OH)CH(CH_3)_2$ (Z isomer)	1.4488	76.80 (76.86) 12.89 (12.90) (mixture with 2,5-dimethyl-3-ethyl-1-hexen-4-ol)	0.72-2.28 (m, 18H), 3.15-3.52 (m, 1H), 4.98-5.38(m, 1H)
$CH_2=C(CH_3)CH(C_2H_5)CH(OH)CH(CH_3)_2$ (1:1 mixture of diastereomers)	1.4463		0.58-2.25 (m, 18H), 3.00-3.33 (m, 1H), 4.65-5.03 (m, 2h)
$CH_3CH_2CH=C(CH_3)CH_2C(OH)(CF_3)_2$ (Z-isomer)	1.3716	43.14 (43.21) 4.91 (4.83) (mixture with 1,1-bis(trifluoromethyl)-3-methyl-2-ethyl-3-buten-1-ol)	0.98 (t, J 7Hz, 3H), 1.82 (s, 3H), 1.85-2.22 (m, 2H), 2.68 (s, 2H), 2.80 (s, 1H, OH), 5.55 (t, J 7Hz, 1H)
$CH_2=C(CH_3)CH(C_2H_5)C(OH)(CF_3)_2$	1.3733		o.85 (t, J 7Hz, 3H), 0.95-1.45 (m, 2H), 1.52-1.98 (m, 1H), 1.77 (s, 3H), 3.00 (s, 1H, OH), 4.98-5.32 (m, 2H)

The Reactions of Allylic Tin Compounds with Methyllithium. Reactions of the Allylic Lithium Reagents.

A few examples of such reactions are given.

Reaction of Trimethyl(2-nonenyl)tin with Methyllithium; Trimethylchlorosilane Quench.

A 500 ml, three-necked Morton flask which was fitted with a mechanical stirrer, nitrogen inlet tube and a no-air stopper, was charged with 1.55 g (5.37 mmol) of $Me_3SnCH_2CH=CHC_6H_{13}$-n (70/30 trans/cis isomer ratio, by NMR) and 200 ml of dry THF and cooled in an ice bath. Subsequently, 3.20 ml of 1.88M methyllithium in diethyl ether (5.90 mmol, 10% excess) was added dropwise over a 3-4 min. period. The solution immediately turned bright yellow. The resulting mixture was stirred for 30 min. at ice bath temperature and then 1.26 ml (ca. 10 mmol) of trimethylchlorosilane was added rapidly. The yellow color was discharged and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. Subsequently it was trap-to-trap distilled (0.03 mm Hg at room temperature) into a receiver cooled to -78°C. An aliquot of the distillate was removed for GLC analysis and the remainder was concentrated at reduced pressure. GLC analysis (General Electric Co. SE30 silicone rubber gum , 20% on Chromosorb P, at 120°) showed the presence of 5.26 mmol (98%) of trans-$Me_3SiCH_2CH=CHC_6H_{13}$-n.

Reaction of Trimethyl(2-cyclohexylideneethyl)tin with Methyllithium; Iodomethane Quench.

The lithium reagent was prepared using the above procedure from 1.93 g (7.07 mmol) of the tin compound, III, in 200 ml of THF and 7.78 mmol of methyllithium. To the resulting yellow solution was added rapidly 2.6 ml (ca. 40 mmol) of iodomethane. After the reaction mixture had been stirred for 30 min. at room

temperature, it was trap-to-trap distilled (0.07 mm Hg at room temperature). GLC analysis of the concentrated distillate showed the presence of two products: 3.82 mmol (54%) of n-propylidenecyclohexane, whose NMR[20] and IR[21] spectra were in agreement with published spectra, and 1.63 mmol (23%) of 1-methyl-1-vinylcyclohexane, $n^{20}D$ 1.4505 (lit.[22] $n^{20}D$ 1.4512), whose NMR spectrum matched that in the literature.[22]

Reaction of Trimethyl(3-phenylallyltin)tin with Methyllithium; Hexafluoroacetone Quench.

The lithium reagent was prepared by the above procedure from 1.465 g (5.21 mmol) of trans-$Me_3SnCH_2CH{=}CHPh$ in 200 ml of THF and 5.70 mmol of methyllithium in diethyl ether at 0°C; a deep red-orange solution resulted. The no-air stopper was replaced with a Dewar condenser filled with dry ice/acteone. Hexafluoroacetone (Peninsular Chem Research) was dried by passing the gas through columns filled with anhydrous calcium sulfate and anhydrous calcium chloride and then was condensed into the reaction flask. The quantity of hexafluoroacetone used was roughly twice the amount necessary to completely discharge the color of the reagent solution. After the reaction mixture had been stirred at room temperature for 3 hr. it was hydrolyzed by addition of 50 ml of water. The mixture was extracted with pentane and the organic layer back-extracted with water. The organic layer was dried and concentrated at reduced pressure. GLC analysis of the residue showed the presence of two products: 3.23 mmol (62%) of trans-1,1-bis(trifluoromethyl)-4-phenyl-3-butenol and 1.04 mmol (20%) of 1,1-bis(trifluoromethyl)-2-phenyl-3-butenol.

Acknowledgments.

This work was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research. Gifts of chemicals from Cincinnati Milacron Chemicals,Inc. are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

1. D. Seyferth, K. R. Wursthorn and R. E. Mammarella, J. Org. Chem., 42 (1977) 3104.
2. B. J. Wakefield, "The Chemistry of Organolithium Compounds", Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1974, Part II.
3. D. Seebach and K.-H. Geiss, J. Organometal. Chem. Library, 1 (1976) 1.
4. D. Seyferth and M. A. Weiner, J. Org. Chem., 26 (1961) 4797.
5. D. Seyferth and T. F. Jula, J. Organometal. Chem., 66 (1974) 195.
6. D. Seyferth, G. J. Murphy and R. A. Woodruff, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96 (1974) 5011; D. Seyferth, G. J. Murphy and B. Mauze, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99 (1977) 5317.
7. D. Seyferth and R. E. Mammarella, J. Organometal. Chem., 156 (1978) 279.
8. C. S. Johnson, Jr., M. A. Weiner, J. S. Waugh and D. Seyferth, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83 (1961) 1306.
9. F. Barbot, C. H. Chan and P. Miginiac, Tetrahedron Lett. (1976) 2309.
10. F. Gerard and P. Miginiac, Bull. Soc. Chim. France (1974) 1924, 2527.
11. P. Miginiac, Bull. Soc. Chim. France (1970) 1077.
12. V. Rautenstrauch, Helv. Chim. Acta, 57 (1974) 496.
13. (a) R. J. P. Corriu, J. Masse and D. Samate, J. Organometal. Chem., 93 (1975) 71;
    (b) R. J. P. Corriu, G. F. Lanneau, D. Leclerq and D. Samate, J. Organometal. Chem., 144 (1978) 155.
14. R. Gompper and H.-U. Wagner, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 15 (1976) 321.

15. R. G. Pearson and J. Songstad, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 89 (1967) 1827.
16. R. M. G. Roberts and F. El Kaissi, J. Organometal. Chem., 12 (1968) 79.
17. J. K. Clark, Appl. Spec., 22 (1968) 204.
18. D. J. Frost and J. Barzilay, Rec. trav. chim., 90 (1971) 705.
19. G. Hato and D. Aoki, J. Org. Chem., 32 (1967) 3754.
20. D. Seyferth and J. S. Fogel, J. Organometal. Chem., 6 (1966) 205.
21. D. Seyferth, W. B. Hughes and J. K. Heeren, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87 (1965) 2847.
22. H. François, B. Deroin and R. LaLande, Bull. Soc. Chim. France (1970) 617.

472:GAN:716:tam
78u472-608

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	No. Copies
Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Code 472	2
ONR Branch Office 536 S. Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605 Attn: Dr. George Sandoz	1
ONR Branch Office 715 Broadway New York, New York 10003 Attn: Scientific Dept.	1
ONR Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106 Attn: Dr. R. J. Marcus	1
ONR Area Office One Hallidie Plaza, Suite 601 San Francisco, California 94102 Attn: Dr. P. A. Miller	1
ONR Branch Office Building 114, Section D 666 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210 Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles	1
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20390 Attn: Code 6100	1
The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (R,E&S) Department of the Navy Room 4E736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350	1
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360 Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser)	1
Defense Documentation Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12
U.S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 1211 Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709 Attn: CRD-AA-IP	1
Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, California 92152 Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney	1
Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555 Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster Chemistry Division	1
Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Port Hueneme, California 93401 Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko	1
Professor K. E. Woehler Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1) Washington, D.C. 20380	1
Office of Naval Research 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217 Attn: Dr. Richard S. Miller	1
Naval Ship Research and Development Center Annapolis, Maryland 21401 Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian Applied Chemistry Division	1
Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, California 91232 Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto, Marine Sciences Division	1

Encl 1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 053

	No. Copies
Dr. R. N. Grimes University of Virginia Department of Chemistry Charlottsville, Virginia 22901	1
Dr. M. Tsutsui Texas A&M University Department of Chemistry College Station, Texas 77843	1
Dr. M. F. Hawthorne University of California Department of Chemistry Los Angeles, California 90024	1
Dr. D. B. Brown University of Vermont Department of Chemistry Burlington, Vermont 05401	1
Dr. W. B. Fox Naval Research Laboratory Chemistry Division Code 6130 Washington, D.C. 20375	1
Dr. J. Adcock University of Tennessee Department of Chemistry Knoxville, Tennessee 37916	1
Dr. A. Cowley University of Texas Department of Chemistry Austin, Texas 78712	1
Dr. W. Hatfield University of North Carolina Department of Chemistry Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514	1
Dr. M. H. Chisholm Department of Chemistry Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana 47401	1
Dr. B. Foxman Brandeis University Department of Chemistry Waltham, Massachusetts 02154	1
Dr. T. Marks Northwestern University Department of Chemistry Evanston, Illinois 60201	1
Dr. G. Geoffrey Pennsylvania State University Department of Chemistry University Park, Pennsylvania 16802	1
Dr. J. Zuckerman University of Oklahoma Department of Chemistry Norman, Oklahoma 73019	1
Professor O. T. Beachley Department of Chemistry State University of New York Buffalo, New York 14214	1
Professor P. S. Skell Department of Chemistry The Pennsylvania State University University Park, Pennsylvania 16802	1
Professor K. M. Nicholas Department of Chemistry Boston College Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02167	1

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) The Preparation of Substituted Allyllithium Reagents from Allyltin Compounds by Transmetalation		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report Interim 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Dietmar Seyferth and Robert E. Mammarella		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-76-C-0837
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Chemistry Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Mass. 02139		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR 053-618
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy Arlington, VA 22217		12. REPORT DATE April 10, 1979 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 24
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

Approval for Public Release, Distribution Unlimited

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

To be published in the Journal of Organometallic Chemistry

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

The reaction of substituted allyltrimethyltin compounds with methyllithium in tetrahydrofuran gave substituted allyllithium reagents. Reactions of the latter with trimethylchlorosilane, iodomethane (or benzyl bromide) and carbonyl compounds were examined.

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)