

AD-A069 950

NEW JERSEY STATE DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION TRENTON F/G 13/2  
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. SKYLINE LAKE DAM NUMBER 1 (NJ00203--ETC(U)  
MAY 79 R J JENNY

DACW61-78-C-0124

NL

UNCLASSIFIED

1 of 2  
AD  
A069950



The slide grid contains 132 individual slides arranged in 11 rows and 12 columns. The content is highly technical and includes:

- Text-heavy slides with various headings and paragraphs.
- Line graphs and data plots, particularly in the lower half of the grid.
- Photographs of the dam structure and the surrounding area.
- Technical diagrams and charts.

**LEVEL III**



PASSAIC RIVER BASIN

SHEPARD BROOK, PASSAIC COUNTY

NEW JERSEY

A069950

**SKYLINE LAKE**

**DAM NO. 1**

**NJ00203**

DDC  
R  
JUN 15 1979  
C

**PHASE 1 INSPECTION REPORT  
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM**

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICE...  
THE COPY FURNISHED TO DDC CONTAINED A  
SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH WERE  
REMOVED COMPLETELY.



Approved for public release;  
distribution unlimited

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICE...  
THE COPY FURNISHED TO DDC CONTAINED A  
SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH WERE  
REMOVED COMPLETELY.

DDC FILE COPY

**DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY**

Philadelphia District  
Corps of Engineers  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

May, 1979

29 06 14 035

**NOTICE**

**THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED  
FROM THE BEST COPY FURNISHED US BY  
THE SPONSORING AGENCY. ALTHOUGH IT  
IS RECOGNIZED THAT CERTAIN PORTIONS  
ARE ILLEGIBLE, IT IS BEING RELEASED  
IN THE INTEREST OF MAKING AVAILABLE  
AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE.**

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER NJ00203	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Phase I Inspection Report National Dam Safety Program Skyline Lake Dam No. 1 Passaic County, N.J. <i>HA - A 009615</i>		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED <b>9</b> FINAL rept.
7. AUTHOR(s) <b>10</b> Robert J. Jenny P.E.		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Jenny-Leedshill Engineering <i>New Jersey State</i> 318 South Orange Ave. South Orange, N.J. 07079 <i>Dept. of Environmental Protection - Trenton</i>		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) <b>15</b> DACW61-78-C-0124 ✓
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Engineer District, Philadelphia Custom House, 2d & Chestnut Streets Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) <b>12</b> 138p.		11. REPORT DATE May 1979
		12. NUMBER OF PAGES 75
		13. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this report) <b>6</b> National Dam Safety Program. Skyline Lake Dam Number 1 (NJ00203), Passaic River Basin, Shepard Brook, Passaic County, New Jersey. Phase I Inspection Report.		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Copies are obtainable from National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia, 22151.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Dams National Dam Inspection Act Report Embankments Skyline Lake Dam No. 1, N.J. Spillways Visual inspection		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report cites results of a technical investigation as to the dam's adequacy. The inspection and evaluation of the dam is as prescribed by the National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367. The technical investigation includes visual inspection, review of available design and construction records, and preliminary structural and hydraulic and hydrologic calculations, as applicable. An assessment of the dam's general condition is included in the report. <b>410 897 LJM</b>		

## **DISCLAIMER NOTICE**

**THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY  
PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED  
TO DDC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT  
NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT  
REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.**



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
PHILADELPHIA DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
CUSTOM HOUSE-2 D & CHESTNUT STREETS  
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19106

IN REPLY REFER TO

**NAPEN-D**

7 JUN 1979

Honorable Brendan T. Byrne  
Governor of New Jersey  
Trenton, NJ 08621

Dear Governor Byrne:

Inclosed is the Phase I Inspection Report for Skyline Lake Dam No. 1 in Passaic County, New Jersey which has been prepared under authorization of the Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367. A brief assessment of the dam's condition is given in the front of the report.

Based on visual inspection, available records, calculations and past operational performance, Skyline Lake Dam No. 1, a high hazard potential structure, is judged to be in fair overall condition. However, the spillway is considered seriously inadequate since 16 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) would overtop the dam. The seriously inadequate spillway is assessed as an UNSAFE, non-emergency condition, until more detailed studies prove otherwise or corrective measures are completed. The classification of UNSAFE applied to a dam because of a seriously inadequate spillway is not meant to indicate the same degree of emergency as would be associated with an UNSAFE classification applied for a structural deficiency. It does mean, however, that based on an initial screening, and preliminary computations, there appears to be a serious deficiency in spillway capacity so that if a severe storm were to occur, overtopping and failure of the dam would take place, significantly increasing the hazard to loss of life downstream from the dam. To insure adequacy of the structure, the following actions, as a minimum, are recommended:

a. The spillway's adequacy should be determined by a qualified professional consultant engaged by the owner using more sophisticated methods, procedures, and studies within six months from the date of approval of this report. Any remedial measures necessary to insure the adequacy of the spillway and to prevent overtopping should be initiated within calendar



**NAPEN-D**


**Honorable Brendan T. Byrne**

A copy of the report is being furnished to Mr. Dirk C. Hofman, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, the designated State Office contact for this program. Within five days of the date of this letter, a copy will also be sent to Congressman Robert A. Roe of the Eighth District. Under the provision of the Freedom of Information Act, the inspection report will be subject to release by this office, upon request, five days after the date of this letter.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Services (NTIS), Springfield, Virginia 22161 at a reasonable cost. Please allow four to six weeks from the date of this letter for NTIS to have copies of the report available.

An important aspect of the Dam Safety Program will be the implementation of the recommendations made as a result of the inspection. We accordingly request that we be advised of proposed actions taken by the State to implement our recommendations.

Sincerely,



**JAMES G. TON**  
Colonel, Corps of Engineers  
District Engineer

1 Incl  
As stated

**Copies furnished:**  
Dirk C. Hofman, P.E., Deputy Director  
Division of Water Resources  
N. J. Dept. of Environmental Protection  
P. O. Box CWO29  
Trenton, NJ 08625

**John O'Dowd, Acting Chief**  
Bureau of Flood Plain Management  
Division of Water Resources  
N. J. Dept. of Environmental Protection  
P. O. Box CWO29  
Trenton, NJ 08625

SKYLINE LAKE DAM NO. 1 (NJ00203)

CORPS OF ENGINEERS ASSESSMENT OF GENERAL CONDITIONS

This dam was inspected on 1 and 20 December 1978 by Jenny-Leedshill Engineers under contract to the State of New Jersey. The State, under agreement with the U. S. Army Engineer District, Philadelphia, had this inspection performed in accordance with the National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367.

Skyline Lake Dam No. 1, a high hazard potential structure, is judged to be in fair overall condition. However, the spillway is considered seriously inadequate since 16 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) would overtop the dam. The seriously inadequate spillway is assessed as an UNSAFE, non-emergency condition, until more detailed studies prove otherwise or corrective measures are completed. The classification of UNSAFE applied to a dam because of a seriously inadequate spillway is not meant to indicate the same degree of emergency as would be associated with an UNSAFE classification applied for a structural deficiency. It does mean, however, that based on an initial screening, and preliminary computations, there appears to be a serious deficiency in spillway capacity so that if a severe storm were to occur, overtopping and failure of the dam would take place, significantly increasing the hazard to loss of life downstream from the dam. To insure adequacy of the structure, the following actions, as a minimum, are recommended:

a. The spillway's adequacy should be determined by a qualified professional consultant engaged by the owner using more sophisticated methods, procedures, and studies within six months from the date of approval of this report. Any remedial measures necessary to insure the adequacy of the spillway and to prevent overtopping should be initiated within calendar year 1980. In the interim, a detailed emergency operation plan and warning system, should be promptly developed. Also, during periods of unusually heavy precipitation, around-the-clock surveillance should be provided.

b. Within six months from the date of approval of this report, engineering studies and analyses should be performed to determine the dam's embankment and foundation condition and structural stability. This should include test borings to determine material properties relative to stability and seepage and installation of piezometers to facilitate seepage studies. Any remedial measure found necessary should be initiated within calendar year 1980.

c. The following remedial actions should be completed within three months from the date of approval of this report:

- 70
- (1) All brush and small trees should be removed from the embankment.
  - (2) The hole at the right downstream toe of the spillway should be repaired.
  - (3) Repair the cracked and spalled concrete spillway wing walls.
  - (4) Repair eroded areas of the embankment adjacent to the spillway wing walls.

d. Within six months from the date of approval of this report, the following actions should be taken:

- (1) The seismicity at the dam site and its effect on the stability of the dam should be investigated.

- (2) The dam should be surveyed to confirm its as-built geometry.

e. A program of annual inspections of the dam should be initiated by the owners, utilizing the standard visual checklist in this report. Timely corrective action should be taken as necessary. A permanent record should be kept of all maintenance and operating events of the dam and reservoir.

APPROVED: 

JAMES G. TON  
Colonel, Corps of Engineers  
District Engineer

DATE: 4 Nov 1979



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
PHILADELPHIA DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
CUSTOM HOUSE-2 D & CHESTNUT STREETS  
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19106

IN REPLY REFER TO

NAPEN

Honorable Brendan T. Byrne  
Governor of New Jersey  
Trenton, NJ 08621

17 MAY 1979

Dear Governor Byrne:

This is in reference to our ongoing National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams with the State of New Jersey. Skyline Lake Dam No. 1 (Federal I.D. No. NJ00203), a high hazard potential structure has recently been inspected. The dam is owned by the Skyline Lake Property Owners Association and is located on Shephard Brook approximately a half mile northeast of the Borough of Wanaque-Midvale in Passaic County.

Using Corps of Engineers screening criteria, it has been determined that the dam's spillway is seriously inadequate since approximately 16 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood would overtop the dam. The seriously inadequate spillway is assessed as an UNSAFE, non-emergency condition, until more detailed studies prove otherwise, or corrective measures are completed. The classification of UNSAFE applied to a dam because of a seriously inadequate spillway is not meant to indicate the same degree of emergency as would be associated with an UNSAFE classification applied for a structural deficiency. It does mean, however, that based on an initial screening and preliminary computations, there appears to be a serious deficiency in spillway capacity so that if a severe storm were to occur, overtopping and failure of the dam could take place, significantly increasing the hazard potential to loss of life downstream from the dam. As a result of this UNSAFE determination, it is recommended that the dam's owner take the following measures within 30 days of the date of this letter:

a. Engage the services of a qualified professional consultant to more accurately determine the spillway adequacy by using more detailed and sophisticated hydrologic and hydraulic analyses, and to recommend any remedial measures required to prevent overtopping of the dam.


NAPEN-D

Honorable Brendan T. Byrne

b. In the interim, a detailed emergency operation plan and downstream warning system should be developed. Also, round-the-clock surveillance should be provided during periods of unusually heavy precipitation.

A final report on this Phase I Inspection will be forwarded to you within two months.

Sincerely,



JAMES G. TON  
Colonel, Corps of Engineers  
District Engineer

Cy Furn:

Dirk C. Hofman, Actg Deputy Director  
Division of Water Resources  
N. J. Dept of Environmental Protection  
P. O. Box CN029  
Trenton, NJ 08625

John O'Dowd, Acting Chief  
Bureau of Flood Plain Management  
Division of Water Resources  
N. J. Dept of Environmental Protection  
P. O. Box CN029  
Trenton, NJ 08625

UNSAFE DAM  
NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF DAMS

a. NAME: Skyline Lake Dam No. 1 b. ID NO.: NJ00203 c. LOCATION State: New Jersey County: Passaic

River or Stream: Shepard Brook

d. HEIGHT: 16 feet e. MAXIMUM IMPOUNDMENT CAPACITY: 150 ac. ft.

Nearest D/S City or Town: Wanaque-Midvale

f. TYPE: Earthfill with steel sheet pile core g. OWNER: Skyline Lake Property Owners Assoc.

h. DATE GOVERNOR NOTIFIED OF UNSAFE CONDITIONS: 17 May 78. i. CONDITION OF DAM RESULTING IN UNSAFE ASSESSMENT: Preliminary report calculations indicate 16% of PMF would overtop the dam.

l. URGENCY CATEGORY: UNSAFE, Non-emergency

m. EMERGENCY ACTIONS TAKEN:

Gov. notified of this condition by District Engineer's letter of 17 May 78.

j. DESCRIPTION OF DANGER INVOLVED: Overtopping and failure of the dam significantly increases hazard potential to loss of life and property downstream of dam.

n. REMEDIAL ACTIONS TAKEN:

N.J.D.E.P. will notify dam's owner upon receipt of our letter

k. RECOMMENDATIONS GIVEN TO GOVERNOR:

Within 30 days of date of District Engineer letter the owner do the following:

a. Engage the services of a qualified professional consultant to more accurately determine the spillway adequacy by using more detailed and sophisticated hydrologic and hydraulic analyses, and to recommend any remedial measures required to prevent overtopping of the dam.

o. REMARKS: Final report, to be issued within six weeks, will have WHITE cover.

b. In the interim, a detailed emergency operation plan and downstream warning system should be developed. Also, around-the-clock surveillance should be provided during periods of unusually heavy precipitation.

*W. H. Zink*  
W. H. ZINK, Coordinator  
Dam Inspection Program  
U.S.A.E.D., Philadelphia

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT  
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Name of Dam: Skyline Lake Dam No. 1  
Federal I.D. No. NJ 00203  
New Jersey I.D. No. 399  
State Located: New Jersey  
County Located: Passaic  
Stream: Shephard Brook  
Dates of Inspection: December 1 and 20, 1978

Brief Assessment of General Condition of Dam

The dam appears to be in fair overall condition based on visual inspection.


The spillway of Skyline Lake Dam No. 1 is capable of passing approximately 15 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood and is considered seriously inadequate.

There is evidence of erosion of the embankment adjacent to the spillway wingwalls exposing the steel sheet pile core wall. In addition, there is cracking and spalling of the concrete spillway and wingwalls. The available engineering data are not sufficient to quantitatively analyze the seepage and structural stability of the dam.

Recommendations and the urgency of their implementation are as follows:


1. More sophisticated and detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses of the spillway capacity should be performed as soon as possible. From this, a positive action program of corrective measures should be developed and implemented as necessary.

2. Field and laboratory investigations should be performed in the near future, including installation of piezometers, to determine physical properties of the embankment and foundation materials. These data should be evaluated by an experienced geotechnical engineer.
3. The dam should be surveyed in the near future to confirm its as-built geometry.
4. The hole at the right downstream toe of the spillway should be repaired soon.
5. A warning system to alert downstream inhabitants in case of dam failure should be implemented in the near future.
6. A program of inspections of the dam should be initiated in the near future.
7. All brush and small trees should be removed from the embankments as soon as possible.
8. The seismicity at the dam site and its effect on the stability of the dam should be investigated in the near future.



Frank L. Panuzio, P.E.


Project Engineer




Robert J. Jenny, P.E.

Project Director

N.J. License No. 9878



View c



7  
SKYLINE DAM #1

2

View of dam looking downstream.  
(Dec. 1, 1978)



3

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
BRIEF ASSESSMENT OF GENERAL CONDITION OF DAM	i
OVERVIEW PHOTOGRAPH OF DAM	
PREFACE	iii
SECTION 1 PROJECT INFORMATION	
1.1 General	1
1.2 Description of Project	1
SECTION 2 ENGINEERING DATA	
2.1 Design	6
2.2 Construction	8
2.3 Operation	9
2.4 Evaluation	9
SECTION 3 VISUAL INSPECTION	
3.1 Findings	10
SECTION 4 OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES	
4.1 Procedures	14
4.2 Maintenance of Dam	14
4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities	14
4.4 Description of Warning System	15
4.5 Evaluation of Operational Adequacy	15
SECTION 5 HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC	
5.1 Evaluation of Features	16

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

	Page
SECTION 6 STRUCTURAL STABILITY	
6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability	23
SECTION 7 ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES	
7.1 Dam Assessment	25
7.2 Remedial Measures	27

PLATES

1. Vicinity Map
2. Plan and Profile of Dam
3. Sections and Elevations

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A - Check List - Visual Observations  
                    Check List - Engineering, Construction  
                                    Maintenance Data

APPENDIX B - Photographs

1. Dam crest
2. Left spillway abutment
3. Left abutment
4. Face of spillway
5. Spillway crest

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

6. Spillway toe
7. Left wingwall
8. Reservoir
9. Downstream channel
10. Downstream channel

APPENDIX C - Regional Geology - Highlands

APPENDIX D - Hydrologic and Hydraulic Computations

## PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D. C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aide in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT  
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

SKYLINE LAKE DAM NO. 1  
Federal I.D. No. NJ 00203  
New Jersey I.D. No. 399

SECTION 1: PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

a. Authority

The National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367, 1972, provides for the National Inventory and Inspection Program by the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers. This report has been prepared in accordance with this authority, through contract between the State of New Jersey and Jenny-Leedshill Engineers. The State of New Jersey has also entered into an agreement with the U. S. Army Engineer District, Philadelphia, to have this work performed.

b. Purpose of Inspection

The purpose of this inspection was to evaluate the general structural integrity and hydraulic adequacy of the dam, and to determine if the dam constitutes a hazard to human life or property.

1.2 Description of Project

a. Description of Dam and Appurtenances

The dam is an earthfill structure with a steel sheet

pile core wall. The dam is 210 feet long, has a maximum height of 16 feet and a crest width of approximately 8 feet. The slope of both the upstream and downstream face is 2 horizontal on 1 vertical. Immediately upstream of the reservoir is Skyline Lake Dam No. 2, a structure built at the same time as Dam No. 1.

A core wall of steel sheet piling extends along the center line of the dam crest, from the top of the crest to approximately 8 to 10 feet beneath the base of the dam.

The spillway structure is located near the center of the dam. The weir is 50 feet long and there is 4 feet of freeboard between the crest and top of the concrete spillway walls. The spillway has an Ogee weir and a masonry apron.

A 20-inch diameter cast iron outlet pipe passes beneath the dam about 20 feet to the left (east) of the spillway. The control valve is housed in a reinforced concrete well with a steel manhole cover located just downstream of the center line of the dam.

b. Location

Skyline Lake Dam No. 1 is located in north central New Jersey on Shephard Brook, approximately 1/2 mile northeast of the Borough of Wanaque-Midvale, in Passaic County, New Jersey. The vicinity map is presented on Plate 1.

c. Size Classification

The dam is 16 feet high and the maximum storage capacity of the reservoir is 150 acre-feet; therefore, the size classification of the dam is small.

The criteria for size classification of dams are set

forth in the Corps' Guidelines. A small size dam is one in which the reservoir capacity is greater than or equal to 50 acre-feet and less than 1000 acre feet, and/or the maximum height is greater than or equal to 25 feet and less than 40 feet.

d. Hazard Classification

A road and playground are immediately downstream from the dam, and several houses and roads in the Borough of Wanaque-Midvale (population 8,500) are in the downstream flood path. Failure or misoperation of the dam could result in the loss of more than a few lives and excessive economic loss; therefore, Skyline Lake Dam No. 1 should be classified high hazard.

e. Ownership

The dam is owned by the Skyline Lake Property Owners Association, Skyline Lake, Ringwood, New Jersey 07456.

f. Purpose of Dam

The reservoir is used for aesthetics and recreation.

g. Design and Construction History

The application for construction of Skyline Lake Dam No. 1, including design drawings, was filed on June 29, 1945. The dam was constructed in 1945 and 1946 and was accepted by the State on May 14, 1946.

h. Normal Operational Procedures

There is typically no regulation of the dam or reservoir, other than to drain the reservoir every few years for cleaning.

1.3 Pertinent Data

- |   |             |
|---|-------------|
| a. Drainage Area                                      | 2.9 sq. mi. |
| b. Discharge at Damsite                               |             |
| . Ungated spillway capacity at maximum pool elevation | 1400 cfs    |

- c. Elevation (ft. above MSL)\*
- . Top dam 272.3
  - . Spillway crest 268.3
  - . Streambed at centerline of dam 256.3
- d. Reservoir
- . Length of maximum pool (dam crest) 1700 ft.
  - . Length of recreation pool  
(spillway crest) 1600 ft.
- e. Storage (acre-feet)
- . Recreation pool (spillway crest) 85
  - . Top of dam 150
- f. Reservoir surface (acres)
- . Top dam 16
  - . Spillway crest 12
- g. Dam
- . Type Earthfill
  - . Length 210 ft.
  - . Height 16 ft.
  - . Top width 8 ft.
  - . Side slopes - upstream 2H:1V
  - downstream 2H:1V
  - . Zoning Impervious earthfill up-  
stream of core and pervious  
earthfill on downstream side.
  - . Impervious core Steel sheet pile core wall  
driven 8 to 10 feet beneath  
base of dam.
- h. Spillway
- . Type Ogee

\* A contour map prepared for the dredging of Reservoir No. 2, dated Jan. 31, 1978, indicates that 158.3 feet should be added to the elevations on the design drawings to obtain MSL elevations.

- . Length of weir 50 ft.
- . Crest elevation 268.3 ft.
- . Apron elevation 259.3 ft.

U/S Channel  
D/S Channel

Reservoir  
Stone pavement  
extending 10 ft.  
downstream from  
weir.

i. Regulating Outlets

20 in. diameter  
cast iron outlet  
pipe.

## SECTION 2: ENGINEERING DATA

### 2.1 Design

#### a. Geologic Conditions

Skyline Lake Dam No. 1 is located in north-central New Jersey near the eastern border of the New Jersey Highlands physiographic province. The regional geology of this province is discussed in Appendix C to this report.

Skyline Lake No. 1 is situated downstream of Skyline Lake No. 2, just below the confluence of two small streams. Skyline Lake No. 2 occupies the more easterly stream valley. Both of the streams entering Skyline Lake No. 1 are presently far too small to have eroded the deep valleys which they now occupy. The width and depth of the valleys is primarily a reflection of the erosion by the continental glaciers which gouged out and scraped off the overlying soft materials to expose the bedrock in much of the area.

Considering a section across the valley at the dam site, the Skyline Lake No. 1 occupies a much broader valley than the upper reservoir. No bedrock is exposed close to either abutment and the valley walls are less steep than those on the upstream dam. However, it must be assumed that because of their proximity, granite gneiss also underlies this dam and the valley side slopes.

Overburden in the valley is probably composed of recent alluvium and glacial tills; however, the construction of houses with lawns and gardens has altered the original topography so much that it is difficult to observe. No indications of the depth to bedrock are available in the valley bottom beneath the dam.

The dam is situated in Seismic Zone 1, indicating only minor potential damage from distant earthquakes. However, because of the relative closeness of the seismically active Ramapo Fault and the location of the reservoir in what appears to be a valley controlled by the geologic structure, consideration should be given to an investigation of the seismic stability of the dam.

b. Design Data

The existing and available data regarding the design of Skyline Lake Dam No. 1 are included in the "Report on Dam Application No. 398" filed with the State June 29, 1945. Two sheets of drawings accompanying this application show sections and plans of the embankment and spillway. (Plates 2 and 3). Elevations on these drawings are based on a local datum. Contour maps prepared for the excavation of Reservoir No. 2 indicates that 158.3 feet should be added to the local datum to obtain elevations relative to Mean Sea Level. The permit for construction of the dam was approved on August 2, 1945.

The embankment was designed to have upstream and downstream slopes of 2 horizontal on 1 vertical. The available design drawings show a steel sheet pile core wall extending along the center line of the embankment crest and penetrating to elevation 250.3 MSL, or 22 feet below the crest. The section of the dam (Plate 3) indicates that the embankment material downstream of the core is pervious earthfill and the embankment material upstream is impervious earthfill. This section also shows riprap extending from the crest 18 feet down the upstream face of the embankment.

The spillway was designed as an ogee type structure located near the center of the dam. The design called for a sheet pile cutoff extending 10 feet below the bottom of the weir and a masonry apron consisting of stones one foot in size set in grout, extending 10 feet downstream from the toe of the spillway weir. Concrete wingwalls were designed to provide 4 feet freeboard above the weir. The spillway design flood flow is 295 second-feet per square mile, based on the 125% Central Jersey Curve and a drainage area of 2.9 square miles. Based on this flow the spillway was specified to be 50 feet long by 4 feet high to provide a one-foot freeboard above the design flood.

A 20-inch diameter cast iron outlet pipe passes beneath the base of the embankment approximately 20 feet east of the spillway. The outlet pipe valve is housed in a reinforced concrete chamber with a cast iron manhole cover. The design plans indicate that the valve chamber is located at the centerline of the dam.

- 1 Specifications for the construction of Skyline Lake Dams No. 1 and No. 2 were prepared by Newell Harrison, P.E., Butler, New Jersey. The earthfill was specified to be placed in horizontal layers not exceeding 6 inches in thickness and thoroughly rolled and tamped with heavy rammers. Specifications were also given for riprap, steel sheet piling and for concrete preparation and placement.

## 2.2 Construction

Seven dam inspection reports prepared by State engineers and 16 monthly progress reports prepared by the design engineer are available. These reports describe the general construction progress and performance of the dam

following the first filling of the reservoir. Seepage was observed downstream from the spillway apron and around the toe of the right wingwall soon after the initial filling of the reservoir. The seepage was not considered critical and the dam was approved on May 14, 1946 with the provision that the seepage be checked frequently and reported to the State should it increase.

### 2.3 Operations

The reservoir is normally uncontrolled. It was reported that Reservoir No. 2, immediately upstream is sometimes lowered in anticipation of a storm.

There are no records of maintenance of the dam, nor is there any instrumentation.

### 2.4 Evaluation

#### a. Availability

Available engineering data for the dam consist of design plans and sections which include a qualitative description of the material in the embankment and specified steel sheet pile size. Specifications for the construction of the dam are also available, as are some construction reports.

#### b. Adequacy

The available design and construction data are inadequate to evaluate the structural stability of the dam, since the as-built materials properties are unknown.

#### c. Validity

Inspection reports prepared during the dam construction indicate that the dam was constructed generally as shown on the available drawings and in accordance with the specifications.

## SECTION 3: VISUAL INSPECTION

### 3.1 Findings

#### a. General

Visual inspections of Skyline Lake Dam No. 1 were made on December 1 and 20, 1978. The level of the reservoir was approximately 5.7 ft. below the crest of the spillway during these inspections.

The visual inspections did not reveal any critical signs of distress in the dam. There is evidence of erosion of the embankment adjacent to the spillway wing-walls exposing the steel sheet pile core wall. In addition, some cracking and spalling of the concrete spillway and wing walls were observed.

Detailed inspection was made of the dam, appurtenant structures, reservoir and downstream channel. Descriptions of the findings of those inspections are summarized in the paragraphs which follow. The check list of visual inspection items is included in Appendix A. Geologic and foundation conditions observed at the time of inspection are noted in greater detail in Section 2.

#### b. Dam

The dam was inspected for signs of settlement, seepage, erosion, cracking and any other evidence of undesirable behavior which might affect the stability of the structure.

The embankment is partly covered with grass, brush and a few trees up to 1-foot in diameter. A residential property fence extends over part of the east embankment and snow was partly obscuring the upstream face of the dam at the time of the inspection (Photo 1). No riprap was observed on the upstream face of the dam.

Erosion of the embankment, to a maximum depth of 1 foot, adjacent to the spillway walls was observed. The steel sheet pile core wall was partly exposed due to this erosion (Photo 2). An erosion scar approximately 2 feet deep and 4 feet wide was noted at the downstream side of the east embankment abutment (right hand side of Photo 3).

c. Appurtenant Structures

Spillway

There are five vertical construction joints equally spaced along the concrete ogee spillway weir with discernable separation in all except the right joint. The center joint has been eroded to 1 inch wide at the crest and appears to have been filled with asphalt at one time. Minor leakage was noted near the bottom of the left joint. Seepage through all but the right joint was indicated by leaching deposits along the joints.

Some debris and considerable sediment were present on the upstream side of the spillway. The sediments were 3.5 feet below the spillway crest at the ends of the

weir and 6.2 feet below the crest at the center of the spillway (Photo 5).

A hole was noted in the spillway apron at the Ogee toe, adjacent to the right wing wall where a 1-foot apron stone has been dislodged (Photo 6).

There are vertical cracks in the centers of both concrete wingwalls extending from the top of the walls to the top of the spillway crest (Photo 7). Both cracks are open approximately 1/4 inch and spalling has occurred along the crack in the left (east) wingwall.

#### Outlet Works

The intake to the outlet pipe was submerged during the inspections and therefore could not be observed. During the December 1, 1978 inspection the water level downstream of the dam was about 1 foot above the invert of the outlet pipe and water was discharging from the outlet pipe at an estimated rate of 100 to 150 gpm during the inspection (Photo 3).

The top of the gate valve chamber is located at the crest of the dam just downstream from the steel sheet pile core wall (Photo 2). A steel manhole cover on the valve chamber was locked during the inspection, thus it was not possible to inspect the outlet gate valve.

#### d. Reservoir Area

Reservoir No. 1 is immediately downstream of Skyline Lake Dam No. 2. Water was being pumped into Reservoir No. 1 from Reservoir No. 2 at the time of inspection.

The perimeter of the reservoir has moderately steep to gentle slopes. Single family residences with grass

and moderately wooded lawns surround the reservoir, and a heavily wooded island is located in the center of the lower third of the reservoir (Photo 3 and overview photo).

An accumulation of sediments approximately 5 to 8 feet thick was present on the upstream side of the spillway.

e. Downstream Channel

The spillway discharges into a natural stream channel the slopes of which are moderately to heavily wooded immediately downstream from the dam (Photos 3 and 10). Houses are located adjacent to the channel just downstream from both abutments of the dam. A retaining wall with a maximum height of about 5 feet is located on the west bank approximately 35 feet from the edge of the channel.

A road bridge with an opening 19.2 feet wide by 6.2 feet high is located about 300 feet downstream from the dam. Downstream from the bridge, the right bank is steep (1H:1V) and the left bank is low and level with a playing field and water tank adjacent to the channel (Photos 9 and 10). In addition, several residences approximately 0.7 miles downstream in the Borough of Wanaque-Midvale are at elevations below the maximum flood stage.

## SECTION 4: OPERATION PROCEDURES

### 4.1 Procedures

Normal operation of the reservoir is to maintain maximum storage for recreation purposes. The reservoir is closely affected by operation of Reservoir No. 2 located immediately upstream which is reportedly lowered when large storms are anticipated.

The 20-inch diameter outlet pipe is operated by a gate valve located in a valve chamber at the dam crest. The reservoir is lowered for maintenance of the dam and reservoir. The reservoir was reportedly cleaned in 1954, 1972 or 1973 and 1974. Reservoir No. 1 must be emptied to a level at or below the intake to the Dam No. 2 outlet pipe in order to empty Reservoir No. 2.

### 4.2 Maintenance of Dam

The Skyline Lake Property Owners Association are responsible for the maintenance of the dam and reservoir. The reservoir is reportedly chemically treated for algae and is drained every few years for cleaning. The only records available regarding maintenance of the dam and reservoir are correspondence regarding leakage which was presumably aggravated by removing the silt from the bottom of the reservoir in 1954.

### 4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities

The outlet works are maintained by the owners. No records regarding maintenance of operating facilities are available.

4.4 Description of Warning Systems

There is no downstream warning system.

4.5 Evaluation of Operational Adequacy

The operational procedures are in need of improvement. Maintenance of the dam is poor and there is no instrumentation. In addition, there are few records of the maintenance and operation of the dam.

Regular surveillance of the dam, particularly during heavy rains and possible floods should be considered. In addition, implementation of a warning system to alert downstream inhabitants in time of floods and possible overtopping of the dam should be planned and implemented.

## SECTION 5: HYDROLOGY/HYDRAULICS

### 5.1 Evaluation of Features

#### a. Design

As already stated in Section 1.2, Skyline Lake Dam No. 1 is classified as high hazard and small in size. In accordance with the Corps of Engineers' "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams", the Spillway Design Flood (SDF) is selected to be the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF).

Immediately upstream of Skyline Lake No. 1 is Skyline Lake No. 2. Data obtained from State files indicate the drainage basin area is 2.8 square miles for Skyline Lake No. 2 and 2.9 square miles for Skyline Lake No. 1. As instructed by the Corps, the PMF, and fractions thereof, were developed for the 2.8 square mile basin above Skyline Lake No. 2. These flows were routed through Skyline Lake No. 2 and the outflows were used as the total PMF inflows into Skyline Lake No. 1. The 0.1 square mile intervening sub-basin was ignored in this analysis.

Elevations within the basin range from about 1150 feet above mean sea level along the perimeter to about 280 feet in the valley floor. Land use pattern within the watershed consist mainly of forested areas, with only a minor portion of the basin area being residential developments. About 0.6 percent of the watershed area is the surface of Skyline Lake No. 1 and about 1.0 percent of the watershed area is Skyline Lake No. 2. The drainage basin is delineated on a U.S.G.S. topographic map and is presented on Plate D-1, Appendix D.

The hydraulic and hydrologic features of the dam were evaluated using criteria set forth in the Corps of Engineers' "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection

of Dams", and additional guidance and criteria provided by the Philadelphia District, Corps of Engineers. The Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) was calculated using Hydrometeorological Report No. 33 and the Hop Brook reduction factor of 0.80 for misalignment of the storm. The Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) was calculated using the Corps' computer program HEC-1, Dam Break Version. In computing the PMF the Corps requested that the SCS triangular unit hydrograph with curvilinear transformation be used. The computer program was used to calculate this unit hydrograph from the basin lag. A lag time of 1.0 hour was calculated for the basin and used in the program.

An initial infiltration loss of 1.0 inch and a final infiltration loss rate of 0.10 inch per hour were used in the HEC-1 program to give the rainfall excess. Using the excess rainfall and the unit hydrograph, the program computed the peak discharges of the 15 percent, 25 percent, 50 percent and 100 percent PMF. These discharges are approximately 1,580 cfs, 2,640 cfs, 5,270 cfs and 10,540 cfs, respectively.

The various percentages of the PMF inflow hydrograph were routed through Skyline Lake No. 2 assuming the dam does not breach. The routings were made using the Modified Puls Method by the HEC-1 program. The peak outflow discharges of the 15 percent, 25 percent, 50 percent, and 100 percent PMF were calculated to be approximately 1,470 cfs, 2,550 cfs, 5,170 cfs, and 10,430 cfs. The flood routings indicate that all floods greater than about 10 percent of the PMF will overtop the dam. A plot of percent PMF versus peak outflow discharge is presented as Plate D-2 in Appendix D.

The various percentages of the PMF outflow discharges from Skyline Lake No. 2 were routed through Skyline Lake No. 1, which is immediately downstream, using the Modified Puls Method by the HEC-1 program. The 15 percent, 25 percent, 50 percent, and 100 percent PMF peak outflow discharges from Skyline Lake Dam No.1 were calculated to be approximately 1,320 cfs, 2480 cfs, 5,110 cfs, and 10,350 cfs. These flood routings indicate that all floods greater than about 15 percent of the PMF will overtop Skyline Lake Dam No. 1 if Skyline Lake Dam No. 2 does not fail. A plot of percent PMF versus peak outflow discharge from Skyline Lake Dam No. 1 is presented as Plate D-5 in Appendix D.

Because the spillways for both Skyline Lake Dam No. 2 and Skyline Lake Dam No. 1 cannot pass one-half the PMF, the various percentage non-breach PMF flows were routed 0.5 miles downstream through two successive reaches to the Borough of Wanaque-Midvale. A second set of flood routings using the same PMF's were routed through both reservoirs and downstream using the assumption that both dams would breach. These routings were made in order to assess the degree of increased flood hazard caused by breaching due to an inadequate spillway. For the downstream channel routings estimates of channel shapes, slopes and roughnesses were made based on conditions observed in the field and on U.S.G.S. topographic maps. The locations of the cross-sections used in the channel routings are shown on page D-7, Appendix D.

Estimates of stage-spillway and overtop discharge curves, reservoir stage-storage curves, and dam breach parameters were used to route the various floods through the two reservoirs. Assumptions and data used in these estimates are described, for each dam, in the following paragraphs.

## Skyline Lake No. 2

The spillway and overtop discharge rating curve used in the flood routing through Skyline Lake No. 2 was calculated using the weir equation and assuming free overflow across the whole length of the dam and spillway. The spillway is a broad-crested weir and has an estimated discharge coefficient of 2.6. The dam crest is a broad-crested weir with heavy overgrowth, and has an estimated discharge coefficient of 2.6. The reservoir stage-storage curve was determined from U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 - minute topographic maps and contour maps of proposed excavation of the lake that were obtained from the owner. At the time of the field inspection a significant portion of the proposed excavation was complete and, therefore, in this analysis the ultimate proposed lake topography was assumed. This stage-storage curve was extended above the dam crest to include surcharge storage during peak discharges. In the reservoir routing computations possible discharges through the outlet works were excluded because their capacity is small compared to the PMF and because of the possibility that the outlet valve may be closed. The stage-storage and the spillway and overtop stage-discharge curves for Skyline Lake Dam No. 2 are presented in Appendix D as Plates D-3 and D-4, respectively.

The breach parameters used in the HEC-1 analysis for Skyline Lake No. 2 are: the breach is rectangular in shape, 180 feet long, will extend to the original reservoir floor elevation (260 ft), will begin breaching when the dam is first overtopped, and will develop to its maximum size in 3.0 hours. The peak outflow from Skyline Lake No. 2 for the 15 percent, 25 percent, 50 percent, and 100 percent PMF, assuming failure, were calculated to be approximately 2,620 cfs, 4,020 cfs, 6,580 cfs, and 10,750 cfs, respectively.

### Skyline Lake Dam No. 1

The spillway and overtop stage-discharge rating curve used in the flood routings through Skyline Lake No. 1 was calculated using the weir equation and assuming free overflow across the whole length of the dam and spillway. The spillway has an ogee cross-section and from data in the State files has a calculated discharge coefficient of 3.5. The dam crest is a broad crested weir with heavy overgrowth and has an estimated discharge coefficient of 2.6. The reservoir stage-storage curve was estimated from U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 - minute topographic maps. This stage-storage curve was extended above the dam crest to include surcharge storage during peak flood discharges. In the reservoir routing computations possible discharges through the outlet works were excluded because their capacity is small compared to the PMF and because of the possibility that the outlet valves may be closed. The stage-storage and the spillway and overtop stage-discharge curves for Skyline Lake Dam No. 1 are presented in Appendix D as Plates D-6 and D-7, respectively.

The breach parameters used in the HEC-1 analysis for Skyline Lake No. 1 are: the breach is rectangular in shape, 160 feet long, will extend to the approximate original reservoir floor elevation (259.3'), will begin breaching when the dam is first overtopped, and will develop to its maximum size in 1 hour. The peak outflow from Skyline Lake No. 1 for the 15 percent, 25 percent 50 percent and 100 percent PMF assuming failure, were calculated to be approximately 4,570 cfs, 5,910 cfs, 6,550 cfs, and 10,610 cfs, respectively.

The above described analyses resulted in the flooding characteristics at the Borough of Wanaque-Midvale that are summarized in the following tabulation.

<u>No Breaching</u>	<u>15% PMF</u>	<u>25% PMF</u>	<u>50% PMF</u>	<u>100% PMF</u>
Peak Discharge, cfs	1,305	2,450	5,080	10,240
Peak Flow Depth, ft.	5.8	7.1	9.1	11.5
Peak Flow Width, ft.	135	185	265	400
Peak Flow Velocity, fps	4.4	4.9	5.3	5.9

Breaching

Peak Discharge, cfs	4,490	5,840	6,410	10,560
Peak Flow Depth, ft.	8.7	9.5	9.8	11.6
Peak Flow Width, ft.	250	280	290	405
Peak Flow Velocity, fps	5.2	5.5	5.6	5.9

The reservoir drain intake for Skyline Lake No. 1 is at the floor of the lake near the dam and is 20 inches in diameter. Using the orifice flow equation, and assuming no tailwater and no inflows into the lake, the time required to drain the reservoir from a spillway full condition was calculated to be a little over 40 hours.

b. Experience Data

Records of lake levels are not maintained for this site. The reservoir is operated to maintain maximum water levels for aesthetic and recreational purposes.

c. Visual Observations

The perimeter of the reservoir has generally moderately steep slopes with local, gently sloping areas. The adjacent area is heavily wooded and populated with single

family residences.

A stilling basin is located immediately downstream of Skyline Lake Dam No. 1 and a bridge and playground are located approximately 300 and 500 feet downstream, respectively. The banks of the flood plain are moderately steep immediately downstream from the dam. The flood plain becomes wider and the banks less steep farther downstream from the dam.

d. Overtopping Potential

As indicated in Section 5.1-a, the spillway can pass only 15 percent of the PMF assuming the upstream No. 2 dam does not fail. However, the upstream dam could fail due to overtopping and cause overtopping and failure of the No. 1 dam. This would result in a significantly larger flood downstream during the more frequent floods, such as the 15 and 25 percent PMF, and a significantly higher hazard to several residences in the Borough of Wanaque-Midvale that are near the stream banks and at elevations below the maximum flood stage. Thus, in accordance with the Corps' guidelines, the spillway for Skyline Lake Dam No. 1 is classified as seriously inadequate.

## SECTION 6: STRUCTURAL STABILITY

### 6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

#### a. Visual Observations

At the time of the inspection the dam did not exhibit any significant signs of distress. Some cracking and spalling at the spillway weir and concrete wing walls and minor erosion of the embankments were observed. These factors are not presently severe enough to significantly affect the structural strength or stability of the dam, but could jeopardize the integrity of the structure if left unchecked.

The outlet works appear to be in satisfactory condition based on visual observations.

#### b. Design and Construction Data

The available design and construction data are inadequate to evaluate the structural stability, since little is known of design criteria, construction methods or as-built material properties.

#### c. Operating Records

There is no instrumentation of the dam. The reservoir is essentially uncontrolled except for occasional draining of the reservoir for repairs to the dam and reservoir. Records of reservoir levels and water withdrawals are not available.

#### d. Post-Construction Changes

Earthfill was placed on both banks of the downstream

channel between the dam and bridge shortly after construction to prevent seepage which had been observed along the toe of the western embankment. However, the seepage then reappeared at the edge of the fill along the channel. In 1946 the State recommended that a clay blanket should be placed upstream of the dam to eliminate the leakage; however, no further correspondence regarding this subject is available and it is not known whether the blanket was installed.

e. Seismic Stability

The dam is located in Seismic Zone 1, in which it may generally be assumed that there is no hazard from earthquakes, provided static stability conditions are satisfactory and conventional safety margins exist. However, as pointed out in Section 2.1-a, the dam is close to the seismically active Ramapo fault, and the valley in which the dam is located may be structurally controlled. Data are insufficient at this time to assess seismic stability should a significant earthquake occur in the vicinity of the dam.

SECTION 7: ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS,  
PROPOSED REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

a. Safety

The safety of Skyline Lake Dam No. 1 is in question because the present spillway can pass only about 15% of the Probable Maximum Flood and is classified as seriously inadequate.

The structural stability of Skyline Lake Dam No. 1 cannot be quantitatively analyzed due to lack of available data. The visual inspection indicates that the dam is in fair condition. There is evidence of erosion of the embankment adjacent to the spillway wing walls exposing the steel sheet pile core wall. In addition, there is cracking and spalling of the concrete spillway and wing-walls.

b. Adequacy of Information

The information and data obtained are not adequate to perform a comprehensive, definitive evaluation of the dam's structural stability because of lack of data regarding as-built conditions and physical properties of the dam and foundation materials.

c. Urgency

The deficiencies revealed by the visual inspection do not appear to be critical; however, they could imperil the integrity of the structure if left unchecked. Therefore, it is recommended that the owners perform the

remedial measures discussed below, the most urgent of which should be done as soon as possible.

d. Necessity for Additional Data/Evaluation

At the present time there is insufficient information available to fully evaluate the structural stability of the dam. The Corps of Engineers Guidelines require that, in general, seepage and stability analyses should be on record for all dams in the high hazard category. There is presently no information about the as-built properties of the embankment. In addition, seepage at the toe of the dam has been previously noted by others. Therefore, a program of borings and laboratory tests should be performed to confirm the properties of the as-built embankment materials. Piezometers should also be installed to establish internal water levels in the downstream slope. These data should be evaluated by an experienced geotechnical engineer. The piezometers should be permanent and read periodically. The field investigation should begin in the near future and the evaluation performed soon after completion of the field work and testing. In addition, the dam should be surveyed in the near future to confirm the as-built geometry of the dam.

The hydrologic analysis indicates that the spillway is seriously inadequate. Therefore, more sophisticated and detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses should be made soon. From this, a positive action program of corrective measures should be developed and implemented as necessary.

Although the dam is located in Seismic Zone 1, it is situated in a valley which was possibly formed as the result of faulting and is in close proximity to the seismically active Ramapo Fault. Therefore, the potential seismicity at the dam site and its effect on the stability of the dam should be investigated.

7.2 Remedial Measures

a. Recommendations

It is recommended that the following remedial measures be performed as soon as possible:

1. The hole at the right downstream toe of the spillway should be filled to avoid further erosion.
2. The cracks and spalling in the spillway and concrete wing walls should be repaired.

b. Operation and Maintenance Procedures



A program of inspections of the dam during and after critical floods and annually should be initiated by the owners, utilizing the standard visual checklist in this report. Timely corrective action should be taken as necessary.

A permanent record should be kept of all maintenance and operating events of the dam and reservoir.

All brush and small trees should be removed from the embankment soon in order to facilitate inspection of the embankment, permit embankment restoration, and prevent root damage and possible piping problems. Clearing of the downstream face should continue as standard maintenance procedure.

A warning system coordinated with a warning system for Skyline Lake Dam No. 2 should be established whereby downstream inhabitants can be notified and evacuated in the event of possible dam failure.

PLATES




VICINITY MAP

JENNY-LEEDSHILL

JANUARY 1979

PLAN	DATE	BY	CHECKED



PROFILE	DATE	BY	CHECKED









PLATE 2





PLAN OF ABUTMENT WALL  
AND VALVE WELL  
SCALE - 1" = 3'-0"



ELEVATION OF ABUTMENT  
SCALE - 1" = 3'-0"




ELEVATION OF SPILLWAY  
SCALE - 1" = 10'-0"




398

- 40. 20' x 20' x 10'
- 36. 20' x 20' x 4'
- 30. 20' x 20' x 4'
- 22. 20' x 20' x 5'




SECTION-VALVE WELL  
SCALE - 1/2" = 1'-0"



HEAD WALLS  
SCALE - 1/2" = 1'-0"

BUTMENT WALL  
1/2" = 1'-0"



TYPICAL SECTION OF DAM FILL  
SCALE - 1/2" = 1'-0"

APPENDIX A

CHECK LIST - VISUAL OBSERVATIONS

CHECK LIST - ENGINEERING, CONSTRUCTION  
MAINTENANCE DATA

Check List  
Visual Inspection  
Phase 1

Name Dam Skyline Lake Dam No. 1 County Passaic State New Jersey Coordinators NJDEP

Coordinates: Lat. 41° 03' 56" N  
Long. 74° 16' 39" W

Date(s) Inspection Dec. 1 & 20, 1978

Weather Clear Temperature 40°F

Pool Elevation at Time of Inspection 261.3 ft M.S.L.

Tailwater at Time of Inspection 259.3 ft S.L.

Inspection Personnel:  
(Dec. 1, 1978)

P. L. Wagner

R. C. Gaffin

A. R. Slaughter

(Dec. 20, 1978)

R. J. Jenny

D. J. Lachel

F. L. Panuzio

A. R. Slaughter

R. C. Gaffin Recorder

Owners Representation - (Dec. 1, 1978)

Mrs. K. Rausch, Skyline Lake Property Owners. Assoc.

CONCRETE/MASONRY DAMS

Skyline Lake Dam No. 1

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
SEEPAGE OR LEAKAGE	Not Applicable	
STRUCTURE TO ABUTMENT/EMBANKMENT JUNCTIONS	Not Applicable	
DRAINS	Not Applicable	
WATER PASSAGES	Not Applicable	
FOUNDATION	Not Applicable	

CONCRETE/MASONRY DAMS

Skyline Lake Dam No. 1

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
SURFACE CRACKS CONCRETE SURFACES	Not Applicable	
STRUCTURAL CRACKING	Not Applicable	
VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT	Not Applicable	
MONOLITH JOINTS	Not Applicable	
CONSTRUCTION JOINTS	Not Applicable	

EMBANKMENT

Skyline Lake Dam No. 1

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
SURFACE CRACKS	None	
UNUSUAL MOVEMENT OR CRACKING AT OR BEYOND THE TOE	None	
SLOUCHING OR EROSION OF EMBANKMENT AND ABUTMENT SLOPES	Erosion was noted behind wing walls on both sides of spillway, exposing sheet piling.	
VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT OF THE CREST	Some erosion of crest near spillway wing walls forming sag in vertical alignment.	
RIPRAP FAILURES	No riprap observed.	

EMBANKMENT

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
VEGETATION	Few trees up to 1' diameter and brush	
JUNCTION OF EMBANKMENT AND ABUTMENT, SPILLWAY AND DAM	Erosion behind each wing wall exposing sheet piling.	
ANY NOTICEABLE SEEPAGE	None	
STAFF GAGE AND RECORDER	None	
DRAINS	None	

OUTLET WORKS

Skyline Lake Dam No. 1

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
CRACKING AND SPALLING OF CONCRETE SURFACES IN OUTLET CONDUIT	None observed.	
INTAKE STRUCTURE	Intake submerged; locked manhole on valve chamber located just downstream of centerline of dam on left embankment.	
OUTLET STRUCTURE	No cracks observed; partly submerged. Flowing 100-150 gpm during first inspection.	
OUTLET CHANNEL	Discharges adjacent to spillway into natural stream channel.	
EMERGENCY GATE	Same as outlet	

UNGATED SPILLWAY

Skyline Lake Dam No. 1

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
CONCRETE WEIR	Ogee spillway has 5 equally spaced construction joints with discernable cracks in all except right joint. Center crack has been widened by erosion up to 1" width at crest and was filled with asphalt at one time. Seepage through 4 cracks on left indicated by leaching deposits.	
APPROACH CHANNEL	Some debris and considerable sediment build up at spillway	
DISCHARGE CHANNEL	Rebar ends exposed slightly in right wing wall. Hole 10" X 14" X 12" in apron at base of ogee on right side. Trees and some debris in stilling basin immediately d/s of spillway.	Hole should be repaired.
BRIDGE AND PIERS	Both wing walls have vertical cracks above center of Ogee to top of walls.	

**GATED SPILLWAY**  
(None)

Skyline Lake Dam No. 1

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
CONCRETE SILL	Not Applicable	
APPROACH CHANNEL	Not Applicable	
DISCHARGE CHANNEL	Not Applicable	
BRIDGE AND PIERS	Not Applicable	
GATES AND OPERATION EQUIPMENT	Not Applicable.	

6

**INSTRUMENTATION**

Skyline Lake Dam No. 1

VISUAL EXAMINATION MONUMENTATION/SURVEYS	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
OBSERVATION WELLS	None	
WEIRS	None	
PIEZOMETERS	None	
OTHER	None	

RESERVOIR

Skyline Lake Dam No. 1

<u>VISUAL EXAMINATION OF</u>	<u>OBSERVATIONS</u>	<u>REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
<b>SLOPES</b>	Moderately steep to gentle slopes; houses around entire perimeter; moderately wooded	
<b>SEDIMENTATION</b>	5 ft. sediment build up at center of upstream side of spillway Ogee and 8 ft. high on sides of spillway crest.	

DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL

Skyline Lake Dam No. 1

VISUAL EXAMINATION OF	OBSERVATIONS	REMARKS OR RECOMMENDATIONS
<p><b>CONDITION</b> (OBSTRUCTIONS, DEBRIS, ETC.)</p>	<p>Road bridge with opening 19.2' wide by 6.2' high about 300' d/s. Numerous trees immediately downstream of dam. Water tank and playground are located immediately downstream of bridge.</p>	
<p><b>SLOPES</b></p>	<p>Gently sloping right bank immediately downstream with retaining wall (max. height 5') approximately 35 feet west of spillway. Left bank is gently sloping approximately 5H:1V. Downstream of bridge right bank of channel is steep (1H:1V) but left bank is low,</p>	
<p><b>APPROXIMATE NO. OF HOMES AND POPULATION</b></p>	<p>with playing field adjacent.  About 12 houses to left of playing field on left bank downstream of bridge at elevations at or above spillway crest. Approximately 6 houses in the Borough of Manaque-Midvale are within the downstream flood path.</p>	

CHECK LIST  
ENGINEERING DATA  
DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION

Skyline Lake Dam No.1

ITEM	REMARKS
PLAN OF DAM	Two sheets showing plan and profile of dam dated July 10, 1945, prepared by Newell Harrison, P.E., submitted with Report on Dam Application No. 398.
REGIONAL VICINITY MAP	Dam and reservoir are shown on USGS, Wanaque Quadrangle (Scale 1:24,000)
CONSTRUCTION HISTORY	Eight Monthly Progress Reports on the construction of the dam prepared by the design engineer are available.
TYPICAL SECTIONS OF DAM	See 'Plan of Dam'.
HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC DATA	Drainage area, spillway capacity and estimated maximum flood flow based on 125% Central Jersey Curve, are given in the Report on Dam Application No. 398.
OUTLETS - PLAN - DETAILS - CONSTRAINTS - DISCHARGE RATINGS	See 'Plan of Dam' None None
RAINFALL/RESERVOIR RECORDS	None Available

CHECK LIST  
 ENGINEERING DATA  
 DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION

Skyline Lake Dam No. 1

ITEM	REMARKS
DESIGN REPORTS	None Available
GEOLOGY REPORTS	None Available
DESIGN COMPUTATIONS HYDROLOGY & HYDRAULICS DAM STABILITY SEEPAGE STUDIES	None Available
MATERIALS INVESTIGATIONS BORING RECORDS LABORATORY FIELD	Application for permit for construction or Repair of Dam #398 dated June 27, 1945 indicates that the foundation material is "sand, gravel, clay and hardpan, as determined by test holes". However, no boring records, laboratory or field data are available.
POST-CONSTRUCTION SURVEYS OF DAM	Contour map of reservoir prepared by William Warring, dated Jan. 31, 1978.
BORROW SOURCES	Unknown

CHECK LIST  
ENGINEERING DATA  
DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION

Skyline Lake Dam No. 1

ITEM	REMARKS
SPILLWAY - PLAN -SECTIONS -DETAILS	See 'Plan of Dam'
OPERATING EQUIPMENT PLANS & DETAILS	See 'Plan of Dam' for plans and details of outlet works
MONITORING SYSTEMS	None
MODIFICATIONS	None
HIGH POOL RECORDS	None
POST CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING STUDIES AND REPORTS	None
PRIOR ACCIDENTS OR FAILURE OF DAM DESCRIPTION REPORTS	None

CHECK LIST  
ENGINEERING DATA  
DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION

Skyline Lake Dam No. 1

TEM

REMARKS

MAINTENANCE  
OPERATION  
RECORDS

None

APPENDIX B

PHOTOGRAPHS

(Note: All photographs were taken on Dec. 1, 1978)



Photo 1 View along dam crest looking west




Photo 2 View of left (east) spillway abutment looking upstream



Photo 3 View of left abutment looking upstream



Photo 4 View of  
construction joint in  
center of downstream  
face of spillway




Photo 5 View of spillway crest looking west



Photo 6 View of eroded pocket between spillway toe and apron, adjacent to right wing wall



Photo 7 View showing  
crack in left (east) wing  
wall



Photo 8 View of reservoir looking upstream from dam



Photo 9 View looking downstream from dam



Photo 10 View looking downstream from bridge  
shown in Photo 9

APPENDIX C

REGIONAL GEOLOGY - HIGHLANDS

## REGIONAL GEOLOGY - HIGHLANDS PROVINCE

### Physiography

The New Jersey Highlands extend northeast-southwest across the state from the New York border to the Delaware River. Included in the province are the northwest portions of Hunterdon, Passaic and Morris Counties and the southeastern portions of Warren and Sussex Counties. This province lies between the Appalachian Ridge and Valley Province to the northwest and the Piedmont Lowlands Province to the southeast (See Figure C-1) and is part of the larger New England Physiographic Province.

The Highlands are characterized by rounded and flat-topped northeast-southwest ridges and mountains up to 1,400 feet high separated by narrow valleys. The orientation of the valleys is usually, but not always, controlled by the underlying geologic structure.

### Bedrock


Bedrock of the region is predominantly Precambrian gneisses, schists and metasediments. Some sedimentary rocks, typically sandstones, shales and conglomerate have been infolded and infaulted into the valley bottoms.

The regional geologic structure reflects the very old age of bedrock. A number of regional faults cross the area in a northeast-southwest direction. The Ramapo Fault scarp, forming the eastern border of the province, is more than 30 miles long. Faults also control many of the river valley orientations.

Mountain crests slope uniformly from northwest to southwest, a direct result of the fact that the entire area was once part of the now dissected Schooley peneplain.

#### Overburden

Much of the province was covered by the Pleistocene age Wisconsin glacier. The glacier stripped most of the existing overburden and weathered rock and uncovered the numerous hard bedrock knobs and ridges seen throughout the province. Most of the side-slopes in the area are covered with heavy boulder tills (ground moraine), while glacial outwash and recent alluvium cover the valleys. South of the terminal moraine extending from Morristown to Belvidere, scattered remnants of earlier stages of glaciation (Illinoian and Kansan) have deposited ground moraine (glacial tills) over the bedrock. In the valleys and over some of the ground moraine, recent and glacio-fluvial alluviums have been deposited.



Lava (Basalt) Flows

Sedimentary Rocks

Pre-cambrian Gneisses, schists and Metasediments

SCHEMATIC CROSS-SECTION OF  
NEW JERSEY HIGHLANDS  
PHYSIOGRAPHIC PROVINCE  
(AFTER WOLFE, 1977)

JENNY/LEEDSHILL  
JANUARY 1979

APPENDIX D

HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

SKYLINE LAKE No. 2

CHECK LIST  
HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC DATA  
ENGINEERING DATA

DRAINAGE AREA CHARACTERISTICS: 2.8 SQUARE MILES

ELEVATION TOP NORMAL POOL (STORAGE CAPACITY): 280.3 FT (330 AF)

ELEVATION TOP FLOOD CONTROL POOL (STORAGE CAPACITY): 284.3 (400 AF)

ELEVATION MAXIMUM DESIGN POOL: —

ELEVATION TOP DAM: 284.3 FT

CREST: SPILLWAY

- a. Elevation 280.3
- b. Type CONCRETE WALL
- c. Width 12
- d. Length 50 FT
- e. Location Spillover LEFT ABUTMENT (LOOKING DOWNSTREAM)
- f. Number and Type of Gates NONE

OUTLET WORKS: —

- a. Type 20" PIPE & GATE VALVE
- b. Location RIGHT SIDE OF SPILLWAY (LOOKING DOWNSTREAM)
- c. Entrance invert 261.8
- d. Exit invert —
- e. Emergency draindown facilities —

HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL GAGES: NONE

- a. Type —
- b. Location —
- c. Records —

MAXIMUM NON-DAMAGING DISCHARGE: 1040 CFS

SKYLINE LAKE No. 1

CHECK LIST  
HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC DATA  
ENGINEERING DATA

DRAINAGE AREA CHARACTERISTICS: 2.9 SQ MILES  
ELEVATION TOP NORMAL POOL (STORAGE CAPACITY): 268.2 FT (85 AF)  
ELEVATION TOP FLOOD CONTROL POOL (STORAGE CAPACITY): 272.2 FT (150 AF)  
ELEVATION MAXIMUM DESIGN POOL: \_\_\_\_\_  
ELEVATION TOP DAM: 272.2 FT  
CREST: SPELLWAY

- a. Elevation 268.2 FT
- b. Type CONCRETE OVERT
- c. Width —
- d. Length 50 FT
- e. Location Spillover CENTRE OF DAM
- f. Number and Type of Gates NONE

OUTLET WORKS: \_\_\_\_\_  
a. Type 20" PIPE & GATE VALVE  
b. Location LEFT ABUTMENT (LOOKING DOWNSTREAM)  
c. Entrance invert 257.3  
d. Exit invert —  
e. Emergency draindown facilities \_\_\_\_\_

HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL GAGES: NONE  
a. Type \_\_\_\_\_  
b. Location \_\_\_\_\_  
c. Records \_\_\_\_\_

MAXIMUM NON-DAMAGING DISCHARGE: 1400 CFS

SKYLINE LAKE No. 1 & 2

CHAMPION LINE NO. 336-P LEEDS, HILL AND JEWETT, INC.

BY RBE DATE 7/21/20 CLIENT N.J.

SHEET NO. 1 OF 2

CHKD DATE JOB TIME OF CONCENTRATION JOB NO. 302

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
1	DATA									
2			L = STREAM LENGTH FROM WATERSHED						= 4.05	MI
3			OUTLET TO THE MOST DISTANT RIDGE							
4			LCA = STREAM LENGTH FROM BASIN CENTROID						= 2.25	MI
5			H = DIFF BETWEEN ELEV AT OUTLET AND							
6			ELEV AT MOST DISTANT POINT						= 830 - 245 = 585	
7			TC = TIME OF CONCENTRATION OR TIME FOR							
8			WATER TO FLOW FROM THE MOST DISTANT							
9			POINT IN THE WATERSHED TO THE WATERSHED							
10			OUTLET							
11			TL = LAG TIME FROM CENTER OF EXCESS						= 0.6 TC	
12			RATHEAL TO TIME OF PEAK.							
13			METHOD 1							
14			$T_L = \frac{L^{1.15}}{7700 H^{0.38}}$							
15							L IN FT			
16							H IN FT			
17			$T_L = \frac{0.6 L^{1.15}}{7700 H^{0.38}}$							
18			METHOD 2							
19			$T_L = \left( \frac{11.913}{H} \right)^{0.385}$							
20							L IN MILES			
21							H IN FT.			
22			$T_L = 0.6 \left( \frac{11.913}{H} \right)^{0.385}$							
23			METHOD 3							
24			$T_L = C_T \left( \frac{L L_C}{S^{1/2}} \right)^{0.38}$							
25							S IN FT/MI	S = H/L = 2.7		
26			$T_L = C_T \left( \frac{L L_C}{(H/L)^{1/2}} \right)^{0.38}$							
27							C_T = 1.2	MOUNTAIN		
28							= 0.72	FOOTHILL		
29							= 0.35	VALLEY DRAINAGE		
30								AREA.		
31			METHOD 4							
32			$T_L = L/V$							
33							V = AVG VELOCITY FROM			
34			$T_L = 0.6 L/V$							
35							CURVE OF V VS. AVG SLOPE			
36							V = 2.8	fps		
37			DAM							
38			LAG IN HOURS							
39			METHODS							
40										
41										
42										
43										
44										
45										
46										
47										
48										
49										
50										

DAM

SKYLINE # 2

LAG IN HOURS

METHODS

	1	2	3	4	USE
	0.7	0.7	1.1	1.3	1.0

RBF


781220

302

SKYONE LAKE No. 1 & 2

2/2

AMERICAN  
HYDRAULIC  
ENGINEERING  
CORPORATION



AVG SLOPE OF CHANNEL

90

5-D  
Average Velocity (ft/sec)

1								
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
29								
30								
31								
32								
33								
34								
35								
36								
37								
38								
39								
40								
41								
42								
43								
44								
45								
46								
47								
48								
49								
50								

REFERENCES

METHOD 1 - FROM "HANDBOOK OF APPLIED HYDROLOGY"  
BY CHOW  
MCGRAW HILL PP 21-10, 11

METHOD 2 - FROM CALIFORNIA CULVERTS PRACTICE, CALIF  
HIGHWAYS AND PUBLIC WORKS, SEPT 1942  
SEE USBR DESIGN OF SMALL DAMS  
PG. 71

METHOD 3 - FROM HYDROLOGY FOR ENGINEERS  
LINSLEY/KOHLER/PAULUS 1975  
PP 247-248

METHOD 4 - FROM U.S. NAVY - TECHNICAL PUBLICATION  
NAVDOKS TP-PW-5 TABLE 8B, MARCH 1953  
SEE USBR DESIGN OF SMALL DAMS PG. 70

CITATION LINE NO. 03-C-P

LOCATION MAP OF CROSS-SECTIONS USED  
IN ROUTING CALCULATIONS





TABLE 5-6. VALUES OF THE ROUGHNESS COEFFICIENT  $n$  (continued)

Type of channel and description	Minimum	Normal	Maximum
<b>C. EXCAVATED OR DREDGED</b>			
a. Earth, straight and uniform			
1. Clean, recently completed	0.016	0.018	0.020
2. Clean, after weathering	0.018	0.022	0.025
3. Gravel, uniform section, clean	0.022	0.025	0.030
4. With short grass, few weeds	0.022	0.027	0.033
b. Earth, winding and sluggish			
1. No vegetation	0.023	0.025	0.030
2. Grass, some weeds	0.025	0.030	0.033
3. Dense weeds or aquatic plants in deep channels	0.030	0.035	0.040
4. Earth bottom and rubble sides			
5. Stony bottom and weedy banks	0.028	0.030	0.035
6. Cobble bottom and clean sides	0.025	0.035	0.040
7. Cobble bottom and clean sides	0.030	0.040	0.050
c. Dragline-excavated or dredged			
1. No vegetation	0.025	0.028	0.033
2. Light brush on banks	0.035	0.050	0.060
d. Rock cuts			
1. Smooth and uniform	0.025	0.035	0.040
2. Jagged and irregular	0.035	0.040	0.050
e. Channels not maintained, weeds and brush undercut			
1. Dense weeds, high as flow depth	0.050	0.080	0.120
2. Clean bottom, brush on sides	0.040	0.050	0.080
3. Same, highest stage of flow	0.045	0.070	0.110
4. Dense brush, high stage	0.080	0.100	0.140
<b>D. NATURAL STREAMS</b>			
D-1. Minor streams (top width at flood stage < 100 ft)			
a. Streams on plain			
1. Clean, straight, full stage, no rills or deep pools	0.025	0.030	0.033
2. Same as above, but more stones and weeds	0.030	0.035	0.040
3. Clean, winding, some pools and stones	0.033	0.040	0.045
4. Same as above, but some weeds and stones	0.035	0.045	0.050
5. Same as above, lower stages, more ineffective slopes and sections	0.040	0.048	0.055
6. Same as 4, but more stones	0.045	0.050	0.060
7. Sluggish reaches, weedy, deep pools	0.050	0.070	0.100
8. Very weedy reaches, deep pools, or floodways with heavy stand of timber and underbrush	0.075	0.100	0.150

MAIN CHANNEL  
STATIONS 455

TABLE 5-6. VALUES OF THE ROUGHNESS COEFFICIENT  $n$  (continued)

Type of channel and description	Minimum	Normal	Maximum
b. Mountain streams, no vegetation in channel, banks usually steep, trees and brush along banks submerged at high stages			
a. Bottom: gravel, cobbles, and few boulders			
1. Bottom: gravel, cobbles, and few boulders	0.030	0.040	0.050
2. Bottom: cobbles with large boulders	0.040	0.050	0.070
D-2. Flood plains			
a. Pasture, no brush			
1. Short grass	0.025	0.030	0.035
2. High grass	0.030	0.035	0.050
b. Cultivated areas			
1. No crop	0.020	0.030	0.040
2. Mature row crops	0.025	0.035	0.045
3. Mature field crops	0.030	0.040	0.050
c. Brush			
1. Scattered brush, heavy weeds	0.035	0.050	0.070
2. Light brush and trees, in winter	0.035	0.050	0.060
3. Light brush and trees, in summer	0.040	0.060	0.080
4. Medium to dense brush, in winter	0.015	0.070	0.110
5. Medium to dense brush, in summer	0.070	0.100	0.160
d. Trees			
1. Dense willows, summer, straight	0.110	0.150	0.200
2. Cleared land with tree stumps, no sprouts	0.030	0.040	0.050
3. Same as above, but with heavy growth of sprouts	0.050	0.060	0.080
4. Heavy stand of timber, a few down trees, little undergrowth, flood stage below branches	0.080	0.100	0.120
5. Same as above, but with flood stage reaching branches	0.100	0.120	0.160
D-3. Major streams (top width at flood stage > 100 ft). The $n$ value is less than that for minor streams of similar description, because banks offer less effective resistance.			
a. Irregular section with no boulders or brush	0.025	.....	0.060
b. Irregular and rough section	0.035	.....	0.100

## OPEN-CHANNEL HYDRAULICS

VEN TE CHOW, Ph.D.  
Professor of Hydraulic Engineering  
University of Illinois

790219

790219

Skyline Lake #2

302.03

### Breach Parameters <sup>1/</sup>

Breach width = 180 ft.

Breach shape = Rectangular

Time to maximum Breach size = 3 hours.

Begin Breach when first overtopped

Breach to elevation 262.8

790219

790219

Skyline Lake #1

### Breach Parameters <sup>1/</sup>

Breach width = 160 ft.

Breach shape = Rectangular

Time to Maximum Breach size = 1 hour

Begin Breach when first overtopped

Breach to Elevation 259.3

<sup>1/</sup> Based on previous studies of actual dam failures

RBC

790130

SEYLINE LAKE No. 2

302-03

DRAWDOWN CALCULATION <sup>LL</sup>

ELEV. (FT)	STO. (AF)	$\Delta$ STO (AF)	MEAN HEAD (FT)	$\Delta$ TIME HR	$\Sigma$ TIME HR
280.3	330				
		90	15.9	26.0	
275	240				26
		65	10.7	22.9	
270	175				48.9
		60	5.7	29.0	
265	115				77.9
		30	1.6	27.3	
261.8	85				105.2

20" PIPE &amp; GATE VALUE

USE ORIFICE EQUATION

$$Q = CA \sqrt{2gH}$$

ASSUME  $C = 0.6$ 

$$Q = 0.6 \left( \frac{\pi}{4} \left( \frac{20}{12} \right)^2 \right) \sqrt{2g} \sqrt{H}$$

$$Q = 10.5 H^{1/2}$$

$$\Delta \text{STORAGE} \Delta \text{TIME} = 10.5 H^{1/2} \left( \frac{1}{43560} \text{ FT}^3/\text{AF} \right) (3600 \text{ SEC}/\text{HR})$$

$$\Delta \text{TIME} = \Delta \text{STORAGE} / 0.868 H^{1/2}$$

$$\Sigma \text{ TIME TO DRAIN} = 105.2 \text{ hrs} / 24 \text{ hr}/\text{DAY} = \underline{\underline{4.4 \text{ DAYS}}}$$

- <sup>LL</sup> ASSUMES 1) NO INFLOWS TO LAKE  
2) NO TAILWATER EFFECTS

RBE

790130

SKYLINE LAKE No. 1

302-03

DRAWDOWN CALCULATION<sup>U</sup>

ELEV. (FT)	STO. (AF)	$\Delta$ STO (AF)	MEAN HEAD (FT)	$\Delta$ TIME (HR)	$\Sigma$ TIME HRS
268.2	85				
		40	9.3	15.1	
265	45				15.1
		40	5.2	20.2	
260	5				35.3
		5	1.35	5.0	
257.3	0				40.3

20" PIPE AND GATE VALVE

USE ORIFICE EQUATION

$$Q = CA \sqrt{2gH}$$

ASSUME  $C = 0.6$ 

$$Q = 0.6 \left( \frac{\pi}{4} \left( \frac{20}{12} \right)^2 \right) \sqrt{2gH}$$

$$\frac{\Delta \text{STORAGE}}{\Delta \text{TIME}} = 10.5 H^{1/2} \left( \frac{1}{43560 \text{ FT}^3/\text{AF}} \right) \left( 3600 \text{ SEC}/\text{HR} \right)$$

$$\Delta \text{TIME} = \Delta \text{STORAGE} / 0.868 H^{1/2}$$

$$\Sigma \text{ TIME TO DRAIN} = 40.3 \text{ HR} / 24 \text{ hr/DAY} = 1.7 \text{ DAYS}$$

- U ASSUMES, 1) NO INFLOWS TO LAKE  
2) NO TAILWATER EFFECTS



\*\*\*\*\*  
 FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE  
 DRA SAFETY VERSION JULY 1978  
 LAST MODIFICATION 25 SEP 78  
 \*\*\*\*\*

RUN DATED 01/24/79  
 TIME 0 13:27:21.

NEW JERSEY DAM SAFETY - SKYLINE NO. 1 & 2, I.D. NO. 00803 + 00260  
 HYDRAULIC-HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS 502-03  
 PROBABLE MAXIMUM FLOOD  
 ---RFE---

NO MHR MHRM IDAY JMS IMIM METRC SPLT IPRT MSTAN  
 144 0 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  
 JOPER 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

MULTI-PLAN ANALYSES TO BE PERFORMED  
 MPLAN= 1 RATIO= 4 LRFIO= 1

RTING= .15 .25 .50 1.00

\*\*\*\*\* SUB-AREA PUNOFF COMPUTATION \*\*\*\*\*

RUNOFF FROM AREA ABOVE SKYLINE LANE NO. 2

ISTAQ ICOMP IECON ITAPE JPLT JPRY IMAHE ISTAGE IAUTO  
 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

HYDROGRAPH DATA  
 INYDC IUMG IAREX SHAP INSDA TRSPC RATIO ISHOW ISLAE LOCAL  
 1 2 2.83 0.00 0.00 2.8C C.3C 0.000 0 0 0 0

PRECIP DATA  
 SPCF PMS R6 R12 R24 R48 R72 R96  
 0.00 22.00 112.00 123.00 133.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

TRSPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM IS .800

LOSS DATA  
 LROPT STORR DLTKR REIOL ERBIN STRES RTIOK SIRIL CMSTL ALSMR RIIMP  
 0 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 0.10 0.00 0.00 3.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA  
 TC= 0.00 LAG= 1.00

RECESSION DATA  
 SIRIO= -1.00 ORCSNO= -.05 RTIOR= 2.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH 32 END OF PERIOD ORIGINATES, TC= 0.00 HOURS, LAG= 1.00 VOL= 1.00  
 84. 249. 510. 655. 1116. 1239. 1434. 1134. 989. 791.  
 984. 652. 349. 218. 158. 112. 79. 61.  
 44. 37. 29. 18. 11. 7. 5.  
 1. 1.

NO. 24	HR. 24	PERIOD	RAIN	ELCS	LOSS	COMP. 0	HR. 24	PERIOD	RAIN	ELCS	LOSS	COMP. 0
1.01	1.0	1	.02	6.00	.02	3.	1.01	12.10	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	2.0	2	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	12.20	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	3.0	3	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	12.30	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	4.0	4	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	12.40	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	5.0	5	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	12.50	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	6.0	6	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	13.00	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	7.0	7	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	13.10	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	8.0	8	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	13.20	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	9.0	9	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	13.30	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	10.0	10	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	13.40	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	11.0	11	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	13.50	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	12.0	12	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	14.00	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	13.0	13	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	14.10	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	14.0	14	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	14.20	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	15.0	15	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	14.30	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	16.0	16	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	14.40	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	17.0	17	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	14.50	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	18.0	18	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	15.00	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	19.0	19	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	15.10	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	20.0	20	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	15.20	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	21.0	21	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	15.30	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	22.0	22	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	15.40	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	23.0	23	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	15.50	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	24.0	24	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	16.00	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	25.0	25	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	16.10	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	26.0	26	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	16.20	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	27.0	27	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	16.30	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	28.0	28	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	16.40	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	29.0	29	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	16.50	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	30.0	30	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	17.00	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	31.0	31	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	17.10	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	32.0	32	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	17.20	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	33.0	33	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	17.30	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	34.0	34	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	17.40	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	35.0	35	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	17.50	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	36.0	36	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	18.00	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	37.0	37	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	18.10	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	38.0	38	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	18.20	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	39.0	39	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	18.30	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	40.0	40	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	18.40	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	41.0	41	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	18.50	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	42.0	42	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	19.00	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	43.0	43	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	19.10	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	44.0	44	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	19.20	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	45.0	45	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	19.30	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	46.0	46	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	19.40	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	47.0	47	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	19.50	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	48.0	48	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	20.00	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	49.0	49	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	20.10	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	50.0	50	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	20.20	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	51.0	51	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	20.30	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	52.0	52	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	20.40	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	53.0	53	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	20.50	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	54.0	54	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	21.00	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	55.0	55	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	21.10	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	56.0	56	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	21.20	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	57.0	57	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	21.30	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	58.0	58	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	21.40	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	59.0	59	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	21.50	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	60.0	60	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	22.00	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	61.0	61	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	22.10	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	62.0	62	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	22.20	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	63.0	63	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	22.30	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	64.0	64	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	22.40	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	65.0	65	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	22.50	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	66.0	66	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	23.00	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	67.0	67	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	23.10	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	68.0	68	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	23.20	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	69.0	69	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	23.30	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	70.0	70	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	23.40	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	71.0	71	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	23.50	.33	.31	.02	423.
1.01	72.0	72	.02	6.00	.02	2.	1.01	24.00	.33	.31	.02	423.
SUM 23.41 20.70 2.71 224977												
( 595.11 526.11 64.11 8166371)												



AD-A069 950


NEW JERSEY STATE DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION TRENTON F/G 13/2  
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. SKYLINE LAKE DAM NUMBER 1 (NJ00203--ETC(U)  
MAY 79 R J JENNY

DACW61-78-C-0124

NL

UNCLASSIFIED

2 OF 2  
AD  
A069950



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
7-79  
DDC

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTED FLOWS THROUGH SKYLINE 2

ISTAG	ICOMP	SECON	IFAPE	JPLI	JPRI	IMARE	ISTAGE	IAUTO
2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
CLASS	AVG	ROUTING DATA		IPMP	IPMP	LSTR		
0.0	0.000	IRIS	ISAME	IOPT	IPMP	LSTR		
		1	1	1	0	0		
WTPTS	MSIGL	LAC	ANSKK	I	ISK	STORA	ISPRAT	
1	0	0	0.000	6.000	0.000	330.	0	
CAPACITY	0.	10.	70.	170.	330.	415.	525.	670.
ELEVATION	245.	250.	260.	270.	280.	285.	290.	295.
CREL	SPVID	COM	ERPU	ELEVEL	COOL	CAREA	ERPL	
280.5	30.0	2.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOPEL		DAR DATA				
		284.3	COM	2.6	ERPU	1.5	100.	

STATION 2. PLAN 3. BASIS 4  
 END-OF-DESIGN HYDROGRAPH ORDINATES

STATION	OUTFLOW				STORAGE	PEAK OUTFLOW IS 10-27. AT TIME 16-07 HOURS			
	0	1	2	3		0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
37	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
51	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
52	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
53	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
57	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
61	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
67	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
68	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
70	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
72	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
73	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
74	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
76	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
77	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
78	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
79	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
81	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
82	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
83	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
84	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
86	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
87	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
88	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
89	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
91	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
92	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
93	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
94	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
95	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
96	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
97	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
98	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
99	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

6-HOUR	12-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
5407	1550	1550	1550	23176
197	46	46	46	6220
17.06	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00
450.25	523.13	523.13	523.13	3674
2001	3074	3074	3074	3792
2007	2792	2792	2792	3792













PEAK FLOW AND STORAGE LENGTH OF PERIODS SUMMARY FOR MULTIPLE PLAN-RATIO ECONOMIC COMPUTATIONS  
 FLOWS IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CUBIC METERS PER SECOND)  
 AREA IN SQUARE MILES (SQUARE KILOMETERS)

OPERATION	STATION	AREA	PLAN RATIO	RATIOS APPLIED TO FLOWS			
				RATIO 1	RATIO 2	RATIO 3	RATIO 4
HYDROGRAPH AT	1	2.40	1.00	2035	5271	10542	
	7.25	66.7011	76.6311	156.2811	298.3211		
ROUTED TO	2	2.40	1.00	2562	5162	10327	
	7.25	61.9611	72.0011	146.2011	295.2511		
ROUTED TO	3	2.40	1.00	2484	5113	10350	
	7.25	37.3611	70.3311	144.7811	293.6711		
ROUTED TO	4	2.40	1.00	2494	5141	10391	
	7.25	37.3511	70.3311	144.7811	293.1011		
ROUTED TO	5	2.40	1.00	2494	5077	10242	
	7.25	36.9511	69.8611	143.7611	290.6311		

No BREACH

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 1	ELEVATION STORAGE	INITIAL VALUE	SPILLWAY CREST	TOP OF DAM
0.00	200.00	200.00	204.30	204.30
0.25	200.00	200.00	204.30	204.30
0.50	200.00	200.00	204.30	204.30
1.00	200.00	200.00	204.30	204.30

RATIO OF P4P	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR STORAGE W.S. ELEV	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
0.15	204.07	0.37	613.	1600.	1.22	16.02	0.00
0.25	203.91	1.21	433.	2966.	3.33	16.03	0.00
0.50	207.58	3.10	470.	2269.	3.67	16.67	0.00
1.00	200.00	5.76	927.	10427.	6.83	16.67	0.00

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 2	ELEVATION STORAGE	INITIAL VALUE	SPILLWAY CREST	TOP OF DAM
0.00	200.20	200.20	204.20	272.20
0.25	200.20	200.20	204.20	272.20
0.50	200.20	200.20	204.20	272.20
1.00	200.20	200.20	204.20	272.20

RATIO OF P4P	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR STORAGE W.S. ELEV	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
0.15	272.65	0.00	155.	1320.	0.00	17.33	0.00
0.25	277.29	1.00	166.	2495.	2.27	17.00	0.00
0.50	277.04	2.04	190.	3113.	4.83	16.03	0.00
1.00	277.04	5.44	265.	10350.	6.50	16.03	0.00

PLAN 1 STATION 4

RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE FT	TIME HOURS
0.15	1320.	242.2	17.33
0.25	2495.	264.0	17.00
0.50	3113.	266.5	16.03
1.00	10350.	269.0	16.03

PLAN 2 STATION 5

RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE FT	TIME HOURS
0.15	1320.	255.0	17.33
0.25	2495.	270.1	17.00
0.50	3113.	272.7	16.03
1.00	10350.	281.5	16.03



.....  
 FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (HFC-11)  
 GAP SAFETY VERSION JULY 1974  
 LAST MODIFICATION 23 SEP 74  
 .....

BUN DATEU 01/25/79  
 TIMEO 20.56.33.

NEW JERSEY GAN SAFETY - SKELINE NO. 1 + 2, I.O. NO. 00203 + 00200  
 HYDRAULIC-HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS 308-03  
 PROBABLE MAXIMUM FLOOD -RRF-

NO	44R	NRIM	IDAY	JMR	JMIN	REIPC	JPLI	IPDT	MTIAN
144	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			JOPER	MUT	LEMPY	TRACE			
			5	0	6	C			

JOB SPECIFICATION

MULTI-PLAN ANALYSES TO BE PERFORMED

PTILOS .15 .25 .30 1.00  
 MPLAN= 1 M310= 4 LATE3= 1

.....

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

PINDEF FROM AREA ABOVE SPTIME LAKE NO, 2

ISTAQ	ICOMP	SECN	ITAPE	JPLT	JPRT	IMARE	ITASE	IAUTO
1	0	C	0	0	0	1	0	0

INVOG	ITMC	TAREA	SNAP	TRSDA	TPSPC	RATIO	ISHOW	ISAME	LOCAL
1	2	2.80	0.00	2.81	0.00	0.000	0	0	0

HYDROGRAPH DATA

TYPE	PHS	R6	R12	R24	R48	R72	R96
0.00	22.00	112.00	123.00	133.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

PRECIP DATA

LEQPT	STPR	QLTR	RTIOL	FRAM	STPKS	RTIOM	SPTL	CHSTL	ALSKX	RIIMP
4	0.00	0.10	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	.10	0.00	0.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA

TC= 0.00 LAG= 1.00

RECESSION DATA

STATION	-1.00	0.00	1.00	2.00	RTIOR= 2.00
UNIT HYDROGRAPH 32 EMB OR PERIOD ORIGINATES, TC= 0.00 MOUN3, LAG= 1.00 VOL= 1.00	740.	510.	355.	279.	210.
	1139.	1239.	1124.	980.	701.
	131.	104.	112.	79.	61.
	23.	16.	11.	4.	3.
	1.				

PD,DA	HR,MM	QGETR	PAIN	ECS	LOSS	COMP	END-UP-PERIOD	FLOW	PD,DA	HR,MM	PERIOD	PAIN	ECS	LOSS	COMP
1401	010	1	02	0.00	02	30	1401	12:10	73	03	02	425			
1401	020	7	02	0.00	02	30	1401	12:20	74	03	02	450			
1401	030	9	02	0.00	02	30	1401	12:30	75	03	02	475			
1401	040	6	02	0.00	02	30	1401	12:40	76	03	02	500			
1401	050	5	02	0.00	02	30	1401	12:50	77	03	02	525			
1401	060	7	02	0.00	02	30	1401	13:00	78	03	02	550			
1401	070	7	02	0.00	02	30	1401	13:10	79	03	02	575			
1401	080	8	02	0.00	02	30	1401	13:20	80	03	02	600			
1401	090	9	02	0.00	02	30	1401	13:30	81	03	02	625			
1401	100	10	02	0.00	02	30	1401	13:40	82	03	02	650			
1401	110	11	02	0.00	02	30	1401	13:50	83	03	02	675			
1401	120	12	02	0.00	02	30	1401	14:00	84	03	02	700			
1401	130	13	02	0.00	02	30	1401	14:10	85	03	02	725			
1401	140	14	02	0.00	02	30	1401	14:20	86	03	02	750			
1401	150	15	02	0.00	02	30	1401	14:30	87	03	02	775			
1401	160	16	02	0.00	02	30	1401	14:40	88	03	02	800			
1401	170	17	02	0.00	02	30	1401	14:50	89	03	02	825			
1401	180	18	02	0.00	02	30	1401	15:00	90	03	02	850			
1401	190	19	02	0.00	02	30	1401	15:10	91	03	02	875			
1401	200	20	02	0.00	02	30	1401	15:20	92	03	02	900			
1401	210	21	02	0.00	02	30	1401	15:30	93	03	02	925			
1401	220	22	02	0.00	02	30	1401	15:40	94	03	02	950			
1401	230	23	02	0.00	02	30	1401	15:50	95	03	02	975			
1401	240	24	02	0.00	02	30	1401	16:00	96	03	02	1000			
1401	250	25	02	0.00	02	30	1401	16:10	97	03	02	1025			
1401	260	26	02	0.00	02	30	1401	16:20	98	03	02	1050			
1401	270	27	02	0.00	02	30	1401	16:30	99	03	02	1075			
1401	280	28	02	0.00	02	30	1401	16:40	100	03	02	1100			
1401	290	29	02	0.00	02	30	1401	16:50	101	03	02	1125			
1401	300	30	02	0.00	02	30	1401	17:00	102	03	02	1150			
1401	010	31	02	0.00	02	30	1401	17:10	103	03	02	1175			
1401	020	32	02	0.00	02	30	1401	17:20	104	03	02	1200			
1401	030	33	02	0.00	02	30	1401	17:30	105	03	02	1225			
1401	040	34	02	0.00	02	30	1401	17:40	106	03	02	1250			
1401	050	35	02	0.00	02	30	1401	17:50	107	03	02	1275			
1401	060	36	02	0.00	02	30	1401	18:00	108	03	02	1300			
1401	070	37	02	0.00	02	30	1401	18:10	109	03	02	1325			
1401	080	38	02	0.00	02	30	1401	18:20	110	03	02	1350			
1401	090	39	02	0.00	02	30	1401	18:30	111	03	02	1375			
1401	100	40	02	0.00	02	30	1401	18:40	112	03	02	1400			
1401	110	41	02	0.00	02	30	1401	18:50	113	03	02	1425			
1401	120	42	02	0.00	02	30	1401	19:00	114	03	02	1450			
1401	130	43	02	0.00	02	30	1401	19:10	115	03	02	1475			
1401	140	44	02	0.00	02	30	1401	19:20	116	03	02	1500			
1401	150	45	02	0.00	02	30	1401	19:30	117	03	02	1525			
1401	160	46	02	0.00	02	30	1401	19:40	118	03	02	1550			
1401	170	47	02	0.00	02	30	1401	19:50	119	03	02	1575			
1401	180	48	02	0.00	02	30	1401	20:00	120	03	02	1600			
1401	190	49	02	0.00	02	30	1401	20:10	121	03	02	1625			
1401	200	50	02	0.00	02	30	1401	20:20	122	03	02	1650			
1401	210	51	02	0.00	02	30	1401	20:30	123	03	02	1675			
1401	220	52	02	0.00	02	30	1401	20:40	124	03	02	1700			
1401	230	53	02	0.00	02	30	1401	20:50	125	03	02	1725			
1401	240	54	02	0.00	02	30	1401	21:00	126	03	02	1750			
1401	250	55	02	0.00	02	30	1401	21:10	127	03	02	1775			
1401	260	56	02	0.00	02	30	1401	21:20	128	03	02	1800			
1401	270	57	02	0.00	02	30	1401	21:30	129	03	02	1825			
1401	280	58	02	0.00	02	30	1401	21:40	130	03	02	1850			
1401	290	59	02	0.00	02	30	1401	21:50	131	03	02	1875			
1401	300	60	02	0.00	02	30	1401	22:00	132	03	02	1900			
1401	010	61	02	0.00	02	30	1401	22:10	133	03	02	1925			
1401	020	62	02	0.00	02	30	1401	22:20	134	03	02	1950			
1401	030	63	02	0.00	02	30	1401	22:30	135	03	02	1975			
1401	040	64	02	0.00	02	30	1401	22:40	136	03	02	2000			
1401	050	65	02	0.00	02	30	1401	22:50	137	03	02	2025			
1401	060	66	02	0.00	02	30	1401	23:00	138	03	02	2050			
1401	070	67	02	0.00	02	30	1401	23:10	139	03	02	2075			
1401	080	68	02	0.00	02	30	1401	23:20	140	03	02	2100			
1401	090	69	02	0.00	02	30	1401	23:30	141	03	02	2125			
1401	100	70	02	0.00	02	30	1401	23:40	142	03	02	2150			
1401	110	71	02	0.00	02	30	1401	23:50	143	03	02	2175			
1401	120	72	02	0.00	02	30	1401	24:00	144	03	02	2200			

SUN 22:41 20:70 2:71 220070  
 ( 993.11 916.11 89.11 6366.17)

HYDROGRAPH AT STA 1 FOR PLAN L, REIS 4

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.	23.	24.	25.	26.	27.	28.	29.	30.
1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.	1.
2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.	2.
3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.	3.
4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.	4.
5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.	5.
6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.	6.
7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.	7.
8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.	8.
9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.	9.
10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.	10.
11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.	11.
12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.	12.
13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.	13.
14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.	14.
15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.	15.
16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.
17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.	17.
18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.	18.
19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.	19.
20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.	20.
21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.	21.
22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.	22.
23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.	23.
24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.	24.
25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.	25.
26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.	26.
27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.	27.
28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.	28.
29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.	29.
30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.	30.

PEAK 6-HOUR 24-HOUR 72-HOUR TOTAL VOLUME  
 CFS 16342. 5429. 1501. 224936.  
 CFS 296. 150. 44. 5286.  
 INCHES 18.04 20.75 25.75 24.75  
 AC-F 486.82 527.01 527.01 527.01  
 THOUS CU FT 297. 307. 307. 307.  
 THOUS CU M 322. 322. 322. 322.

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTED FLOWS THROUGH SKYLINE 2

ISTAG	ICOMP	IECOM	ITYPE	JPLT	JPRY	IMANE	ISTAGE	IAUTO
2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
ROUTING DATA								
GROSS	0.0	0.00	0.00	1	0	0	0	0
AVG	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0	0	0	0
IPES	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0	0	0	0
ISAME	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0	0	0	0
IPMP	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0	0	0	0
LSTR	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	0	0	0	0
MSIPS								
MSIBL	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LAG	70.	170.	330.	615.	925.	670.	0	0
ANSCK	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
FSK	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
STORA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
ISPRAY	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0
CREL								
SPWIO	200.3	200.3	200.3	200.3	200.3	200.3	200.3	200.3
COOH	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6
ELEV	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
CCOL	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CAREA	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GRN	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DAM DATA								
LOPEL	204.3	204.3	204.3	204.3	204.3	204.3	204.3	204.3
COZB	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6
EMPO	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
DAMWIO	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
DAM BREACH DATA								
GRNIO	100.	100.	100.	100.	100.	100.	100.	100.
Z	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
IFAIL	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
MSEL	200.30	200.30	200.30	200.30	200.30	200.30	200.30	200.30
FAILED	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



THE BAR BEACH HYDROGRAPH WAS DEVELOPED USING A TIME INTERVAL OF .056 HOURS DURING BEACH FORMATION. DOWNSTREAM CALCULATIONS WILL USE A TIME INTERVAL OF .167 HOURS. THIS TABLE COMPARES THE HYDROGRAPH FOR DOWNSTREAM CALCULATIONS WITH THE COMPUTED BEACH HYDROGRAPH. INTERMEDIATE FLOODS ARE INTERPOLATED FROM END-OF-PERIOD VALUES.

TIME (HOURS)	TIME FROM BEGINNING OF BEACH (HOURS)	TIME FROM INTERPOLATED BEACH HYDROGRAPH (CFS)	COMPUTED BEACH HYDROGRAPH (CFS)	ERROR (CFS)	ACCUMULATED ERROR (CFS)	ACCUMULATED ERROR (AC-FI)
12.333	0.000	1570.	1570.	0.	0.	0.
12.386	0.056	1572.	1572.	-62.	-62.	-8.
12.438	0.111	2376.	2376.	-70.	-132.	-10.
12.491	0.167	3000.	2776.	224.	-132.	-10.
12.544	0.222	3224.	3100.	124.	-132.	-10.
12.597	0.278	3448.	3224.	224.	-132.	-10.
12.650	0.333	3672.	3448.	224.	-132.	-10.
12.703	0.389	3896.	3672.	224.	-132.	-10.
12.756	0.444	4120.	3896.	224.	-132.	-10.
12.809	0.500	4344.	4120.	224.	-132.	-10.
12.862	0.556	4568.	4344.	224.	-132.	-10.
12.915	0.611	4792.	4568.	224.	-132.	-10.
12.968	0.667	5016.	4792.	224.	-132.	-10.
13.021	0.722	5240.	5016.	224.	-132.	-10.
13.074	0.778	5464.	5240.	224.	-132.	-10.
13.127	0.833	5688.	5464.	224.	-132.	-10.
13.180	0.889	5912.	5688.	224.	-132.	-10.
13.233	0.944	6136.	5912.	224.	-132.	-10.
13.286	1.000	6360.	6136.	224.	-132.	-10.
13.339	1.056	6584.	6360.	224.	-132.	-10.
13.392	1.111	6808.	6584.	224.	-132.	-10.
13.445	1.167	7032.	6808.	224.	-132.	-10.
13.498	1.222	7256.	7032.	224.	-132.	-10.
13.551	1.278	7480.	7256.	224.	-132.	-10.
13.604	1.333	7704.	7480.	224.	-132.	-10.
13.657	1.389	7928.	7704.	224.	-132.	-10.
13.710	1.444	8152.	7928.	224.	-132.	-10.
13.763	1.500	8376.	8152.	224.	-132.	-10.
13.816	1.556	8600.	8376.	224.	-132.	-10.
13.869	1.611	8824.	8600.	224.	-132.	-10.
13.922	1.667	9048.	8824.	224.	-132.	-10.
13.975	1.722	9272.	9048.	224.	-132.	-10.
14.028	1.778	9496.	9272.	224.	-132.	-10.
14.081	1.833	9720.	9496.	224.	-132.	-10.
14.134	1.889	9944.	9720.	224.	-132.	-10.
14.187	1.944	10168.	9944.	224.	-132.	-10.
14.240	2.000	10392.	10168.	224.	-132.	-10.
14.293	2.056	10616.	10392.	224.	-132.	-10.
14.346	2.111	10840.	10616.	224.	-132.	-10.
14.399	2.167	11064.	10840.	224.	-132.	-10.
14.452	2.222	11288.	11064.	224.	-132.	-10.
14.505	2.278	11512.	11288.	224.	-132.	-10.
14.558	2.333	11736.	11512.	224.	-132.	-10.
14.611	2.389	11960.	11736.	224.	-132.	-10.
14.664	2.444	12184.	11960.	224.	-132.	-10.
14.717	2.500	12408.	12184.	224.	-132.	-10.
14.770	2.556	12632.	12408.	224.	-132.	-10.
14.823	2.611	12856.	12632.	224.	-132.	-10.
14.876	2.667	13080.	12856.	224.	-132.	-10.
14.929	2.722	13304.	13080.	224.	-132.	-10.
14.982	2.778	13528.	13304.	224.	-132.	-10.
15.035	2.833	13752.	13528.	224.	-132.	-10.
15.088	2.889	13976.	13752.	224.	-132.	-10.
15.141	2.944	14200.	13976.	224.	-132.	-10.
15.194	3.000	14424.	14200.	224.	-132.	-10.
15.247	3.056	14648.	14424.	224.	-132.	-10.
15.300	3.111	14872.	14648.	224.	-132.	-10.
15.353	3.167	15096.	14872.	224.	-132.	-10.
15.406	3.222	15320.	15096.	224.	-132.	-10.
15.459	3.278	15544.	15320.	224.	-132.	-10.
15.512	3.333	15768.	15544.	224.	-132.	-10.
15.565	3.389	15992.	15768.	224.	-132.	-10.
15.618	3.444	16216.	15992.	224.	-132.	-10.
15.671	3.500	16440.	16216.	224.	-132.	-10.
15.724	3.556	16664.	16440.	224.	-132.	-10.
15.777	3.611	16888.	16664.	224.	-132.	-10.
15.830	3.667	17112.	16888.	224.	-132.	-10.
15.883	3.722	17336.	17112.	224.	-132.	-10.
15.936	3.778	17560.	17336.	224.	-132.	-10.
15.989	3.833	17784.	17560.	224.	-132.	-10.
16.042	3.889	18008.	17784.	224.	-132.	-10.
16.095	3.944	18232.	18008.	224.	-132.	-10.
16.148	4.000	18456.	18232.	224.	-132.	-10.
16.201	4.056	18680.	18456.	224.	-132.	-10.
16.254	4.111	18904.	18680.	224.	-132.	-10.
16.307	4.167	19128.	18904.	224.	-132.	-10.
16.360	4.222	19352.	19128.	224.	-132.	-10.
16.413	4.278	19576.	19352.	224.	-132.	-10.
16.466	4.333	19800.	19576.	224.	-132.	-10.
16.519	4.389	20024.	19800.	224.	-132.	-10.
16.572	4.444	20248.	20024.	224.	-132.	-10.
16.625	4.500	20472.	20248.	224.	-132.	-10.
16.678	4.556	20696.	20472.	224.	-132.	-10.
16.731	4.611	20920.	20696.	224.	-132.	-10.
16.784	4.667	21144.	20920.	224.	-132.	-10.
16.837	4.722	21368.	21144.	224.	-132.	-10.
16.890	4.778	21592.	21368.	224.	-132.	-10.
16.943	4.833	21816.	21592.	224.	-132.	-10.
16.996	4.889	22040.	21816.	224.	-132.	-10.
17.049	4.944	22264.	22040.	224.	-132.	-10.
17.102	5.000	22488.	22264.	224.	-132.	-10.
17.155	5.056	22712.	22488.	224.	-132.	-10.
17.208	5.111	22936.	22712.	224.	-132.	-10.
17.261	5.167	23160.	22936.	224.	-132.	-10.
17.314	5.222	23384.	23160.	224.	-132.	-10.
17.367	5.278	23608.	23384.	224.	-132.	-10.
17.420	5.333	23832.	23608.	224.	-132.	-10.
17.473	5.389	24056.	23832.	224.	-132.	-10.
17.526	5.444	24280.	24056.	224.	-132.	-10.
17.579	5.500	24504.	24280.	224.	-132.	-10.
17.632	5.556	24728.	24504.	224.	-132.	-10.
17.685	5.611	24952.	24728.	224.	-132.	-10.
17.738	5.667	25176.	24952.	224.	-132.	-10.
17.791	5.722	25400.	25176.	224.	-132.	-10.
17.844	5.778	25624.	25400.	224.	-132.	-10.
17.897	5.833	25848.	25624.	224.	-132.	-10.
17.950	5.889	26072.	25848.	224.	-132.	-10.
18.003	5.944	26296.	26072.	224.	-132.	-10.
18.056	6.000	26520.	26296.	224.	-132.	-10.
18.109	6.056	26744.	26520.	224.	-132.	-10.
18.162	6.111	26968.	26744.	224.	-132.	-10.
18.215	6.167	27192.	26968.	224.	-132.	-10.
18.268	6.222	27416.	27192.	224.	-132.	-10.
18.321	6.278	27640.	27416.	224.	-132.	-10.
18.374	6.333	27864.	27640.	224.	-132.	-10.
18.427	6.389	28088.	27864.	224.	-132.	-10.
18.480	6.444	28312.	28088.	224.	-132.	-10.
18.533	6.500	28536.	28312.	224.	-132.	-10.
18.586	6.556	28760.	28536.	224.	-132.	-10.
18.639	6.611	28984.	28760.	224.	-132.	-10.
18.692	6.667	29208.	28984.	224.	-132.	-10.
18.745	6.722	29432.	29208.	224.	-132.	-10.
18.798	6.778	29656.	29432.	224.	-132.	-10.
18.851	6.833	29880.	29656.	224.	-132.	-10.
18.904	6.889	30104.	29880.	224.	-132.	-10.
18.957	6.944	30328.	30104.	224.	-132.	-10.
19.010	7.000	30552.	30328.	224.	-132.	-10.
19.063	7.056	30776.	30552.	224.	-132.	-10.
19.116	7.111	31000.	30776.	224.	-132.	-10.
19.169	7.167	31224.	31000.	224.	-132.	-10.
19.222	7.222	31448.	31224.	224.	-132.	-10.
19.275	7.278	31672.	31448.	224.	-132.	-10.
19.328	7.333	31896.	31672.	224.	-132.	-10.
19.381	7.389	32120.	31896.	224.	-132.	-10.
19.434	7.444	32344.	32120.	224.	-132.	-10.
19.487	7.500	32568.	32344.	224.	-132.	-10.
19.540	7.556	32792.	32568.	224.	-132.	-10.
19.593	7.611	33016.	32792.	224.	-132.	-10.
19.646	7.667	33240.	33016.	224.	-132.	-10.
19.699	7.722	33464.	33240.	224.	-132.	-10.
19.752	7.778	33688.	33464.	224.	-132.	-10.
19.805	7.833	33912.	33688.	224.	-132.	-10.
19.858	7.889	34136.	33912.	224.	-132.	-10.
19.911	7.944	34360.	34136.	224.	-132.	-10.
19.964	8.000	34584.	34360.	224.	-132.	-10.
20.017	8.056	34808.	34584.	224.	-132.	-10.
20.070	8.111	35032.	34808.	224.	-132.	-10.
20.123	8.167	35256.	35032.	224.	-132.	-10.
20.176	8.222	35480.	35256.	224.	-132.	-10.
20.229	8.278	35704.	35480.	224.	-132.	-10.
20.282	8.333	35928.	35704.	224.	-132.	-10.
20.335	8.389	36152.	35928.	224.	-132.	-10.
20.388	8.444	36376.	36152.	224.	-132.	-10.
20.441	8.500	36600.	36376.	224.	-132.	-10.
20.494	8.556	36824.	36600.	224.	-132.	-10.
20.547	8.611	37048.	36824.	224.	-132.	-10.
20.600	8.667	37272.	37048.	224.	-132.	-10.
20.653	8.722	37496.	37272.	224.	-132.	-10.
20.706	8.778	37720.	37496.	224.	-132.	-10.
20.759	8.833	37944.	37720.	224.	-132.	-10.
20.812	8.889	38168.	37944.	224.	-132.	-10.
20.865	8.944	38392.	38168.	224.	-132.	-10.
20.918	9.000	38616.	38392.	224.	-132.	-10.
20.971	9.056	38840.	38616.	224.	-132.	-10.
21.024	9.111	39064.	38840.	224.	-132.	-10.
21.077	9.167	39288.	39064.	224.	-132.	-10.
21.130	9.222	39512.	39288.	224.	-132.	-10.
21.183	9.278	39736.	39512.	224.	-132.	-10.
21.236	9.333	39960.	39736.	224.	-132.	-10.
21.289	9.389	40184.	39960.	224.	-132.	-10.
21.342	9.444	40408.	40184.	224.	-132.	-10.
21.395	9.500	40632.	40408.	224.	-132.	-10.
21.448	9.556	40856.	40632.	224.	-132.	-10.
21.501	9.611	41080.	40856.	224.	-132.	-10.
21.554	9.667	41304.	41080.	224.	-132.	-10.
21.607	9.722	41528.	41304.	224.	-132.	-10.
21.660	9.778	41752.				





THE DAY BREACH HYDROGRAPH WAS DEVELOPED USING A TIME INTERVAL OF .021 HOURS DURING BREACH FORMATION. DOMESTICAN CALCULATIONS WILL USE A TIME INTERVAL OF .107 HOURS. THIS TABLE COMPARES THE HYDROGRAPH FOR DOMESTICAN CALCULATIONS WITH THE COMPUTED BREACH HYDROGRAPH. INTERMEDIATE FLOODS ARE INTERPOLATED FROM END-OF-PERIOD VALUES.

TIME (HOURS)	TIME FROM BEGINNING OF BREACH (HOURS)	INTERPOLATED BREACH HYDROGRAPH (CFS)	COMPUTED BREACH HYDROGRAPH (CFS)	ERROR (CFS)	ACCUMULATED ERROR (CFS)	ACCUMULATED ERROR (AC-FT)
22.067	0.023	2806.	2806.	0.	0.	0.
22.080	0.021	2806.	2764.	-42.	-42.	-0.
22.093	0.042	3111.	3111.	0.	-84.	-0.
22.106	0.063	3416.	3416.	0.	-126.	-1.
22.119	0.084	3721.	3721.	0.	-168.	-1.
22.132	0.105	4026.	3984.	-42.	-210.	-1.
22.145	0.126	4331.	4224.	-107.	-317.	-1.
22.158	0.147	4636.	4422.	-214.	-431.	-2.
22.171	0.168	4941.	4620.	-321.	-552.	-2.
22.184	0.189	5246.	4794.	-452.	-680.	-2.
22.197	0.210	5551.	4972.	-581.	-815.	-2.
22.210	0.231	5856.	5154.	-702.	-957.	-2.
22.223	0.252	6161.	5340.	-820.	-1106.	-2.
22.236	0.273	6466.	5530.	-930.	-1262.	-2.
22.249	0.294	6771.	5724.	-1047.	-1425.	-2.
22.262	0.315	7076.	5922.	-1163.	-1596.	-2.
22.275	0.336	7381.	6124.	-1277.	-1775.	-2.
22.288	0.357	7686.	6330.	-1386.	-1962.	-2.
22.301	0.378	7991.	6540.	-1494.	-2156.	-2.
22.314	0.399	8296.	6754.	-1600.	-2358.	-2.
22.327	0.420	8601.	6972.	-1705.	-2567.	-2.
22.340	0.441	8906.	7194.	-1809.	-2784.	-2.
22.353	0.462	9211.	7420.	-1912.	-3008.	-2.
22.366	0.483	9516.	7650.	-2014.	-3239.	-2.
22.379	0.504	9821.	7884.	-2115.	-3477.	-2.
22.392	0.525	10126.	8122.	-2215.	-3722.	-2.
22.405	0.546	10431.	8364.	-2314.	-4074.	-2.
22.418	0.567	10736.	8610.	-2412.	-4534.	-2.
22.431	0.588	11041.	8860.	-2509.	-5003.	-2.
22.444	0.609	11346.	9114.	-2605.	-5580.	-2.
22.457	0.630	11651.	9372.	-2700.	-6265.	-2.
22.470	0.651	11956.	9634.	-2794.	-7060.	-2.
22.483	0.672	12261.	9900.	-2887.	-7965.	-2.
22.496	0.693	12566.	10170.	-2979.	-8980.	-2.
22.509	0.714	12871.	10444.	-3070.	-10114.	-2.
22.522	0.735	13176.	10722.	-3160.	-11368.	-2.
22.535	0.756	13481.	11004.	-3249.	-12743.	-2.
22.548	0.777	13786.	11290.	-3337.	-14240.	-2.
22.561	0.798	14091.	11580.	-3424.	-15860.	-2.
22.574	0.819	14396.	11874.	-3510.	-17604.	-2.
22.587	0.840	14701.	12172.	-3595.	-19473.	-2.
22.600	0.861	15006.	12474.	-3679.	-21468.	-2.
22.613	0.882	15311.	12780.	-3762.	-23590.	-2.
22.626	0.903	15616.	13090.	-3844.	-25840.	-2.
22.639	0.924	15921.	13404.	-3925.	-28219.	-2.
22.652	0.945	16226.	13722.	-4005.	-30728.	-2.
22.665	0.966	16531.	14044.	-4084.	-33368.	-2.
22.678	0.987	16836.	14370.	-4162.	-36140.	-2.
22.691	1.008	17141.	14700.	-4239.	-39054.	-2.
22.704	1.029	17446.	15034.	-4315.	-42110.	-2.
22.717	1.050	17751.	15372.	-4390.	-45318.	-2.
22.730	1.071	18056.	15714.	-4464.	-48678.	-2.
22.743	1.092	18361.	16060.	-4537.	-52191.	-2.
22.756	1.113	18666.	16410.	-4609.	-55858.	-2.
22.769	1.134	18971.	16764.	-4680.	-60680.	-2.
22.782	1.155	19276.	17122.	-4750.	-65658.	-2.
22.795	1.176	19581.	17484.	-4819.	-70793.	-2.
22.808	1.197	19886.	17850.	-4887.	-76085.	-2.
22.821	1.218	20191.	18220.	-4954.	-81535.	-2.
22.834	1.239	20496.	18594.	-5020.	-87145.	-2.
22.847	1.260	20801.	18972.	-5085.	-92915.	-2.
22.860	1.281	21106.	19354.	-5149.	-98845.	-2.
22.873	1.302	21411.	19740.	-5212.	-104935.	-2.
22.886	1.323	21716.	20130.	-5274.	-111185.	-2.
22.899	1.344	22021.	20524.	-5335.	-117595.	-2.
22.912	1.365	22326.	20922.	-5395.	-124165.	-2.
22.925	1.386	22631.	21324.	-5454.	-130895.	-2.
22.938	1.407	22936.	21730.	-5512.	-137785.	-2.
22.951	1.428	23241.	22140.	-5569.	-144835.	-2.
22.964	1.449	23546.	22554.	-5625.	-152045.	-2.
22.977	1.470	23851.	22972.	-5680.	-159415.	-2.
22.990	1.491	24156.	23394.	-5734.	-166945.	-2.
22.1000	1.500	24461.	23820.	-5787.	-174635.	-2.





HYDROGRAPH ROUTING  
CHANNEL ROUTING -MODIFIED PULS- STATION 4 TU 5

ESTAG	ICOMP	ITAGE	JPLI	JPLI	IMARE	ESTAGE	IAUTD
5	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
ROUTING DATA							
GLSS	AVE	ISAE	ISPI	ISPI	LSTR		
0.0	0.36	1	1	0	0		
4STPS	4STOL	LAG	4STKA	2	7KH	STORA	ISPBAT
1	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.	0.

NORMAL DEPTH CHANNEL ROUTING

0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

CROSS SECTION CHARACTERISTICS--STAGE-FLOW--ETC

0.00	2.99	9.10	19.31	34.20	54.75	80.80	115.03	157.65
20773.66	267.95	333.67	411.75	498.21	586.03	675.76	767.73	861.63
0.00	187.46	303.50	411.75	518.04	624.33	730.62	836.91	943.20
20773.66	34516.40	52761.61	66671.13	77280.65	84930.17	90490.69	94971.21	98381.73
STAGE	250.00	251.39	252.78	254.17	255.56	256.95	258.34	259.73
FLOW	0.00	107.34	304.50	518.04	730.62	943.20	1155.78	1368.36
20773.66	10336.40	32761.61	66671.13	100581.65	134792.17	169002.69	203213.21	237423.73



PEAK FLOW AND STORAGE (END OF PERIOD) SUMMARY FOR MULTIPLE PLAN-RATIO ECONOMIC COMPUTATIONS  
 FLOWS IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CUBIC METERS PER SECOND)  
 AREA IN SQUARE MILES (SQUARE KILOMETERS)

OPERATION	STATION	AREA	PLAN	RATIOS APPLIED TO FLOWS			
				RATIO 1	RATIO 2	RATIO 3	RATIO 4
				.15	.25	.56	1.00
HYDROGRAPH AT	1	2.00	1	1981.	2635.	5271.	10542.
		7.251	1	66.7811	76.8311	149.2611	298.5211
ROUTED TO	2	2.00	1	1610.	3900.	6576.	10000.
		7.251	1	72.9111	112.7111	166.1711	306.0011
ROUTED TO	3	2.00	1	4520.	5984.	6542.	10633.
		7.251	1	120.5011	167.1011	195.2511	301.0011
ROUTED TO	4	2.00	1	4812.	5050.	6520.	10660.
		7.251	1	127.7711	149.0711	184.0711	301.0011
ROUTED TO	5	2.00	1	4409.	5036.	6409.	10555.
		7.251	1	127.0111	165.2711	191.4011	290.0011

# BREACH ANALYSIS

## SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 1	ELEVATION RESERVOIR STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE	SPILLWAY CREST	TOP OF DAM	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
		268.20	268.20	268.20	
		230.	330.	432.	1048.
		0.	0.	0.	
RATIO OF PHF	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS
.15	.29	408.	2618.	.39	17.30
.25	.16	405.	4277.	.39	16.72
.50	.28	437.	6574.	.39	16.67
1.00	.61	413.	10000.	.56	16.33

## SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 6	ELEVATION RESERVOIR STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE	SPILLWAY CREST	TOP OF DAM	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
		268.20	268.20	272.20	
		85.	85.	147.	1488.
		0.	0.	0.	
RATIO OF PHF	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS
.15	.37	154.	4542.	.30	17.31
.25	.44	137.	5905.	.42	16.83
.50	.42	147.	4542.	.40	16.67
1.00	.95	144.	10033.	.40	16.50

## PLAN 1 STATION 6

RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT	TIME HOURS
.15	6512.	268.1	17.50
.25	5050.	267.1	16.83
.50	6520.	267.6	16.67
1.00	10660.	270.0	16.50

## PLAN 1 STATION 5

RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT	TIME HOURS
.15	4485.	258.7	17.50
.25	5010.	259.5	16.83
.50	4400.	259.8	16.83
1.00	10555.	261.6	16.67

SKYLINE LANE DAM # 2

Dam Application No. 329  
(23-73)

State of New Jersey  
State Water Policy Commission  
REPORT ON DAM APPLICATION

To the State Water Policy Commission,  
State of New Jersey.

Gentlemen:  
The application of Realty Acquisition Co., N.E. Winston, President, 27 W. 14th St., N.J. filed June 26, 1975 for approval of plans and for a permit to construct a dam known as Skyline Lane (Dam #2) near Canaque on Shepherd Brook tributary to Canaque River in Passaic County New Jersey.

has been examined by George B. Shanklin, Hydraulic ~~Structural~~ Engineer.

PRINCIPAL FEATURES

Location	24.21.2.0.1 <input type="checkbox"/>	Site inspected	7/27/75 - G.B.S.
Purpose of dam	Real Estate Development	Length of dam	230 feet
Drainage area	2.3 sq. mi.	Elevation of low line	127.0 assumed datum
Area of Lake	65 acres	Capacity of lake	127 Mill gals.
Type of dam	Earth fill, steel sheet piling core wall.	Top width	9 feet
Upstream slope	2 to 1	Downstream slope	2 to 1
Foundation material	Sand & gravel with blue clay	Max. height	22.0 feet
Type of spillway	concrete wall with spillway channel below	Length of spillway	50 feet
Height on spillway	4.0 feet for 1.0 ft. free-board below top of core wall		
Storage capacity	155 sec. ft.	155 sec. ft. per sq. mi.	
Estimated maximum flood flow	400	sec. ft. per sq. mi.	1.55 Central Jersey Curve
Outlet other than spillway	20-inch blowoff pipe to right of spillway		
Drawings filed	July 21, 1975 by Kenneth B. Harrison, Engineer, Butler, N. J.		

It has been found that the site for the dam is suitable and the plans adequate to ensure the construction of a structure which will not be a menace to life or property. It is therefore recommended that the plans be approved and that a permit be issued, subject, however, to the following conditions:

1. That the applicant does not have any property rights, either legal, state or municipal, nor any exclusive privileges, neither state or municipal nor private property nor the exercise of private rights, nor any infringement of Federal, State or local laws or regulations, nor does it waive the obtaining of Federal assent, when necessary.

SKYLINE LAKE DAM #2

RECEIVED

JUN 29 1965

STATE OF NEW JERSEY  
STATE WATER POLICY COMMISSION

28 WEST STATE STREET  
TRENTON, NEW JERSEY

STATE WATER POLICY COMMISSION

DAM APPLICATION No. 399

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT FOR CONSTRUCTION (23-73)  
OR REPAIR OF DAM

Application 23.31.2.9.4 [ ]  
Applicant: Estlar, Morris, Inc., E.J., New Jersey  
Date: June 29, 1965

To the New Jersey State Water Policy Commission,  
Gentlemen: -

In compliance with the provisions of Title 58, Chapter 4, Revised Statutes  
Realty Acquisition Co. 22 West 48th St. New York, N.Y. N.K. Winston, Pres.  
(Here insert name and address of public authority, private person or corporation which will be the owner of the dam.)  
I hereby make application for the approval of drawings and for the issuance of a permit to  
construct (or repair) a dam known as Skyline Lake (Dam #2)  
across Shephard Brook in Passaic County, New Jersey.  
at a point 1/2 mile upstream from Dam #1  
for the purpose of Real Estate Development.  
(Here insert name of stream) (Here insert name of dam) (Here state the purpose of the proposed lake.)

in accordance with the following information and with the complete specifications and  
drawings filed with this application and made part hereof, as follows:

Area of water shed..... 2.8 square miles.  
Maximum depth of lake..... approximately 20 feet  
Area of water surface..... 65 acres  
Capacity of spillway at 21 feet head, is 675 cubic feet per second.  
The character of the foundation material is gravel, clay and hardpan.  
As determined by test holes

SKYLINE LAKE DAM No. 2

2. That the work shall at all times be subject to supervision and inspection by representatives of the State Water Policy Commission and that no changes in plans and specifications as approved shall be made except with written consent of the Commission. The Commission however, reserves the right to require such changes or modifications in the plans and specifications as may be considered necessary, and further reserves the right to suspend or revoke this permit at any time should such action be deemed advisable in the interest of public safety.

3. That the work shall be under the direction of a competent engineer, and that he or a competent representative shall be on the ground daily during the construction and until the completion of the dam.

4. That the Commission shall be notified in advance of the proposed time of the commencement of this work; that no material shall be placed on any portion of the foundation until such portion of the foundation has been approved in writing by a representative of the Commission.

5. That a report, on forms to be submitted by the Commission, on the status of the construction work shall be mailed to the State Water Policy Commission, 28 West State Street, Trenton, New Jersey, on the first day of each month until the work upon the dam has been completed.

6. That no brush or waste timber cleared from the area under this approval shall be burned unless and until the party doing the work shall have obtained a permit from the Firewarden of the district in which the burning is to be done, in accordance with Title 13:9-19 of the Revised Statutes.

7. That no flashboards or other obstruction shall be placed or permitted to remain on the crest of the spillway.

8. That the work shall be started within one year from date of this permit and completed within two years from said date; otherwise, this permit, if not previously revoked or specifically extended, shall cease and be null and void.

9. This permit shall not become operative unless and until the applicant shall file with the Commission within thirty days from date hereof, upon a form furnished by the Commission, its written acceptance of the terms and conditions hereby imposed.

10. Drawings hereby approved are sheets Nos. 2, 4 and 5, Dam 2, together with title sheet entitled "Plan and Profile for Construction of Dams at Skyline Lake, Borough of Ringwood, Passaic County, July 10, 1945".

No Rule "B" Acknowledgment Issued.


*George A. Franklin*  
Hydraulic ~~Assistant~~ Engineer.

*H. T. C. ...*  
~~Assistant Engineer~~  
Chief Engineer

Trenton New Jersey.

July 22 1945

D-44



PLAN - DAM

SKYLINE LAKE  
DAM No. 2

Scale  
1" = 100'

2+50

2+50

1+00

0+50

0+00

0+30

0+00

E1-126

E1-122

E1-118

E1-114

E1-110

E1-106

E1-102

E1-98

E1-94

E1-90

E1-86

D-45

State of New Jersey

State Water Policy Commission

## REPORT ON DAM APPLICATION

To the State Water Policy Commission,  
State of New Jersey.

Gentlemen:

The application of Realty Acquisition Company, N. K. Winston, President, 22 West  
44th Street, New York City

filed June 29, 1945 for approval of plans and for a permit to construct a dam

known as Skyline Lake (Dam #1) near Kanaque on Shepard Brook  
tributary to Kanaque River in Passaic County, New Jersey.has been examined by George R. Shanklin, Hydraulic ~~Assistant~~ Engineer.

## PRINCIPAL FEATURES

Location 23.31.5.3.1 <input type="checkbox"/>	Site inspected 7/27/45 - G.R.S.
Purpose of dam Real Estate Development	Length of dam 160 feet
Drainage area 2.9 sq. mi.	Elevation of flow line 110.0 assumed datum
Area of Lake 452 acres	Capacity of lake 1.52 Mill. gals.
Type of dam Earth fill. Steel sheet piling core wall.	Top width 9 feet
Upstream slope 2 to 1	Downstream slope 2 to 1
Foundation material Sand & gravel with blue clay	Max. height 16.0 feet
Type of spillway Concrete Cree overflow	Length of spillway 50 feet
Max. head on spillway 3.0 feet for 1.0' free-board below top of dam and core wall	
Spillway capacity 905 sec. ft. = 312 sec. ft. per sq. mi.	
Estimated maximum flood flow 295 sec. ft. per sq. mi. (1.5% Central Jersey Curve)	
Outlets other than spillway 20-inch blowoff pipe to left of spillway	
Drawings filed July 31, 1945 by Newell C. Harrison, Engineer, Butler, N. J.	

It has been found that the site for the dam is suitable and the plans adequate to ensure the construction of a structure which will not be a menace to life or property. It is therefore recommended that the plans be approved and that a permit be issued, subject, however, to the following terms and conditions:—

1. That this permit does not give any property rights, either in real estate or material, nor any exclusive privileges; neither does it authorize any injury to private property nor invasion of private rights, nor any infringement of Federal, State or local laws or regulations, nor does it waive the obtaining of Federal assent, when necessary.

SKYLARK LAKE DAM NO. 1  
RECEIVED

JUN 29 1945

STATE OF NEW JERSEY  
STATE WATER POLICY COMMISSION

20 WEST STATE STREET  
TRENTON, NEW JERSEY

STATE WATER POLICY COMMISSION

DAM APPLICATION No. 398

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT FOR CONSTRUCTION (23-72)  
OR REPAIR OF DAM

Butler, Morris Co. New Jersey

Location 23.31.5, 3.1

June 27, 1945

To the New Jersey State Water Policy Commission.

Gentlemen:—

In compliance with the provisions of Title 58, Chapter 4, Revised Statutes  
Realty Legislation Co. 22 West 87th St. New York, N.Y. H. K. Winston, Pres.

hereby makes application for the approval of drawings and for the issuance of a permit to  
construct a dam known as Skylark Lake (Dam #1)

across Shepherd Brook in Passaic County, New Jersey.

at a point approximately 1000 ft. northerly of boundary line of Rinewood & Paragon Acres.

for the purpose of Real Estate Development

in accordance with the following information and with the complete specifications and  
drawings filed with this application and made part hereof, as follows:

Area of water shed..... 2.8 square miles.

Maximum depth of lake..... 32 feet

Area of water surface..... approximately 38 acres

Capacity of spillway at 2 1/2 feet head, is 625 cubic feet per second.

The character of the foundation material is sand, gravel, clay and hard pan.

As determined by test holes

See App 399 for general correspondence & location  
map prior to filing of application  
D-47




SKYLINE LAKE DAM  
NO. 1

398


- 48-75 9' part 1
- 36-70 9' part 2
- 24-75 9' part 3
- 32-76 9' part 4



SECTION-VALVE WELL  
SCALE - 1:30'



HEAD WALLS  
SCALE - 1:30'




TYPICAL SECTION OF FILL SHOWING CORE  
SCALE - 1:60'

D-49






CONSULTING



JENNIFER/LEIBERHELL JAN. 5/74




JEFFREY LEONARD JAN 1979


U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY WATER RESOURCES DIVISION

COLUMBIA COUNTY

STAGE - SPILLWAY & OVERTOP DISCHARGE CURVE  
SKYLINE LAKE # 2



J. F. HUNN / LEEDS HILL JAN. 1975



JERRY LEECKHELL FEB. 1979

CONSTRUCTION COMPANY


PERCENT PMF VS. PEAK OUTFLOW SKYLINE LAKE #1

PERCENT PMF


PERCENT OUTFLOW DISCHARGE - CFS


WESTON BOCKERS  
WEST BOCKERS

PLAN - DAM



Scale 1" = 100'





COPYRIGHT 1964 BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

602-100-1000