

AD-A067 856

BROWN UNIV PROVIDENCE R I DIV OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS
ON HEAD-ON COLLISIONS BETWEEN TWO SOLITARY-WAVES, (U)
APR 79 C H SU, R M MIRIE

F/G 20/4

N00014-76-C-0924

UNCLASSIFIED

NL

1 OF 1
AD
A067 856

END
DATE
FILMED
6 -79

DDC

UNCLASSIFIED

LEVEL II

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

READ INSTRUCTIONS
BEFORE COMPLETING FORM

1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) On Head-on Collision between two Solitary-Waves		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
7. AUTHOR C. H. / Su Rida M. / Mirie		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Division of Applied Mathematics Brown University Providence, R. I. 02912		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-76-C-0924 NSF-ENG77-00982
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Fluid Dynamics Branch Office of Naval Research Dept. of Navy, Arlington, VA 22217		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR062-555
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) 33p.		12. REPORT DATE Apr 1979
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 24 + Appendix
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) unclassified
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release, distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) Brown University Report		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Soliton, Water Waves		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) We consider a head-on collision between two solitary waves on the surface of an inviscid, homogeneous fluid. A perturbation method which in principle can generate an asymptotic series of all orders, is used to calculate the effects of the collision. We find that the waves emerging from (i.e. long after) the collision preserve their original identities to the third order of accuracy we have calculated. However a collision does leave imprints on the colliding waves with phase shifts and shedding		

AD A067856

DDC FILE COPY.

DDC
RECEIVED
APR 18 1979
D

UNCLASSIFIED

065 300

↙ of secondary waves. The latter propagate with diminishing amplitudes in the direction opposite to that of the main waves. We have also calculated the maximum run-up amplitude of two colliding waves. The result checks with existing experiments. ↗

ACCESSION 12	
DTIC	White Section ☒
DDO	Self Section ☐
UNANNOUNCED	☐
JUSTIFICATION	
BY	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
Dist.	AVAIL. and/or SPECIAL
A	

ON HEAD-ON COLLISIONS BETWEEN TWO SOLITARY WAVES

By

C. H. Su and Rida M. Mirie

Division of Applied Mathematics

Brown University, Providence, R. I. 02912

DDC
RECEIVED
APR 18 1979
D

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

79 04 16 039

Abstract

We consider a head-on collision between two solitary waves on the surface of an inviscid, homogeneous fluid. A perturbation method which in principle can generate an asymptotic series of all orders, is used to calculate the effects of the collision. We find that the waves emerging from (i.e. long after) the collision preserve their original identities to the third order of accuracy we have calculated. However a collision does leave imprints on the colliding waves with phase shifts and shedding of secondary waves. The latter propagate with diminishing amplitudes in the direction opposite to that of the main waves. We have also calculated the maximum run-up amplitude of two colliding waves. The result checks with existing experiments.

79 04 16 03 9

I. Introduction

We recast in Sec. II, the equations of motion of an inviscid, constant density fluid with a free surface into a pair of equations in terms of the free surface elevation $h(t,x,y)$ and the velocity along a horizontal stream bed $W(t,x,y)$. These equations are convenient for a perturbation scheme to be introduced in Sec. III to study a head-on collision between two solitary waves which are small in amplitude ($\frac{a}{h_0} \ll 1$), and long in wave-length ($\frac{\lambda}{h_0} \gg 1$). Where a is the measure of amplitude, λ the wave-length, and h_0 the undisturbed depth of the fluid. The amplitude and the wave-length parameters are related by Ursell's ordering for theory of shallow water i.e. $a\lambda^2 \approx h_0^3$. We have carried out the calculations to the third order of approximation.

In the first order approximation, we have two independently moving solitary waves $aS(\xi)$ and $bS(\eta)$. $S(x) \equiv \text{sech}^2 \frac{x}{2}$, a progressive wave of permanent type which satisfies the Korteweg-deVries equation. The variables ξ and η denote the right- and left-going wave-framed coordinates respectively. The constants a and b specify the heights of the waves. In the second order approximation, we find that the wave field is modified by:

(1) quadratic terms in S , (2) change of wave speeds and (3) addition of phase functions $\theta^{(1)}(\eta)$ and $\phi^{(1)}(\xi)$ to ξ and η respectively. For two waves at large separation, i.e. before or after collision, the first two corrections above reduce to Laitone's (1960) second order calculation of a single solitary wave. On the other hand, we can also use the result in (1) to calculate the maximum run-up amplitude during the collision. Our result checks with an earlier

calculation of Byatt-Smith (1971). Since, up to this order of accuracy, the phase function θ (or ϕ) is a function of η (or ξ) alone, and differs by a constant value through a collision, this value represents a phase shift for a right- (or left-) going solitary wave. This result agrees with that of Oikawa and Yajima (1973). In the third order approximation, we obtain as before, those three corrections to the wave field. The first correction is a polynomial of third degree in S . This polynomial in S and the wave speed correction reduce as the separation between waves increases, to the known result of Grimshaw (1971), Fenton (1972) pertaining to a single solitary wave with third order accuracy. However, the phase functions obtained become functions both of ξ and η . This gives different values of phase shifts at different points in a wave. Unlike the uniform phase shift in the second order approximation which preserves the wave form, here these variable phase shifts cause a distortion of the wave form in addition to a simple translation. Since this distorted wave field does not satisfy the equation for waves which are propagated without a change in shape and speed, we are forced to investigate in Section V, the slow time evolution of this distorted wave forms. We find that the waves eventually transform back to their original forms with shedding of secondary wavelets. These secondary waves propagate with diminishing amplitude in the direction opposite to that of the main waves. Thus we can still speak of preservation of wave form up to the third order of accuracy, with the understanding that there exists uniform phase shifts as well as shedding of secondary waves. We stop our calculation at the third order. However we feel after working

long with the perturbation scheme used here, that the higher order results will be essentially of similar nature. There are two imprints of a collision between two solitary waves: uniform phase shifts and shedding of secondary waves. We have also calculated the maximum run-up amplitude up to the third order of accuracy for two colliding solitary waves. The result agrees very closely with the numerical and experimental results of Chan and Street (1970). It also checks with Maxworthy's experiment (1976) for a solitary wave reflected from a wall (see Fig. 2).

II. Basic Equations

We take the velocity field of our flow problem to be described by a potential $\phi(t, x, y, z)$, satisfying Laplace equation. The free surface, where the pressure vanishes, is specified by an unknown function $z = h(t, x, y)$. The fluid is supported by a horizontal plane at $z = 0$, where we set the normal velocity $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} = 0$. It is easy to show in this case that the potential ϕ can be expressed as a Taylor series at $z = 0$. Using $\nabla^2 \phi = 0$ for $z > 0$ and $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} = 0$ at $z = 0$, we obtain

$$\phi(t, x, y, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{z^{2n}}{(2n)!} \nabla^{2n} \phi \quad (1)$$

where

$$\nabla(t, x, y) = \nabla(t, x, y, z=0) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla = i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + j \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

representing a gradient operator in x, y -plane. We can now express the kinematic condition at the free surface as

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla h = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}, \quad (2)$$

and the Bernoulli theorem also applied at the free surface as

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + gh + \frac{1}{2}(\phi_x^2 + \phi_y^2 + \phi_z^2) = C(t). \quad (3)$$

See Whitham, Chapter (13).

In terms of ϕ these become

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{h^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \nabla^{2n} (\nabla \phi) \right] = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + gh + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \phi)^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{h^{2n}}{(2n)!} [\nabla^{2n} \phi_t + \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{2n} (-1)^m \binom{2n}{m} \nabla^{m+1} \phi * \nabla^{2n-m-1} \phi] = C(t). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where the symbol "*" stands for the vector inner product for even m and the usual arithmetic multiplication for odd m , and $\binom{2n}{m} = \frac{(2n)!}{m!(2n-m)!}$ the binomial coefficients. We shall consider waves in a channel. We therefore drop the y -dependence in h and ϕ . By taking the x -derivative of (5), we obtain in place of (4) and (5) the following two equations

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ hw + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{h^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \frac{\partial^{2n} w}{\partial x^{2n}} \right\} = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ gh + \frac{w^2}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{h^{2n}}{(2n)!} \left[\frac{\partial^{2n} w}{\partial t \partial x^{2n-1}} + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{2n} (-1)^m \binom{2n}{m} \frac{\partial^m w}{\partial x^m} \frac{\partial^{2n-m} w}{\partial x^{2n-m}} \right] \right\} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $w = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}$ represents the velocity at the bottom of the channel.

Both of the above equations are in the conservative form. It is interesting to point out that two more conservation laws can be derived from (6) and (7). They represent conservation of horizontal momentum and total energy, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\int_0^h u \, dx \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\int_0^h (u^2 + \frac{p}{\rho}) \, dz \right] = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{1}{2} gh^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^h (u^2 + v^2) \, dz \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_0^h dz \, u \left[\frac{1}{2} (u^2 + v^2) + \frac{p}{\rho} + gz \right], \quad (9)$$

and

$$\frac{p}{\rho} = g(h-z) + \int_z^h \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) v \, dz.$$

where $u = \phi_x$ and $v = \phi_z$ both are expressed in w by (1). We shall however in this paper use Eqs. (6) and (7) only.

III-A. Perturbation Solution for Two Head-On Colliding Solitary Waves.

Consider two solitary waves, far apart, of small but finite amplitude and heading towards each other. The time evolution of their interaction, and the final state after their collision will be our main concern.

We introduce the following coordinates transformations (wave-frames)

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_0 &= \sqrt{\epsilon} \, k(x - C_R t) \\ \eta_0 &= \sqrt{\epsilon} \, \ell(x + C_L t)\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

where $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, ϵ is a dimensionless parameter representing the order of magnitude of the wave amplitude. The scaling of the horizontal wave length in accordance with Ursell's relationship is taken as $\sqrt{\epsilon}$, leaving k and ℓ as the wave numbers of order unity for the right- and left-going waves respectively. The right- and left-going wave speed C_R , C_L are to be related to the amplitudes of the waves. In the limit of infinitesimal amplitude, they take the value of linear wave speed $(gh_0)^{1/2}$. Anticipating a difficulty to show up in our perturbation method we introduce the following transformations of wave-framed coordinates with phase functions.*

* This is essentially the method of strained coordinates first introduced by Poincare (1892) for ordinary differential equations and later generalized to hyperbolic partial differential equations by Lighthill (1949) and Lin (1954). For a detailed discussion we refer the reader to Van Dyke's book on Perturbation methods in Fluid Mechanics, chapter VI. Academic Press, New York (1964).

$$\xi_0 = \xi - \epsilon k \theta(\xi, \eta) \quad (11)$$

$$\eta_0 = \eta - \epsilon l \phi(\xi, \eta)$$

when $\theta(\xi, \eta)$ and $\phi(\xi, \eta)$ are to be determined in the process of our perturbational solution of (6) and (7). These functions, introduced for purpose of making asymptotic approximation, allows us to calculate phase changes due to collision.

Using (10) and (11) we obtain the transformation between derivatives as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + C_R \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{D} (C_R + C_L) \left[l \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} + \epsilon k l \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \right] \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - C_R \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = - \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon}}{D} (C_R + C_L) \left[k \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \epsilon k l \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \right) \right] \quad (13)$$

where $D = (1 - \epsilon k l \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \xi}) (1 - \epsilon l \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta}) - \epsilon^2 k l \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi}$.

Setting $h = h_0(1+\zeta)$, we rewrite (6) and (7) into

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \pm C_{R,L} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right] [w \pm C\zeta] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} F_{\pm} = 0, \quad (14)$$

where $C = \sqrt{gh_0}$ is the linear wave speed, and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\pm} = & \pm (C - C_{R,L}) (w \pm C\zeta) + \frac{w^2}{2} \pm C\zeta w \\ & + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{h_0^{2n} (1+\zeta)^{2n}}{(2n)!} \left[\frac{\partial^{2n} w}{\partial t \partial x^{2n-1}} \pm \frac{C(1+\zeta)}{2n+1} \frac{\partial^{2n} w}{\partial x^{2n}} + \right. \\ & \left. \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{2n} (-1)^m \binom{2n}{m} \frac{\partial^m w}{\partial x^m} \frac{\partial^{2n-m} w}{\partial x^{2n-m}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

It is convenient to make the following change of dependent variables

$$\begin{aligned} w + C\zeta &= 2\epsilon C\alpha \\ w - C\zeta &= -2\epsilon C\beta, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

which give us $w = \epsilon c(\alpha - \beta)$ and $\zeta = \epsilon(\alpha + \beta)$. Together with (12) and (13) we can now represent (14) as

$$2C\epsilon(C_R + C_L) \left[\ell \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \eta} + \epsilon k \ell \left(\theta_\eta \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \xi} - \theta_\xi \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \eta} \right) \right] + \left\{ k \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \ell \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} + \right. \\ \left. + \epsilon k \ell \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} (\theta - \phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (\theta - \phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right] \right\} F_+ = 0. \quad (17)$$

A similar equation for β is obtained by replacing α by β , ξ by η , k by ℓ , and θ by ϕ . We need hereafter consider (17) only. We now express the new variables in the following power series

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\xi, \eta) &= \alpha_0 + \epsilon \alpha_1 + \epsilon^2 \alpha_2 + \dots \\ \beta(\xi, \eta) &= \beta_0 + \epsilon \beta_1 + \epsilon^2 \beta_2 + \dots \\ \theta(\xi, \eta) &= \theta_0(\eta) + \epsilon \theta_1(\xi, \eta) + \dots \\ \phi(\xi, \eta) &= \phi_0(\xi) + \epsilon \phi_1(\xi, \eta) + \dots \\ C_R &= C(1 + \epsilon a R_1 + \epsilon^2 a^2 R_2 + \epsilon^3 a^3 R_3 + \dots) \\ C_L &= C(1 + \epsilon b L_1 + \epsilon^2 b^2 L_2 + \epsilon^3 b^3 L_3 + \dots) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Substituting (18) into (17) we obtain a lengthy expression in power series of ϵ , (See Appendix). The coefficients of ϵ , ϵ^2 , ϵ^3 and part of ϵ^4 will, however, be presented in sequel as follows:

i) Coefficients of ϵ .

The equations are simply

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_0}{\partial \eta} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial \xi} = 0.$$

Their solutions are

$$\alpha_0 = af(\xi), \quad \beta_0 = bg(\eta) \quad (19)$$

where the constants a, b appearing (19) as well as in (18) are introduced to allow us to take $f(0) = g(0) = 1$, f and g are arbitrary functions to be determined next.

ii) Coefficients of ϵ^2 .

The equation for α_1 takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} 4\ell \left(\frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial \eta} + ak \frac{\partial \theta_0}{\partial \eta} f' \right) - 2R_1 a^2 k f' + 3a^2 k f f'' - b^2 \ell g g' - ab \ell f g' \\ - ab k f' g + \frac{h_0^2}{3} (ak^3 f''' + 2b\ell^3 g''') = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The terms appearing in (20) can be grouped into three kinds:

a) Secular terms: Those which are independent of η . There are three of them in (20); $ka(-2R_1 a f' + 3a f f' + \frac{h_0^2 k^2}{3} f''')$.

Upon integrating these with respect to η , we obtain secular behavior, i.e. a contribution which becomes unbounded in time or space. We set these secular terms equal to zero and obtain after letting

$$R_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad h_0^2 k^2 = 3a, \quad (21)$$

an equation which f has to satisfy, i.e.

$$f''' + 3ff' - f' = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad f = S(\xi) = \text{sech}^2\left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right). \quad (22)$$

Similarly we have from the equation for β ,

$$L_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad h_0^2 \ell^2 = 3b, \quad g = S(\eta) = \text{sech}^2\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\right). \quad (23)$$

b) Non Local terms.

These are not secular in the present, they will be if left as they are. The solution of α_1 due to them comes under an integral sign. Physically this represents the memory of a collision. In (20), we identify the following two terms to be in this category.

$$4ka\ell \frac{\partial \theta_0}{\partial \eta} f' - abkgf'.$$

We again set this equal to zero, and solve for θ_0 as

$$\theta_0 = \frac{b}{4\ell} \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} g(\eta_1) d\eta_1. \quad (24)$$

Similarly we have

$$\phi_0 = \frac{a}{4k} \int_{+\infty}^{\xi} f(\xi_1) d\xi. \quad (25)$$

(The above result agrees with an earlier work by Oikawa and Yajima.)

The choice of the Lower Limits of integrations will be explained in the next paragraph. Note that the term $abkgf'$ as mentioned earlier is non secular at this order. Had we let $\theta_0 = 0$ we could have solved α_1 with a term of the form $f' \int^{\eta} g(\eta_1) d\eta_1$. Such an α_1 will cause a secular solution in α_2 .

We now identify the right- and left-going waves as those described by f and g respectively. We shall specify the location of these waves before and after collision in terms of asymptotic values of ξ and η as follows:

	Before collision	After collision
Right-going wave f	$\eta \rightarrow -\infty$	$\eta \rightarrow +\infty$
Left-going wave g	$\xi \rightarrow +\infty$	$\xi \rightarrow -\infty$.

We can then calculate from (24) and (25) the shifts of the waves after collision. These phase shifts make a uniform translation

of the ξ and η while leaving the profiles intact. We shall call these simple phase shifts.

c) Local terms.

The remaining terms in (20) can be integrated to give

$$\alpha_1(\xi, \eta) = \frac{7}{8} b^2 g^2 + \frac{ab}{4} fg - \frac{b^2}{2} g + a^2 F_1(\xi) \quad (26)$$

similarly

$$\beta_1(\xi, \eta) = \frac{7}{8} a^2 f^2 + \frac{ab}{4} fg - \frac{a^2}{2} f + b^2 G_1(\eta) \quad (27)$$

where $F_1(\xi)$ and $G_1(\eta)$ are two arbitrary functions to be determined from the consideration of the secular terms in the next order of approximation.

iii) Coefficients of ϵ^3 .

The number of terms in this case is already rather formidable, we shall list them separately in the different groups only.

a) Secular terms: Setting these equal to zero, we have

$$F_1'' + (3f-1)F_1 = (2R_2 - \frac{19}{20})f + \frac{9}{8}f^2 + \frac{1}{4}f^3. \quad (28)$$

The first term on the right of (30) gives a solution of F_1 as $(2R_2 - \frac{19}{20})(f + \frac{\xi}{2}f')$ which is unbounded as $\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty$. We thus require the coefficient of this term to vanish i.e.

$$R_2 = \frac{19}{40}. \quad (29)$$

This gives a second order correction for the wave speed.

The remaining equation can be readily solved and we have

$$F_1 = -\frac{1}{8}f^2 + f. \quad (30)$$

We have now the complete solution for α_1 and β_1 :

$$\alpha_1(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{8}(7b^2g^2 - a^2f^2) - \frac{1}{2}(b^2g - 2a^2f) + \frac{ab}{4}fg, \quad (31)$$

$$\beta_1(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{8}(7a^2f^2 - b^2g^2) - \frac{1}{2}(a^2f - 2b^2g) + \frac{ab}{4}fg. \quad (32)$$

To the result of Eq. (30) we can also add one of the bounded homogeneous solutions of (28), which is the first derivative of f . However as we go to higher order, it is seen that such an addition only amounts to a uniform shift of the origin of ξ which represents a simple phase shift defined above. We will henceforth drop it entirely.

b) Non Local terms.

These terms will give the solution of θ_1 and ϕ_1 as

$$\theta_1(\xi, \eta) = \frac{9ab}{4\ell} f \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} g(\eta_1) d\eta_1 + \frac{1}{4\ell} \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} \left[\frac{3}{2} g^2 - \left(\frac{13a}{4} + \frac{b}{4} \right) bg \right] d\eta_1, \quad (33)$$

$$\phi_1(\xi, \eta) = \frac{9ab}{4k} g \int_{+\infty}^{\xi} f(\xi_1) d\xi_1 + \frac{1}{4k} \int_{+\infty}^{\xi} \left[\frac{3}{2} a^2 f^2 - \left(\frac{13}{4} b + \frac{a}{4} \right) af \right] d\xi_1. \quad (34)$$

Each of the second terms above is of similar nature to the first order phase shift formulas (24) and (25). They give simple phase shifts. Note however that the first term in (33) depends on ξ as $\eta \rightarrow +\infty$. Since θ_1 enters into the argument ξ of the function f , we see that the wave profile of the right-going solitary wave differs from the one before collision. It tilts backward to the direction of propagation of the wave. (See Fig. 1 and the discussion in ii below). Similar behaviour appears in the left-going wave because of the first term in (34).

In section V we will study the time evolution of these unsymmetrical waves and show that its unsymmetrical part, acquired during collision will separate and propagate away from the symmetric waves of permanent type which are identical to the waves before collision.

c) Local terms.

After integrating the local terms, we obtain

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{32} b^3 g^3 + 2ab^2 fg^2 - \frac{1}{4} a^2 bf^2 g - \frac{9}{8} ab^2 fg + \frac{3}{8} a^2 bfg +$$

$$\frac{43}{32} b^3 g^2 - \frac{7}{10} b^3 g + a^3 F_2(\xi). \quad (35)$$

A similar expression is obtained for β_2 , i.e.

$$\beta_2 = \frac{1}{32} a^3 f^3 + 2a^2 b f^2 g - \frac{1}{4} ab^2 fg^2 - \frac{9}{8} a^2 bfg + \frac{3}{8} ab^2 fg +$$

$$\frac{43}{32} a^3 f^2 - \frac{7}{10} a^3 f + b^3 G_2(\eta). \quad (36)$$

The arbitrary functions to integration $F_2(\xi)$ and $G_2(\eta)$ like its counterpart in the previous order of approximation must be determined from the secularity-free condition in the next order of approximation.

iv) Coefficients of ϵ^4 .

We shall focus only on the secular terms in this order.

Setting them equal to zero, we obtain an equation for F_2 similar to (28) as follows

$$F_2'' + (3f-1)F_2 = (2R_3 - \frac{55}{56})f - \frac{393}{160} f^2 + \frac{201}{16} f^3 - \frac{591}{64} f^4. \quad (37)$$

The above equation is solved by

$$R_3 = \frac{55}{112} \quad \text{and} \quad F_2(\xi) = \frac{197}{160} f^3 - \frac{217}{160} f^2 + \frac{43}{40} f. \quad (38)$$

Similarly

$$L_3 = \frac{55}{112} \quad \text{and} \quad G_2(\eta) = \frac{197}{160} g^3 - \frac{217}{160} g^2 + \frac{43}{40} g \quad (39)$$

for the left-going wave. This completes our result for α_2 and β_2 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2 = & \frac{1}{32} b^3 g^3 + 2ab^2 fg^2 - \frac{1}{4} a^2 bf^2 g - \frac{9}{8} ab^2 fg + \frac{3}{8} a^2 bfg + \frac{43}{32} b^3 g^2 \\ & - \frac{7}{10} b^3 g + \frac{43}{40} a^3 f - \frac{217}{160} a^3 f^2 + \frac{197}{160} a^3 f^3, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_2 = & \frac{1}{32} a^3 f^3 + 2a^2 bfg^2 - \frac{1}{4} ab^2 fg^2 - \frac{9}{8} a^2 bfg + \frac{3}{8} ab^2 fg + \frac{43}{32} a^2 f^2 \\ & - \frac{7}{10} a^3 f + \frac{43}{40} b^3 g - \frac{217}{160} b^3 g^2 + \frac{197}{160} b^3 g^3. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

III-B. Summary of Results in this Section.

We have obtained in this section, the following results for the velocity at the bottom, W , the perturbed free surface elevation ζ , the wave speeds C_R, C_L , and the phase functions θ, ϕ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 W(f, g) = & \epsilon C \{ af - bg + \epsilon [-a^2 f^2 + b^2 g^2 + \frac{3}{2}(a^2 f - b^2 g)] + \\
 & \epsilon^2 [\frac{6}{5}(a^3 f^3 - b^3 g^3) - \frac{27}{10}(a^3 f^2 - b^3 g^2) + \frac{71}{40}(a^3 f - b^3 g) \\
 & + \frac{3}{2}(a^2 bfg - ab^2 fg) - \frac{9}{4}(a^2 bf^2 g - ab^2 fg^2)] \} \quad (42)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \zeta(f, g) = & \epsilon \{ af + bg + [\frac{3}{4}(a^2 f^2 + b^2 g^2) + \frac{1}{2}abfg + \frac{1}{2}(a^2 f + b^2 g)] + \\
 & + \epsilon^2 [\frac{101}{80}(a^3 f^3 + b^3 g^3) - \frac{1}{80}(a^3 f^2 - b^3 g^2) + \frac{7}{4}(ab^2 fg^2 + \\
 & + a^2 bf^2 g) - \frac{3}{4}(a^2 bfg + ab^2 fg) + \frac{3}{8}(a^3 f + b^2 g)] \} \quad (43)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{C_R}{C} = 1 + \frac{\epsilon a}{2} + \frac{19}{40} \epsilon^2 a^2 + \frac{55}{112} \epsilon^3 a^3 \quad (44)$$

$$\frac{C_L}{C} = 1 + \frac{\epsilon b}{2} + \frac{19}{40} \epsilon^2 b^2 + \frac{55}{112} \epsilon^3 b^3 \quad (45)$$

$$\theta = \frac{b}{4\ell} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \epsilon bg - \frac{13}{4} a\epsilon - \frac{b\epsilon}{9} \right) g \, d\eta + 9\epsilon af(\xi) \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} g \, d\eta \right\} \quad (46)$$

$$\phi = \frac{a}{4k} \left\{ \int_{+\infty}^{\xi} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \epsilon af - \frac{13}{4} b\epsilon - \frac{a\epsilon}{4} \right) f \, d\xi + 9\epsilon bg(\eta) \int_{+\infty}^{\xi} f \, d\xi \right\} \quad (47)$$

where $f = \text{sech}^2 \xi/2$, and $g = \text{sech}^2 \eta/2$ with ξ and η as defined by Eqs. (10) and (11).

To compare these results with the earlier works on one solitary wave of Laitone, Grimshaw and Fenton, we note that ϵ as used here is different from their non dimensional wave amplitude parameter, which is defined as the ratio of maximum wave height, $a_{\max}$, to the undisturbed depth (i.e. $\frac{a_{\max}}{h_0}$). They expressed the wave number and wave speed in powers of this parameter. On the other hand we, in this paper, have fixed the wave number parameter as $\epsilon^{1/2}$ and expanded the other quantities in terms of ϵ . From (44) we see that

$$\zeta(1,0) = \epsilon a + a^2 \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{5}{4}\right) + a^3 \epsilon^3 \frac{13}{8} + O(\epsilon^4) \quad (48)$$

and

$$\zeta(0,1) = \epsilon b + b^2 \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{5}{4}\right) + b^3 \epsilon^3 \frac{13}{8} + O(\epsilon^4) .$$

Defining $\epsilon_R = \zeta(1,0)$ and $\epsilon_L = \zeta(0,1)$, each of which is the amplitude parameters used by Laitone, Grimshaw and Fenton, we have after inverting the above relationship

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon a &= \epsilon_R - \frac{5}{4} \epsilon_R^2 + \frac{3}{2} \epsilon_R^3 + O(\epsilon_R^4) \\ \epsilon b &= \epsilon_L - \frac{5}{4} \epsilon_L^2 + \frac{3}{2} \epsilon_L^3 + O(\epsilon_L^4) . \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

We now rewrite (44-46) in terms of ϵ_R and ϵ_L as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(\xi, \eta) &= \epsilon_R \left\{ f + \frac{3}{4} \epsilon_R (f^2 - f) + \epsilon_R^2 \left(\frac{101}{80} f^3 - \frac{151}{80} f^2 + \frac{5}{8} f \right) \right\} + \\ &\quad \epsilon_L \left\{ g + \frac{3}{4} \epsilon_L (g^2 - g) + \epsilon_L^2 \left(\frac{101}{80} g^3 - \frac{151}{80} g^2 + \frac{5}{8} g \right) \right\} + \\ &\quad \epsilon_R \epsilon_L \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \left[\frac{7}{4} (\epsilon_R f + \epsilon_L g) - \frac{11}{8} (\epsilon_R + \epsilon_L) \right] \right\} fg \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

$$\frac{C_R}{C} = 1 + \frac{\epsilon_R}{2} - \frac{3}{20} \epsilon_R^2 + \frac{3}{56} \epsilon_R^3 \quad (51)$$

$$\frac{C_L}{C} = 1 + \frac{\epsilon_L}{2} - \frac{3}{20} \epsilon_L^2 + \frac{3}{56} \epsilon_L^3 \quad (52)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\xi}{2} = \frac{1}{h_0} \sqrt{\frac{3\epsilon_k}{4}} \left(1 - \frac{5}{8} \epsilon_R + \frac{71}{128} \epsilon_R^2 \right) \left\{ x - C_R t + \frac{h_0}{4} \frac{\epsilon_L}{3} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\eta} \left[1 + \epsilon_L \left(\frac{3}{2} g - \frac{7}{8} \right) \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. - \frac{13}{4} \epsilon_R \right] g \, d\eta + 9\epsilon_R f \int_{-\infty}^{\eta} g \, d\eta \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

$$= \frac{1}{h_0} \sqrt{\frac{3\epsilon_R}{4}} \left(1 - \frac{5}{8} \epsilon_R + \frac{71}{128} \epsilon_R^2 \right) \{ x - C_R t + \theta \}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\eta}{2} = \frac{1}{h_0} \sqrt{\frac{3\epsilon_L}{4}} \left(1 - \frac{5}{8} \epsilon_L + \frac{71}{128} \epsilon_L^2 \right) \left\{ x + C_L t + \frac{h_0}{4} \frac{\epsilon_L}{3} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} \left[1 + \epsilon_R \left(\frac{3}{2} f - \frac{7}{8} \right) \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. - \frac{13}{4} \epsilon_L \right] f \, d\xi + 9\epsilon_L g \int_{-\infty}^{\xi} f \, d\xi \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

$$= \frac{1}{h_0} \sqrt{\frac{3\epsilon_L}{4}} \left(1 - \frac{5}{8} \epsilon_L + \frac{71}{128} \epsilon_L^2 \right) \{ x + C_L t + \psi \} .$$

The last list of (53) and (54) serve to define θ and ψ .

The following quantities are presented for convenience of comparison with the experimental results of Chan-Street, and Maxworthy.

(i) phase changes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Before collision} \quad & \begin{cases} \eta \rightarrow -\infty & \theta \rightarrow 0 \\ \xi \rightarrow +\infty & \psi \rightarrow 0 \end{cases} \\ \text{After collision} \quad & \begin{cases} \eta \rightarrow -\infty & \theta = h_0 \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_L}{3}} \left[1 + \frac{\epsilon_L}{8} - \frac{13}{4} \epsilon_R \right] + 9h_0 \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_L}{3}} \epsilon_R f \\ \xi \rightarrow +\infty & \psi = -h_0 \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_R}{3}} \left[1 + \frac{\epsilon_R}{8} - \frac{13}{4} \epsilon_L \right] - 9h_0 \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_R}{3}} \epsilon_L g \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta\theta = h_0 \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_L}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon_L}{8} - \frac{13}{4} \epsilon_R \right) + 9h_0 \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_L}{3}} \epsilon_R f \quad (55)$$

$$\Delta\psi = -h_0 \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_R}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon_R}{8} - \frac{13}{4} \epsilon_L \right) - 9h_0 \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_R}{3}} \epsilon_L g \quad (56)$$

The first term in the right of (55) or the first term in the right of (56) represents a simple phase shift (a uniform translation

without change of wave profile). This agrees, in the first order, with that of Oikawa and Yajima.

(ii) Distortion of Wave Profile.

The second term in the right of (55) and (56) is a function of ξ or η respectively, i.e. different phase shift at points in the wave. This causes a distortion in the wave profile. For waves of large separation, the localized interaction terms which are products of f and g in (50) vanish. Following the right-going wave we then have after setting $g = 0$,

$$\zeta = \epsilon_R [f + \frac{3}{4} \epsilon_R (f^2 - f) + \epsilon_R^2 (\frac{101}{80} f^3 - \frac{151}{80} f^2 + \frac{5}{8} f)], \quad (57)$$

$$\text{with } f = \text{sech}^2 [h_0 \sqrt{\frac{3\epsilon_R}{4}} (1 - \frac{5}{8} \epsilon_R + \frac{71}{128} \epsilon_R^2) (x - C_R t + \theta)], \quad (58)$$

where the values of θ before and after collision are given in (i). In fig. 1 we plot the perturbed free surface elevation before and after collision according to Eq. (57) and (58). Before a collision, $\theta = 0$, the profile is symmetric but thinner than the first order approximation given by (58). After the collision, the wave becomes unsymmetrical and tilts backward to the direction of its propagation. We have also plotted the difference between the unsymmetric and the symmetric profile (graph No. 3). The propagation of these tilted waves will be discussed in the next section.

In addition to the tilting discussed above, a second hump will appear in the right branch of the wave profile for values of $\epsilon_R > (48)^{-1/4} \approx .3799$. However for such an ϵ_R the higher order terms which are neglected in θ becomes comparable to those terms

returned. The significance of this hump is thus not clear and we shall say no more about it.

(iii) Maximum (Run-up) Amplitude during Collision.

For two head-on colliding solitary waves with their maximum heights before collision defined as ϵ_R and ϵ_L , the Run-up at a point (ξ, η) is defined by the value of the perturbed free surface elevation of Eq. (50). It is easy to see that the maximum Run-up exists at the point (ξ, η) where $f = 1$, $g = 1$ and hence

$$\text{Maximum Run-up} = \epsilon_R + \epsilon_L + \frac{\epsilon_R \epsilon_L}{2} + \frac{3}{8} \epsilon_R \epsilon_L (\epsilon_R + \epsilon_L). \quad (59a)$$

For two identical solitary waves $\epsilon_R = \epsilon_L$ and the maximum Run-up is

$$\text{Maximum Run-up} = 2\epsilon_R + \frac{\epsilon_R^2}{2} + \frac{3}{4} \epsilon_R^3. \quad (59b)$$

In figure 2 we plot the max Run up of (59-b), for the first, second (Byatt-Smith), and third order approximation, respectively. The existing numerical, (Chan and Street) as well as the experimental results, (Chan and Street, Maxworthy) are also presented (in the plot). It is seen that our third order result is in complete agreement with the numerical values of Chan and Street for $\epsilon_R \leq 0.5$. The experimental data has a sizable spread. Even then, the third order result seems to represent them best if one excludes those data of Maxworthy which represent wave-wave interaction experiment.

V. Time Evolution of the Unsymmetrical Waves.

It is seen from the results of the previous section that the after-effect of a collision on a solitary wave is manifested only by the phase functions θ and ϕ . As the collision process comes to an end designated by $\eta \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\xi \rightarrow -\infty$ for the right- and left going wave respectively, we see that, say for the right-going wave, all the terms associated with $g(\eta)$ vanish, except those appearing in the phase function where g is under an integral sign. After dropping all terms which are products of f and g , we are left with a solitary wave propagating with a constant speed. This wave, however, is not a solution to the equation for wave propagating without change of speed and shape. In this section we consider the slow time evolution of this unsymmetrical wave. We first derive the appropriate governing equation, and then solve this with the wave profile emerging from the collision as an initial data.

Since the ξ dependence of θ first occurs in the third order of ϵ , we take that a slow time dependence does not come in until that order of approximation. We assume, following the right-going wave that

$$\zeta = \epsilon S(\xi_0) + \epsilon^2 \zeta_2(\xi_0) + \epsilon^3 \zeta_3(\xi_0, \tau) + \dots \quad (60)$$

$$W' = \epsilon C_R [S(\xi_0) + \epsilon W'_2(\xi_0) + \epsilon^2 W'_3(\xi_0, \tau) + \dots] \quad (61)$$

where $\xi_0 = \sqrt{\epsilon} k(x - C_R t)$, τ in ζ_3 and w_3 allows the slow time evolution of the wave in a moving frame fixed in the right-going wave. We have also assumed that the left-going wave is far away and exerts no more effect on the right-going wave. We define

the slow time variable by

$$\tau = \epsilon^{3/2} k C_R t. \quad (62)$$

Substituting (62), (61), and (60) into the basic equations (6) and (7), we obtain equations for S in the first order approximation, and ζ_2 , W'_2 for the order in ϵ^2 . The solutions of these equations are

$$\left. \begin{aligned} R_1 &= \frac{1}{2}, & S &= \text{sech}^2 \frac{\xi_0}{2} . \\ R_2 &= \frac{19}{40}, & W'_2 &= -S^2 + S, & \zeta_2 &= \frac{3}{4} S^2 + \frac{S}{2} . \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (63)$$

These are equivalent to the solutions obtained by Laitone.

To the third order of approximation, we have in terms of W'_3

$$\frac{\partial^2 W'_3}{\partial \xi_0^2} + (3S-1)W'_3 + 2 \int_0^{\xi_0} \frac{\partial W'_3}{\partial \tau} d\xi_0 = (2R_3 - \frac{55}{56})S - \frac{12}{5} S^2 + 12 S^3 - 9S^4. \quad (64)$$

We decompose $W'_3(\xi_0, \tau)$ above into a stationary solution plus a transient, i.e.

$$W'_3(\xi_0, \tau) = W_3(\xi_0) + V(\xi_0, \tau). \quad (65)$$

These functions then satisfy

$$\frac{d^2 W_3}{d\xi_0^2} + (3S-1)W_3 = (2R_3 - \frac{55}{56})S - \frac{12}{5} S^2 + 12 S^3 - 9S^4 \quad (66)$$

and

$$2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_0} \left[\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \xi_0^2} + (3S-1)V \right] = 0 \quad (67)$$

The solutions to (66) are

$$W_3 = \frac{6}{5} S^3 - \frac{6}{5} S^2 + \frac{4}{5} S \quad \text{and} \quad R_3 = \frac{55}{112}, \quad (68)$$

which is equivalent to the third order stationary solution of Grimshaw.

We now consider the time evolution of Eq. (67) with an initial data being the difference between the wave field of the right-going wave immediately after collision and that of $W_3(\xi_0)$ of (68), i.e. Curve No. 3 in Fig. 1. It can be shown that for any

$$V_\mu(\xi_0, \tau) = F(\xi_0, k) e^{-i \frac{\mu}{2} \tau + i k \xi_0} \quad (69)$$

is a solution of (67) provided that we take

$$F(\xi_0, k) = i k (k^2 - 1) + 2 i k S(\xi_0) + S'(\xi_0) + 2 k^2 \frac{S'(\xi_0)}{S(\xi_0)} \quad (70)$$

and $k^3 + k + \mu = 0$.

This form of solution is first suggested by Jeffrey and Kakutani (1970). Since $F(\xi_0, k)$ is bounded for all ξ_0 , to have a bounded solution $V_\mu(\xi_0, \tau)$ in ξ_0 , we must take a real k , which in turn requires a real μ . Therefore the general solution for V in (67) can be represented as an integral over all real k , i.e.

$$V(\xi_0, \tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk A(k) F(\xi_0, k) e^{-i \frac{\mu k}{2} \tau + i k \xi_0} \quad (71)$$

where $A(k)$ is determined by the initial data $V(\xi_0, \tau=0)$. The asymptotic behaviour of $V(\xi_0, \tau)^*$ for $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ is readily obtained by

* Since S' is a solution of (67) corresponding to $\mu = 0$, any initial data of this form will remain unchanged in time. The simple phase shifts are of this sort. They are exceptional to what is to be said below.

the method of stationary phase.

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} V(\xi_0, \tau) = \frac{2A(k_0)F(k_0, \xi_0)}{(\frac{\tau}{\pi} |\frac{d^2 \mu}{dk^2}|)^{1/2}} e^{i(k_0 \xi_0 - \frac{\mu_0 \tau}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2} \text{sgn } \mu_0'')} \quad (72)$$

where $\frac{3}{2} k_0^2 = -(\frac{\xi_0}{\tau} + \frac{1}{2})$ and $\mu_0 = -k_0(1+k_0^2)$

As $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ to have areal k_0 , one must choose large negative values of ξ_0 , that is to say, asymptotically the distortion of the right-going solitary wave emerging from the collision propagate to the left, in the opposite direction of propagation of the main wave. The amplitude of this secondary wavelet as is evident from (72) tends to zero asymptotically due to dispersion.

In conclusion we state that when two solitary waves have a head-on collision, the identities of each colliding wave are preserved, (i.e. their wave profiles remain unchanged). However the process of collision does leave two imprints on the emerging waves:

1) Simple phase shifts for each wave.

These phase shifts as given by the first term in Eq. (55) and (56) have the effect as if the waves are retarded during the collision.

2) Shedding of secondary waves.

Each solitary wave sheds a secondary wave with a shape of curve 3 in Fig. 1. These waves propagate with diminishing amplitude in the opposite direction of the main waves.

References

1. Byatt-Smith, J.G.B. (1971). An integral equation of unsteady surface waves and a comment on the Boussinesq equations. *J. Fluid Mech* 49, 625-633.
2. Chan, R.K.C. and Street, R.L. (1970). A computer study of finite amplitude water waves. *J. of Computational Phys.* 6, 68-94.
3. Fenton, John (1972). A ninth-order solution for the solitary wave. *J. Fluid Mech.* 53, 257-271.
4. Grimshaw, R. (1971). The solitary wave in water of variable depth, part 2. *J. Fluid Mech*, 46, 611-622.
5. Jeffery, A. and Kakutani, T. (1970). Stability of the Burger shock wave and the Kortweg de-Vries soliton. *Indiana Univ. Math. Jour.*, 20 463-468.
6. Laitone, E.V. (1960). The second approximation to cnoidal and solitary waves. *J. Fluid Mech.* 9, 430-444.
7. Maxworthy, T. (1976). Experiments on collisions between solitary waves. *J. Fluid Mech.* 76, 177-185.
8. Oikawa, M. and Yajima, N. (1973). Interactions of solitary waves - A perturbation approach to nonlinear systems. *J. Phy Soc., Japan*, 34, 1093-1099.
9. Whitham, G.B. (1974). Linear and nonlinear waves. Interscience Publishers, New York.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2

ϵ_L = non-dimensional amplitude

Acknowledgment: This research has been sponsored by the Office of Naval Research, Fluid Dynamics Program, Contract N00014-76-C-0924 and by the National Science Foundation, Eng 77-00982, Fluid Mechanics Program.

Substituting (18) into (17) we obtain a power series in ϵ . Here we recast each order of ϵ alone.

$$\underline{O(\epsilon)} = 0 \quad \frac{\partial \alpha_0}{\partial \eta} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial \xi} = 0 \quad (A1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{O(\epsilon^2)} = 0 \quad & 4\ell \frac{\partial \alpha_1}{\partial \eta} + \ell \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left[\frac{2h_0^2 \ell^2}{3} \frac{\partial^2 \beta_0}{\partial \eta^2} - (\alpha_0 + \beta_0) \beta_0 \right] \\ & + 4\ell k \frac{\partial \alpha_0}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{\partial \theta_0}{\partial \eta} - \frac{1}{4\ell} \beta_0 \right] + \\ & k \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{h_0^2 k^2}{3} \frac{\partial^2 \alpha_0}{\partial \xi^2} + \left(\frac{3}{2} \alpha_0 - 2R_1 a \right) \alpha_0 \right] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (A2)$$

The equation for β_1 is obtained from (A2) by replacing α_1 by β_1 , α_0 by β_0 , ℓ by k , θ_0 by ζ_0 , η by ξ , a by b , and R_1 by L_1 .

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{O(\epsilon^3)} = 0 \quad & 4\ell \frac{\partial \alpha_2}{\partial \eta} + \ell \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left[\frac{2h_0^2 \ell^2}{3} \frac{\partial^2 G_1^*}{\partial \eta^2} - (\alpha_0 + \beta_0) G_1^* \right. \\ & - \beta_1 F_1^* + \left(-\frac{3}{2} \beta_0^3 - \frac{3}{8} b \beta_0^2 - \frac{65}{8} \beta_0^2 \alpha_0 + \frac{7}{8} \beta_0 \alpha_0^2 \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{11}{2} b \alpha_0 \beta_0 - \frac{a \alpha_0 \beta_0}{2} + \frac{4}{5} b \alpha_0 \beta_0 \right) \right] + 4k\ell \frac{\partial \alpha_0}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial \eta} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{4\ell} G_1^* - \frac{1}{4\ell} (9\alpha_0 \beta_0 + \frac{13}{8} \beta_0^2 - \frac{13}{4} a \beta_0 - \frac{5}{4} b \beta_0) \right] + \\ & k \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{h_0^2 k^2}{3} \frac{\partial^2 F_1^*}{\partial \xi^2} + (3\alpha_0 - a) F_1^* - (2R_2 - \frac{19}{20}) a^2 \alpha_0 \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{9}{8} a \alpha_0^2 - \frac{\alpha_0^2}{4} \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (A2)$$

The equation for β_2 can be obtained from (A3) in a similar fashion as β_1 from (A2).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \underline{O(\epsilon^4)} = 0 \quad & 4\ell \frac{\partial \alpha_3}{\partial \eta} + \ell \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}[\dots] + 4k\ell \frac{\partial \alpha_0}{\partial \xi}[\dots] + \\
 & k \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left[\frac{h_0^2 k^2}{3} \frac{\partial^2 F_2^*}{\partial \xi^2} + (3\alpha_0 - a)F_2^* - (2R_3 - \frac{55}{56})a^3 \alpha_0 \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{393}{160} a^2 \alpha_0^2 - \frac{201}{16} a \alpha_0^3 + \frac{591}{64} \alpha_0^4 \right] = 0 \quad (A4)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $F_1^* = a^2 F_1$, $G_1^* = b^2 G_1$, $G_2^* = b^3 G_2$ and $F_2^* = a^3 F_2$, F_1 and F_2 are arbitrary functions of integration of (A2) and (A3) for α_1 and α_2 ; G_1 and G_2 are the corresponding arbitrary functions of integration for β_1 and β_2 . The symbols [...] in (A4) represent all the non secular terms in the (ϵ^4) order.